ConTra v2: a tool to identify transcription factor binding sites across species, update 2011

Stefan Broos*, Paco Hulpiau, Jeroen Galle, Bart Hooghe, Frans Van Roy and Pieter De Bleser*

Department for Molecular Biomedical Research, VIB and Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

ABSTRACT

Transcription factors are important gene regulators with distinctive roles in development, cell signaling and cell cycling, and they have been associated with many diseases. The ConTra v2 web server allows easy visualization and exploration of predicted transcription factor binding sites in any genomic region surrounding coding or non-coding genes. In this new version, users can choose from nine reference organisms ranging from human to yeast. ConTra v2 can analyze promoter regions, 5′-UTRs, 3′-UTRs and introns or any other genomic region of interest. Hundreds of position weight matrices are available to choose from, but the user can also upload any other matrices for detecting specific binding sites. A typical analysis is run in four simple steps of choosing the gene, the transcript, the region of interest and then selecting one or more transcription factor binding sites. The ConTra v2 web server is freely available at http://bioit.dmbr.ugent.be/contrav2/index.php.

INTRODUCTION

Both transcription factors (TFs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) are key players in gene regulation in multicellular organisms (1). Based on pairing between miRNAs and mRNAs, miRNA targets are predicted by searching for matches with the miRNA seed regions (2). On the other hand, the use of a position weight matrix (PWM) is the leading model for detection of TF binding sites (TFBSs). A PWM represents the sequence motif and depicts the DNA binding preferences of the TF. It is constructed using a set of known binding sequences.

Traditionally, regulation of genes by TFs is predicted by analyzing promoter regions and determined experimentally by DNAse-foot-printing assays or electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA). Nowadays, functional protein–DNA binding sites are increasingly studied on a genomic scale by using ChIP-seq. These studies indicate that only some of the functional TFBS are located in promoter regions; introns and untranslated regions (UTRs) also contain a substantial number of functional sites (3–5). For example, regulatory sites in the first intron might interact with sites in the promoter region due to DNA looping (6,7).

Of the estimated 2000 human TFs, ~300 are thought to bind to the core promoter and to play a role in the general transcription machinery, whereas the rest bind more specifically and regulate a fraction of genes (8). The latter TFs are expressed in almost all tissues or only in a few tissues, depending on whether their function is broad or more specific. Over half of the human genes are believed to have alternative promoters (9) and consequently one should investigate the promoters, UTRs and intronic regions of each individual transcript.

In this update, we describe the new features and expansions of the ConTra webserver. In this tool, for any genomic region TF binding sites can be detected and visualized of the known transcripts of a gene of interest. Starting from one of nine reference organisms, a scientist can easily investigate regulation at the transcription level using the latest UCSC multiz alignments, which are accessible through the ConTra interface. Alternatively, sequence files and PWMs can be uploaded for analysis of the user's own data. Similar web tools with their pros and cons compared to ConTra v2 are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

---

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +32 9 3313 601; Fax: +32 9 3313 609; Email: stefanb@dmbr.vib-ugent.be
Correspondence may also be addressed to Pieter De Bleser. Tel: +32 9 3313 601; Fax: +32 9 3313 609; Email: pieterdb@dmbr.vib-ugent.be

The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as joint First Authors.

Table 1. Summary of the number of genes, non-coding genes and transcripts for each reference organism that can be analyzed in ConTra v2

Reference species	Common name	Assembly	Genes	RefSeq transcripts	Coding (NM_) (%)	Non-coding (NR_) (%)	Ensembl transcripts	Multiple sequence alignment
Homo sapiens	human	hg19	22 167	37 474	86.3	13.7	151 222	multiz46way of 46 vertebrate genomes (hg19)
Mus musculus	mouse	mm9	21 786	27 621	93.3	6.7	88 186	multiz30way of 30 vertebrate genomes
Bos taurus	cow	bosTau4	11 559	12 427	97.7	2.3	31 598	multiz5way: cow, dog, human, mouse, platypus
Gallus gallus	chicken	galGal3	4905	5176	90.1	9.9	23 392	multiz7way: chicken, human, mouse, rat, opossum, frog, zebrafish
Xenopus tropicalis	frog	xenTro2	8358	9695	99.8	0.2	28 937	multiz7way: frog, chicken, opossum, human, mouse, rat, zebrafish
Danio rerio	zebrafish	danRer6	13 812	15 776	95.6	4.4	32 992	multiz6way: zebrafish, tetraodon, stickleback, frog, mouse, human
Drosophila melanogaster	fruit fly	dm3	14 230	23 550	94.1	5.9	23 017	multiz15way of 15 insects
Caenorhabditis elegans	worm	ce6	19 903	24 892	97.1	2.9	35 019	multiz6way of 6 worms
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	yeast	sacCer2	7130	na	na	na	7130	multiz7way of 7 yeast species

For each species, a specific UCSC multiz alignment is used.

NEW FEATURES

The first version of ConTra provided users with a flexible way to analyze promoter alignments (10). Users were able to visualize or explore TFBSs in the promoter region of a gene of interest. PWM libraries from the JASPAR CORE database and TRANSFAC database were used to identify TFBSs in a multi-species alignment with human as reference species. Even though the human genome is one of the most widely used reference genomes, the lack of other reference species and alignments was regarded as one of the most important shortcomings in the first version of ConTra. Furthermore, only the promoter region could be analyzed for TFBSs.

The 2011 update of ConTra adds the following features. In addition to the promoter region, users can now look for TFBSs in 5′-UTR, 3′-UTR and introns. Evidence is rising that these regions are at least as important in transcriptional regulation as the promoter region itself (3–5,11). Mokry *et al.* (3) demonstrated that many (35–40%) of the TCF4 binding sites are intronic. Furthermore, considerable fractions of ZNF-263-, CTCF-, NRSF- and STAT1 binding sites are located in 5′-UTR, 3′-UTR and intronic regions. A detailed overview of the relative importance of the aforementioned genomic regions is given in Supplementary Table S2.

In the first edition of ConTra, searching for TFBSs was only possible in multiple alignments in relation to the human genome, which left many users empty handed. In ConTra v2, multiple alignments with mouse, chicken, cow, frog, zebrafish, fruitfly, worm and yeast as reference species have been added. A detailed overview of the different genome assemblies, genes and multiz alignments available in ConTra v2 is presented in Table 1. Although the human genome is the most widely studied genome, other model organisms should not be ignored. The importance of the different model organisms is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1, in which the popularity of the different organisms is compared in terms of PubMed hits.

In ConTra v2, transcripts can be searched for using the official HGNC gene name, HGNC symbol, alias, Ensembl gene ID (ENSG), the Entrez Gene ID, the RefSeq mRNA ID (NM_/NR_) or the Ensembl transcript ID (ENST). For every species, the most recent alignments are then automatically fetched from UCSC and processed.

Users can select binding motifs from different sources, including the latest versions of the TRANSFAC database (update 2010.4) (12), the JASPAR core database update 2010 (13), the phyloFACTS database (14) and a collection of homeodomain TF PWMs derived from a protein binding microarray (PBM) (15). Furthermore, PWMs can be constructed by the user using the web interface. Creating a custom PWM is as easy as uploading a fasta file containing aligned sequences. The ConTra v2 web interface automatically converts the data into the right format.

In ConTra v2, non-coding genes are no longer excluded from the analysis. TFs and miRNAs often work together in what is termed a feed-forward loop (FFL). These FFLs regulate many important biological processes, such as those in development and tumor formation (16). Non-coding transcripts are treated as regular transcripts in ConTra, and they can be analyzed in the same way. To verify whether the results on non-coding genes are meaningful, we looked for binding sites in the promoter region of miRNA-223 (hsa-miR-223 or MIR223) with RefSeq accession number NR_029637. Fukao *et al.* (17) have shown that MIR233 is regulated by a wide range of TFs, such as NFAT, C/EBP, GATA1 and PU.1. Analysis in ConTra v2 not only supports the presence of the binding sites for these TFs but also shows that they have been strongly conserved during evolution (Figure 1).

A wide variety of examples on the use of ConTra v2 can be found in online Supplementary Data. Supplementary Figures S2–S6 show results of ConTra v2 analyses on different genomic regions, using the UCSC multiz46way alignment based on the human hg19 reference sequence and illustrating experimentally validated binding sites from literature. Supplementary Figure S7 depicts an evolutionarily conserved binding site in the second intron of the *Mus musculus* nestin gene, as described by Jin *et al.* (18). In Supplementary Figure S8, two sine oculis (SO) binding sites are conserved in the second intron of the *Drosophila* Lz gene, which confirms the study of Yan

Figure 1. Visualization of the evolutionarily conserved mechanism for miRNA-223 regulation in the promoter region, as described by Fukao *et al.* (17). (**A**) Multiz alignment showing the conserved binding sites. In orange, the C/EBP TF, predicted using the Jaspar positional weight matrix MA0102.2; in blue, the NFAT TF (TRANSFAC M00935); in green; the GATA1 TF (Jaspar MA0035.2); and in pink, the PU.1 TF (Jaspar MA0080.2). The figure was created with the free multiple alignment editor Jalview using the ConTra fasta and fc file on the results page. (**B**) Region of (A) was mapped using BLAT on the mir-223 promoter in the UCSC genome browser (black box). Blue box represents the miRNA location.

et al. (19). Finally, the promoter of the *S. cerevisiae* PHD1 (FLO11) gene in Supplementary Figure S9 shows two conserved TEA TFBSs, which supports the regulatory mechanism proposed by Heise *et al.* (20).

If the genomic region of interest, for example, from another reference organism or for a new transcript, is not available in ConTra, alignment files in either the UCSC multiple alignment format (MAF), in multi-fasta format or in clustal format can be uploaded. On the help page of the web site are demos showing how to obtain such a MAF file in the UCSC genome browser, how to upload and analyze this file, and how to use the feature color (fc) file and fasta file on the result page to produce publication-quality figures similar to those in the online

Supplementary Data of this article. If a PWM model for a particular TF is not present in the available collections, uploading one's own PWM is also possible. This can be either in the PWM format, but less experienced users can simply upload an alignment file in multi-fasta format. ConTra automatically detects the input format and subsequently builds the PWM.

TECHNICAL DETAILS AND FOUR-STEP ANALYSIS PROCESS

ConTra v2 runs on a CentOS 5 server configured with an apache web server (version 2.2.3), MySQL server (5.0.77), PHP 5.1.6 and perl 5.8.8. The interface is programmed in

PHP, and alignments are fetched from UCSC using perl scripts. TFBS hits for a user-defined motif are calculated using the Match algorithm. An overview picture of these hits, created with Jalview, is embedded in the overview page with the help of the Highslide thumbnail viewer (http://www.highslide.com). Different TFs on the result page are visualized dynamically using Javascript. For each alignment block, both a file with PWM scores and a file containing a phylogenetic conservation score for each TF is provided (see File 1 in Supplementary Data for more details). Scores in the ConTra v2 exploration part are calculated in the same way as in the previous version of ConTra, with the exception that due to the inclusion of other genomic regions, we no longer take into account the distance to the transcription start site.

The ConTra v2 analysis consists of four steps. First, users have to choose whether they want to visualize or explore a gene of interest. In this step, it is also necessary to indicate the reference species and the gene of interest. The second step lists a group of available transcripts for genes matching the search terms, from which one can be selected. For every gene, all possible RefSeq and Ensembl transcript variants are listed with a link to the genomic location in the respective genome browser. This way, genes with alternative promoters, UTRs or alternative intronic regions can be analyzed for regulatory differences. In step three, different genomic regions of the selected transcript can be chosen (upstream, introns, 5′-UTR and 3′-UTR). The final step offers users an extensive choice of PWM motifs: up to 20 PWM motifs can be simultaneously taken into account for analysis.

For the visualization part, results are split into alignment blocks (Supplementary Figure S10). These blocks consist of local alignments produced by the TBA program (threaded blockset aligner) (21). In the exploration part, a list of PWMs is given, ranked according to the prediction score.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FUNDING

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Hobert,O. (2008) Gene regulation by transcription factors and microRNAs. *Science*, **319**, 1785–1786.
2. Friedman,R.C., Farh,K.K., Burge,C.B. and Bartel,D.P. (2009) Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 92–105.
3. Mokry,M., Hatzis,P., de Bruijn,E., Koster,J., Versteeg,R., Schuijers,J., van de Wetering,M., Guryev,V., Clevers,H. and Cuppen,E. (2010) Efficient double fragmentation ChIP-seq provides nucleotide resolution protein-DNA binding profiles. *PLoS One*, **5**, e15092.
4. Frietze,S., Lan,X., Jin,V.X. and Farnham,P.J. (2010) Genomic targets of the KRAB and SCAN domain-containing zinc finger protein 263. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **285**, 1393–1403.
5. Jothi,R., Cuddapah,S., Barski,A., Cui,K. and Zhao,K. (2008) Genome-wide identification of in vivo protein-DNA binding sites from ChIP-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **36**, 5221–5231.
6. Jin,H., van't Hof,R.J., Albagha,O.M. and Ralston,S.H. (2009) Promoter and intron 1 polymorphisms of COL1A1 interact to regulate transcription and susceptibility to osteoporosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **18**, 2729–2738.
7. Magklara,A. and Smith,C.L. (2009) A composite intronic element directs dynamic binding of the progesterone receptor and GATA-2. *Mol. Endocrinol.*, **23**, 61–73.
8. Farnham,P.J. (2009) Insights from genomic profiling of transcription factors. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **10**, 605–616.
9. Kimura,K., Wakamatsu,A., Suzuki,Y., Ota,T., Nishikawa,T., Yamashita,R., Yamamoto,J., Sekine,M., Tsuritani,K., Wakaguri,H. *et al.* (2006) Diversification of transcriptional modulation: large-scale identification and characterization of putative alternative promoters of human genes. *Genome Res.*, **16**, 55–65.
10. Hooghe,B., Hulpiau,P., van Roy,F. and De Bleser,P. (2008) ConTra: a promoter alignment analysis tool for identification of transcription factor binding sites across species. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **36**, W128–W132.
11. Cawley,S., Bekiranov,S., Ng,H.H., Kapranov,P., Sekinger,E.A., Kampa,D., Piccolboni,A., Sementchenko,V., Cheng,J., Williams,A.J. *et al.* (2004) Unbiased mapping of transcription factor binding sites along human chromosomes 21 and 22 points to widespread regulation of noncoding RNAs. *Cell*, **116**, 499–509.
12. Matys,V., Kel-Margoulis,O.V., Fricke,E., Liebich,I., Land,S., Barre-Dirrie,A., Reuter,I., Chekmenev,D., Krull,M., Hornischer,K. *et al.* (2006) TRANSFAC and its module TRANSCompel: transcriptional gene regulation in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **34**, D108–D110.
13. Portales-Casamar,E., Thongjuea,S., Kwon,A.T., Arenillas,D., Zhao,X., Valen,E., Yusuf,D., Lenhard,B., Wasserman,W.W. and Sandelin,A. (2010) JASPAR 2010: the greatly expanded open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **38**, D105–D110.
14. Xie,X., Lu,J., Kulbokas,E.J., Golub,T.R., Mootha,V., Lindblad-Toh,K., Lander,E.S. and Kellis,M. (2005) Systematic discovery of regulatory motifs in human promoters and 3′ UTRs by comparison of several mammals. *Nature*, **434**, 338–345.
15. Berger,M.F., Badis,G., Gehrke,A.R., Talukder,S., Philippakis,A.A., Pena-Castillo,L., Alleyne,T.M., Mnaimneh,S., Botvinnik,O.B., Chan,E.T. *et al.* (2008) Variation in homeodomain DNA binding revealed by high-resolution analysis of sequence preferences. *Cell*, **133**, 1266–1276.
16. Su,N., Wang,Y., Qian,M. and Deng,M. (2010) Combinatorial regulation of transcription factors and microRNAs. *BMC Syst. Biol.*, **4**, 150.
17. Fukao,T., Fukuda,Y., Kiga,K., Sharif,J., Hino,K., Enomoto,Y., Kawamura,A., Nakamura,K., Takeuchi,T. and Tanabe,M. (2007) An evolutionarily conserved mechanism for microRNA-223 expression revealed by microRNA gene profiling. *Cell*, **129**, 617–631.
18. Jin,Z.G., Liu,L., Zhong,H., Zhang,K.J., Chen,Y.F., Bian,W., Cheng,L.P. and Jing,N.H. (2006) Second intron of mouse nestin gene directs its expression in pluripotent embryonic carcinoma cells through POU factor binding site. *Acta. Biochim. Biophys. Sin (Shanghai)*, **38**, 207–212.

19. Yan,H., Canon,J. and Banerjee,U. (2003) A transcriptional chain linking eye specification to terminal determination of cone cells in the Drosophila eye. *Dev. Biol.*, **263**, 323–329.

20. Heise,B., van der Felden,J., Kern,S., Malcher,M., Bruckner,S. and Mosch,H.U. (2010) The TEA transcription factor Tec1 confers promoter-specific gene regulation by Ste12-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Eukaryot. Cell*, **9**, 514–531.

21. Blanchette,M., Kent,W.J., Riemer,C., Elnitski,L., Smit,A.F., Roskin,K.M., Baertsch,R., Rosenbloom,K., Clawson,H., Green,E.D. *et al.* (2004) Aligning multiple genomic sequences with the threaded blockset aligner. *Genome Res.*, **14**, 708–715.