

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.e-jds.com





Journal of

Dental

Sciences

Angiogenesis during coronal pulp regeneration using rat dental pulp cells: Neovascularization in rat molars *in vivo* and proangiogenic dental pulp cell-endothelial cell interactions *in vitro*

Zar Chi Thein Zaw ^{a,b}, Nobuyuki Kawashima ^{a*}, Tomoatsu Kaneko ^{a†}, Takashi Okiji ^a

^a Department of Pulp Biology and Endodontics, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (TMDU), Tokyo, Japan

^b Department of Pediatric Dentistry, University of Dental Medicine, Yangon, Myanmar

Received 26 May 2021; Final revision received 10 June 2021 Available online 5 February 2022

KEYWORDS

Angiogenesis; Cell transplantation; Coculture; Dental pulp regeneration; Vascular endothelial growth factor **Abstract** Background/purpose: Angiogenesis is considered a crucial event for dental pulp regeneration. The purpose of this study was to demonstrate neovascularization during coronal pulp regeneration in rat molars using rat dental pulp cells (rDPCs) and to examine whether rDPC-endothelial cell interactions promote proangiogenic capacity *in vitro*.

Materials and methods: Maxillary first molars of Wistar rats (n = 42) were pulpotomized and rDPCs isolated from incisors were implanted with a porous poly (L-lactic acid) (PLLA) scaffold and hydrogel (Matrigel). After 3, 7, and 14 days, coronal pulp tissues were examined histologically and by nestin and CD146 immunohistochemistry. rDPCs and rat dermal microvascular endothelial cells (rDMECs) were cocultured for 4 days and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) synthesis and angiogenic factor gene expression were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays and real-time polymerase chain reaction, respectively. Effects of cocultured medium on tube formation by rDMECs were also evaluated.

Results: Implantation of rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel induced coronal pulp regeneration with dentin bridge formation and arrangement of nestin-positive odontoblast-like cells at 14 days. PLLA/ Matrigel without rDPCs did not induce pulp regeneration. CD146-positive blood vessels increased in density in the remaining pulp tissues at 3 and 7 days, and in the regenerated pulp tissue at 14 days. rDPC/DMEC coculture significantly promoted VEGF secretion and mRNA

* Corresponding author. Department of Pulp Biology and Endodontics, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (TMDU), 1-5-45 Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8549, Japan. Fax: +81 3 5803 5494.

E-mail address: kawashima.n.endo@tmd.ac.jp (N. Kawashima).

† Deceased

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2022.01.006

1991-7902/© 2022 Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

expression of nuclear factor-kappa B, angiogenic chemokine *CXCL1*, and chemokine receptor *CXCR1*. Cocultured medium significantly promoted tube formation.

Conclusion: Coronal pulp regeneration with rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel was accompanied by neovascularization. rDPC-rDMEC interactions may promote angiogenic activity represented by proangiogenic factor upregulation and tube formation *in vitro*.

© 2022 Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Dental pulp is a specialized connective tissue that consists of a combination of cells, which include odontoblasts, fibroblasts, and immunocompetent cells, and rich blood and nerve supplies.¹ This tissue has several protective functions against exogenous stimuli such as reparative dentinogenesis² and activation of immunocompetent cells.³ Pulpless teeth lack such protective functions and conservation of pulp tissue is critical for tooth longevity.⁴ Furthermore, the risk of tooth fracture increases in non-vital teeth.⁵ Accordingly, pulp/dentin regeneration has been attempted with promising results.⁶ In addition to so-called pulp revascularization induced by blood-born stem cells of the apical papilla,⁷ pulp regeneration after intracanal transplantation of dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) has been reported by several animal studies.^{8,9} A pilot clinical study of human DPSC transplantation into pulpotomized root canals has reported a relatively high success rate.¹⁰

Angiogenesis establishes a vascular network that carries oxygen, nutrients, and paracrine signals required for efficient tissue growth¹¹ and is considered a critical event for regeneration of the dentin-pulp complex.¹² For whole pulp tissue regeneration, the blood supply is provided only from the apical foramen, which can hinder successful outcomes. Thus, as an alternative procedure, coronal pulp regeneration has been investigated in pulpotomized teeth where abundant host blood supply from the remaining pulp tissue is expected.^{13–17} Indeed, successful regeneration of coronal pulp tissue has been reported after implantation of bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs) or DPSCs with appropriate scaffolds into pulpotomized rat molars.14-17 Moreover, coimplantation of rat BMSCs and endothelial cells promotes coronal pulp regeneration concomitant with increased angiogenic factor expression.¹⁴

Angiogenesis is a complex and dynamic process of sprouting new capillaries from existing blood vessels, which involves coordinated endothelial cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and tube formation.¹⁸ During tumor angiogenesis, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a potent endothelial cell mitogen, activates prosurvival B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) protein via the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway.^{19,20} Bcl-2 promotes expression of endothelial cell-derived angiogenic CXC chemokines, such as CXCL1 and CXCL8, through nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B).²⁰ DPSCs cocultured with endothelial cells upregulate expression of proangiogenic factors, such as VEGF, Bcl-2, and CXCL8, and blockade of NF- κ B activity inhibits the coculture-induced proangiogenic factor upregulation.²¹ The purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that proangiogenic functions of dental pulp cells (DPCs) play a major role in coronal pulp regeneration. The specific aims were (1) to demonstrate neovascularization during coronal pulp regeneration using isolated rat dental pulp cells (rDPCs) in rat molars and (2) to examine whether rDPCendothelial cell interactions promote the proangiogenic capacity *in vitro*.

Materials and methods

All animal experiments were conducted under the approval of the institutional laboratory animal care and use committee of Tokyo Medical and Dental University (approval no. A2019-193A). The experimental procedures mentioned below are summarized in Fig. 1.

Cell culture

Minced pulp tissues from upper incisors of 4-week-old female Wistar rats (n = 12) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F12 medium (Gibco/ Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (Gibco/Thermo) and penicillin and streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 and 100% relative humidity. Outgrown cells were passaged at 70% confluence and cells at passage 5 were used for experiments. Rat dermal microvascular endothelial cells (rDMECs, RA-6064; Cell Biologics, Chicago, IL, USA) were cultured in endothelial basal medium-2 (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with SingleQuots (Lonza) under the same incubation conditions described above.

Implantation of rDPCs with a scaffold

rDPCs (2 \times 10⁵ cells) in 400 μ l DMEM/F12 medium were mixed with 400 μ l Matrigel hydrogel (Corning, Bedford, MA, USA) on ice and 1 μ l of the rDPC/Matrigel/DMEM/F12 suspension was allowed to absorb into a porous poly (L-lactic acid) (PLLA) scaffold (approximately 0.5 mm³; 180 μ m average pore diameter)²² in a CO₂ incubator for 30 min.

Four-week-old female Wistar rats (n = 42) kept in a specific pathogen-free animal facility were injected intraperitoneally with a mixture of cyclosporine (15 mg/kg; Novartis Pharma, Basel, Switzerland), methylprednisolone (10 mg/kg; Sawai Pharmaceutical, Osaka, Japan), ciprofloxacin (15 mg/kg; Meiji Seika Pharma, Tokyo, Japan), fluconazole (10 mg/kg; Pfizer, New York, NY, USA),



Figure 1 Flowchart of experimental procedures. rDPCs, rat dental pulp cells. rDMECs, rat dermal microvascular endothelial cells. PLLA, poly (L-lactic acid). *rDPCs were isolated from pulp tissues of upper incisors of 4-week-old female Wistar rats (n = 12).

sulbactam/ampicillin (600 mg/kg; Pfizer), and vancomycin (10 mg/kg; Kobayashi Kako, Tokyo, Japan).¹⁴⁻¹⁷ The rats were randomly divided into rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel and PLLA/Matrigel groups (n = 21, each). Right or left maxillary first molars were randomly assigned as experimental teeth and contralateral untreated molars served as normal controls. Under anesthesia induced by 8% chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg, intraperitoneal; Hisamitsu Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan), experimental teeth were subjected to local anesthesia (2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine; Astra Pharmaceutical, Worcester, MA, USA: 0.3 ml), followed by disinfection with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. Cavity preparation and partial pulpotomy were performed with #1/2 (ISO 006) round burs (Dentsply Sirona, Ballaigues, Switzerland), followed by irrigation with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite, 15% EDTA, and phosphate buffered saline. PLLA/Matrigel with or without rDPCs was implanted into the pulpotomized area and the cavity was sealed with mineral trioxide aggregate (white ProRoot MTA; Dentsply Sirona), followed by an adhesively bonded resin composite.

Immunohistochemistry

The animals were sacrificed at 3, 7, and 14 days (n = 14 each) by transcardiac perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.01% glutaraldehyde. Maxillary first molars were retrieved

and immediately immersed in 3% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C for 12–16 h. After demineralization with 10% EDTA, samples were cut into 8- μ m-thick serial frozen sections and subjected to hematoxylin–eosin staining.

Four teeth from each group were subjected to immunoperoxidase staining. After incubation with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol and then normal horse serum for 30 min each, sections were incubated with a mouse antinestin monoclonal antibody (1:1000, MAB353; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA) or mouse anti-CD146 monoclonal antibody (1:400, MAB3250; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) at 4 °C overnight, followed by sequential incubation with biotinylated horse anti-mouse IgG (1:100; rat adsorbed; Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA; 1 h) and avidin-biotinperoxidase complex (Vector; 30 min) at room temperature and development with 3,3-diaminobenzidine HCl peroxidase substrate (Vector).

For quantitative analysis, three typical sections that contained the center or near center of the pulp tissue were chosen for each specimen and images of the remaining pulp tissue adjacent to the implanted area and regenerated pulp tissue (only rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel group at 14 days) were obtained under a light microscope with a 40 \times objective lens (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Pixels of the positively stained area and the whole area in each image were measured by ImageJ software (Version 1.37v; NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) and their ratio was expressed as a percentage.



Figure 2 (A–C) Coronal pulp regeneration in rat molars after implantation of rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel or PLLA/Matrigel. Hematoxylin and eosin staining. (A) Three days after rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel implantation showing the PLLA scaffold (arrows) remaining in the implanted area. (B) Fourteen days after rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel implantation showing regenerated pulp-like tissue accompanied by a complete dentin bridge (*). (C) Fourteen days after PLLA/Matrigel implantation. No tissue regeneration was observed and a dentin bridge (*) had formed along the border of the remaining pulp tissue. Scale bars: 40 μ m. (D–H) Nestin immunoreactivity in rDPC/ PLLA/Matrigel-implanted and normal rat molar pulp tissue. Immunoperoxidase staining. (D–F) Fourteen days after rDPC/PLLA/ Matrigel implantation showing nestin-immunoreactive odontoblast-like cells in the dentin bridge area (D, E) and remaining host pulp tissue (F). (G, H) Nestin-immunoreactive odontoblast-like cells in the periphery of normal pulp. Scale bars: 200 μ m (D, G) and 40 μ m (E, F, H). (I) Quantitative analysis of the nestin-stained area. The percentage of nestin-stained area in the dentin bridge area at 14 days was not significantly different from that in the host pulp tissue (P > 0.05; paired *t*-test) and corresponding area of the normal pulp (P > 0.05; Student's *t*-test; n = 4).

Coculture of rDPCs and rDMECs

rDPCs (1 \times 10⁵ cells/well) and rDMECs (1 \times 10⁵ cells/well) were seeded in six-well plates and hanging cell culture inserts (0.4 μm pore size, Millicell; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), respectively, and cultured in DMEM/F12 medium with 15% fetal bovine serum for 4 days. For monocultures, cells (1 \times 10⁵/well) were seeded in six-well plates. VEGF in the medium was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R&D Systems), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was carried out to measure mRNA expression of angiogenesis-related molecules, namely NF- κB , CXCL1(angiogenic chemokine), and chemokine receptors CXCR1and CXCR2. Total RNA was extracted with Nucleospin RNA Plus (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and first-strand cDNA was synthesized from the extracted RNA (10 ng) with TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The probe and primer sets for TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) were Nfkb; Rn01399572_m1, Cxcl1: Rn01413936_g1, Cxcr1; Rn00570857_s1, Cxcr2; Rn02130551_s1, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh); Rn01775763_g1. Real-time PCR was conducted with the StepOne Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Target gene expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene Gapdh. Relative gene expression values were calculated by $\Delta\Delta$ CT-based fold-change calculations.

Tube formation assay

rDMECs (5 \times 10 3 cells/well) seeded on six-well Matrigel-coated plates (BioCoat Matrigel multiwell plate; Applied

Biosystems) were cultured in conditioned media from rDMEC monocultures or rDPC/rDMEC cocultures for 4 days. Images were then obtained from 10 randomly selected areas in each well under a light microscope with a $10 \times$ objective lens (Nikon) and the total tube length, total branching points, and total number of tubes were quantified by ImageJ software (version 1.37v; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Statistical analysis

Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Mann-Whitney *U*-test with Bonferroni correction or one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey-Kramer test were used for multiple group comparisons. The paired *t*-test and Student's *t*-test were used for comparisons of dependent and independent values, respectively. All calculations were performed using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Coronal pulp regeneration after implantation of rDPCs

In the rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel group, the implanted area was filled with unresolved scaffolds at 3 days (Fig. 2A), whereas resorption of scaffolds had progressed over time and, at 14 days (Fig. 2B), the pulpotomized area was filled with a pulp-like tissue. The pulp exposure site had closed completely with a reparative dentin-like mineralized tissue (dentin bridge). No pulp-like tissue regeneration was observed in the implanted area of the PLLA/Matrigel group at 14 days (Fig. 2C).

Nestin-positive odontoblast-like cells were aligned beneath the dentin bridge and original dentin in the rDPC/ PLLA/Matrigel group at 14 days (Fig. 2D—F). Similar alignment of these cells was also seen in normal pulp tissue (Fig. 2G and H). The Nestin-stained area beneath the dentin bridge (dentin bridge area) was not significantly different



Figure 3 CD146-expressing blood vessels in rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel-implanted and normal rat molar pulp tissue. (A, B) Normal pulp. (C–F) Remaining host pulp at 3 days (C, D) and 7 days (E, F) after rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel implantation. (G–I) Fourteen days after rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel implantation showing the dentin bridge area (G, I) and remaining host pulp tissue (G, H). Immunoperoxidase staining. Scale bars, 200 μ m (A, C, E, G) and 40 μ m (B, D, F, H, I). (J) Quantitative analysis of the CD146-stained area. The percentage of the CD146-stained area at 3 and 7 days in the remaining host pulp tissue was significantly higher than that in normal tissue. **P* < 0.01 and ****P* < 0.001 (one-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey-Kramer test). At 14 days, the percentage of the CD146-stained area in the dentin bridge area was significantly higher than that in the remaining host pulp tissue. **P* < 0.05 (paired *t*-test; n = 4).

from that beneath the original dentin (remaining host pulp tissue) and the corresponding area of normal teeth (P > 0.05; Fig. 2I).

CD146-positive blood vessels were abundantly observed in normal pulp tissues, particularly under the odontoblastic layer (Fig. 3A and B). In the rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel group, the CD146-stained area was significantly increased in the remaining host pulp tissues at 3 and 7 days (P < 0.01 and P < 0.001; Fig. 3C-F, J). Pulp-like tissue in the dentin bridge area at 14 days had a significantly larger CD146stained area than the remaining host pulp tissue at 14 days (P < 0.05; Fig. 3G-J).

rDPC/rDMEC coculture promotes angiogenic factor expression and tube formation

Under monoculture conditions, rDMECs synthesized a larger amount of VEGF than rDPCs (P < 0.05; Fig. 4A). rDPC/rDMEC coculture resulted in a significantly higher level of VEGF compared with monocultured rDPCs and rDMECs (P < 0.01, Fig. 4A).

mRNA expression of *NF*- κ *B*, *CXCL1* and *CXCR1* in monocultured rDMECs was significantly higher than that in monocultured rDPCs (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 4B–D). rDPC/rDMEC coculture induced significantly higher *NF*- κ *B*, *CXCL1*, and *CXCR1* mRNA expression in both cell types compared with the corresponding monocultures (P < 0.05, Fig. 4B–D). *CXCR2* mRNA levels were not significantly different between cocultured and monocultured rDPCs (P > 0.05, Fig. 4E).

As shown in Fig. 5, rDPC/rDMEC cocultured medium induced more capillary-like tubes and yielded significantly higher values of the total tube length, total branching points, and total number of tubes (P < 0.001) than the monocultured medium of rDMECs.

Discussion

In this study, implantation of rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel into pulpotomized rat molars induced regeneration of pulp-like tissue accompanied by a dentin bridge and nestinexpressing odontoblast-like cells at 14 days (Fig. 2B, D, E). This is in line with studies that show successful coronal pulp regeneration after implantation of rat BMSCs (rBMSCs) with PLLA/Matrigel in rat molars.^{14–17,23} This also indicates regeneration of functional odontoblast-like cells because nestin is a marker of odontoblasts that are mature enough to secrete dentin matrix.²⁴ Transplantation of rDPCs alone was sufficient to promote complete dentine bridge formation, which was in contrast to a previous study in which rBMSC/rDMEC co-transplantation accelerated regeneration and was thus necessary to form a complete dentin bridge.¹⁴



Figure 4 (A) VEGF synthesis from rDPCs and rDMECs mono- or co-cultured for 4 days measured by an ELISA. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 (one-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey–Kramer test; n = 5). (B–E) Gene expression of angiogenic factors in mono-/co-cultured rDPCs and rDMECs. RT-PCR was used to determine the mRNA expression levels of NF- κB (B), *CXCL1* (C), *CXCR1* (D), and *CXCR2* (E) in mono- or co-cultured rDPCs and rDMECs. *P < 0.05 (Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance followed by the Bonferroni correction; n = 5).





Figure 5 (A, B) Tube formation of rDMECs cultured in the medium of monocultured rDMECs (A) or cocultured rDPC/rDMEC (B) on Matrigel-coated dishes for 4 days. Scale bars, 50 μ m. (C–E) Quantitative analysis of the total tube length (C), total branching points (D), and total number of tubes (E) in 10 randomly selected areas. ****P* < 0.001 (Student's *t*-test, n = 3).

Collectively, the present results support the notion that rat incisor pulp tissue is a useful source of mesenchymal stem cells²⁵ and that the rDPCs used in this study possessed more potent functions to induce dentin bridge formation than rBMSCs.

Angiogenesis is essential for tissue regeneration because rapid establishment of oxygen diffusion and nutrient supply is necessary for survival of transplanted cells and further tissue regeneration.²⁶ Although CD146 is regarded as a mesenchymal stem cell marker,²⁷ it was originally identified as an endothelial biomarker for angiogenesis,²⁸ which is constitutively expressed on endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and pericytes.²⁹ CD146 plays an important role in vascular development and remodeling into functional tubes.³⁰ The present study revealed an increase of the CD146-stained area in remaining pulp tissues and regenerated tissue (Fig. 3), which indicated that rDPC/PLLA/ Matrigel implantation promoted neovascularization in both of these tissues. The lack of pulp tissue regeneration in the PLLA/Matrigel group suggested that the transplanted rDPCs played a decisive role in stimulating angiogenesis and subsequent tissue formation.

DPSCs secrete paracrine factors, such as VEGF, angiopoietin-1, and platelet-derived growth factor, which alter the host environment to promote vascularization via local endothelial cells.³¹ VEGF regulates both vasculogenesis and angiogenesis prominently³² and stimulation by VEGF results in various distinct responses during neovascularization.³³

In this study, rDPC/rDMEC coculture produced a significantly higher amount of VEGF than monocultures (Fig. 4A). This is consistent with previous studies showing that coculture of endothelial cells and stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHEDs) enhances VEGF synthesis compared with monocultures^{21,34} and further indicates that rDPCs possess equivalent functions to SHEDs for stimulating endothelial cells to promote VEGF synthesis. Moreover, the rDPC/ rDMEC coculture medium significantly promoted tube formation compared with medium from monocultured rDMECs (Fig. 5), which may be attributable to the higher concentration of VEGF in the cocultured medium. It is tempting to speculate that such interactions between transplanted rDPCs and host vascular endothelial cells have significant implications in the process of coronal pulp regeneration, although further investigation is required.

Regarding proangiogenic chemokine expression in stem cells, SHED-DMEC crosstalk has been reported to promote CXCL8 mRNA expression in an NF- κ B-dependent manner.²¹ In human tumor angiogenesis, VEGF secreted from tumor cells upregulates Bcl-2 in tumor-associated endothelial cells via VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and Bcl-2 in turn activates NF- κ B through mechanisms dependent on I κ B kinase β activity, which subsequently promotes expression of CXCL8 and CXCL1 in endothelial cells.²⁰ CXCL1 binds specifically to CXCR2, which in turn leads to VEGF upregulation by STAT3 phosphorylation.³⁵ Binding of CXCL8 to CXCR2 increases VEGF mRNA and protein levels in endothelial cells by

autocrine activation of VEGFR2³³ and binding of CXCL8 to CXCR1 modulates endothelial cell anti-apoptotic pathways and regulates angiogenesis.³⁶

In this study, coculture promoted $NF-\kappa B$, CXCL1, and CXCR1 mRNA expression in rDPCs and rDMECs compared with the corresponding monocultured cells (Fig. 4B–E). This is not contradictory to the aforementioned previous findings, although it is important to remember that rats lack a CXCL8 peptide homologue.³⁷ Moreover, CXCR2 mRNA expression was not significantly different between monocultured and cocultured rDPCs (Fig. 4E). This suggests that CXCR1 played a more essential role than CXCR2 in the higher VEGF secretion of cocultured rDPCs (Fig. 4A).

In conclusion, the present study showed that coronal pulp regeneration with rDPC/PLLA/Matrigel was accompanied by neovascularization and that rDPC-rDMEC interactions may promote angiogenic activity represented by proangiogenic factor upregulation and tube formation *in vitro*. These findings suggest that interactions between transplanted rDPCs and host capillary endothelial cells play some essential roles in the process of successful coronal pulp regeneration.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Grants-in-Aid nos. 21K09870 to N.K., 17H04380 to T.O., and 18K09594 to T.K.). We thank Mitchell Arico from Edanz (https://jp.edanz.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

References

- 1. Okiji T. Pulp as a connective tissue. In: Hargreaves KM, Goodis HE, eds. *Seltzer and Bender's dental pulp*. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co Inc., 2002:95–122.
- Kawashima N, Okiji T. Odontoblasts: specialized hard-tissueforming cells in the dentin-pulp complex. *Congenital Anom* 2016;56:144–53.
- Sakurai K, Okiji T, Suda H. Co-increase of nerve fibers and HLA-DR and/or factor-XIIIa-expressing dendritic cells in dentinal caries-affected regions of the human dental pulp: an immunohistochemical study. J Dent Res 1999;78:1596–608.
- 4. Caplan DJ, Cai J, Yin G, White BA. Root canal filled versus nonroot canal filled teeth: a retrospective comparison of survival times. J Publ Health Dent 2005;65:90–6.
- Tamse A. Vertical root fractures in endodontically treated teeth: diagnostic signs and clinical management. *Endod Top* 2006;13:84–94.
- Jung C, Kim S, Sun T, Cho YB, Song M. Pulp-dentin regeneration: current approaches and challenges. J Tissue Eng 2019;10: 1–13.
- Lovelace TW, Henry MA, Hargreaves KM, Diogenes A. Evaluation of the delivery of mesenchymal stem cells into the root canal space of necrotic immature teeth after clinical regenerative endodontic procedure. *J Endod* 2011;37:133–8.
- Huang GTJ, Yamaza T, Shea LD, et al. Stem/progenitor cellmediated *de novo* regeneration of dental pulp with newly

deposited continuous layer of dentin in an *in vivo* model. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010;16:605–15.

- **9.** Iohara K, Imabayashi K, Ishizaka R, et al. Complete pulp regeneration after pulpectomy by transplantation of CD105+ stem cells with stromal cell-derived factor-1. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2011;17:1911–20.
- **10.** Nakashima M, Iohara K, Murakami M, et al. Pulp regeneration by transplantation of dental pulp stem cells in pulpitis: a pilot clinical study. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2017;8:1–13.
- Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, Sorenson CM, Sheibani N. Role of angiogenesis in endodontics: contributions of stem cells and proangiogenic and antiangiogenic factors to dental pulp regeneration. J Endod 2015;41:797–803.
- 12. Laschke MW, Harder Y, Amon M, et al. Angiogenesis in tissue engineering: breathing life into constructed tissue substitutes. *Tissue Eng* 2006;12:2093–104.
- **13.** Jia W, Zhao Y, Yang J, et al. Simvastatin promotes dental pulp stem cell-induced coronal pulp regeneration in pulpotomized teeth. *J Endod* 2016;42:1049–54.
- Sueyama Y, Kaneko T, Ito T, Kaneko R, Okiji T. Implantation of endothelial cells with mesenchymal stem cells accelerates dental pulp tissue regeneration/healing in pulpotomized rat molars. J Endod 2017;43:943–8.
- Ito T, Kaneko T, Sueyama Y, Kaneko R, Okiji T. Dental pulp tissue engineering of pulpotomized rat molars with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Odontology* 2017;105:392–7.
- 16. Gu B, Kaneko T, Zaw SYM, et al. Macrophage populations show an M1-to-M2 transition in an experimental model of coronal pulp tissue engineering with mesenchymal stem cells. Int Endod J 2019;52:504–14.
- 17. Sone PP, Kaneko T, Zaw SYM, et al. Neural regeneration/remodeling in engineered coronal pulp tissue in the rat molar. J Endod 2020;46:943–9.
- Carmeliet P. Angiogenesis in health and disease. Nat Med 2003; 9:653–60.
- **19.** Kaneko T, Zhang Z, Mantellini MG, et al. Bcl-2 orchestrates a cross-talk between endothelial and tumor cells that promotes tumor growth. *Cancer Res* 2007;67:9685–93.
- Karl E, Warner K, Zeitlin B, et al. Bcl-2 acts in a proangiogenic signaling pathway through nuclear factor-κb and CXC chemokines. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:5063–9.
- 21. Zaw SYM, Kaneko T, Zaw ZCT, et al. Crosstalk between dental pulp stem cells and endothelial cells augments angiogenic factor expression. *Oral Dis* 2020;26:1275–83.
- 22. Mooney DJ, Sano K, Matthias Kaufmann P, et al. Long-term engraftment of hepatocytes transplanted on biodegradable polymer sponges. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1997;37:413–20.
- 23. Kaneko T, Sone PP, Zaw SYM, et al. In vivo fate of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells implanted into rat pulpotomized molars. *Stem Cell Res* 2019;38:101457.
- 24. Kuratate M, Yoshiba K, Shigetani Y, Yoshiba N, Ohshima H, Okiji T. Immunohistochemical analysis of nestin, osteopontin, and proliferating cells in the reparative process of exposed dental pulp capped with mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod 2008;34:970-4.
- 25. Kaneko T, Arayatrakoollikit U, Yamanaka Y, Ito T, Okiji T. Immunohistochemical and gene expression analysis of stemcell-associated markers in rat dental pulp. *Cell Tissue Res* 2013;351:425–32.
- Mastrullo V, Cathery W, Velliou E, Madeddu P, Campagnolo P. Angiogenesis in tissue engineering: as nature intended? Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2020;8:1–13.
- 27. Kawashima N. Characterisation of dental pulp stem cells: a new horizon for tissue regeneration? *Arch Oral Biol* 2012;57: 1439–58.
- Bardin N, Francès V, Lesaule G, Horschowski N, George F, Sampol J. Identification of the S-Endo 1 endothelial-associated antigen. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1996;218:210–6.

- 29. Leroyer AS, Blin MG, Bachelier R, Bardin N, Blot-Chabaud M, Dignat-George F. CD146 (Cluster of differentiation 146). Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2019;39:1026–33.
- **30.** Chan B, Sinha S, Cho D, Ramchandran R, Sukhatme VP. Critical roles of CD146 in zebrafish vascular development. *Dev Dynam* 2005;232:232–44.
- **31.** Qu C, Brohlin M, Kingham PJ, Kelk P. Evaluation of growth, stemness, and angiogenic properties of dental pulp stem cells cultured in cGMP xeno-/serum-free medium. *Cell Tissue Res* 2020;380:93–105.
- **32.** Shibuya M. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptor (VEGFR) signaling in angiogenesis: a crucial target for anti- and pro-angiogenic therapies. *Genes Cancer* 2011;2: 1097–105.
- Martin D, Galisteo R, Gutkind JS. CXCL8/IL8 stimulates vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression and the autocrine

activation of VEGFR2 in endothelial cells by activating NF- κ B through the CBM (Carma3/Bcl10/Malt1) complex. *J Biol Chem* 2009;284:6038-42.

- **34.** Dissanayaka WL, Zhu L, Hargreaves KM, Jin L, Zhang C. Scaffold-free prevascularized microtissue spheroids for pulp regeneration. *J Dent Res* 2014;93:1296–303.
- **35.** Wei ZW, Xia GK, Wu Y, et al. CXCL1 promotes tumor growth through VEGF pathway activation and is associated with inferior survival in gastric cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2015;359:335–43.
- **36.** Li A, Dubey S, Varney ML, Dave BJ, Singh RK. IL-8 directly enhanced endothelial cell survival, proliferation, and matrix metalloproteinases production and regulated angiogenesis. *J Immunol* 2003;170:3369–76.
- Dunstan CA, Salafranca MN, Adhikari S, Xia Y, Feng L, Harrison JK. Identification of two rat genes orthologous to the human interleukin-8 receptors. *J Biol Chem* 1996;271:32770–6.