RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Changes in expression of Class 3 Semaphorins and their receptors during development of the rat retina and superior colliculus

Anil Sharma^{1*}, Chrisna J LeVaillant¹, Giles W Plant^{1,2} and Alan R Harvey¹

Abstract

Background: Members of the Semaphorin 3 family (Sema3s) influence the development of the central nervous system, and some are implicated in regulating aspects of visual system development. However, we lack information about the timing of expression of the Sema3s with respect to different developmental epochs in the mammalian visual system. In this time-course study in the rat, we document for the first time changes in the expression of RNAs for the majority of Class 3 Semaphorins (Sema3s) and their receptor components during the development of the rat retina and superior colliculus (SC).

Results: During retinal development, transcript levels changed for all of the Sema3s examined, as well as *Nrp2*, *Plxna2*, *Plxna3*, and *Plxna4a*. In the SC there were also changes in transcript levels for all Sema3s tested, as well as *Nrp1*, *Nrp2*, *Plxna1*, *Plxna2*, *Plxna3*, and *Plxna4a*. These changes correlate with well-established epochs, and our data suggest that the Sema3s could influence retinal ganglion cell (RGC) apoptosis, patterning and connectivity in the maturing retina and SC, and perhaps guidance of RGC and cortical axons in the SC. Functionally we found that SEMA3A, SEMA3C, SEMA3E, and SEMA3F proteins collapsed purified postnatal day 1 RGC growth cones *in vitro*. Significantly this is a developmental stage when RGCs are growing into and within the SC and are exposed to Sema3 ligands.

Conclusion: These new data describing the overall temporal regulation of Sema3 expression in the rat retina and SC provide a platform for further work characterising the functional impact of these proteins on the development and maturation of mammalian visual pathways.

Keywords: Retinal ganglion cells, Collapse assay, qPCR, Neuropilins, Plexins, Cell adhesion molecules

Background

The mammalian visual system is complex, and the developmental events that give rise to this highly organised system are similarly complex and exquisitely ordered. The timing of the major developmental events of the mammalian visual system has been well characterised, and many of the molecular cues controlling these critical events have been elucidated (for example Ephs/Ephrins, Slits/Robo, Netrin/DCC, and various neurotrophic factors [1-7]). However despite our increased knowledge about these molecular cues, they are not sufficient to explain completely the complexity and timing of the development of visual pathways in mammals. It is likely then that other molecules are also involved during the development and maturation of the mammalian visual system, and in this context recent attention has turned to the Semaphorins.

Semaphorins (Semas) have been implicated in neural and vascular aspects of visual system development in various species including frog [8,9], zebrafish [10-14], goldfish [15], and chicken [16-21]. In mouse the membrane bound Class 5 and Class 6 Semaphorins are involved in lamination of the retina [22-24], and guidance of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons [25] via contactmediated interactions. However many previously discovered molecular cues in the mammalian visual system are diffusible, and the only vertebrate secreted members of the Semaphorins are the Class 3 Semaphorins (Sema3s) [26] which are known to be expressed in the developing



© 2014 Sharma et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: anil@propriocept.com

¹School of Anatomy, Physiology and Human Biology, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

rat retina [27]. It is possible then that the Sema3s also influence the complexity and timing of the developing mammalian visual system.

The Sema3s consist of *Sema3a* through *Sema3g* [16,26,28-36] and their main receptors are the Neuropilins which form multimeric receptor complexes with Plexins and cell adhesion molecules [37]. Sema3s were initially discovered as axon guidance molecules [30,38], but are now known to also mediate apoptosis, cell migration, immune response, organogenesis, tumour suppression and promotion, and vasculature development [39-44]. Sema3s affect at least some aspects of the development of the visual system of rodents [45,46], from where much of our knowledge of molecular and activity-driven influences on mammalian visual system development has come [6,47].

In summary, while we understand much about the timing of developmental events in the mammalian visual system, investigation of a potential role for the Sema3s in these events would benefit from knowledge about the timing of expression of Sema3s and their receptors during visual system maturation. We sought to address this gap in our knowledge by building on a previous study from our laboratory [27], focusing on Sema3s known to be expressed in RGCs and extending the work to include a greater number of receptors as well as analysis of a major central target for those RGCs, the superior colliculus (SC). To that end, we quantified transcript expression in the rat retina and SC over a range of embryonic (E) and postnatal (P) developmental time points (E16, E19, birth -P0, P7, P14, P21 and adult) which were chosen to correspond to previously established developmental epochs such as the timing of cell birth, migration, naturally occurring cell death, axonal and dendritic growth, and synaptogenesis (Figure 1). We also investigated the hypothesis that Sema3 ligands in the SC can influence RGC axon guidance using an in vitro growth cone collapse assay on isolated RGCs from a developmental stage when they would be growing into and within the SC in vivo.

Results

Developmental expression profiles for the Sema3s and their receptors are presented in Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5, with those showing statistically significant changes in expression explored further. Statistical comparisons illustrated in Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 are summaries of statistically significant peaks in expression; the entirety of statistical comparisons can be found in Additional file 1: Table S1. *Plxna3 in situ* hybridisations at P1 and P7 are presented in Figure 6 showing co-expression in β IIItubulin positive cells (presumptive RGCs). The effects of exogenous recombinant Sema3s on RGC growth cone collapse are presented in Figure 7, and data detailing expression and detection of those recombinant Sema3s are presented in Additional file 2: Figure S1.

Changes in Class 3 Semaphorins and their receptor components during rat retinal development

Expression levels in the retina could be separated into three qualitative groups: relatively high expression of *Sema3f* and *Plxna2*; moderate expression of *Nrp1* and *Plxna1*; and relatively low expression of the rest (Figures 2, and 3). There were statistically significant changes in the level of expression of all Sema3 RNAs in the retina, while of the receptors only *Nrp2*, *Plxna2*, *Plxna3*, and *Plxna4a* showed statistically significant changes (Figures 2, and 3; Additional file 1: Table S1).

Relative to other time points, Sema3a transcript expression levels were significantly increased at P14 and in the adult. Similar to Sema3a, Sema3b transcript expression was relatively stable during retinal development until P14, at which time there was increased expression that was maintained into adulthood. Sema3c RNA expression was also relatively steady through to P0, increasing significantly through to P21, and remaining at that level into the adult. Sema3e RNA levels appeared to increase gradually with retinal maturation and were significantly higher than E16-P7 levels at P21 and in adult rats. Sema3f transcription was temporarily greater at P0 and then increased again at P21 and beyond. Nrp2 RNA expression gradually increased throughout retina maturation, levels at P7 and beyond being significantly greater than in embryos. Plxna2 and Plxna3 showed nearly identical patterns of altered transcript expression during development, both peaking significantly at the time of birth (P0). Plxna4a RNA levels peaked later at P7, but also again in the adult.

Many of the significant peaks in transcript expression occurred after the main developmental epochs. However, the changes that were quantified in the retina before P21 occurred during periods of RGC apoptosis, and synapse generation and maturation.

Changes in Class 3 Semaphorins and their receptor components during development of the rat superior colliculus

There were four qualitative groups of transcript expression levels in the SC: *Plxna1* having the highest; followed by *Sema3f, Nrp1*, and *Nrp2*; then *Sema3b, Sema3c* and *Plxna3*; and lower amounts for the remainder (Figures 4, and 5). With the exception of *L1cam*, all genes of interest changed expression significantly during SC maturation.

Sema3a RNA levels were highly variable at E16 (149% coefficient of variance; CV), peaked at E19, and a decline with increasing postnatal age. The expression profile of *Sema3b* differed from other transcripts; there was large biological variation at many time points, with CVs greater than 100%, and four apparent peaks in expression, only one of which reached significance (E19). *Sema3c* transcript expression peaked at E19 and P0, before falling to



adult levels by around P14. In contrast to the other Sema3s, *Sema3e* transcript levels increased steadily from E16 to P14, before a decrease at P21, then again increased in the adult. *Sema3f* expression was highest at E16 and E19, with RNA levels lower at birth and remaining at those reduced levels during postnatal development. There was relatively high biological variation in expression levels of *Nrp1*, *Nrp2* and all PlexinAs at E16 and E19 (CV > 100%) compared to other time-points. *Nrp1* RNA expression peaked at E19 then decreased steadily to P7 at levels that were maintained into the adult. *Nrp2* transcript levels peaked at E19 before falling steadily to E16 levels at P7 and beyond. *Plxna1* RNA expression also peaked at E19, a pattern that was repeated for the remaining three PlexinA RNAs, all of which had significantly decreased RNA expression by P0 and P7 that remained at low levels into adulthood.

The patterns of expression of Sema3s in the developing SC were substantially different from those seen in the retina, with peak expression occurring around or before birth. Temporally, these changes in transcript expression occurred during the period of SC neurogenesis, synapse generation and maturation, and innervation of the SC by extrinsic afferents including those from the retina and visual cortex.

Expression of Plxna3 in the neonatal retina

Our qPCR data showed that peak *Plxna3* RNA expression in the retina occurred during the period of peak



RGC apoptosis (Figure 3). While rat retinal cells expressing the Sema3 receptor components *Nrp1*, *Nrp2*, *Plxna1* and *Plxna2* have previously been identified using ISH [74] there has been no such characterisation for *Plxna3*. We used ISH to examine the cellular location of *Plxna3* transcripts in P1 and P7 rat retinas (Figure 6) and found that *Plxna3* RNA was clearly expressed in the ganglion cell layer at both these ages, with transcript expression overlapping β III-tubulin (RGCs) immunostaining. This is in agreement with previously published data in the mouse retina [22]. Qualitatively, changes in the level of *Plxna3* expression in our ISH data are consistent with the reduction in expression between P0 and P7 in our qPCR material.

Growth cone collapse assay for purified neonatal RGCS *in vitro*

It has been suggested that Sema3s in the SC can assist in the guidance of ingrowing RGC axons [45], and our data revealed increased expression of these ligands in the SC during this period (Figure 4). To further investigate the capability of Sema3s to influence RGC axons as they grow into and within the SC we used a growth cone collapse assay to assess the capacity of developmentally appropriate RGCs to respond to Sema3 proteins. Recombinant Sema3 proteins (SEMA3A-GFP, SEMA3C-FLAG, SEMA3E-FLAG, and SEMA3F-AP) in conditioned media were used to challenge immunopurified P1/P2 RGCs in vitro. These proteins were detected in the conditioned media by western blot against their artificial epitopes (Additional file 2: Figure S1A). Detected bands for SEMA3A-GFP correspond to the approximately 130 kDa and 90 kDa bands reported previously [75]. SEMA3C-FLAG was detected at around 80 kDa as expected, and also in a presumably processed form at around 70 kDa. Both SEMA3C-FLAG and SEMA3E-FLAG were detected in media at approximately 100 kDa, which might represent glycosylated forms of the proteins. SEMA3F-AP was detected at 150 kDa, in line with



expectations. Transfected cells were analysed in culture by epifluorescence and immunocytochemistry and were positive for recombinant proteins while appropriate controls were negative (Additional file 2: Figure S1B-G).

Growth cones were visible as F-actin positive extensions from the ends of β III-tubulin positive neurites. Typically uncollapsed growth cones displayed the common 'hand like' morphology, and collapsed growth cones appeared as thin stumps. Growth cones were counted only at the end of neurites, even when significant growth cone-like processes were observed along the shaft of a neurite, as these lateral extensions can occur in response to the collapse of the leading growth cone [76]. Examples of collapsed versus uncollapsed growth cones are illustrated in Figure 7. Cultures treated with conditioned media containing any of the Sema3s had significantly higher percentages of collapsed growth cones, with the

average percentage of the two replicates and statistical significance level presented in Figure 7A. Our data demonstrate that neonatal rat RGCs are competent to respond to SEMA3A, SEMA3C, SEMA3E, and SEMA3F proteins at a developmental time point during which their axons are entering into and growing within the SC *in vivo* [52,71,72].

Discussion

We found statistically significant changes in gene expression of Sema3s and their co-receptors during maturation of the rat retina and SC. These changes differed in degree and time-course between the retina and SC so were not due to generalised or non-specific systemic changes. It is likely that the observed tissue-specific changes in expression reflect the biological roles of these molecules and are in some way related to the development and maturation of each structure [20,21,45]. While our



data cannot localise these changes in expression to distinct cell populations, previous work in the retina suggests that the transcripts studied are heavily expressed in rat RGCs [27]. Importantly we also showed that neonatal rat RGCs are competent to respond to Sema3s at a developmental time point when their axons are encountering the Sema3s in the SC. We are wary of over-analysing temporal association data, but to help place our results in context we briefly discuss our data below with respect to known developmental processes (Figure 1).

Class 3 Semaphorins and morphogenesis of the retina and superior colliculus

Sema5s and Sema6s are known to mediate lamination of the mouse retina, signalling through *Plxna2*, *Plxna3* and *Plxna4* [22-24]. In addition, Sema3s guide cells as they

differentiate and migrate within CNS tissues such as the cortex, cerebellum, and hippocampus [39,77,78], and presumably they are able diffuse through tissues like other guidance cues in the mammalian visual system such as the Ephs and Netrin. Is the expression of Sema3s also involved in morphogenesis of the rat retina and SC? Retinal neurogenesis occurs from E10/E11 through to the second postnatal week [48], and while there is expression of all Sema3s and their receptors and co-receptors during much of this period, expression levels are for the most part relatively low. Rod photoreceptor generation peaks at P0, as does transcript expression of Sema3f, Plxna2 and Plxna3 RNAs. However there appears to be very little Sema3f transcript expression in the outer nuclear layer (ONL) at this age [27], despite evidence of relatively high expression of Sema3f RNA in the ONL of adult mice [79].



and *Nrp2* share similar expression profiles, with peaks around E19 and birth and then falling away into the adult. Co-receptors: All co-receptors bar *L1cam* follow the same expression profile, peaking at E19 before falling after birth and into the adult. *Plxna1* and *Plxna3* are the predominant transcripts. Nrp1: Peak RNA expression is at E19, falling threefold by P7 and maintained into the adult. Nrp2: Transcript levels double from E16 to P0, with high variability at E19. Expression falls from P0 to P7 and is maintained at around half peak levels in the adult. Plxna1: RNA levels are highly variable at E16 and E19 where they peak. From birth expression levels are halved, continue to decline up to P21 where they are sevenfold lower than at peak, and this level is maintained into the adult. Plxna2: RNA levels are highly variable at E16 and E19 where they peak. Expression falls to half peak at P7, one quarter peak at P14 and is sevenfold lower than peak by P21 and in the adult. Plxna4: RNA levels are highly variable at E16 and E19 where they peak. Expression falls to half peak at P7, one quarter peak at P14 and is sevenfold lower than peak by P21 and in the adult. Plxna4: RNA levels are highly variable at E16 and E19 where they peak. Expression falls to half peak terms are SEM; * p < 0.05; n = 4-5.

On the other hand, rat SC neurogenesis occurs from E12 to E17 [64,65] and the SC has an adult like laminar structure at P7 [80]. *Sema3c* and *Nrp2* RNA expression displayed the same upwards then downwards sweep as neurogenesis in the SC: elevated at E19 and P0, falling by P7. Similarly, *Sema3a, Sema3b*, and *Sema3f* as well as *Nrp1* and all PlexinA co-receptors showed peak expressions at E19 that declined thereafter, coincidental with

early cytoarchitectural patterning of the SC. These data therefore suggest that the Sema3s might play a role in patterning the SC, but not the retina.

Class 3 Semaphorins and retinal ganglion cell apoptosis

Sema3s, specifically *Sema3a* and *Sema3f*, have been implicated in apoptosis of neurons including RGCs [81–86]. During retinal development, RGC apoptosis is



predominantly perinatal [52–57] and we observed three genes that had clear peaks in retinal RNA expression at P0: *Sema3f, Plxna2*, and *Plxna3*. Interestingly, *Plxna2* and *Plxna3* are co-receptors for *Sema3f* and *Sema3a* [37], and *Plxna3* has been shown to be directly involved in *Sema3a* mediated cell death [84]. *Sema3a, Sema3f*, and *Plxna2* are expressed in neonatal rat RGCs [27], and we here show that in the rat *Plxna3* RNA is predominantly expressed in the retinal ganglion cell layer (presumptive RGCs) at P1 (Figure 7). Importantly we also showed that P1/P2 rat RGCs were responsive to both *Sema3a* and *Sema3f* (Figure 7). Our data provide further suggestive evidence that the Sema3s may influence RGC apoptosis.

Class 3 Semaphorin expression during the period of synapse generation and maturation in retina and superior colliculus

Sema3s are also involved in terminal axon branching, synaptogenesis, pruning of terminal arbors, regulation of synaptic plasticity, and maturation of dendrites [42,87–92]. Synaptogenesis in the rat retina starts around P2 and is ongoing at P7 [54,59–62], when transcripts for *Sema3c* and *Sema3e* both become more abundant. Conversely, *Sema3b* and *Sema3f* RNAs increased after completion of retinal synaptogenesis (P14) [63]. The maintained relatively high transcript levels in the adult rat retina of *Sema3b, Sema3c, Sema3e*, and *Sema3f* might indicate a role in regulating synaptic plasticity in the mature retina. It has been reported that *Plxna2, Plxna3*, and *Plxna4* are

important for proper lamination of the inner plexiform layer (IPL) of the mouse retina, with mRNA expressed in amacrine cells and protein heavily expressed in the IPL [22–24]. We see no clear signal supporting this finding in the rat retina, although this may be a consequence of analysing global rather than cellular expression. In the SC, the majority of synaptogenesis is postnatal [68–70], and *Sema3e* RNA expression in the SC peaks during the period when many synapses are being formed (P14) suggesting a role for this molecule in SC synaptogenesis.

Effect of Sema3s on guiding RGC and other axons into and within the superior colliculus

RGC axons enter the rat SC between E16 and P5/P6 [52,71,72], and previous results suggest that Sema3f may contribute in helping to guide these axons to their correct retinotopic targets [45]. Furthermore, the Sema3 co-receptor L1cam is required for correct mapping of retinal axons in the mouse [93,94]. Previous data reveal that during development of the mouse SC at least some of the retino-tectal afferent fibres are positive for Nrp1, Nrp2, and L1cam [93-95], and P1 mouse RGC axons express the receptors Nrp2 and Plxna1 [45]. While previous studies have shown responsiveness of some rodent RGCs to some Sema3s [45], these were not conducted at a developmental time point where the RGC axons are growing into and within their Sema3 expressing targets [52,71,72]. Here we show for the first time that rat P1/ P2 RGCs are capable of responding to exogenous Sema3 proteins at an age when many of these neurons are



encountering these Sema3s as they grow axons into and within the SC. [52,71,72]. Our data show that almost all these Sema3s, bar *Sema3e*, had peak expression levels in the SC during this period of retinal afferent ingrowth, but that *Sema3c* and *Sema3f* had around tenfold higher expression compared to *Sema3a* and *Sema3e*. This difference in RNA expression levels might indicate that if these molecules are having an effect then the more likely candidates are *Sema3c* and *Sema3f*. However, some caution must be used when extrapolating levels of RNA expression to physiological significance; *L1cam*, *Plxna3* and *Plxna4a* all had relatively low expression in the retina despite their known effects in patterning the retina [22,23,93,94]. Nevertheless, our data do support the suggestion that *Sema3f* may play a role in the formation of the retinotopic map in the mammalian SC [45].

Sema3s have also previously been shown to influence the pattern of long-distance cortico-tectal projections [96]. Rat visual cortical axons reach the SC at about P3, with marked ingrowth between P6 and P12, and then final maturation between P12-P18 [73]. Our data show elevated *Sema3e* expression during this period of ingrowth and maturation of cortical fibers in the SC, suggesting that *Sema3e* might influence the development of corticotectal projections.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the analysis of the Sema3s and their coreceptors in the developing rat visual system revealed a number of new and potentially important findings. In the retina, mRNA expression levels changed for all Sema3s examined and there were age-specific changes in Nrp2, Plxna2, Plxna3, and Plxna4a mRNA expression. In the SC there were also maturational changes in transcript levels for all Sema3s, Neuropilins, and PlexinAs, although the time-course of these changes differed markedly from those seen in the retina. These developmental changes were associated with periods of RGC apoptosis; neurogenesis in the SC; synapse generation, maturation, and plasticity in the retina and SC; and innervation by retino- and cortico-tectal axons in the SC. Importantly, and consistent with a broad role for the Sema3 family in the maturing visual system, purified P1/ P2 RGCs were sensitive to Sema3a, Sema3c, Sema3e, and Sema3f mediated growth cone collapse in vitro. These new data, providing a framework for future studies aimed at elucidating such roles of the Sema3s. These new data describing the overall temporal regulation of Sema3 expression in the rat retina and SC highlight maturational events that might be influenced by Sema3s, providing a platform for further work characterising the functional impact of these proteins on mammalian visual system development.

Methods

Experiments conformed to the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) guidelines and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of The University of Western Australia. Animals were sourced from the Animal Resources Centre (Western Australia). All statistical tests were performed using SPSS v19 (IBM, USA). E0 was the day vaginal plug detected, P0 was date of birth.

Tissue collection

Tissue collection for qPCR

Embryonic Wistar rats aged E16 or E19 were dissected after caesarean section from mothers under halothane anaesthesia (5% (v/v) halothane (Rhone Merieux, Australia) in 80:20 N₂O:O₂) and immediately placed in ice-cold F-10 media (Gibco, Australia). Dams were sacrificed by intraperitoneal (IP) overdose (50 mg/100 g body weight) of pentobarbitone sodium (Lethabarb; Virbac, Australia). Postnatal and adult (8 to 10 week old) rats were anaesthetised by overdose of Lethabarb (IP, 32.5 mg/100 g body weight). Eyes and SCs were quickly dissected out and placed into fresh ice-cold F-10 media. Retinas were dissected free of the surrounding tunica and vitreous, left and right retinas pooled and placed immediately into RNAlater (Ambion, USA). Similarly, SCs were dissected free of surrounding meninges and placed in RNAlater. All tissues stored in RNAlater were cooled to 4°C within one hour for overnight storage, before transfer into -20°C for

longer term storage. Four to five animals were used per group.

Tissue collection for in situ hybridisation

P1 and P7 Wistar rats were anaesthetised by overdose injection of Lethabarb before transcardial perfusion with 0.05% (w/v) heparin (David Bull Laboratories, Australia) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (w/v; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) in 0.1 M Sorenson's Buffer (4% PFA; pH 7.4). Eyes and whole brains were dissected out and postfixed in 4% PFA for 30 minutes before cryoprotection in diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) treated PBS containing 30% sucrose (w/v; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) overnight at 4°C. PBS/sucrose solution was gradually replaced with Jung tissue freezing medium (Leica Microsystems, Australia) over several days. After infusion tissues were snap frozen in isopropanol (2-propanol; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia). 20 µM sections were cut on a cryostat, placed on SuperFrost Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser, Germany), and stored at -80°C until processing by in situ hybridisation (ISH).

qPCR

Methodology for qPCR was as previously detailed [97], and briefly described here. Total RNA was extracted with Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center, USA) and treated with recombinant DNase I (rDNase I; DNA-free; Ambion, USA). First strand cDNA was synthesised using Omniscript (Qiagen, Australia) and random hexamers (Promega, Australia). Previously validated primer pairs [97] were used to quantify RNA transcript expressions of Sema3a, Sema3b, Sema3c, Sema3e, Sema3f, Plxna1, Plxna2, Plxna3, Plxna4a, Nrp1, Nrp2, L1cam, and internal reference genes Ppia, Rnr1, and Rpl19. qPCR runs were performed on either a Rotor-Gene 3000 or 6000 (Qiagen, USA), using Bio-Rad iQ SYBR 2x Mastermix (Bio-Rad, Australia) in 10 µL reactions containing 500 nM of each primer, and C_q values obtained from using the inbuilt second derivative maximum (SDM) equation. qPCR efficiencies are averages of efficiencies calculated from individual reactions using LinRegPCR [98,99]. Initial fluorescence levels (N_0) were calculated from C_{α} and mean efficiency values [100], and then normalised to the geometric mean of appropriate internal reference genes [101]. BestKeeper analysis found all internal reference genes were appropriate internal controls, as judged by the standard deviation in Cq values. However, Rpl19 was not used as an internal control because its RNA expression changed significantly between developmental groups (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 in the retina and SC respectively), and was relatively poorly correlated to the BestKeeper index SC (Spearman's R = 0.444). Thus expression data were normalised to the geometric mean of *Rnr1* and *Ppia* expression [101].

Normalised data were analysed for changes between developmental groups by Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (analysis of variance). When Kruskal Wallis ANOVA resulted in p < 0.05 pairwise tests of statistical significance between time points were performed by Mann–Whitney U tests.

In situ hybridisation

Plasmid for *Plxna3* riboprobe was kindly provided by Professor Joost Verhaagen (Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Amsterdam). Sense and anti-sense probes were produced by restriction endonuclease (RE; New England Biolabs, USA) digest followed by *in vitro* RNA transcription (IVT) with digoxigenin labelled RNA (Roche, Australia) and RNA polymerase (Roche, Australia). RE used were: sense, Kpn I; anti-sense, Spe I. Riboprobes were hydrolysed for one hour at 60°C, precipitated with LiCl and 100% ethanol, resuspended in DEPC treated double deionised water (DDW), and stored at –80°C before use.

P1 and P7 retinal sections were processed for ISH with the above riboprobe using methodology previously described [27], with α -dig-AP fragments (Roche, Australia) and NBT/BCIP (Roche, Australia). Sections were differentiated in 70% ethanol if necessary, and stored in PBS before proceeding to immunohistochemistry (IHC). Sense riboprobes produced limited, diffuse staining, and all experimental runs showed positive anti-sense riboprobe staining in control tissue (adult rat cerebellum; data not shown).

Immunohistochemistry

After ISH processing, sections were processed for immunofluorescence using standard methods. Antibody diluent was 0.1% (w/v) Triton-X100, 10% (v/v) normal goat serum (NGS; Chemicon, USA), in PBS. Primary antibody (α - β III-tubulin (TUJ1); mouse monoclonal, 1:2,000 dilution; Covance, USA) incubation was 4°C overnight in a humidified chamber, followed by secondary antibody (α -mouse FITC, goat raised; 1:400 dilution; ICN Cappel, USA) incubation for 2 hours in a dark humidified chamber at room temperature. Slides were coverslipped using fluorescent mounting media (DAKO, Australia).

Cell cultures were stained for β III-tubulin and F-actin. β III-tubulin was labelled by IHC according to standard practice, using the same antibody diluent, incubation times, and antibodies as described above. Following immunostaining for β III-tubulin, cultures were incubated with phalloidin-FITC (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) diluted 1:1,000 in PBS for 2 hours at room temperature to stain F-actin, then were washed 3 times with PBS, before being coverslipped using DAKO fluorescent mounting media.

Recombinant Class 3 Semaphorin conditioned media

Expression plasmids (*Sema3a*-GFP, *Sema3c*-FLAG, *Sema3e*-FLAG, *Sema3f*-AP) were also a gift from Professor Joost Verhaagen. The *Sema3a*-GFP and *Sema3f*-AP plasmids have previously been characterised [75,102], containing rat and mouse cDNA respectively. *Sema3c*-FLAG contains mouse *Sema3c* cDNA, and *Sema3e*-FLAG contains human *Sema3e* cDNA. Plasmid constructs were verified by sequencing.

HEK-293 T cultures were grown in DMEM: FBS (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium:fetal bovine serum; Gibco, Australia) 9:1 fortified with gentamycin (50 μ g/mL; Invitrogen, Australia), and then grown without the presence of antibiotics overnight. Cells were transfected with plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Australia), using Opti-MEM (Invitrogen, Australia) as per manufacturer's instructions. Media was changed to original growth media with antibiotics 6 hours after transfection, and conditioned media collected 30 hours later. Control conditioned medium was obtained by the same methods, using sham (no plasmid) transfected cultures.

Immunoprecipitation and detection of recombinant proteins

SEMA3A-GFP was purified from conditioned media using magnetic GFP-Trap beads (Chromotek, Germany). FLAG tagged proteins (SEMA3C-FLAG and SEMA3E-FLAG), and Myc tagged proteins (SEMA3F-AP) were immunoprecipitated from conditioned media using Dynabeads Protein A/G (Invitrogen, Australia) and 5 μ g α -FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), or 5 μ g α -Myc antibody (CellSignaling, USA). Proteins were eluted in 2X Laemmili Buffer (250 mM Tris, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 4% (w/v) SDS, 2% (v/v) β -mercaptoethanol, 0.005% (w/v) bromophenol blue, in DDW pH 6.8), separated by SDS-PAGE (Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Precast Gels; Bio-Rad, Australia) and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Trans-Blot Turbo Mini Nitrocellulose Transfer Pack; Bio-Rad, Australia). Recombinant proteins were detected by western blot using 5% (w/v) skim milk powder in Tris buffered saline containing Tween (TBS-T; 100 mM Tris, 154 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, in DDW pH 7.5) blocking buffer, α-GFP antibody (mouse monoclonal clones 7.1 and 13.1, 0.4 μg/mL; Roche, Australia), α-FLAG antibody (mouse monoclonal, 10 µg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), α -Myc antibody (mouse monoclonal, 1:1,000 dilution; Cell Signaling, USA), and α -mouse-HRP secondary antibody (1:10,000 dilution; Peirce Scientific, Australia). Proteins were visualised by chemiluminescence (Immun-Star; Bio-Rad, USA) using the Chemi-Doc system (Bio-Rad, USA).

Immunopurified P1/P2 retinal ganglion cell cultures

P1 Wistar pups were euthanised by IP Lethabarb overdose and retinas dissected free in PBS. Retinas were

dissociated with MiltenyiBiotec Tissue Dissociation Kit -Postnatal Neurons (MiltenyBiotec, Australia), and RGCs purified using the MACS RGC Isolation Kit (MiltenyiBiotec, Australia) according to manufacturer's instructions. Purified RGCs were resuspended in growth media (Neurobasal media (Invitrogen, Australia), 1X B27 supplement (Invitrogen, Australia), 1.25 µM transferrin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 0.2 µM progesterone (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 100 µM putrescine (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 230 nM sodium selenite (Sigma-Aldrich), 1.5 µM bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 872 nM bovine pancreas insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 1 mM Lglutamine (Invitrogen, Australia), 30.6 µM N-acetyl-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 6 nM triiodithyronine (T3; Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia)) fortified with growth factors (5 μ M insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 5 µM forskolin (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia), 440 pM rat ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF; PeproTech, USA), 1.85 nM human brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; PeproTech, USA) to increase survival as previously described [103]. Cells were seeded onto PDL (100 µg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and mouse laminin (10 µg/mL; Invitrogen, Australia) coated BD CultureSlides (BD, Australia) at approximately 6,000 cells per mm^2 .

Purified retinal ganglion cell growth cone collapse assay

Purified RGC cultures were grown overnight at 37°C and 5% pCO₂. The following day (effectively P2) 30 µL of conditioned media was added to the 300 μ L of growth media in each well and incubated at 37°C and 5% pCO₂ for 30 minutes. Cultures were then fixed with 4% PFA and stained for BIII-tubulin and F-actin. Epifluorescent photomicrographs were taken of all neurons in all cultures, and encoded such that analysis was completed blind to treatment group. Growth cones were scored as either collapsed or uncollapsed [104]: uncollapsed if the neurite itself was at least two cell body widths in length, and the growth cone spread with an arc of at least approximately 60°; other growth cones on neurites at least two cell body widths in length were classified as collapsed. The number of collapsed and uncollapsed growth cones was normalised to totals in the control group, and compared using a Chi-square test. The minimum number of growth cones counted per group was 50, and the assay was repeated to confirm results.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Pairwise statistical comparisons of expression data. Normalised data were analysed for changes between developmental groups by Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA (analysis of variance). When Kruskal Wallis ANOVA resulted in p < 0.05 pairwise tests of statistical significance between time points were performed by Mann–Whitney *U* tests. e: p = 0.05; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Recombinant Sema3 protein expression in conditioned media. A: Presented are three different blots, lined up against the same ladder. Controls were from cultures that underwent a sham transfection (no plasmid). Boxes indicated bands of expected molecular weights. Detected bands for SEMA3A-GFP correspond to the approximately 130 kDa and 90 kDa bands reported previously [75]. SEMA3C-FLAG was detected at around 80 kDa as expected, and also in a presumably processed form at around 70 kDa. Both SEMA3C-FLAG and SEMA3E-ELAG were detected in media at approximately 100 kDa, which might represent glycosylated forms of the proteins. SEMA3F-AP was detected at 150 kDa, in line with expectations. B-G: recombinant Class 3 Semaphorin expression in HEK-293 T cells transfected with expression plasmids for Sema3a-GFP, Sema3c-FLAG, Sema3e-FLAG, and Sema3f-AP, as well as a no plasmid control. SEMA3A-GFP expression was visualised directly by epifluorescence (B), SEMA3B-FLAG (C) and SEMA3E-FLAG (D) was detected following fluorescence immunocytochemistry, and SEMA3F-AP was detected by the presence of colour reaction product from NBT/ BCIP (F). Scale bar: 50 µM.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AS carried out qPCR, in situ hybridisations, immunohistochemistry, data analyses, project coordination, manuscript preparation and revision. CL performed immunoprecipitation and western blotting. GWP participated in experimental design and manuscript revision. ARH conceived experimental design, aided in project management, and contributed heavily to manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the Western Australia Neurotrauma Research Program. Manuscript preparation was partly funded by a PhD Completion Scholarship from The University of Western Australia. We are indebted to Professor Joost Verhaagen for his advice, and for the generous gift of some of the reagents used in this study.

Author details

¹School of Anatomy, Physiology and Human Biology, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia. ²Stanford Partnership for Spinal Cord Injury and Repair, Department of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Lorry I. Lokey Stem Cell Research Building (SIM1), 265 Campus Drive, Stanford, CA 94305-5454, USA.

Received: 21 March 2014 Accepted: 15 July 2014 Published: 26 July 2014

References

- 1. Scicolone G, Ortalli AL, Carri NG: **Key roles of Ephs and ephrins in** retinotectal topographic map formation. *Brain Res Bull* 2009, **79**:227–247.
- 2. Erskine L, Herrera E: The retinal ganglion cell axon's journey: insights into molecular mechanisms of axon guidance. *Dev Biol* 2007, **308**:1–14.
- Isenmann S, Kretz A, Cellerino A: Molecular determinants of retinal ganglion cell development, survival, and regeneration. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2003, 22:483–543.
- Feldheim DA, O'Leary DDM: Visual map development: bidirectional signaling, bifunctional guidance molecules, and competition. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2010, 2:a001768.
- McLaughlin T, Hindges R, O'Leary DD: Regulation of axial patterning of the retina and its topographic mapping in the brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2003. 13:57–69.
- Reese BE: Development of the retina and optic pathway. Vis Res 2011, 51:613–632.
- Harada T, Harada C, Parada LF: Molecular regulation of visual system development: more than meets the eye. Genes Dev 2007, 21:367–378.
- Campbell DS, Regan AG, Lopez JS, Tannahill D, Harris WA, Holt CE: Semaphorin 3A elicits stage-dependent collapse, turning, and branching in Xenopus retinal growth cones. J Neurosci 2001, 21:8538–8547.
- 9. Kita EM, Bertolesi GE, Hehr CL, Johnston J, McFarlane S: Neuropilin-1 biases dendrite polarization in the retina. *Development* 2013, 140:2933–2941.

- Halloran MC, Severance SM, Yee CS, Gemza DL, Raper JA, Kuwada JY: Analysis of a Zebrafish semaphorin reveals potential functions in vivo. Dev Dyn 1999, 214:13–25.
- Liu Y, Berndt J, Su F, Tawarayama H, Shoji W, Kuwada JY, Halloran MC: Semaphorin3D guides retinal axons along the dorsoventral axis of the tectum. J Neurosci 2004, 24:310–318.
- 12. Sakai JA, Halloran MC: Semaphorin 3d guides laterality of retinal ganglion cell projections in zebrafish. *Development* 2006, 133:1035–1044.
- 13. Callander DC, Lamont RE, Childs SJ, McFarlane S: Expression of multiple class three semaphorins in the retina and along the path of zebrafish retinal axons. *Dev Dyn* 2007, **236**:2918–2924.
- Dell AL, Fried-Cassorla E, Xu H, Raper JA: cAMP-induced expression of neuropilin1 promotes retinal axon crossing in the zebrafish optic chiasm. *J Neurosci* 2013, 33:11076–11088.
- Rosenzweig S, Raz-Prag D, Nitzan A, Galron R, Paz M, Jeserich G, Neufeld G, Barzilai A, Solomon AS: Sema-3A indirectly disrupts the regeneration process of goldfish optic nerve after controlled injury. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2010, 248:1423–1435.
- Luo Y, Shepherd I, Li J, Renzi MJ, Chang S, Raper JA: A family of molecules related to collapsin in the embryonic chick nervous system. *Neuron* 1995, 14:1131.
- 17. Shepherd I, Luo Y, Raper JA, Chang S: **The distribution of collapsin-1 mRNA** in the developing chick nervous system. *Dev Biol* 1996, **173:**185–199.
- Takahashi T, Nakamura F, Jin Z, Kalb RG, Strittmatter SM: Semaphorins A and E act as antagonists of neuropilin-1 and agonists of neuropilin-2 receptors. *Nat Neurosci* 1998, 1:487–493.
- Henke-Fahle S, Beck KW, Püschel AW: Differential responsiveness to the chemorepellent Semaphorin 3A distinguishes Ipsi- and contralaterally projecting axons in the chick midbrain. *Dev Biol* 2001, 237:381–397.
- 20. Steinbach K, Volkmer H, Schlosshauer B: Semaphorin 3E/collapsin-5 inhibits growing retinal axons. Exp Cell Res 2002, 279:52–61.
- 21. Steffensky M, Steinbach K, Schwarz U, Schlosshauer B: Differential impact of semaphorin 3E and 3A on CNS axons. Int J Dev Neurosci 2006, 24:65–72.
- Matsuoka RL, Chivatakarn O, Badea TC, Samuels IS, Cahill H, Katayama K, Kumar SR, Suto F, Chedotal A, Peachey NS, Nathans J, Yoshida Y, Giger RJ, Kolodkin AL: Class 5 transmembrane semaphorins control selective Mammalian retinal lamination and function. *Neuron* 2011, 71:460–473.
- Matsuoka RL, Nguyen-Ba-Charvet KT, Parray A, Badea TC, Chedotal A, Kolodkin AL: Transmembrane semaphorin signalling controls laminar stratification in the mammalian retina. *Nature* 2011, 470:259–263.
- Sun LO, Jiang Z, Rivlin-Etzion M, Hand R, Brady CM, Matsuoka RL, Yau KW, Feller MB, Kolodkin AL: On and off retinal circuit assembly by divergent molecular mechanisms. *Science* 2013, 342:1241974.
- Kuwajima T, Yoshida Y, Takegahara N, Petros TJ, Kumanogoh A, Jessell TM, Sakurai T, Mason C: Optic chiasm presentation of Semaphorin6D in the context of Plexin-A1 and Nr-CAM promotes retinal axon midline crossing. *Neuron* 2012, 74:676–690.
- 26. Semaphorin Nomenclature Committee: Unified Nomenclature for the Semaphorins/Collapsins. *Cell* 1999, **97:**551–552.
- de Winter F, Cui Q, Symons N, Verhaagen J, Harvey AR: Expression of class-3 semaphorins and their receptors in the neonatal and adult rat retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004, 45:4554–4562.
- Roche J, Boldog F, Robinson M, Robinson L, Varella-Garcia M, Swanton M, Waggoner B, Fishel R, Franklin W, Gemmill R, Drabkin H: Distinct 3p21.3 deletions in lung cancer and identification of a new human semaphorin. Oncogene 1996, 12:1289–1297.
- Kolodkin AL, Matthes DJ, Goodman CS: The semaphorin genes encode a family of transmembrane and secreted growth cone guidance molecules. *Cell* 1993, 75:1389.
- 30. Luo Y, Raible D, Raper JA: Collapsin: a protein in brain that induces the collapse and paralysis of neuronal growth cones. *Cell* 1993, **75:**217.
- Feiner L, Koppel AM, Kobayashi H, Raper JA: Secreted chick semaphorins bind recombinant neuropilin with similar affinities but bind different subsets of neurons in situ. *Neuron* 1997, 19:539–545.
- Püschel AW, Adams RH, Betz H: Murine semaphorin D/collapsin is a member of a diverse gene family and creates domains inhibitory for axonal extension. *Neuron* 1995, 14:941.
- Sekido Y, Bader S, Latif F, Chen J-Y, Duh F-M, Wei M-H, Albanesi JP, Lee C-C, Lerman MI, Minna JD: Human semaphorins A (V) and IV reside in the 3p21.3 small cell lung cancer deletion region and demonstrate distinct expression patterns. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996, 93:4120–4125.

- Xiang R: Isolation of the human semaphorin III/F gene (SEMA3F) at chromosome 3p21, a region deleted in lung cancer. *Genomics* 1996, 32:39.
- Taniguchi M, Masuda T, Fukaya M, Kataoka H, Mishina M, Yaginuma H, Watanabe M, Shimizu T: Identification and characterization of a novel member of murine semaphorin family. *Genes Cells* 2005, 10:785–792.
- 36. Stevens CB, Halloran MC: Developmental expression of sema3G, a novel zebrafish semaphorin. *Gene Expr Patterns* 2005, **5**:647–653.
- 37. Sharma A, Verhaagen J, Harvey AR: Receptor complexes for each of the Class 3 Semaphorins. Front Cell Neurosci 2012, 6:28.
- Kolodkin AL, Matthes DJ, O'Connor TP, Patel NH, Admon A, Bentley D, Goodman CS: Fasciclin IV: sequence, expression, and function during growth cone guidance in the grasshopper embryo. *Neuron* 1992, 9:831–845.
- Roth L, Koncina E, Satkauskas S, Cremel G, Aunis D, Bagnard D: The many faces of semaphorins: from development to pathology. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2009, 66:649–666.
- 40. Yazdani U, Terman JR: The semaphorins. Genome Biol 2006, 7:211.
- 41. Mizui M, Kumanogoh A, Kikutani H: Immune semaphorins: novel features of neural guidance molecules. J Clin Immunol 2009, 29:1–11.
- 42. Pasterkamp RJ, Giger RJ: Semaphorin function in neural plasticity and disease. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2009, **19:**263–274.
- 43. Suzuki K, Kumanogoh A, Kikutani H: Semaphorins and their receptors in immune cell interactions. *Nat Immunol* 2008, **9:**17–23.
- 44. Neufeld G, Kessler O: The semaphorins: versatile regulators of tumour progression and tumour angiogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2008, 8:632–645.
- Claudepierre T, Koncina E, Pfrieger FW, Bagnard D, Aunis D, Reber M: Implication of neuropilin 2/semaphorin 3F in retinocollicular map formation. *Dev Dyn* 2008, 237:3394–3403.
- Kim J, Oh WJ, Gaiano N, Yoshida Y, Gu C: Semaphorin 3E-Plexin-D1 signaling regulates VEGF function in developmental angiogenesis via a feedback mechanism. *Genes Dev* 2011, 25:1399–1411.
- Sefton AJ, Dreher B, Harvey A: Visual system. In *The Rat Nervous System*. 3rd edition. Edited by Paxinos G. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press; 2004:1083–1165.
- Rapaport DH, Wong LL, Wood ED, Yasumura D, LaVail MM: Timing and topography of cell genesis in the rat retina. J Comp Neurol 2004, 474:304–324.
- Agathocleous M, Harris WA: Cell determination. In *Retinal Development*. Edited by Sernagor E, Eglen S, Harris B, Wong R. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006:75–98.
- Rapaport DH: Retinal Neurogenesis. In *Retinal Development*. Edited by Sernagor E, Eglen S, Harris B, Wong R. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006:30–58.
- Chan-Ling T, Chu Y, Baxter L, Weible li M, Hughes S: In vivo characterization of astrocyte precursor cells (APCs) and astrocytes in developing rat retinae: differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. *Glia* 2009, 57:39–53.
- Dallimore EJ, Park KK, Pollett MA, Taylor JSH, Harvey AR: The life, death and regenerative ability of immature and mature rat retinal ganglion cells are influenced by their birthdate. *Exp Neurol* 2010, 225:353–365.
- Dreher B, Potts RA, Bennett MR: Evidence that the early postnatal reduction in the number of rat retinal ganglion cells is due to a wave of ganglion cell death. *Neurosci Lett* 1983, 36:255–260.
- Horsburgh GM, Sefton AJ: Cellular degeneration and synaptogenesis in the developing retina of the rat. J Comp Neurol 1987, 263:553–566.
- Potts RA, Dreher B, Bennett MR: The loss of ganglion cells in the developing retina of the rat. Dev Brain Res 1982, 3:481–486.
- Crespo D, O'Leary DD, Cowan WM: Changes in the numbers of optic nerve fibers during late prenatal and postnatal development in the albino rat. Brain Res 1985, 351:129–134.
- Perry VH, Henderson Z, Linden R: Postnatal changes in retinal ganglion cell and optic axon populations in the pigmented rat. J Comp Neurol 1983, 219:356–368.
- Fruttiger M: Development of the retinal vasculature. Angiogenesis 2007, 10:77–88.
- Dhingra NK, Ramamohan Y, Raju TR: Developmental expression of synaptophysin, synapsin I and syntaxin in the rat retina. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 1997, 102:267–273.
- 60. Sarthy PV, Bacon W: Developmental expression of a synaptic vesiclespecific protein in the rat retina. *Dev Biol* 1985, **112**:284–291.
- Kapfhammer JP, Christ F, Schwab ME: The expression of GAP-43 and synaptophysin in the developing rat retina. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 1994, 80:251–260.

- 62. Weidman TA, Kuwabara T: Postnatal development of the rat retina. An electron microscopic study. Arch Ophthalmol 1968, **79**:470–484.
- Olney JW: An electron microscopic study of synapse formation, receptor outer segment development, and other aspects of developing mouse retina. *Invest Ophthalmol* 1968, 7:250–268.
- Altman J, Bayer SA: Time of origin of neurons of the rat superior colliculus in relation to other components of the visual and visuomotor pathways. *Exp Brain Res* 1981, 42:424–434.
- 65. Mustari MJ, Lund RD, Graubard K: Histogenesis of the superior colliculus of the albino rat: a tritiated thymidine study. *Brain Res* 1979, **164**:39–52.
- Giordano DL, Murray M, Cunningham TJ: Naturally occurring neuron death in the optic layers of superior colliculus of the postnatal rat. J Neurocytol 1980, 9:603–614.
- 67. Giordano DL, Cunningham TJ: Optic afferents, neuron maturation, and neuron survival in the rat superior colliculus. *Brain Res* 1982, **256**:365–368.
- Warton SS, Jones DG: Postnatal development of the superficial layers in the rat superior colliculus: a study with Golgi-Cox and Kluver-Barrera techniques. *Exp Brain Res* 1985, 58:490–502.
- 69. Warton SS, McCart R: Synaptogenesis in the stratum griseum superficiale of the rat superior colliculus. *Synapse* 1989, **3**:136–148.
- 70. Lund RD, Lund JS: Development of synaptic patterns in the superior colliculus of the rat. Brain Res 1972, 42:1–20.
- 71. Bunt SM, Lund RD, Land PW: Prenatal development of the optic projection in albino and hooded rats. Brain Res 1983, 282:149–168.
- Dallimore EJ, Cui Q, Beazley LD, Harvey AR: Postnatal innervation of the rat superior colliculus by axons of late-born retinal ganglion cells. *Eur J Neurosci* 2002, 16:1295–1304.
- Thong IG, Dreher B: The development of the corticotectal pathway in the albino rat: transient projections from the visual and motor cortices. *Neurosci Lett* 1987, 80:275–282.
- de Winter F, Oudega M, Lankhorst AJ, Hamers FP, Blits B, Ruitenberg MJ, Pasterkamp RJ, Gispen WH, Verhaagen J: Injury-induced class 3 semaphorin expression in the rat spinal cord. *Exp Neurol* 2002, 175:61–75.
- de Wit J, de Winter F, Klooster J, Verhaagen J: Semaphorin 3A displays a punctate distribution on the surface of neuronal cells and interacts with proteoglycans in the extracellular matrix. Mol Cell Neurosci 2005, 29:40–55.
- Davenport RW, Thies E, Cohen ML: Neuronal growth cone collapse triggers lateral extensions along trailing axons. Nat Neurosci 1999, 2:254–259.
- 77. Tran TS, Kolodkin AL, Bharadwaj R: Semaphorin regulation of cellular morphology. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2007, 23:263–292.
- Torre ER, Gutekunst C-A, Gross RE: Expression by midbrain dopamine neurons of Sema3A and 3F receptors is associated with chemorepulsion in vitro but a mild in vivo phenotype. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2010, 44:135–153.
- Buehler A, Sitaras N, Favret S, Bucher F, Berger S, Pielen A, Joyal JS, Juan AM, Martin G, Schlunck G, Agostini HT, Klagsbrun M, Smith LE, Sapieha P, Stahl A: Semaphorin 3F forms an anti-angiogenic barrier in outer retina. FEBS Lett 2013, 587:1650–1655.
- Edwards MA, Caviness VS Jr, Schneider GE: Development of cell and fiber lamination in the mouse superior colliculus. J Comp Neurol 1986, 248:395–409.
- Shirvan A, Kimron M, Holdengreber V, Ziv I, Ben-Shaul Y, Melamed S, Melamed E, Barzilai A, Solomon AS: Anti-semaphorin 3A antibodies rescue retinal ganglion cells from cell death following optic nerve axotomy. *J Biol Chem* 2002, 277:49799–49807.
- Shirvan A, Ziv I, Fleminger G, Shina R, He Z, Brudo I, Melamed E, Barzilai A: Semaphorins as mediators of neuronal apoptosis. J Neurochem 1999, 73:961–971.
- Gagliardini V, Fankhauser C: Semaphorin III can induce death in sensory neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci 1999, 14:301–316.
- Ben-Zvi A, Manor O, Schachner M, Yaron A, Tessier-Lavigne M, Behar O: The Semaphorin receptor PlexinA3 mediates neuronal apoptosis during dorsal root ganglia development. J Neurosci 2008, 28:12427–12432.
- Bagnard D, Vaillant C, Khuth ST, Dufay N, Lohrum M, Püschel AW, Belin MF, Bolz J, Thomasset N: Semaphorin 3A-vascular endothelial growth factor-165 balance mediates migration and apoptosis of neural progenitor cells by the recruitment of shared receptor. J Neurosci 2001, 21:3332–3341.
- Bagnard D, Sainturet N, Meyronet D, Perraut M, Miehe M, Roussel G, Aunis D, Belin MF, Thomasset N: Differential MAP kinases activation during semaphorin3A-induced repulsion or apoptosis of neural progenitor cells. Mol Cell Neurosci 2004, 25:722–731.

- Schlomann U, Schwamborn JC, Muller M, Fassler R, Püschel AW: The stimulation of dendrite growth by Sema3A requires integrin engagement and focal adhesion kinase. J Cell Sci 2009, 122:2034–2042.
- Polleux F, Morrow T, Ghosh A: Semaphorin 3A is a chemoattractant for cortical apical dendrites. *Nature* 2000, 404:567–573.
- Morita A, Yamashita N, Sasaki Y, Uchida Y, Nakajima O, Nakamura F, Yagi T, Taniguchi M, Usui H, Katoh-Semba R, Takei K, Goshima Y: Regulation of dendritic branching and spine maturation by semaphorin3A-Fyn signaling. J Neurosci 2006, 26:2971–2980.
- Vo T, Carulli D, Ehlert EME, Kwok JCF, Dick G, Mecollari V, Moloney EB, Neufeld G, de Winter F, Fawcett JW, Verhaagen J: The chemorepulsive axon guidance protein semaphorin3A is a constituent of perineuronal nets in the adult rodent brain. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2013, 56:186–200.
- Cioni JM, Telley L, Saywell V, Cadilhac C, Jourdan C, Huber AB, Huang JZ, Jahannault-Talignani C, Ango F: SEMA3A signaling controls layer-specific interneuron branching in the cerebellum. *Curr Biol* 2013, 23:850–861.
- Uesaka N, Uchigashima M, Mikuni T, Nakazawa T, Nakao H, Hirai H, Aiba A, Watanabe M, Kano M: Retrograde semaphorin signaling regulates synapse elimination in the developing mouse brain. *Science* 2014, 344:1020–1023.
- Demyanenko GP, Maness PF: The L1 cell adhesion molecule is essential for topographic mapping of retinal axons. J Neurosci 2003, 23:530–538.
- Buhusi M, Schlatter MC, Demyanenko GP, Thresher R, Maness PF: L1 interaction with ankyrin regulates mediolateral topography in the retinocollicular projection. J Neurosci 2008, 28:177–188.
- Gong S, Zheng C, Doughty ML, Losos K, Didkovsky N, Schambra UB, Nowak NJ, Joyner A, Leblanc G, Hatten ME, Heintz N: A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. *Nature* 2003, 425:917–925.
- Low LK, Liu XB, Faulkner RL, Coble J, Cheng HJ: Plexin signaling selectively regulates the stereotyped pruning of corticospinal axons from visual cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008, 105:8136–8141.
- Sharma A, Pollett MA, Plant GW, Harvey AR: Changes in mRNA expression of class 3 semaphorins and their receptors in the adult rat retinocollicular system after unilateral optic nerve injury. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis* Sci 2012, 53:8367–8377.
- Ramakers C, Ruijter JM, Deprez RH, Moorman AF: Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. *Neurosci Lett* 2003, 339:62–66.
- Ruijter JM, Ramakers C, Hoogaars WM, Karlen Y, Bakker O, van den Hoff MJ, Moorman AF: Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37:e45.
- Schefe JH, Lehmann KE, Buschmann IR, Unger T, Funke-Kaiser H: Quantitative real-time RT-PCR data analysis: current concepts and the novel "gene expression's CT difference" formula. J Mol Med (Berl) 2006, 84:901–910.
- 101. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F: Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol* 2002, 3:Research0034.
- 102. Chen H, Chedotal A, He Z, Goodman CS, Tessier-Lavigne M: Neuropilin-2, a novel member of the neuropilin family, is a high affinity receptor for the semaphorins Sema E and Sema IV but not Sema III. Neuron 1997, 19:547–559.
- Meyer-Franke A, Kaplan MR, Pfieger FW, Barres BA: Characterization of the signaling interactions that promote the survival and growth of developing retinal ganglion cells in culture. *Neuron* 1995, 15:805–819.
- Kapfhammer JP, Xu H, Raper JA: The detection and quantification of growth cone collapsing activities. Nat Protoc 2007, 2:2005–2011.

doi:10.1186/s12861-014-0034-9

Cite this article as: Sharma *et al.*: **Changes in expression of Class 3** Semaphorins and their receptors during development of the rat retina and superior colliculus. *BMC Developmental Biology* 2014 14:34.