DOI: 10.1002/jgf2.245

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



WILEY

Clinical background factors affecting outcomes of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy in primary care

Nozomi Yokota MD^{1,2} | Ryusuke Ae MD, PhD² | Masaki Amenomori MD¹ | Koji Kitagawa MD¹ | Takuya Nakamura MD¹ | Tetsuro Yokota MD³ | Masato Kato MD, PhD³ | Teppei Sasahara MD, PhD² | Yuri Matsubara MD² | Koki Kosami MD² | Yosikazu Nakamura MD, MPH, FFPH²

¹Shiga Center for Family Medicine Yuge Medical Clinic, Shiga, Japan

²Division of Public Health, Center for Community Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan

³Higashiomi city Gamo Medical Center, Shiga, Japan

Correspondence

Ryusuke Ae, Division of Public Health, Center for Community Medicine, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan. Email: shirouae@jichi.ac.jp

Abstract

Objective: Few studies have reported the influence of clinical background factors on the outcome of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy in primary care practice. We aimed to determine which clinical background factors influence the outcome of eradication therapy in a primary care setting.

Methods: This was a retrospective study of patients who received *H pylori* eradication therapy at Higashiohmi City Gamo Medical Center, Shiga, Japan, from January 2012 to December 2015. We investigated clinical background factors associated with success, failure, and self-interruption of *H pylori* eradication therapy: patients' age, gender, first- or second-line treatment, reasons for receiving gastroenterological endoscopic examination, method of drug administration, and attending physicians' age and their specialties.

Results: There were 369 patients (208 females, 161 male), with a mean age of 59 years (range 30-88 years). The middle-aged group (50-69 years) was associated with successful eradication therapy compared with the young group (30-49 years). The elderly group (>70 years) was associated with eradication therapy failure compared with the middle-aged group. The young group was associated with self-interruption of eradication therapy. There was a marginally significant association between male patients and self-interruption. Older attending physicians (>50 years) were also associated with failure compared with younger physicians. There was no difference in outcome of eradication therapy between generalists and gastroenterology specialists.

Conclusion: We have identified clinical factors associated with success, failure, and self-interruption of *H pylori* eradication therapy in a primary care setting.

KEYWORDS

eradication therapy, generalist, Helicobacter pylori, patient age, physician age, primary care

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2019 The Authors. *Journal of General and Family Medicine* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Primary Care Association.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori infection has attracted attention for its relationship with gastric cancer as well as other upper gastroenterological diseases.^{1,2} Even though eradication therapy causes adverse drug reactions among 4% of patients,³ it can prevent infection from progressing to gastric mucosal atrophy, thereby reducing earlystage gastric cancer.^{4,5} A population-based study has revealed that delays in eradication therapy after peptic ulcer diagnosis increase the risk of recurrent ulcer in a time-dependent manner.⁶ Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy can be beneficial in patients with other specific diseases, such as idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura,⁷ mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma,⁸ and iron-deficiency anemia.⁹ Recent studies have also addressed the association between H pylori infection and type 2 diabetes mellitus,¹⁰ Parkinson's disease,¹¹ and coronary artery disease.^{12,13} For these reasons, eradication therapy should be recommended/ enforced for all patients with H pylori infection, for the purpose of preventive medicine.

Helicobacter pylori eradication rates for first-line therapy have clearly decreased in Japan because of increasing clarithromycin (CAM) resistance, which is estimated at 30% among all infected patients.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ To achieve adequate eradication therapy against CAM-resistant *H pylori*, pretreatment testing for CAM sensitivity is recommended.^{17,18} However, routine CAM sensitivity testing for all patients with *H pylori* infection would be difficult because of high clinical costs. Probiotic therapy is reported to improve the efficacy and tolerability of eradication therapy; however, this remains controversial.¹⁹⁻²² Drug adherence and smoking cessation guidance contribute to improvement in the *H pylori* eradication rate without increasing the cost.²³⁻²⁸ However, external evaluation of these methods is difficult.

In daily practice in primary care, physicians assess which clinical background factors affect health outcome of patients, as well as which specific treatments are successful. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy is now an important routine practice among gastroenterological specialists as well as general physicians. However, to our knowledge, few studies have reported the clinical background factors that influence the outcome of eradication therapy in primary care practice. We aimed to establish which clinical background factors contributed to the improvement of clinical outcomes and eradication rate in patients with *H pylori* infection in a primary care setting.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design, setting, and participants

We conducted a retrospective study to review medical records of 369 patients who received *H pylori* eradication therapy at Higashiohmi City Gamo Medical Center, Shiga, Japan, from January 2012 to December 2015. The hospital usually provides primary health care with 19 beds in a rural region. Seven physicians including three generalists and four gastroenterology specialists were working at this hospital during the

study period. The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan (approved on December 2017; approval acceptance No. 17-089).

2.2 | Measurements

We investigated patients' background factors associated with three clinical outcomes of H pylori eradication therapy: (a) success, (b) failure, and (c) self-interruption. We determined successful or unsuccessful outcomes by the result of urea breath test after eradication therapy. The self-interruption patients were defined as those who never received any subsequent examinations to determine the outcome of eradication therapy. Patients' background factors included age, gender, treatment status, reasons for receiving gastroenterological endoscopic examination, method of drug administration, and attending physicians' age and their specialties. We divided age group into three categories: 30-49, 50-69, and ≥ 70 years, which were defined as young, middle-aged, and elderly groups, respectively. Treatment status was defined by first-line or second-line H pylori eradication. Reasons for endoscopic examination were classified by whether patients had any symptoms, resulting in three categories: (a) symptomatic complaints, (b) regular checkup, and (c) referral checkup from other facilities. Symptoms included epigastralgia, indigestion, heartburn, nausea, difficulty swallowing, and melena. Regular checkup included examination requests from regularly attending patients themselves. Referral checkup included referrals from other medical or governmental facilities for advanced examination of any abnormal findings. Drug administration methods were dichotomized into packed or nonpacked formulations. Attending physicians' factors were categorized by age (<50 or \geq 50 years) and their specialties (gastroenterologist or generalist).

2.3 | Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics of the participants were mean ± SD for age, and proportion for all other variables. We conducted logistic regression analyses to determine factors associated with each of the three clinical outcomes. Using univariate analysis, crude odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for all factors. Adjusted ORs (95% CIs) were then obtained, adjusting for all variables. We applied the minimum ORs for each factor as references (ORs = 1) so that the results could be interpreted clearly.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Basic characteristics of the study participants

Basic characteristics of all 369 participants are shown in Table 1. Mean age of all patients was 59 ± 12 (range 30-88) years, and mean age of men and women was 58 ± 13 (30-83) and 60 ± 11 (31-88) years, respectively. The percentages of patients by age group 30-49, 50-69, **TABLE 1**Basic characteristic of all 369participants

		C	Failure	Calf intermention	
	All patients (N = 369) (%)	Success (n = 292) (%)	Failure (n = 55) (%)	Self-interruption (n = 22) (%)	
Age (mean ± SD), y	59 ± 12	60 ± 11	57 ± 15	48 ± 13	
30-49: Young aged	87 (24)	56 (19)	16 (29)	15 (68)	
50-69: Middle aged	207 (56)	178 (61)	23 (42)	6 (27)	
≥70: Elderly	75 (20)	58 (20)	16 (29)	1 (5)	
Gender					
Male	161 (44)	123 (42)	24 (44)	14 (64)	
Female	208 (56)	169 (58)	31 (56)	8 (36)	
Treatments					
First-line	320 (87)	252 (86)	50 (91)	18 (82)	
Second-line	49 (13)	40 (14)	5 (9)	4 (18)	
Reasons for endoscopic examination					
Symptomatic complaints	56 (15)	41 (14)	8 (15)	7 (32)	
Asymptomatic reasons (r	n = 313) ^a				
Regular checkup	174 (56)	143 (57)	27 (57)	4 (27)	
Referral checkup	139 (44)	108 (43)	20 (43)	11 (73)	
Medication					
Pack formulation	305 (83)	239 (82)	49 (89)	17 (77)	
Nonpack formulation	64 (17)	53 (18)	6 (11)	5 (23)	
Attending physicians' Factor					
Age					
<50	253 (69)	207 (71)	29 (53)	17 (77)	
≥50	116 (31)	85 (29)	26 (47)	5 (23)	
Specialty					
Gastroenterologist	278 (75)	217 (74)	46 (84)	15 (68)	
Generalist	91 (25)	75 (26)	9 (16)	7 (32)	

SD, standard deviation.

^aExcluding patients who received endoscopic examination with any symptoms.

and ≥ 70 years were 24%, 56%, and 20%, respectively. Fifty-six percent of patients were female, and 87% received first-line therapy. Fifteen percent of patients received endoscopic examination for any symptomatic complaints. Packed formulation drugs were prescribed for 83% patients. With regard to the attending physicians, 69% were aged < 50 years, and 75% were gastroenterological specialists.

All patients were treated by proton pump inhibitor (PPI) with CAM and amoxicillin, or PPI with amoxicillin and metronidazole. None used vonoprazan. The eradication rate was 79% for first-line therapy and 82% for second-line therapy. Successful eradication rates by age group were 64%, 86%, and 77% for 30-49, 50-69, and \geq 70 years, respectively. The rates for men and women were 76% and 81%, respectively. Compared with older patients, younger patients had a higher proportion of those with any symptomatic complaints, resulting in detection of *H pylori* infection and administration of eradication therapy. In contrast, older patients, especially middle-aged patients, had a higher proportion of those who received endoscopic examination for medical checkup.

3.2 | Factors associated with clinical outcomes

Factors associated with successful outcome of eradication therapy are shown in Table 2. The middle-aged group was associated with successful eradication therapy in univariate logistic regression analysis (crude OR = 3.4; 95% CI = 1.8-6.8), compared with the young group. This association was also significant in multivariate analysis after adjusting for all the factors (adjusted OR = 3.5; 95% CI = 1.8-6.8).

Table 3 shows factors associated with failure of eradication therapy. The elderly group was associated with failure in both univariate (crude OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.1-4.4) and multivariate (adjusted OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.1-4.7) logistic regression analyses, compared with a reference middle-aged group. Older attending physicians (>50 years) were also associated with failure in both univariate (crude OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.2-4.0) and multivariate (adjusted OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.2-4.0) analyses, compared with younger physicians.

TABLE 2 Factors associated with successful eradication therapy (n = 292)

	Crude	Adjusted ^a			
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)			
Age (mean ± SD), y					
30-49: Young aged	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
50-69: Middle aged	3.4 (1.9-6.1)	3.5 (1.8-6.8)			
≥70: Elderly	1.9 (0.94-3.8)	1.9 (0.85-4.2)			
Gender					
Male	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Female	1.3 (0.81-2.2)	1.2 (0.71-2.0)			
Treatments					
First-line	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Second-line	1.2 (0.56-2.6)	1.4 (0.62-3.3)			
Reasons for endoscopic examination					
Symptomatic complaints	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Regular checkup	1.7 (0.83-3.4)	1.1 (0.45-2.5)			
Referral checkup	1.3 (0.62-2.6)	0.82 (0.37-1.8)			
Medication					
Pack formulation	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Nonpack formulation	1.3 (0.66-2.7)	1.1 (0.49-2.5)			
Attending physicians' Factor					
Age					
<50	1.6 (0.98-2.8)	1.7 (0.95-2.9)			
≥50	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Specialty					
Gastroenterologist	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)			
Generalist	1.3 (0.72-2.4)	1.3 (0.64-2.6)			

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation. ^aAdjusted for all variables.

Table 4 shows factors associated with self-interruption of eradication therapy. The young group was associated with self-interruption outcome in both univariate (crude OR = 15, 95% CI = 2.0-120) and multivariate (adjusted OR = 11, 95% CI = 1.2-89) logistic regression analyses, compared with older groups. Although symptomatic patients were associated with the self-interruption outcome in univariate analysis (crude OR = 6.1, 95% CI = 1.7-22), the association was not significant after adjusting in multivariate analysis. There was a marginally significant association between male patients and self-interruption.

The specialty of the attending physicians had no significant associations with all three outcomes of *H pylori* eradication therapy.

4 | DISCUSSION

Our results indicated that the middle-aged group compared with other age groups was significantly associated with successful **TABLE 3** Factors associated eradication therapy failure (n = 55)

OR (95% CI) OR (95% CI) Age (mean \pm SD), y 30-49: Young aged 1.8 (0.90-3.6) 2.1 (0.97-4.7) 50-69: Middle aged 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) \geq 70: Elderly 2.2 (1.1-4.4) 2.2 (1.1-4.7) Gender 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Female 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Treatments 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) First-line 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
30-49: Young aged 1.8 (0.90-3.6) 2.1 (0.97-4.7) 50-69: Middle aged 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) ≥70: Elderly 2.2 (1.1-4.4) 2.2 (1.1-4.7) Gender 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Male 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Female 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Treatments 50 50 First-line 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
50-69: Middle aged 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) ≥70: Elderly 2.2 (1.1-4.4) 2.2 (1.1-4.7) Gender 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Male 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Treatments 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) First-line 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
≥70: Elderly 2.2 (1.1-4.4) 2.2 (1.1-4.7) Gender			
Gender 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Male 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Female 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Treatments 5 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Male 1 (0.56-1.8) 0.9 (0.49-1.6) Female 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference) Treatments Image: Second-line 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Female1 (Reference)1 (Reference)Treatments1.6 (0.62-4.3)1.9 (0.69-5.4)Second-line1 (Reference)1 (Reference)			
Treatments 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
First-line 1.6 (0.62-4.3) 1.9 (0.69-5.4) Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Second-line 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Reasons for endoscopic examination			
Symptomatic complaints 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Regular checkup 1.1 (0.47-2.6) 1.3 (0.45-3.5)			
Referral checkup 1 (0.42-2.4) 1.4 (0.52-3.6)			
Medication			
Pack formulation 1.9 (0.76-4.5) 1.2 (0.43-3.1)			
Nonpack formulation 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
Attending physicians' factor			
Age			
<50 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			
≥50 2.2 (1.2-4.0) 2.2 (1.2-4.0)			
Specialty			
Gastroenterologist 1.8 (0.85-3.9) 1.5 (0.63-3.4)			
Generalist 1 (Reference) 1 (Reference)			

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation. ^aAdjusted for all variables.

eradication therapy even after adjusting for other factors. Middleaged patients may have been more motivated than other-aged patients because they received eradication therapy via recommendation from their health checkup results more often than other-aged patients did. Additionally, middle-aged patients are usually less likely to forget to take their medication compared with older patients. This is supported by previous studies that have reported that "forgetting to take the drug" was one of the factors involved in treatment failure.²⁶ This supports our finding that patients aged >70 years were associated with failure of eradication therapy even after adjusting for other factors.

Our study indicated that failure of eradication therapy was affected by attending physicians' factors as well as patients' factors. Our results showed that older attending physicians (>50 years) were associated with failure. Tsugawa et al²⁹ investigated the association between 30-day mortality rates and healthcare costs in acute care hospitals, and found that mortality rates were higher among older physicians, which is similar to our results. We did not assess the length of time spent explaining the eradication therapy and the drug

TABLE 4	Factors associated with self-interruption of the
eradication	therapy (n = 22)

	Crude	Adjusted ^a		
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)		
Age (mean ± SD), y				
30-49: Young aged	15 (2.0-120)	11 (1.2-89)		
50-69: Middle aged	2.2 (0.26-19)	2.1 (0.24-18)		
≥70: Elderly	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Gender				
Male	2.4 (0.97-5.8)	2.4 (0.92-6.1)		
Female	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Treatments				
First-line	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Second-line	1.5 (0.48-4.6)	1.4 (0.39-5.2)		
Reasons for endoscopic examination				
Symptomatic complaints	6.1 (1.7-22)	2.4 (0.55-10)		
Regular checkup	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Referral checkup	3.7 (1.1-12)	2.3 (0.65-7.9)		
Medication				
Pack formulation	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Nonpack formulation	1.4 (0.51-4.0)	0.94 (0.27-3.3)		
Attending physicians' Factor				
Age				
<50	1.6 (0.58-4.4)	1.6 (0.53-4.8)		
≥50	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Specialty				
Gastroenterologist	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)		
Generalist	1.5 (0.58-3.7)	0.97 (0.32-2.9)		

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SD, standard deviation. ^aAdjusted for all variables.

regimen. Given that patients prefer experienced physicians with a long career path, older physicians may have less time for each patient, resulting in insufficient explanation of eradication therapy, which may adversely affect outcome.

Our results indicated that elderly patients aged >70 years were at high risk for *H pylori* eradication therapy failure compared with other-aged patients. Elderly patients generally have many medications prescribed, often suffer from cognitive decline, and frequently have physical limitations, which would affect their medication compliance and possibly result in unsuccessful outcome of therapies.³⁰ Nevertheless, Mamori et al³¹ previously investigated the general risk factors that affected outcome of eradication therapy in Japanese patients, and found that the success rate in patients aged >70 years were over 90%, which was higher than those in younger-aged groups. This report was contrary to our findings. Our study included patients aged >70 years more than those in the previous study, which might lead to the opposite results. Older attending physicians (>50 years) might be mostly assigned to elderly patients in our study, which could confound the results. Study setting might influence the results; our study was conducted in a small hospital located in a rural region. Further study is required to assess whether the differences would occur related to patients' characteristics as well as study settings, using a large-scale dataset.

Physicians would like to avoid self-interruption of H pylori eradication therapy by patients because of the increased chance of H pylori acquiring resistance to other antibiotics. Our results showed that self-interruption was common in young patients who did not attend regular hospital visits for other underlying diseases. The need for regular hospital visits for other underlying diseases generally increases with age; therefore, regular visiting might reduce self-interruption of eradication therapy in older patients. In patients with more serious underlying diseases, such as tuberculosis or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, regular hospital visits would result in more successful treatment outcome. Conversely, those who do not have regular hospital visits are associated with a high risk of self-interruption, resulting in treatment failure.³²⁻³⁴ It has also been shown that younger age is a risk factor for unsuccessful clinical outcomes among HIV patients.³⁵ Our study found that young patients usually visited the hospital with symptoms such as epigastralgia. Young patients might have self-interrupted eradication therapy because their symptoms ceased shortly after taking PPIs, which resulted in an unsuccessful outcome.

Aggressive intervention that aims to increase compliance in younger patients could improve the successful outcome of eradication therapy. Eidan et al²³ reported that regular counseling and follow-up of patients were effective in eradication therapy. Other studies have also reported that human interventions have played a major role in successful eradication, such as enhanced compliance programs,³⁶ and other regular counseling for treatment.²³ Especially among younger patients, *H pylori* eradication therapy should aim to increase compliance with careful follow-up and aggressive intervention.

In 1996, Hirth et al³⁷ compared the outcomes of *H pylori* eradication therapy between generalists and gastroenterologists. They concluded that eradication therapy was not provided appropriately by generalists compared with gastroenterologists at that time.³⁷ However, >20 years have passed since then, and eradication therapy is now an important routine practice even among generalists.³⁸ In our study, no difference was found between generalists and gastroenterologists in the outcome of eradication therapy. Given that patients' compliance affects the results of eradication therapy, generalists could achieve comparable or better outcomes than gastroenterological specialists. Another previous study reported that medical specialists and generalists served different primary care roles for different populations, and found that generalists saw younger patients most often for new problems, compared with specialists.³⁹ Generalists may influence younger patients to refrain from self-interruption of eradication therapy more often than gastroenterologists do.

Our study had four major limitations. The primary limitation was the small sample size with a limited number of attending 144

analyses. Furthermore, the study was conducted in a small medical institution that mainly acts as a primary healthcare provider in a rural area in Japan, which may have distorted the validity of the results. The number of physicians who prescribed the eradication therapy was small and had a gender bias (all male); that is, the results might have been affected by selection bias. In addition, the results may have been influenced by unknown confounding factors. A larger sample with more patients and physicians is needed for more accurate investigation. Second, the study could have assessed other factors that were previously reported to affect outcome of eradication therapy, such as smoking, alcohol use, and use of combined probiotics.^{19-21,28} These factors could not be controlled because we conducted a retrospective review of the patients' medical records. Third, drug adherence was insufficiently assessed because we could not establish whether they had forgotten to take the drugs. Based on these limitations, a prospective study is required to strengthen our results.

Finally, self-interruption would be rather included in unsuccessful outcome in *H pylori* eradication therapy. However, self-interruption patients included both those could hardly manage their medication by themselves and those could not help quitting the therapy because of severe side effects. For this reason, we defined self-interruption as an independent outcome. On the other hand, success and failure are opposite each other; therefore, either might be enough to show the results. Nevertheless, we show both so that they could be interpret clearly. A reciprocal relationship was just found in odds ratio of all the relevant factors between successful and unsuccessful outcomes, and the significant factors were consistent. Although there was a marginally significant association between successful outcome and older attending physicians (>50 years), this might be influenced by a small study sample. Our result would be valid, but this is a limitation of the study.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This study revealed clinical background factors associated with successful and unsuccessful outcome of *H pylori* eradication therapy in a primary care setting. Middle-aged patients (50-69 years) had the most successful eradication therapy compared with other age groups. Failure was associated with elderly patients (\geq 70 years) and older attending physicians (\geq 50 years). Self-interruption was common in young patients. No difference was found between generalists and gastroenterological specialists for outcome of eradication therapy. In primary care practice, generalists may play an important role in prevention of self-interruption of *H pylori* eradication therapy among young patients, as well as failure among elderly patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the staff members of the Division of Public Health at Jichi Medical University and the Shiga Center for Family Medicine. We thank Cathel Kerr, PhD, from Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

NY, KK, and MA contributed to study concept and design; NY, KK, MA, TN, TY, and KM involved in acquisition of data; NY, RA, TS, and YN contributed to analysis and interpretation of data; NY, RA, YN, YM, and KK involved in manuscript preparation; all authors have approved the manuscript for submission.

REFERENCES

- Uemura N, Okamoto S, Yamamoto S, Matsumura N, Yamaguchi S, Yamakido M, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the development of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(11):784–9.
- Lu B, Chen MT, Fan YH, Liu Y, Meng LN. Effects of *Helicobacter* pylori eradication on atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia: a 3-year follow-up study. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11(41):6518–20.
- Fujioka T, Aoyama N, Sakai K, Miwa Y, Kudo M, Kawashima J, et al. A large-scale nationwide multicenter prospective observational study of triple therapy using rabeprazole, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in Japan. J Gastroenterol. 2012;47(3):276–83.
- Wong BC, Lam SK, Wong WM, Chen JS, Zheng TT, Feng RE, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication to prevent gastric cancer in a high-risk region of China: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2004;291(2):187–94.
- Take S, Mizuno M, Ishiki K, Nagahara Y, Yoshida T, Yokota K, et al. Baseline gastric mucosal atrophy is a risk factor associated with the development of gastric cancer after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy in patients with peptic ulcer diseases. J Gastroenterol. 2007;42(Suppl 17):21–7.
- Sverden E, Brusselaers N, Wahlin K, Lagergren J. Time latencies of Helicobacter pylori eradication after peptic ulcer and risk of recurrent ulcer, ulcer adverse events, and gastric cancer: a populationbased cohort study. Gastrointest Endosc. 2018;88(2):242–50.
- Franchini M, Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Pizzolo G, Veneri D. Effect of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication on platelet count in idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;60(2):237–46.
- Kuo SH, Yeh KH, Wu MS, Lin CW, Hsu PN, Wang HP, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy is effective in the treatment of early-stage *H pylori*-positive gastric diffuse large B-cell lymphomas. Blood. 2012;119(21):4838–44; quiz 5057.
- Huang X, Qu X, Yan W, Huang Y, Cai M, Hu B, et al. Iron deficiency anaemia can be improved after eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. Postgrad Med J. 2010;86(1015):272–8.
- Wang F, Fu Y, Lv Z. Association of *Helicobacter pylori* infection with diabetic complications: a meta-analysis. Endocr Res. 2014;39(1):7–12.
- Hashim H, Azmin S, Razlan H, Yahya NW, Tan HJ, Manaf MR, et al. Eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection improves levodopa action, clinical symptoms and quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(11):e112330.

- Hu KC, Wu MS, Chu CH, Wang HY, Lin SC, Po HL, et al. Hyperglycemia combined *Helicobacter pylori* infection increases risk of synchronous colorectal adenoma and carotid artery plaque. Oncotarget. 2017;8(65):108655–64.
- Wang JW, Tseng KL, Hsu CN, Liang CM, Tai WC, Ku MK, et al. Association between *Helicobacter pylori* eradication and the risk of coronary heart diseases. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0190219.
- Kobayashi I, Murakami K, Kato M, Kato S, Azuma T, Takahashi S, et al. Changing antimicrobial susceptibility epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* strains in Japan between 2002 and 2005. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45(12):4006–10.
- Murakami K, Furuta T, Ando T, Nakajima T, Inui Y, Oshima T, et al. Multi-center randomized controlled study to establish the standard third-line regimen for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in Japan. J Gastroenterol. 2013;48(10):1128–35.
- Kawai T, Takahashi S, Suzuki H, Sasaki H, Nagahara A, Asaoka D, et al. Changes in the first line *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates using the triple therapy-a multicenter study in the Tokyo metropolitan area (Tokyo *Helicobacter pylori* study group). J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;29(Suppl 4):29–32.
- Kawai T, Yamagishi T, Yagi K, Kataoka M, Kawakami K, Sofuni A, et al. Tailored eradication therapy based on fecal *Helicobacter pylori* clarithromycin sensitivities. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2008;23(Suppl 2):S171-4.
- Yamade M, Sugimoto M, Uotani T, Nishino M, Kodaira C, Furuta T. Resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* to quinolones and clarithromycin assessed by genetic testing in Japan. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;26(9):1457-61.
- Homan M, Orel R. Are probiotics useful in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication? World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21(37):10644–53.
- Weiner N, Shaoul R. Impact of age, gender, and addition of probiotics on treatment success for *Helicobacter pylori* in children. Glob Pediatr Health 2015;2:2333794X15607798.
- Gong Y, Li Y, Sun Q. Probiotics improve efficacy and tolerability of triple therapy to eradicate *Helicobacter pylori*: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(4):6530–43.
- Lu C, Sang J, He H, Wan X, Lin Y, Li L, et al. Probiotic supplementation does not improve eradication rate of *Helicobacter pylori* infection compared to placebo based on standard therapy: a metaanalysis. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23522.
- Al-Eidan FA, McElnay JC, Scott MG, McConnell JB. Management of Helicobacter pylori eradication-the influence of structured counselling and follow-up. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2002;53(2):163–71.
- O'Connor JP, Taneike I, O'Morain C. Improving compliance with Helicobacter pylori eradication therapy: when and how? Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2009;2(5):273–9.
- Wang CH, Liao ST, Yang J, Li CX, Yang YY, Han R, et al. Effects of daily telephone-based re-education before taking medicine on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: a prospective single-center study from China. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21(39):11179–84.
- 26. Shakya Shrestha S, Bhandari M, Thapa SR, Shrestha R, Poudyal R, Purbey B, et al. Medication adherence pattern and factors affecting

adherence in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapyKathmandu Univ Med J. 2016;14(53):58-64.

- Peng X, Song L, Chen W, Zheng Y. Effect of telephone follow-up on compliance and *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in patients with *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. 2017;42(3):308–12.
- Suzuki T, Matsuo K, Ito H, Sawaki A, Hirose K, Wakai K, et al. Smoking increases the treatment failure for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. Am J Med. 2006;119(3):217–24.
- Tsugawa Y, Newhouse JP, Zaslavsky AM, Blumenthal DM, Jena AB. Physician age and outcomes in elderly patients in hospital in the US: observational study. BMJ. 2017;357:j1797.
- Stewart RB, Caranasos GJ. Medication compliance in the elderly. Med Clin North Am. 1989;73(6):1551-63.
- Mamori S, Higashida A, Kawara F, Ohnishi K, Takeda A, Senda E, et al. Age-dependent eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in Japanese patients. World J Gastroenterol. 2010;16(33):4176–9.
- Mugavero MJ, Lin HY, Willig JH, Westfall AO, Ulett KB, Routman JS, et al. Missed visits and mortality among patients establishing initial outpatient HIV treatment. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(2):248–56.
- Cohen JK, Santos GM, Moss NJ, Coffin PO, Block N, Klausner JD. Regular clinic attendance in two large San Francisco HIV primary care settings. AIDS Care. 2016;28(5):579–84.
- Wei XL, Yin J, Zou GY, Zhang ZT, Walley J, Harwell J, et al. Treatment interruption and directly observed treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients in China. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2015;19(4):413-9.
- Nacher M, El Guedj M, Vaz T, Nasser V, Randrianjohany A, Alvarez F, et al. Risk factors for follow-up interruption of HIV patients in French Guiana. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;74(5):915–7.
- Lee M, Kemp JA, Canning A, Egan C, Tataronis G, Farraye FA. A randomized controlled trial of an enhanced patient compliance program for *Helicobacter pylori* therapy. Arch Intern Med. 1999;159(19):2312–6.
- Hirth RA, Fendrick AM, Chernew ME. Specialist and generalist physicians' adoption of antibiotic therapy to eradicate *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Med Care. 1996 Dec;34(12):1199–204.
- Ebell MH, Grad R. Top 20 Research Studies of 2015 for Primary Care Physicians. Am Fam Physician. 2016;93(9):756-62.
- Edwards ST, Mafi JN, Landon BE. Trends and quality of care in outpatient visits to generalist and specialist physicians delivering primary care in the United States, 1997-2010. J Gen Intern Med. 2014;29(6):947–55.

How to cite this article: Yokota N, Ae R, Amenomori M, et al. Clinical background factors affecting outcomes of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy in primary care. J Gen Fam Med. 2019;20:139–145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jgf2.245</u>