INVITED REVIEW

Pharmacology and physiology of gastrointestinal enteroendocrine cells

O. J. Mace, B. Tehan & F. Marshall

Heptares Therapeutics Ltd, BioPark, Broadwater Road, Welwyn Garden City, AL7 3AX, United Kingdom

Keywords

Chemosensing, diabetes, enteroendocrine, GLP-1, GPCR, intestine

Correspondence

O. J. Mace, Heptares Therapeutics Ltd, BioPark, Broadwater Road, Welwyn Garden City, AL7 3AX, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0) 1707 358 733; Fax: +44 (0) 1707 358 640; E-mail: oliver.mace@heptares.com

Received: 19 February 2015; Revised: 11 May 2015; Accepted: 15 May 2015

Pharma Res Per, 3(4), 2015, e00155, doi: 10.1002/prp2.155

doi: 10.1002/prp2.155

Abstract

Gastrointestinal (GI) polypeptides are secreted from enteroendocrine cells (EECs). Recent technical advances and the identification of endogenous and synthetic ligands have enabled exploration of the pharmacology and physiology of EECs. Enteroendocrine signaling pathways stimulating hormone secretion involve multiple nutrient transporters and G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), which are activated simultaneously under prevailing nutrient conditions in the intestine following a meal. The majority of studies investigate hormone secretion from EECs in response to single ligands and although the mechanisms behind how individual signaling pathways generate a hormonal output have been well characterized, our understanding of how these signaling pathways converge to generate a single hormone secretory response is still in its infancy. However, a picture is beginning to emerge of how nutrients and full, partial, or allosteric GPCR ligands differentially regulate the enteroendocrine system and its interaction with the enteric and central nervous system. So far, activation of multiple pathways underlies drug discovery efforts to harness the therapeutic potential of the enteroendocrine system to mimic the phenotypic changes observed in patients who have undergone Roux-en-Y gastric surgery. Typically obese patients exhibit ~30% weight loss and greater than 80% of obese diabetics show remission of diabetes. Targeting combinations of enteroendocrine signaling pathways that work synergistically may manifest with significant, differentiated EEC secretory efficacy. Furthermore, allosteric modulators with their increased selectivity, self-limiting activity, and structural novelty may translate into more promising enteroendocrine drugs. Together with the potential to bias enteroendocrine GPCR signaling and/or to activate multiple divergent signaling pathways highlights the considerable range of therapeutic possibilities available. Here, we review the pharmacology and physiology of the EEC system.

Abbreviations

[Ca²⁺]₁, intracellular Ca²⁺; 5-HEPE, 5-hydroxy-eicosapentaenoic acid; BBR, bombesin receptors; cAMP, cyclic adenyl mono phosphate; CaSR, calcium sensing receptor; CCK, cholecystokinin; CGRP, calcitonin gene-related peptide; CNS, central nervous system; DAG, diacylglycerol; EECs, enteroendocrine cells; ENS, enteric nervous system; ERK, extracellular signal regulated kinase; GAL, galanin receptor; GI, gastrointestinal; GIP, glucoinsulinotropic peptide; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide 1; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; GRP, gastrin-releasing peptide; IMP, inosine-5monophosphate; IP₃, inositol triphosphate; NAM, negative allosteric modulator; NMB, neuromedin B; OEA, oleoylethanolamide; OLDA, *N*-oleoyldopamine; PA-CAP, pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating protein; PAM, positive allosteric modulator; PepT1, peptide transporter; PI3K, phosphoinositol 3-kinase; PKA, protein kinase A; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase C; PM, plasma membrane; PYY, peptide tyrosine tyrosine; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; SGLT1, sodium-coupled glucose transporter; SSTR, somatostatin receptor; T1R, taste receptors; T2R, bitter taste receptor; TM, transmembrane; VFT, venus flytrap; VGCC, voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel; VIP, vasoactive intestinal peptide; α -MSH, alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone.

The Physiology of the Enteroendocrine System

The traditional role of the intestine as a semipermeable membrane, containing transporters for nutrient uptake has largely been superseded. In addition to performing nutrient uptake vital for life, it also comprises the largest endocrine system in the body. The role of the enteroendocrine system is to detect the components of the intestinal lumen, monitor the prevailing energy status of the body, and elicit appropriate physiological responses to control postprandial whole body metabolic homeostasis in response to ingested food. Collectively, the gastrointestinal (GI) tract endocrine system produces >20 different hormones that mediate effects via neuro-, auto-, and paracrine mechanisms from at least 10 distinct enteroendocrine cell (EEC) populations. These are summarized in Table 1, together with their target tissues and physiological functions. These hormones, identified in the late 70's, include the hormones cholecystokinin (CCK) that is responsible to stimulating the digestion of dietary fat and protein, the antidiabetic hormones glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and glucoinsulinotropic polypeptide (GIP), the pro-satiety hormone peptide YY (PYY), the hunger hormone ghrelin and the inhibitory hormone somatostatin as well as the neurotransmitter 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin).

Enteroendocrine cells (EEC) are morphologically and biochemically similar to taste cells of the lingual epithelia, expressing a similar array of nutrient sensing proteins and are deliberately distributed solitarily along the mucosa of the GI tract. In terms of physiology, open EECs such as intestinal GIP-producing K cells or GLP-1secreting L-cells, stretch from the lumen of the intestine, where they possess extended microvilli ideally positioned to contact apical stimuli and span the width of the mucosa to reach the serosal blood supply (Shakhlamov and Makar' 1985). These cells "sense" the contents of the intestinal lumen. More generally, GIP-secreting EECs are located in the proximal duodenal region, CCK-secreting EECs in the duodenal and jejunal regions, GLP-1secreting EECs in the jejunal, ileal, and colonic regions, and PYY-secreting EECs appear more restricted to the ileal and colonic regions (Sjolund et al. 1983). Enterochromaffin cells (ECs) are a type of EEC that resides in the epithelia of the GI tract that secretes serotonin and regulates secretory and peristaltic reflexes, and activates vagal afferents through 5-HT₃ receptors to signal to the CNS.

In contrast, closed EECs such as the appetite-stimulatory ghrelin-secreting A cells in the stomach appear buried within the epithelial mucosa and make contact with the serosal blood supply only (Shakhlamov and Makar' 1985). These cells are presumed to detect mechanical, neuronal, and paracrine stimuli since they do not directly contact the luminal cavity. In addition to EECs-secreting ghrelin that reside in the stomach, D cells that secrete somatostatin, G cells that secrete the acid-releasing hormone gastrin, and P cells that secrete the satiety hormone, leptin, also reside in the stomach. A second population of chromaffin cells also reside in the gastric mucosa, and appear like ECs but do not contain 5-HT. These cells respond to gastrin secreted from G-cells to release histamine that stimulates the secretion of gastric acid from parietal cells.

Enteroendocrine cells integrate signals from digestion products arriving in the intestine, hormones circulating in the blood supply, and the metabolic status of the organism. Nutrients are detected by a large number of proteins including nutrient transporters and G protein-coupled receptor (GPCRs, Reimann et al. 2008; Mace and Marshall 2013). Other components of the intestinal chyme including inflammatory cytokines (Franckhauser et al. 2008; Holmes et al. 2008), progesterone (Flock et al. 2013), bile acids, gut hormones, and neurotransmitters are also detected by EECs. For example, circulating levels of the inflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6) are increased during exercise and associated with increased GLP-1 levels (Holmes et al. 2008). The receptor for IL-6 is expressed in the enteroendocrine GLUTag cell line and exposure to IL-6 stimulates GLP-1 generation and secretion (Ellingsgaard et al. 2011). Bile acids that facilitate the emulsification and digestion of lipids also stimulate GLP-1 secretion in humans through activation of the bile acid receptor, GPBAR5 (TGR5) (Adrian et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013). Hormone output from the EEC is also regulated by other EEC subtypes including somatostatin released from D cells which inhibits GLP-1 secretion from L-cells in the intestine presumably through SSTR5 (Moss et al. 2012).

	Table 1.	The	principle	location,	EEC	GPCR	expression,	and pl	hysiolog	ical fi	unction	of q	ut hormo	ones.
--	----------	-----	-----------	-----------	-----	------	-------------	--------	----------	---------	---------	------	----------	-------

EEC	Hormone	GPCR(s) expressed	Location(s)	Target	Physiological function(s)
A	Ghrelin	T1R1 + T1R3, T2Rs	Stomach		Appetite control, food intake, growth hormone release
D	Somatostatin	GPBAR1, GPRC6A	Stomach, small intestine		Gastrin release (stomach)
G	Gastrin	LPAR5, GPRC6A	Stomach (pyloric antral)	Neuroendocrine cells of the gastric gland (enterochromaffin-like cells, parietal cells)	Gastric acid secretion, mucus growth; gastric contraction
I	ССК	T2Rs, FFA1, GPR120, GPBAR-1, CaSR	Proximal small intestine	Gall bladder, pancreas, gastric smooth muscle	Gallbladder contraction, inhibits stomach emptying, pancreatic enzyme secretion and food intake, stimulates pancreatic enzyme and HCO ₃ - secretion
K	GIP	GPR119, GPR120, GPR40	Proximal small intestine	Pancreatic β -cells	Insulin release, gastric acid secretion, LPL activity in adipose
L	GLP-1, GLP-2, PYY, oxyntomodulin	T2Rs, T1R2 + T1R3, GPR40, GPR41, GPR43, GPR119, GPBAR-1, GPR120, CaSR, GPRC6A, SSTR5	Distal small intestine, colon	Endocrine pancreas	Nutrient uptake, intestinal motility, appetite regulation, insulin release, inhibits glucagon release, slows gastric emptying
Μ	Motilin	GPBAR-1	Small intestine	Smooth muscle of stomach and duodenum	Regulation of migrating myoelectric complex in pig, dog and human, gut motility
Ν	Neurotensin	GPR40, GPR41, GPR43, GPR120	Small (distal) and large intestine		Gastric acid secretion, biliary secretion, intestinal mucosal growth, intestinal peristalsis
Р	Leptin	Nutrient receptors	Stomach		Appetite regulation; food intake
S	Secretin	Potential acid receptor	Proximal small intestine	Pancreas, stomach	Bicarbonate release, gastric acid secretion, colonic contraction, motility, pancreatic growth

Activation of the Enteroendocrine System by Nutrient Transporters

Physiologically, the delivery of dietary nutrients including carbohydrates, proteins, and fat activates EEC hormone secretion. Activation of the enteroendocrine system by nutrient triggers physiological processes to assimilate nutrient uptake, distribution, and disposal to maintain whole body metabolic homeostasis. The delivery of glucose into the small intestine triggers GIP and GLP-1 release, and the release of CCK to a lesser extent (Hasegawa et al. 1996; Chaikomin et al. 2008; Kuo et al. 2008). In humans, GLP-1 secretory responses have also been observed in response to fructose, albeit smaller in magnitude than that seen with glucose (Kuhre et al. 2014). Protein ingestion potently stimulates CCK secretion, as well as GIP, GLP-1, and PYY. The latter hormones associated with the increased feeling of fullness and satiety following ingestion of high protein meals (Batterham et al. 2006). Dietary lipids also potently stimulate hormone secretion from EECs (Liddle et al. 1985; Spiller et al. 1988; Elliott et al. 1993).

Nutrient uptake across the apical brush border membrane elicits membrane depolarization (Fig. 1A). Depolarization of the plasma membrane (PM) regulates the opening of voltage-gated Ca2+ channels (VGCCs), including L-type Ca²⁺ channels, which control EEC secretory activity (Fig. 1). The traditional dogma has been that functional L-type Ca2+ channels are not expressed in intestine, however, this has been challenged in more recent years (Morgan et al. 2003, 2007; Mace et al. 2007; Kellett et al. 2008; Kellett 2011). During the prandial period, the PM of the intestine is hyperpolarized; VGCCs are closed, ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels are open, and EECs are silent. The PM becomes depolarized as a result of electrogenic or facilitative nutrient transport following nutrient ingestion. Glucose transport via the sodium-coupled glucose transporter (SGLT1), peptide transport via the proton-coupled peptide transporter (PepT1), or amino acid transport via their electrogenic transporters (e.g., glutamine or asparagine) depolarize the PM. Numerous studies have shown through both pharmacological and genetic methods that SGLT1 transport plays a vital role in GIP, GLP-1, and PYY secretion (Sykes et al. 1980;



Figure 1. Sensing by the enteroendocrine system. Digestion products enter the small intestine and stimulate enteroendocrine cells (EECs) to secrete hormones which modulate gastrointestinal (GI) secretion, insulin secretion, gastric and GI motility, and satiety. Open type EECs have processes that extend to reach into the lumen to detect nutrients. Glucose, amino acids, and peptides are sensed by EECs via nutrient transporters (A). Nutrient transport depolarizes the plasma membrane (PM). Nutrient uptake signaling converges on voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels (VGCCs). Plasma membrane depolarisation ($\Delta\Psi$) opens VGCcs allowing entry of extracellular Ca²⁺ to raise intracellular Ca²⁺ levels [Ca²⁺], and stimulate the secretion of hormones from EECs. Glucose is sensed by electrogenic Na⁺-coupled uptake by sodium-coupled glucose transporter (SGLT1) to trigger membrane depolarization and the entry of extracellular Ca²⁺ via VGCCs. Intracellular metabolism of glucose or fructose via glucokinase, and closure of ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels also causes membrane depolarization and opening of VGCCs. Electrogenic uptake of certain amino acids via H⁺ or Na⁺ coupled amino acid transporters or peptides via the H⁺ coupled peptide transporter-1 (PepT1) can also trigger membrane depolarization and hormone secretion. Nutrients are also sensed by GPCRs (B). GPCR-mediated nutrient sensing in EECs stimulate the release of hormones via coupling to $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_t$ that promote or inhibit adenylate cyclase activity, respectively, altering intracellular cAMP levels $[cAMP]_{I}$. $G\alpha_{\alpha/1}$ -coupled GPCRs stimulate phospholipase C activity to breakdown PIP₂ into IP₃ and DAG. Intracellular stores release Ca²⁺ in response to activation of IP₃ receptors. Protein kinase C is activated by Ca²⁺ and DAG. G $\alpha_{qustducin}$ couples to TRPM5 via phospholipase C β 2 and Ca²⁺ to cause membrane depolarization and open VGCCs. For example, fatty acids activate FFAR1 – 4 which mobilize Ca²⁺ while CB1 inhibits cAMP production. Products of triacylglycerol digestion, including oleoylethanolamide and monoacylglycerols, activate GPR119 to increase cAMP levels. Amino acids and oligopeptides also activate the CasR to trigger hormone secretion. Nutrient transporters are shown to highlight that these signaling pathways also operate in the presence of nutrient. Enteroendocrine signaling is integrated through GPCR signaling cascades. Hormones secreted from EECs may mediate effects locally or systemically. For example, hormones may enter the systemic circulation and the hepatic portal vein to activate receptors in other tissues via endocrine pathways (C). These hormones may activate receptors on enterocytes for example, PYY may activate NPY1R which increases cAMP levels and inhibits CI⁻ secretion or VIP may activate VPAC to decrease cAMP and stimulate CI⁻ secretion (D) or EECs in the vicinity, for example, CCK may activate CCK1R, GIP may activate GIPR, and Sst may activate SSTR5 (E). Hormones may activate GPCRs on vagal afferent neurones, for example, PYY may activate NPY1R, CCK may activate CCK1R, and GLP-1 or 2 may activate GLP1R or GLP2R, respectively (F). The enteric nervous system (ENS) also modulates EEC activity through the release of hormones and neurotransmitters including Ach (M2R), GRP/NMB (BBS2), PACAP (VPAC1R), galanin (GAL1), or α-MSH (MC4R) (G). The ENS can also detect absorbed nutrients through GPCRs including FFAR3 (H).

Shima et al. 1990; Ritzel et al. 1997; Mace et al. 2012). There is no doubt that the stimulation of GIP and GLP-1 by luminal glucose is diminished by pharmacological inhibitors of electrogenic glucose uptake (Sykes et al. 1980; Ritzel et al. 1997; Mace et al. 2012). Facilitative transport can also depolarize the PM by virtue of intracellular sugar metabolism, altering the ADP:ATP ratio and closure of ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels. The protein components required for the stimulation of hormone

secretion from EECs by intracellular metabolism, including glucokinase and ATP-sensitive K^+ channels, are expressed by L and K cells, and studies using GLUTag cells indicate that intracellular sugar metabolism may stimulate secretory activity (Parker et al. 2012a); the facilitative glucose (GLUT2) and fructose (GLUT5) transporters are also expressed. Additional physiological evidence, in addition to that showing oral fructose is able to stimulate GLP-1 secretion in mice, rats, and humans (Kong et al. 1999; Kuhre et al. 2014), for a role of facilitative transport in hormone secretion derives from genetic studies in which the GLP-1 secretory response to oral glucose in $GLUT2^{-/-}$ mice was diminished (Cani et al. 2007) and from isolated perfused rat intestine preparations where fructose stimulated GLP-1 secretion (Ritzel et al. 1997) and GIP, GLP-1, and PYY secretion could be blocked using pharmacological inhibitors (Mace et al. 2012). More recently, apical glucose transport has also been demonstrated to control the secretion of the neurohormone, neurotensin, from enteroendocrine N-cells (Table 1) using preparations of isolated rat small intestine (Kuhre et al. 2015). Pharmacological inhibition of SGLT1 or GLUT2 blocked neurotensin release in response to luminal glucose; the facilitative glucose transporter involved a molecular pathway causing closure of ATPsensitive K⁺ channels (Kuhre et al. 2015).

Enteroendocrine cells also sense systemic glucose levels affording them the capability to monitor energy status and modulate hormone secretion appropriately. For example, the activity of the lipid amide GPCR GPR119 and the melanocortin GPCR MC4R that stimulate hormone secretion from EECs have been shown to be sensitive to systemic glucose levels (Panaro et al. 2014). In Ussing chamber preparations of mouse colonic mucosa, removal of glucose from the basolateral side inhibited the ability of GPR119 and MC4 agonists to stimulate PYY secretion and mediate antisecretory effects (Cox et al. 2010; Panaro et al. 2014; Patel et al. 2014) (Fig. 1). Mice lacking GLUT2 exhibit lower GLP-1 content and reduced GLP-1 secretion following an oral glucose tolerance test (Cani et al. 2007), and the localization of GLUT2 on the basolateral surface may afford it with a plasma glucose-sensing capacity. Although not released by intravenous glucose administration in fasting humans, GLP-1 secretion was altered by plasma glucose concentration in preparations of porcine ileum (Hansen et al. 2004).

Activation of the Enteroendocrine System by G Protein-Coupled Receptors

G protein-coupled receptors play a key role in the regulation of EEC secretory output (Fig. 1B). Physiologically, the products of digestion (including glucose and fructose, amino acids and oligopeptides, and medium- and longchain fatty acids) (Tolhurst et al. 2009), microbial fermentation products and metabolites (including short chain fatty acids and indoles) (Tolhurst et al. 2012), triglycerides derivatives (including oleoylethanolamide and 2-monoacylglycerols) (Patel et al. 2014), inflammatory cytokines (including IL-6) (Ellingsgaard et al. 2011), as well as toxins (including bitter tasting compounds (Wu et al. 2002) and bacterial toxins (Bogunovic et al. 2007)), systemic hormones (including progesterone (Flock et al. 2013)) and neurotransmitters (Plaisancie et al. 1994) are detected by GPCRs expressed by EECs to regulate hormone secretion. Activation of the enteroendocrine system by GPCRs triggers physiological processes to assimilate or expel ingested substances, regulate gut secretions, control gastric emptying and GI motility, and influence food intake and appetite to maintain whole body metabolic homeostasis.

Those GPCRs expressed exclusively in EECs have not been easily detected because of their sparse distribution. Enteroendocrine cells comprise <1% of the total intestinal epithelial cell population and expression of GPCRs restricted to EECs appear very low in mRNA expression analyses using homogenates of intestinal mucosal tissue. Recent advances enriching EECs from heterogeneous intestinal epithelial cell populations, in combination with immunohisto- and cytological methods have revealed multiple GPCRs whose expression is restricted to EECs that respond to luminal or basolateral stimuli.

GPCRs consist of seven transmembrane (TM) spanning domains (Fig. 2), and transduce ligand binding events into intracellular signals. Of those GPCRs expressed in EECs, the majority belong to class A including those that detect short chain fatty acids (SCFAs). Enteroendocrine cells also express members of the secretin-like class B GPCRs and include those which respond to hormones such as GIP and GLP-1. Finally, class C GPCRs expressed in EECs are distinguished by a large extracellular venus flytrap (VFT) ligand-binding domain and includes GPCRs which detect nutrients including taste receptors (T1R), the calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) and GPRC6A. Class C GPCRs are notable in that they function as constitutive receptor dimers or higher order oligomers (Venkatakrishnan et al. 2013). The crystal structures of members of Class A, B, and C have recently been solved allowing a deeper understanding of the GPCR movements that elicit signaling events. Figure 2 shows three generic representations depicting proposed structures of GPCRs from Class A, B, and C. The N-terminal domains probably do not sit directly above the 7TM region, and are also likely to interact with the phospholipid bilayer of the PM.

GPCRs undergo conformational changes upon ligand binding. This movement alters the interaction with membrane bound heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding G proteins. GPCRs can adopt multiple conformational states, and can couple to more than one type of G protein. The ligand chemotype (Hudson et al. 2014b), engagement of the orthosteric or allosteric binding site (Edfalk et al. 2008; Luo et al. 2012), oligomerization (Ferre et al. 2014), and the composition of the lipid bilayer (Oates et al. 2012) also



Figure 2. Representative structures of G protein-coupled receptors from Class A, B, and C. As there are no actual structures that exist with the *N*-terminal domain and 7TM domain connected together, the ribbon diagrams show the size of the *N*-terminal domain relative to the 7 TM region. The 7TM domain of the Class A example is Orexin. Generally, amine receptor ligands of Class A bind between the TM domains of the receptor while peptide and glycoprotein hormone receptors of Class A bind between the *N*-terminal domain, extracellular loops, and upper part of the TM domain. The *N*-terminal domain of the Class B example is from the GLP-1 receptor and the 7TM section from the glucagon receptor. The peptide ligands of Class B generally bind to the extracellular region and reach into the lower part of the TM domain. The N-terminal domain of the Class C example is from mGluR5. For clarity, the Cystein-rich region of Class C is missing from between the N-terminal and 7TM domain. Class C GPCRs exhibit a large amino-terminal domain, which binds orthosteric agonists, while allosteric modulators generally bind to the 7TM domain.

determine which downstream signaling pathway(s) are deployed. Multiple G protein subunits control the intracellular signaling pathways employed (Fig. 1). G α_s couples to adenylate cyclase (AC), and generates cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) production, while G α_i inhibits AC to diminish cAMP levels. G α_q generates diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol triphosphate (IP₃) via activation of phosphoinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) to mobilize protein kinase C (PKC) and raise intracellular Ca²⁺ levels ([Ca²⁺]_i). G $\alpha_{12/13}$ activates the small G protein, Rho. The $\beta\gamma$ subunits also regulate intracellular signaling involving AC, phospholipase C (PLC), PI3K and G protein-regulated inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels. $G\beta\gamma$ subunits are also capable of modulating other receptors.

Adding to the complexity of GPCR signaling, GPCRs also signal independently from G proteins. For example, coupling to β -arrestin has been shown to mediate an extensive range of downstream signaling from GPCRs (Shenoy and Lefkowitz 2011). G protein-coupled kinases and interactions with scaffolding proteins are also involved in GPCR

Figure 3. The pharmacology of known G protein-coupled receptors that regulate gut hormone secretion from EECs. The in vitro properties (pEC_{50}) of some ligands from Class A (3a) B (3b) and C (3c) are shown from functional (readouts being cAMP, CRE, IP_1 , Ca^{2+} , GLP-1 secretion) cell-based assays (cells lines used include HEK, GLUTag, NCI-H716, STC-1) and the effects of these on gut hormone secretion in ex vivo intestinal tissue, in vivo rodent models or in humans are summarized.

(A) Class A	GPR39 GAL GAL TIP
GPBAN1 Gra, FFAR4 Gra, MC4R Gra,	BIS GOQ GOQ FAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3 FFAR3

		FF/	AR1		
man al and a	ft ft	Ende	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		and the second s
TAK-875 (Takeda)	MK-2305 (Merck)	AM-837 (Amgen)	AM-8182 (Amgen)	AM-1638 (Amgen)	AM-5262 (Amgen)
in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.9 (IP ₃) pEC ₅₀ > 3 (cAMP)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.9 (IP ₃) pEC ₅₀ > 3 (cAMP)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.2 (IP ₃) Partial	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.3 (IP ₃) pEC ₅₀ > 3 (cAMP)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.6 (IP ₃) pEC ₅₀ 6.8 (cAMP)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.7 (IP ₃) pEC ₅₀ 7.0 (cAMP)
stimulates total GLP-1 secretion In primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells	stimulates total GLP-1 secretion In primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells		stimulates total GLP-1 secretion In primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells	stimulates total GLP-1 secretion In primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells	stimulates total GLP-1 secretion In primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells
in vivo stimulates GI and total GLP-1 secretion in mice	in vivo stimulates GI and total GLP-1 secretion in mice			in vivo stimulates GI and total GLP-1 secretion in mice	in vivo stimulates GI and total GLP-1 secretion in mice
Xiong Y et al., 2013	Xiong Y et al., 2013	Brown et al., 2012; Xiong Y et al., 2013	Xiong Y et al., 2013	Brown et al., 2012 Xiong Y et al., 2013	Xiong Y et al., 2013
	GPR119		BBR	FFAR4	FFAR3
ant of the origin	GPR119	220-00r	BBR	FFAR4	
$a_{ij} = \left(\begin{array}{c} c_{ij} \\ c_$	GPR119	**	BBR GRP / NMB	FFAR4	FFAR3
$arc = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \int_{$	GPR119		BBR GRP / NMB	FFAR8 $\label{eq:constraint} FFAR8$	FFARS $ \begin{array}{c} $
$A231453 \\ (Arens)$ In vitro potency pfCgs 7.3 (GP-1) Giutag	GPR119	*++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	BBR GRP / NMB GRP / NMB GRP / NMB GRP / NMB in vitro, stimulates GLP-1 secretion from fetal rat intestinal cell cultures BB2R ^{2, i} mice exhibit diminished GLP-1 secretion following OGT1; bombesin related peptides stimulate GLP-1 secretion in perides pittinestinal model	FFAR4 $\int_{C} \int_{C} \int_{$	FFARS $\begin{split} & \qquad $

in vivo 10 mg/kg po stimulated GIP, GLP secretion in mice	in vivo increased active and total GIP secretion in mixed meal tolerance test in mice +++ (m, r)	Clinically increased PYY+++ (h) whilst GIP and GLP-1 were unchanged	<i>in humans,</i> GRP stimulates CCK and GIP release	in vivo stimulates GLP-1 secretion in mice (administered directly into cannulated intestine)	
Lan et al., 2012 Chu et al., 2008	Roberts et al., (69 th ADA meeting, Abstract 164-OR)	Nunez et al., 2014	Ghatei <i>et al.</i> , 1982; Jensen, 2008; Plaisancie <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Brubaker <i>et al.</i> , 1991	Sun et al., 2010	Tolhurst et al., 2012

GPBAR1			GPR39	FFAR2	MC4R
	je de la companya de La companya de la comp		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	~2770,	c-MSH Ac-Ser-Tyr-Ser-Met-GluHis-Phe-Arg- Trp-Gly-Lys-Pro-Val-NH ₂ NN2-0453 and IV2112688 α-MSH analogues
INT-777 (Intercept)	TRC210258 (Torrent)	Compound 3 (Novartis)	Compound 1 (Pfizer)	CFMB	α-MSH / NN2-0453 (Novo Nordisk) / LY2112688 (Eli Lilly)
in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 9.1 (cAMP)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 6.5 (cAMP) pEC ₅₀ 6.7 (CRE)	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 9.0/9.4 (IP _{One}) HEK pEC ₅₀ h 7.2 (cAMP) HEK pEC ₅₀ 7.2 (GLP-1) STC-1	in vitro potency pEC ₅₀ 7.3 (Ca ²⁺) pEC ₅₀ 4.9 (cAMP)	stimulates increased intracellular Ca ²⁺ in primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells	$\begin{array}{l} \text{NN2-0453 EC}_{\text{so}} \text{0.9 nM} \text{ and LY2112688} \\ \text{EC}_{\text{so}} 0.42 \text{nM} \text{ in recombinant HEK} \\ \text{cAMP cell based assay} \\ \text{NN2-0453 is a partial agonist in} \\ \text{murine colonic mucosal assay of} \end{array}$
ex vivo 1 – 1000 µM dose-dependently stimulated GLP-1 secretion from ileal explants from high fat-fed mice	in vitro 10 µM stimulated GLP-1 secretion from NCI-H716				in mouse and human intestinal mucosa ex vivo, α-MSH evokes a PYY- dependent anti-secretory response to inhibit electrolyte secretion
in vivo 30 mg/kg po stimulated GLP-1 secretion in mice		in vivo 30 mg/kg po stimulates GLP-1 secretion in mice			in vivo, ip administration of α-MSH stimulates GLP-1 and PYY secretion in mice in vivo, ip administration LY2112688 stimulates GLP-1 and PYY secretion in mice, NN2-0453 did not
Pellicciari et al., 2009 Thomas et al., 2009	Zambad et al., 2013	Peukert et al., 2014	Boehm et al., 2013	Lee et al., 2008 Tolhurst et al., 2012	Panero et al., 2014 Ghamari-Langroudi <i>et al.</i> , 2015

© 2015 The Heptares Therapeutics. *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, British Pharmacological Society and American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics.



Figure 3. continued

signaling and promote receptor internalization which may maintain or terminate signaling depending on the receptor.

Class A

Ligands that activate Class A GPCRs include cations, bile acids, fatty acids, peptones, and bitter ligands; a number of these stimulate the activation of EECs. Briefly, a disulphide bridge between the E2 loop and the upper part of the third transmembrane spanning domain (TM3), and palmitoylated Cysteine residues in the C-terminus are typical for most of Class A (Fig. 2). In addition, there are several highly conserved residues and motifs, including the DRY motif in TM3 which contributes to the so called "ionic lock" stabilising the inactive state of the receptor. The small amine receptor ligands bind primarily within the 7TM domains of the receptor. In contrast, the peptide and glycoprotein hormone receptors bind primarily to extracellular domains including the N-terminus, extracellular loops, and upper part of the TM domain with only part of the ligand accessing the 7TM domain bundle. For a review of GPCR crystal structures, the reader is referred to (Shonberg et al. 2014).

Peptone sensing by LPAR5 (GPR92/93)

In addition to PepT1 that has been shown to stimulate hormone secretion from EECs in response to di- and

tripeptides, another receptor has also been reported to regulate hormone EEC hormone secretion in response to protein. The recently deorphaned LPAR5 (also called GPR92/93) responds to the bioactive phospholipid, lysophosphatidic acid (Kotarsky et al. 2006). Activation of LPAR5 by LPA stimulates phosphoinositide hydrolysis and cAMP production. Although its expression is not enriched in primary I cells (Liou et al. 2011b) or L cells (Diakogiannaki et al. 2013), it is expressed in EECs. Protein hydrolysate was able to trigger LPAR5-dependent CCK release from the enteroendocrine STC-1 cell line (Choi et al. 2007b). Furthermore, the secretion of CCK from STC-1 cells was pertussis toxin sensitive, indicative of $G\alpha_{q}$ - and $G\alpha_{i}$ -coupled pathways (Choi et al. 2007b). Protein hydrolysate also stimulates the expression and transcription of CCK (Cordier-Bussat et al. 1997; Liddle 1997; Nemoz-Gaillard et al. 1998). Choi et al. (2007a,b) demonstrated that protein hydrolysates consisting of a mixture of proteolytic degradation products activated LPAR5 and stimulated $[Ca^{2+}]I$ signaling with an EC₅₀ of 10.6 mg/mL using hBRIE 380i cells, that do not express PepT1. In contrast to the Ca²⁺ response to protein hydrolysate by PepT1 mediated by extracellular Ca²⁺ influx through VGCCs, the Ca2+ signal from LPAR5 activation was intracellular-store derived, blocked by thapsigargin and not blocked by nifedipine (Choi et al. 2007a). In combination, LPA and protein hydrolysate operate

(C) Class C



		T1R2+T1R3		GPRC6A		
CI CI CI OH HO' OH HO' OH HO' OH HO'	C H	Hrc C So So C	$HO_{A_{1}} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} O \\ O \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\$	HAN HAN OH		
Sucralose	Saccharin	Acesulfame-K	Glycyrrhizin	L-ornithine		
<i>in vitro</i> , stimulates cAMP and Ca ²⁺ and GLP-1 secretion in HuTu-80, STC-1 and HCI-H716 cells	<i>in vitro,</i> stimulates cAMP and Ca ²⁺ amd GLP-1 secretion in HuTu-80 cells	<i>in vitro</i> , stimulates cAMP and GLP-1 secretion in HuTu-80 cells	<i>in vitro,</i> stimulates cAMP and GLP-1 secretion in HuTu-80 cells	<i>in vitro</i> , stimulates itracellular Ca2+ and GLP-1 secretion from GLUTag cells		
ex vivo stimulates GIP, GLP-1 and PYY secretion in preparations of rat intestine						
<i>in vivo,</i> reported to stimulate total GLP-1 secretion in mouse						
Jang <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Mace <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Ohtsu <i>et al.</i> , 2014	Ohtsu <i>et al.,</i> 2014	Ohtsu <i>et al.,</i> 2014	Ohtsu <i>et al.,</i> 2014	Oya <i>et al.,</i> 2013		
	CasR		T1R1+T1R3			

$\begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \end{array} \\ 1 & H_2 & \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		HGI CI		$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ & & \\ &$
Phe, Gln, Arg, Asn, and Trp	Peptones	NPS-R568	Peptones	Phe, Leu, Glu, and Trp
Phe and Trp stimulated CCK secretion from isolated mouse CCK cells	stimulates CCK secretion from STC1 and intracellular Ca ²⁺ / GLP-1 secretion in primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells		stimulates Ca ²⁺ and GLP-1 secretion in primary cultures of mouse intestinal epithelial cells Stimulates CCK secretion from STC-1 cells	Stimulates CCK secretion from STC- 1 cells
stimulate GIP, active GLP-1 and PYY secretion in rat small intestine <i>ex</i> <i>vivo</i>		stimulates GIP, active GLP-1 and PYY secretion in rat small intestine <i>ex vivo</i>		
	<i>in vivo,</i> stimulates total GLP-1 secretion in mice			<i>in vivo,</i> stimulates CCK secretion in mouse
Wang <i>et al.,</i> 2011 Mace <i>et al., 2012</i>	Diakogiannaki E <i>et al.,</i> 2013	Mace et al., 2012	Diakogiannaki E <i>et al.,</i> 2013 Nemoz-Gaillard <i>et al.,</i> 1998	Daly K <i>et al.,</i> 2013

Figure 3. continued

© 2015 The Heptares Therapeutics. *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, British Pharmacological Society and American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics.

synergistically; activation of LPAR5 by both elicited a Ca^{2+} response that was more than additive for the two agents alone suggesting that LPA and protein hydrolysates act upon LPAR5 at different sites. Physiologically, the synergy of LPA and protein hydrolysate on LPAR5 activation suggests that the sensitivity of LPAR5 to endogenous agonist stimulation is likely to be modulated by the prevailing nutrient constituents of the luminal chyme.

Short-chain free fatty acid sensing by FFAR2 and FFAR3

Several GPCRs are involved in sensing lipids in the intestine. Physiologically, lipid arrives following the hydrolyzation of dietary fat and its emulsification with bile acids in the duodenum. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate, accumulate in the lower intestine as a result of the microbial fermentation of nondigestible carbohydrates. The enteroendocrine system is also adjusted for the microbial population of the large intestine, that plays a central role in whole body metabolic status (Backhed et al. 2004; Ley et al. 2006; Turnbaugh et al. 2006; Samuel et al. 2008; Cani et al. 2009; Ridaura et al. 2013). Microbial metabolites accumulate to detectable levels in the large intestine including the SCFAs that activate FFAR2 (GPR43) and FFAR3 (GPR42) (Brown et al. 2003; Le et al. 2003; Karaki et al. 2006, 2008; Tazoe et al. 2009). Other metabolites including a metabolite-derived indole has also shown to modulate GLP-1 secretion (Chimerel et al. 2014).

In cultures of primary intestinal epithelial cells, SCFAs increase $[Ca^{2+}]I$ levels (Tolhurst et al. 2012). FFAR2 and FFAR3 are expressed in rodent and human colonic epithelia (Karaki et al. 2006, 2008; Tazoe et al. 2009) and are sensitive to SCFAs (Brown et al. 2003; Le et al. 2003). FFA2 and FFAR3 are expressed in L-cells of the colon and are activated by bacterial fermentation products, as well as SCFAs, which stimulate GLP-1 secretion (Nohr et al. 2013).

Further evidence for the involvement of FFAR2 in GI hormone secretion was derived from knockout experiments, where silencing FFAR2 diminished SCFA-mediated GLP-1 release. While FFAR2 can couple to both $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_I$, FFAR3 is predominantly coupled to the $G\alpha_i$ -signaling pathway (Brown et al. 2003; Le et al. 2003). Genetic FFAR3^{-/-} mice show diminished plasma GLP-1 (Samuel et al. 2008; Tolhurst et al. 2012; Psichas et al. 2015). Transcripts for both receptors are enriched in intestinal I (Sykaras et al. 2012) and gastric A cells (Engelstoft et al. 2013). Interestingly, while activation of FFAR2 in L-cells appears to increase GLP-1 secretion, FFAR2 agonism appears to inhibit ghrelin secretion (Engelstoft et al. 2013) possibly reflecting differential levels of $G\alpha_i$ protein expression in gastric A cells compared with intestinal L-cells.

A series of SCFAs showed varying degrees of selectivity for FFAR2 over FFAR3 (Schmidt et al. 2011). To date, two chemical series have been described as FFAR2 antagonists (Hudson et al. 2013; Pizzonero et al. 2014). Positive allosteric modulators also exist for FFAR2, including AMG-7703, which requires extracellular loop 2 of hFFAR2 for transduction of cooperative signaling between orthosteric and allosteric binding sites (Smith et al. 2011). There are also a series of SCFAs that show varying degrees of selectivity for FFAR3 over FFAR2 and these have recently been reported as allosteric modulators, both positive and negative, of FFAR3 (Hudson et al. 2014a). For a review of fatty acid receptor agonists for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, the reader is referred to (Watterson et al. 2014).

Medium- and long-chain fatty acid sensing by FFAR1 and FFAR4

FFAR1 (GPR40) and FFAR4 (GPR120) detect medium and long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs), and both couple predominantly through $G\alpha_q$ (Hirasawa et al. 2005; Hara et al. 2011). For a review of GPCRs sensitive to LCFAs, the reader is referred to (Holliday et al. 2011; Milligan et al. 2014). Their expression is enriched in I- L- and Kcells (Reimann et al. 2008; Parker et al. 2009; Liou et al. 2011a). It is also difficult to distinguish between FFAR1 and FFAR4 in EECs, given their coexpression and similar ligand pharmacology. They have been shown to be functional in both in vitro cultures of mouse and human colonic epithelium and in physiological in vivo studies (Hirasawa et al. 2005; Habib et al. 2013). Rodent data from FFAR1^{-/-} mice show diminished GIP and GLP-1 secretion (Edfalk et al. 2008), and reduced LCFA-mediated CCK secretion (Liou et al. 2011a). Interestingly, ghrelin cells which also express FFAR4 exhibit reduced hormone secretion in response to FFAR4 agonism (Engelstoft et al. 2013). One selective FFAR1 agonist has made it into the clinic for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. TAK-875 reached phase III clinical trials for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (Srivastava et al. 2014), however its progress was discontinued recently due to hepatic toxicity issues.

Pharmacologically, there are numerous small molecule agonists for FFAR1, including AMG-837 (Lin et al. 2011; Houze et al. 2012), TUG-770 (Christiansen et al. 2010, 2013), GW-9508 (Ou et al. 2013), and TAK-875 (Negoro et al. 2010) as well as one antagonist, GW1100 (Zhao et al. 2011). Agonists of FFAR1 have been used to explore the molecular mechanisms of FFAR1 activation in EECs. α -linolenic acid triggers CCK release by FFAR1 activation

via a PKA and L-type VGCC-dependent mechanism, while oleic acid triggers GLP-1 secretion through PKCz (Iakoubov et al. 2007, 2011) suggesting they can couple to alternative G proteins, presumably dependent on the nature of the ligand, the binding site occupied and the conformation of the receptor that is stabilized (Liou et al. 2011a). Partial agonists and full agonists appear to bind to different sites. AM-6331 behaves as a partial agonist, while AM-8182 acts as a full agonist of FFAR1 (Luo et al. 2012). In combination, they exert a positive allosteric effect indicating that the agonists do not bind at the same site. Moreover, GLP-1 secretion in mice was stimulated by full agonists of FFAR1, while partial agonists failed to raise plasma GLP-1 significantly; only the full agonistbinding site of FFAR1 appears to be capable of generating a conformation that can mobilize the intracellular signaling pathway necessary to evoke hormone secretion (Luo et al. 2012). In combination, binding of the partial and the full agonist enhanced GLP-1 secretion in a synergistic manner, confirming positive co-operativity of the sites. It, therefore, appears that FFAR1 ligands can act at orthosteric and allosteric sites, similar to the other nutrient sensing GPCRs where allosteric modulators and allosteric agonists have been identified including FFAR3 (Hudson et al. 2014a), FFAR2 (Smith et al. 2011), CaSR(Chen et al. 2015), T1R (Zhang et al. 2008, 2010), and T2R (Mooser 1980). The recently reported high-resolution cocomplex crystal structure of hFFAR1 with the potent and selective partial FFAR1 agonist, TAK-875, reveals a unique binding mode and suggests entry via the lipid bilayer (Srivastava et al. 2014). Hauge et al. (2014) recently reported that FFAR1 can signal through $G\alpha_q$ and $G\alpha_s$ signaling pathways when stimulated with AM-1638 and AM-5262, in contrast to endogenous ligands, TAK-875 and AM-837 which only signal through $G\alpha_q$. In a primary cell model, the $G\alpha_q$ only agonists weakly stimulated GLP-1 secretion, whereas agonists that stimulated both $G\alpha_{q}$ and $G\alpha_{s}$ pathways triggered higher levels of GLP-1 output.

FFAR4 responds to medium and long-chain fatty acids including α -linolenic acid, palmitoleic acid and docosahexaenoic acid, and also preferentially couples to $G\alpha_q$ (Hirasawa et al. 2008). FFAR4 is activated by saturated free fatty acids (C14–C18), and mono- and poly-unsaturated free fatty acids (C16–C22) (Hirasawa et al. 2008). Generally, FFAR4 is regarded as a receptor for unsaturated fatty acids, and not for saturated fatty acids (particularly the C16-C18 chain length fatty acids which do not typically appear to show activity at FFAR4. FFAR4 colocalizes with GLP-1 in L-cells. Hara et al. (2011) also showed that a partial agonist derived from *A. ovinu* was capable of activating FFAR4 in STC-1 cells; the [Ca²⁺]I response and secretion of GLP-1 were both abolished using siRNA against FFAR4. A close analogue, 4-{4-[2(phenyl-2-pyridinylamino)ethoxy]phenyl}butyric acid, 3-(4-{2-[phenyl(pyridin-2-yl)amino]ethoxy}phenyl)propanoic acid (compound 10), and a synthetic compound, NCG120, have also been shown to act as agonists of FFAR4 (Suzuki et al. 2008; Sun et al. 2010; Hara et al. 2011). Agonism of FFAR4 decreases ghrelin secretion (Gong et al. 2014), while in L-cells stimulates GLP-1 secretion (Hirasawa et al. 2005). Stimulation of FFAR4 by α -linolenic acid has also been reported to trigger CCK release (Tanaka et al. 2008).

Fatty acid amide sensing by GPR119

Together with FFAR1 - 4, GPR119 is another lipid-sensing receptor that is also expressed in EECs (Chu et al. 2008; Reimann et al. 2008; Parker et al. 2009) and endogenous agonists include the fatty acid amide oleoylethanolamide (OEA), the endovanilloid N-oleoyldopamine (OLDA) and 5-hydroxy-eicosapentaenoic acid (5-HEPE), which displays agonist potencies of 0.003-3 µmol/L against human and mouse GPR119 and have been reported as the most potent natural agonists (Kogure et al. 2011; Hansen et al. 2012). GPR119 couples to $G\alpha_s$ and activation increases intracellular cAMP levels (Overton et al. 2006; Chu et al. 2008; Cox et al. 2010), well established to stimulate hormone secretion from EECs. More recently, GPR119 has also been shown to signal with a high degree of constitutive activity (Engelstoft et al. 2014). In GPR119-expressing human COS-7 cells, 2-oleoyl glycerol stimulated cAMP production and physiologically, administration to humans significantly increased plasma GIP and GLP-1 levels (Hansen et al. 2011). Application of GPR119 agonists to both rodent and human intestinal mucosa stimulates GPR119-specific PYY-dependent antisecretory responses, demonstrating the existence of local paracrine networks that inhibit electrolyte secretion (Cox et al. 2010). Patel et al. (2014) compared the efficacy of GPR119 agonism in rodent models of diabetes showing that GPR119 stimulation causes glucose lowering in both lean and diabetic rodent models similarly through the release of GIP, GLP-1 and PYY. Furthermore, an oral GPR119 agonist stimulated postprandial GIP and GLP-1 secretion in recent clinical trials, however, the glucose-lowering efficacy of JNJ-38431055 in patients with type 2 diabetes was not deemed sufficient for it to progress further (Katz et al. 2012).

In addition, lower affinity synthetic agonists similar to AR231453 and 2,5-disubstituted pyridines have been discovered for GPR119 (Semple et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2010). In the enteroendocrine GLUTag cell line, AR231453 stimulated Ca²⁺ influx via VGCCs and GLP-1 secretion showing that in vitro agonism of GPR119 can stimulate gut hormone secretion through signaling pathways involving

extracellular Ca²⁺ entry (Lan et al. 2012). Lan et al. (2012) also demonstrated that GPR119 agonists can stimulate GLP-1 secretion in a glucose-independent manner from cultures of GLUTag and primary intestinal epithelial cells, as well as in vivo showing GLP-1 secretion was not glucose-dependent. Studies on the conformation of compounds from a series of potent bridged piperidine agonists and antagonists by McClure et al. (2011) showed that the conformation of the molecule in either equatorial or axial form determined its property to act as either an agonist or antagonist at GPR119).

Bile acid sensing by GPBAR1 (TGR5)

Enteroendocrine cells also respond to luminal stimuli that are not nutrients, for example, bile acids. Absorption of lipids requires emulsification with bile acids that are released from the gall bladder. Transcripts for GPBAR1 (TGR5), the bile acid receptor, have been detected in the EEC line, STC-1 as well as in L-cells of the lower intestine (Thomas et al. 2009; Parker et al. 2012b). Physiologically, bile acids have also been shown to dose-dependently stimulate GLP-1 secretion, in a cAMP dependent manner, in both rodent and human models (Patti et al. 2009; Adrian et al. 2012). Furthermore, increased bile acid accumulation in the lower intestine may provide one explanation for the increased GLP-1 and PYY levels observed following Rouxen-Y surgery (Patti et al. 2009; Pournaras et al. 2012). Overexpression of GPBAR1 induces GLP-1 secretion in cultured mouse enteroendocrine STC-1 cells, while knockdown using siRNA impairs secretion (Katsuma et al. 2005). The activity of bile acids via GPBAR1 can be distinguished from nuclear receptor activity by ligand specificity and cAMP responses. Selective agonists have been reported with selectivity for GPBAR1 (e.g., 23-alkl-substituted and 6,23-alkyl-disubstituted derivatives of chenodeoxycholic acid) over farnesoid X nuclear hormone receptor (Pellicciari et al. 2007). In vitro, the GPBAR1 agonist TRC210258 developed by Torrent Pharmaceuticals demonstrated an EC₅₀ of 354 nmol/L in a recombinant hGPBAR1 cAMP assav and stimulated GLP-1 secretion from a human EEC line, NCI-H716 (Zambad et al. 2013). In addition, Intercept Pharma discovered 6-a-ethyl-23(S)-methylcholic acid (S-EMCA, INT-777) following a screen of naturally occurring bile acids and derivatives; INT-777 is a potent and selective GPBAR1 agonist, and induces GLP-1 secretion from mouse STC-1 and human intestinal NCI-H716 cell lines (Pellicciari et al. 2009). A series of 3-aryl-4-isoxazolecarboxamides, also discovered by Intercept Pharma, were shown to increase GLP-1 secretion in vivo (Evans et al. 2009; Budzik et al. 2010).

Whether GPCR signals to stimulate EECs to secrete their hormones originate from the luminal or systemic

surface has been partly addressed for GPBAR1. Restricting GPBAR1 agonists to the lumen of the intestine may circumvent potential systemic side effects that have been observed for GPBAR1 agonists in the past. However, peptide secretion from the EEC by GPBAR1 appears to be dependent on systemic and not luminal activation. Using the bioavailable and poorly bioavailable GPBAR1 agonists RO5527239 and taurine- RO5527239, respectively, Ullmer et al. (2013) showed that administration of 10 mg/kg RO5527239 in mice via po or iv routes generated comparable levels of PYY secretion. However, only an iv delivery of taurine- RO5527239 was able to elevate PYY secretion showing that systemic exposure was necessary to activate GPBAR1-mediated PYY secretion.

Cation sensing by GPR39

GPR39 is a Zn²⁺-sensing receptor expressed throughout the intestinal epithelial cell population (Depoortere 2012). At present, although GPR39 expression has not been reported in native EECs, it is endogenously expressed in a mouse GLP-1 secreting enteroendocrine cell line (STC-1) (Peukert et al. 2014). Zn²⁺ is the only known physiological stimulator of GPR39 activity, which couples through G α_s , G α_q , and G $\alpha_{12/13}$, with an EC₅₀ value in the μ mol/L range (Yasuda et al. 2007; Storjohann et al. 2008; Popovics and Stewart 2011). On the basis of mutagenesis studies, Zn²⁺ has been shown to act as an agonist by coordinating the His-17 and His-19 residues on the extracellular domain (Storjohann et al. 2008).

Recently, Novartis reported on the development of synthetic agonists for GPR39 (Zeng et al. 2012; Bassilana et al. 2014). They reported the development of 2-pyridylpyrimidines as the first orally bioavailable GPR39 agonists (Peukert et al. 2014). In a recombinant HEK293 cellbased assay expressing rGPR39, the potency of the Novartis compound, reported as compound 3, in a $G\alpha_q$ -coupled readout was EC₅₀ 0.4 nmol/L and using a recombinant hGPR39 system, the potency of compound 3 in a $G\alpha_{s}$ coupled readout was reported as EC₅₀ 0.06 nmol/L. In studies with sub EC₅₀ concentrations of Zn²⁺, the potent 2-pyridylpyrimidine GPR39 agonist stimulated a $G\alpha_{q}$ response that was mediated by either substance alone, suggesting that the Novartis compound and Zn²⁺ do not compete for binding at the receptor, suggesting separate binding sites resulting in a receptor response; the 2-pyridylpyrimidines acting as an allosteric agonist (Peukert et al. 2014). In the GLP-1-secreting mouse STC-1 EEC line, compound 3 directly stimulated GLP-1 secretion with an EC₅₀ of 0.06 nmol/L, and an Emax of 3.5-fold above basal suggesting GPR39 activation could directly stimulate hormone secretion. In an in vivo mouse model, compound 3 increased active GLP-1 secretion sixfold

above vehicle following an oral glucose tolerance test (Peukert et al. 2014). There remains the possibility that GPR39 agonism may indirectly stimulate GLP-1 secretion from L-cells through local paracrine mechanisms. Pfizer has also recently reported on a piperazine GPR39 agonist that stimulates predominantly the $G\alpha_q$ -signaling pathway over $G\alpha_s$ (Boehm et al. 2013). These observations support the model that GPR39 can adopt multiple active conformations, stabilized by different molecules, which affect intracellular signaling distinctly.

Bitter sensing by the taste receptor, T2R

In contrast to the mechanisms above which sense components of the lumen with beneficial value, the existence of bitter taste receptors in the gut epithelia suggests that they play a role to determine whether a protective response including vomiting (if detected prior to exiting the stomach) or epithelial mucosal defence mechanisms (if detected in the intestine) are required. Activation of T2Rs by bitter molecules triggers increased Ca²⁺ signals in EECs expressing bitter taste receptors demonstrates that bitter taste receptors are functionally active outside the lingual epithelium and suggests they operate in EECs (Wu et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2006; Rozengurt et al. 2006). The release of PYY, GLP-1, or CCK in response to bitter tastants enter the circulation and activate neuronal pathways including extrinsic afferent neurones to send messages to the central nervous system (CNS) and intrinsic afferent neurones in the enteric nervous system (ENS) (Chen et al. 2006). Physiologically, when T2R agonists are administered to rats via the oral route, extrinsic afferent neurones are activated and the number of c-Fos (the immediate-early gene product) positive neurones, a marker of neuronal activity, is increased in the nucleus of the solitary tract (Yamamoto and Sawa 2000).

Activation of T2Rs results in Gagustducin- and Ga14mediated signaling, and involves downstream PLC $\beta 2$, TRPM5, and IP₃ signaling pathways (Zhang et al. 2003; Hisatsune et al. 2007). Although predominantly expressed in the lingual epithelium, they are also expressed in the GI tract. RNA for members of the T2R family were initially detected in the EEC line, STC-1, implying they may regulate hormone secretion (Wu et al. 2002). Although the composition and stoichiometry of T2Rs remain obscure, they appear to fall into two groups: (i) with restricted ligand specificity such as T2R5 which responds to cycloheximide but not to 10 other bitter compounds tested (Chandrashekar et al. 2000) and (ii) with broad responsiveness such as T2R14 which responds to at least eight different bitter molecules, including $(-)-\alpha$ -thujone and picrotoxinin (Behrens et al. 2004). Using two distinct T2R ligands, Chen et al. (2006) showed that T2Rs were functionally active in EECs. Activation by denatonium benzoate and phenylthiocarbamide stimulated CCK secretion via extracellular Ca²⁺ influx through L-type VGCCS, at least in STC-1 cells.

Energy sensing by MC4R

The melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) belongs to Class A and has been largely investigated based on the ability of agonists to decrease food intake and body weight in rodent models. Central MC4R pathways regulate GI activity. However, the receptor is also selectively expressed in EECs along the length of the GI tract and was found to be the second most highly expressed GPCR in enteroendocrine L-cells (Panaro et al. 2014). It is presumed to reside on the basolateral membrane where it can respond to its circulating peptide hormone, *a*-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (a-MSH). In contrast to those receptors above that detect luminal constituents, MC4R regulates EEC secretory activity from the basolateral surface. Physiologically, intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of melanocortin peptide to mice stimulated GLP-1 and PYY secretion acutely (Panaro et al. 2014). Recently, intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration in mice of the α -MSH analog, NN2-0453, developed by Novo Nordisk failed to stimulate PYY secretion from L cells, whereas the peptide agonist, LY2112688, developed by Eli Lilly stimulated PYY secretion approximately threefold above the saline control (Ghamari-Langroudi et al. 2015). Interestingly, NN2-0453 is a partial agonist of MC4R in a mouse colonic mucosal Ussing chamber assay of MC4R activity suggesting full agonism is required to bring about MC4R-dependent hormone secretion from EECs (Ghamari-Langroudi et al. 2015). Administration of α -MSH (i.p.) evokes MC4R specific PYY-dependent antisecretory responses consistent with a role for MC4R in paracrine inhibition of electrolyte secretion, the same PYY-dependent antisecretory responses are also observed for GPR119 agonists (Cox et al. 2010; Panaro et al. 2014; Patel et al. 2014). Responses to *a*-MSH were diminished when glucose was removed from the basloateral surface of Ussing chamber preparations of mouse colonic mucosa, in contrast to NPY1R responses that were not sensitive to glucose (Panaro et al. 2014). This observation is similar to the glucose sensitivity of GPR119 in L-cells (Cox et al. 2010; Patel et al. 2014). Pharmacologically targeting glucosesensitive agonism of MC4R and GPR119 should show reduced risk of hypoglycaemia from hormones secreted in the absence of glucose. The ability of MC4R to regulate the secretion of gut peptides highlights a peripheral mechanism of action underlying observations regarding the effect of agonists on food intake, in addition to vagal central functions.

Energy sensing by bombesin receptors

The bombesin-related peptides, neuromedin B, and gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP), have also been reported to regulate gut hormone secretion. In addition to GRP that stimulates gastrin release from G cells, physiologically, both CCK produced by enteroendocrine I cells, and GIP secretion is enhanced in humans in response to stimulation with GRP (Ghatei et al. 1982). The bombesin receptor 1 and 2 (BB1 and BB2) are $G\alpha_{a}$ -coupled receptors that bind NMB and GPR, respectively (Jensen et al. 2008). The role of BB2 in GLP-1 secretion was uncovered using BB2^{-/-} mice, in which GLP-1 secretion was diminished when challenged with an oral glucose tolerance test (Jensen et al. 2008). The bombesin-related peptides are strong stimulants of GLP-1 secretion in a perfused pig intestinal model (Plaisancie et al. 1994). In contrast to bombesin-related peptides, tachykinins, enkephalins, dynorphin, TRH and members of the secretin family, vasoactive intestinal peptide, peptide histidine isoleucine and neuropeptide Y, were less effective (Plaisancie et al. 1994). Together with CCK, the bombesinrelated receptors provide a further source of negative feedback signals to depress eating (Yamada et al. 2002).

Inhibitory G protein-coupled receptors

Hormone secretion from EECs is also regulated by inhibitory-signaling pathways. In contrast to the above receptors which activate stimulatory $G\alpha_{s}$ - and $G\alpha_{q}$ -signaling pathways, $G\alpha_{i}$ -coupled receptors from Class A are expressed in EECs which mediate inhibitory-signaling pathways to inhibit gut hormone secretion (Herrmann-Rinke et al. 1996; Saifia et al. 1998).

G protein-coupled receptors that mediate inhibitory signaling include the cannabinoid receptors, which are expressed in the GI tact and ENS (Lopez-Redondo et al. 1997; Coutts et al. 2002). Expression is highest in I (Sykaras et al. 2012) and K cells. Cannabinoid receptor 1 (CNR1, or CB1) couples to $G\alpha_i$ and it inhibits the secretion of GIP from K-cells via inhibition of cAMP production (Moss et al. 2012).

There are three galanin receptors (GAL₁₋₃) which are activated by the peptides galanin and galanin-like peptide. Galanin is expressed throughout the ENS and inhibits the secretion of enteroendocrine peptides, such as GIP, GLP-1 and CCK (Chang et al. 1995; Saifia et al. 1998). Although GALs 1 and 3 were found to be highly expressed in ghrelin cells, there was no effect of galanin on ghrelin secretion from primary cultures (Engelstoft et al. 2013). Physiologically, galanin-inhibited GLP-1 secretion that was prestimulated with GIP in preparations of perfused rat intestine (Herrmann-Rinke et al. 1996). Similar effects have also been observed in the clinic where galanin inhibits gastric transit and inhibits GLP-1 and PYY secretion (Bauer et al. 1989). Of particular interest is the recent observations that galanin levels are abnormally elevated in patients with diabetes and obesity (Fang et al. 2013). The GAL(s) responsible remains unknown, although it appears to act via a $G\alpha_i$ -coupled mechanism since it is sensitive to pertussis toxin (Saifia et al. 1998).

In addition to galanin, somatostatin is another inhibitor of EEC secretion. Somatostatin-producing D cells tightly modulate gastrin release from G cells in the stomach, and in the intestine act as an inhibitory feedback network (Zaki et al. 1996; Schubert 2014). There are five somatostatin receptors (SSTR1-5), which couple to $G\alpha_i$. SSTR5 inhibits GLP-1 and PYY release from L-cells, presumably due to depression of intracellular cAMP (Chisholm and Greenberg 2002), and GIP from K-cells (Moss et al. 2012). In both GLUTag and primary L cells, this occurs through SSTR5 (Moss et al. 2012). Somatostatin may operate through SSTR1, 2 or 3 accounting for its inhibitory effect on ghrelin secretion from gastric EECs (Engelstoft et al. 2013).

The GIP and GLP-1 peptides have also been reported to display inhibitory functions. The GIP receptor is expressed on ghrelin cells and predominantly couples to $G\alpha_s$, suggesting alternative pathways (Engelstoft et al. 2013). GLP-1 has also been suggested to act as an autoinhibitory feedback mechanism on GLP-1 secretion from the L-cell. This hypothesis is based on observations that the GLP-1 agonist, Exendin-4, depressed GLP-1 secretion in humans. GLP-1 has also been shown to stimulate the secretion of somatostatin in perfused pig intestine, suggesting an alternative indirect inhibitory pathway (Hansen et al. 2000).

In addition to luminal stimuli, cholinergic impulses control gut hormone secretion which predominantly involves GPCRs. In pig intestine, α -adrenergic signals exert an inhibitory tone on GLP-1 secretion. When this was inhibited with phentolamine, an excitatory cholinergic stimulus was revealed in keeping with a role for Muscarinic M₁ and M₂ receptors in GLP-1 release (Plaisancie et al. 1994; Anini and Brubaker 2003). M₃ which couples to G α_q , has also been shown to regulate gastrin release from G-cells (Yokotani et al. 1995). Using a rat arterially perfused intestinal model, infusion of cholinergic agonists strongly enhanced GLP-1 secretion. This was counteracted by the addition of atropine, while histamine, dopamine, 5-hydoxytryptamine, γ -aminobutyric acid, and norepinephrine had no effect (Plaisancie et al. 1994).

Class B

Class B is a relatively small family that includes receptors for peptide hormones from the glucagon hormone family. They consist of a 7TM region which lacks significant sequence identity to Class A and therefore has its own characteristic 7TM signature (Fig. 2). Few receptors belonging to this Class have been found to modulate gut peptide secretion from EECs. Those that do include vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) receptors (VPAC1 and VPAC2), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating protein (PACAP) receptor (PAC1), and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) receptors (CTR and CLR).

PACAP, VIP, and CGRP are colocalized in the gut, and whilst neural activation by CGRP stimulates GLP-1 secretion (Herrmann-Rinke et al. 2000), PACAP has been reported to stimulate CCK (Deavall et al. 2000), GLP-1, and PYY secretion. Using cultures of foetal rat intestinal cells, Brubaker (1991) demonstrated that CGRP, bombesin, and bombesin-related GRP stimulate GLP-1 secretion. Using preparations of rat ileum, CGRP has also been shown to stimulate GLP-1 secretion (Herrmann-Rinke et al. 2000). Consistent with the enrichment of receptor expression for CGRP in ghrelin cells, its activation stimulates ghrelin secretion (Engelstoft et al. 2013).

Class C

The members of Class C that have been identified to regulate gut hormone secretion include the sweet taste receptor (T1R), that detects carbohydrates, the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) and a promiscuous $L-\alpha$ -amino acid receptor (GPRC6A) that respond to L-amino acids and peptides. Class C are characterized by a large amino-terminal domain, which binds the endogenous orthosteric agonist (Fig. 2). A number of allosteric modulators have also been identified which bind to the 7TM domain of Class C GPCRs.

Carbohydrate sensing by the sweet taste receptor, T1R2 + T1R3

Activation of EECs by glucose stimulates GIP and GLP-1 secretion from K- and L-cells, respectively. Preclinically, there are several independent reports that activation of the sweet taste receptor, T1R2 + T1R3, stimulates secretion of GLP-1 (reviewed in Mace and Marshall (2013)). Clinically, the picture is less clear. Rodent and human cell lines secrete GLP-1 in response to activation of T1R2 + T1R3 by artificial sweeteners (Mace et al. 2012; Ohtsu et al. 2014), and there are independent reports that demonstrate that activation of the sweet taste receptor stimulates GLP-1 secretion in humans (Steinert et al. 2011; Temizkan et al. 2014). The T1R2 + T1R3 receptor couples to the G protein, $G\alpha_{gustducin}$, which stimulates phosphodiesterase activity, while the $\beta\gamma$ subunit activates PLC β 2. In rodents, knockout of $G\alpha_{gustducin}$ significantly

diminishes GLP-1 release in response to glucose (Jang et al. 2007).

The receptors possess a large extracellular N-terminal domain (NTD), known as the VFT domain linked to the 7TM by a shorter Cys-rich region. Currently, there are not enough structural data to define the exact binding site for their ligands, and each domain can be involved in agonist activation, explaining the diversity of chemically distinct agonists. Sucralose and noncaloric sweeteners such as aspartame and neotame bind to the VFT domain of T1R2 (Cui et al. 2006). Other artificial sweeteners such as cyclamate and neohesperidin dihydrochalcone interact within the TMD of T1R3 (Winnig et al. 2007) and can be considered allosteric modulators. While S819, a synthetic sweet agonist interacts with the TMD of T1R2, the sweet-tasting protein brazzein requires the cys-rich domain of hT1R3 to activate the receptor (Cui et al. 2006).

Positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of Class C appear to show little or no agonist activity on their own right but significantly enhance the activity of the agonist of the receptor and, in functional assays, this behavior is depicted by a leftward shift of the agonist dose-response in the presence of the PAM. Synomyx Inc., has identified PAMs of the sweet taste receptor, that considerably increase the sucralose and sucrose potencies of the sweet taste receptor in cell-based assays, and yet are not sweet on their own (Servant et al. 2010, 2011). These PAMs bind within the VFT domain (Zhang et al. 2010).

There are clearly different EEC populations that have been isolated by different laboratories since Parker et al. (2009) fail to detect T1R2 + T1R3 enrichment in purified mouse EEC preparations and their cultures of mouse primary intestinal epithelial cells failed to respond to artificial sweeteners. In contrast the human EEC line, Hutu-80, artificial sweeteners. Activation responds to of T1R2 + T1R3 by sucralose, saccharin, acesulfame K, and glycyrrhizin (a natural sweetener derived from licorice root) increased intracellular cAMP levels (Ohtsu et al. 2014). However, the effects of sweetener on $[Ca^{2+}]I$ levels were diverse. Activation of T1R2 + T1R3 by sucralose and saccharin stimulated extracellular Ca²⁺ influx via a nifedipine-sensitive L-type VGCC which was abolished by the $G\alpha_q$ inhibitor, YM254890 (Ohtsu et al. 2014). Activation by acesulfame K, however, reciprocally regulated intracellular cAMP and Ca²⁺ levels. [Ca²⁺]I levels were reduced by acesulfame K via a calmodulin-dependent Ca²⁺ pump, while intracellular cAMP levels were raised (Ohtsu et al. 2014). Glycyrrhizin caused a biphasic Ca²⁺ response with an initial decrease in [Ca²⁺]I followed by a sustained increase (Ohtsu et al. 2014). Clearly, coupling of T1R2 + T1R3 in EECs and the recruitment of downstream signaling pathways is agonist dependent.

These artificial sweeteners are structurally unrelated. T1R2 + T1R3 contains more than four potential binding sites for sweet molecules, stabilizing multiple conformational states, enabling T1R2 + T1R3 to switch between activation of differential signaling pathways depending on the agonist bound. In this respect, agonists at T1R2 + T1R3 appear as biased. Additionally, the T1R2 + T1R3 antagonist lactisole specifically inhibited the Ca²⁺ signal without affecting the cAMP signal, behavior consistent with a biased antagonist (Ohtsu et al. 2014). In EECs, T1R2 + T1R3 appears as a multifunctional GPCR, capable of activating several intracellular signaling pathways in various combinations.

L-amino acid sensing by the umami receptor, T1R1 + T1R3

Although not enriched mRNA transcripts for the umami receptor, T1R1 + T1R3, have been detected in I-, K-and L-cells (Bezencon et al. 2007; Daly et al. 2013). T1R1 + T1R3 has also been shown to act as a sensor for CCK secretion in the EEC line, STC-1, and in primary mouse small intestinal tissue explants (Daly et al. 2013). T1R1 + T1R3 is activated by glutamate and sensitive to aliphatic L-amino acids. It is allosterically enhanced by inosine-5-monophosphoate (IMP) and guanosine 5monophosphate which stabilize the active conformation (Li et al. 2002). The glutamate- and IMP-binding sites appear to lie in the VFT domain of T1R1 and molecular modeling appears to suggest that IMP exhibits its allosteric effect by binding adjacent to glutamate, stabilizing the closed conformation of the VFT by coordinating the positively charged residues of the pincer (Toda et al. 2013).

L-amino acid, Ca²⁺, and peptide sensing by CaSR

The CaSR is expressed in a number of EECs, and activation by L-amino acids stimulates secretion of CCK from I-cells (Nakajima et al. 2010, 2012), gastrin from G-cells (Feng et al. 2010), GIP from K-cells and GLP-1 from Lcells (Mace et al. 2012; Diakogiannaki et al. 2013). The CaSR responds to aromatic L-amino acids which allosterically increase the potency of Ca²⁺ for the receptor; the rank order of amino acid potency being L-Phe, L-Trp, L-His > L-Ala > L-Ser, L-Pro, L-glutaminc acid > L-aspartic acid (Conigrave et al. 2007; Conigrave and Ward 2013). In the absence of Ca²⁺, aromatic amino acids and calcimimetic agonists are ineffective.

Similar to other members of the family, the CaSR is a multifunctional GPCR, and couples to $G\alpha_{qp}$, $G\alpha_{s}$, $G\alpha_{i}$, and $G\alpha_{12/13}$ depending on the agonist bound and the conformational state stabilized. Biased signaling was recently demonstrated for cinacalcet and NPS 2143, and for a

variety of Type I calcimimetics using rat or human CaSR (Davey et al. 2012). Such biased signaling indicates that the CaSR can adopt multiple conformational states, stabilized by different ligands or differential cooperativity between allosteric and orthosteric ligands that affect one or more signaling pathways.

Multiple PAMs have been identified for the CaSR, which all bind to the TMD (Hu 2008). Chimeric CaSRmGluR1 was used to show that phenylalkylamine calcimimetics like NPS R-568 bind in the TMD, whereas Ca²⁺ binds in the extracellular domain of the CaSR (Brauner-Osborne et al. 1999a,b). All of the calcilytics studied to date bind in the TMD. In contrast, naturally occurring Type II calcimimetics such as aromatic amino acids and glutathione bind in the extracellular domain, whereas synthetic Type II calcimimetics that bind the TMD of the CaSR exert allosteric effects in response to Ca²⁺. In EECs, the CaSR appears sensitive to external Ca²⁺ because it requires Ca²⁺ binding to the VFT to elicit opening of VGCCs (Diakogiannaki et al. 2013). In addition to amino acids, the CaSR has also been implicated in di-, tri-, and oligopeptide-mediated secretion of gut hormones, including gastrin, CCK, GIP, GLP-1, and PYY (Dufner et al. 2005; Hira et al. 2008; Ceglia et al. 2009; Feng et al. 2010; Nakajima et al. 2012; Diakogiannaki et al. 2013); L-cell hormone secretion was also sensitive to the CaSR antagonists, NPS2143, and Calhex231 (Mace et al. 2012; Diakogiannaki et al. 2013; Joshi et al. 2013). There are few studies evaluating whether these are competitive or noncompetitive. However, the binding sites for polycationic agonists are presumed to lie in a different location to these antagonists. Ca²⁺ is believed to bind in the extracellular domain and Gd³⁺ in the TM domain (Miedlich et al. 2004; Silve et al. 2005), while the binding site for the calcimimetic agonists appears to overlap with that of the antagonists (Brauner-Osborne et al. 1999a,b).

L-Amino acid sensing by GPRC6A

GPRC6A responds to multiple L-amino acids and is allosterically modulated by physiological concentrations of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . It is involved in amino acid-induced GLP-1 secretion from the EEC line, GLUTag (Oya et al. 2013). The GPRC6A agonist, L-ornithine stimulated GLP-1 secretion from GLUTag cells via a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]I$ and was abolished with the GPRC6A antagonist, calindol (Oya et al. 2013). This was also supported by siRNA knockdown of GPRC6A and inhibition of L-ornithine induced Ca^{2+} increase and GLP-1 secretion (Oya et al. 2013). Immunohistochemical studies have also shown GPRC6A to colocalize with gastrin and somatostatin in the stomach mucosa, implying that it may also control the release of these peptides (Haid et al. 2011). To date, no competitive antagonists have been identified at GPRC6A, however, negative allosteric modulators (NAMS) have been published. Two antagonists identified for GPRC6A, the calcimimetic calindol and the calcilytic, NPS 2143 suffer from a lack of selectivity as they possess ~ 30-fold higher potency at the CaSR, and only show partial inhibition of GPRC6A responses (Faure et al. 2009). Both compounds bind in overlapping (allosteric) binding sites in the CaSR TM domain, and display opposing activity at CaSR: NAM and PAM modes for NPS 2143 and calindol, respectively (Petrel et al. 2004). However, GPRC6A antagonists developed by Gloriam et al. (2011) have a distinct site of action from calindol, and are proposed to bind at the top of TM helix 6 and 7 at the extracellular interface.

Interpreting Enteroendocrine Communication and the ENS

Hormones secreted from EECs also enter the systemic circulation where they may act in a more conventional endocrine manner (Fig. 1C). Hormones released from EECs may communicate through paracrine mechanisms on the neighboring cell population (Fig. 1D and E). Cox et al. (2010) demonstrated that the secretion of PYY from EECs can inhibit epithelial Cl⁻ secretion through activation of basolateral Y1 receptors (Panaro et al. 2014) showing that hormones secreted from EECs can also act in a paracrine fashion on the adjacent neighboring epithelial cell population (Fig. 1D). In addition, enteroendocrine hormones released into the serosa may act in a neurocrine fashion to activate neuronal pathways including extrinsic afferent neurones to send messages to the CNS (Fig. 1F) and intrinsic afferent neurones in the ENS (Fig. 1G) (Chen et al. 2006; Bohorquez and Liddle 2011, 2015; Bohorquez et al. 2011, 2014, 2015). Enteroendocrine cells have been shown to extend dendrite-like processes, termed a "neuropod" which is thought to play a neurocrine role (Bohorquez et al. 2011, 2014). The ENS innervates the intestine and involves GPCR activity employing acetylcholine, noradrenaline, and neurotransmitters including α-MSH, CGRP, VIP, PACAP, galanin, and the bombesin-related peptides, NMB, and GRP.

The ENS receives hormonal inputs including CCK, GLP-1, and GLP-2 from EECs. Enteric neurones express receptors for CCK1, GLP-1, and GLP-2 (Patterson et al. 2002; Amato et al. 2010; de et al. 2012; Richards et al. 2014). In addition to hormone receptors, enteric neurones may also respond directly to absorbed nutrients and non-nutrients (Fig. 1H). They have been shown to express FFAR3, GPBAR1, and respond to glucose, amino acids, and fatty acids (Liu et al. 1999; Keely 2010; Poole et al. 2010; Soret et al. 2010; Furness et al. 2013, 2014; Nohr et al. 2013, 2015; Neunlist and Schemann 2014).

In addition, EECs have also been proposed to govern mucosal immunity and repair (Khan and Ghia 2010). Glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2) promotes gut barrier integrity (Drucker and Yusta 2014). In contrast, physiologically, there is an association between GI infection and high plasma CCK levels (McDermott et al. 2006), which might explain reduced food intake. In mice, TLR agonists increase plasma CCK (Palazzo et al. 2007). Mechanistically, inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and toll-like receptors (TLRs) are expressed on EEC lines (Bogunovic et al. 2007; Palazzo et al. 2007; Selleri et al. 2008). Activation of TLRs evokes NF-kB and inflammatory cytokine cascades (Akhtar et al. 2003; Suzuki et al. 2003). Enteroendocrine cell lines that secrete CCK are also activated by LPS, a TLR agonist, to evoke CCK secretion and proinflammatory programs (Palazzo et al. 2007; Selleri et al. 2008).

Since the disregulation of any one of these processes can be fundamental in several metabolic and GI pathologies including diabetes, obesity, gastroparesis, irritable bowel syndrome and possibly inflammatory bowel disease, harnessing the therapeutic potential of the endogenous EEC population is of immense importance.

Perspectives

Attempts to mimic the secretory hormone profile that occurs following Roux-en-Y gastric surgery to obtain the beneficial metabolic changes that have been observed in obese and obese diabetic patients are still to be achieved (Papamargaritis et al. 2012). "Roux-en-Y" in a pill remains the holy grail of harnessing the enteroendocrine system for the treatment of metabolic disease. However, the plethora of signaling events that occur at both the apical and basolateral PM of EECs to regulate secretory hormone output have made this achievement very challenging; even more so, given the nature of the sensor proteins involved. The activation of additive secretory enteroendocrine signaling pathways to secrete larger quantities of hormone secretion may provide further therapeutic benefit beyond individual gut peptide secretagogues alone. This may be achieved either through coactivation of different $G\alpha_s$ - and $G\alpha_q$ -coupled receptors. For example, endogenous ligands of GPR40 such as longchain fatty acids couple to $G\alpha_q$ and provide a small incretin response (Luo et al. 2012). It is feasible that together with activation of $G\alpha_s$ -coupled receptors such as GPR119 or GPBAR-1, may elicit a heightened incretin response. Alternatively, a larger incretin response may be achieved pharmacologically through activation of a single GPCR coupling to both $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_q$ pathways, for example, AM-5262 which couples GPR40 to both $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_q$ pathways (Luo et al. 2012). Stimulation of $G\alpha_s$ and $G\alpha_q$

signaling and robust incretin responses by ago-allosteric agonists could make these targets extremely interesting. Allosteric modulators of GPCRs have also emerged which may subtly modulate and fine-tune EEC activity. However, physiologically meaningful effects are often achieved with small changes in receptor activity, so the subtle effects of allosteric compounds do not mean that they will be less efficacious than orthosteric modulators. The interaction between GPCR and nutrient transporter signaling pathways is also complex. Nutrients may well modulate GPCRs allosterically, as well as drive EEC signaling through transporter-mediated mechanisms for example, amino acids behaving as PAMs of the CaSR, as well as substrates for Na⁺- or H⁺-coupled amino acid transporters (Mace et al. 2012; Conigrave and Ward 2013). Furthermore, the neuronal circuits formed between cells of the enteroendocrine system and the ENS that communicate bidirectionally with the CNS enable the GI tract to control mood and behaviors associated with food intake peripherally. Modulation of feeding behavior may not necessarily require central penetration (Panaro et al. 2014), and could be harnessed through the enteroendocrine system. As the complexity of the enteroendocrine system is revealed, opportunities to harness the therapeutic potential of the enteroendocrine system become teasingly closer.

Acknowledgement

The authors are employees of Heptares Therapeutics Ltd.

Disclosures

None declared.

References

Adrian TE, Gariballa S, Parekh KA, Thomas SA, Saadi H, Al KJ, et al. (2012). Rectal taurocholate increases L cell and insulin secretion, and decreases blood glucose and food intake in obese type 2 diabetic volunteers. Diabetologia 55: 2343–2347.

Akhtar M, Watson JL, Nazli A, McKay DM (2003). Bacterial DNA evokes epithelial IL-8 production by a MAPK-dependent, NF-kappaB-independent pathway. FASEB J 17: 1319–1321.

Amato A, Cinci L, Rotondo A, Serio R, Faussone-Pellegrini MS, Vannucchi MG, et al. (2010). Peripheral motor action of glucagon-like peptide-1 through enteric neuronal receptors. Neurogastroenterol Motil 22: 664-e203.

Anini Y, Brubaker PL (2003). Muscarinic receptors control glucagon-like peptide 1 secretion by human endocrine L cells. Endocrinology 144: 3244–3250.

Backhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, et al. (2004). The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 15718–15723.

Bassilana F, Carlson A, DaSilva JA, Grosshans B, Vidal S, Beck V, et al. (2014). Target identification for a Hedgehog pathway inhibitor reveals the receptor GPR39. Nat Chem Biol 10: 343–349.

Batterham RL, Heffron H, Kapoor S, Chivers JE, Chandarana K, Herzog H, et al. (2006). Critical role for peptide YY in protein-mediated satiation and body-weight regulation. Cell Metab 4: 223–233.

Bauer FE, Zintel A, Kenny MJ, Calder D, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR (1989). Inhibitory effect of galanin on postprandial gastrointestinal motility and gut hormone release in humans. Gastroenterology 97: 260–264.

Behrens M, Brockhoff A, Kuhn C, Bufe B, Winnig M, Meyerhof W (2004). The human taste receptor hTAS2R14 responds to a variety of different bitter compounds. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 319: 479–485.

Bezencon C, le Coutre CJ, Damak S (2007). Taste-signaling proteins are coexpressed in solitary intestinal epithelial cells. Chem Senses 32: 41–49.

Boehm M, Hepworth D, Loria PM, Norquay LD, Filipski KJ, Chin JE, et al. (2013). Chemical probe identification platform for orphan GPCRs using focused compound screening: GPR39 as a case example. ACS Med Chem Lett 4: 1079–1084.

Bogunovic M, Dave SH, Tilstra JS, Chang DT, Harpaz N, Xiong H, et al. (2007). Enteroendocrine cells express functional Toll-like receptors. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292: G1770–G1783.

Bohorquez DV, Liddle RA (2011). Axon-like basal processes in enteroendocrine cells: characteristics and potential targets. Clin Transl Sci 4: 387–391.

Bohorquez DV, Liddle RA (2015). The gut connectome: making sense of what you eat. J Clin Invest 125: 888–890.

Bohorquez DV, Chandra R, Samsa LA, Vigna SR, Liddle RA (2011). Characterization of basal pseudopod-like processes in ileal and colonic PYY cells. J Mol Histol 42: 3–13.

Bohorquez DV, Samsa LA, Roholt A, Medicetty S, Chandra R, Liddle RA (2014). An enteroendocrine cell-enteric glia connection revealed by 3D electron microscopy. PLoS ONE 9: e89881.

Bohorquez DV, Shahid RA, Erdmann A, Kreger AM, Wang Y, Calakos N, et al. (2015). Neuroepithelial circuit formed by innervation of sensory enteroendocrine cells. J Clin Invest 125: 782–786.

Brauner-Osborne H, Jensen AA, Krogsgaard-Larsen P (1999a). Interaction of CPCCOEt with a chimeric mGlu1b and calcium sensing receptor. NeuroReport 10: 3923–3925. Brauner-Osborne H, Jensen AA, Sheppard PO, O'Hara P, Krogsgaard-Larsen P (1999b). The agonist-binding domain of the calcium-sensing receptor is located at the amino-terminal domain. J Biol Chem 274: 18382–18386.

Brown AJ, Goldsworthy SM, Barnes AA, Eilert MM, Tcheang L, Daniels D, et al. (2003). The Orphan G protein-coupled receptors GPR41 and GPR43 are activated by propionate and other short chain carboxylic acids. J Biol Chem 278: 11312–11319.

Brubaker PL (1991). Regulation of intestinal proglucagonderived peptide secretion by intestinal regulatory peptides. Endocrinology 128: 3175–3182.

Budzik BW, Evans KA, Wisnoski DD, Jin J, Rivero RA, Szewczyk GR, et al. (2010). Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of a series of 3-aryl-4-isoxazolecarboxamides as a new class of TGR5 agonists. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 20: 1363–1367.

Cani PD, Holst JJ, Drucker DJ, Delzenne NM, Thorens B, Burcelin R, et al. (2007). GLUT2 and the incretin receptors are involved in glucose-induced incretin secretion. Mol Cell Endocrinol 276: 18–23.

Cani PD, Lecourt E, Dewulf EM, Sohet FM, Pachikian BD, Naslain D, et al. (2009). Gut microbiota fermentation of prebiotics increases satietogenic and incretin gut peptide production with consequences for appetite sensation and glucose response after a meal. Am J Clin Nutr 90: 1236–1243.

Ceglia L, Harris SS, Rasmussen HM, Dawson-Hughes B (2009). Activation of the calcium sensing receptor stimulates gastrin and gastric acid secretion in healthy participants. Osteoporos Int 20: 71–78.

Chaikomin R, Wu KL, Doran S, Meyer JH, Jones KL, Feinle-Bisset C, et al. (2008). Effects of mid-jejunal compared to duodenal glucose infusion on peptide hormone release and appetite in healthy men. Regul Pept 150: 38–42.

Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, et al. (2000). T2Rs function as bitter taste receptors. Cell 100: 703–711.

Chang CH, Chey WY, Coy DH, Chang TM (1995). Galanin inhibits cholecystokinin secretion in STC-1 cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 216: 20–25.

Chen MC, Wu SV, Reeve JR, Jr, Rozengurt E (2006). Bitter stimuli induce Ca2+ signaling and CCK release in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells: role of L-type voltage-sensitive Ca2+ channels. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 291: C726–C739.

Chen P, Melhem M, Xiao J, Kuchimanchi M, Perez Ruixo JJ. (2015). Population pharmacokinetics analysis of AMG 416, an allosteric activator of the calcium-sensing receptor, in subjects with secondary hyperparathyroidism receiving hemodialysis. J Clin Pharmacol 55:620–628.

Chimerel C, Emery E, Summers DK, Keyser U, Gribble FM, Reimann F (2014). Bacterial metabolite indole modulates incretin secretion from intestinal enteroendocrine L cells. Cell Rep 9: 1202–1208.

Chisholm C, Greenberg GR (2002). Somatostatin-28 regulates GLP-1 secretion via somatostatin receptor subtype 5 in rat intestinal cultures. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 283: E311–E317.

Choi S, Lee M, Shiu AL, Yo SJ, Aponte GW (2007a). Identification of a protein hydrolysate responsive G proteincoupled receptor in enterocytes. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292: G98–G112.

Choi S, Lee M, Shiu AL, Yo SJ, Hallden G, Aponte GW (2007b). GPR93 activation by protein hydrolysate induces CCK transcription and secretion in STC-1 cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292: G1366–G1375.

Christiansen E, Due-Hansen ME, Urban C, Merten N, Pfleiderer M, Karlsen KK, et al. (2010). Structure-activity study of dihydrocinnamic acids and discovery of the potent FFA1 (GPR40) agonist TUG-469. ACS Med Chem Lett 1: 345–349.

Christiansen E, Hansen SV, Urban C, Hudson BD, Wargent ET, Grundmann M, et al. (2013). Discovery of TUG-770: a highly potent free fatty acid receptor 1 (FFA1/GPR40) agonist for treatment of type 2 diabetes. ACS Med Chem Lett 4: 441–445.

Chu ZL, Carroll C, Alfonso J, Gutierrez V, He H, Lucman A, et al. (2008). A role for intestinal endocrine cell-expressed g protein-coupled receptor 119 in glycemic control by enhancing glucagon-like Peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic Peptide release. Endocrinology 149: 2038–2047.

Conigrave AD, Ward DT (2013). Calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR): pharmacological properties and signaling pathways. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 27: 315–331.

Conigrave AD, Mun HC, Lok HC (2007). Aromatic L-amino acids activate the calcium-sensing receptor. J Nutr 137: 1524S–1527S.

Cordier-Bussat M, Bernard C, Haouche S, Roche C, Abello J, Chayvialle JA, et al. (1997). Peptones stimulate cholecystokinin secretion and gene transcription in the intestinal cell line STC-1. Endocrinology 138: 1137–1144.

Coutts AA, Irving AJ, Mackie K, Pertwee RG, Anavi-Goffer S (2002). Localisation of cannabinoid CB(1) receptor immunoreactivity in the guinea pig and rat myenteric plexus. J Comp Neurol 448: 410–422.

Cox HM, Tough IR, Woolston AM, Zhang L, Nguyen AD, Sainsbury A, et al. (2010). Peptide YY is critical for acylethanolamine receptor Gpr119-induced activation of gastrointestinal mucosal responses. Cell Metab 11: 532–542.

Cui M, Jiang P, Maillet E, Max M, Margolskee RF, Osman R (2006). The heterodimeric sweet taste receptor has multiple potential ligand binding sites. Curr Pharm Des 12: 4591–4600.

Daly K, Al-Rammahi M, Moran A, Marcello M, Ninomiya Y, Shirazi-Beechey SP (2013). Sensing of amino acids by the gutexpressed taste receptor T1R1-T1R3 stimulates CCK secretion. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 304: G271–G282.

Davey AE, Leach K, Valant C, Conigrave AD, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2012). Positive and negative allosteric modulators promote biased signaling at the calcium-sensing receptor. Endocrinology 153: 1232–1241.

de HE, Wallace L, Sharkey KA, Sigalet DL (2012). Glucagon-like peptide 2 induces vasoactive intestinal polypeptide expression in enteric neurons via phophatidylinositol 3-kinase-gamma signaling. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 303: E994–E1005.

Deavall DG, Raychowdhury R, Dockray GJ, Dimaline R (2000). Control of CCK gene transcription by PACAP in STC-1 cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 279: G605–G612.

Depoortere I (2012). GI functions of GPR39: novel biology. Curr Opin Pharmacol 12: 647–652.

Diakogiannaki E, Pais R, Tolhurst G, Parker HE, Horscroft J, Rauscher B, et al. (2013). Oligopeptides stimulate glucagonlike peptide-1 secretion in mice through proton-coupled uptake and the calcium-sensing receptor. Diabetologia 56: 2688–2696.

Drucker DJ, Yusta B (2014). Physiology and pharmacology of the enteroendocrine hormone glucagon-like peptide-2. Annu Rev Physiol 76: 561–583.

Dufner MM, Kirchhoff P, Remy C, Hafner P, Muller MK, Cheng SX, et al. (2005). The calcium-sensing receptor acts as a modulator of gastric acid secretion in freshly isolated human gastric glands. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 289: G1084–G1090.

Edfalk S, Steneberg P, Edlund H (2008). Gpr40 is expressed in enteroendocrine cells and mediates free fatty acid stimulation of incretin secretion. Diabetes 57: 2280–2287.

Ellingsgaard H, Hauselmann I, Schuler B, Habib AM, Baggio LL, Meier DT, et al. (2011). Interleukin-6 enhances insulin secretion by increasing glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion from L cells and alpha cells. Nat Med 17: 1481–1489.

Elliott RM, Morgan LM, Tredger JA, Deacon S, Wright J, Marks V (1993). Glucagon-like peptide-1 (7-36)amide and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide secretion in response to nutrient ingestion in man: acute post-prandial and 24-h secretion patterns. J Endocrinol 138: 159–166.

Engelstoft MS, Park WM, Sakata I, Kristensen LV, Husted AS, Osborne-Lawrence S, et al. (2013). Seven transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor repertoire of gastric ghrelin cells. Mol Metab 2: 376–392.

Engelstoft MS, Norn C, Hauge M, Holliday ND, Elster L, Lehmann J, et al. (2014). Structural basis for constitutive activity and agonist-induced activation of the enteroendocrine fat sensor GPR119. Br J Pharmacol 171: 5774–5789. Evans KA, Budzik BW, Ross SA, Wisnoski DD, Jin J, Rivero RA, et al. (2009). Discovery of 3-aryl-4-isoxazolecarboxamides as TGR5 receptor agonists. J Med Chem 52: 7962–7965.

Fang P, Bo P, Shi M, Yu M, Zhang Z (2013). Circulating galanin levels are increased in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus. Clin Biochem 46: 831–833.

Faure H, Gorojankina T, Rice N, Dauban P, Dodd RH, Brauner-Osborne H, et al. (2009). Molecular determinants of non-competitive antagonist binding to the mouse GPRC6A receptor. Cell Calcium 46: 323–332.

Feng J, Petersen CD, Coy DH, Jiang JK, Thomas CJ, Pollak MR, et al. (2010). Calcium-sensing receptor is a physiologic multimodal chemosensor regulating gastric G-cell growth and gastrin secretion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 17791–17796.

Ferre S, Casado V, Devi LA, Filizola M, Jockers R, Lohse MJ, et al. (2014). G protein-coupled receptor oligomerization revisited: functional and pharmacological perspectives. Pharmacol Rev 66: 413–434.

Flock GB, Cao X, Maziarz M, Drucker DJ (2013). Activation of enteroendocrine membrane progesterone receptors promotes incretin secretion and improves glucose tolerance in mice. Diabetes 62: 283–290.

Franckhauser S, Elias I, Rotter SV, Ferre T, Nagaev I, Andersson CX, et al. (2008). Overexpression of Il6 leads to hyperinsulinaemia, liver inflammation and reduced body weight in mice. Diabetologia 51:1306–1316.

Furness JB, Rivera LR, Cho HJ, Bravo DM, Callaghan B (2013). The gut as a sensory organ. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 10: 729–740.

Furness JB, Callaghan BP, Rivera LR, Cho HJ (2014). The enteric nervous system and gastrointestinal innervation: integrated local and central control. Adv Exp Med Biol 817: 39–71.

Ghamari-Langroudi M, Digby GJ, Sebag JA, Millhauser GL, Palomino R, Matthews R, et al. (2015). G-protein-independent coupling of MC4R to Kir7.1 in hypothalamic neurons. Nature 520: 94–98.

Ghatei MA, Jung RT, Stevenson JC, Hillyard CJ, Adrian TE, Lee YC, et al. (1982). Bombesin: action on gut hormones and calcium in man. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 54: 980–985.

Gloriam DE, Wellendorph P, Johansen LD, Thomsen AR, Phonekeo K, Pedersen DS, et al. (2011). Chemogenomic discovery of allosteric antagonists at the GPRC6A receptor. Chem Biol 18: 1489–1498.

Gong Z, Yoshimura M, Aizawa S, Kurotani R, Zigman JM, Sakai T, et al. (2014). G protein-coupled receptor 120 signaling regulates ghrelin secretion in vivo and in vitro. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 306: E28–E35.

Habib AM, Richards P, Rogers GJ, Reimann F, Gribble FM (2013). Co-localisation and secretion of glucagon-like peptide

1 and peptide YY from primary cultured human L cells. Diabetologia 56: 1413–1416.

Haid D, Widmayer P, Breer H (2011). Nutrient sensing receptors in gastric endocrine cells. J Mol Histol 42: 355–364.

Hansen L, Hartmann B, Bisgaard T, Mineo H, Jorgensen PN, Holst JJ (2000). Somatostatin restrains the secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 and -2 from isolated perfused porcine ileum. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 278: E1010–E1018.

Hansen L, Hartmann B, Mineo H, Holst JJ (2004). Glucagonlike peptide-1 secretion is influenced by perfusate glucose concentration and by a feedback mechanism involving somatostatin in isolated perfused porcine ileum. Regul Pept 118: 11–18.

Hansen KB, Rosenkilde MM, Knop FK, Wellner N, Diep TA, Rehfeld JF, et al. (2011). 2-Oleoyl glycerol is a GPR119 agonist and signals GLP-1 release in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 96: E1409–E1417.

Hansen HS, Rosenkilde MM, Holst JJ, Schwartz TW (2012). GPR119 as a fat sensor. Trends Pharmacol Sci 33: 374–381.

Hara T, Hirasawa A, Ichimura A, Kimura I, Tsujimoto G (2011). Free fatty acid receptors FFAR1 and GPR120 as novel therapeutic targets for metabolic disorders. J Pharm Sci 100: 3594–3601.

Hasegawa H, Shirohara H, Okabayashi Y, Nakamura T, Fujii M, Koide M, et al. (1996). Oral glucose ingestion stimulates cholecystokinin release in normal subjects and patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Metabolism 45: 196–202.

Herrmann-Rinke C, Horsch D, McGregor GP, Goke B (1996). Galanin is a potent inhibitor of glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion from rat ileum. Peptides 17: 571–576.

Herrmann-Rinke C, McGregor GP, Goke B (2000). Calcitonin gene-related peptide potently stimulates glucagon-like peptide-1 release in the isolated perfused rat ileum. Peptides 21: 431– 437.

Hira T, Nakajima S, Eto Y, Hara H (2008). Calcium-sensing receptor mediates phenylalanine-induced cholecystokinin secretion in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. FEBS J 275: 4620–4626.

Hirasawa A, Tsumaya K, Awaji T, Katsuma S, Adachi T, Yamada M, et al. (2005). Free fatty acids regulate gut incretin glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through GPR120. Nat Med 11: 90–94.

Hirasawa A, Hara T, Katsuma S, Adachi T, Tsujimoto G (2008). Free fatty acid receptors and drug discovery. Biol Pharm Bull 31: 1847–1851.

Hisatsune C, Yasumatsu K, Takahashi-Iwanaga H, Ogawa N, Kuroda Y, Yoshida R, et al. (2007). Abnormal taste perception in mice lacking the type 3 inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor. J Biol Chem 282: 37225–37231.

Holliday ND, Watson SJ, Brown AJ (2011). Drug discovery opportunities and challenges at g protein coupled receptors for long chain free Fatty acids. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2: 112.

Holmes AG, Mesa JL, Neill BA, Chung J, Carey AL, Steinberg GR, et al. (2008). Prolonged interleukin-6 administration enhances glucose tolerance and increases skeletal muscle PPARalpha and UCP2 expression in rats. J Endocrinol 198: 367–374.

Houze JB, Zhu L, Sun Y, Akerman M, Qiu W, Zhang AJ, et al. (2012). AMG 837: a potent, orally bioavailable GPR40 agonist. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 22: 1267–1270.

Hu J (2008). Allosteric modulators of the human calciumsensing receptor: structures, sites of action, and therapeutic potentials. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets 8: 192–197.

Hudson BD, Due-Hansen ME, Christiansen E, Hansen AM, Mackenzie AE, Murdoch H, et al. (2013). Defining the molecular basis for the first potent and selective orthosteric agonists of the FFA2 free fatty acid receptor. J Biol Chem 288: 17296–17312.

Hudson BD, Christiansen E, Murdoch H, Jenkins L, Hansen AH, Madsen O, et al. (2014a). Complex pharmacology of novel allosteric free fatty acid 3 receptor ligands. Mol Pharmacol 86: 200–210.

Hudson BD, Shimpukade B, Milligan G, Ulven T (2014b). The molecular basis of ligand interaction at free fatty acid receptor 4 (FFA4/GPR120). J Biol Chem 289: 20345–20358.

Iakoubov R, Izzo A, Yeung A, Whiteside CI, Brubaker PL (2007). Protein kinase Czeta is required for oleic acid-induced secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 by intestinal endocrine L cells. Endocrinology 148: 1089–1098.

Iakoubov R, Ahmed A, Lauffer LM, Bazinet RP, Brubaker PL (2011). Essential role for protein kinase Czeta in oleic acidinduced glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion in vivo in the rat. Endocrinology 152: 1244–1252.

Jang HJ, Kokrashvili Z, Theodorakis MJ, Carlson OD, Kim BJ, Zhou J, et al. (2007). Gut-expressed gustducin and taste receptors regulate secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 15069–15074.

Jensen RT, Battey JF, Spindel ER, Benya RV (2008). International Union of Pharmacology. LXVIII. Mammalian bombesin receptors: nomenclature, distribution, pharmacology, signaling, and functions in normal and disease states. Pharmacol Rev 60: 1–42.

Joshi S, Tough IR, Cox HM (2013). Endogenous PYY and GLP-1 mediate l-glutamine responses in intestinal mucosa. Br J Pharmacol 170: 1092–1101.

Karaki S, Mitsui R, Hayashi H, Kato I, Sugiya H, Iwanaga T, et al. (2006). Short-chain fatty acid receptor, GPR43, is

expressed by enteroendocrine cells and mucosal mast cells in rat intestine. Cell Tissue Res 324: 353–360.

Karaki S, Tazoe H, Hayashi H, Kashiwabara H, Tooyama K, Suzuki Y, et al. (2008). Expression of the short-chain fatty acid receptor, GPR43, in the human colon. J Mol Histol 39: 135–142.

Katsuma S, Hirasawa A, Tsujimoto G (2005). Bile acids promote glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through TGR5 in a murine enteroendocrine cell line STC-1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 329: 386–390.

Katz LB, Gambale JJ, Rothenberg PL, Vanapalli SR, Vaccaro N, Xi L, et al. (2012). Effects of JNJ-38431055, a novel GPR119 receptor agonist, in randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 14: 709–716.

Keely SJ (2010). Missing link identified: GpBAR1 is a neuronal bile acid receptor. Neurogastroenterol Motil 22: 711–717.

Kellett GL (2011). Alternative perspective on intestinal calcium absorption: proposed complementary actions of Ca(v)1.3 and TRPV6. Nutr Rev 69: 347–370.

Kellett GL, Brot-Laroche E, Mace OJ, Leturque A (2008). Sugar absorption in the intestine: the role of GLUT2. Annu Rev Nutr 28: 35–54.

Khan WI, Ghia JE (2010). Gut hormones: emerging role in immune activation and inflammation. Clin Exp Immunol 161: 19–27.

Kogure R, Toyama K, Hiyamuta S, Kojima I, Takeda S (2011). 5-Hydroxy-eicosapentaenoic acid is an endogenous GPR119 agonist and enhances glucose-dependent insulin secretion. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 416: 58–63.

Kong MF, Chapman I, Goble E, Wishart J, Wittert G, Morris H, et al. (1999). Effects of oral fructose and glucose on plasma GLP-1 and appetite in normal subjects. Peptides 20: 545–551.

Kotarsky K, Boketoft A, Bristulf J, Nilsson NE, Norberg A, Hansson S, et al. (2006). Lysophosphatidic acid binds to and activates GPR92, a G protein-coupled receptor highly expressed in gastrointestinal lymphocytes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 318: 619–628.

Kuhre RE, Gribble FM, Hartmann B, Reimann F, Windelov JA, Rehfeld JF, et al. (2014). Fructose stimulates GLP-1 but not GIP secretion in mice, rats and humans. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 306: G622–630.

Kuhre RE, Bechmann LE, Wewer Albrechtsen NJ, Hartmann B, Holst JJ. (2015). Glucose stimulates neurotensin secretion from the rat small intestine by mechanisms involving SGLT1 and GLUT2 leading to cell depolarization and calcium influx. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00012. 2015. [Epub ahead of print]

Kuo P, Chaikomin R, Pilichiewicz A, O'Donovan D, Wishart JM, Meyer JH, et al. (2008). Transient, early release of

glucagon-like peptide-1 during low rates of intraduodenal glucose delivery. Regul Pept 146: 1–3.

Lan H, Lin HV, Wang CF, Wright MJ, Xu S, Kang L, et al. (2012). Agonists at GPR119 mediate secretion of GLP-1 from mouse enteroendocrine cells through glucose-independent pathways. Br J Pharmacol 165: 2799–2807.

Le PE, Loison C, Struyf S, Springael JY, Lannoy V, Decobecq ME, et al. (2003). Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role in polymorphonuclear cell activation. J Biol Chem 278: 25481–25489.

Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI (2006). Microbial ecology: human gut microbes associated with obesity. Nature 444: 1022–1023.

Li X, Staszewski L, Xu H, Durick K, Zoller M, Adler E (2002). Human receptors for sweet and umami taste. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 4692–4696.

Liddle RA (1997). Cholecystokinin cells. Annu Rev Physiol 59: 221–242.

Liddle RA, Goldfine ID, Rosen MS, Taplitz RA, Williams JA (1985). Cholecystokinin bioactivity in human plasma. Molecular forms, responses to feeding, and relationship to gallbladder contraction. J Clin Invest 75: 1144–1152.

Lin DC, Zhang J, Zhuang R, Li F, Nguyen K, Chen M, et al. (2011). AMG 837: a novel GPR40/FFA1 agonist that enhances insulin secretion and lowers glucose levels in rodents. PLoS ONE 6: e27270.

Liou AP, Lu X, Sei Y, Zhao X, Pechhold S, Carrero RJ, et al. (2011a). The G-protein-coupled receptor GPR40 directly mediates long-chain fatty acid-induced secretion of cholecystokinin. Gastroenterology 140: 903–912.

Liou AP, Sei Y, Zhao X, Feng J, Lu X, Thomas C, et al. (2011b). The extracellular calcium-sensing receptor is required for cholecystokinin secretion in response to L-phenylalanine in acutely isolated intestinal I cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 300: G538–G546.

Liu M, Seino S, Kirchgessner AL (1999). Identification and characterization of glucoresponsive neurons in the enteric nervous system. J Neurosci 19: 10305–10317.

Lopez-Redondo F, Lees GM, Pertwee RG (1997). Effects of cannabinoid receptor ligands on electrophysiological properties of myenteric neurones of the guinea-pig ileum. Br J Pharmacol 122: 330–334.

Luo J, Swaminath G, Brown SP, Zhang J, Guo Q, Chen M, et al. (2012). A potent class of GPR40 full agonists engages the enteroinsular axis to promote glucose control in rodents. PLoS ONE 7: e46300.

Mace OJ, Marshall F (2013). Digestive physiology of the pig symposium: gut chemosensing and the regulation of nutrient absorption and energy supply. J Anim Sci 91: 1932–1945.

Mace OJ, Morgan EL, Affleck JA, Lister N, Kellett GL (2007). Calcium absorption by Cav1.3 induces terminal web myosin II phosphorylation and apical GLUT2 insertion in rat intestine. J Physiol 580: 605–616.

Mace OJ, Schindler M, Patel S (2012). The regulation of Kand L-cell activity by GLUT2 and the calcium-sensing receptor CasR in rat small intestine. J Physiol 590: 2917–2936.

McClure KF, Darout E, Guimaraes CR, DeNinno MP, Mascitti V, Munchhof MJ, et al. (2011). Activation of the Gprotein-coupled receptor 119: a conformation-based hypothesis for understanding agonist response. J Med Chem 54: 1948–1952.

McDermott JR, Leslie FC, D'Amato M, Thompson DG, Grencis RK, McLaughlin JT (2006). Immune control of food intake: enteroendocrine cells are regulated by CD⁴⁺ T lymphocytes during small intestinal inflammation. Gut 55: 492–497.

Miedlich SU, Gama L, Seuwen K, Wolf RM, Breitwieser GE (2004). Homology modeling of the transmembrane domain of the human calcium sensing receptor and localization of an allosteric binding site. J Biol Chem 279: 7254–7263.

Milligan G, Alvarez-Curto E, Watterson KR, Ulven T, Hudson BD. (2014). Characterising pharmacological ligands to study the long chain fatty acid receptors GPR40/FFA1 and GPR120/FFA4. Br J Pharmacol doi: 10.1111/bph.12879. [Epub ahead of print]

Mooser G (1980). Sodium and potassium salt stimulation of taste receptor cells: an allosteric model. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77: 1686–1690.

Morgan EL, Mace OJ, Helliwell PA, Affleck J, Kellett GL (2003). A role for Ca(v)1.3 in rat intestinal calcium absorption. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 312: 487–493.

Morgan EL, Mace OJ, Affleck J, Kellett GL (2007). Apical GLUT2 and Cav1.3: regulation of rat intestinal glucose and calcium absorption. J Physiol 580: 593–604.

Moss CE, Marsh WJ, Parker HE, Ogunnowo-Bada E, Riches CH, Habib AM, et al. (2012). Somatostatin receptor 5 and cannabinoid receptor 1 activation inhibit secretion of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide from intestinal K cells in rodents. Diabetologia 55: 3094–3103.

Nakajima S, Hira T, Eto Y, Asano K, Hara H (2010). Soybean beta 51-63 peptide stimulates cholecystokinin secretion via a calcium-sensing receptor in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. Regul Pept 159: 148–155.

Nakajima S, Hira T, Hara H (2012). Calcium-sensing receptor mediates dietary peptide-induced CCK secretion in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. Mol Nutr Food Res 56: 753–760.

Negoro N, Sasaki S, Mikami S, Ito M, Suzuki M, Tsujihata Y, et al. (2010). Discovery of TAK-875: a potent, selective, and

orally bioavailable GPR40 agonist. ACS Med Chem Lett 1: 290–294.

Nemoz-Gaillard E, Bernard C, Abello J, Cordier-Bussat M, Chayvialle JA, Cuber JC (1998). Regulation of cholecystokinin secretion by peptones and peptidomimetic antibiotics in STC-1 cells. Endocrinology 139: 932–938.

Neunlist M, Schemann M (2014). Nutrient-induced changes in the phenotype and function of the enteric nervous system. J Physiol 592: 2959–2965.

Nohr MK, Pedersen MH, Gille A, Egerod KL, Engelstoft MS, Husted AS, et al. (2013). GPR41/FFAR3 and GPR43/FFAR2 as cosensors for short-chain fatty acids in enteroendocrine cells vs FFAR3 in enteric neurons and FFAR2 in enteric leukocytes. Endocrinology 154: 3552–3564.

Nohr MK, Egerod KL, Christiansen SH, Gille A, Offermanns S, Schwartz TW, et al. (2015). Expression of the short chain fatty acid receptor GPR41/FFAR3 in autonomic and somatic sensory ganglia. Neuroscience 290: 126–137.

Oates J, Faust B, Attrill H, Harding P, Orwick M, Watts A (2012). The role of cholesterol on the activity and stability of neurotensin receptor 1. Biochim Biophys Acta 1818: 2228–2233.

Ohtsu Y, Nakagawa Y, Nagasawa M, Takeda S, Arakawa H, Kojima I (2014). Diverse signaling systems activated by the sweet taste receptor in human GLP-1-secreting cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 394: 70–79.

Ou HY, Wu HT, Hung HC, Yang YC, Wu JS, Chang CJ (2013). Multiple mechanisms of GW-9508, a selective G protein-coupled receptor 40 agonist, in the regulation of glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 304: E668–E676.

Overton HA, Babbs AJ, Doel SM, Fyfe MC, Gardner LS, Griffin G, et al. (2006). Deorphanization of a G proteincoupled receptor for oleoylethanolamide and its use in the discovery of small-molecule hypophagic agents. Cell Metab 3: 167–175.

Oya M, Kitaguchi T, Pais R, Reimann F, Gribble F, Tsuboi T (2013). The G protein-coupled receptor family C group 6 subtype A (GPRC6A) receptor is involved in amino acid-induced glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion from GLUTag cells. J Biol Chem 288: 4513–4521.

Palazzo M, Balsari A, Rossini A, Selleri S, Calcaterra C, Gariboldi S, et al. (2007). Activation of enteroendocrine cells via TLRs induces hormone, chemokine, and defensin secretion. J Immunol 178: 4296–4303.

Panaro BL, Tough IR, Engelstoft MS, Matthews RT, Digby GJ, Moller CL, et al. (2014). The melanocortin-4 receptor is expressed in enteroendocrine L cells and regulates the release of peptide YY and glucagon-like peptide 1 in vivo. Cell Metab 20: 1018–1029. Papamargaritis D, Panteliou E, Miras AD, le Roux CW (2012). Mechanisms of weight loss, diabetes control and changes in food choices after gastrointestinal surgery. Curr Atheroscler Rep 14: 616–623.

Parker HE, Habib AM, Rogers GJ, Gribble FM, Reimann F (2009). Nutrient-dependent secretion of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide from primary murine K cells. Diabetologia 52: 289–298.

Parker HE, Adriaenssens A, Rogers G, Richards P, Koepsell H, Reimann F, et al. (2012a). Predominant role of active versus facilitative glucose transport for glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion. Diabetologia 55: 2445–2455.

Parker HE, Wallis K, le Roux CW, Wong KY, Reimann F, Gribble FM (2012b). Molecular mechanisms underlying bile acid-stimulated glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion. Br J Pharmacol 165: 414–423.

Patel S, Mace OJ, Tough IR, White J, Cock TA, Warpman BU, et al. (2014). Gastrointestinal hormonal responses on GPR119 activation in lean and diseased rodent models of type 2 diabetes. Int J Obes (Lond). 38:1365–1373.

Patterson LM, Zheng H, Berthoud HR (2002). Vagal afferents innervating the gastrointestinal tract and CCKA-receptor immunoreactivity. Anat Rec 266: 10–20.

Patti ME, Houten SM, Bianco AC, Bernier R, Larsen PR, Holst JJ, et al. (2009). Serum bile acids are higher in humans with prior gastric bypass: potential contribution to improved glucose and lipid metabolism. Obesity (Silver Spring) 17: 1671–1677.

Pellicciari R, Sato H, Gioiello A, Costantino G, Macchiarulo A, Sadeghpour BM, et al. (2007). Nongenomic actions of bile acids. Synthesis and preliminary characterization of 23- and 6,23-alkyl-substituted bile acid derivatives as selective modulators for the G-protein coupled receptor TGR5. J Med Chem 50: 4265–4268.

Pellicciari R, Gioiello A, Macchiarulo A, Thomas C, Rosatelli E, Natalini B, et al. (2009). Discovery of 6alpha-ethyl-23(S)methylcholic acid (S-EMCA, INT-777) as a potent and selective agonist for the TGR5 receptor, a novel target for diabesity. J Med Chem 52: 7958–7961.

Petrel C, Kessler A, Dauban P, Dodd RH, Rognan D, Ruat M (2004). Positive and negative allosteric modulators of the Ca^{2+} -sensing receptor interact within overlapping but not identical binding sites in the transmembrane domain. J Biol Chem 279: 18990–18997.

Peukert S, Hughes R, Nunez J, He G, Yan Z, Jain R, et al. (2014). Discovery of 2-Pyridylpyrimidines as the first orally bioavailable GPR39 agonists. ACS Med Chem Lett 5: 1114–1118.

Pizzonero M, Dupont S, Babel M, Beaumont S, Bienvenu N, Blanque R, et al. (2014). Discovery and optimization of an azetidine chemical series as a free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFA2) antagonist: from hit to clinic. J Med Chem 57: 10044–10057.

Plaisancie P, Bernard C, Chayvialle JA, Cuber JC (1994). Regulation of glucagon-like peptide-1-(7-36) amide secretion by intestinal neurotransmitters and hormones in the isolated vascularly perfused rat colon. Endocrinology 135: 2398–2403.

Poole DP, Godfrey C, Cattaruzza F, Cottrell GS, Kirkland JG, Pelayo JC, et al. (2010). Expression and function of the bile acid receptor GpBAR1 (TGR5) in the murine enteric nervous system. Neurogastroenterol Motil 22: 814–818.

Popovics P, Stewart AJ (2011). GPR39: a Zn(2+)-activated G protein-coupled receptor that regulates pancreatic, gastrointestinal and neuronal functions. Cell Mol Life Sci 68: 85–95.

Pournaras DJ, Glicksman C, Vincent RP, Kuganolipava S, Alaghband-Zadeh J, Mahon D, et al. (2012). The role of bile after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in promoting weight loss and improving glycaemic control. Endocrinology 153: 3613–3619.

Psichas A, Sleeth ML, Murphy KG, Brooks L, Bewick GA, Hanyaloglu AC, et al. (2015). The short chain fatty acid propionate stimulates GLP-1 and PYY secretion via free fatty acid receptor 2 in rodents. Int J Obes (Lond) 39: 424–429.

Reimann F, Habib AM, Tolhurst G, Parker HE, Rogers GJ, Gribble FM (2008). Glucose sensing in L cells: a primary cell study. Cell Metab 8: 532–539.

Richards P, Parker HE, Adriaenssens AE, Hodgson JM, Cork SC, Trapp S, et al. (2014). Identification and characterization of GLP-1 receptor-expressing cells using a new transgenic mouse model. Diabetes 63: 1224–1233.

Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Cheng J, Duncan AE, Kau AL, et al. (2013). Gut microbiota from twins discordant for obesity modulate metabolism in mice. Science 341: 1241214.

Ritzel U, Fromme A, Ottleben M, Leonhardt U, Ramadori G (1997). Release of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) by carbohydrates in the perfused rat ileum. Acta Diabetol 34: 18–21.

Rozengurt N, Wu SV, Chen MC, Huang C, Sternini C, Rozengurt E (2006). Colocalization of the alpha-subunit of gustducin with PYY and GLP-1 in L cells of human colon. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 291: G792–G802.

Saifia S, Chevrier AM, Bosshard A, Cuber JC, Chayvialle JA, Abello J (1998). Galanin inhibits glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion through pertussis toxin-sensitive G protein and ATP-dependent potassium channels in rat ileal L-cells. J Endocrinol 157: 33–41.

Samuel BS, Shaito A, Motoike T, Rey FE, Backhed F, Manchester JK, et al. (2008). Effects of the gut microbiota on host adiposity are modulated by the short-chain fatty-acid binding G protein-coupled receptor, Gpr41. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 16767–16772.

Schmidt J, Smith NJ, Christiansen E, Tikhonova IG, Grundmann M, Hudson BD, et al. (2011). Selective orthosteric free fatty acid receptor 2 (FFA2) agonists: identification of the structural and chemical requirements for selective activation of FFA2 versus FFA3. J Biol Chem 286: 10628–10640.

Schubert ML (2014). Gastric secretion. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 30: 578–582.

Selleri S, Palazzo M, Deola S, Wang E, Balsari A, Marincola FM, et al. (2008). Induction of pro-inflammatory programs in enteroendocrine cells by the Toll-like receptor agonists flagellin and bacterial LPS. Int Immunol 20: 961–970.

Semple G, Fioravanti B, Pereira G, Calderon I, Uy J, Choi K, et al. (2008). Discovery of the first potent and orally efficacious agonist of the orphan G-protein coupled receptor 119. J Med Chem 51: 5172–5175.

Servant G, Tachdjian C, Tang XQ, Werner S, Zhang F, Li X, et al. (2010). Positive allosteric modulators of the human sweet taste receptor enhance sweet taste. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 4746–4751.

Servant G, Tachdjian C, Li X, Karanewsky DS (2011). The sweet taste of true synergy: positive allosteric modulation of the human sweet taste receptor. Trends Pharmacol Sci 32: 631–636.

Shakhlamov VA, Makar' VI (1985). Enteroendocrine cells, their structure and function. Arkh Anat Gistol Embriol 89: 7–17.

Shenoy SK, Lefkowitz RJ (2011). beta-Arrestin-mediated receptor trafficking and signal transduction. Trends Pharmacol Sci 32: 521–533.

Shima K, Suda T, Nishimoto K, Yoshimoto S (1990). Relationship between molecular structures of sugars and their ability to stimulate the release of glucagon-like peptide-1 from canine ileal loops. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 123: 464–470.

Shonberg J, Kling RC, Gmeiner P, Lober S. (2014). GPCR crystal structures: medicinal chemistry in the pocket. Bioorg Med Chem doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2014.12.034. [Epub ahead of print]

Silve C, Petrel C, Leroy C, Bruel H, Mallet E, Rognan D, et al. (2005). Delineating a Ca^{2+} binding pocket within the venus flytrap module of the human calcium-sensing receptor. J Biol Chem 280: 37917–37923.

Sjolund K, Sanden G, Hakanson R, Sundler F (1983). Endocrine cells in human intestine: an immunocytochemical study. Gastroenterology 85: 1120–1130.

Smith NJ, Ward RJ, Stoddart LA, Hudson BD, Kostenis E, Ulven T, et al. (2011). Extracellular loop 2 of the free fatty acid receptor 2 mediates allosterism of a phenylacetamide agoallosteric modulator. Mol Pharmacol 80: 163–173.

Soret R, Chevalier J, De CP, Poupeau G, Derkinderen P, Segain JP, et al. (2010). Short-chain fatty acids regulate the enteric neurons and control gastrointestinal motility in rats. Gastroenterology 138: 1772–1782.

Spiller RC, Trotman IF, Adrian TE, Bloom SR, Misiewicz JJ, Silk DB (1988). Further characterisation of the 'ileal brake' reflex in

man–effect of ileal infusion of partial digests of fat, protein, and starch on jejunal motility and release of neurotensin, enteroglucagon, and peptide YY. Gut 29: 1042–1051.

Srivastava A, Yano J, Hirozane Y, Kefala G, Gruswitz F, Snell G, et al. (2014). High-resolution structure of the human GPR40 receptor bound to allosteric agonist TAK-875. Nature 513: 124–127.

Steinert RE, Gerspach AC, Gutmann H, Asarian L, Drewe J, Beglinger C (2011). The functional involvement of gutexpressed sweet taste receptors in glucose-stimulated secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY (PYY). Clin Nutr 30: 524–532.

Storjohann L, Holst B, Schwartz TW (2008). Molecular mechanism of Zn^{2+} agonism in the extracellular domain of GPR39. FEBS Lett 582: 2583–2588.

Sun Q, Hirasawa A, Hara T, Kimura I, Adachi T, Awaji T, et al. (2010). Structure-activity relationships of GPR120 agonists based on a docking simulation. Mol Pharmacol 78: 804–810.

Suzuki M, Hisamatsu T, Podolsky DK (2003). Gamma interferon augments the intracellular pathway for lipopolysaccharide (LPS) recognition in human intestinal epithelial cells through coordinated up-regulation of LPS uptake and expression of the intracellular Toll-like receptor 4-MD-2 complex. Infect Immun 71: 3503–3511.

Suzuki T, Igari S, Hirasawa A, Hata M, Ishiguro M, Fujieda H, et al. (2008). Identification of G protein-coupled receptor 120-selective agonists derived from PPARgamma agonists. J Med Chem 51: 7640–7644.

Sykaras AG, Demenis C, Case RM, McLaughlin JT, Smith CP (2012). Duodenal enteroendocrine I-cells contain mRNA transcripts encoding key endocannabinoid and fatty acid receptors. PLoS ONE 7: e42373.

Sykes S, Morgan LM, English J, Marks V (1980). Evidence for preferential stimulation of gastric inhibitory polypeptide secretion in the rat by actively transported carbohydrates and their analogues. J Endocrinol 85: 201–207.

Tanaka T, Katsuma S, Adachi T, Koshimizu TA, Hirasawa A, Tsujimoto G (2008). Free fatty acids induce cholecystokinin secretion through GPR120. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 377: 523–527.

Tazoe H, Otomo Y, Karaki S, Kato I, Fukami Y, Terasaki M, et al. (2009). Expression of short-chain fatty acid receptor GPR41 in the human colon. Biomed Res 30: 149–156.

Temizkan S, Deyneli O, Yasar M, Arpa M, Gunes M, Yazici D, et al. (2014). Sucralose enhances GLP-1 release and lowers blood glucose in the presence of carbohydrate in healthy subjects but not in patients with type 2 diabetes. Eur J Clin Nutr 69: 162–166.

Thomas C, Gioiello A, Noriega L, Strehle A, Oury J, Rizzo G, et al. (2009). TGR5-mediated bile acid sensing controls glucose homeostasis. Cell Metab 10: 167–177.

Toda Y, Nakagita T, Hayakawa T, Okada S, Narukawa M, Imai H, et al. (2013). Two distinct determinants of ligand specificity in T1R1/T1R3 (the umami taste receptor). J Biol Chem 288: 36863–36877.

Tolhurst G, Reimann F, Gribble FM (2009). Nutritional regulation of glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion. J Physiol 587: 27–32.

Tolhurst G, Heffron H, Lam YS, Parker HE, Habib AM, Diakogiannaki E, et al. (2012). Short-chain fatty acids stimulate glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion via the G-proteincoupled receptor FFAR2. Diabetes 61: 364–371.

Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI (2006). An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature 444: 1027–1031.

Ullmer C, Alvarez SR, Sprecher U, Raab S, Mattei P, Dehmlow H, et al. (2013). Systemic bile acid sensing by G proteincoupled bile acid receptor 1 (GPBAR1) promotes PYY and GLP-1 release. Br J Pharmacol 169: 671–684.

Venkatakrishnan AJ, Deupi X, Lebon G, Tate CG, Schertler GF, Babu MM (2013). Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature 494: 185–194.

Watterson KR, Hudson BD, Ulven T, Milligan G (2014). Treatment of type 2 diabetes by free fatty acid receptor agonists. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 5: 137.

Winnig M, Bufe B, Kratochwil NA, Slack JP, Meyerhof W (2007). The binding site for neohesperidin dihydrochalcone at the human sweet taste receptor. BMC Struct Biol 7: 66.

Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E (2002). Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99: 2392–2397.

Wu Y, Kuntz JD, Carpenter AJ, Fang J, Sauls HR, Gomez DJ, et al. (2010). 2,5-Disubstituted pyridines as potent GPR119 agonists. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 20: 2577–2581.

Wu T, Bound MJ, Standfield SD, Gedulin B, Jones KL, Horowitz M, et al. (2013). Effects of rectal administration of taurocholic acid on glucagon-like peptide-1 and peptide YY secretion in healthy humans. Diabetes Obes Metab 15: 474–477. Yamada K, Wada E, Santo-Yamada Y, Wada K (2002). Bombesin and its family of peptides: prospects for the treatment of obesity. Eur J Pharmacol 440: 281–290.

Yamamoto T, Sawa K (2000). Comparison of c-fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem following intraoral and intragastric infusions of chemical solutions in rats. Brain Res 866: 144–151.

Yasuda S, Miyazaki T, Munechika K, Yamashita M, Ikeda Y, Kamizono A (2007). Isolation of Zn^{2+} as an endogenous agonist of GPR39 from fetal bovine serum. J Recept Signal Transduct Res 27: 235–246.

Yokotani K, DelValle J, Park J, Yamada T (1995). Muscarinic M3 receptor-mediated release of gastrin from canine antral G cells in primary culture. Digestion 56: 31–34.

Zaki M, Harrington L, McCuen R, Coy DH, Arimura A, Schubert ML (1996). Somatostatin receptor subtype 2 mediates inhibition of gastrin and histamine secretion from human, dog, and rat antrum. Gastroenterology 111: 919–924.

Zambad SP, Tuli D, Mathur A, Ghalsasi SA, Chaudhary AR, Deshpande S, et al. (2013). TRC210258, a novel TGR5 agonist, reduces glycemic and dyslipidemic cardiovascular risk in animal models of diabesity. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 7: 1–14.

Zeng F, Wind N, McClenaghan C, Verkuyl JM, Watson RP, Nash MS (2012). GPR39 is coupled to TMEM16A in intestinal fibroblast-like cells. PLoS ONE 7: e47686.

Zhang Y, Hoon MA, Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Cook B, Wu D, et al. (2003). Coding of sweet, bitter, and umami tastes: different receptor cells sharing similar signaling pathways. Cell 112: 293–301.

Zhang F, Klebansky B, Fine RM, Xu H, Pronin A, Liu H, et al. (2008). Molecular mechanism for the umami taste synergism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 20930–20934.

Zhang F, Klebansky B, Fine RM, Liu H, Xu H, Servant G, et al. (2010). Molecular mechanism of the sweet taste enhancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 4752–4757.

Zhao Y, Song Y, Shen X, Liao J (2011). Feasible synthesis of antagonist of GPR40 by constructing 2-thiouracil ring viaacid mediated cyclization. Heterocycles 83: 1145–1151.