



Article Evaluation of Small Molecule Combinations against Respiratory Syncytial Virus In Vitro

Yuzhen Gao, Jingjing Cao, Pan Xing, Ralf Altmeyer * and Youming Zhang *

State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology, Microbial Technology Institute, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China; 201520230@mail.sdu.edu.cn (Y.G.); caojingjing@sdu.edu.cn (J.C.); xingpan@sdu.edu.cn (P.X.)

* Correspondence: altmeyer@sdu.edu.cn (R.A.); zhangyouming@sdu.edu (Y.Z.)

Abstract: Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a major pathogen that causes severe lower respiratory tract infection in infants, the elderly and the immunocompromised worldwide. At present no approved specific drugs or vaccines are available to treat this pathogen. Recently, several promising candidates targeting RSV entry and multiplication steps are under investigation. However, it is possible to lead to drug resistance under the long-term treatment. Therapeutic combinations constitute an alternative to prevent resistance and reduce antiviral doses. Therefore, we tested in vitro two-drug combinations of fusion inhibitors (GS5806, Ziresovir and BMS433771) and RNAdependent RNA polymerase complex (RdRp) inhibitors (ALS8176, RSV604, and Cyclopamine). The statistical program MacSynergy II was employed to determine synergism, additivity or antagonism between drugs. From the result, we found that combinations of ALS8176 and Ziresovir or GS5806 exhibit additive effects against RSV in vitro, with interaction volume of 50 $\mu M^2\%$ and 31 $\mu M^2\%$ at 95% confidence interval, respectively. On the other hand, all combinations between fusion inhibitors showed antagonistic effects against RSV in vitro, with volume of antagonism ranging from $-50 \ \mu\text{M}^2$ % to $-176 \ \mu\text{M}^2$ % at 95% confidence interval. Over all, our results suggest the potentially therapeutic combinations in combating RSV in vitro could be considered for further animal and clinical evaluations.

Keywords: RSV; fusion inhibitors; RdRp inhibitors; combination therapy; synergistic enhancement

1. Introduction

Human respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the foremost cause of pneumonia and bronchiolitis in infants and young children, causing 3.4 million new pediatric cases of distal airway disease, and ~200,000 deaths every year worldwide [1,2]. It is also a significant cause of morbidity in the elderly [3], the immunocompromised [4] and transplant recipients [5]. Despite unremitting efforts, no effective and specific RSV treatment or vaccine is available to date [6,7], and the approved therapeutic options are ribavirin and monoclonal antibody palivizumab, which are inadequate due to severe side effects and exorbitant cost, respectively [8]. This situation produces an urgent need for discovering effective therapeutics for fighting RSV infection.

RSV is a member of the species Human orthopneumovirus and belongs to the Pneumoviridae genus of the family Pneumoviridae, order Mononegavirales [9]. The 15.2 kb non-segmented genome contains 10 mRNA species encoding 11 distinct proteins, including three surface glycoproteins (SH, G, and F) and several proteins (N, P, L, and M2-1) that comprise the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex (RdRp) [10]. The RdRp complex is capable of transcribing sub-genomic capped and poly-adenylated mRNAs and replicating the genome [11]. The F protein is critical for the fusion of the viral membrane with the cell plasma membrane [12], leading to infection of the host cells [13]. According to their significant functions in the RSV life cycle, fusion protein and RdRp complexes have become key targets of drug development [14–16].



Citation: Gao, Y.; Cao, J.; Xing, P.; Altmeyer, R.; Zhang, Y. Evaluation of Small Molecule Combinations against Respiratory Syncytial Virus In Vitro. *Molecules* 2021, *26*, 2607. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules26092607

Academic Editor: Erik De Clercq

Received: 19 January 2021 Accepted: 21 April 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

In recent years, numerous small molecule compounds have been discovered to have the ability to block RdRp complex or to inhibit RSV fusion in vitro [17,18], for example, GS5806, JNJ-53718678, Ziresovir, ALS-008176, Sisunatovir and PC786. GS5806, Ziresovir and BMS433771 have been shown to be fusion inhibitors. GS5806 is an oral inhibitor that blocks pre-fusion protein, and Ziresovir is an RSV fusion inhibitor that is demonstrated to reduce cytopathic effects in infected HEp-2 cells. BMS433771 binds to pre-fusion F protein and stabilizes its conformation. In addition, ALS8176, RSV604 and CPM have been shown to be RdRp complex inhibitors and target N, L and M2-1, respectively. ALS8176 inhibits RSV polymerase activity, and RSV604 binds to N protein. In 2015, we first identified steroidal alkaloid Cyclopamine (CPM) [19], an antagonist of hedgehog (Hh)-signaling pathway, as an effective inhibitor of RSV replication. CPM inhibits RSV through a Smo-independent mechanism that targets M2-1 protein, and the resistance mutation M2-1 (R151K) is found at a domain binding to RNA and P protein. RSV604 (Astra Zeneca) is a nucleoprotein (N) inhibitor of RSV [20], and the resistance mutations selected in vitro are N(I129L) and (L139I), for which a finished Phase 1 clinical study has already shown their safety and tolerance in healthy adults [21]. ALS8176 (Alios Janssen) is a cytidine nucleoside analog blocking RdRp complex [22], for which four resistant mutations, L(M628L), L(A789V), L(L795I) and L(I796V), were identified in vitro. At present, clinical trials in RSV-infected hospitalized infants are ongoing [22]. As for fusion inhibitors, the first orally available inhibitor GS5806 (Gilead Sciences) [23], which blocks viral entry, has now finished a phase 2 clinical trial [24]. Ziresovir (Ark Biosciences) is another promising RSV fusion inhibitor and is currently in phase 3 clinical trials (NCT04231968) [25]. BMS433771 [26] is a RSV inhibitor of F protein that induces membrane fusion in vitro. Several resistant mutations are located in the F1 domain, which is orally available efficacious in rodent models [27].

A therapeutic combination strategy for RSV treatment might be an attractive option to overcome drug-associated side effects and antiviral resistance development [28,29]. Similar combination strategies are effective against HCV [30], HCMV [31,32], EV71 [33], influenza [34,35], and ZIKV [36]. As a proof-of-concept of combination therapeutic strategies against RSV, two-compound combinations of fusion inhibitors (GS5806, Ziresovir, BMS433771) and RdRp inhibitors (ALS8176, RSV604, CPM) were evaluated in HEp-2 cells with a firefly luciferase gene inserted into a recombinant RSV strain as described recently, and inhibition efficacy of antiviral combinations were measured by luciferase activity and quantitative PCR.

2. Results

2.1. Antiviral Activity of Individual Compounds against RSV In Vitro

To evaluate the potency of six inhibitors (Figure 1), the antiviral activities were determined by luciferase activity reduction assay as described previously [9]. Meanwhile, cytotoxicity assays were done with the Cell Titer-Glo Luminescent cell viability assay (Promega) after incubation with the compounds to detect cell viability. IC50 was graphically defined by a 50% reduction in luciferase activity with Graphpad software (Graphpad Prism 8.0). As shown in Figure 2, IC₅₀ and CC₅₀ values of all single inhibitors were not statistically different from those published [37–42]. Cell viability was >90% in all combinations of compound concentrations (see Supplementary Materials).



Figure 1. The chemical structural formulas of GS5806, BMS433771, Ziresovir, CPM, RSV604, and ALS8176.



Figure 2. Antiviral activity of selected inhibitors. HEp-2 cells in 96-well plates were infected with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)–Luc strain in the presence of various dilutions of selected compounds or DMSO control. Luciferase activity (•) was measured, normalized, and expressed as % of DMSO control. The cytotoxicity (\blacktriangle) of compounds was measured in parallel in the same cells. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.d.).

2.2. Antiviral Activity of GS5806 Combined with RdRp Inhibitors against RSV In Vitro

GS5806 has been previously demonstrated as a fusion inhibitor of RSV. We, therefore, measured the interactions between GS5806 and three RdRp inhibitors ALS8176, RSV604 and CPM. For the combination of GS5806 with ALS8176, all values on the Z-axis in graph were above the additive plane (Figure 3a). The results showed additive effects against RSV in vitro via luciferase activity reduction assay, the volume of interaction was 31.53 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval. In addition to this method, we validated the interaction between them using a qPCR-based method that differs from luciferase activity reduction assay with the same assay format (Figure 3d). The result showed slight synergy between GS5806 and ALS8176, with the interaction volume of 58 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval. Using these two different detection methods, we conclude that the combination of GS5806 and ALS8176 showed an additive effect against RSV in vitro.



Figure 3. Analysis of compound interactions between RSV fusion inhibitor GS5806 and RdRp inhibitors in vitro. HEp-2 cells infected with RSV-Luc were subjected to serial dilutions of GS5806 ((a-d); 0.015–1.5 nM), ALS8176 ((a,d); 0.01–1 μ M), RSV604 (**b**); 0.05–4.5 μ M), or CPM (**c**); 5–450 nM) in a checkerboard method, and results were obtained via a luciferase activity reduction assay (a-c) or qPCR (**d**). Data shown were obtained using Macsynergy II program at 95% confidence interval and plotted with Delta Graph, and values on the Z-axis represent the mode of interaction between two selected compounds (>0, synergistic effect; <0, antagonistic effect; 0, additive effect). All data points represent averages of three measurements from three experiments.

The GS5806–RSV604 combination produced a complex interaction profile consistent with the curves presented (Figure 3b), with interaction volume great than zero at low concentrations (<1.5 nM for GS5806 and <1.5 μ M for RSV 604) of both agents and interaction volume less than zero at high concentrations, and the volume of interaction was 31 μ M²%/-5 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval, this combination showed an additive effect in vitro. The combination of GS5806 with CPM resulted in an additive effect (Figure 3c), the volume of interaction was -19 μ M²% at a 95% confidence interval.

2.3. Antiviral Activity of Ziresovir Ccombined with RdRp Inhibitors against RSV In Vitro

Ziresovir is another promising fusion inhibitor of RSV that is currently in phase 3 clinical trials; therefore, we measured the interactions between Ziresovir in combination with three RdRp inhibitors: ALS8176, RSV604 and CPM. For the combination of Ziresovir with ALS8176, all values on the Z-axis in the graph were above the additive plane (Figure 4a), the volume of synergy was 50 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval, we conclude that Ziresovir–ALS8176 showed an additive effect against RSV in vitro.



Figure 4. Analysis of compound interactions between RSV fusion inhibitor Ziresovir and RdRp inhibitors. HEp-2 cells infected with RSV–Luc were subjected to serial dilutions of Ziresovir ((a-c); 0.03–3 nM), ALS8176 ((a); 0.01–1 μ M), RSV604 ((b); 0.05–4.5 μ M), or CPM ((c); 5–450 nM) in a checkerboard method, and results were obtained via a luciferase activity reduction assay (a-c). Data shown were obtained using Macsynergy II program at 95% confidence interval and plotted with Delta Graph, and values on the Z-axis represent the mode of interaction between two selected compounds (>0, synergistic effect; <0, antagonistic effect; 0, additive effect). All data points represent averages of three measurements from three experiments.

In addition to ALS8176, we measured the interaction between Ziresovir and the other two RdRp inhibitors: RSV604 and CPM. For the combinations of RSV604 and CPM with Ziresovir, all of the values on the Z-axis in the graph were at or below the additive plane (Figure 4b,c), the volumes of interaction were $-5 \,\mu M^2$ % and $-25 \,\mu M^2$ % at 95% confidence interval, respectively. Ziresovir–RSV604 and Ziresovir–CPM resulted in additive effects against RSV in vitro.

2.4. Antiviral Activity of BMS433771 Combined with RdRp Inhibitors against RSV In Vitro

Using the same assay as above, we evaluated the combinations of BMS433771, another fusion inhibitor, with three RdRp inhibitors (ALS8176, RSV604 and CPM). For the combination of BMS433771 with ALS8176, all the values on the Z-axis in the graph were above the additive plane (Figure 5a), the volume of interaction was 16 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval. We conclude that BMS433771–ALS8176 resulted in an additive effect against RSV in vitro. As for the combination of BMS433771 with RSV604, almost all the values in the graph were above the additive plane (Figure 5b), except the point (BMS433771 0.3 nM, RSV604 0.05 μ M). In addition, the volume of synergy was 64 μ M²% at 95% confidence interval, this combination resulted in a slight synergy effect against RSV in vitro.



Figure 5. Analysis of compound interactions between RSV fusion inhibitor BMS433771 and RdRp inhibitors. HEp-2 cells infected with RSV–Luc were subjected to serial dilutions of BMS433771 ((a-c); 0.3–30 nM), ALS8176 ((a); 0.01–1 μ M), RSV604 ((b); 0.05–4.5 μ M), or CPM ((c); 5–450 nM) in a checkerboard method, and results were obtained via a luciferase activity reduction assay (a-c). Data shown were obtained using Macsynergy II program at 95% confidence interval and plotted with Delta Graph, and values on the Z-axis represent the mode of interaction between two selected compounds (>0, synergistic effect; <0, antagonistic effect; 0, additive effect). All data points represent averages of three measurements from three experiments.

For the interaction between BMS433771 and CPM, all the values on the Z-axis in the graph were below the additive plane (Figure 5c), and the volume of interaction was $-18 \ \mu M^2$ % at 95% confidence interval. We conclude that BMS433771–CPM showed an additive effect against RSV in vitro.

2.5. Antiviral Activity of Two RdRp Inhibitors in Combination against RSV In Vitro

Although ALS8176, RSV604 and CPM had the same target (RSV RdRp complex), resistance profiles for the three inhibitors demonstrated that they targeted different components (ALS8176, L protein; RSV604, N protein; and CPM, M2-1 protein). We measured the interactions between two RdRp inhibitors using the same method as above. The ALS8176–RSV604 combination produced a complex interaction profile consistent with the curves presented (Figure 6a), with interaction volume great than zero at low concentrations (<0.3 μ M for ALS8176 and <1.5 μ M for RSV 604) of both agents and interaction volume less than zero at high concentrations, and the volume of synergy/antagonism was 20 μ M²%/ -5μ M²% at 95% confidence interval, we conclude that this combination showed an additive effect in vitro.



Figure 6. Analysis of compound interactions between RdRp inhibitors. HEp-2 cells infected with RSV–Luc were subjected to serial dilutions of ALS8176 ((a,b); 0.3–30 nM), RSV604 ((b,c); 0.05–4.5 μ M), or CPM ((a,c); 5–450 nM) in a checkerboard method, and results were obtained via a luciferase activity reduction assay (a–c). Data shown were obtained using Macsynergy II program at 95% confidence level and plotted with Delta Graph, and values on the Z-axis represent the mode of interaction between two selected compounds (>0, synergistic effect; <0, antagonistic effect; 0, additive effect). All data points represent averages of three measurements from three experiments.

For the CPM–ALS8176 and CPM–RSV604 combinations (Figure 6 b,c), both combinations showed additive effects against RSV in vitro. The volumes of interaction were $-20 \ \mu M^2 \%$ and $-50 \ \mu M^2 \%$ at 95% confidence intervals, respectively.

2.6. Antiviral Activity of Two Fusion Inhibitors in Combination against RSV In Vitro

In this part, we measured the interactions between two fusion inhibitors using the same method as above. For the interaction between GS5806 and Ziresovir, all the values on the Z-axis in the graph were below the additive plane (Figure 7a), and the volume of interaction was $-50 \,\mu M^2 \%$ at 95% confidence interval. We conclude that GS5806—Ziresovir showed an additive effect against RSV in vitro. As for the combination of GS5806 with BMS433771, almost all the points in the graph were below the additive plane (Figure 7b), and the volume of interaction was $-36 \,\mu M^2 \%$ at 95% confidence interval. The GS5806–BMS433771 also showed an additive effect against RSV in vitro.



Figure 7. Analysis of compound interactions between fusion inhibitors. HEp-2 cells infected with RSV–Luc were subjected to serial dilutions of GS5806 ((**a**,**b**); 0.015–1.5 nM), Ziresovir ((**a**,**c**); 0.03–3 nM), or BMS433771 ((**b**,**c**); 0.3–30 nM) in a checkerboard method, and results were obtained via a luciferase activity reduction assay (**a**–**c**). Data shown were obtained using Macsynergy II program at 95% confidence interval and plotted with Delta Graph, and values on the Z-axis represent the mode of interaction between two selected compounds (>0, synergistic effect; <0, antagonistic effect; 0, additive effect). All data points represent averages of three measurements from three experiments.

For the interaction between Ziresovir and BMS433771, all the values on the Z-axis in the graph were below the additive plane (Figure 7c), and the volume of antagonism was $-176 \ \mu M^2\%$ at 95% confidence interval. We conclude that Ziresovir–BMS433771 showed a strong antagonism effect against RSV in vitro.

3. Discussion

Pharmacodynamic compound interactions are complex and not always predictable. They require detailed knowledge of the pharmacokinetic drug profiles when given in combination before clinical translation. In theory, synergy can be expected if the two compounds being investigated target different processes or competitively target distinct parts in the same processes; however, this is not always true, and thus in vitro studies are used to confirm these types of interactions. Transferring in vitro findings into clinical applications thus remains a challenge and might require the development of neotype evaluation methods and treatment approaches.

In this study, we selected six promising compounds based on their different mechanisms of action for combination studies. Among them, GS5806, Ziresovir and BMS433771 are fusion inhibitors, and ALS8176, RSV604, and CPM are RdRp inhibitors targeting different components. We designed two compound combination strategies, including fusion inhibitor-RdRp inhibitor, RdRp inhibitor-RdRp inhibitor and fusion inhibitor-fusion inhibitor. As noted in Table 1, all three fusion inhibitors showed additive enhancement of effects when combined with ALS8176 and RSV604 (<1.5 µM). Three combinations between fusion inhibitors showed strong antagonistic effects against RSV in vitro. Synergy can be expected if the two compounds being investigated target different steps of virus replication [31]. Previous studies indicated that GS5806, BMS433771 and Ziresovir interfere with RSV F protein through a same mode of inhibition, targeting the same domain (between HR1 and HR2) [6]. It is likely that the molecules inhibitors compete with each other when interaction with F protein, resulting in the observed antagonism effect [31,33]. Interestingly, the combination of cyclopamine with any other compound yielded no more additive antiviral effects, and CPM-RSV604 showed a strong antagonistic effect against RSV in vitro. Since exact molecular mechanism of CPM is not fully understood, we guess it is the undesired off-target effects of CPM as a HH signal pathway inhibitor. ALS8176–RSV604 showed a synergistic enhancement of effect, consistent with what was reported previously [42].

Compound A	Compound B	Synergy/Antagonism (μM^2 %) ^a by MacSynergy II Analysis	Synergy/Antagonism ^a
GS5806 ^c	ALS8176 ^d	31.53/0	Additivity
	ALS8176 ^{b,d}	58/0	Slight synergy
	RSV604 d	31/-5	Additivity
	Cyclopamine ^d	0/-19	Additivity
	Ziresovir ^c	0/-50	Additivity
	BMS433771 c	0/-55	Slight antagonism
Ziresovir ^c	ALS8176 ^d	50/0	Additivity
	RSV604 d	0/-5	Additivity
	Cyclopamine ^d	0/-25	Additivity
	BMS433771 ^c	0/-176	Strong antagonism
BMS433771 ^c	ALS8176 ^d	16/0	Additivity
	RSV604 d	64/0	Slight synergy
	Cyclopamine ^d	0/-18	Additivity
ALS8176 ^d	RSV604 ^d	20/-5	Additivity
	Cyclopamine ^d	0/-20	Additivity
RSV604 ^d	Cyclopamine ^d	0/-50	Additivity

Table 1. Interactions of compound-compound combinations against RSV.

^a. Mean volumes of synergy or antagonism are presented based on 95% confidence interval. ^b. Values determined via qPCR. ^c. fusion inhibor. ^d. RdRp inhibitor.

As combination therapy becoming the standard treatment of viral infections, interactions between antivirals should be tested to select combinations which offer a synergistic enhancement of effect [34]. This study demonstrated the initial proof-of-concept of a directacting antiviral combination strategy against RSV in in vitro assays. The selected fusion inhibitors showed synergistic enhancement of effect when combined with promising RdRp inhibitors. We consider combining inhibitors which targeting different steps of RSV replication, to be most promising, since pan-resistance to entry inhibitors is already described [8]. Further assessment of these combinations in vivo might pave a way for the development of effective RSV therapeutic strategies.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemicals

CPM (S1146) was purchased from Selleck Chemicals. RSV604 (SML1695) and BMS433771 (BMS0022) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. ALS8176 (HY–12983A), GS5806 (HY–16727), and Ziresovir (HY–109142) were purchased from MedChem Express. Compounds were solubilized at 8 mM in 100% v/v DMSO, then serially diluted to the desired concentrations in DMEM with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.25% v/v DMSO in the assay.

4.2. Cell and Virus

HEp-2 cells (ATCC reference CCL-23) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS and penicillin–streptomycin. The cells were grown in an incubator at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. The RSV–Luc strain engineered from RSV Long strain (ATCC reference VR–26) was a gift from Dr. Jean-François Eleouet, INRA, France.

4.3. Virus Iinhibition and Cytotoxicity Assays

HEp-2 cells were added into a 96-well plate with 5×10^4 cells per well one day prior to being infected with 3000 plaque-forming units (PFU) of RSV-Luc in the presence of various compounds or combined compounds concentrations. Before being added to each plate, all set compounds/compound dilutions were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C with viral suspension in an incubator for 5 min. For combined treatment, both single compound controls were present on each plate, which is necessary for the results to be valid. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h, then washed with PBS before lysis with 50 μ L of lysis buffer (25 mM Tris pH 7.8, 8 mM MgCl₂, 15% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100) per well for 15 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. Plate and substrate (Bright-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System; Promega) were equilibrated at 37 °C for 10 min before luciferase activity measurement, and luciferase activity was read using Biotek Synergy H1microplate reader. Relative luciferase activity refers to the percentage of luciferase activity relative to the mean value of 6 infected wells treated with 0.25% v/v DMSO. Different inhibitions were calculated by fitting to the sigmoidal curve equation (Graphpad software 8.0). Cytotoxicity was conducted in the same way as antiviral activity but without viral infection, and cytotoxicity was determined by the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent cell viability assay (Promega).

4.4. Quantitative PCR

Hep-2 cells were added into a 48-well plate with 1×10^5 cells one day prior to being infected with 6000 PFU of RSV–Luc in the presence of various compounds or combined compound concentrations. Before being added to each plate, all set compound/compounds dilutions were incubated with viral suspension in an incubator at 37 °C for 5 min. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h, and total RNA in cells was extracted using the QIAamp viral RNA minikit (Qiagen). RNA was reverse-transcribed using the cDNA reverse-transcription kit (Thermo) with random primers.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis was performed to amplify SH–G (F: TGCAAACCACCATCCATA; R: CCTAGTTCATTGTTATGA) intergenic region using the cDNA as the template and GAPDH (F: CCATGTTCGTCATGGGTGTGAACCA; R: GCCAGTAGAGGCAGGGATGATGTTC) cDNA as the internal standard. The relative

number of viral RNA copies was calculated using the $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate, and different inhibitions were calculated by fitting to the sigmoidal curve equation (Graphpad software 8.0).

4.5. Data Analysis

Two single compounds with similar effects sometimes produce an impaired or exaggerated consequence when used in combination. To detect the compound interaction, the inhibition result from luciferase activity reading and viral genome was analyzed with MacSynergy II as described previously [37]. This program employs the Bliss Independence algorithm for calculating drug combination interaction to derive the volume of the peaks at different drug combinations. This model calculates a theoretical additive interaction from the dose-response curves of each compound used. The calculated additive surface would appear as a horizontal plane at 0, peaks above this plane indicate synergism, and depressions below this plane indicate antagonism. In this study, the volumes (μ M²%) at 95% confidence interval of the peak (or depression) were calculated, they represent the lower value of this interval for positive values and the higher value of this interval for negative volumes and were defined as follows: The volumes of greater than +100 are considered as strong synergy, volumes between +50 and +100 are considered as slight synergy, values between -50 to +50 are considered additive. Similarly, values between -100 and -50 are considered as slight antagonism, values of less than -100 are strong antagonism [43].

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online. Figure S1. Cell viability assays of GS5806–RdRp inhibitor combinations. (a) GS5806–ALS8176, (b) GS5806–RSV604 and (c) GS5806–CPM. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.e.m.). Figure S2. Cell viability assays of Ziresovir–RdRp inhibitor combinations. (a) Ziresovir–ALS8176, (b) Ziresovir–RSV604 and (c) Ziresovir–CPM. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.e.m.). Figure S3. Cell viability assays of BMS433771–RdRp inhibitor combinations. (a) BMS433771–ALS8176, (b) BMS433771–RSV604 and (c) BMS433771–CPM. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.e.m.). Figure S4. Cell viability assays of combinations between RdRp inhibitors. (a) ALS8176–RSV604, (b) ALS8176–CPM and (c) RSV604–CPM. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.e.m.). Figure S5. Cell viability assays of combinations between fusion inhibitors. (a) GS5806–Ziresovir, (b) GS5806–BMS433771 and (c) Ziresovir–BMS433771. The results are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate (mean \pm s.e.m.).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.G., J.C. and Y.Z.; methodology, Y.G. and J.C.; software, Y.G. and J.C.; validation, Y.G.; formal analysis, Y.G. and P.X.; investigation, Y.G.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.G.; writing—review and editing, J.C.; visualization, Y.Z.; supervision, Y.Z.; funding acquisition, R.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by National Key R&D Program of China (grant NO. 2019YFA09004000); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant NO. 31670097); and the 111 Project (grant NO. B16030).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank Jean-François Eleouet from INRA (France) for sharing the rRsv-Luc strain. We also thank Fuxiao Liu, Qingdao Agricultural University, for his expert advice.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

References

- Nair, H.; Nokes, D.J.; Gessner, B.D.; Dherani, M.; Madhi, S.A.; Singleton, R.J.; O'Brien, K.L.; Roca, A.; Wright, P.F.; Bruce, N.; et al. Global burden of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010, 375, 1545–1555. [CrossRef]
- Yassine, H.M.; Sohail, M.U.; Younes, N.; Nasrallah, G.K. Systematic Review of the Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) Prevalence, Genotype Distribution, and Seasonality in Children from the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region. *Microorganisms* 2020, *8*, 5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Schildgen, O. The lack of protective immunity against RSV in the elderly. Epidemiol. Infect. 2009, 137, 1687–1690. [CrossRef]
- Rey-Jurado, E.; Kalergis, A.M. Immunological Features of Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Caused Pneumonia-Implications for Vaccine Design. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chatzis, O.; Darbre, S.; Pasquier, J.; Meylan, P.; Manuel, O.; Aubert, J.D.; Beck-Popovic, M.; Masouridi-Levrat, S.; Ansari, M.; Kaiser, L.; et al. Burden of severe RSV disease among immunocompromised children and adults: A 10 year retrospective study. BMC Infect. Dis. 2018, 18, 111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Heylen, E.; Neyts, J.; Jochmans, D. Drug candidates and model systems in respiratory syncytial virus antiviral drug discovery. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2017, 127, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 7. Zuccotti, G.; Fabiano, V. Indications to respiratory syncytial virus immunoprophylaxis in the 29-32 wGA group: Is there still room for debating? *Ital. J. Pediatr.* **2017**, *43*, 17. [CrossRef]
- Jorquera, P.A.; Tripp, R.A. Respiratory syncytial virus: Prospects for new and emerging therapeutics. *Expert Rev. Respir. Med.* 2017, 11, 609–615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Rameix-Welti, M.-A.; Le Goffic, R.; Hervé, P.-L.; Sourimant, J.; Rémot, A.; Riffault, S.; Yu, Q.; Galloux, M.; Gault, E.; Eléouët, J.-F. Visualizing the replication of respiratory syncytial virus in cells and in living mice. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5104. [CrossRef]
- 10. Pickles, R.J.; DeVincenzo, J.P. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and its propensity for causing bronchiolitis. *J. Pathol.* **2015**, 235, 266–276. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Noton, S.L.; Deflube, L.R.; Tremaglio, C.Z.; Fearns, R. The respiratory syncytial virus polymerase has multiple RNA synthesis activities at the promoter. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012, *8*, e1002980. [CrossRef]
- 12. Yuan, X.; Hu, T.; He, H.; Qiu, H.; Wu, X.; Chen, J.; Wang, M.; Chen, C.; Huang, S. Respiratory syncytial virus prolifically infects N2a neuronal cells, leading to TLR4 and nucleolin protein modulations and RSV F protein co-localization with TLR4 and nucleolin. *J. Biomed. Sci.* **2018**, *25*, 13. [CrossRef]
- 13. Sperandio, D.; Mackman, R. CHAPTER 2. Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fusion Inhibitors. In *Successful Strategies for the Discovery of Antiviral Drugs*; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2013; pp. 29–62.
- 14. Fearns, R.; Deval, J. New antiviral approaches for respiratory syncytial virus and other mononegaviruses: Inhibiting the RNA polymerase. *Antivir. Res.* **2016**, *134*, 63–76. [CrossRef]
- 15. Fearns, R.; Plemper, R.K. Polymerases of paramyxoviruses and pneumoviruses. Virus Res. 2017, 234, 87–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Pichon, M.; Valette, M.; Schuffenecker, I.; Billaud, G.; Lina, B. Analytical Performances of the Panther Fusion System for the Detection of Respiratory Viruses in the French National Reference Centre of Lyon, France. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 9. [CrossRef]
- 17. Griffiths, C.; Drews, S.J.; Marchant, D.J. Respiratory Syncytial Virus: Infection, Detection, and New Options for Prevention and Treatment. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2017, *30*, 277–319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Bergeron, H.C.; Tripp, R.A. Emerging small and large molecule therapeutics for respiratory syncytial virus. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2020**, *29*, 285–294. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bailly, B.; Richard, C.A.; Sharma, G.; Wang, L.; Johansen, L.; Cao, J.; Pendharkar, V.; Sharma, D.C.; Galloux, M.; Wang, Y.; et al. Targeting human respiratory syncytial virus transcription anti-termination factor M2-1 to inhibit in vivo viral replication. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 25806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Challa, S.; Scott, A.D.; Yuzhakov, O.; Zhou, Y.; Tiong-Yip, C.L.; Gao, N.; Thresher, J.; Yu, Q. Mechanism of Action for Respiratory Syncytial Virus Inhibitor RSV604. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2015**, *59*, 1080–1087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chapman, J.; Abbott, E.; Alber, D.G.; Baxter, R.C.; Bithell, S.K.; Henderson, E.A.; Carter, M.C.; Chambers, P.; Chubb, A.; Cockerill, G.S.; et al. RSV604, a Novel Inhibitor of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Replication. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2007, *51*, 3346–3353. [CrossRef]
- 22. DeVincenzo, J.P.; McClure, M.W.; Symons, J.A.; Fathi, H.; Westland, C.; Chanda, S.; Lambkin-Williams, R.; Smith, P.; Zhang, Q.; Beigelman, L.; et al. Activity of Oral ALS-008176 in a Respiratory Syncytial Virus Challenge Study. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *373*, 2048–2058. [CrossRef]
- Mackman, R.L.; Sangi, M.; Sperandio, D.; Parrish, J.P.; Eisenberg, E.; Perron, M.; Hui, H.; Zhang, L.; Siegel, D.; Yang, H.; et al. Discovery of an Oral Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) Fusion Inhibitor (GS-5806) and Clinical Proof of Concept in a Human RSV Challenge Study. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 1630–1643. [CrossRef]
- Perron, M.; Stray, K.; Kinkade, A.; Theodore, D.; Lee, G.; Eisenberg, E.; Sangi, M.; Gilbert, B.E.; Jordan, R.; Piedra, P.A.; et al. GS-5806 Inhibits a Broad Range of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Clinical Isolates by Blocking the Virus-Cell Fusion Process. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2015, 60, 1264–1273. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, X.; Gao, L.; Wang, L.; Liang, C.; Wang, B.; Liu, Y.; Feng, S.; Zhang, B.; Zhou, M.; Yu, X.; et al. Discovery of Ziresovir as a Potent, Selective, and Orally Bioavailable Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fusion Protein Inhibitor. *J. Med. Chem.* 2019, 62, 6003–6014. [CrossRef]

- 26. Cianci, C.; Meanwell, N.; Krystal, M. Antiviral activity and molecular mechanism of an orally active respiratory syncytial virus fusion inhibitor. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2005, 55, 289–292. [CrossRef]
- 27. Cianci, C.; Yu, K.-L.; Combrink, K.; Sin, N.; Pearce, B.; Wang, A.; Civiello, R.; Voss, S.; Luo, G.; Kadow, K.; et al. Orally Active Fusion Inhibitor of Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2004**, *48*, 413–422. [CrossRef]
- Cox, R.; Plemper, R.K. Structure-guided design of small-molecule therapeutics against RSV disease. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 2016, 11, 543–556. [CrossRef]
- 29. Caidi, H.; Harcourt, J.L.; Tripp, R.A.; Anderson, L.J.; Haynes, L.M. Combination therapy using monoclonal antibodies against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) G glycoprotein protects from RSV disease in BALB/c mice. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e51485. [CrossRef]
- 30. Rehman, S.; Ashfaq, U.A.; Ijaz, B.; Riazuddin, S. Anti-hepatitis C virus activity and synergistic effect of Nymphaea alba extracts and bioactive constituents in liver infected cells. *Microb. Pathog.* **2018**, *121*, 198–209. [CrossRef]
- 31. O'Brien, M.S.; Markovich, K.C.; Selleseth, D.; DeVita, A.V.; Sethna, P.; Gentry, B.G. In vitro evaluation of current and novel antivirals in combination against human cytomegalovirus. *Antivir. Res.* **2018**, *158*, 255–263. [CrossRef]
- 32. Chou, S.; Ercolani, R.J.; Derakhchan, K. Antiviral activity of maribavir in combination with other drugs active against human cytomegalovirus. *Antivir. Res.* 2018, 157, 128–133. [CrossRef]
- 33. Wang, Y.; Li, G.; Yuan, S.; Gao, Q.; Lan, K.; Altmeyer, R.; Zou, G. In VitroAssessment of Combinations of Enterovirus Inhibitors against Enterovirus 71. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2016**, *60*, 5357–5367. [CrossRef]
- 34. Checkmahomed, L.; Padey, B.; Pizzorno, A.; Terrier, O.; Rosa-Calatrava, M.; Abed, Y.; Baz, M.; Boivin, G. In Vitro Combinations of Baloxavir Acid and Other Inhibitors against Seasonal Influenza A Viruses. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 10. [CrossRef]
- 35. Schloer, S.; Goretzko, J.; Pleschka, S.; Ludwig, S.; Rescher, U. Combinatory Treatment with Oseltamivir and Itraconazole Targeting Both Virus and Host Factors in Influenza A Virus Infection. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 36. Snyder, B.; Goebel, S.; Koide, F.; Ptak, R.; Kalkeri, R. Synergistic antiviral activity of Sofosbuvir and type-I interferons (alpha and beta) against Zika virus. *J. Med. Virol.* **2018**, *90*, 8–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Prichard, M.N.; Shipman, C. A 3-dimensional model to analyze drug-drug interactions. Antivir. Res. 1990, 14, 181–206. [CrossRef]
- Mirabelli, C.; Jaspers, M.; Boon, M.; Jorissen, M.; Koukni, M.; Bardiot, D.; Chaltin, P.; Marchand, A.; Neyts, J.; Jochmans, D. Differential antiviral activities of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) inhibitors in human airway epithelium. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2018, 73, 1823–1829. [CrossRef]
- Huntjens, D.R.H.; Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan, S.; Brochot, A.; Rusch, S.; Stevens, M.; Verloes, R. Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling of JNJ-53718678, a Novel Fusion Inhibitor for the Treatment of Respiratory Syncytial Virus: Results from a Phase I, Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled First-in-Human Study in Healthy Adult Subjects. *Clin. Pharm.* 2017, 56, 1331–1342. [CrossRef]
- DeVincenzo, J.P.; Whitley, R.J.; Mackman, R.L.; Scaglioni-Weinlich, C.; Harrison, L.; Farrell, E.; McBride, S.; Lambkin-Williams, R.; Jordan, R.; Xin, Y.; et al. Oral GS-5806 activity in a respiratory syncytial virus challenge study. N. Engl. J. Med. 2014, 371, 711–722. [CrossRef]
- Wang, G.; Deval, J.; Hong, J.; Dyatkina, N.; Prhavc, M.; Taylor, J.; Fung, A.; Jin, Z.; Stevens, S.K.; Serebryany, V.; et al. Discovery of 4'-chloromethyl-2'-deoxy-3',5'-di-O-isobutyryl-2'-fluorocytidine (ALS-8176), a first-in-class RSV polymerase inhibitor for treatment of human respiratory syncytial virus infection. *J. Med. Chem.* 2015, *58*, 1862–1878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deval, J.; Fung, A.; Stevens, S.K.; Jordan, P.C.; Gromova, T.; Taylor, J.S.; Hong, J.; Meng, J.; Wang, G.; Dyatkina, N.; et al. Biochemical Effect of Resistance Mutations against Synergistic Inhibitors of RSV RNA Polymerase. *PLoS ONE* 2016, *11*, e0154097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Wildum, S.; Zimmermann, H.; Lischka, P. In vitro drug combination studies of letermovir (AIC246, MK-8228) with approved anti-human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and anti-HIV compounds in inhibition of HCMV and HIV replication. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2015**, *59*, 3140–3148. [CrossRef]