



# Article Newly Designed Mesoporous Silica and Organosilica Nanostructures Based on Pentablock Copolymer Templates in Weakly Acidic Media

Nabanita Pal<sup>1</sup>, Young Sunwoo<sup>2</sup>, Jae-Seo Park<sup>2</sup>, Taeyeon Kim<sup>2</sup> and Eun-Bum Cho<sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Chemistry, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad 500075, India; nabanitapal\_chem@mgit.ac.in
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Fine Chemistry, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, Seoul 01811, Korea; youngsw02@gmail.com (Y.S.); dedswe2@gmail.com (J.-S.P.); ktyeon91@gmail.com (T.K.)
- \* Correspondence: echo@seoultech.ac.kr

Abstract: We developed a new category of porous silica and organosilicas nanostructures in a facile method based on weakly acidic aqueous-ethanol media by utilizing two different pentablock copolymer templates of type PLGA-PEO-PPO-PEO-PLGA. Pluronic block templates were used mainly to prepare these pentablock copolymers with different molecular weights and volume ratios. Silica precursor tetraethyl orthosilicate and organosilicas precursor 1,4-bis(triethoxysilyl)benzene have been used as main source for synthesizing the silica and organosilicas samples. Weak Lewis acids iron(III) chloride hexahydrate, aluminum(III) chloride hexahydrate, and boric acid were utilized as catalyst instead of any strong inorganic acids and the molar ratio of catalyst/precursor has been optimized to 1-2 for preparation of ordered mesostructures. Reaction temperatures have been optimized to 25 °C for pure silica and both 25 °C as well as 40 °C for organosilicas to get the best result for mesostructures. A detailed analysis by using various analytical techniques like synchrotron small angle X-ray scattering, nitrogen sorption, transmission electron microscopy, scanning electron microscope, solid-state <sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and so on has revealed well developed mesostructures with surface area of  $388-836 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  for silica and  $210-691 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  for organosilica samples, respectively. Furthermore, bimodal typepores have been observed from pore size distribution plot of the samples. Thermal stability of the materials was up to 400  $^\circ$ C as analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis.

**Keywords:** mesostructures; pentablock copolymer template; bimodal porosity; boric acid; thermal stability

## 1. Introduction

Development of a facile method for the synthesis of well-ordered silica and organosilicabased porous materials by utilizing nonionic block copolymer templates in weakly acidic media has become a challenging mission for the scientists all over the world [1–5]. Nonionic triblock copolymers have already gained enormous attention due to the advantage of generating more stable framework and promoting larger size pores in the silica structure than the conventional surfactants [6,7]. In this connection, pentablock copolymer templates are quite popular nowadays as a non-toxic soft template to generate exceptionally large mesopores of 7–13 nm diameter with different pore morphologies, and this has become a highly demanding research topic for the present generation [8,9]. Moreover, preparation of mesoporous solids with multimodal type adjustable pore structure have achieved much popularity because of the enhanced performances of those in highly promising fields like biomedical research, adsorption, separation study, catalytic applications, and so on [10–12]. Recently, our group has demonstrated a bimodal mesoporous silica nanostructured material using CBABC-type pentablock copolymer surfactant [9]. CBABC-type pentablock



Citation: Pal, N.; Sunwoo, Y.; Park, J.-S.; Kim, T.; Cho, E.-B. Newly Designed Mesoporous Silica and Organosilica Nanostructures Based on Pentablock Copolymer Templates in Weakly Acidic Media. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 2522. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nano11102522

Academic Editor: Jose Luis Luque Garcia

Received: 7 August 2021 Accepted: 22 September 2021 Published: 27 September 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). copolymer refers to a block copolymer consisting of three block chains with a hydrophobic A (PPO) block, a hydrophilic B (PEO) block, and a more hydrophobic C (PLGA) block.

Sometimes, due to the use of strong inorganic acids like HCl, high acidity of the aqueous medium inhibits the proper organization of the self-assembled silica precursors and templates during the sol–gel process, resulting poor mesoporosity in the synthesized material [13]. Therefore, in this study, our aim is to utilize mild acidic catalysts which can be effective in synthesizing ordered mesostructures as well as can minimize the kinetic disorder in the cooperative organization process among self-assembled species caused by strong inorganic acids during the sol–gel reaction [13,14].

Previously, few researchers have proposed various methodologies to prepare large pore silica and organosilicas materials having pore diameter of 7–13 nm by using weak Lewis acids in the solvent media [15,16]. Cho and his co-workers have prepared benzene-silica periodic mesoporous organosilica (PMO) under mild acidic conditions using different metal salts like iron chloride, cobalt and nickel chloride, etc. as catalysts [10]. Aluminum chloride and boric acid also have been successfully utilized for synthesizing benzene and thiophene based periodic mesoporous organosilicas [15,16]. However, a suitable facile route for the preparation of large pore organosilica nanoparticles by using pentablock copolymer surfactants and mild acid catalysts is very few in literature.

Herein, we demonstrate a facile synthesis of mesostructured silica and benzene-silica by employing tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) as a silica precursor and 1,4-bis(triethoxysilyl)benzene (BTEB) as an organosilicas precursor, respectively, using two kinds of long PLGA-PEO-PPO-PEO-PLGA pentablock copolymer, poly(lactic acid-co-glycolic acid)-bpoly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(propylene oxide)-b-poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(lactic acid-coglycolic acid) surfactants in ethanol-water media in presence of mild acid catalysts, namely, iron(III) chloride, aluminum(III) chloride, and boric acid. The resulting materials exhibit high surface area having large mesopores along with bimodal pores. Furthermore, we have explored the effect of changing the synthesis temperature, copolymer templates as well as concentration of precursors on the nature of generated porosity, ordering and thermal stability of the synthesized silica and organosilica frameworks. It has been observed that silica and organosilica materials prepared using boric acid as catalyst are more stable and have more ordered mesostructures compared to those obtained using other catalysts. The novelty of this work is to present a facile method to synthesize partially-ordered mesostructured silica and organosilica having bimodal porosity that can be tailored using water-soluble pentablock copolymer templates, especially by adjusting mild acidic conditions and also by changing the synthesis temperature. In addition, this work suggests structural information about micellar behavior of pentablock copolymer templates with hydrophobic block at both end groups in weak acidic aqueous solutions.

#### 2. Experimental Section

#### 2.1. Materials

Pentablock copolymer templates PLGF108-220 and PLGF108-225 were synthesized in our laboratory using Pluronic block copolymers following the previous procedure [9,17]. The molecular weights and compositions of these two kinds of pentablock copolymers are summarized in Table 1. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Si(OEt)<sub>4</sub>) and 1,4-bis(triethoxysilyl) benzene (BTEB, (EtO)<sub>3</sub>Si-Ph-Si(OEt)<sub>3</sub>) were used as silica and organosilica precursors which were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, MA, USA). Metal salts like iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Aldrich), aluminium(III) chloride hexahydrate (AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Aldrich), aluminium(III) chloride hexahydrate (AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, Aldrich) were used as acid catalyst. Distilled water prepared in our laboratory was used as a main solvent for sol–gel reactions. Ethanol (EtOH, ~98%, Aldrich) was also used as a co-solvent to control hydrolysis-condensation kinetics and solubility of pentablock copolymers.

						-				
Sample	<sup>1</sup> H NMR				GPC					
	No. of Repeating Unit PO EO LA GA Molecular Formula		Molecular Formula	M <sub>n</sub> (g/mol)	$w_{ m LG}$	M <sub>n</sub> (g/mol)	M <sub>w</sub> (g/mol)	PDI		
PLGF108- 220	54	282	44	14	(LA <sub>22</sub> GA <sub>7</sub> )EO <sub>141</sub> PO <sub>54</sub> EO <sub>141</sub> (LA <sub>22</sub> GA <sub>7</sub> )	19,462	0.201	11,100	15,000	1.35
PLGF108- 225	54	282	57	18	(LA <sub>28</sub> GA <sub>9</sub> )EO <sub>141</sub> PO <sub>54</sub> EO <sub>141</sub> (LA <sub>28</sub> GA <sub>9</sub> )	20,630	0.247	10,800	15,000	1.40

**Table 1.** Characterization of pentablock copolymers used as a template <sup>*a*</sup>.

<sup>*a*</sup> Repeating unit: PO = propylene oxide, EO = ethylene oxide, LA = lactic acid, and GA = glycolic acid; molar mass (g/mol) was calculated from molar mass of each unit: PO = 58, EO = 44, LA = 72, and GA = 58;  $w_{LG}$  = weight fraction of poly(lactic acid-*co*-glycolic acid) block in total chain;  $M_n$  = the number-average molecular weight and  $M_w$  = the weight-average molecular weight; PDI = polydispersity index (= $M_w/M_n$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR = analysis by proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra, GPC = analysis by gel permeation chromatography.

#### 2.2. Synthesis Procedure

In a typical synthesis of PMS samples, 1.5 g of pentablock copolymer template was dissolved in a mixture of 60 g of distilled water and 10 g of EtOH in a glass bottle followed by magnetic stirring at 25 °C for 2 h. Next, acid catalyst (FeCl<sub>3</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub> or H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) was added to the above solution with a fixed molar ratio of acid catalyst/TEOS = 2 and the mixture was stirred for another 1 h. After that, 0.8 g of TEOS (for PMSF-1 sample) was added to the mixture followed by continuous stirring for 20 h. The final mixture was kept in a 100 °C temperature convection oven for 24 h under a quiescent condition. Finally, the precipitate mixture was filtered with washing solvents like acetone and ethanol using an aspirator at room temperature. This as-synthesized product was calcined at 550 °C temperature in air for 5 h to remove organic template. Other silica samples listed in Table 2A were prepared following the similar procedure using different amount of the TEOS and acid catalysts were named as PMSF, PMSA, and PMSB based on the acid catalyst FeCl<sub>3</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> used, respectively. Organosilica samples (Table 2B) were also prepared in an analogous method using BTEB and named as PMOF, PMOA, and PMOB, respectively. Organosilica samples synthesized at elevated temperature (i.e., 40  $^{\circ}$ C) were named as PMOFH, PMOAH, and PMOBH, respectively. A schematic representation for the synthesis procedure is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1. A schematic representation of the synthesis of porous silica and organosilica.

A						
Sample	Template	TEOS (g)	Ethanol (g)	H <sub>2</sub> O (g)	Acid Concentration	
PMSF-1	PLGF108-220	0.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSF-2	PLGF108-225	0.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-1	PLGF108-220	1.2	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-2	PLGF108-220	0.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-3	PLGF108-220	0.4	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-4	PLGF108-225	1.2	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-5	PLGF108-225	0.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSA-6	PLGF108-225	0.4	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-1	PLGF108-220	1.2	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-2	PLGF108-220	0.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-3	PLGF108-220	0.4	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-4	PLGF108-225	1.2	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-5	PLGF108-225	0.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
PMSB-6	PLGF108-225	0.4	10	60	$H_3BO_3/TEOS = 2$	
В						
Sample	Template	BTEB (g)	Ethanol (g)	H <sub>2</sub> O (g)	Acid concentration	
PMOF-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOF-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOF-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOF-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOA-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOA-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOA-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOA-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOB-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 2$	
PMOB-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 1$	
PMOB-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 2$	
PMOB-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 1$	
С						
Sample	Template	BTEB (g)	Ethanol (g)	H <sub>2</sub> O (g)	Acid concentration	
PMOFH-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOFH-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOFH-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOFH-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOAH-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOAH-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOAH-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 2$	
PMOAH-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O/BTEB = 1$	
PMOBH-1	PLGF108-220	1.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 2$	
PMOBH-2	PLGF108-220	1.0	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 1$	
PMOBH-3	PLGF108-225	1.8	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 2$	
PMOBH-4	PLGF108-225	1.0	10	60	$H_3BO_3/BTEB = 1$	

Table 2. Nomenclature and experimental parameters of mesoporous silica/organosilicas samples<sup>*a*</sup>.

<sup>*a*</sup> Samples in Tables **A** and **B** are synthesized at room temperature (25  $^{\circ}$ C) and samples in Table **C** are synthesized at elevated temperature (40  $^{\circ}$ C); acid concentration = molar ratio of an acidic catalyst and a precursor.

## 2.3. Characterization

The small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns were obtained using synchrotron radiation source (E = 10.5199 keV,  $\lambda = 1.1785$  Å) of 3C and 4C beam lines in Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL). The distance between sample and detector was selected as 3 m to obtain the exact Bragg spacing value. Each powder sample was firmly anchored inside a cavity in a multi-sample holder using a Kapton tape. Beam exposure times were in the range 1 to 2 s for each sample.

Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained on an ASAP 2420 analyser (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA). The samples were degassed at 110 °C below 30  $\mu$ mHg for around 5 h before adsorption–desorption of nitrogen gas at –196 °C. The Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) specific surface area was evaluated by using adsorption data in the relative pressure (*P*/*P*<sub>0</sub>) range of 0.04 to 0.20. The total pore volume (*V*<sub>*t*</sub>) was obtained from the amount adsorbed at 0.99 *P*/*P*<sub>0</sub>. The pore size distribution (PSD) was calculated from adsorption isotherms by using the KJS (Kruk–Jaroniec–Sayari) method [18]. The mesopores volume and micropore volume were estimated between 2–50 nm and below 2 nm, respectively, by integration of the PSD curve.

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained using a JEM-2010 microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operated at 200 kV. The powder samples were placed on carbon-coated copper grid before TEM measurement. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were obtained using a VEGA3 instrument (TESCAN, Seoul, Korea) at 20.0 kV. The powder samples were placed on carbon film followed by Pt coating before SEM measurement.

Trace of metal ions present in the samples were determined by using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Jobin Yvon Ultima 2C) (HORIBA, Palaiseau, France) with the wavelength to 395.254 nm at the Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI) Seoul Centre. Final data were obtained by averaging data measured by three times.

Solid-state <sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS NMR spectra were obtained utilizing 400 MHz AVANCE II instrument (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) at the Seoul Western Center of Korea Basic Science Institute. Each spectrum was taken from the following experimental conditions: 6 kHz of spinning rate, 3 s of delay time, and 79.488 MHz of radio frequency. The chemical shifts were obtained with reference to the peak of tetramethylsilane (TMS).

Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis was performed using a high-resolution mode of the Q50 analyzer (TA Instrument, New Castle, DE, USA). TG and DTG profiles have been recorded up to 800 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in flowing 40 mL of air and 60 mL of nitrogen.

#### 3. Results

Using weak Lewis acid listed in Table 2, a series of mesoporous silica and organosilica samples have been prepared here in presence of two types of PLGA-PEO-PPO-PEO-PLGA pentablock copolymer templates named PLGF108-220 and PLGF108-225 as listed in Table 1. TEOS and BTEB have been chosen as silica and organosilica precursors, respectively, and no other strong acids or any additive salts are used here. Silica samples prepared using molar ratio of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O/TEOS = 2 did not show any porosity. Silica samples prepared using AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O/TEOS = 2 and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>/TEOS = 2 show ordered porosity, so some additional samples with varying quantities of TEOS have been prepared. In a similar way, organosilica with different molar ratios of acid catalyst/BTEB and variation of temperature, have been prepared. The details of the experimental conditions are summarized in Table 2.

#### 3.1. TEM Images

To verify the nanostructure and porosity of the synthesized silica and organosilicas materials, TEM images were recorded and those are presented in Figures 1–3. In case of silica samples prepared using AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> as catalysts (PMSA and PMSB) at room temperature, mostly wormhole-like mesopores of 5–8 nm dimension are observed being distributed throughout the specimen. Fairly ordered porosity with mesopore diameter has been observed in case of silica sample PMSB-3 synthesized using H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.4 g of TEOS, and PLGF108-220 template. On the other hand, TEM image of silica sample (PMSF) synthesized based on FeCl<sub>3</sub> catalyst shows nonporous structure (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** TEM images of mesoporous silica samples: PMSF-1 (a-1), PMSF-2 (a-2), PMSA-1 (b-1), PMSA-2 (b-2), PMSA-3 (b-3), PMSA-4 (b-4), PMSA-5 (b-5), PMSA-6 (b-6), PMSB-1 (c-1), PMSB-2 (c-2), PMSB-3 (c-3), PMSB-4 (c-4), PMSB-5 (c-5), PMSB-6 (c-6).



**Figure 2.** TEM images of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOF-1 (**a-1**), PMOF-2 (**a-2**), PMOF-3 (**a-3**), PMOF-4 (**a-4**), PMOA-1 (**b-1**), PMOA-2 (**b-2**), PMOA-3 (**b-3**), PMOA-4 (**b-4**), PMOB-1 (**c-1**), PMOB-2 (**c-2**), PMOB-3 (**c-3**), PMOB-4 (**c-4**).

(a-2)

(a-1)







TEM images of organosilica samples synthesized at room temperature (25 °C) using BTEB are shown in Figure 2. Samples prepared using FeCl<sub>3</sub> catalyst and both the pentablock copolymer templates PLGF108-220 and PLGF108-225 show wormhole like porosity with pore diameter 3–5 nm (Figure 2(a-1–4)). Other organosilica samples synthesized using AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> acid catalysts exhibit well-defined wormhole like porosity as well as highly ordered hexagonal (*p6mm*) mesostructure [19]. It is observed that, with 1.0 mL organosilica precursor, using both the templates and AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalysts more ordered mesoporous structure is obtained (Figure 2(b-1–4)), whereas, in case of H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, BTEB amount 1.8 mL has been optimized to give distinct mesoporosity (Figure 2(c-1–4)). Pore diameter for all these sample are in the range of 4–8 nm.

TEM images for the organosilica samples synthesized at elevated temperature (40  $^{\circ}$ C) have shown a significant change in the mesostructures (Figure 3a–c). All the samples prepared using AlCl<sub>3</sub> (PMOAH) show now well-defined wormhole like pore arrangements, while PMOBH organosilicas have more ordered nanostructures with uniform hexagonal mesoporosity. No significant change is observed in the images of PMOFH samples due to temperature effect.

#### 3.2. SAXS Patterns

Mesoporosity of the silica and organosilica nanostructures has been further confirmed by synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis as displayed in Figures 4–6. No peak observed in SAXS pattern gives evidence about the non-porosity of silica samples synthesized using FeCl<sub>3</sub> (Figure 4a). In PMSAs silica, one peak observed in SAXS indicating presence of mesoporosity in those samples. On the other hand, more than two peaks, but not exactly indexed as (100), (110), and (200) planes of ordered hexagonal (*p6mm*) mesostructure [20,21], are observed in case of PMSB samples (Figure 4c-A–C), although other PMSB samples (Figure 4c-D–F), do not show much significant ordered porosity. This observation suggests that samples prepared using PLGF108-220 shows better porosity compared to that using PLGF108-225 template. All the organosilica samples show good mesoporosity with multiple peaks, but small and broad peaks do not represent highly ordered nanostructure (Figure 5a–c). The porous nature of the samples is still maintained during high temperature synthesis (Figure 6a–c). Because ordered porosity of most of the organosilica samples is not clearly detected from the SAXS pattern, the aforementioned TEM images (Figures 1–3) seems to be a partially ordered mesostructure. Bragg's spacing (*d*) values for these samples are calculated based on  $2\pi/q^*$ , where  $q^*$  is the *q*-value at the maximum of SAXS peak in all the SAXS patterns and the values ranging from 12.60 to 23.20 nm are shown in Table 3. The values were calculated with the first peak for each sample, however the overall first peaks are very small, representing that the values are not the general largest pore-to-pore distance but the trace attributed from partial and small portion of lager pores. Furthermore, multiple SAXS peaks strongly suggest bimodal or overlapped multimodal nanoporous structure.



Figure 4. SAXS patterns of mesoporous silica samples: PMSF-1 (**a**-**A**), PMSF-2 (**a**-**B**), PMSA-1 (**b**-**A**), PMSA-2 (**b**-**B**), PMSA-3 (**b**-**C**), PMSA-4 (**b**-**D**), PMSA-5 (**b**-**E**), PMSA-6 (**b**-**F**), PMSB-1 (**c**-**A**), PMSB-2 (**c**-**B**), PMSB-3 (**c**-**C**), PMSB-4 (**c**-**D**), PMSB-5 (**c**-**E**), PMSB-6 (**c**-**F**).



**Figure 5.** SAXS patterns of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOF-1 (**a**-**A**), PMOF-2 (**a**-**B**), PMOF-3 (**a**-**C**), PMOF-4 (**a**-**D**), PMOA-1 (**b**-**A**), PMOA-2 (**b**-**B**), PMOA-3 (**b**-**C**), PMOA-4 (**b**-**D**), PMOB-1 (**c**-**A**), PMOB-2 (**c**-**B**), PMOB-3 (**c**-**C**), PMOB-4 (**c**-**D**).



Figure 6. SAXS patterns of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOFH-1 (a-A), PMOFH-2 (a-B), PMOFH-3 (a-C), PMOFH-4 (a-D), PMOAH-1 (b-A), PMOAH-2 (b-B), PMOAH-3 (b-C), PMOAH-4 (b-D), PMOBH-1 (c-A), PMOBH-2 (c-B), PMOBH-3 (c-C), PMOBH-4 (c-D).

Sample	S <sub>BET</sub> (m²/g)	<i>V</i> <sub>t</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>meso</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>micro</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	D <sub>KJS</sub> (nm)	<i>d</i> (nm)
PMSA-2	755	1.03	1.01	0.87	9.50	13.81
PMSA-4	731	0.76	0.75	0.69	3.64, 8.08	12.69
PMSB-2	737	0.69	0.61	0.51	5.69	16.11
PMSB-3	836	0.81	0.74	0.59	2.89, 6.58	17.70
PMSB-4	388	0.74	0.52	0.21	5.45	15.14
Sample	S <sub>BET</sub> (m²/g)	<i>V</i> <sub>t</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>meso</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>micro</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	D <sub>KJS</sub> (nm)	<i>d</i> (nm)
PMOA-1	457	0.32	0.22	0.09	5.27	19.04
PMOA-2	630	0.63	0.51	0.33	6.57	19.95
PMOA-3	691	0.49	0.31	0.19	5.72	19.63
PMOA-4	659	0.78	0.61	0.32	6.56	19.95
PMOB-1	320	0.27	0.26	0.19	5.74	17.95
PMOB-2	578	0.50	0.41	0.35	5.72	18.21
PMOB-3	437	0.33	0.25	0.27	5.74	17.70
PMOB-4	484	0.42	0.36	0.29	5.48	18.48
Sample	S <sub>BET</sub> (m²/g)	<i>V</i> <sub>t</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>meso</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	V <sub>micro</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	D <sub>KJS</sub> (nm)	<i>d</i> (nm)
PMOBH-1	339	0.27	0.20	0.20	4.87, 8.93	17.45
PMOBH-2	361	0.36	0.31	0.23	5.49	18.48
PMOBH-3	337	0.28	0.21	0.20	5.50, 11.24	21.30
PMOBH-4	210	0.39	0.26	0.03	6.00, 13.66	23.27

**Table 3.** Physicochemical properties of mesoporous silica/organosilica samples <sup>*a*</sup>.

<sup>*a*</sup>  $S_{\text{BET}}$  = BET specific surface area,  $V_{\text{t}}$  = total pore volume obtained at  $P/P_0$  = 0.99,  $V_{\text{meso}}$  = mesopore volume between 2 nm and 50 nm,  $V_{\text{micro}}$  = *t*-plot micropore volume,  $D_{\text{KJS}}$  = pore width calculated at the maximum of PSD using KJS method, *d* = Bragg's d-spacing (= $2\pi/q^*$ ,  $q^*$  is the *q*-value at the first SAXS peak).

## 3.3. FE-SEM Images

The morphology of the synthesized silica and organosilica nanoparticles has been obtained from the FE-SEM image recording data which are shown in Figures S1–S3 (Supplementary Materials).

#### 3.4. N<sub>2</sub> Adsorption-Desorption Analysis

Valuable information about BET surface area and pore width are achieved from  $N_2$  adsorption analysis measurements, along with the corresponding pore size distribute plot [18,21], which is given here in Figures 7a, 8a and 9a.



**Figure 7.** (**a**) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (**b**) pore size distribution of mesoporous silica samples: PMSA-2 (A), PMSA-4 (B), PMSB-2 (C), PMSB-3 (D), PMSB-4 (E).



**Figure 8.** (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOA-1 (A), PMOA-2 (B), PMOA-3 (C), PMOA-4 (D), PMOB-1 (E), PMOB-2 (F), PMOB-3 (G), PMOB-4 (H).



**Figure 9.** (**a**) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (**b**) pore size distribution of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOBH-1 (A), PMOBH-2 (B), PMOBH-3 (C), PMOBH-4 (D).

Respective pore size distribution (PSD) plots for the samples obtained applying improved KJS method [18] to the adsorption isotherms are shown in Figures 7b, 8b, and 9b.

## 3.5. Elemental Analysis

Elemental analysis has been carried out using ICP-AES to find out trace of elements like Fe, Al, or B present in the pure silica and organosilica samples. The data taken for some samples are shown in Table 4. Trace quantities of Al and B species (concentration 650–665 ppm and 20–168 ppm, respectively) have been found to be incorporated in organosilica samples while preparing using AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, it is evident that, here, Lewis acids AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> are truly acting as catalysts maintaining weakly acidic media to form the mesostructures.

Table 4. ICP-AES measurements for the selected	l sample	e.
--	----------	----

Sample	Al (ppm)	B (ppm)
PMOA-2	665.4	-
PMOA-4	650.3	-
PMOB-1	-	46.68
PMOB-3	-	20.45
PMOBH-1	-	142.25
PMOBH-3	-	168.12

## 3.6. <sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS NMR

<sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS NMR of PMOA and PMOB samples have been recorded and shown in Figure 10 in order to know the successful chemical linkage of covalently bonded mesoporous organosilica samples. Signals for PMOA-2, PMOA-4 observed around -62.0 (-61.9) ppm, -70.6 ppm and -77.9 (-79.4) ppm, whereas for samples PMOB-1, PMOB-3 chemical shift signals are visible near -61.5 (-62.2) ppm, -70.6 ppm, and -79.6 ppm, respectively. These signals clearly suggest chemical linkages of type  $T^1$  (C–Si-(OSi)(OH)<sub>2</sub>),  $T^2$  (C–Si-(OSi)<sub>2</sub>(OH)), and  $T^3$  (C–Si-(OSi)<sub>3</sub>), respectively [13], indicating proper cross-linked network structures in BTEB units located in the organosilica.



**Figure 10.** <sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS NMR of mesoporous organosilica samples: PMOA-2 (**A**), PMOA-4 (**B**), PMOB-1 (**C**), PMOB-3 (**D**).

## 3.7. TG-DTA Thermogram

In order to get an idea about the stability of benzene-loaded organosilica samples, TG and DTA measurements have been performed and the data are shown in Figure 11.



**Figure 11.** Thermal decomposition of mesoporous organosilica samples under flowing air gas from 100 °C to 800 °C: PMOA-2 (A), PMOB-1 (B), PMOAH-2 (C), PMOBH-1 (D).

## 4. Discussion

The results from TEM analysis (Figures 1–3) clearly indicate that, for both silica and organosilica samples, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> has been proved to be more appropriate acid catalyst to generate prominent mesostructures containing ordered structure. Moreover, for organosilica, elevated temperature (40 °C) synthesis is more suitable although AlCl<sub>3</sub> fits for room temperature synthesis. Furthermore, this type of temperature effect is not observed in case of organosilica prepared using iron catalyst. Compared to our previous reports related with triblock copolymer templates [13,15,16], it seems that the ordered mesostructure is related with kinetic order for all kinds of reactant species, especially for long-chain pentablock copolymer templates as used in this study. In addition, TEM images suggest that pentablock copolymer chains are not easy to bend to form single micelles with loop-typed corona under weak acidic conditions.

From FE-SEM images (Figures S1–S3), it is evident that silica nanoparticles prepared using boric acid catalyst (PMSB) show uniform spherical shaped particles of 100 nm to 1  $\mu$ m distributed throughout the specimen, while in some cases particles are found to be agglomerated, as visible in Figure S1a–c. On the contrary, organosilica samples are observed as spherical but non-uniform and larger particle size ranging from 1 to 10  $\mu$ m. In most of the samples the particles have been agglomerated as shown from Figure S2. Furthermore, synthesis at higher temperature demonstrates a decrease in spherical morphology and loss of uniformity which is observed in Figure S3a–d. This type of temperature effect on the morphology of synthesized nanoparticles has also been observed previously in other works [22,23].

N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption isotherms plotted for PMSA and PMSB silica samples as well as those for organosilica samples prepared with AlCl<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> are shown in Figures 7–9. All the samples exhibit type IV adsorption isotherm which is typical for mesoporous samples (according to IUPAC classification) [24]. A gradual increase of adsorption branches at  $P/P_0 = 0.0-0.4$ , then a steep rise at  $P/P_0 = 0.4-0.50$  with H1 type hysteresis loops in the desorption branches is observed in the silica and organosilica samples which obviously indicate the capillary condensation of nitrogen in cylindrical mesopores [22]. Estimated BET specific surface areas of the samples ( $S_{BET}$ ) from the isotherms are mentioned in Table 3. Silica samples PMSA and PMSB show high specific surface area of approximately 700–800 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, although a little decrease in surface area has been observed due to change of template from PLGF108-220 to PLGF108-225. In case of benzene functionalized organosilica PMOAs and PMOBs, BET surface area has been significantly reduced to the range of 320 to 690 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> as well as the micropore and mesopores volume also decrease notably. An almost similar value of surface area is observed for the samples PMOBHs which suggests retention of mesoporosity during the synthesis at 40 °C temperature (Figure 9a).

Narrow PSD is observed for all organosilica samples indicating considerable uniformity of mesostructures while a bimodal type PSD plot in case of silica samples (Figure 7b) suggests two types of pore diameters is observed in the sample [9,25,26]. The pore diameters measured at the maxima of the PSD plots ( $D_{KJS}$ ) vary significantly from 2.8 to 9.5 nm for PMSA and PMSB silica samples, whereas a similar range of pore diameter (5.27–6.57 nm) is shown by organosilica samples. On the other hand, it is evident that samples synthesized at high temperature (PMOAH and PMOBH) show bimodal type PSD due to formation of large mesopores having pore diameter in the range of 8.9 to 13.6 nm (Figure 9). The bimodal pore size distribution is due to the formation of non-uniform micellar-silica complexes, and is considered to be fundamentally due to the following two causes: (i) A penta-block copolymer with a large molecular weight in which the hydrophobic block exists at both end groups does not effectively overcome entropy when forming micelles. (ii) The kinetic disorder (i.e., rate imbalance) in the cooperative self-assembly reaction between silica and polymer template.

A closer analysis of TG-DTA curves (Figure 11) reveals that thermal degradation starts after 400  $^{\circ}$ C for organosilica sample prepared with boric acid at room temperature (PMOB-1) whereas it is slightly before 400  $^{\circ}$ C in case of benzene-silica sample (PMOA-2)

synthesized using aluminium chloride as catalyst. Synthesis of PMO at elevated temperature (PMOBH-1) that is at 40 °C does not show much difference in the TG pattern of benzene-silica prepared using boric acid. Although, in case of PMOAH-2, there is a little increase in the degradation temperature observed in Figure 11C. Therefore, it is apparent from the above result that thermal stability of benzene silica PMO is increased due to use of boric acid as catalyst for preparation. The thermal stability of samples synthesized at high temperatures is also maintained. Higher stability of organosilica using boric acid catalyst has also been reported previously in other literature work [16,26,27].

Thus, verifying all the results, it is quite obvious that according to ICP-AES data very negligible quantity of boron or aluminum is present in the silica samples. From solid-state <sup>29</sup>Si CP-MAS NMR spectra, it is also clear that silica Si-O- and  $-Si-C_6H_4$ -Si bonds are present only in the prepared silica and organosilica samples. Therefore, those Lewis acids have mainly played the role of a catalyst to facilitate in the self-assembly process of silica precursors and PLGF co-polymer template. Moreover, in comparison to aluminum and iron acid catalysts, boric acid has been proved much more effective in generating highly stable ordered mesostructured silica and organosilica materials under ambient as well as higher temperature reaction conditions.

## 5. Conclusions

Instead of using any strong inorganic acid, mild acid catalysts like boric acid, aluminum, and iron chloride have been utilized for the preparation of well-developed mesoporous silica and benzene-silica mesostructures using two kinds of PLGA-PEO-PPO-PPO-PLGA pentablock copolymers as surfactants. Boric acid has been proved more efficient compared to the other Lewis acids for generating mesostructures with bimodal porosity in the synthesis conditions at both ambient and elevated temperature. Thorough investigation has been done to evaluate any template effect due to molecular weight and volume ratio of each block in pentablock copolymer. Silica samples using a variable amount of TEOS precursor and iron(III) chloride catalyst exhibit nonporous samples, while mesoporous structure have been obtained using aluminum(III) chloride hexahydrate and boric acid. Among those, a silica sample prepared with boric acid showed partially-ordered mesostructures with very high surface area up to  $836 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ . Organosilica samples synthesized with BTEB precursor and boric acid, also shows superior result compared to other organosilica synthesized using FeCl<sub>3</sub> or AlCl<sub>3</sub>. In addition, those samples prepared at 25 °C have higher surface area (i.e.,  $320 \sim 691 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ) than those (i.e.,  $210 \sim 361 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ) synthesized at elevated temperature say 40 °C. Furthermore, the pore size distribution (PSD) plot showed narrower unimodal distribution for samples prepared at 25 °C, whereas the samples prepared at 40 °C show bimodal distribution with larger mesopores over 10 nm. TEM images exhibit ordered and partially-ordered mesostructures for all these mesoporous benzene-silica samples. As for the thermal stability, mesoporous organosilica samples prepared with boric acid are thermally more stable than the other samples prepared with AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, this study demonstrates that mesostructure can be tailored using water-soluble pentablock copolymer templates especially by adjusting mild acidic conditions.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/nano11102522/s1, Figures S1–S3: FE-SEM images.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.-B.C.; Methodology, E.-B.C.; Software, Y.S., J.-S.P., T.K., and E.-B.C.; Validation, Y.S., J.-S.P., and T.K.; Formal analysis, N.P., Y.S., J.-S.P., T.K., and E.-B.C.; Investigation, Y.S., J.-S.P., and T.K.; Resources, E.-B.C.; Data curation, Y.S., J.-S.P., T.K., and E.-B.C.; Writing—original draft preparation, N.P.; Writing—review and editing, E.-B.C.; Visualization, N.P. and E.-B.C.; Supervision, E.-B.C.; Project administration, E.-B.C.; Funding acquisition, E.-B.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by National Research Foundation of Korea, grant number NRF-2020R1A2C1015117.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: E.-B.C. acknowledges support the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2020R1A2C1015117). This research was also conducted with the support of the Korea Environmental Industry and Technology Institute (KEITI) as a funding from the Ministry of Environment in 2020. Experiments at PLS-II were supported in part by MSIT and POSTECH. N.P. thanks to TEQIP-III, JNTUH, Hyderabad (letter No. JNTUH/TEQIP/CRS/2019/Chemistry/03) for supporting her project works.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

- 1. Wan, Y.; Shi, Y.; Zhao, D. Designed synthesis of mesoporous solids via nonionic-surfactant-templating approach. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *9*, 897–926. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Chen, L.; Teng, Z. Facile method to efficiently fabricate large-size mesoporous organosilica nanosheets with uniform tunable pore size for robust separation membranes. *Chem. Mater.* 2019, *31*, 3823–3830. [CrossRef]
- 3. Choi, E.; Cho, E.-B.; Jaroniec, M. Preparation of highly ordered mesoporous ethane–silicas under weakly acidic conditions and their hydrothermal stability. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5*, 21378–21388. [CrossRef]
- 4. Nagamune, N.; Ryohei, M. The effect of added inorganic salts on the micelle formation of nonionic surfactants in aqueous solutions. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1977**, *50*, 1690–1694.
- 5. Muramoto, N.; Sugiyama, T.; Matsuno, T.; Wada, H.; Kuroda, K.; Shimojima, A. Preparation of periodic mesoporous organosilica with large mesopores using silica colloidal crystals as templates. *Nanoscale* **2020**, *12*, 21155–21164. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Kipkemboi, P.; Fogden, A.; Alfredsson, V.; Flodström, K. Triblock copolymers as templates in mesoporous silica formation: Structural dependence on polymer chain length and synthesis temperature. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17*, 5398–5402. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, D.; Huo, Q.; Feng, J.; Chmelka, B.F.; Stucky, G.D. Nonionic triblock and star diblock copolymer and oligomeric surfactant syntheses of highly ordered, hydrothermally stable, mesoporous silica structures. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 6024–6036. [CrossRef]
- 8. Pal, N.; Sim, S.; Cho, E.-B. Multifunctional periodic mesoporous benzene-silicas for evaluation of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at standard temperature and pressure. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, *293*, 109816. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lee, G.; Choi, E.; Yang, S.; Cho, E.-B. Tailoring pore size, structure, and morphology of hierarchical mesoporous silica using diblock and pentablock copolymer templates. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, 122, 4507–4516. [CrossRef]
- 10. Li, Y.S.; Shi, J.L.; Hua, Z.L.; Chen, H.R.; Ruan, M.L.; Yan, D.S. Hollow spheres of mesoporous aluminosilicate with a threedimensional pore network and extraordinarily high hydrothermal stability. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 609–612. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kuang, D.B.; Brezesinski, T.; Smarsly, B. Hierarchical porous silica materials with a trimodal pore system using surfactant templates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10534–10535. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jadhav, S.A.; Brunella, V.; Berlier, G.; Ugazio, E.; Scalarone, D. Effect of multimodal pore channels on cargo release from mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *J. Nanomater.* **2016**, 2016, 1325174.
- 13. Cho, E.-B.; Kim, D.; Mandal, M.; Gunathilake, C.A.; Jaroniec, M. Benzene-silica with hexagonal and cubic ordered mesostructures synthesized in the presence of block copolymers and weak acid catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, *116*, 16023–16029. [CrossRef]
- 14. Jin, Z.; Wang, X.; Cui, X. A two-step route to synthesis of small-pored and thick-walled SBA-16-type mesoporous silica under mildly acidic conditions. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2007, 307, 158–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cho, E.-B.; Kim, D.; Górka, J.; Jaroniec, M. Periodic mesoporous benzene- and thiophene-silicas prepared using aluminum chloride as an acid catalyst: Effect of aluminum salt/organosilane ratio and stirring time. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 5111–5119. [CrossRef]
- Cho, E.-B.; Mandal, M.; Jaroniec, M. Periodic mesoporous benzene-silicas prepared using boric acid as catalyst. *Chem. Mater.* 2011, 23, 1971–1976. [CrossRef]
- 17. Seong, H.; Cho, E.-B.; Oh, J.; Chang, T. Synthesis and micellar characterization of CBABC type PLGA-PEO-PPO-PEO-PLGA pentablock copolymers. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *35*, 2342–2348. [CrossRef]
- Jaroniec, M.; Solovyov, L.A. Improvement of the Kruk-Jaroniec-Sayari method for pore size analysis of ordered silicas with cylindrical mesopores. *Langmuir* 2006, 22, 6757–6760. [CrossRef]
- Xie, W.; Hu, L.; Yang, X. Basic ionic liquid supported on mesoporous SBA-15 silica as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for biodiesel production. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2015, 54, 1505–1512. [CrossRef]
- 20. Inagaki, S.; Fukushima, Y.; Kuroda, K. Synthesis of highly ordered mesoporous materials from a layered polysilicate. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1993**, *8*, 680–682. [CrossRef]
- 21. Pal, N.; Cho, E.-B.; Kim, D. Synthesis of ordered mesoporous silica/ceria–silica composites and their high catalytic performance for solvent-free oxidation of benzyl alcohol at room temperature. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 9213–9222. [CrossRef]

- 22. Ballem, M.A.; Córdoba, J.M.; Odén, M. Influence of synthesis temperature on morphology of SBA-16 mesoporous materials with a three-dimensional pore system. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2010**, *129*, 106–111. [CrossRef]
- Mesa, M.; Sierra, L.; Patarin, J.; Guth, J.-L. Morphology and porosity characteristics control of SBA-16 mesoporous silica. Effect of the triblock surfactant Pluronic F127 degradation during the synthesis. *Solid State Sci.* 2005, 7, 990–997. [CrossRef]
- 24. Alothman, Z.A. A review: Fundamental aspects of silicate mesoporous materials. Materials 2012, 5, 2874–2902. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tanev, P.T.; Chibwe, M.; Pinnavaia, T.J. Titanium-containing mesoporous molecular sieves for catalytic oxidation of aromatic compounds. *Nature* **1994**, *368*, 321–323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Laird, M.; Carcel, C.; Oliviero, E.; Toquer, G.; Trens, P.; Bartlett, J.R.; Wong, M.; Man, C. Single-template periodic mesoporous organosilica with organized bimodal mesoporosity. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, 297, 110042. [CrossRef]
- 27. Baù, L.; Bártová, B.; Arduini, M.; Mancin, F. Surfactant-free synthesis of mesoporous and hollow silica nanoparticles with an inorganic template. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, *48*, 7584–7586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]