Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# North American Spine Society Journal (NASSJ)

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/xnsj

**Clinical Studies** 

Impact of pleural effusion at an early period after posterior spinal fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis on future pulmonary function and lung volume



NASS

Masahiro Ozaki, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Satoshi Suzuki, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Takehiro Michikawa, PhD<sup>b</sup>, Yohei Takahashi, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Satoshi Nori, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Osahiko Tsuji, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Narihito Nagoshi, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Mitsuru Yagi, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Nobuyuki Fujita, MD, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Morio Matsumoto, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Masaya Nakamura, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Kota Watanabe, MD, PhD<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Keio University School of Medicine, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjyuku, Tokyo, 160-8582, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Medicine, Toho University, 5-21-16 Omorinishi, Ota-ku, Tokyo 143-8540, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fujita Health University, 1-98 Dengakugakubo, Kutsukake-cho, Toyoake, Aichi 470-1192, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Pleural effusion Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis Posterior spinal fusion Pulmonary function Lung volume Low-dose computed tomography

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* Posterior spinal fusion (PSF) for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) has a potential risk for postoperative pleural effusion. Although pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS occurs with a relatively high frequency and occasionally requires some treatments, the impact of postoperative pleural effusion on future pulmonary function or lung volume (LV) has not been clarified to date. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of pleural effusion after PSF for AIS on the postoperative pulmonary function and LV.

*Methods*: A total of 114 consecutive patients who underwent PSF for AIS followed up greater than 2 years at our institute were retrospectively reviewed. We evaluated postoperative pleural effusion by computed tomography (CT) at the 1-week follow-up and divided patients into the pleural effusion (PF) and non-pleural effusion (NP) groups. We investigated spirometry parameters recorded for testing included vital capacity (VC), forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), %VC, and FEV1% and measured the LV using CT images and a workstation at baseline and 2 years after surgery.

*Results:* A total of 87 (76.3%) patients with postoperative pleural effusion were identified, but all patients were asymptomatic and did not require additional treatment for postoperative pleural effusion. All pulmonary function parameters at the 2-year follow-up exhibited no significant differences between the two groups. Although preoperative left LV ( $1.21\pm0.30$  L vs.  $1.36\pm0.34$  L; p=.022) and total LV ( $2.68\pm0.62$  L vs.  $2.99\pm0.73$  L; p=.031) were significantly lower in the PF group than in the NP group, all postoperative LV parameters were similar between the two groups.

*Conclusions:* Pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS was a postoperative occurrence without an impact on future pulmonary function and LV.

#### Introduction

The pulmonary function in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) deteriorates with the progression of scoliosis [1]. Therefore, preventing the deterioration of the pulmonary function is one of the important purposes of corrective surgery for AIS [2]. Although posterior spinal fusion (PSF) has demonstrated stable surgical outcomes as a standard treatment procedure for AIS [3–5], whether PSF improves postoperative pulmonary function is currently controversial [6–8]. The patients with AIS are initially assessed in children who are often too young to cooperate with the protocol of spirometry [9]. Although recent studies have measured the change in lung volume (LV) after PSF as

+81-3-5363-3812; fax: +81-3-3353-6597.

E-mail address: kw197251@keio.jp (K. Watanabe).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2023.100289

Received 16 July 2023; Received in revised form 22 October 2023; Accepted 23 October 2023

Available online 31 October 2023

2666-5484/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of North American Spine Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

FDA device/drug status: Not applicable.

Author disclosures: **MO**: Nothing to disclose. **SS**: Nothing to disclose. **TM**: Nothing to disclose. **YT**: Nothing to disclose. **SN**: Nothing to disclose. **OT**: Nothing to disclose. **NY**: Nothing to disclose.

a more accurate index of respiratory function in this population, these results have also been as inconsistent as the change of pulmonary function after PSF [10–12].

PSF for AIS has a potential risk for postoperative pleural effusion [13–18]. Previous reports have shown that the frequency of pleural effusion after PSF for AIS may vary depending on the tool or timing of pleural effusion detection and ranged from 0.84% to 71% [14–17]. Several studies have reported cases in which respiratory function was impaired due to pleural effusion and required such treatments as thoracocentesis and chest tube placement [13,14]. Hayashi et al. [15] investigated the frequency of pleural effusion after PSF for AIS using computed tomography (CT) performed at 1 week after surgery and found that it occurred with a relatively high probability of 71%.

Although pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS occurs with a relatively high frequency and occasionally requires some treatments, the impact of postoperative pleural effusion on future pulmonary function or LV has not been clarified to date. The purpose of this study is to examine the effect of pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS on the postoperative pulmonary function and LV. We hypothesized that postoperative pleural effusion after PSF for AIS would not affect future pulmonary function and LV.

## Materials and methods

#### Study participants

We retrospectively reviewed the prospectively enrolled consecutive AIS patients (aged 10–19 years) who underwent PSF at our institution between 2006 and 2014. All patients had completed CT examination preoperatively (at baseline), 1 week and 2 years after surgery and pulmonary function test (PFT) at baseline and 2 years after surgery. All patients got out of bed within 2 days after surgery and did not need prolonged bed rest. After excluding the patients with a Lenke type 5 curve due to the absence of a thoracic structural curve, 114 patients (female: 104, male: 10) were included in this study. Among 114 patients with AIS, the distribution of Lenke classification was as follows: type 1, 68; type 2, 20; type 3, 7; type 4, 4; type 6, 15 patients. This research was approved by our institution's review board, and the approved number was 20090042. We obtained written informed consent for the use of patient data from each patient or their guardian, according to the institution's ethical guidelines.

### Surgical procedure

All surgeries were performed under general anesthesia and neuromonitoring. After meticulous exposures of posterior elements following a medial skin incision, pedicle screws (PSs) were placed bilaterally at almost every vertebra within the fusion area, occasionally skipping some using a free-hand technique. A specially designed ball tip probe that consists of a ball-shaped metal tip with a flexible metal shaft was used to make a guide hole through the pedicle into the vertebral body [19]. After applying rods, a gradual correction was done under neuro-monitoring.

# Radiographic parameters

The following radiographic data were recorded from standing fulllength spine radiographs at baseline and 2 years after surgery: The Cobb angle of the proximal thoracic (PT) curve, main thoracic (MT) curve, and thoracolumbar/lumbar (TL/L) curve; the postoperative correction angle of the Cobb angles of PT, MT, and TL/L; the correction rates of the Cobb angles of MT, T2-4 kyphosis, T5-12 kyphosis, and lumbar lordosis (LL); and the postoperative correction angles of T2-4 kyphosis, T5-12 kyphosis, and LL.

# Pulmonary function testing

Pulmonary function test was performed using spirometry according to the Japanese Respiratory Society guidelines at baseline and 2 years after surgery [20]. Spirometry parameters recorded for testing included vital capacity (VC), forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), %VC (normalized to the patients' age, sex, height, or arm span-matched standards), and FEV1% (the ratio of FEV1 to forced VC).

# Computed tomography

Low-dose CT examinations were performed using a 16-, 64-, or 320detector CT scanner. Slice thickness was set at 1 mm or 1.25 mm. The effective dose estimate for CT was 4.1±0.9 mSv, which was determined by the dose length product measurements and appropriate normalized coefficients reported in the literature [21]. A pleural effusion was measured as fluid collection in the dorsal thoracic cavity on axial CT images at 1 week and 2 years after surgery. Hayashi et al. [15] defined a depth of fluid collection greater than 3 mm as a positive finding of pleural effusion. However, we could not distinguish between pleural effusion and the thickening of the pleura at a depth of 3 mm. Thus, we defined positive pleural effusion as a fluid collection depth of 5 mm or above. We divided the patients into the pleural effusion (PF) and nonpleural effusion (NP) groups based on the presence or absence of the pleural effusion at the 1-week follow-up. We initially hypothesized that the accidental insertion of PSs into the thoracic cavity would cause pleural effusion. Therefore, we investigated the frequency of perforations caused by the insertion of PSs at the lateral wall of the pedicle and lateral and anterior wall of the vertebra using postoperative CT images. We defined >2 mm of deviation as a positive finding of pedicle or vertebral perforation [22]. Additionally, LV values were measured on CT images by an experienced radiologist, as previously described [23]. Briefly, the axial CT images of the lungs were extracted from the axial CT images of the trunk, followed by 3-dimentional (3D) volumetric lung reconstruction using a workstation (Advantage Workstation 4.6, GE Healthcare) before the operation and 2 years after surgery. We measured the right lung volume (RLV) and left lung volume (LLV) and calculated total lung volume (TLV).

#### Data collection

Complete preoperative and 2-year follow-up data on coronal and sagittal radiographic parameters, PFT parameters, and LV parameters were available on all patients. Additionally, the following data were collected on each patient: age, sex, height, body mass index (BMI), Lenke classification (Supplementary Table 1), Risser grade (Supplementary Table 2), the frequency and number of PS perforations, the number of fused vertebrae in whole spine, thoracic fused vertebrae, and inserted thoracic PSs, operative time, and intraoperative blood loss, infusion, and transfusion.

# Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and number (percentages) for categorical variables. Differences between the PF and NP groups were evaluated using Pearson's chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, Student's *t* test, Mann–Whitney *U*-test, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test in the univariable analyses. Then, we applied a Poisson regression model to identify the predictive factors that independently associated with pleural effusion after PSF for AIS. In this multivariable model, the outcome variable was the depth of fluid correction of more than 5 mm at the dorsal thoracic cavity on axial CT images, and the independent variables as age, sex, and variables with p<.1 in the univariable analyses. The variables with high correlation coefficient (> 0.50) were excluded from the multivariable model because they were considered to have multicollinearity. The risk ratio (RR) and

#### Table 1

Demographic characteristics of the patients.

		PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*
Age	years	14.7 ± 2.1	15.1 ± 2.1	0.463
Sex	male : female	6:81	4:23	0.185
	% male	6.9%	14.8%	
Height	cm	$157 \pm 7.2$	$157.1 \pm 6.4$	0.801
BMI	kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$18.3 \pm 2.6$	18.4 ±1.8	0.582
Lenke type	type 1	53 (60.9%)	15 (55.6%)	0.718
	type 2	15 (17.2%)	5 (18.5%)	
	type 3	5 (5.7%)	2 (7.4%)	
	type 4	2 (2.3%)	2 (7.4%)	
	type 6	12 (13.8%)	3 (11.1%)	
Risser grade		$3.3 \pm 1.5$	$3.7 \pm 1.1$	0.270

BMI, body mass index.

\* t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, or Fisher's exact test.

#### Table 2

Comparison of operative factors between PF and NP group.

	PF	NP	p value*
	(n=87)	(n=27)	
Fused vertebrae	$10.0\pm2.0$	$9.8 \pm 2.3$	.630
Thoracic fused vertebrae	$8.4 \pm 1.5$	$8.3 \pm 1.9$	.412
Thoracic PS	$15.1 \pm 2.5$	$14.5 \pm 2.7$	.421
PS perforation (frequency)	66 (75.9%)	17 (63.0%)	.188
PS perforation (number)	$1.8 \pm 1.6$	$1.3 \pm 1.3$	.168
Operative time (min)	$158 \pm 53$	$156 \pm 49$	.870
Blood loss (mL)	$455 \pm 296$	$405 \pm 247$	.289
Infusion (mL)	$2252 \pm 965$	$2125 \pm 1067$	.426
Transfusion (mL)	$277 \pm 317$	$239 \pm 145$	.855

PS, pedicle screw.

\* Mann–Whitney *U* test, or  $\chi^2$  test.

95% confidence interval (CI) of each predictive factor were estimated. Multivariable regression analysis was performed using STATA 16 software (Stata Corporation). A p value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

#### Characteristics of patients with postoperative pleural effusion

The PF and NP groups consisted of 87 (76.3%) and 27 (23.7%) patients, respectively. Pleural effusion accumulated bilaterally in 55 patients (63%), only in the right thorax in 26 patients (30%), and only in the left thorax in 9 patients (7%). All patients in this study had right convex in main thoracic curve, pleural effusion tended to accumulate more on the convex side. The depth of pleura effusion greater than 10 mm was observed in 37 (43%) of 87 patients. All patients in the PF group were asymptomatic and did not require any treatment for pleural effusion. None of the patients had residual pleural effusion at 2-year follow-up. The baseline characteristics (mean age, sex ratio, mean height, mean BMI, the distribution of Lenke classification, and mean Risser grade) were comparable between the 2 groups (Table 1).

The number of fused vertebrae and inserted thoracic PSs, the frequency and number of PS perforations, perioperative factors (operative time, blood loss, infusion, and transfusion) did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 2).

#### Radiographic parameters

The MT Cobb angle at baseline  $(56.7^{\circ}\pm9.8^{\circ} \text{ vs. } 50.0^{\circ}\pm9.6^{\circ}; \text{ p}=.002)$ and the correction angles of MT  $(39.4^{\circ}\pm10.3^{\circ} \text{ vs. } 32.7^{\circ}\pm8.1^{\circ}; \text{ p}=.004)$ and TL/L Cobb angle  $(25.3^{\circ}\pm11.9^{\circ} \text{ vs. } 18.9^{\circ}\pm12.9^{\circ}; \text{ p}=.005)$  from baseline to 2-year follow-up were significantly greater in the PF group than

Table 3	
Comparison of the radiographic parameters between PF and NP gro	up.

		PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*
Coronal	PT (°)	$28.8 \pm 9.3$	$25.9 \pm 8.5$	.150
	MT (°)	$56.7 \pm 9.8$	$50.0 \pm 9.6$	.002
	TL/L (°)	$35.1 \pm 13.2$	$30.2 \pm 13.9$	.137
	ΔPT (°)	$14.9 \pm 9.1$	$12.5 \pm 8.7$	.260
	$\Delta MT$ (°)	$39.4 \pm 10.3$	$32.7\pm8.1$	.004
	$\Delta TL/L$ (°)	$25.3 \pm 11.9$	$18.9 \pm 12.9$	.005
Correction	n rate of cobb angle (%)	$69.4 \pm 12.2$	$66.2 \pm 15.2$	.327
Sagittal	T2-4(°)	$7.0 \pm 5.0$	$6.6 \pm 5.7$	.801
	T5-12(°)	$15.8 \pm 10.4$	$12.1 \pm 10.8$	.050
	LL(°)	$49.0\pm8.0$	$46.3 \pm 10.7$	.172
	ΔT2-4(°)	$-0.9\pm5.9$	$-1.9 \pm 7.5$	.851
	ΔT5-12(°)	$-0.6\pm10.0$	$-5.5 \pm 11.7$	.060
	$\Delta LL(^{\circ})$	$0.5 \pm 9.1$	$-2.4\pm9.3$	.185

PT, proximal thoracic cobb angle; MT, main thoracic cobb angle; TL/L, thoracolumbar/lumbar cobb angle; LL, lumbar lordosis.

\* t test or Mann–Whitney U test.

in the NP group (Table 3). Other coronal and sagittal radiographic parameters did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 3).

#### FT parameters

Table 4 shows the pre- and postoperative PFT parameters. No differences were noted in the preoperative VC, %VC, FEV1, and FEV1% (Table 4). Postoperative VC, FEV1, and FEV1% at 2-year follow-up were significantly improved compared with these preoperative parameters in the two groups (Table 4). On the other hand, postoperative %VC were significantly deteriorated compared with preoperative %VC in the PF group ( $80.1\pm15.9$  vs.  $78.1\pm15.3$ ; p=.039), but not in the NP group (Table 4). All postoperative PFT parameters exhibited no significant differences between the 2 groups (Table 4).

# LV parameters

Although preoperative LLV  $(1.21\pm0.30 \text{ L} \text{ vs. } 1.36\pm0.34 \text{ L}; \text{ p=.022})$ and TLV  $(2.68\pm0.62 \text{ L} \text{ vs. } 2.99\pm0.73 \text{ L}; \text{ p=.031})$  were significantly lower in the PF group than in the NP group, all postoperative LV parameters were similar between the two groups (Table 5). While postoperative LLV and TLV were significantly improved compared with preoperative LLV  $(1.21\pm0.30 \text{ L} \text{ vs. } 1.27\pm0.32 \text{ L}; \text{ p=.011})$  and TLV  $(2.68\pm0.62 \text{ L} \text{ vs.}$  $2.78\pm0.64 \text{ L}; \text{ p=.034})$  in the PF group, there was no significant difference in the NP group. Regarding RLV, there were no significant differences between pre- and postoperative RLV in both groups (Table 5).

# Table 4

C	omparison	of p	pre- and	post-c	perative	PFT	parameters.
---	-----------	------	----------	--------	----------	-----	-------------

	Preoperative				Postoperative Preoperative vs. Postoperative p va		ve vs. Postoperative p value**	
	PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*	PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*	PF	NP
VC(L)	$2.52 \pm 0.52$	$2.57 \pm 0.63$	.681	$2.69 \pm 0.56$	$2.73 \pm 0.54$	0.779	<.001	<b>.024</b>
%VC	80.1 + 15.9	78.6 + 17.1	.660	78.1 + 15.3	75.9 + 11.9	0.058	.039	.242
FEV1(L)	$2.11 \pm 0.48$	$2.21 \pm 0.57$	.394	$2.36 \pm 0.49$	$2.44 \pm 0.48$	0.480	<.001	<.001
FEV1%	$85.4 \pm 7.9$	86.5 ± 7.1	.556	88.5 ± 6.3	90.9 ± 5.1	0.084	<.001	<.001

PFT: pulmonary function testing; VC: vital capacity; FEV: forced expiratory volume in the first second

\* t-test or Mann-Whitney U test

<sup>†</sup> t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test

#### Table 5

Comparison of pre- and post-operative LV parameters.

	Preoperative			]	Postoperative			Preoperative vs. Postoperative p value <sup>†</sup>	
	PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*	PF (n=87)	NP (n=27)	p value*	PF	NP	
RLV(L)	$1.47 \pm 0.35$	$1.63 \pm 0.40$ 1.26 ± 0.24	0.054	$1.51 \pm 0.34$ $1.27 \pm 0.32$	$1.61 \pm 0.37$ $1.26 \pm 0.23$	0.189	.126	.670	
TLV(L)	$1.21 \pm 0.30$ $2.68 \pm 0.62$	$1.30 \pm 0.34$ 2.99 ± 0.73	0.022	$1.27 \pm 0.32$ $2.78 \pm 0.64$	$1.30 \pm 0.33$ $2.98 \pm 0.69$	0.183	.034	.817	

LV, lung volume; RLV, right lung volume; LLV, left lung volume; TLV, total lung volume.

\* t test.

† t test.

# Table 6

Multivariable regression analysis for pleural effusion

		Multi-variable adjusted risk ratio (RR)	95% CI	p value
Age	11–15y	Ref		
	16–19y	0.946	0.776-1.153	.580
Sex	women	Ref		
	men	0.861	0.540-1.373	.529
△MT (°) per 10° increase		1.200	1.048-1.256	<.01
∆TL/I	L (°) per 10° increase	1.054	0.972-1.143	.205
TLV(L) per 1L increase		0.840	0.710-0.994	.042

Multivariable analysis of predictive factors for pleural effusion after PSF for AIS

In the univariable analyses, the p values of MT Cobb angle at baseline, correction angles of MT and TL/L Cobb angle from baseline to 2year follow-up, and LLV and TLV at baseline were less than .05. Because the correlation coefficient between the MT Cobb angle at baseline and the correction angle of MT Cobb angle from baseline to 2-year followup (r=0.687 from Spearman's rank correlation coefficient) and LLV and TLV at baseline (r=0.962 from Pearson's correlation coefficient) was relatively high, we excluded the preoperative MT Cobb angle and LLV from independent variables of multivariable regression model. After adjusting for age and sex ratio, the correction angle of MT Cobb angle per 10 increase (RR: 1.200, 95% CI: 1.048-1.256, p<.01) and the TLV at baseline per 1L increase (RR: 0.840, 95% CI: 0.710-0.994, p=.042) were identified as independent predictive factors for pleural effusion after PSF for AIS (Table 6).

# Discussion

The present study showed that pleural effusion was observed in 76% of patients who underwent PSF for AIS using CT performed at 1 week after surgery. However, all cases were asymptomatic and did not require additional treatment for postoperative pleural effusion. Pleural effusion at 1 week after surgery did not affect pulmonary function or LV at 2-years follow-up, which indicated that pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS was a postoperative occurrence without an impact

on pulmonary function. Multivariable analysis identified the larger MT Cobb angle correction and the lower preoperative TLV as independent factors for postoperative pleural effusion in the patients who underwent PSF for AIS, suggesting that the indirect factors due to the correction of scoliosis, rather than direct invasion such as PS perforation, associated with the postoperative pleural effusion. This study is the first report to analyze the impact of pleural effusion after PSF for AIS on postoperative pulmonary function and LV.

Previous studies have demonstrated the therapeutic effect of PSF for AIS on postoperative pulmonary function. Akazawa et al.[6] investigated 47 patients with AIS and reported that PSF with or without thoracoplasty improved postoperative pulmonary function 5 years or later after surgery. Conversely, Byun et al. [7] assessed 35 patients with main thoracic AIS treated with a long-term follow-up > 10 years and showed the postoperative pulmonary function test value was similar to preoperative measurements. Kato et al. [8] also reported PSF for mild to moderate AIS patients and showed no significant improvement of postoperative pulmonary function as a result of systematic review and meta-analysis. Against the background of these controversies, we evaluated preoperative and postoperative pulmonary function by focusing on pleural effusion at an early postoperative period. As a result, although the PFT parameters except for %VC were markedly improved postoperatively, preoperative pulmonary function was not correlated with postoperative pleural effusion, and there was no significant effect of pleural effusion at an early postoperative period on pulmonary function at 2-year followup. Postoperative decrease of %VC may be attributed to increased height due to surgical correction and natural growth depending on the patient's age.

Several studies have examined the impact of PSF for scoliosis on the postoperative change in LV. Fu et al. [12] evaluated the operative changes in lung morphology and LV in AIS using 3D CT and 3D reconstruction software and reported that the lung height increased and the thoracic symmetry was improved, whereas the LV did not change postoperatively in the short term. Sarawahi et al. [10] also reported that the surgical correction of AIS improved the thoracic symmetry but did not alter LV postoperatively. Our results indicated that preoperative LLV and TLV were significantly lower and significantly increased postoperatively in the PF group compared with the NP group. On the other hand, all LV parameters did not change from baseline to 2-year follow-up in the NP group. Consequently, all postoperative LV parameters were comparable between the two groups. Since pleural effusion was more frequently accumulated in the right thorax, the exact cause of low preoperative LLV in the PF group remains unclear. However, we believe that there would be a high correlation between the LLV or changes in LLV and pleural effusion. In addition, the analysis of each case revealed that the change in LV from baseline to 2-year follow-up either increased or decreased, with various patterns of change observed depending on the case. The change in TLV from baseline to 2-year follow-up was significantly negatively correlated with the TLV at baseline (r=-0.33; p<.001) (Supplementary Fig. 1), which suggested that the lower the preoperative LV, the larger the increase in postoperative LV. Therefore, we presume that the LV remains unchanged immediately after surgery but may increase at some point up to 2 years after surgery.

Other previous studies have already shown risk factors for pulmonary complications after surgery for scoliosis. Wang et al. [18] performed a multivariable analysis of postoperative pulmonary complications following posterior instrumentation and fusion for patients diagnosed with nondegenerative scoliosis and found that a preoperative Cobb angle greater than 75°, preoperative respiratory disease, revision surgery, and thoracoplasty were risk factors for postoperative pulmonary complications. Hayashi et al. [15] reported 11 or more fused levels as an independent risk factor for pleural effusion after surgery for AIS. Conversely, our results showed that there was no significant difference in the number of fused levels between the two groups. This discrepancy with our results might be due to the difference in the number of analyzed subjects between the 2 studies (114 in our study versus 58 in the Hayashi et al. [15] study). The mean MT Cobb angle at baseline in the PF and NP groups did not differ substantially between the 2 studies (with pleural effusion 56.7° and without pleural effusion  $50.0^{\circ}$  [p=.002] in our study vs. with pleural effusion 56.8° and without pleural effusion 52.1° [p= .11] in the Hayashi et al. [15] study). In the present study, although only 5 out of 114 patients had a preoperative MT cobb angle greater than 75°, we identified the larger MT Cobb angle correction and the lower preoperative TLV as potential risk factors for postoperative pleural effusion for AIS, suggesting that pleural effusion accumulated in patients with severe scoliosis who required large corrections and had low preoperative LV.

This study has several limitations. First, our data were collected from a single institution, so further studies in other populations are needed to confirm our findings. Second, the LV and the volume of the thorax or thoracic cavity were not measured at multiple time points and over time during the follow-up period. Thus, we could not identify when the LV changed during the 2 years after surgery. Third, we defined a depth of fluid correction greater than 5 mm on CT images as positive pleural effusion because it was difficult to distinguish between pleural effusion and the thickening of the pleura at a depth of 3mm reported by Hayashi et al. [15]. However, we did not investigate the precise volume and characteristics of pleural effusion by thoracocentesis because all patients were asymptomatic for pleural effusion. Therefore, the patients with thickening of the pleura rather than pleural effusion might be included in the positive pleural effusion group. The prevalence of pleural effusion varies by the definition of "pleural effusion." Whereas Liang et al. [17] investigated pleural effusion using medical records and chest X-ray films and reported the prevalence of pleural effusion after

spinal deformity correction surgery was 0.84%, the present study and the report by Hayashi et al. [15] assessed pleural effusion using CT images at 1 week after surgery and indicated the prevalence of more than 70%. We believe that the evaluation method for pleural effusion should be standardized in the future. Fourth, we could not investigate serum albumin level, which may be associated with postoperative pleural effusion, due to the lack of routine evaluation in postoperative blood tests. Fifth, 88% of the subjects in this study were female. The results of this study may apply only to female-dominated populations. Additionally, as reported previously, we do not currently perform a routine assessment for pleural effusion and LV using CT in consideration of radiation exposure [22]. Nevertheless, the present study clarified the effect of pleural effusion after PSF for AIS on postoperative pulmonary function and LV.

In conclusion, pleural effusion at an early period after PSF for AIS occurred relatively frequently at a rate of 76.3% and was potentially correlated to the degree of correction of MT cobb angle and preoperative low LV. However, since all cases were asymptomatic and did not affect future pulmonary function and LV, observation without treatment was considered appropriate in the clinical setting.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.xnsj.2023.100289.

# References

- Weinstein SL. The natural history of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Pediatr Orthop 2019;39:S44–6.
- [2] Johnston CE, Richards BS, Sucato DJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Erickson M, et al. Correlation of preoperative deformity magnitude and pulmonary function tests in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2011;36:1096–102.
- [3] Kim YJ, Lenke LG, Cho SK, Bridwell KH, Sides B, Blanke K. Comparative analysis of pedicle screw versus hook instrumentation in posterior spinal fusion of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2004;29:2040–8.
- [4] Matsumoto M, Watanabe K, Hosogane N, Toyama Y. Updates on surgical treatments for pediatric scoliosis. J Orthop Sci 2014;19:6–14.
- [5] Miller DJ, Cahill PJ, Vitale MG, Shah SA. Posterior correction techniques for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2020;28:E363–73.
- [6] Akazawa T, Kotani T, Sakuma T, Nakayama K, Iijima Y, Torii Y, et al. Pulmonary function improves in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis who undergo posterior spinal fusion regardless of thoracoplasty: a mid-term follow-up. Spine Surg Relat Res 2020;5:22–7.
- [7] Byun YM, Iida T, Yamada K, Abumi K, Kokabu T, Iwata A, et al. Long-term pulmonary function after posterior spinal fusion in main thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. PLoS One 2020;15:e0235123.
- [8] Kato S, Murray JC, Ganau M, Tan Y, Oshima Y, Tanaka S. Does posterior scoliosis correction improve respiratory function in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Global Spine J 2019;9:866–73.
- [9] Enright PL, Linn WS, Avol EL, Margolis HG, Gong H Jr, Peters JM. Quality of spirometry test performance in children and adolescents: experience in a large field study. Chest 2000;118:665–71.
- [10] Sarwahi V, Sugarman EP, Wollowick AL, Amaral TD, Harmon ED, Thornhill B, et al. Scoliosis surgery in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis does not alter lung volume: a 3-dimensional computed tomography-based study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2014;39:E399–405.
- [11] Lee DK, Chun EM, Suh SW, Yang JH, Shim SS. Evaluation of postoperative change in lung volume in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: measured by computed tomography. Indian J Orthop 2014;48:360–5.
- [12] Fu J, Liu C, Zhang YG, Zheng GQ, Zhang GY, Song K, et al. Three-dimensional computed tomography for assessing lung morphology in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis following posterior spinal fusion surgery. Orthop Surg 2015;7:43–9.
- [13] Vora V, Crawford A. The role of postoperative furosemide therapy in the treatment of pleural effusion following kyphosis/scoliosis surgery. J Child Orthop 2011;5:283–7.

- [14] Chang HW, Won YJ, Lim BG, Suh SW, Lee DK, Lee IO, et al. Perioperative transthoracic lung ultrasound for assessment of pulmonary outcome in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patients: prospective, observational pilot study. Sci Rep 2019;9:17840.
- [15] Hayashi K, Terai H, Toyoda H, Suzuki A, Hoshino M, Tamai K, et al. Incidence of pleural fluid and its associated risk factors after posterior spinal fusion in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2017;42:603–9.
- [16] Shi Z, Chen J, Wang C, Li M, Li Q, Zhang Ye, et al. Comparison of thoracoscopic anterior release combined with posterior spinal fusion versus posterior-only approach with an all-pedicle screw construct in the treatment of rigid thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Spinal Disord Tech 2015;28:E454–9.
- [17] Liang W, Yu B, Wang Y, Qiu G, Shen J, Zhang J, et al. Pleural effusion in spinal deformity correction surgery- a report of 28 cases in a single center. PLoS One 2016;11:e0154964.
- [18] Wang Y, Hai Y, Liu Y, Guan L, Liu T. Risk factors for postoperative pulmonary complications in the treatment of non-degenerative scoliosis by posterior instrumentation and fusion. Eur Spine J 2019;28:1356–62.

- [19] Watanabe K, Matsumoto M, Tsuji T, Ishii K, Takaishi H, Nakamura M, et al. Ball tip technique for thoracic pedicle screw placement in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Neurosurg Spine 2010;13:246–52.
- [20] Kubota M, Kobayashi H, Quanjer PH, Omori H, Tatsumi K, Kanazawa M, et al. Reference values for spirometry, including vital capacity, in Japanese adults calculated with the LMS method and compared with previous values. Respir Investig 2014;52:242–50.
- [21] Diagnostic Imaging Council CT Committee (2008) The measurement, reporting, and management of radiation dose in CT. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. https://www.aapm.org/pubs/reports/rpt\_96.pdf. Accessed October 21, 2023.
- [22] Oba H, Ikegami S, Kuraishi S, Uehara M, Takizawa T, Munakata R, et al. Perforation rate of pedicle screws using hybrid operating room combined with intraoperative computed tomography navigation for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2020;45:E1357–64.
- [23] Fujita N, Yagi M, Michikawa T, Yamada Y, Suzuki S, Tsuji O, et al. Impact of fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis on lung volume measured with computed tomography. Eur Spine J 2019;28:2034–41.