

Case Report

Multiple Spinal Revision Surgery in a Patient with Parkinson's Disease

Hridayesh Pratap Malla, M.B.B.S.,¹ Min Ki Kim, M.D.,² Tae Sung Kim, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Dae Jean Jo, M.D., Ph.D.³

Department of Medicine,¹ Graduate School, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

Department of Neurosurgery,² VHS Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

Department of Neurosurgery,³ Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong, Seoul, Korea

Parkinson's disease (PD) patients frequently have several spinal deformities leading to postural instabilities including camptocormia, myopathy-induced postural deformity, Pisa syndrome, and progressive degeneration, all of which adversely affect daily life activities. To improve these postural deformities and relieve the related neurologic symptoms, patients often undergo spinal instrumentation surgery. Due to progressive degenerative changes related to PD itself and other complicating factors, patients and surgeons are faced with instrument failure-related complications, which can ultimately result in multiple revision surgeries yielding various postoperative complications and morbidities. Here, we report a representative case of a 70-year-old PD patient with flat back syndrome who had undergone several revision surgeries, including anterior and posterior decompression and fusion for a lumbosacral spinal deformity. The patient ultimately benefitted from a relatively short segment fixation and corrective fusion surgery.

Key Words : Parkinson's disease · Flat back syndrome · Deformity · Complication.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder associated with various motor and non-motor features that adversely affect function to varying degrees depending on the patient⁽⁷⁾. PD typically affects patients in the seventh decade, and the cardinal signs of PD include resting tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, and postural instability. In addition, a stooped posture and masked facies are other significant findings in patients with PD. Several spinal deformities including camptocormia or bent spine syndrome, anterocollis, scoliosis, myopathy-induced postural deformity, and Pisa syndrome are also associated with PD^(1,2). The frequency of kyphoscoliosis in patients with PD is approximately 7%, which is much higher than that of scoliosis in an age-matched population without PD⁽¹²⁾.

The therapeutic options for PD range from medical treatment to neurosurgical treatment, including deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus and intramuscular botox injections. Surgical correction of these deformities should be considered for patients who have reached a severe stage in order to correct the deformity and restore mobility and functions⁽³⁾. Spinal surgery in patients with PD is very challenging, and is associated with a

high rate of postoperative complication and surgical revisions due to instrument failure. Here, we report a case of PD with a fixed spinal deformity that was treated with a relatively short segment fixation and corrective fusion surgery.

CASE REPORT

History and examination

A 70-year-old female patient presented with complaints of stooping associated with severe back pain radiating to the left lower limb for a period of 3.5 years. The patient was unable to lie on her back comfortably and reported severe depression due to her condition. She had previously received a diagnosis of PD, for which she had taken regular oral medications for the past 10 years. The patient had a history of multiple spinal surgeries (total of 4) due to instrument-related failures for neurogenic intermittent claudication and stooping; however, none of the surgical interventions had improved her pain or stooping posture. The patient had significant kyphoscoliosis on examination, but the straight leg raise test, Patrick's sign, and sciatic nerve compression tests were all negative. Detailed motor and sensory examinations were conducted, which showed that her left ankle dorsi-

• Received : January 29, 2015 • Revised : September 7, 2015 • Accepted : October 5, 2015

• Address for reprints : Dae Jean Jo, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Neurosurgery, Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong, 892 Dongnam-ro, Gangdong-gu, Seoul 05278, Korea
Tel : +82-2-440-8402, Fax : +82-2-440-8404, E-mail : apuzzo@hanmail.net

• This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

flexion was weaker than that of the right (Grade 3/5 and 4/5 respectively); similar results were seen for big toe dorsiflexion (Grade 3/5 and 4/5 respectively). The patient had hypoesthesia in the Lt L5 dermatome, and deep tendon reflexes were bilaterally hypoactive.

Preoperative X-rays (Fig. 1) showed generalized osteoporotic changes with pulling out of the L5 screws and rods and marked sagittal imbalance. X-ray also revealed compensatory reactive thoracic straightening and cervical hyperextension, which was apparent from the patient's photograph. Pelvic and spinal sagittal parameters were calculated, which clearly revealed the degree of sagittal imbalance present in the patient.

Operative procedure & postoperative course

Considering the patient's degree of deformity and pain, we elected to perform revision surgery. Pedicle screws and rods were reinserted from the L1 to S1 levels with iliac screws after performing a partial pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PPSO) at the L4 level with removal of the intervertebral discs at the level of L3-4 (Fig. 2). The patient's intraoperative and postoperative periods were uneventful, and she was able to walk with a straight back without any support a few days postoperatively. Follow-up X-rays obtained approximately 18 months after surgery (Fig. 3) showed significant improvement in her spinal parameters and kyphoscoliosis. Pre and postoperative surgical outcomes were measured with Modified Scoliosis Research Society-23 Outcome Instrument scoring system (Table 1). A mean postoperative score of 20 out of 25 indicated a satisfactory outcome.

DISCUSSION

Surgical management of fixed spinal deformities in patients




Fig. 1. Anteroposterior (A) and lateral (B) whole spine X-rays with respective pelvic parameters and clinical photograph (C) showing marked coronal and sagittal imbalance along with compensatory thoracic straightening and cervical hyperextension to maintain vertical gaze. *Indicates the lumbar lordosis. PI: pelvic incidence, LL: lumbar lordosis, SVA: sagittal vertical axis.

with PD is challenging. Indeed, due to disease progression itself and ongoing osteoporosis, both the treating surgeon and patient need to be aware of the increased risk of recurrent kyphosis and



Fig. 2. Lateral thoracolumbar X-ray (A) showing PPSO & discectomy (Grade IV osteotomy) performed at the L4 and L3-4 level, respectively, and lateral thoracolumbar X-ray (B) showing the correction angle of approximately 30 degrees at the same level postoperatively. PPSO: partial pedicle subtraction osteotomy.




Fig. 3. Follow-up anteroposterior (A) and lateral (B) whole spine X-rays showing satisfactory correction of coronal and sagittal imbalance. *Indicates the lumbar lordosis, **Indicates sagittal vertical axis. PI: pelvic incidence, LL: lumbar lordosis, SVA: sagittal vertical axis.

Table 1. Pre and postoperative comparison of the Modified Scoliosis Research Society (SRS)- 23 Outcome Instrument score for the patient

Domain	Preoperative mean score (range 1 to 5)		Postoperative mean score (range 1 to 5)	
	Best : 5	Worst : 1	Best : 5	Worst : 1
Pain		1		4
Self-image-appearance		1		4
Function/activity		1		3
Mental health		1		4
Satisfaction with management		1		5
Total mean score		5		20

A total of 5 domains were scored, with a score for each domain of 5 being the best and 1 being the worst. The table shows a good outcome in the patient with a postoperative score of 20 compared to a preoperative score of 5

instrument failure, which can necessitate multiple revision surgeries. The literature on this topic is relatively sparse, and there is no established consensus regarding standard management of fixed spinal deformities in patients with PD. However, Upadhyaya et al.¹⁵⁾ suggested a treatment algorithm for patients with PD and spinal deformities, in which they advocated performing deep brain stimulation surgery (DBS) first for PD patients followed by a short-segment spinal decompression for myelopathy or radiculopathy. However, they indicated that if patients do not meet the standard criteria for DBS surgery, then a short-segment spinal decompression and fusion alone can be performed. They further emphasized the importance of performing long-segment spinal deformity correction and decompression only in those patients with minimal co-morbidities and with high motivation. Unfortunately, long-segment spinal fixation in camptocormic patients is associated with a complication rate of nearly 100%¹⁵⁾.

In the present case, our patient had already undergone four spinal surgeries prior to visiting our center. The patient initially underwent an anterior lumbar inter body fusion (ALIF) of the L3 to S1 level followed by posterior decompression and pedicle screw fixation (PSF). Unfortunately, the screws and cage pulled out and she underwent an anterior augmented pedicle screw and cage insertion surgery. Following augmentation surgery she complained of leg pain due to L4 root irritation. Thus, a revision surgery involving removal of the L4 pedicle screw was performed. Since all of these procedures were performed outside of our center, no proper surgical records or clinical radiographs could be obtained during the patient's first visit to our center. The lumbosacral X-rays taken at our center clearly showed the presence of instrument failure with loosening of the S1 screws and displaced rods, which led to our diagnosis of iatrogenic flat back syndrome.

The previous ALIF and PSF surgeries in our patient had been performed well, and the initial presentation of the patient was attributed to spinal stenosis. The patient had obvious osteoporosis and was in a progressive state of PD, and thus there was no proper fusion of the inter body cage which led to loosening of the screws. As a result, the patient had previously undergone bone cement augmentation cage placement with screw fixation in order to resolve instrument failure. Many studies have been performed for the use of bone cement as an augmentation technique

during spinal fusion surgeries of osteoporotic bones^{5,6,10,17)}. However, augmentation alone is not sufficient for preventing construct failures. In the patient described in this report, we could have corrected both construct failure and sagittal imbalance via a transperitoneal approach; however, this involves manipulation of several vascular structures and other anatomical structures around the retroperitoneum. As our patient had a history of multiple anterior surgeries, the likelihood of intraoperative and postoperative complications was considered high, and thus an anterior approach was avoided. In addition, stooping was not the primary complaint in our case. Specifically, the patient had stooping as a result of compensatory thoracic straightening with further cervical hyperextension to maintain the vertical gaze, and through interviews and physical examinations we were able to associate her symptoms to spinal stenosis. Based on this diagnosis, we performed a Grade IV osteotomy¹³⁾ at the L4 level (PSSO with L3–4 disc removal). Bilateral iliac screws were inserted along with the pedicle screws from L1 to S1 for improved and maximum stability of her spine. Bony fusion was also carried out with autografts.

Spinal surgery in patients with PD is prone to complications for a number of reasons⁹⁾. The factors contributing to these complications include old age and prevalence of severe osteoporosis, which markedly decreases the fusion rate. Surgical outcomes are further worsened by the pulling out of screws and deteriorating motor function. On the other hand, radiological evidence of good fusion rates among patients treated surgically led Moon et al.⁹⁾ to conclude that short-segment fusion confined to the lumbar region generally achieves good results. In our institution we categorize such patients under one of the two categories including sagittal imbalance disease and sagittal imbalance syndrome. And in this particular case, although the patient had degenerative flat back, we categorized the patient under the sagittal imbalance syndrome group as she had complaints of mild discomfort related to self-image, pain and functions. In such cases we often perform relatively short level fusion especially in lumbar vertebrae even if lumbar lordosis (LL) would not have been restored in accordance with pelvic incidence (PI). Had the patient complained of moderate to severe discomfort, we would have categorized under the sagittal imbalance disease category and a relatively long level (thoracic included) fusion with resultant LL exceeding the PI value would have been considered. In

our case, the patient's major complaints were related to claudication without any complaints related to camptocormia hence we considered relatively short level fusion surgery is justifiable.

In a case series of 14 patients, Babat et al.²⁾ reported the increased risk of postoperative instrument failures and revision surgeries, and went on to propose a mechanism of ongoing kyphosis adjacent to the levels operated in PD patients. They suggested the primary causes of the revisions to be adjacent segment disease, material failure or pullout, pseudoarthrosis, and infection. Likewise, a case study of 12 patients with PD undergoing corrective spinal surgeries was published by Bourghli et al.³⁾, who reported increased incidence of instrument failure and need for revision surgeries in such patients. Another explanation for surgical complications in PD patients might be the coexistence of osteoporosis and Vitamin D deficiency resulting in overall reduction of bone mineral density⁹⁾. These etiologies were clearly observed in our patient. In addition to progressive degeneration, improper reconstruction of the spino-pelvic balance with a special focus on LL⁸⁾ resulted in progressive kyphosis in our patient, which eventually led to screw failures and revision surgeries. Indeed, radiographic examinations clearly revealed the presence of severe osteoporosis and degenerative changes; however, after performing osteotomy with pedicle screw insertion from L1 to S1 along with iliac screws insertion, the sagittal balance was maintained, resulting in correction of the deformity. Both Bridwell et al.⁴⁾ and Wadia et al.¹⁶⁾ advocated for the use of PSO to gain further sagittal plane correction up to 30° to 40°. In a case report of camptocormia in PD, Peek et al.¹¹⁾ emphasized the establishment of substantial forces on the caudal end for stability along with multiple fixation points and anterior column support while performing revision surgeries.

With the advent of cutting-edge medical procedures leading to a longer average life span, age-related debilitating diseases are becoming more common. PD is one such example, and causes not only physical debilitation, but emotional and economic effects as well. It is estimated that camptocormia is present in 7% of patients with PD¹⁴⁾, which indicates the high probability of corrective spinal surgeries and need for multiple revisions in these patients. Although spinal surgeries, especially revision surgeries in patients with PD are regarded as complicated and unfavorable outcomes are common, we were able to achieve a good result in one such patient.

CONCLUSION

Spinal deformities are very common in patients with PD, but deformity-correcting surgeries in such patients should be performed cautiously due to the increased incidence of intraoperative and postoperative complications including instrument failure. Thus, we concluded that revision surgery in patients with PD can be undertaken only after proper planning, and should involve restoration of sagittal balance and stabilization. Importantly, even a short segment fixation and corrective fusion sur-

gery can be beneficial in such patients. Nevertheless, the most important aspect of surgical treatment in patients with PD is the realization that unfavorable surgical outcomes are inevitable due to the natural course of the disease itself⁹⁾.

References

- Ashour R, Jankovic J : Joint and skeletal deformities in Parkinson's disease, multiple system atrophy, and progressive supranuclear palsy. *Mov Disord* 21 : 1856-1863, 2006
- Babat LB, McLain RF, Bingaman W, Kalfas I, Young P, Rufo-Smith C : Spinal surgery in patients with Parkinson's disease : construct failure and progressive deformity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 29 : 2006-2012, 2004
- Bourghli A, Guérin P, Vital JM, Aurouer N, Luc S, Gille O, et al. : Posterior spinal fusion from T2 to the sacrum for the management of major deformities in patients with Parkinson disease : a retrospective review with analysis of complications. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 25 : E53-E60, 2012
- Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Lewis SJ : Treatment of spinal stenosis and fixed sagittal imbalance. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 384 : 35-44, 2001
- Christodoulou E, Chinthakunta S, Reddy D, Khalil S, Apostolou T, Drees P, et al. : Axial pullout strength comparison of different screw designs : fenestrated screw, dual outer diameter screw and standard pedicle screw. *Scoliosis* 10 : 15, 2015
- Goost H, Deborre C, Wirtz DC, Burger C, Prescher A, Fölsch C, et al. : PMMA-augmentation of incompletely cannulated pedicle screws : a cadaver study to determine the benefits in the osteoporotic spine. *Technol Health Care* 22 : 607-615, 2014
- Jankovic J : Parkinson's disease : clinical features and diagnosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 79 : 368-376, 2008
- Koller H, Acosta F, Zenner J, Ferraris L, Hitzl W, Meier O, et al. : Spinal surgery in patients with Parkinson's disease : experiences with the challenges posed by sagittal imbalance and the Parkinson's spine. *Eur Spine J* 19 : 1785-1794, 2010
- Moon SH, Lee HM, Chun HJ, Kang KT, Kim HS, Park JO, et al. : Surgical outcome of lumbar fusion surgery in patients with Parkinson disease. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 25 : 351-355, 2012
- Paré PE, Chappuis JL, Rampersaud R, Agarwala AO, Perra JH, Erkan S, et al. : Biomechanical evaluation of a novel fenestrated pedicle screw augmented with bone cement in osteoporotic spines. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 36 : E1210-E1214, 2011
- Peek AC, Quinn N, Casey AT, Etherington G : Thoracolumbar spinal fixation for camptocormia in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 80 : 1275-1278, 2009
- Sato M, Sainoh T, Orita S, Yamauchi K, Aoki Y, Ishikawa T, et al. : Posterior and anterior spinal fusion for the management of deformities in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Case Rep Orthop* 2013 : 140916, 2013
- Schwab F, Blondel B, Chay E, Demakakos J, Lenke L, Tropiano P, et al. : The comprehensive anatomical spinal osteotomy classification. *Neurosurgery* 74 : 112-120, 2014
- Tiple D, Fabbrini G, Colosimo C, Ottaviani D, Camerota F, Defazio G, et al. : Camptocormia in Parkinson disease : an epidemiological and clinical study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 80 : 145-148, 2009
- Upadhyaya CD, Starr PA, Mummaneni PV : Spinal deformity and Parkinson disease : a treatment algorithm. *Neurosurg Focus* 28 : E5, 2010
- Wadia PM, Tan G, Munhoz RP, Fox SH, Lewis SJ, Lang AE : Surgical correction of kyphosis in patients with camptocormia due to Parkinson's disease : a retrospective evaluation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 82 : 364-368, 2011
- Yilmaz G, Hwang S, Oto M, Kruse R, Rogers KJ, Bober MB, et al. : Surgical treatment of scoliosis in osteogenesis imperfecta with cement-augmented pedicle screw instrumentation. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 27 : 174-180, 2014