https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpth.2024.102579

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome associated with postpartum hemorrhage: case series and systematic review of individual participant data

Anna Gurevich-Shapiro^{1,2,3} \odot | Sharon Orbach-Zinger^{3,4} | Avi Leader^{3,5} \times | Galia Stemer⁶ | Arnon Wiznitzer^{3,7} | Pierre Singer^{3,8} | Miriam Davidovits^{3,9} Michael Shapiro^{3,10} | Eva N. Hamulyák¹¹ | Pia Raanani^{3,5} | Galia Spectre^{3,5}

¹Department of Systems Immunology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

²Division of Hematology, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel

³Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv, Israel

⁴Division of Anesthesia, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

⁵Institute of Hematology, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

⁶Hematology Unit, Haemek Medical Center, Afula, Israel

⁷Helen Schneider Hospital for Women, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

⁸Intensive Care Unit, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

⁹Institute of Nephrology, Schneider Children's Medical Center, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel

¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence

Anna Gurevich-Shapiro, Ido Amit Lab for Immunogenomics, Weizmann Insitute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel. Email: anna.gurevich-shapiro@weizmann. ac.il

Handling Editor: Michelle Sholzberg

Abstract

Background: Postpartum hemorrhage is considered a risk factor for pregnancyassociated complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome (CM-HUS; previously known as atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome) but has not been systematically studied.

Objectives: To systematically examine the role of postpartum hemorrhage in precipitating CM-HUS and to describe the characteristics of postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS, its prognosis and recommended management.

Methods: A systematic review of individual participant data from case series and reports in addition to a case series from our institution. Search terms were "thrombotic microangiopathy," "atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome," and "complement mediated" combined with "pregnancy," "postpartum," and/or "postpartum hemorrhage". Cases of thrombotic microangiopathy other than CM-HUS were excluded. Outcomes were clinical and laboratory characteristics of postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS, treatment, and outcomes.

Results: Thirty-three studies comprising 48 women with postpartum hemorrhageassociated CM-HUS and 3 patients from our institution were included in the study. Most women presented at term (28/45; 62%), delivered by cesarean section (21/41; 51%), and had pregnancy complications, mainly preeclampsia (16/51; 31%) or fetal demise (9/51; 18%). Hematological and renal abnormalities usually appeared within the first 24 hours postdelivery. The median platelet count was 46×10^{9} /L (IQR, 26-72), and the median maximal lactate dehydrogenase was 2638 U/L (IQR, 1620-3588). Renal function normalized in 20/23 (87%) women treated with C5 inhibitors with or without plasma exchange; in 7/11 (63%) women treated with plasma exchange alone, but only in 3/17 (18%) patients treated with supportive care. Patients treated with C5 inhibitors

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). and/or plasma exchange achieved significantly better renal outcomes compared with supportive care alone (P < .001).

Conclusion: CM-HUS is a rare complication following postpartum hemorrhage and occurs mainly in women with preeclampsia and/or following cesarean section. Patients treated with C5 inhibitors and/or plasma exchange had a better renal prognosis compared with patients who received supportive treatment alone.

KEYWORDS

postpartum hemorrhage, pregnancy, Complement C5 inhibitors, atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome, thrombotic microangiopathies, pregnancy complications, postpartum period, treatment outcome, acute kidney injury, Parturition

Essentials

- Complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome (CM-HUS) is a rare postpartum hemorrhage sequela.
- · We performed a systematic review of participants' data from published CM-HUS cases.
- · Postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS appeared often after preeclampsia or cesarean sections.
- C5 inhibitors and/or plasma exchange led to better renal outcomes compared with supportive care.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The early postpartum period is a known risk factor for different forms of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA). This occurs especially following delivery complications due to complement dysregulation [1–3]. TMA is caused by endothelial cell injury leading to microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and microvascular thrombi, which may lead to end-organ damage in the kidneys, the brain, and the heart [4].

The differential diagnosis of postpartum TMA includes hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and proteinuria (HELLP) syndrome, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy (DIC), immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (iTTP), and complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome (CM-HUS; previously known as an atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome) [5,6]. The TMA syndromes have an overlapping clinical presentation yet require different treatment modalities. Significant strides have been made in the management of different types of TMA in recent years. The introduction of C5 inhibitors has revolutionized the outcomes of pregnancy-associated CM-HUS [7-9], emphasizing the need for an accurate and timely diagnosis [8,10]. However, reaching a diagnosis of CM-HUS remains elusive and requires the exclusion of other causes of postpartum TMA and, therefore, may result in treatment delays. This diagnostic challenge is further confounded by postpartum hemorrhage, which is an independent risk factor for DIC and acute kidney injury.

Postpartum hemorrhage is defined as blood loss of 1000 mL or as hemorrhage associated with signs or symptoms of hypovolemia within 24 hours after delivery [11,12]. The incidence of postpartum hemorrhage has been reported to gradually increase in recent decades and is currently estimated at 1% to 5% of deliveries in high-income countries [11,13,14]. As a result, it remains a leading cause of maternal mortality worldwide [13–16]. Significant bleeding has not been suggested to be a precipitating factor for developing CM-HUS in nonobstetric settings [17].

Inspired by 3 cases occurring in our institution, we aimed to systematically examine the role of postpartum hemorrhage in precipitating CM-HUS and describe the characteristics of postpartum hemorrhage-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome, its prognosis, and recommended management.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Case series

We present 3 cases of CM-HUS following postpartum hemorrhage from a single center in the years 2016-2018 and discuss the diagnostic process, administered treatments, and outcomes. The study was approved by the institutional review board in the Rabin Medical Center, Israel, study number RMC-0200-20. All case series participants provided written informed consent.

2.2 | Systematic literature review—study design and search strategies

We performed a systematic review of individual participants' data according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Individual Participant Data statement [18]; PROSPERO registration number CRD42021271388. The search strategy aimed to identify cases of TMA diagnosed following postpartum hemorrhage that does not correspond to previously described types of TMA, namely HELLP, DIC, and iTTP. We searched Medline, Cochrane Library, ClinicalTrials.gov, Web of Science, and the EMBASE database, restricted to the English language, using the following terms and their alternative spellings: "thrombotic microangiopathy," "atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome," and "complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome" in combination with "pregnancy," "post-partum," and/or "postpartum hemorrhage/haemorrhage" [7] (last accessed December 2023).

For each of the identified studies, we manually reviewed individual participants' data and selected patients who fulfilled our predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, namely patients who experienced postpartum hemorrhage and presented with hemolytic anemia (elevated lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], decreased hemoglobin [Hb] and low haptoglobin, and/or schistocytes on blood smear), thrombocytopenia, and renal failure (creatinine > 1.5 g/dL and/or oliguria). We further searched the respective reference lists to identify additional case series or case reports. Exclusion criteria included other types of TMA concurrent with CM-HUS, namely DIC, HELLP, and iTTP. These were excluded based on the diagnosis reached in the source publication.

2.3 | Study selection, data collection, and risk of bias

A.G.S. and M.S. used Rayyan [19] to screen and assess all titles and abstracts for inclusion and consulted G.S. in cases of disagreement. Articles were excluded if they were not relevant to the study question or failed to present individual case data. Data recorded from case reports included the year and type of publication, patient characteristics (age, medical history, and parity), pregnancy characteristics (gestational age, mode of delivery, pregnancy, and delivery complications, particularly preeclampsia), TMA presentation (timing postdelivery, laboratory values, ADAMTS-13 levels, and genetic testing) and management (blood products transfusions, plasma-exchange, corticosteroids, C5 inhibitors, and hemodialysis), and maternal and neonatal outcomes. Laboratory indices were extracted as peak values for creatinine and LDH and nadir values for Hb and platelet counts. Maternal outcomes were determined according to the final report in the examined manuscript; where it was unclear, we corresponded with the authors. Unavailable data were listed as not available (NA).

Risk of bias was assessed using the Joanna Brigs Institute critical appraisal tool for case reports [20] and the Institute of Health Economics Quality Appraisal Checklist for Case Series Studies [21]. Statistical testing was performed using a chi-square test with significance at P < .05. Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS 25 statistics.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Case series

3.1.1 | Patient 1 (2016)

A 32-year-old woman, gravida 3, para 1, with a medical history significant for bariatric surgery (2015), presented to the emergency room at 39 weeks of gestation due to elevated blood pressure (BP) of 170/



Laboratory value	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3
Hemoglobin nadir (g/dL)	4.0	8.5	7.8
Platelet count nadir (×10 ⁹ /L)	77	30	15
Creatinine peak (mg/dL)	3.4	4.3	4.9
LDH peak (U/L)	2280	3300	3500
Schistocytes on peripheral blood smear	Yes	Yes	Yes

LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.

110 mm Hg and abnormal fetal monitoring, and was diagnosed with pregnancy-induced hypertension. No clinical or laboratory signs of preeclampsia or HELLP were observed. Labor induction was initiated, but fetal distress led to an emergency cesarean section (CS). Postoperatively, a drop in Hb level and significantly prolonged clotting times raised the suspicion of postpartum hemorrhage and DIC. Urgent laparotomy revealed uterine atony and massive bleeding, and despite mass transfusion, she remained hemodynamically unstable and anuric. necessitating a hysterectomy. Oliguria persisted despite the administration of fluids, and as Hb and platelet count continued to drop 12 hours after the hysterectomy, she was diagnosed with TMA accompanied by severe renal failure (Table 1). At this time, there was no evidence of active bleeding nor signs of active DIC, BP was normal, and complement levels were low, supporting the diagnosis of pregnancy-associated CM-HUS. Levels of terminal membrane attack complex and complement factor H autoantibodies were NA in our institution at the time.

The patient underwent hemodialysis once and received 4 cycles of daily plasma exchange, with gradual improvement in hematological and renal parameters. The workup for other causes of TMA was negative. Hb and platelet count stabilized on postoperative day 11, and plasma creatinine levels returned to normal within 3 weeks. No antihypertensive medication was required, and she was not treated with C5 inhibitors due to clinical improvement. Genetic testing was not performed.

3.1.2 | Patient 2 (2016)

A 29-year-old woman, gravida 4, para 3, with a history of bariatric surgery and heterozygosity for factor (F)V Leiden, received enoxaparin and aspirin during pregnancy. Routine BP monitoring was normal. Oligohydramnios at 36 weeks led to an elective CS performed at 37 weeks gestation. Postsurgery, she experienced massive vaginal bleeding requiring a total hysterectomy. On postoperative day 1, she developed anemia, thrombocytopenia of 30×10^{9} /L, elevated LDH, and nonoliguric acute renal failure (Table 1). No coagulation abnormalities were noted, and she received 3 packed cell transfusions. With suspected postpartum TMA, ADAMTS-13 activity was normal; she

underwent daily plasma exchange for 4 days. Workup for paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria and antiphospholipid syndrome were negative. Hemolysis gradually subsided, but renal function continued to deteriorate despite normal urine output. Dialysis was not indicated. Renal function normalized 3 weeks post-CS.

The differential diagnosis for acute renal failure, in this case, included a combination of acute tubular necrosis secondary to hypovolemia and contrast nephropathy (after uterine arteries embolization) or pregnancy-associated CM-HUS. Normal complement levels and the abrupt onset of renal failure, in combination with a markedly increased LDH and hematological abnormalities, led us to suspect pregnancyassociated CM-HUS. Since spontaneous improvement was noted, we did not initiate eculizumab. Genetic testing was not performed.

3.1.3 | Patient 3 (2018)

A 35-year-old woman, gravida 1, para 0, underwent a termination of pregnancy at 27 weeks due to a chromosome 8 deletion detected on

756 studies identified by systematic search in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL clinicaltrials.gov and Web of Science conference proceedings 124 duplicates removed 632 titles and abstracts screened 313 excluded After abstract and title evaluation 319 full text papers obtained 286 studies excluded after full-text evaluation: 32 Insufficient data 94 no individual data/no treatment or outcome 116 inclusion criteria not met (postpartum 4 papers obtained from cross-TMA without PPH) referencing 44 TMA other than CM-HUS 51 patients from 33 studies included in the analysis: 6 case-series 27 case reports 3 patients from our institution

amniocentesis. Following feticide and labor induction, she experienced significant vaginal bleeding, and a laboratory diagnosis of DIC was made based on prolonged clotting times and a steep decrease in fibrinogen levels. An exploratory laparotomy revealed uterine atony with signs of hemorrhage from the placental bed. Postsurgery, fibrinogen levels normalized, but she developed oliguric acute kidney injury, platelet counts and Hb decreased, and LDH was significantly elevated. TMA was suspected, and she underwent 3 courses of plasma exchange. ADAMTS-13 activity was normal, C3 and C4 levels were low, and testing for Shiga toxin was negative. Urine output improved following plasma exchange, but hematological and renal laboratory values worsened (Table 1).

A diagnosis of pregnancy-associated CM-HUS was made, and eculizumab was initiated at an induction dose of 900 mg/wk, resulting in an improvement in Hb, platelet count, and LDH. Eculizumab was continued during a subsequent pregnancy without relapse of CM-HUS. Eculizumab was successfully tapered down and eventually discontinued after 2 years of treatment following negative genetic testing for complement abnormalities.

> **FIGURE 1** Study selection flowchart. CM-HUS, complement-mediated hemolytic uremic syndrome; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage.

TABLE 2 Patients' characteristics.

Patient no.	First author (publication y)	Age (y)	G	Gest. wk	Preeclampsia ^a	Delivery type (indication for CS)	TMA diagnosis (d)	Hgb (gr/L)	Max LDH (μ//L)	PLT (×10 ⁹ / L)	Cr max (gr/dL)	Plasma exchange	Dialysis	C5 inhibitors	Renal outcome, days to normalization
1	Current study	32	3	38	Yes	Emergency CS (fetal distress)	POD1	4.1	1726	77	2.95	Yes	Yes	No	CR, POD14
2	Current study	29	4	37	No	Elective CS (oligohydramnios)	POD1	8.5	3000	30	4.3	Yes	No	No	CR, POD4
3	Current study	35	1	27	No	Vaginal	Delivery	7.8	2500	35	3	Yes	No	Yes	CR, POD21
4	Catarci et al. (2023) [22]	35	1	36	No	Elective CS	POD1	7.1	2356	20	4.7	No	No	Yes	CR, NA
5	Cody et al. (2023) [23]	13	1	37	Yes	Vaginal	POD1	7.1	2679	100	2.24	No	Yes	Yes	СКD
6	Harazim et al. (2023) [24]	35	4	40	No	Vaginal	POD3	NA	2100	83	7.5	No	Yes	Yes	СКD
7	Ghazanfar et al. (2022) [25]	36	NA	36	No	CS (breech presentation)	POD3	6.6	2856	70	6.4	Yes	No	Yes	CR
8	Hasan et al. (2022) [26]	24	4	39	No	Vaginal	POD5	7	2051	28	3.6	Yes	Yes	No	Died
9	Markin and Shatylovych (2022) [27]	37	4	27	No	Vaginal	POD2	4.8	1514	70	3.4	Yes	Yes	Yes	ESRD, POD28
10	Guzzo et al. (2021) [28]	50	1	41	No	Elective CS (induction failure)	POD9	6	1340	102	1.3	No	Yes	Yes	CR, POD60
11	So et al. (2021) [29]	27	1	36	No	Emergency CS (obstructed labor)	Delivery	8.9	3490	87	1.26	No	Yes	Yes	CR, POD28
12	Gackler et al. (2021) [8]	24	1	38	No	Emergency CS (fetal distress)	POD6	NA	NA	NA	3.1	NA	Yes	Yes	CR, NA
13		43	1	35	Yes	Emergency CS	POD1	NA	NA	NA	0.57	NA	Yes	Yes	CR, NA
14	Fakhouri et al. (2020) [10]	27	1	33	Yes	Emergency CS (fetal distress)	Delivery	9.8	1120	39	3.8	No	No	No	CR, POD21
15	Wang et al. (2021) [30]	29	2	40	Yes	Emergency CS (HELLP)	NA	5.7	3001	23	9.34	Yes	Yes	No	СКD
16		38	2	39	Yes	Vaginal	NA	4.9	3706	38	10.7	No	Yes	No	ESRD
17		31	1	39	Yes	Urgent CS (HELLP)	NA	6.7	2020	40	13	No	Yes	No	СКD
18	Kim et al. (2019) [31]	29	1	39	No	Vaginal	POD4	NA	NA	NA	Oliguria	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, POD11
19	Nnanoma et al. (2019) [32]	26	6	NA	No	Vaginal	NA	<12	2607	56	4.47	Yes	No	Yes	CR, NA

(Continues)

5 of 13

TABLE 2 (Continued)

First author (publication y)	Age (y)	G	Gest. wk	Preeclampsia ^a	Delivery type (indication for CS)	TMA diagnosis (d)	Hgb (gr/L)	Max LDH (μ//L)	PLT (×10 ⁹ / L)	Cr max (gr/dL)	Plasma exchange	Dialysis	C5 inhibitors	Renal outcome, days to normalization
Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33]	29	NA	38	No	NA	NA	4.9	3687	8	3.6	No	Yes	No	Died
	23	NA	30	Yes	NA	NA	4.5	2045	58	5.1	No	Yes	No	ESRD
	26	NA	38	No	NA	NA	7.1	2912	101	1.9	No	Yes	No	СКD
	29	NA	38	Yes	NA	NA	8.7	3752	77	4.7	No	Yes	No	ESRD
	38	NA	35	Yes	NA	NA	6.9	4031	48	2.6	No	Yes	No	ESRD
	22	NA	38	No	NA	NA	7.4	3816	91	3.1	No	Yes	No	ESRD
	29	NA	38	Yes	NA	NA	6.4	2046	23	5	No	Yes	No	Died
	24	NA	38	No	NA	NA	5.2	8390	35	10	No	Yes	No	ESRD
	21	NA	36	No	NA	NA	6.5	1282	22	6	No	Yes	No	ESRD
Kumar et al. (2019) [34]	25	4	39	No	Elective CS	POD4	6.8	1323	70	4.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, POD35
Huerta et al. (2018) [1]	41	2	NA	No	Emergency CS (vaginal bleeding)	POD 28	5.3	1977	60	HD	Yes	Yes	No	ESRD ^b
	35	1	NA	No	CS	POD 1	5.3	7487	26	HD	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, NA
	28	1	NA	No	CS	POD 1	5.2	7183	20	4.55	Yes	No	Yes	CR, NA
Gaggl et al. (2018) [35]	20	1	20	Yes	CS	Delivery	<12 ^d	NA	<150	>1.5	Yes	No	No	CR, POD21 ^c
Shivarov and De Vitta (2018) [36]	35	NA	37	No	Emergency CS (obstructed labor and fetal distress)	POD1	6.9	1324	62	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, POD20
Gately et al. (2017) [37]	32	1	40	No	Vaginal	Delivery	<12	3160	44	>1.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, POD14
Chua et al. (2017) [38]	29	NA	37	Yes	Vaginal	POD2	6.8	620	70	>1.5	Yes	No	Yes	CR, POD28
Frimat et al. (2016) [39]	39	NA	41	No	NA	NA	8.7	NA	75	2	No	Yes	No	СКД
	40	>3	38	No	NA	NA	6.7	2152	58	3.3	No	Yes	No	СКD
	33	NA	38	No	NA	NA	9.3	1324	57	1	No	Yes	No	CKD
	First author (publication y) Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33] [33] Kumar et al. (2019) [34] Huerta et al. (2018) [1] Gaggl et al. (2018) [35] Shivarov and De Vitta (2018) [36] Gately et al. (2017) [37] Chua et al. (2017) [38] Frimat et al. (2016) [39]	First author (publication y)Age (publication y)Ramachandrang (publication y)23Ramachandrang (publication y)2324242424242424242424242425242624272428242924292420252025212522252326242525252625272628272926292620272026212722272327242825262627272828292929292929292029202921292229232924292529262927292829 <tr< td=""><td>First author (publication y) Age (y) Age (y) Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33] 29 NA Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33] 23 NA I 23 NA I 23 NA I 24 NA I 25 1 I 26</td><td>First author (publication y)kgekgekgeRamachandrang (sa)2NA38Ramachandrang (sa)23NA30I23NA3030I24NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I2010NA30I201010NAI20101010I20101010I20201010I20201010I20201010I20201010I20202010I20202010I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I202020<</td><td>First author (publication y)Age (y)GGest (x)PreclampicalRamachandran et al. (2019) (33)29NA30NoI23NA30YesII24NA38NoII29NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NASNoI21NANoII22NNaNoI23NNoII24NNoII25NNoII26NNNoI27NNoII28NNII</td><td>Final controlAgeControlGesDeliver type indication for CSRamachandran et al (2019) (33)2%%%%%Ramachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Ramachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (34)%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%<!--</td--><td>First author (publication)Age (s)GGes (set)Preeclampsian for CS)TMA diagnosis (d)Ramachandran (sold)29NA30NaNaNaRamachandran (sold)29NA30VesNANaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30NaNaNaNaNaI21NASaNaNaNaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaNaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSa</br></br></br></td><td>First author (publication)Ray (a)Ray (b)Ray (b)Ray (b)Ray (c)</br></br></br></td><td>First author (publication) Ram by (s) Ram by (s)</td><td>First autor No No</td><td>Firstanding FindulationAnd end<td>Firstatum (1)As (2)As (2)Bise (2)</td><td>Instruction (minimization)No math (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math math manned (minimization)No math mannedNo math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)<!--</td--><td>Fibratume sea <th< td=""></th<></td></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td></td></td></tr<>	First author (publication y) Age (y) Age (y) Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33] 29 NA Ramachandran et al. (2019) [33] 23 NA I 23 NA I 23 NA I 24 NA I 25 1 I 26	First author (publication y)kgekgekgeRamachandrang (sa)2NA38Ramachandrang (sa)23NA30I23NA3030I24NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I20NA3030I2010NA30I201010NAI20101010I20101010I20201010I20201010I20201010I20201010I20202010I20202010I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I20202020I202020<	First author (publication y)Age (y)GGest (x)PreclampicalRamachandran et al. (2019) (33)29NA30NoI23NA30YesII24NA38NoII29NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38YesII20NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NA38NoII21NASNoI21NANoII22NNaNoI23NNoII24NNoII25NNoII26NNNoI27NNoII28NNII	Final controlAgeControlGesDeliver type indication for CSRamachandran et al (2019) (33)2%%%%%Ramachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Ramachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (33)%%%%%Samachandran et al (2019) (34)%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachandran (34)%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%Samachan (34)%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% </td <td>First author (publication)Age (s)GGes (set)Preeclampsian for CS)TMA diagnosis (d)Ramachandran (sold)29NA30NaNaNaRamachandran (sold)29NA30VesNANaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30VesNaNaNaNaI20NA30NaNaNaNaNaI21NASaNaNaNaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaNaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaNaNaI31NANaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSaSa</br></br></br></td> <td>First author (publication)Ray (a)Ray (b)Ray (b)Ray (b)Ray (c)</br></br></br></td> <td>First author (publication) Ram by (s) Ram by (s)</td> <td>First autor No No</td> <td>Firstanding FindulationAnd end<td>Firstatum (1)As (2)As (2)Bise (2)</td><td>Instruction (minimization)No math (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math math manned (minimization)No math mannedNo math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)<!--</td--><td>Fibratume sea <th< td=""></th<></td></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td></td>	First author (publication)Age (s)GGes (set)Preeclampsian for CS)TMA diagnosis 	First author 	First author (publication) Ram by (s) Ram by (s)	First autor No No	Firstanding FindulationAnd end <td>Firstatum (1)As (2)As (2)Bise (2)</td> <td>Instruction (minimization)No math (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math math manned (minimization)No math mannedNo math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)<!--</td--><td>Fibratume sea <th< td=""></th<></td></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td>	Firstatum (1)As (2)As (2)Bise (2)	Instruction (minimization)No math (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math manned (minimization)No math manned (minimization)No math math math manned (minimization)No math manned 	Fibratume sea <th< td=""></th<>

(Continues)

GUREVICH-SHAPIRO ET AL

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Patient no.	First author (publication y)	Age (y)	G	Gest. wk	Preeclampsia ^a	Delivery type (indication for CS)	TMA diagnosis (d)	Hgb (gr/L)	Max LDH (μ//L)	PLT (×10 ⁹ / L)	Cr max (gr/dL)	Plasma exchange	Dialysis	C5 inhibitors	Renal outcome, days to normalization
40	Asif et al. (2017) [40]	33	NA	33	No	Emergency CS (abruptio placenta)	Delivery	6.7	2670	39	>1.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, POD14
41	Kyung (2016) [4]	37	NA	37	Yes	Emergency CS (fetal distress)	POD1	<12	>1000	<150	>1.5	No	Yes	Yes	CR, POD14-21
42	Canigral et al. (2014) [41]	32	1	NA	No	Urgent CS (anemia, thrombocytopenia, and renal failure)	Delivery	7	7183	20	>1.5	Yes	No	Yes	CR, POD 14
43	Zschiedrich et al. (2013) [42]	31	NA	41	No	Vaginal	POD3	6.6	2800	30	7.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	CR, NA
44	Dawsari and Jazieh (2011) [43]	22	3	39	Yes	Vaginal	POD3	7.6	2756	36	3.9	Yes	No	Yes	CR, POD14
45	Habek et al. (2007) [44]	37	4	35	No	Emergency CS (placenta percreta, massive vaginal bleeding)	POD7	6.1	NA	72	4.7	Yes	No	No	CR, NA
46	Yamanaka et al. (2005) [45]	34	>2	14	No	D&C (fetal demise)	POD1	7.4	900	10	1.7	Yes	Yes	No	CR, POD14
47	Rosen et al. (2005) [5]	25	2	38	No	Emergency CS (obstructed labor)	POD3	7.9	6380	9	6.42	Yes	Yes	No	СКД
48	Anacleto et al. (2003) [46]	17	1	33	Yes	Emergency CS (abruption placenta)	Delivery	6.7	593	23	11.7	No	Yes	No	CR, POD43
49	Chen et al. (2002) [47]	28	1	39	No	Emergency CS (fetal distress)	POD3	4.2	1440	77	2.4	Yes	No	No	CR, POD7
50	Wu et al. (2002) [48]	32	3	34	No	Emergency CS (abruptio placenta)	POD3	6.8	5830	22	6	Yes	Yes	No	CR, POD19
51	Pajor et al. (1993) [49]	32	2	24	No	Vaginal	POD1	6.4	2895	80	9.4	No	Yes	No	CR, POD60

CKD, chronic kidney disease; CR, complete remission; Cr, creatinine; CS, cesarean section; D&C, dilatation and curettage; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; G, gravida; Gest. wk, gestational week; HD, hemodialysis; HELLP, hemolysis, elevated liver enzyme levels, and low platelet levels; Hgb, hemoglobin post TMA diagnoses; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; NA, not available; PLT, platelets; POD, postdelivery day; TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.

^aIncluding HELLP syndrome.

^bLost 2 renal transplants due to relapses.

^cTwo normal subsequent pregnancies with preventative treatment.

^dWhere values of anemia, thrombocytopenia, and/or renal injury were not stated, the standard definition appears.

-rppun

3.2 | Systematic literature review of individual participant data

3.2.1 | Description of included studies

We identified 756 studies using our search strategy. Thirty-three articles were included, reporting on 48 unique cases of postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS. Study search flowchart and reasons for exclusion are presented in Figure 1. In total, 51 patients were included in the analysis (48 identified by the systematic review and 3 cases from our institution, described in the case series above). Patients' characteristics, presentation, treatment, and clinical course are summarized in Table 2 [1,4,5,8,10,22–49].

3.2.2 | Patient characteristics and presentation

Patients' ages ranged between 13 and 50 (median, 31; IQR, 26-35) years; medical history was available for 25/51 (49%) patients, which was generally unremarkable, except for 2 cases of obesity requiring a bariatric surgery (patients 1 and 2), heavy smoking in patient number 3, asthma in patients number 3, 7, and 51, heterozygosity to FV Leiden (patient number 2), and past abortions in patient number 38. Patient number 11 had paraplegia due to meningocele and ventriculoperitoneal shunt. Two patients (numbers 29 and 33) had a known diagnosis of CM-HUS.

Gravida status was provided for 33/51 (64.7%) women, among which 17 (52%) were nulliparous, 5 (15%) gravida 2, 3 gravida 3 (9%), and 8 gravida \geq 4 (24%). One patient (number 4) had monochorionic diamniotic twins. Gestational age was provided for 45/51 (88%) patients; most (28/45, 62%) presented at term, and only 2 presented at postterm. The diagnosis of CM-HUS was often preceded by a pregnancy complication: preeclampsia (including HELLP syndrome) in 16/51 (31%) patients; abruptio placenta in 4; premature rupture of membranes and placenta accreta each in 1 patient; and oligohydramnios and gestational diabetes were each reported in 1 patient. Ten women presented with fetal abnormalities, namely fetal demise (patients 3, 9, 36, 40, 44, 46, 48, 50, and 51), fetal growth restriction (patient 2), and a chromosomal abnormality (patient 3). CS, particularly emergent CS, was the most common mode of delivery employed in 21/41 (51%) patients where data were available.

Six patients were documented to receive tranexamic acid (patients 3-10, 14, and 37-39). Four patients received a loading dose of 2 g (patients 10, 14, and 37-38), and 1 patient received a loading dose followed by maintenance (10 g/8 h).

Hematological and renal abnormalities appeared within the first 24 hours postdelivery in most patients (20/37; 54%), with 1 patient presenting as late as 4 weeks postpartum. The median platelet count was 46×10^{9} /L (IQR, 26-72), and the median maximal LDH was 2638 U/L (IQR, 1620-3588). ADAMTS-13 was normal for all 25 women tested (patients 1-13, 15-19, 29, 34-36, 40, and 42-43).

3.2.3 | Risk of bias

Case reports are low in the hierarchy of evidence, as they are inherently predisposed to a high risk of bias, including reporting bias [50]. However, using the Joanna Brigs Institute and the Institute of Health Economics, we assess the risk of bias as medium-high.

3.2.4 | Treatment and clinical course

In the diagnostic process, 14 women underwent imaging using computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging (patients 11, 14, 20-28, and 37-40), and 7 women underwent kidney biopsy (patients 5, 15-17, 29, 33, and 50). In the imaging group, 12 were diagnosed with renal cortical necrosis (RCN; all belonging to the Ramachandran et al. [33] and Frimat et al. [39] case series; patients 20-28 and 37-39). For both remaining patients (patients 10 and 14), imaging did not reveal RCN. Among the 7 patients who had kidney biopsy results, all manifested findings were compatible with TMA.

Plasma exchange was administered for 26/49 (53%) women, with a number of cycles ranging from 3 to 27 (data available for 13 women). Hemodialysis was performed in 38/51 (74%) patients. Thirtyeight cases from 26 publications were published after the introduction of eculizumab in 2011 [1,4,8,10,22–43,51]. Among these, 23 women were treated with C5 inhibitors (eculizumab = 21, ravulizumab = 2 [8]), 20 of whom achieved complete renal remission. Among the 3

TABLE 3 Duration of C5 inhibitors treatmer	nt
---	----

Patient no.	Discontinued/ ongoing	Treatment duration	Renal outcome, last follow-up
3	Discontinued	36 months postpartum	CR, NA
4	Discontinued	POD40	CR, NA
5	Discontinued	12 months postpartum	CKD, discharge
9	Discontinued ^a	POD14	ESRD, 12 months
10	Discontinued	6 doses ^b	CR, 24 months
12	Ongoing	POD183	CR, POD183
13	Ongoing	POD183	CR, POD183
18	Discontinued	POD90	CR, 12 months
35	Ongoing	POD20	CR, POD20
36	Discontinued	4 doses	CR, POD20
40	Ongoing	POD14	CR, POD14
42	Discontinued	6 months postpartum	CR, 12 months

CKD, chronic kidney disease; CR, complete remission; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; NA, not available; POD, postdelivery day. ^aBy patient's choice.

^bWhen CH50 reached below 10%, and hemodialysis could be discontinued.



FIGURE 2 Patient outcomes according to treatment group.

remaining patients, 2 (patients 5 and 6) had impaired renal function at the time of discharge without follow-up information, and patient 9 chose to discontinue eculizumab shortly after discharge and subsequently presented with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Information on the duration of eculizumab treatment was available for 12 patients (Table 3). Of note, 15/23 women treated with C5 inhibitors also underwent plasma exchange. Seven out of 11 (63%) patients treated with plasma exchange without C5 inhibitors experienced complete renal remission. One patient (number 8) died. Seventeen patients who were not treated with either plasma exchange or C5 inhibitors had a worse outcome (P < .001), with only 3 women (18%) achieving complete normalization of renal function and 12 (70%) patients developing chronic kidney disease, among which 7 women (41%) progressed to ESRD, and 2 women (12%) died (Figure 2). Patients treated with C5 inhibitors and/or plasma exchange achieved significantly better renal outcomes compared with the supportive treatment (P < .001). Patients treated with plasma exchange alone also achieved better renal outcomes compared with supportive treatment alone (P = .038). The addition of C5 inhibitors to plasma exchange did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference (P = .12). However, the limited group sizes should be mentioned in this regard.

Of note, 12/17 nontreated patients were presented in 2 case series studies focusing on patients diagnosed with RCN [33,39]. Overall, among 51 patients, 30 (59%) achieved normal renal function, 9 (18%) remained with chronic kidney disease, 9 (18%) progressed to ESRD, and 3 (6%) patients died. Hematological response was available for 41 patients, with stabilization or normalization achieved in all cases.

Among 15 women tested for genetic complement abnormalities, 7 (46%) exhibited variations. Specifically, in C3 (patients 33 and 29), complement factor H (patients 6, 30, 33, and 29), and complement factor I (patients 23 and 35) and modifications of uncertain significance (patients 12, 13, and 36).

4 | DISCUSSION

Postpartum hemorrhage is a recognized risk factor for pregnancyassociated CM-HUS but has not been systematically studied. We 9 of 13

reviewed 51 cases of pregnancy-associated CM-HUS following postpartum hemorrhage and proposed it as a distinct complication, especially in the presence of CS and/or preeclampsia. Our review suggests that treatment with plasma exchange, eculizumab, or both may improve renal prognosis compared with no treatment. Since early intervention improves renal prognosis, it is crucial to promptly identify pregnancy-associated CM-HUS HUS after postpartum hemorrhage [52].

Our findings diverge from recently published recommendations by the International Working Group on pregnancy-related thrombotic microangiopathies. This group concluded that postpartum hemorrhage-associated TMA is a distinct entity that does not require treatment and that postpartum hemorrhage should be excluded prior to diagnosing pregnancy-associated CM-HUS [10]. However, this recommendation was based on a single retrospective series of 18 patients presenting with RCN following postpartum hemorrhage and tranexamic acid administration [39], in whom CM-HUS was not considered in the differential diagnosis [33]. All 12 patients with RCN in our cohort were from these case series [33,39] and received supportive treatment only, resulting in poor outcomes. Importantly, tranexamic acid, implicated in RCN pathogenesis by Frimat et al. [39], was administered at higher-than-standard doses in this case series. RCN is one of the pathological findings associated with CM-HUS [53,54] but does not typically present with additional clinical and laboratory findings, such as observed in pregnancy-associated CM-HUS.

The characteristics of postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS in our study resemble those previously described for pregnancy associated-CM-HUS [1,7,55,56]. The development of TMA in our cohort was often preceded by preeclampsia and CS and manifested up to 48 hours postdelivery. Genetic testing identified complement abnormalities in 7 of 15 CM-HUS patients, similar to previously published rates in pregnancy-associated CM-HUS [1,57,58].

The pathogenesis of the association between postpartum hemorrhage and TMA remains unclear, with hypotheses suggesting a bidirectional influence. Endothelial damage and microvascular stress from hypovolemia during surgery, including CS, might trigger TMA [5,59–61], and the removal of the placenta, which inhibits complement, might also contribute to TMA development [62]. Complement dysregulation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia [63,64], suggesting an explanation for the high prevalence of preeclampsia in this and previous cohorts [1,30,33].

The medical background was NA for the majority of patients, but patients 1 and 3 from our institution both had a history of bariatric surgery with marked weight reduction, which, although not previously reported to precipitate TMA, might cause endothelial damage [65]. Eleven cases (24%) occurred following fetal complications, particularly intrauterine fetal death, in line with the 28% reported by Gupta et al. [7]. Fetal demise is considered a risk factor for DIC [66], but 6 of 9 patients with intrauterine fetal death in our cohort did not exhibit coagulation abnormalities, suggesting other factors may contribute as well.

The true incidence of CM-HUS among the postpartum TMAs is not known. While postpartum hemorrhage is common, postpartum -roth research & practice

hemorrhage associated with CM-HUS is rare. To assist differentiation between CM-HUS and other causes of TMA, Burwick et al. [67] suggested a higher LDH and a more pronounced renal failure. The median LDH value we observed was 2638 (230-480 U/L, IQR 1620-3588), which represents a 5-fold rise compared with the upper limit of LDH considered normal. Moreover, acute renal failure requiring hemodialysis is rare in iTTP and highly suggestive of CM-HUS [68].

The prognosis of CM-HUS, including pregnancy-associated CM-HUS, has improved significantly since the introduction of complement inhibitors [57,69]. Eculizumab [37,57,70] and ravulizumab [8] have been shown to be safe in pregnancy and the postpartum period, with no adverse effects reported in the fetus. Eculizumab has never been found in the milk of the treated mothers, and only small amounts of eculizumab were found in cord blood in a small percentage of cases [70–72]. The safety of eculizumab in postpartum, in combination with the dramatically improved outcomes, further stresses the importance of an accurate diagnosis of this TMA subtype and timely initiation of treatment. Kaufeld et al. [73] recently reached similar conclusions when comparing patients with CM-HUS postpartum with and without prior postpartum hemorrhage. This is further exemplified by the case of a woman with known CM-HUS, who had a significant difference in the rate of both hematological and renal normalization between early and late initiation of eculizumab in 2 subsequent pregnancies [34].

Of note, patients who were treated with plasma exchange alone also had improved renal prognosis compared with no treatment. Plasma exchange is known to benefit approximately 35% of patients with CM-HUS [74]. This might be due to the role of plasma exchange in the replacement of mutated with nonmutated factors and the removal of pathogenic autoantibodies such as antifactor H and other triggers (eg, cytokines) of endothelial dysfunction and platelet hyperaggregability [58,75–77].

Several limitations of our study ought to be addressed. We observed high heterogeneity in diagnostic criteria and treatment approaches across medical centers. In addition, the cases of postpartum hemorrhage and CM-HUS in which C5 inhibitors and plasmapheresis had a beneficial effect are more likely to be reported, contributing to a publication bias [50]. There is no standard method to estimate the volume of blood loss during postpartum hemorrhage [78]; as a result, we could not compare this parameter across publications. The cases stretch over a period of 20 years, during which multiple changes to practices in diagnosis and treatment were introduced, and many of the cases do not report on genetic testing and/or C5 inhibitors. In addition, most of the patients in the nontreated group originated from 2 case series where patients were diagnosed with RCN. We could not precisely define risk factors for postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS due to the lack of a control cohort of patients with pregnancy-associated CM-HUS without postpartum hemorrhage or patients with postpartum hemorrhage without CM-HUS. This comparison has recently been applied by Kaufeld et al. [73], who presented similar findings. Finally, some of the women experienced other potential triggers of TMA, namely preeclampsia, CS, the administration of tranexamic acid, and hysterectomy. Despite these limitations, mainly due to the rarity of this syndrome, our collected studies

represent the best available evidence. Further research is needed to improve understanding of the possible association between postpartum hemorrhage and CM-HUS and to optimize management strategies.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Postpartum hemorrhage-associated CM-HUS is a life- and kidneythreatening syndrome. If acute renal failure occurs after postpartum hemorrhage, consider pregnancy-associated CM-HUS as a potential diagnosis and conduct the appropriate workup. Recognizing this complication is crucial for the timely treatment and administration of C5 inhibitors, which may improve outcomes. Genetic testing can be considered to detect hereditary pathogenic mutations, allowing for tailored counseling for the patient and her family regarding future pregnancies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank our patients for their willingness to take part in this study and wish them the best of health. We extend our gratitude to Dr Ana Huerta, Dr Justin Chua, and Dr Ryan Gately, who have previously investigated TMA in pregnancy and kindly shared their data and insights with us.

FUNDING

No funding was received for this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.G.S. designed the study, performed the literature search, analyzed and wrote the manuscript; A.L. and P.R. contributed to the study design and critically revised the manuscript; S.O.Z., A.W., P.S., and M.D. contributed to the case series and critically revised the manuscript; M.S. participated in study selection and analysis; E.N.H. assisted in study design, search strategy definition, and critically revised the manuscript; G.S. conceived, designed, analyzed, wrote the manuscript, and supervised the study. All authors approved the manuscript before submission.

RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE

There are no competing interests to disclose.

ORCID

Anna Gurevich-Shapiro D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9698-1306

Х

Avi Leader X @LeaderAvi

REFERENCES

- Huerta A, Arjona E, Portoles J, Lopez-Sanchez P, Rabasco C, Espinosa M, et al. A retrospective study of pregnancy-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Kidney Int.* 2018;93:450–9.
- [2] Fakhouri F, Roumenina L, Provot F, Sallée M, Caillard S, Couzi L, et al. Pregnancy-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome revisited in the

era of complement gene mutations. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010;21:859–67.

- [3] George JN, Nester CM, McIntosh JJ. Syndromes of thrombotic microangiopathy associated with pregnancy. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2015;2015:644–8.
- [4] Adamski J. Thrombotic microangiopathy and indications for therapeutic plasma exchange. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2014;2014:444–9.
- [5] Rosen M, Brauer KI, Alperin JB, Hankins GD, Saade G. Postpartum hemorrhagic shock resulting in thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura-hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2003;13:208–10.
- [6] Lim MY, Abou-Ismail MY, Branch DW. Differentiating and managing rare thrombotic microangiopathies during pregnancy and postpartum. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2023;141:85–108.
- [7] Gupta M, Govindappagari S, Burwick RM. Pregnancy-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;135:46–58.
- [8] Gäckler A, Schönermarck U, Dobronravov V, La Manna G, Denker A, Liu P, et al. Efficacy and safety of the long-acting C5 inhibitor ravulizumab in patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome triggered by pregnancy: a subgroup analysis. *BMC Nephrol.* 2021;22:5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-020-02190-0
- [9] Haninger N, Gaggl M, Aigner C, Renate K, Prohaszka Z, Böhmig GA, et al. Pregnancy and delivery outcomes in patients with complement gene variant mediated thrombotic microangiopathy [abstract]. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2020;35(suppl 3):gfaa142. https://doi.org/10. 1093/ndt/gfaa142.P0231
- [10] Fakhouri F, Scully M, Provot F, Blasco M, Coppo P, Noris M, et al. Management of thrombotic microangiopathy in pregnancy and postpartum: report from an international working group. *Blood.* 2020;136:2103–17.
- [11] van Stralen G, von Schmidt auf Altenstadt JF, Bloemenkamp KW, van Roosmalen J, Hukkelhoven CW. Increasing incidence of postpartum hemorrhage: the Dutch piece of the puzzle. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2016;95:1104–10.
- [12] Bienstock JL, Eke AC, Hueppchen NA. Postpartum hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384:1635–45.
- [13] Reale SC, Easter SR, Xu X, Bateman BT, Farber MK. Trends in postpartum hemorrhage in the United States from 2010 to 2014. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;130:e119–22. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE. 000000000004424
- [14] Schutte JM, Steegers EA, Schuitemaker NW, Santema JG, de Boer K, Pel M, et al. Rise in maternal mortality in the Netherlands. BJOG. 2010;117:399–406.
- [15] Bateman BT, Berman MF, Riley LE, Leffert LR. The epidemiology of postpartum hemorrhage in a large, nationwide sample of deliveries. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110:1368–73.
- [16] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pregnancy mortality surveillance system. Maternal and infant health. https://www.cdc. gov/reproductivehealth/maternal-mortality/pregnancy-mortalitysurveillance-system.htm. [accessed December 1, 2024].
- [17] Jokiranta TS. HUS and atypical HUS. Blood. 2017;129:2847-56.
- [18] Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, Riley RD, Simmonds M, Stewart G, et al. Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and metaanalysis of individual participant data: the PRISMA-IPD statement. JAMA. 2015;313:1657–65.
- [19] Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2016;5:210. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4
- [20] Moola S, Munn Z, Tufanaru C, Aromataris E, Sears K, Sfetcu R, et al. Systematic reviews of etiology and risk. In: Aromataris E, Lockwood C, Porritt K, Pilla B, Jordan Z, eds. *Joanna Briggs Institute reviewer's manual*. The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2017.

- [21] Moga C, Guo B, Harstall C. Development of a quality appraisal tool for case series studies using a modified Delphi technique. *Edmonton AB: Institute of Health Economics.* 2012:1–71.
- [22] Catarci S, Zanfini BA, Di Muro M, Capone E, Frassanito L, Santantonio MT, et al. A case report of an atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome in pregnancy: something wicked this way comes. BMC Anesthesiol. 2023;23:94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-023-02066-4
- [23] Cody E, Claes D, Taylor V, Erkan E. Pregnancy associated TMA in 13year-old patient successfully treated with Eculizumab: case report. BMC Nephrol. 2022;23:147. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-022-02766-y
- [24] Harazim M, Šenkyřík M, Buliková A, Stehlíková O, Doubek M. Postpartum atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Diagnostic importance of flow cytometry. Ann Clin Case Reports. 2023;8:2457. https:// doi.org/10.25107/2474-1655.2457
- [25] Ghazanfar H, Nawaz I, Allena N, Ashraf S, Saad M, Ali N. A case of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in a pregnant patient. *Cureus*. 2022;14:e25096. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.25096
- [26] Hasan NY, Rugaan AS, Ali NAM, Iqbal M. A rare case of post-partum hemorrhage with refractory thrombotic microangiopathy, and hepatic infarction: a diagnostic dilemma with high mortality. *Gynecol Obstet Reprod Med.* 2022;28:277–81.
- [27] Markin L, Shatylovych K. Postpartum renal thrombotic microangiopathy: a turn-based differential diagnosis. Wiad Lek. 2022;75:128–31.
- [28] Guzzo G, Kissling S, Pantaleo G, Pascual M, Sadallah S, Teta D. Complement activation and blockade in massive post-partum haemorrhage, thrombotic microangiopathy and acute kidney injury: a case report. BMC Nephrol. 2021;22:252. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12882-021-02456-1
- [29] So S, Fischer E, Gangadharan Komala M, Bose B. Postpartum atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: evaluating thrombotic microangiopathy in the pregnant woman. *Obstet Med.* 2021;14:105–8.
- [30] Wang X, Liu CY, Yang Y, Zou GM, Zhuo L, Han SH, et al. Acute kidney injuries induced by thrombotic microangiopathy following severe hemorrhage in puerperants: a case series and literature review. Am J Transl Res. 2021;13:6182–90.
- [31] Kim D, D'Souza S, Mather A, Roxburg S. Two cases of postpartum atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome in the pre- and post-eculizumab era. Society of Obstetric Medicine of Australia and New Zealand Annual Scientific Meeting. SAGE Publications; 2019:26.
- [32] Nnanoma C, Adeboye A, Angeli D, Mody M, Lefkowitz H. Rare case of postpartum atypical HUS [abstract]. Am J Kidney Dis. 2019;73:710–1.
- [33] Ramachandran R, Nayak S, Anakutti HP, Yadav AK, Nada R, Jain V, et al. Postpartum renal cortical necrosis is associated with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in developing countries. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2019;4:420–4.
- [34] Kumar D, King M, Jim B, Acharya A. Case report: recurrent case of pregnancy-induced atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome (P-aHUS). BMJ Case Rep. 2019;12. https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2018-226571. bcr-2018-226571.
- [35] Gaggl M, Aigner C, Csuka D, Szilágyi Á, Prohászka Z, Kain R, et al. Maternal and fetal outcomes of pregnancies in women with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;29:1020-9.
- [36] Shivarov H, De Vitta MV. Postpartum associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;29:792.
- [37] Gately R, San A, Kurtkoti J, Parnham A. Life-threatening pregnancyassociated atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome and its response to eculizumab. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2017;22(suppl 1):32–5.
- [38] Chua J, Paizis K, He SZ, Mount P. Suspected atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome in two post-partum patients with foetal-death in utero responding to eculizumab. *Nephrology* (*Carlton*). 2017;22(suppl 1):18–22.

- research & practice

- [39] Frimat M, Decambron M, Lebas C, Moktefi A, Lemaitre L, Gnemmi V, et al. Renal cortical necrosis in postpartum hemorrhage: a case series. Am J Kidney Dis. 2016;68:50–7.
- [40] Asif A, Nayer A, Haas CS. Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome in the setting of complement-amplifying conditions: case reports and a review of the evidence for treatment with eculizumab. J Nephrol. 2017;30:347-62.
- [41] Cañigral C, Moscardó F, Castro C, Pajares A, Lancharro A, Solves P, et al. Eculizumab for the treatment of pregnancy-related atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Ann Hematol. 2014;93:1421–2.
- [42] Zschiedrich S, Prager EP, Wolfgang Kuehn E. Successful treatment of the postpartum atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome with eculizumab. Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:76. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-159-1-201307020-00023
- [43] Dawsari GA, Jazieh AR. Post-partum hemolytic uremic syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. J Applied Hematol. 2011;2:46–50.
- [44] Habek D, Petrović D, Vidović D, Gudelj G. Placenta praevia percreta with silent uterine incomplete rupture complicated with puerperal haemolytic-uremic syndrome. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2007;131:103–5.
- [45] Yamanaka Y, Takeuchi K, Oomori S, Oda N, Ashitani N, Maruo T. Two cases of clinically suspected thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura/hemolytic uremic syndrome in the puerperium. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2005;84:920–1.
- [46] Anacleto FE, Cifra CL, Elises JS. Postpartum hemolytic uremic syndrome in a 17-year-old Filipina primigravid. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2003;18:1283–5.
- [47] Chen MJ, Tien HF, Ho HN. Treatment of thrombotic microangiopathy in pregnancy with exchange: a report of two cases. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 2002;101:859–63.
- [48] Wu VC, Lin SL, Tsai CC, Tien HF. Postpartum hemolytic uremic syndrome following abruptio placenta: report of a case. J Formos Med Assoc. 2002;101:868–70.
- [49] Pajor A, Hintalan A, Bakos L, Lintner F. Postpartum hemolytic uremic syndrome following placental abruption. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 1993;49:201–4.
- [50] Daly J, Willis K, Small R, Green J, Welch N, Kealy M, et al. A hierarchy of evidence for assessing qualitative health research. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2007;60:43–9.
- [51] Kyung, Sungwon Rachel Miller J. The diagnostic challenges of differentiating TTP-HUS and HELLP syndrome in an anuric postpartum patient. Proc UCLA Healthc. 2016;20.
- [52] Scully M, Neave L. Etiology and outcomes: thrombotic microangiopathies in pregnancy. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2023;7: 100084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpth.2023.100084
- [53] Prakash J, Sen D, Kumar NS, Kumar H, Tripathi LK, Saxena RK. Acute renal failure due to intrinsic renal diseases: review of 1122 cases. *Ren Fail.* 2003;25:225–33.
- [54] Wang R, Liu X, Li W, Tan Y, Qiu J, Su T. Pregnancy-associated renal cortical necrosis and nonenhanced functional magnetic resonance imaging: a case series. *Kidney Med.* 2023;5:100623. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.xkme.2023.100623
- [55] Kozlovskaya NL, Korotchaeva YV, Bobrova LA. Adverse outcomes in obstetric-atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome: a case series analysis. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019;32:2853–9.
- [56] Kozlovskaya NL, Korotchaeva YV, Shifman EM, Kudlay DA. Pregnancy-associated atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome: is pregnancy to blame or its complications? *Vopr Ginekol Akusherstva i Perinatol.* 2020;19:81–91.
- [57] Bruel A, Kavanagh D, Noris M, Delmas Y, Wong EKS, Bresin E, et al. Hemolytic uremic syndrome in pregnancy and postpartum. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;12:1237–47.

- [58] Fakhouri F, Frémeaux-Bacchi V, Loirat C. Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: from the rediscovery of complement to targeted therapy. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2013;24:492–5.
- [59] Chang JC, El-Tarabily M, Gupta S. Acute thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura following abdominal surgeries: a report of three cases. *J Clin Apher.* 2000;15:176–9.
- [60] Moake JL, Turner NA, Stathopoulos NA, Nolasco LH, Hellums JD. Involvement of large plasma von Willebrand Factor (vWF) multimers and unusually large vWF forms derived from endothelial cells in shear stress-induced platelet aggregation. J Clin Invest. 1986;78:1456-61.
- [61] Farkas P, Csuka D, Mikes B, Sinkovits G, Réti M, Németh E, et al. Complement activation, inflammation and relative ADAMTS13 deficiency in secondary thrombotic microangiopathies. *Immunobiol*ogy. 2017;222:119–27.
- [62] Holmes CH, Simpson KL, Okada H, Okada N, Wainwright SD, Purcell DF, et al. Complement regulatory proteins at the fetomaternal interface during human placental development: distribution of CD59 by comparison with membrane cofactor protein (CD46) and decay accelerating factor (CD55). *Eur J Immunol.* 1992;22:1579–85.
- [63] Ma Y, Kong LR, Ge Q, Lu YY, Hong MN, Zhang Y, et al. Complement 5a-mediated trophoblasts dysfunction is involved in the development of pre-eclampsia. J Cell Mol Med. 2017;22:1034–46.
- [64] Vaught AJ, Gavriilaki E, Hueppchen N, Blakemore K, Yuan X, Seifert SM, et al. Direct evidence of complement activation in HELLP syndrome: a link to atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Exp Hem*atol. 2016;44:390–8.
- [65] Borzì AM, Buscemi C, Corleo D, Randazzo C, Rosafio G, Pantuso G, et al. Endothelial function in obese patients treated with bariatric surgery. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2020;13:247–56.
- [66] Romero R, Kadar N, Vaisbuch E, Hassan SS. Maternal death following cardiopulmonary collapse after delivery: amniotic fluid embolism or septic shock due to intrauterine infection? Am J Reprod Immunol. 2010;64:113–25.
- [67] Burwick RM, Moyle KA, Gupta M. Pregnancy-associated atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome: some answers [abstract]. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019;220:S397–8.
- [68] Coppo P, Wolf M, Veyradier A, Bussel A, Malot S, Millot GA, et al. Prognostic value of inhibitory anti-ADAMTS13 antibodies in adultacquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Br J Haematol. 2006;132:66–74.
- [69] Scully M, Rayment R, Clark A, Westwood JP, Cranfield T, Gooding R, et al. A British Society for Haematology Guideline: diagnosis and management of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and thrombotic microangiopathies. Br J Haematol. 2023;203:546–63.
- [70] Kelly RJ, Höchsmann B, Szer J, Kulasekararaj A, de Guibert S, Röth A, et al. Eculizumab in pregnant patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:1032–9.
- [71] Miyasaka N, Miura O, Kawaguchi T, Arima N, Morishita E, Usuki K, et al. Pregnancy outcomes of patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria treated with eculizumab: a Japanese experience and updated review. Int J Hematol. 2016;103:703–12.
- [72] Sarno L, Tufano A, Maruotti GM, Martinelli P, Balletta MM, Russo D. Eculizumab in pregnancy: a narrative overview. J Nephrol. 2019;32:17–25.
- [73] Kaufeld JK, Kühne L, Schönermarck U, Bräsen JH, von Kaisenberg C, Beck BB, et al. Features of postpartum hemorrhage-associated thrombotic microangiopathy and role of short-term complement inhibition. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2024;9:919–28.
- [74] Noris M, Caprioli J, Bresin E, Mossali C, Pianetti G, Gamba S, et al. Relative role of genetic complement abnormalities in sporadic and familial aHUS and their impact on clinical phenotype. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2010;5:1844–59.

- [75] Loirat C, Garnier A, Sellier-Leclerc AL, Kwon T. Plasmatherapy in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2010;36:673–81.
- [76] Licht C, Greenbaum LA, Muus P, Babu S, Bedrosian CL, Cohen DJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of eculizumab in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome from 2-year extensions of phase 2 studies. *Kidney Int.* 2015;87:1061–73.
- [77] Vaidya A, Shastry S, Mohan G, Prethika PA. Role of therapeutic plasma exchange in managing complement mediated thrombotic microangiopathy – case series. *Transfus Clin Biol.* 2022;29:84–8.
- [78] Hancock A, Weeks AD, Lavender DT. Is accurate and reliable blood loss estimation the "crucial step" in early detection of postpartum haemorrhage: an integrative review of the literature. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015;15:230. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0653-6