

Cardiothoracic Area Ratio Predicts Lethal Pulmonary Venous Obstruction in Patients with Single Ventricle and Total Anomalous Pulmonary Venous Connection

Misugi Emi, MD¹ Noboru Inamura, MD, PhD²

¹ Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Osaka Medical Center and Research Institute for Maternal and Child Health, Izumi, Osaka, Japan ² Kinki Daigaku Igakubu Daigakuin Igaku Kenkyuka, Osakasayama, Osaka, Japan Address for correspondence Misugi Emi, MD, Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Osaka Medical Center and Research Institute for Maternal and Child Health, 840 Murodo-cho, Izumi, Osaka 594-1101, Japan (e-mail: emi1108@mch.pref.osaka.jp).

Am J Perinatol Rep 2018;8:e174-e179.

Abstract	Background and Objectives When single ventricle (SV) is complicated with total anomalous pulmonary venous connection (TAPVC), the pulmonary vein obstruction (PVO) occurs at a high rate.
	There are some patients who died from the lethal PVO (I-PVO) which needed PVO release dead due to severe desaturation within 24 hours after birth. The purpose of this study was to find a predictive marker for I-PVO during the fetal period.
	Methods We enrolled 21 patients diagnosed with SV associated with TAPVC in the antenatal period. Ten patients had supracardiac, five had cardiac, five had infracardiac, and one had mixed TAPVC. We reviewed fetal echocardiography and measured
Keywords ► pulmonary venous	cardiothoracic area ratio (CTAR) and total cardiac dimension (TCD). We divided 21 cases into I-PVO group (6) and non-I-PVO group (15) and compared the fetal echocardiography findings and postnatal prognoses between the groups.
obstruction ► single ventricle ► TAPVC	Results CTAR at the final fetal echocardiography was 16 to 29% (median: 21) in the l- PVO group and 22 to 38% (median: 28) in the non-l-PVO group ($p = 0.01$). TCD/week at the final echocardiography was 0.67 to 1.0 (median: 0.77) in the l-PVO group and 0.78
 ▶ Fetal echocardiography ▶ CTAR 	to 1.2 (median: 0.96) in the non-I-PVO group ($p = 0.02$). Conclusion Reduced CTAR in the antenatal period is a good predictor of I-PVO after birth.

Some patients with single ventricle (SV) associated with total anomalous pulmonary venous connection (TAPVC) and pulmonary venous obstruction (PVO) die immediately after birth.^{1–5} If these cases can be picked up during the antenatal period, more time can become available for the appropriate planning of treatment after birth and explanation to their parents. Pulmonary venous velocity or flow pattern according to fetal echocardiography had been used to predict these issues.^{6–13} However, in clinical practice, more severe PVO is more difficult to identify. Therefore, we aimed to identify the other predictors of lethal PVO (I-PVO) in fetuses with SV.

received December 20, 2017 accepted after revision March 14, 2018

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0038-1669429. ISSN 2157-6998.

Methods

Between 2005 and 2015, 21 patients who were diagnosed with SV and TAPVC by fetal echocardiography at our institute were identified. The mothers underwent fetal echocardiography at the Osaka Medical Center and Research Institute for Maternal and Child Health, Izumi, Osaka, Japan. Pre- and postnatal medical records were reviewed to obtain data on clinical parameters, including perinatal outcomes.

We defined I-PVO as the need for PVO treatment within 24 hours after birth or the inability to perform surgery due to severe desaturation or bad general health of the neonate. Six

Copyright © 2018 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10001, USA. Tel: +1(212) 584-4662. License terms

patients had l-PVO. The remaining 15 patients had nonlethal PVO (non-l-PVO).

Fetal Echocardiography

Fetal echocardiography with cardiovascular anatomical assessment was performed according to previously described techniques using a variety of echocardiography systems. All images were recorded on DVDs for offline analysis. Three investigators reviewed all fetal echocardiographic findings. The following dimensions were defined: cardiothoracic area ratio (CTAR) and total cardiac dimension (TCD) (\succ Fig. 1). For analysis, we used the values of CTAR, TCD, and Tei index obtained at the final fetal echocardiography, which was performed at gestational age of 34 to 38 weeks (median: 36 weeks). We calculated the combined cardiac output (CCO) of the 6 cases with pulmonary atresia. Three representative heart cycles were traced for the measurement of velocity time integral (VTI). CCO is calculated by using the formula, $CCO = \pi(aortic diameter (cm)/2)^2 \times VTI$ $(cm) \times heart rate (bpm)/estimated body weight (kg), and the$ average value was recorded. And we compared CTAR, TCD, Tei index, and CCO between cases with I-PVO and non-I-PVO.

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons between groups were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test.

A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The differences between the assessments of the investigators were assessed using interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs).

Results


Patient Profiles

We summarized the 21 patients in **-Table 1**. Six of the 21 patients suffered from I-PVO. Analysis of the atrial situs


revealed that 19 patients had right isomerism (RI); all of the patients with I-PVO had RI. Ten patients had supracardiac TAPVC, five had cardiac TAPVC, five had infracardiac TAPVC, and one had mixed TAPVC. Regurgitation of the atrioventricular valves was more than mild in eight fetuses (I-PVO: 2, non-I-PVO: 6). Body weight at birth was 1,788 to 4,126 g (median: 2,692 g). Five patients required intubation and mechanical ventilator soon after birth, four of whom were in the I-PVO group. All of the four patients who suffered from pneumothorax after birth had I-PVO, while none of the non-I-PVO patients had pneumothorax. Surgery was performed in four of the six patients with I-PVO within 24 hours after birth and two of the four patients died at the 168th and 199th day.

Fetal Echocardiographic Findings


We performed fetal echocardiography multiple times between 22 and 38 weeks of gestation. The final fetal echocardiography was performed at 34 to 38 weeks (median: 36.2 weeks). Fig. 1 shows the method used to measure cardiac size: the ellipse method. - Table 2 shows the correlations between echocardiographic findings and prognoses. CTAR in the I-PVO group was significantly lower than that in the non-l-PVO group (16-29% [median: 21%] vs. 22-38% [median: 28%], p = 0.01). TCD/week in the 1-PVO group was significantly lower than that in the non-l-PVO group (0.67-1.0 [median: 0.77] vs. 0.78-1.2 [median: 0.96], p = 0.02). Tei index in the l-PVO group was lower than that in the non-l-PVO group (0.26-0.34 [median: 0.30%] vs. 0.28-0.48% [median: 0.40%], p = 0.02). The values of CTAR, TCD/week, and Tei index were significantly lower in the l-PVO group than those in the non-I-PVO group. We were able to calculate the CCO in six patients with pulmonary atresia (l-PVO: 2 [cases 16, 21]; non-l-PVO: 4 [cases 2, 3, 4, 8]). The values were 183 and 250 mL/kg in the l-PVO group and 308 to 589 mL/kg in the non-l-PVO group (\succ Fig. 2).



CTAR:17.9%



CTAR: 31.2%



es	
Patients profiles	
Table 1	

24 RI SA, SV, PS Attium 2,506 38 8/8 41.7 25 RI SA, SV, PS SVC 2,605 38 8/8 37.3 25 RI SA, SV, PS SVC 3,014 39 4/6 44.2 26 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,972 38 8/8 37.3 26 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,972 38 8/8 36.4 29 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,353 39 8/8 43.0 29 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,353 39 8/8 43.0 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 7/7 36.9 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/9 41.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/9 43.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA	Patient no.	Critical PVO	GW at finding	Atrial situs	Diagnosis	Drainage site of PV	BW at birth	GW at birth	APGAR 1/5 min	Highest PaO ₂ < 24h	Pneumothorax after birth	Intubation after birth	Surgical repair PVO	Outcome
25 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC 2,692 38 8/8 37.3 25 R1 SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 37.3 26 R1 SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 36.4 20 R1 SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 36.4 20 R1 SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.353 39 8/8 43.0 29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.496 37 - - 29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 41.3 20 Z9 R1 MA, DORV VC 3.250 39 7/7 36.9 20 R1 MA, DORV SVC 3.2 8 4.1.3 36.9 210 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 4.3.3 210 R1 MA, DORV		No	24	RI	SA, SV, PS	Atrium	2,506	38	8/8	41.7	No	No	No	Alive
25 Ri SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 4/6 44.2 26 Ri SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 36.4 26 Ri SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 36.4 29 Ri SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.353 39 8/8 43.0 29 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.496 37 - - 29 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 47.3 20 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 47.3 20 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/9 60.2 30 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2.572 38 8/9 43.3 31 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2.512 38 8/9 43.3 33 Ri		No	25	RI	SA, SV, PA	SVC	2,692	38	8/8	37.3	No	No	Day 21	Alive
26 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.972 38 8/8 36.4 26 RI SA, DORV, PS SVC 1,788 38 2/8 47.5 29 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2.353 39 8/8 47.5 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.496 37 - - 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 7/8 41.3 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 7/8 41.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 47.8 30 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 43.3 310 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2.572 38 8/8 43.3 32 RI SA, SV, PA SVC 3.618 38 43.3 33 RI SA, SV, PA SVC,		No	25	RI	SA, SV, PS	SVC	3,014	39	4/6	44.2	No	No	Day 34	Alive
26 RI SA, DORV, PS SVC 1,788 38 2/8 47.5 29 RI SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,353 39 8/8 43.0 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,496 37 - - 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 3,258 39 7/7 36.9 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 3,250 39 7/7 36.9 29 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/8 41.3 30 RI SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/8 43.3 31 RI SA, SV, PA Artium 2,668 38 8/8 43.3 32 RI SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.3 33 RI SA, SV, PA Artium 2,643 38 8/8 43.3 34 RI SA,		No	26	RI	SA, SV, PA	Inn V	2,972	38	8/8	36.4	No	No	Day 160	Alive
29 Ri SA, SV, PA Inn V 2,353 39 8/8 43.0 29 Ri SA, SV Portal V 2,496 37 - - 29 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 3,258 39 7/7 36.9 29 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 7/8 41.3 29 Solitus SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/8 43.3 30 Ri SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/8 43.3 310 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, POTA 3,618 38 8/8 43.3 32 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, POTA 3,618 38 43.3 33 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, POTA 3,618 38 43.3 33 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, POTA 2,618 38 43.3 34 Ri SA, SV, PA SVC, POTA 2,6		No	26	RI	SA, DORV, PS	SVC	1,788	38	2/8	47.5	No	No	Day 91	Alive
29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,496 37 29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 3,258 39 7/7 36.9 29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 7/8 41.3 29 R1 MA,DORV SVC 3,200 39 8/8 47.8 30 R1 SA, SV, PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/8 43.3 30 R1 SA, SV, PS Atrium 2,618 38 8/8 43.3 31 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/9 43.3 32 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC 2,910 38 8/8 43.3 33 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC 2,918 38 43.3 34 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,618 38 44.3 35 Solitus MA,DORV, PA Atrium <td< td=""><td></td><td>No</td><td>29</td><td>RI</td><td>SA, SV, PA</td><td>Inn V</td><td>2,353</td><td>39</td><td>8/8</td><td>43.0</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>Day 114</td><td>Dead</td></td<>		No	29	RI	SA, SV, PA	Inn V	2,353	39	8/8	43.0	No	No	Day 114	Dead
29 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal V 3,258 39 7/7 36.9 29 Solitus SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 7/8 41.3 29 Solitus SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 8/8 47.8 30 R1 MA, DORV SVC 3,200 39 8/8 47.3 30 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC 3,508 38 8/9 60.2 31 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,583 38 8/9 60.2 32 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/9 60.2 33 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.5 33 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 44.3 35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Attium 1,874 38 44.3 30 SV		No	29	RI	SA, SV		2,496	37	I	Ι	I	Yes	No	Dead
29 Solitus SA, SV, PA Portal V 2,572 38 7/8 41.3 29 R1 MA,DORV SVC 3,200 39 8/8 47.8 30 R1 SA, SV, PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/8 47.8 30 R1 SA, SV, PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/9 43.3 31 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/9 43.3 33 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.5 33 R1 SA, SV, PS SVC 3618 38 8/8 43.5 33 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/9 41.9 210 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,944 38 8/9 41.3 22 R1 S		No	29	RI	SA, SV, PA		3,258	39	7/7	36.9	No	No	Day 26	Dead
29 R1 MA,DORV SVC 3,200 39 8/8 47.8 30 R1 SA,SV,PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/8 43.3 32 R1 SA,SV,PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/9 60.2 33 R1 SA,SV,PS SVC,Portal V 2,522 38 8/9 43.8 33 R1 SA,SV,PS SVC,Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 34 R1 SA,SV,PS SVC,Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 35 Solitus MA,DORV,PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 35 Solitus MA,DORV,PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/9 44.3 20 R1 SA,SV,PA Portal vein 2,948 38 44.3 21 Z0 R1 Atrium 2,948 38 44.3 23 R1 SA,SV,PA Portal vein		No	29	Solitus	SA, SV, PA		2,572	38	7/8	41.3	No	No	Day 36	Alive
30 R1 SA, SV, PS Atrium 2,688 38 8/8 43.3 32 R1 SA, SV, CoA SVC 3,618 38 8/9 60.2 33 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 34 R1 SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,948 38 8/9 41.9 21 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 8/9 41.9 22 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 23 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - - 24 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - - 25 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/7 30.1		No	29	RI	MA,DORV	SVC	3,200	39	8/8	47.8	No	No	Day 177	Alive
32 RI SA, SV, CoA SVC 3,618 38 8/9 60.2 33 RI SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 34 RI SA, SV, PS SVC 2,910 38 8/8 43.5 35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/9 41.9 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2,948 38 8/9 41.9 21 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 22 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 2,404 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 2,164 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>30</td> <td>RI</td> <td>SA, SV, PS</td> <td>Atrium</td> <td>2,688</td> <td>38</td> <td>8/8</td> <td>43.3</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>Alive</td>		No	30	RI	SA, SV, PS	Atrium	2,688	38	8/8	43.3	No	No	No	Alive
33 RI SA, SV, PA SVC, Portal V 2,522 38 8/8 43.8 34 RI SA, SV, PS SVC 2,910 38 8/8 48.5 35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Atnium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2,948 38 8/9 41.9 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atnium 2,404 38 8/9 41.9 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atnium 2,404 38 7/8 - 22 RI SA, SV, PA Atnium 2,404 38 7/8 - 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atnium 2,404 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 7/5 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 2,054 39 37.7 30.1		No	32	RI	SA, SV, CoA	SVC	3,618	38	8/9	60.2	No	No	No	Dead
34 RI SA, SV, PS SVC 2,910 38 8/8 48.5 35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2,948 38 8/9 41.9 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 22 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 24.7 76 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7		No	33	RI	SA, SV, PA	-	2,522	38	8/8	43.8	No	No	Day 85	Alive
35 Solitus MA, DORV, PA Atrium 1,874 38 8/8 44.3 20 RI SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2.948 38 8/9 41.9 23 RI SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2.943 38 8/9 41.9 23 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2.404 38 7/8 - 22 RI SA, DORV, PS Portal vein 2.962 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV PS Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 26 RI SA, SV PS Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7		No	34	RI	SA, SV, PS	SVC	2,910	38	8/8	48.5	No	No	Day 116	Alive
20 R1 SA, SV, PA Portal vein 2,948 38 8/9 41.9 23 R1 SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 22 R1 SA, SV, PS Portal vein 2,962 38 7/7 30.1 25 R1 SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 R1 SA, SV, PS Inn V 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 76 R1 SA SV PS SVC 3.176 40 5/5 37.8		No	35	Solitus	MA, DORV, PA	Atrium	1,874	38	8/8	44.3	No	No	No	Alive
23 RI SA, SV, PA Atrium 2,404 38 7/8 - 22 RI SA, DORV, PS Portal vein 2,962 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 76 RI SA SV PS AVC 3176 40 5/5 32.8		Yes	20	RI	SA, SV, PA		2,948	38	8/9	41.9	No	Yes	4 h	Dead
22 RI SA, DORV, PS Portal vein 2,962 38 7/7 30.1 25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 76 RI SA SV PS SVC 3176 40 5/5 37.8		Yes	23	RI	SA, SV, PA	Atrium	2,404	38	7/8	Ι	No	Yes	4 h	Alive
25 RI SA, SV, PS Inn V 4,126 40 8/8 28.8 26 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 26 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 26 RI SA SV PS SVC 3176 40 5/5 32.8		Yes	22	RI	SA, DORV, PS		2,962	38	7/7	30.1	Yes	Yes	8 h	Dead
26 RI SA, SV Atrium 2,054 39 3/5 24.7 26 RI SA SV PS SVC 3.126 40 5/5 32.8		Yes	25	RI	SA, SV, PS	Inn V	4,126	40	8/8	28.8	No	No	13 h	Alive
26 B SA SV PS SVC 3-126 40 5/5 32-8		Yes	26	RI	SA, SV	Atrium	2,054	39	3/5	24.7	Yes	Yes	No	Dead
		Yes	26	RI	SA, SV, PS	SVC	3,126	40	5/5	32.8	Yes	Yes	No	Dead

Abbreviations: APGAR, Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, and Respiration; BW, body weight; CoA, coarctation; DORV, double outlet right ventricle; GW, gestational week; MA, mitral atresia; PA, pulmonary atresia; PA, single atrium; SV, single ventricle.

	Gestational wk	TCD/GW	CTAR (%)	Tei index	CAVVR (mild)
Critical PVO (+)	38.5 (38–40)	0.77 ± 0.13 (0.67–1.0)	21 ± 4.7 (16–29)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30 \pm 0.040 \\ (0.260.34) \end{array}$	6/15
Critical PVO (-)	38 (37–39)	0.96 ± 0.097 (0.78–1.2)	28 ± 4.2 (22–38)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \pm 0.072 \\ (0.28\text{-}0.48) \end{array}$	2/6
P PVO (+) vs. PVO (-)	NS	<i>p</i> = 0.02	<i>p</i> = 0.01	<i>p</i> = 0.02	

Table 2 Summary of fetal echocardiography before birth (mean \pm SD)

Abbreviations: CAVVR, common atrioventricular valve regurgitation; CTAR, cardiothoracic area ratio; GW, gestational week; PVO, pulmonary venous obstruction; SD, standard deviation; TCD, total cardiac dimension.




Fig. 2 Changes in cardio-thoracic area ratio (CTAR) by gestational week. The open circle shows the change in patients with lethal pulmonary vein obstruction (I-PVO). The closed circle shows the change in non-I-PVO patients. CTAR gradually decreased in cases of I-PVO with no or trivial regurgitation of the atrioventricular valve.




Fig. 3 Changes in combined cardiac output by gestational week showing the CCO of patients with lethal pulmonary vein obstruction (I-PVO) and non-I-PVO with single ventricle, total anomalous pulmonary venous connection, and pulmonary atresia. The open circle shows the CCO of patients with I-PVO. The closed circle shows the CCO of patients with non-I-PVO. CCO; combined cardiac output.




Fig. 4 Histological findings from a lung sample from case 20 (who died 16 hours after birth due to pulmonary vein obstruction). (A) The elastic fiber is hypertrophic, especially in the pulmonary vein. (B) The media of the pulmonary artery is hypertrophic. Heath-Edwards grade = I. (C) The interstitial wall of the pulmonary alveolus is hypertrophic and the lymphatic vessels are dilated. (D) The wall of the pulmonary alveolus is edematous and there are many cellular components and thickening.

Difference between Observers

Three investigators measured the CTAR and TCD based on reviews of findings. For CTAR, the median ICC was 0.88 and the single measures ICC was 0.70 (p < 0.01). For TCD, the median ICC was 0.93 and the single measures ICC was 0.82 (p < 0.01).

Discussion

The results of this study demonstrated that I-PVO after birth is associated with respiratory failure and pneumothorax. If patients with I-PVO are not diagnosed antenatally, the risk of death increases. If these cases can be identified before birth, it will be possible to prepare treatment strategies and inform their parents about the clinical situation. However, it is difficult to diagnose disorders of the pulmonary vein in the antenatal period, and diagnosis of PVO is particularly challenging.^{10,14} In this study, we failed to diagnose TAPVC in 3 of 21 cases. Furthermore, we could diagnose I-PVO in just two of six patients antenatally. Diagnosis is thought to be difficult because pulmonary blood flow decreases in cases of fetal PVO.^{7,9,13} Fetal pulmonary blood flow is important for the development of appropriate fetal heart preload in the latter half of the pregnancy.¹⁵ The heart most probably remains small because preload decreases in fetuses with PVO.

The lower values of CTAR can suggest SV and TAPVC associated with I-PVO. The changes in CTAR in the antenatal period observed in this study are shown in **– Fig. 3**. The CTAR values of patients with I-PVO were lower than those of patients with non-I-PVO. In particular, in the case of no or trivial regurgitation of the atrioventricular valve, the value of CTAR became smaller at any time. In these cases, the cut-off value of CTAR was 22.7% (sensitivity = 100%, We measured the CCO to make sure that the CTAR with I-PVO is smaller. We compared the difference in CCO between the I-PVO and non-I-PVO groups. The CCO in the I-PVO group was lower than that in the non-I-PVO group. These results suggest that pulmonary blood flow volume in patients with I-PVO decreased due to PVO and that heart preload more severely decreased in lethal cases. According to Moss and Adams', which is one of the most common textbooks for pediatric cardiology, the decreased inflow from the left atrial leads to decrease in left ventricular volume in the cases of TAPVC with PVO. Finally, they show that the heart size in the I-PVO group decreased and the value of CTAR got smaller.

The cases of the I-PVO group often suffered from pneumothorax and it is sometimes the cause of death. **Fig. 4** shows the histological findings from lung samples from case 20, a patient who died 16 hours after birth due to I-PVO. The findings showed that the elastic fiber is hypertrophic, especially in the pulmonary vein, that the media of the pulmonary artery is hypertrophic, and that the interstitial wall of the pulmonary alveolus is hypertrophic and the lymphatic vessels are dilated.

It means that the lumen of the pulmonary artery and vein was narrower. Moss and Adams' also showed similar findings of the pulmonary vein and lungs due to PVO.

Therefore, we conclude lower preload due to a narrower lung vessel lumen and more severe lung edema contribute to the lower values of CTAR with I-PVO.

Study Limitation

This study has several limitations that should be discussed. First, the number of cases was small, and so the cut-off value for CTAR may be changed. Second, we calculated the CCO in only six cases of pulmonary atresia because the value was not correct due to the fast flow velocity in the cases with pulmonary stenosis. Because of the small sample volume, the data of the CCO may be changed.

In conclusion, in patients with I-PVO of SV and TAPVC, the symptom rerated to PVO rapidly progresses postnatally due to decrease in pulmonary blood flow. The antenatal values of CTAR are lower in patients with I-PVO. Thus, CTAR serves as a good predictor of I-PVO associated with SV and TAPVC.

References

- 1 Sadiq M, Stümper O, De Giovanni JV, et al. Management and outcome of infants and children with right atrial isomerism. Heart 1996;75(03):314–319
- 2 Talwar S, Agarwal P, Choudhary SK, Airan B. Functionally univentricular heart with right atrial isomerism and mixed total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage. World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg 2016;7(03):377–380
- 3 Khan MS, Bryant R III, Kim SH, et al. Contemporary outcomes of surgical repair of total anomalous pulmonary venous connection in patients with heterotaxy syndrome. Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99 (06):2134–2139, discussion 2139–2140

- 4 Nakata T, Fujimoto Y, Hirose K, et al. Functional single ventricle with extracardiac total anomalous pulmonary venous connection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2009;36(01):49–56, discussion 56
- 5 Hoashi T, Kagisaki K, Oda T, et al. Long-term results of treatments for functional single ventricle associated with extracardiac type total anomalous pulmonary venous connection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2013;43(05):965–970
- 6 Hashmi A, Abu-Sulaiman R, McCrindle BW, Smallhorn JF, Williams WG, Freedom RM. Management and outcomes of right atrial isomerism: a 26-year experience. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31(05): 1120–1126
- 7 DiSessa TG, Emerson DS, Felker RE, Brown DL, Cartier MS, Becker JA. Anomalous systemic and pulmonary venous pathways diagnosed in utero by ultrasound. J Ultrasound Med 1990;9(06): 311–317
- 8 Colloridi V, Pizzuto F, Ventriglia F, Giancotti A, Pachì A, Gallo P. Prenatal echocardiographic diagnosis of right atrial isomerism. Prenat Diagn 1994;14(04):299–302
- 9 Patel CR, Lane JR, Spector ML, Smith PC, Crane SS. Totally anomalous pulmonary venous connection and complex congenital heart disease: prenatal echocardiographic diagnosis and prognosis. J Ultrasound Med 2005;24(09):1191–1198

- 10 Feller Printz B, Allan LD. Abnormal pulmonary venous return diagnosed prenatally by pulsed Doppler flow imaging, Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 1997;9(05):347–349
- 11 Patel CR, Lane JR, Muise KL. In utero diagnosis of obstructed supracardiac total anomalous pulmonary venous connection in a patient with right atrial isomerism and asplenia. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2001;17(03):268–271
- 12 Valsangiacomo ER, Hornberger LK, Barrea C, Smallhorn JF, Yoo SJ. Partial and total anomalous pulmonary venous connection in the fetus: two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic findings. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2003;22(03):257–263
- 13 Volpe P, Campobasso G, De Robertis V, et al. Two- and fourdimensional echocardiography with B-flow imaging and spatiotemporal image correlation in prenatal diagnosis of isolated total anomalous pulmonary venous connection. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2007;30(06):830–837
- 14 Papa M, Camesasca C, Santoro F, et al. Fetal echocardiography in detecting anomalous pulmonary venous connection: four false positive cases. Br Heart J 1995;73(04):355–358
- 15 Allan LD, Sharland GK. The echocardiographic diagnosis of totally anomalous pulmonary venous connection in the fetus. Heart 2001;85(04):433–437