# PROCEEDINGS



# A multistep image analysis method to increase automated identification efficiency in immunohistochemical nuclear markers with a high background level

Marylène Lejeune<sup>1</sup>, Vanessa Gestí<sup>3</sup>, Barbara Tomás<sup>3</sup>, Anna Korzyńska<sup>4</sup>, Albert Roso<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Callau<sup>1</sup>, Ramon Bosch<sup>3</sup>, Jordi Baucells<sup>5</sup>, Joaquín Jaén<sup>3</sup>, Carlos López<sup>1,2\*</sup>

*From* 11th European Congress on Telepathology and 5th International Congress on Virtual Microscopy Venice, Italy. 6-9 June 2012

# Background

In anatomical and surgical pathology, the customary method of manual observation and measurement of immunohistochemically stained markers from microscopic images is tedious, expensive and time consuming. There is great demand for automated procedures for analyzing digital images (DIs) of these markers [1] given that they reduce human variability in the evaluation of stained markers [2,3] and increase the speed and efficiency of the analysis [4]. Computerized DI analysis software generally involves a stained objects/nuclei segmentation method to detect and quantify the number of positively stained markers in combination with the standard evaluation of their morphometric and/or densitometric features [5,6]. However, automatic segmentation often fails due to the presence of spurious stain deposits in tissue sections (background). The "removal" of the background from noisy DIs, so that only the objects of interest are identified, is difficult due to the color values of pixels in the nuclei and background overlapping during the color segmentation processes.

We previously developed an automated macro that allows quantification of several nuclear markers in various neoplasic tissues [7]. In an attempt to standardize the immunohistochemical analysis and to improve cell detection, we propose a new procedure that quantifies only positively stained nuclei even though they have a similar color to that of the surrounding tissue. The aim of



# Materials and methods

# Images

Histological sections of lymphomas and breast cancer tissues, previously immunohistochemically stained with standardized protocols [8,9], were selected from the archives of the Department of Pathology of the Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, Catalonia, Spain. Staining was performed with monoclonal antibodies directed against the nuclear protein estrogen receptors (ERs; clone NCL-ER-6F11, Novocastra, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK), progesterone receptors (PRs; NCL-PGR-312, Novocastra), Ki-67 (clone MIB-1, Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) and FOXP3 (clone FOXP3-236A/E7, CNIO, Spain). The entire process was standardized to ensure high reproducibility and brown staining homogeneity, which are very important requirements for image analysis [10]. This study received institutional review board approval.

# Image capture

Stained tissue sections were viewed using brightfield illumination under a Leica DM LB2 upright light microscope (Leica Microsystems Wetzlar GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) with a 40x plane-apochromatic objective. One hundred digital images were captured with a Leica DFC320 digital camera connected to a computer and controlled with the



© 2013 Lejeune et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: clpclp3@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Molecular Biology and Research Section, Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, IISPV, URV, Tortosa, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Leica IM50 v4.0 program. TIFF format DIs, with a resolution of 1392 x 1040 pixels (1.4 Mpixels) in RGB 24 truecolor format, were selected on the basis of the presence or absence of the spurious stain deposits of the background, otherwise ensuring a variety of concentrations and distributions of stained nuclei (Figure 1).

# Procedure developed in the new procedure

The new automated multistep DI analysis procedure was developed with Image-Pro<sup>®</sup> Plus 5.0 software (Media Cybernetic, Silver Spring, USA). We had previously developed an automated macro to quantify stained nuclei in images without background using an RGB color model and iterative morphological segmentation [7]. This macro makes use of a wide color range to detect positive nuclei from the darkest to the lightest positive brown color pixel and applies a mask to displace the pixel color values of negative objects outside the segmentation color range of the positive nuclei. However, in DIs with a background this macro does not segment DIs correctly due to the

similar color values of the positive nuclei and the background (Figure 2).

We therefore developed a multistep procedure that discriminates DIs as a function of the presence or absence of background and that enables the more appropriate of the three macros to be applied directly. First, a mask overlaps objects with negative and light positive-intensity pixels (Figure 3a) so that only positive objects with the darkest range of color (objects map 1) can be selected (Figure 3b). Then, using a mask only for negative objects, the next two steps select the clearer positive objects (objects map 2 and 3) using different color ranges and morphological ranges of area and roundness (Figure 3c). The objects map 4 is the sum of all the positive objects in the three previous steps. At the end of the positive selection, another step with a discriminative algorithm is applied in which the non-selected brown color is segmented with two different ranges of brown (Figure 3d). If no brown color is detected with the second range (area 2 = 0), the algorithm determines that there is no background in DIs that are



Figure 1 Illustration of original digital images of immunohistochemically stained nuclear markers with different background level (A, B) and without background (C, D).



Figure 2 Example of active contour segmentation in digital images with positively stained nuclear markers. Evident differences in the contours obtained with the old macro (A) and those obtained with the new procedure (B).

automatically analyzed with the old macro (Figure 3e). On the other hand, when DIs with background were detected (area 2 > 0), they are submitted to another algorithm that calculate the ratio of the area of the two color ranges (Figure 3f). DIs with a low-level background (low ratio) are automatically analyzed with a restrictive macro with the first three steps of the new procedure (Figure 3g). DIs with a high background level (high ratio) are automatically analyzed with the new procedure, supplemented with a final step that selects the clearest objects using color and morphological segmentation (Figure 3h). The three macros detect only positive objects (individual nuclei and clusters). Information about the area of the positive objects detected with these macros is automatically exported to an Excel datasheet (Figure 3i) containing several algorithms so that the number of definitive positive nuclei in those selected objects can be estimated, as previously described [7].

# Quantification and statistical analysis

The mean of two manual counts made by two trained observers was taken as the reference value (gold standard)

to validate the results obtained with the old macro and the newly proposed procedure. The comparisons made were: manual 1 versus manual 2 readings; mean of manual readings versus old macro reading; mean of manual readings versus new procedure reading; and the old macro versus the new procedure readings. The extents of agreement between the manual and automatic results were evaluated with Bland-Altman and Kaplan-Meier analyses with their corresponding graphs. Bland-Altman graphs illustrate the differences between the compared methods with respect to the mean of each paired count. Kaplan-Meier curves portray the conditional probability of observing differences between results obtained from the methods compared. All statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS 19.0.

# **Results and discussion**

Overall, the Bland-Altman graph (Figure 4) indicates that count differences obtained comparing the new procedure vs. the mean of the manual counts (green circle) were lower than the old macro vs. the mean of the manual counts (red circle). Nevertheless, greater accuracy was obtained from images with background (Figure 5A1)



where the probability of observing a difference of 50 nuclei between the new procedure and the manual quantification (22.7%, green curve) is less than half that between the old macro and manual quantification (47.4%; red curve).

As previously demonstrated, image complexity relative to the number of positively stained nuclei may affect the automated nuclear quantification [8]. In the present study, DIs were divided as before into a low-complexity group ( $\leq$ 100 positively stained nuclei/images) and a highcomplexity group (>100 positively stained nuclei/image). As observed in Figure 5B2, the small differences in the counts between the manual method vs. the old macro and between manual counts vs. the new procedure were similar in low-complexity images. However, in highcomplexity images (Figure 5B1), larger count differences were observed, although those between the manual counts vs. the new procedure were much lower than those between the manual counts vs. the old macro.

However, when images were grouped by background and complexity (Figure 6), the differences increased more with the complexity of the DIs than with the presence of the background. High-complexity DIs with a background (Figure 6A3) gave the greatest differences between manual counts vs. old macro (red curve), with those between manual counts and the new procedure being the next biggest (green curve). High-complexity images without background (Figure 6A4) gave the same difference for the comparison between the old macro and the new procedure with the manual readings (red and green curves).

A general point regarding the proposed method is that, for high-complexity DIs with background (Figure 6A3), the quantification of nuclear markers obtained with the new





**Figure 5** Superimposed Kaplan-Meier curves comparing the probability of difference between the manual reading 1 vs. manual reading 2 (in black), the mean of manual readings vs. old macro (in red) and mean of manual readings vs. new procedure (in green). The X axis represents count differences between the two methods or readings compared and the Y axis represents the probability of observing these differences. The upper row (A) represents the results obtained with DIs grouped in function of the background level: DIs with background (A1) versus DIs without background (A2). The lower row represents the results obtained with DIs grouped in function of their complexity: high-complexity DIs (B1) and low-complexity DIs (B2).



**Figure 6** Kaplan-Meier curves comparing the probability of difference between the manual reading 1 vs. manual reading 2 (in black), the mean of manual readings vs. old macro (in red) and mean of manual readings vs. new procedure (in green). The X axis represents count differences between the two methods or readings compared and the Y axis represents the probability of observing these differences. DIs have been grouped according to their complexity and their background level: (A1) low-complexity DIs with background, (A2) low-complexity DIs without background, (A3) high-complexity DIs with background and (A4) high-complexity DIs without background.

procedure (green curve) was closer to the gold standard (manual method, black curve) than with the old macro (red curve). For high-complexity DIs without background (Figure 6A4), the two automated methods gave the same results (red and green curves). The presence or absence of background did not appear have a great influence on the quantification of nuclear markers in low-complexity DIs (Figure 6A1-A2), probably because these images have a lower background level than the other images.

# Conclusions

Despite specific and careful preparation of tissues and the use of blocking buffers, strong background staining can sometimes mask the detection of the target antigen during automated analysis. The results of the method presented in this paper are promising since the selective identification of brown color ranges and morphological parameters of selected objects in DIs enables the background to be discriminated during the automated localization and quantification of specific stained nuclei. The principle of this approach is applicable to all quantitative nuclear signals and should prove useful in a variety of tumor specimens, irrespective of the immunohistochemical techniques employed.

# List of abbreviations used

DIs: digital images; ERs: estrogen receptors; FOXP3: forkhead box protein 3; PRs: progesterone receptors; TIFF: Tagged Image File Format

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

ML, CL and JJ conceived the study. CL designed and implemented the algorithms. JB and AK provided IT support. RB and JJ carried out the manual quantification, discussed the methods and modified them. VG, BT and CC

realized the automated quantification with the two automated methods and designed the database. AR analyzed the data. ML, CL and AK drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

#### Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Molecular Biology and Research Section, Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, IISPV, URV, Tortosa, Spain. <sup>2</sup>Unitat de Suport a la Recerca de la Gerencia Territorial Terres de l'Ebre, IDIAP Jordi Gol, IISPV, URV, UAB, Tortosa, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, IISPV, Tortosa, Spain. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Processing Systems of Microscopic Image Information, Nalecz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland. <sup>5</sup>Department of Informatics, Hospital de Tortosa Verge de la Cinta, IISPV, Tortosa, Spain.

#### Published: 30 September 2013

#### References

- Rojo MG, Bueno G, Slodkowska J: Review of imaging solutions for integrated quantitative immunohistochemistry in the Pathology daily practice. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2009, 47(3):349-354.
- Gavrielides MA, Gallas BD, Lenz P, Badano A, Hewitt SM: Observer variability in the interpretation of HER2/neu immunohistochemical expression with unaided and computer-aided digital microscopy. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2011, 135(2):233-242.
- Lejeune M, Jaén J, Pons L, López C, Salvadó MT, Bosch R, García M, Escrivà P, Baucells J, Cugat X, Álvaro T: Quantification of diverse subcellular immunohistochemical markers with clinicobiological relevancies: validation of a new computer-assisted image analysis procedure. J Anat 2008, 212(6):868-878.
- Bolton KL, Garcia-Closas M, Pfeiffer RM, Duggan MA, Howat WJ, Hewitt WJ, Yang XR, Cornelison R, Anzick SL, Meltzer P, Davis S, Lenz P, Fiqueroa JD, Pharoah PD, Shermand ME: Assessment of automated image analysis of breast cancer tissue microarrays for epidemiologic studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2010, **19(4)**:992-999.
- Markiewicz T, Wisniewski P, Osowski S, Patera J, Kozlowski W, Koktysz R: Comparative analysis of methods for accurate recognition of cells through nuclei staining of Ki-67 in neuroblastoma and estrogen/ progesterone status staining in breast cancer. Anal Quant Cytol Histol 2009, 31(1):49-62.
- Di Cataldo S, Ficarra E, Acquaviva A, Macii E: Automated segmentation of tissue images for computerized IHC analysis. Comput Methods Programs in Biomed 2010, 100(1):1-15.
- Lopez C, Lejeune M, Salvado MT, Escrivà P, Bosch R, Pons LE, Alvaro T, Roig J, Cugat X, Baucells J, Jaén J: Automated quantification of nuclear immunohistochemical markers with different complexity. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2008, 129(3):379-387.
- Lopez C, Lejeune M, Escriva P, Escrivà P, Bosch R, Salvadó MT, Pons LE, Baucells J, Cugat X, Alvaro T, Jaén J: Effects of image compression on automatic count of immunohistochemically stained nuclei in digital images. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2008, 15(6):794-798.
- Alvaro-Naranjo T, Lejeune M, Salvado-Usach MT, Bosch-Príncep R, Reverter-Branchat G, Jaén-Martínez J, Pons-Ferré LE: Tumor-infiltrating cells as a prognostic factor in Hodgkin's lymphoma: a quantitative tissue microarray study in a large retrospective cohort of 267 patients. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2005, 46(11):1581-1591.
- 10. Seidal T, Balaton AJ, Battifora H: Interpretation and quantification of immunostains. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2001, **25(9)**:1204-1207.

#### doi:10.1186/1746-1596-8-S1-S13

**Cite this article as:** Lejeune *et al.*: A multistep image analysis method to increase automated identification efficiency in immunohistochemical nuclear markers with a high background level. *Diagnostic Pathology* 2013 8(Suppl 1):S13.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit