REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN Plague vaccine: recent progress and prospects

Wei Sun¹ and Amit K. Singh¹

Three great plague pandemics, resulting in nearly 200 million deaths in human history and usage as a biowarfare agent, have made *Yersinia pestis* as one of the most virulent human pathogens. In late 2017, a large plague outbreak raged in Madagascar attracted extensive attention and caused regional panics. The evolution of local outbreaks into a pandemic is a concern of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in plague endemic regions. Until now, no licensed plague vaccine is available. Prophylactic vaccination counteracting this disease is certainly a primary choice for its long-term prevention. In this review, we summarize the latest advances in research and development of plague vaccines.

npj Vaccines (2019)4:11; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-019-0105-9

INTRODUCTION

Plague is caused by the facultative, intracellular Gram-negative bacterial pathogen, Yersinia pestis. As one of the oldest and most notorious infectious diseases, plague's notoriety came from the estimated 200 million deaths that were claimed throughout recorded human history, and the extensive devastation that was imparted on societies which subsequently shaped the progress of human civilization.^{1,2} Currently, plague is less active than other well-known infectious diseases, e.g., AIDS, malaria, influenza, tuberculosis, dengue, and certain antibiotic-resistant superbugs (http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets). However, its role as a serious public health concern should not be relegated to antiquity. Lingering fears of future outbreaks are justifiable as plague persists in rodent hosts, has significantly increased its geographical range, remains endemic to many regions around the globe, and is responsible for several thousand annual human cases worldwide.³ In 2015, 15 human cases of plague were reported in the US, resulting in 4 deaths⁴ and in late 2017, the island of Madagascar had experienced a large outbreak of plague, where a total of 2348 confirmed, probable and suspected cases of plague (~70% are pneumonic form) occurred, including 202 deaths (case fatality rate 8.6%),⁵⁻⁷ inciting regional panics. Moreover, there are increasing concerns of multiply antibiotic resistant Y. pestis⁸⁻¹² due to the intrinsic genetic plasticity of bacterium.^{13,14} Thus, plague is internationally recognized as a reemerging disease.¹

Additionally, *Y. pestis* has been used intentionally as a biological weapon clearly recorded in human history,^{5,6} and is considered one of the most likely biothreat agents.^{7,8} During the Cold War, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recognized aerosolized *Y. pestis* as a potent biological weapon, and classified the bacteria as a tier 1 select agent.¹⁸ In nature, following the bite of an infected flea, the mammalian host will typically manifest infection in the bubonic form, and may develop septicemic or secondary pneumonic infection if not promptly treated. Direct inhalation of aerosolized *Y. pestis* can result in an extremely lethal form of primary pneumonic plague.¹ The short incubation period (1–3 days) of pneumonic plague allows rapid disease progression with a high fatality rate, and historically, victims often become

sources of secondary infections as the disease spreads throughout a population.^{1,4}

As a countermeasure against the above scenarios, it is imperative to develop a safe and efficacious vaccine against plague. Vaccination is believed to be an efficient strategy for long-term protection. Previous reviews have comprehensively summarized different kinds of plague vaccine developments, including live recombinant, subunit, vectored, and other formulated vaccines before 2016 (see reviews^{19–32}). Here, we only update the most recent advances of vaccine development (listed in Table 1) and assess the likely prophylactic and therapeutic plague vaccines.

SUBUNIT VACCINE

Many studies have established that the low calcium response protein V (LcrV), a multifunctional virulence protein, is an indispensable protective antigen against Y. pestis infection.^{24,28,33} Vaccine research found that recombinant LcrV, alone or combination with F1, in mixed cocktail and fusion formats, was able to provide superior protection against bubonic and pneumonic plague infections in different animal models (i.e., mice, rat, guinea pig, and Cynomolgus macagues).^{34–37} Clinical trials of LcrV and F1 subunit vaccines (RypVax[™] and rF1⁻V) began around a decade ago.²⁷ RypVax[™] manufactured by PharmAthene Inc. was a recombinant plague vaccine comprising separate recombinant F1 (rF1) and V (rV) antigens produced in Escherichia coli (http:// media.corporate-ir.net/media_files/irol/19/191999/FactSheet-RypVax-Oct2008.pdf). The rF1-V fusion vaccine was developed by The United States Army Medical Research Institute for Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID)³⁸ and currently being further developed by Dynport Vaccine Company, LLC.²⁷ The rV10, a truncated LcrV antigen developed by Schneewind's group in 2011, is currently

antigen developed by Schneewind's group in 2011, is currently undergoing US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) pre-Investigational New Drug authorization review for a future phase I trial.²⁷ In comparison to rF1-V, immunization with rV10 revealed no substantial differences in protection efficacy against pneumonic plague infection in mice, guinea pigs or Cynomolgus macaques. However, both rF1-V^{39,40} and rV10³⁴ vaccines were

Received: 23 July 2018 Accepted: 19 December 2018 Published online: 18 February 2019





¹Department of Immunology and Microbial Disease, Albany Medical College, Albany, NY 12208, USA Correspondence: Wei Sun (sunw@amc.edu)

npj 2

Table 1. Vaccine evaluation against plague				
Vaccine candidates	LD ₅₀	Immunization	Protective efficacy	Reference
F1-LcrV-HSP70(II) fusion protein	ND	Female BABL/C mice vaccinated s.c. with 20 µg/mouse	Complete protection against i.p. challenge with 100 LD ₅₀ (10 ⁵ CFU) of <i>Y. pestis</i> S1 strain	44
rF-V1 adjuvanted with a novel TLR4 ligand, BECC438	DN	Female C57BL/6J mice vaccinated s.c. with 20 µg/mouse	complete protection against i.p. challenge with $\sim 20 \times LD_{50}$ of Y. pestis CO92 Δpgm	47
Flagellin/F1/V	DN	healthy individuals aged 8 through 45 years by i.m. injection	DN	48
F1mutV-PA	Q	Female Balb/c mice and Brown Norway rats immunized by the i.m. route with 50 µg of F1mutV-PA and were boosted once on day 21	Complete protection of mice against <i>simultaneous</i> challenge with 200 LD ₅₀ Y. <i>pestis</i> CO92 (i.n.) and 1 LD ₁₀₀ lethal toxin of <i>B. anthracis</i> (<i>i.v.</i>) and complete protection of rats against <i>simultaneous</i> challenge with 400 LD ₅₀ Y. <i>pestis</i> CO92 (i.n.) and 1 LD ₁₀₀ lethal toxin of <i>B. anthracis</i> (<i>i.v.</i>)	64
VypVaxDuo	QN	BALB/c mice immunized in the dual route dosing regimen on d. 0, 21 with F1/GIn + V/His PCMC s.c. and boosted orally with formulation B	full protection for BALB/c mice against the s.c. challenge with 2×10^4 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	50
F + rV (composed of native F1, extracted from Y. <i>pestis</i> , and the recombinant V antigen)	Q	Cynomolgus macaques and Human adults	Induced a robust immune response up to 12 months and showed a good safety profile in both Cynomolgus macaques and Human adults	51,52
ΔnlpD Y. pestis Kimberley53	>10 ⁷ CFU for s.c and airway routes of infection in Female OF1 mice	s.c. immunization with 10 ⁷ CFU of mutant strain	Provides complete protection against s.c. challenge with 10^5 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> Kimberley53 and 82% protection against i. n. challenge with 5500 CFU of <i>Y. pestis</i> Kimberley53	Ω
ΔnlpD Y. pestis 231 ΔnlpD Y. microtus I-3455 and ΔnlpD Y. microtus I-2359	All were avirulent in mice upon s.c. administration to BALB/c mice (100% survived the infection at a dose of 102, 103, 105, and 107 CFU), and in guinea pigs (100% survival rate at a dose of 1.5×10^{10} CFU)	s.c. immunization with each mutant strain	Immunization with the $\Delta n l p D$ mutant was generated in several Y. <i>pestis</i> strains (subsp. Y. <i>pestis</i> bv. antiqua, subsp. microtus bv. aitaica) and provided potent immunity against plague in the mouse model), but failed to do so in the guinea pig model	4
Y. pestis CO92 ΔrbsA ΔlsrA	80–100% of female Swiss Webster mice surviving a challenge dose of 8- to 50-LD ₅₀ equivalent of WT CO92	DN	Q	55
Y. pestis CO92 Δ pp ΔmsbB Δail or Δlpp ΔmsbB:: ailL2	>2.5 × 10 ⁶ CFU by s.c. infection and >5 × 10 ⁶ CFU CFU by i.n. infection female Swiss Webster mice	i.m. route with two doses (2 × 10 ⁶ CFU/ dose) <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 <i>Δ\pp ΔmsbB Δail</i> or <i>Δ\pp ΔmsbB::ailL2</i> at 0 and 21 days	On day 120, mice were challenged via the i.n. route with 1.2 \times 10 ⁴ CFU dose (24 LD ₅₀) of the WT CO92 <i>luc2</i> strain, 80% animal survival	56
Y. pestis CO92 Δlpp ΔmsbB Δail	>2.5 × 10 ⁶ CFU by s.c. infection Brown Norway rats	i.m. route with two doses (2 × 10 ⁶ CFU/ dose) <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 Δ <i>lpp</i> Δ <i>msbB</i> Δ <i>ail</i> at 0 and 21 days	in. route challenge with WT CO92 luc2 strain at the dose of either 2.3×10^4 CFU (46 LD ₅₀) on day 43 to evaluate short-term protection or 1.6 $\times 10^4$ CFU (31 LD ₅₀) on day 91 to evaluate long-term protection. 100% survival for immunized rats	Ş6

Table 1 continued				
Vaccine candidates	LD ₅₀	Immunization	Protective efficacy R	Reference
Y. pestis CO92 Δlpp ΔmsbB Δpla	>2.5 × 10 ⁶ CFU by s.c. infection and > 5 × 10 ⁶ CFU CFU by i.n. infection female Swiss Webster mice	i.m. route with two doses (2 × 10 ⁶ CFU/ dose) <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 Δ <i>lpp</i> Δ <i>msbB</i> Δ <i>pla</i> at 0 and 21 days	On day 120, mice were challenged via the ⁵⁶ i.n. route with 1.2×10^4 CFU dose (24 LD ₅₀) of the WT CO92 <i>luc2</i> strain, all animal survival	v
Y. pestis CO92 Δlpp ΔmsbB Δpla	>2.5 × 10 ⁶ CFU by s.c. infection Brown Norway rats	i.m. route with two doses (2 × 10 ⁶ CFU/ dose) <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 Δ <i>lpp</i> Δ <i>msbB</i> Δ <i>pla</i> at 0 and 21 days	in. route challenge with WT CO92 <i>luc2</i> ⁵⁶ strain at the dose of either 2.3 $\times 10^{4}$ CFU (46 LD ₅₀) on day 43 to evaluate short-term protection or 1.6 $\times 10^{4}$ CFU (31 LD ₅₀) on day 91 to evaluate long-term protection. 100% survival for immunized rats	v
Y. pestis CO92 Δ ppΔcyoABCDE,	90% survival of female Swiss Webster mice by i.n. infection with 11 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	Survival mice re-challenge	50% survival of female Swiss Webster mice ⁵⁷ by i.n. infection with 10 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	7
Y. pestis CO92 ΔvasKΔhcp6	60% survival of female Swiss Webster mice Survival mice re-challenge by i.n. infection with 9 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	Survival mice re-challenge	40% survival of female Swiss Webster mice ⁵⁷ by i.n. infection with 8 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	7
Y. pestis CO92 Δypo2720-2733Δhcp3	60% survival of female Swiss Webster mice by i.n. infection with 9 LD_{50} of Y. pestis CO92	Survival mice re-challenge	60% survival of female Swiss Webster mice ⁵⁷ by i.n. infection with 8 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	7
Y. pestis EV76 and virulent Y. pestis KIM53 co- infection	Q	C57BL/6 mice	Simultaneous co-administration of the EV76 and virulent KIM53 provided 91% protection for mice by s.c. challenge with 100 CFU of KIM53 stain and injection with EV76 at 5 h post-challenge with 100 CFU of KIM53 stain could rescue survival of 34% mice	ω
VTnF1	LD ₅₀ of the VTnF1 strain in OF1 female mice is more than 10 ⁹ CFU	Oral immunization with 10 ⁸ CFU of VTnF1 strain	Conferred 100% protection against pneumonic plague using a high-dose challenge (3300 LD ₅₀) caused by the fully virulent <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92. Moreover, vaccination protected 100% of mice from bubonic plague caused by a challenge with 100 LD ₅₀ <i>Y. pestis</i> and 93% against a high-dose infection (10,000 LD ₅₀)	66,126
χ 10069(pVA5199) (Δ asd-206 Δ yopJ315 Δ yopK108) harboring an Asd + plasmid to deliver LcrV via Type three secretion system (YopE _{Nt138} -LcrV ⁶⁷)	LD ₅₀ of the χ 10069(pYA5199) strain in Swiss Webster mice is more than 10 ⁹ CFU	Single dose oral immunization with 10° CFU of _X 10069(pYA5199) strain	Provide 90% protection against i.n. M challenge with 5 × 10 ⁴ CFU of virulent Y. ^{pr} pestis KIM6+ (pCD1Ap) strain at 35 days post immunization	Manuscript in preparation
Live attenuated S. Typhimurium mutant strain, χ 12094(p/X5383) delivering three protective antigens (LcrV, F1 and Psn)	10^9 CFU of χ 12094(pYA5383) did not caused any deaths or other disease symptoms in SCID mice over a 60-day period	Oral immunization with 10 ⁹ CFU of χ 12094(pYA5383) and oral booster with same dose of χ 12094(pYA5383)	complete protection against s.c. challenge ⁶⁸ with 5700 CFU (\sim 570 LD ₅₀) of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 and 60% protection against intranasal challenge with 5000 CFU (\sim 50 LD ₅₀) of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92	φ
F. tularensis LVS ΔcapB/Yp	QN	Homologous priming-boosting with LVS Δ <i>capB</i> /Yp by intradermal (i.d.) route	50% protection against intranasal 69 challenge with 1900 CFU <i>of Y. pestis</i> CO92 (~8 LD _{5.0})	6
F. tularensis LVS ΔcapB/Yp plus L. monocytogenes ΔactA ΔinlB prfA/Yp	QN	heterologous priming-boosting with rLVS Δ <i>capB</i> /Yp by i.n. route and rLm Δ <i>actA</i> Δ <i>inlB prfA</i> /Yp by intramuscular (i.m.) route	50% protection against intranasal 69 challenge with 1900 CFU <i>of Y. pestis</i> CO92 (~8 LD ₅₀)	6

r		
		-
۰.		

Table 1 continued				
Vaccine candidates	LD ₅₀	Immunization	Protective efficacy Ref	Reference
A replication-defective human type 5 adenovirus ND (Ad5) vector to express the codon-optimized fusion gene YFV (ycsF, caf1, and lcrV)	QN	Female Swiss-Webster mice and nonhuman primates Cynomolgus macaques immunized with Ad5-Empty by i.m. at day 0, rAd5-YFV by i.n. at day 30 and boosted with 50 µg of rYFV at day 42	Complete protection for mice against ⁷⁰ aerosolized Y. <i>pestis</i> CO92 at a Dp of 4.62 × 10^5 CFU and Complete protection for NHPs against the aerosolized WT CO92 at Dp ranging from 1.32×10^7 to 8.08×10^7 CFU	
L. <i>plantarum</i> delivering LcrV fused with the lipidation motif of OspA protein of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> TMV delivering LcrV and F1	Q	Oral vaccination with lipLcrV-L. <i>plantarum</i> No any protection against i.n. challenge followed by two boosts with TMV-LcrV complete protection against morbidity + TMV-F1 infection with 10 × Lo ₅₀ V. <i>pestis</i> infection with 10 × Lo ₅₀ V. <i>pestis</i>	No any protection against i.n. challenge ⁷¹ with 10 or 100 LD ₅₀ of <i>Y. pestis</i> CO92 pgm ⁻ complete protection against morbidity ⁷¹ and mortality associated with pneumonic infection with $10 \times LD_{50} V$, <i>pestis</i>	
Sylvatic plague vaccine [RCN-F1/V307])	ND	Field trials	C092pgm Partially Protects for Prairie Dogs (C <i>ynomys</i> ⁷⁵ spp.) in Field Trials	
Monoclonal antibody F2H5	QN	BALB/c mice received 100 μg of monoclonal antibody via tail vein injection 24 h before the Y. <i>pestis</i> challenge	complete protection against subcutaneous <i>Y. pestis</i> infection	
Y pestis KIM6 + (pCD1Ap), LD ₅₀ (s.c.) < 10 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) ~ 100CFU ¹²⁷ ; Y. pestis Kimberley53, LD ₅₀ (s.c.) 1–3 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) = 550 CFU ⁵³ ; Y. pestis CO92, LD ₅₀ (s.c.) = 1.9 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) ~ 2 ₅₀ CFU, LD ₅₀ (aerosol) ~ 2100 CFU ^{97/18/139} Y. pestis CO92, LD ₅₀ (s.c.) = 1.9 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) ~ 2 ₅₀ CFU, LD ₅₀ (aerosol) ~ CFU colony forming units, LD ₅₀ S0% lethal dose, s.c. subcutaneous, <i>i.n.</i> intranasal, <i>i.p.</i> intraperitoneal, <i>i.m.</i> intramuscular, ND not detected	(i.i.) ~ 100CFU ¹²⁷ ; <i>Y</i> , pestis Kimberley53, LD ₅₀ (s. (i.n.) = 2×10^4 CFU ¹³⁰ :: subcutaneous, <i>i.n.</i> intranasal, <i>i.p.</i> intraperitone:	c.) 1–3 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) = 550 CFU ⁵³ ; Y. <i>pestis</i> CO: al, <i>i.m</i> . intramuscular, <i>ND</i> not detected	92, LD ₅₀ (s.c.) = 1.9 CFU, LD ₅₀ (i.n.) ~ 2 ₅₀ CFU, LD ₅₀ (o (aerosol) ~

unable to protect African green monkeys against pneumonic plague uniformly as Cynomolgus macaques, despite eliciting robust antibody response. The inconsistent efficacy of these subunit vaccines in African green monkeys and Cynomolgus macaques was speculated to be due to a deficiency in innate or cellular immunity, resulting in a lack of effective synergistic action between humoral and cell-mediated immune response to defend against pneumonic plague.⁴¹ Recently, several groups are trying to enhance immunogenicity of the subunit vaccines using different means.

The heat shock protein 70 domain II [HSP70(II)] of Mycobacterium tuberculosis as an immunomodulator was able to stimulate effective T-cell responses⁴² and ovalbumin-HSP70(II) fusion protein was sufficient to elicit ovalbumin specific CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes.⁴³ Based on these findings, Tuteja's group⁴⁴ fused the F1 and LcrV antigens of Y. pestis with the HSP70(II) [F1-LcrV-HSP70(II) protein] as a plaque vaccine to enhance cellmediated immune response. A group of BALB/c mice immunized with F1-LcrV-HSP70(II) protein had significantly increased percentages of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells producing IL-2, TNF- α , and IFN- γ in comparison to the group of mice immunized with F1-LcrV fusion protein. However, immunization either with F1-LcrV-HSP(II) or F1-LcrV afforded complete protection for mice against intraperitoneal (i.p.) challenge with 100 LD₅₀ of virulent Y. pestis S1 strain. A possible reason is that the lower dose of i.p. challenge might not differentiate the protective efficacy contributed from cellular immunity elicited by F1-LcrV-HSP(II).

Gregg et al.⁴⁶ generated an *Y. pestis* KIM6+ derived mutant strain, *Yp* Δ *msbB pagPYp*^{Rep}, in which the mutant disrupts the secondary lauryl acyl-transferase (MsbB) and restores the palmitate transferase (PagP) of *Y. pestis*. The mutant strain yielded a structurally distinct lipooligosaccharide molecule (BECC438) that can elicit Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) activation. C57BL/6J mice intramuscularly (i.m.) immunized with BECC438 adjuvated rF1-V using a prime-boost regimen were fully protected against i.p. challenge with ~20 × LD₅₀ of *Y. pestis* CO92 Δ *pgm* strain.⁴⁷

Intramuscular injection of Flagellin/F1/V in a dose escalation manner was conducted in healthy individuals from aged 8 through 45 years in a phase I trial. Sixty healthy subjects were enrolled; 52% males, 100% non-Hispanic, 91.7% white and mean age 30.8 years. Positive antibody responses were observed to F1, V, and flagellin with no severe reactogenicity.⁴⁸ Rao's group has developed a rF1mutV-PA recombinant subunit vaccine consisting of Y. pestis F1 and LcrV dual antigens, and Bacillus anthracis protective antigen (PA) adjuvanted with Alhydrogel[®].⁴⁹ The trivalent vaccine elicited robust antibody responses in mice, rats, and rabbits and conferred complete protection in mice and rats against simultaneous intranasal (i.n.) challenge with Y. pestis CO92 and lethal intravenous (i.v.) injection of *B. anthracis* toxin.⁴⁹ The F1mutV-PA was the first subunit vaccine showing complete protection against simultaneous challenge with Y. pestis and lethal B. anthracis toxin challenge in a variety of animal models, and demonstrated a potential prophylactic vaccine for preventing a bioterror attack with weaponized B. anthracis and/or Y. pestis.

VypVaxDuo is a novel vaccine developed by Moore et al.⁵⁰ and composed of the recombinant F1 and V proteins mixed with different formulations using a subcutaneous (s.c.) prime and an oral booster regimen. An early onset antibody response (IgG and IgA) was observed 14 days post-primary immunization, and full protection against s.c. challenge with 2×10^4 LD₅₀ of *Y. pestis* CO92 was observed upon regimen completion in BALB/c mice. Moreover, Moore et al. approached their vaccine design with the goal of creating a practical solution for low- and middle-income countries endemic to plague. In this regard, VypVaxDuo is a strong potential vaccine as the primary vaccine formulation was exceptionally stable in vialled form under thermostressed conditions, circumventing the need for a cold chain for distribution and storage. Additionally, the prime-boost regimen requires only one clinic visit for the s.c. priming vaccination, as the oral boost vaccine formulation can be self-administered and minimizes the need for medical personnel and intervention.

A novel subunit plague vaccine developed by Liu et al. is composed of a native F1 and recombinant V (F1 + rV) antigens absorbed to aluminum hydroxide adjuvant. The F1 + rV vaccine induced a very strong humoral immune response and a low level of cell-mediated immune response in cynomolgus macagues.⁵¹ Subsequently, the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (NIFDC) and the Jiangsu Provincial Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) conducted a one-year immunogenicity and vaccine safety study where 240 healthy adults aged 18-55 years were F1 + rV-immunized with 15 µg at day 0 or 20 µg at day 28. Results showed that anti-F1 titers and seroconversion rates were maintained at high levels up to 12 months, while anti-V titers and seroconversion rates decreased sharply at 6 months and continued to decrease at 12 months. No vaccine-related serious adverse events were observed during immunization. Overall, human clinical trials show the F1 + rV subunit vaccine induces a robust humoral immune response up to 12 months and has a good safety profile in humans.⁵²

ATTENUATED YERSINIA VACCINE

Lipoprotein NIpD of *Y. pestis* is an essential virulence factor for the development of bubonic and pneumonic plague.^{53,54} Subcutaneous administration of the $\Delta nlpD$ *Y. pestis* Kimberley53 mutant conferred protection to mice against bubonic and pneumonic plague better than the EV76 vaccine strain.⁵³ Dentovskaya et al. generated a variety of $\Delta nlpD$ mutant strains based on three *Yersinia* parental strains (i.e., subsp. *pestis* bv. antiqua strain 231; subsp. *microtus* bv. altaica strains I-3455 and I-2359). In comparison to the reference vaccine strain EV NIIEG, immunization with the $\Delta nlpD$ mutant strains provided potent protective immunity against plague in BALB/c mice challenged with 200 LD₁₀₀ of virulent *Y. pestis* 231 strain, but failed to do so in the guinea pig model.⁵⁴ The intrinsic reasons are not clear yet, but the inconsistent protection observed in different animal models diminishes the possibility of $\Delta nlpD$ *Y. pestis* mutant as one of the live plague vaccine candidates.

Chopra's group characterized effects of the conserved guorumsensing system (autoinducer-2, AI-2) on pulmonary Y. pestis infection in mice.⁵⁵ In a series of mouse studies, they demonstrated that the deletion of ABC transport systems components (rbsA and IsrA genes) synergistically disrupted AI-2 signaling patterns and reduced more than 50-fold virulence of Y. pestis strain CO92 by pulmonary challenge in mice. However, deletion of luxS or lsrK (encoding Al-2 kinase) on top of the $\Delta rbsA$ $\Delta lsrA$ background strain restored the virulence phenotype as that of the wild-type Y. pestis CO92 or the $\Delta rbsA \Delta lsrA$ mutant complemented with the rbsA and IsrA genes. The administration of synthetic AI-2 in mice could rescue the virulence of $\Delta rbsA \Delta lsrA \Delta luxS$ mutant equal to that of the $\Delta rbsA \Delta lsrA$ strain, but couldn't rescue the virulence of AI-of $\Delta rbsA \Delta lsrA \Delta luxS \Delta lsrK$ mutant.⁵⁵ More recently, the same group evaluated the long-term immunity of the Y. pestis mutant strains $\Delta lpp \Delta msbB \Delta ail$ and $\Delta lpp \Delta msbB::ailL2$ (Δlpp lacks the Braun lipoprotein, Lpp; *AmsbB* lacks an acetyltransferase, MsbB; *Dail* lacks the attachment invasion locus, Ail; ailL2 is a modified Ail with diminished virulence). Immunization of mice and rats with Y. pestis $\Delta lpp \ \Delta msbB \ \Delta ail, \ \Delta lpp \ \Delta msbB::ailL2 \ or \ \Delta lpp$ $\Delta msbB \Delta pla$ mutations generated long-term humoral and cellular immune responses and afforded comprehensive protection against pulmonary challenge of Y. pestis CO92 on day 120.56 Due to high attenuation of Y. pestis $\Delta lpp \Delta msbB \Delta pla$ mutant in mice and rats, the strain was recently excluded from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention select agent list.⁵⁶ In a subsequent study, Chopra's group tested additional mutants with combinations of different gene deletions based on results from an in vivo signature-tagged mutagenesis (STM) screening, and found that immunization with these mutant strains conferred protection against pneumonic plague of varying levels.⁵⁷

Zauberman et al. assessed whether immunization with the EV76 live vaccine can stimulate rapid and effective protective immunity against immediate challenge of virulent Y. pestis KIM53 strain. C57BL/6 mice were s.c. challenged with 100 CFU (100 LD₅₀) of virulent KIM53; s.c. immunization with 10⁷ CFU of EV76 at the time of challenge conferred 91% protection, whereas s.c. immunization at 5 h post-challenge conferred 34% protection. Subsequently, the group assessed whether EV76-administration might promote rapid protection against pneumonic plague. C57BL/6 mice were s.c. immunized with 1×10^7 CFU of EV76, then i.n. challenged with 1×10^4 CFU (10 LD₅₀) of KIM53 either concomitantly or 2 days post-immunization (dpi). The concomitantly immunized mice merely extended survival duration from 3 to 6.8 days, ultimately succumbing to infection, whereas the 2 dpi challenged mice had a 60% survival rate. Ex vivo analysis of Y. pestis growth in serum derived from EV76-immunized mice revealed that the rapid antibacterial activity was mediated by host heme- and ironbinding proteins hemopexin and transferrin, resulting in iron deprivation and further limiting the propagation of virulent Y. pestis in the host milieu, a form of host defense termed nutritional immunity.⁵⁸ Based on current studies,⁵⁹⁻⁶² vaccination with EV76 strain elicits a rapid and potent innate immune memory that could potentially provide considerable and immediate protection against bubonic and pneumonic plague, prior to mounting an adaptive immune response, which supports a novel therapeutic strategy for post-outbreak emergency responses.

The less virulent ancestor to Y. pestis,⁶³ Y. pseudotuberculosis, typically causes a limited enteric disease in human and animals. Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis are remarkably similar in that they are >95% genetically identical and share a virulence plasmid, and they are different in that Y. pestis carries the additional plasmids pPCP1 and pMT1.⁶⁴ Therefore, recombinant attenuated Y. pseudotuberculosis strains as a plaque vaccine would be safer alternatives. Demeure's group and our group developed different attenuated Y. pseudotuberculosis either heterologous synthesizing capsule antigen F1^{65,66} or delivering LcrV by Type three secretion system.⁶⁷ Both groups demonstrated that a single dose of oral immunization with live attenuated Y. pseudotuberculosis induced potent antibody and cell-mediated responses, and significant Th17 response in mice, and moreover provided significant protection against pulmonary challenge with high-dose virulent *Y. pestis* strains.^{65–67} However, protective efficacy and safety of these live attenuated Y. pseudotuberculosis strain should be evaluated further in other animal models. Altogether, those recent studies contribute to the growing evidences supporting development of live Yersinia vaccines as countermeasures for preventing plague.

LIVE VECTORED PLAGUE VACCINES

An improved Recombinant Attenuated *Salmonella* Typhimurium Vaccine (RASV) strain expressing multiple plasmid-encoded *Y. pestis* antigens, including LcrV196 (aa residues 131–326), Psn (pestisin receptor) and F1, has been studied by our group. Synthesis of multiple antigens did not cause adverse effects on bacterial growth. BALB/c mice were orally immunized with the RASV strain, χ 12094(pYA5383). High antibody titers specific for rLcrV, Psn, and F1 were developed. Complete protection was conferred against s.c. challenge with 5700 CFU (~570 LD₅₀) of *Y. pestis* CO92, and 60% survival against i.n. challenge with 5000 CFU (~50 LD₅₀) of *Y. pestis* CO92.⁶⁸ Oral immunization with χ 12094 (pYA5383) did not caused any deaths or disease symptoms in SCID mice over a 60-day period.⁶⁶

Horwitz's group investigated an *F. tularensis* LVS Δ*capB* mutant strain and an attenuated *Listeria monocytogenes* (Lm) strain as

vectors to deliver multiple protective antigens from *B. anthracis* and *Y. pestis* as a novel vaccine platform to combat three Tier 1 select agents, *B. anthracis*, *Y. pestis*, and *F. tularensis*.⁶⁹ Homologous prime-boost with the LVS $\Delta capB$ -vectored vaccines or heterologous prime-boost with LVS $\Delta capB$ and Lm-vectored vaccines induced robust antigen-specific humoral immune responses, conferred protective immunity against lethal pulmonary challenge with *B. anthracis* Ames spores and *F. tularensis* Schu S4, but only afforded 50% protection against intranasal challenge with 1900 CFU of *Y. pestis* CO92 (~8 LD₅₀).⁶⁹ This study provided a proof of concept for an all-in-one vaccine providing protection against several tier 1 pathogens simultaneously.

In addition, Chopra's group utilized a replication-defective human type 5 adenovirus (Ad5) vector for expression of a codonoptimized fusion gene YFV (ycsF, caf1, and lcrV). A heterologous prime-boost of mice and cynomolgus macagues with the trivalent rAd5-YFV vaccine conferred 100% protection against a stringent aerosol challenge dose of Y. pestis CO92.70 Arnaboldi et al. evaluated two distinct mucosal delivery platforms, a live bacterial vector, Lactobacillus plantarum, and a tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) vector for the intranasal administration of LcrV and F1 antigens.⁷ Both LcrV/F1-expressing vectors induced similarly high titers of IgG antibodies and proinflammatory cytokine secretion. Only the TMV-conjugated LcrV or F1, however, protected against subsequent lethal challenge with Y. pestis. These results suggest that mucosal delivery of TMV synthesizing F1-LcrV might induce complete protection against a lethal pneumonic infection of Y. pestis in mice.

Researchers at the United States Geological Survey's National Wildlife Health Center have developed a Sylvatic Plague Vaccine (SPV) comprised of raccoon poxvirus (RCN) expressing both F1 and truncated V protein (V307) antigens, designed as a bait vaccine to protect Prairie dogs (*Cynomys spp.*).^{72,73} Prairie dogs are highly susceptible to *Y. pestis* and as such are potential sources of plague transmission to humans.⁷⁴ Most recently, field trials showed that consumption of SPV-laden baits can protect prairie dogs against plague,^{75,76} which offers an additional approach for controlling plague transmission in epidemic areas.

Outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) are nano-sized vesicles (20-200 nm) released by a diverse range of Gram-negative bacteria and enriched in protein, polysaccharide, and lipid components, including an abundance of potent immunogens.⁷ By retaining the pathogen antigenic surface composition, OMVs elicit an innate immune response as well as prime the adaptive immune response.⁷⁸ Since a licensed OMV vaccine against Neisseria meningitides has been proven safe and protective in humans,⁷⁹ OMVs as vaccine development have received more attention recently. OMVs provide an economically-favorable vaccine platform due to their relatively inexpensive preparation and high stability. Moreover, OMVs encase a broad spectrum of immunogens, providing the theoretical advantages of simultaneously priming immunity against many antigens and thereby reducing the likelihood of antigen circumvention. In 2018 WHO plague vaccine workshop, one research team intended to utilize Bacteroides OMVs to deliver Y. pestis LcrV antigen as a new vaccine candidate. In the preliminary findings, non-human primates (NHPs) intranasally immunized with LcrV-containing OMVs generated considerable anti-LcrV IgG response in sera and anti-LcrV IgA response in salivary glands and broncho alveolar fluids (BAL).⁸

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AS THERAPEUTIC VACCINES

LcrV- or F1-specific humoral immune responses alone can be effective in protection against *Y. pestis.*^{81,82} Previous studies showed that anti-LcrV or F1 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) can passively protect mice against plague challenge.^{83–85} Intratracheal delivery of aerosolized LcrV-specific and F1-specific monoclonal antibodies (MAbs 7.3 and F1-04-A-G1) protected mice in a model

of pneumonic plague.⁸⁶ Dimitrov's group identified one F1specific human mAb (m252) and two LcrV-specific human mAbs (m253, m254), and demonstrated that m252 affords better protection in mice against s.c. challenge with ~25–40 LD₅₀ of *Y. pestis* CO92 than the other two mAbs.⁸⁷ Recently, Liu et al. identified four anti-F1 mAbs. Three of the mAbs (F5C10, F6E5, and F2H5) provided different levels of protection in mice subcutaneously challenged with 600 CFU of *Y. pestis* 141 strain. Among then, F2H5 provided complete protection in Balb/c mice subcutaneously challenged with *Y. pestis* 141 strain.⁸⁸ Collectively, it would be possible that mAbs specific to F1 or LcrV can be utilized as a fast-acting post-exposure treatment for humans against *Y. pestis* infection.

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF PLAGUE VACCINE. WHERE IS THE CUT-OFF?

Half a century ago, the USA developed and approved a formalinkilled whole cell Y. pestis vaccine (USP) which was used to vaccinate military during the Vietnam War.^{89,90} This vaccine afforded effective protection against bubonic plague, but the vaccine was highly reactogenic and failed to provide long-term protection and any protection against pneumonic plague,^{33,89,91,92} thus limiting its application against weaponized pneumonic plague. The rF1-V and RYpVax are safe and have passed through Phase I and II clinical trials, 27,36 but the results of these Phase II trials are not yet available. In 2017, the FDA granted Orphan Drug status for the rF1-V plague vaccine (https://globalbiodefense.com/ 2017/03/10/fda-grants-orphan-drug-designation-plague-vaccine/) that is proposed for marketing in 2020, which will provide effective prophylaxis to individuals at high risk of exposure to virulent Y. pestis. However, concerns of inefficacy arise due to the presence of F1-negative strains in natural reservoirs that have caused fatal disease in mice and Africa green monkey.^{93,94} The Δ*caf1 Y. pestis* CO92 was not only fully virulent to mice by bubonic and pneumonic plague challenge but also surpassed immune responses mounted from live-attenuated strains or F1 subunit vaccines.^{95,96} Andrews et al. showed that immunization of sole F1 capsular antigen provided significant protection against Y. pestis CO92 challenge, but failed to protect mice against Y. pestis C12 strain (F1 strain) by s.c. infection.^{97,98} Batra et al. also showed that vaccination with recombinant F1 alone failed to protect mice against Y. pestis S1 strain challenge by the intraperitoneal route.4 Altogether, these results dampen the reliability of F1 antigen as a sole antigen vaccine, despite the existence of many studies that demonstrated the immunization with F1 antigen alone,^{97,99} transfer of anti-F1 serum,¹⁰⁰ or one dose of F1 formulated in poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLG) microparticles^{101,102} significantly afforded protection against F1+ Y. pestis challenge.

In addition, the existence of *lcrV* polymorphisms in the Y. pestis subspecies¹⁰³ might alter the protective efficacy of vaccines only composed of LcrV and F1, although these variations in the LcrV did not alter the lethality of these strains in mice and their natural hosts so far. In consideration of this reduced efficacy, Miller et al. investigated impact of polymorphisms in the lcrV gene of Y. enterocolitica on plague protective immunity. Their results showed that polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies raised against LcrV of Y. pestis KIM D27 were unable to block the type III injection of Y. pestis expressing LcrV(W22703) from Y. enterocolitica O:9 strain W22703 or LcrV(WA-314) from O:8 strain WA-314. Fortunately, the results showed these strains were unable to escape LcrV-mediated plague protective immunity in the intravenous challenge model.¹⁰⁴ Thus, combination of multiple antigens was tested to prevent this risk.^{68,70,105} Studies have suggested that vaccine efficacy may be different when measuring protection against bubonic or pneumonic plague infection. Th1-skewed and Th17skewed immune responses from vaccines provide better protection against the pulmonary Y. pestis infection than Th2-skewed

responses from subunit vaccine.^{106–111} Therefore, vaccines formulations employing different Th1-skewing and Th17-skewing adjuvants, such as MPLA¹¹² or CAF01^{50,113} could potentially achieve greater protection.

The live attenuated Y. pestis vaccines, EV series, made in 1920s, have been administered to millions of people in Madagascar, Indonesia, Vietnam, and the Soviet Union.^{114,115} Single dose prime vaccination with the EV NIIEG live vaccine was able to induce immune responses that lasted one year against bubonic and, to some extent, pneumonic plague.^{25,116} Theoretically, the live EV series of vaccines are much better than the killed vaccine. However, the live vaccines were somewhat pathogenic in nonhuman primates and reactogenicity in humans,^{91,117-119} retained virulence when administered intranasally (i.n.) and intravenously (i.v.)^{107,118,120} or to persons carrying hemochromatosis.¹²¹ The lack of transparent protection and safety data in previous large-scale human immunization, and the lack of genetically uniformity of the vaccine strain due to many passages,¹¹⁸ has prevented the EV series of vaccines from gaining worldwide acceptance, especially in the US and Europe.⁸⁹ As research efforts continue to construct live attenuated Y. pestis vaccine strains with specifically defined mutations, so do reaching the goal of balancing safety with protective efficacy. Moreover, the rational alteration of a live attenuated Y. pestis vaccine strains for induction of both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses toward several Y. pestis antigens will theoretically provide stronger protection than vaccines based on a combination of a few antigens.

Recently, the WHO conceptualized a Plague Vaccine Target Product Profile (TPP) at the WHO Plague Vaccine Workshop in 2018.⁸⁰ In this map, there exists at least 17 plague vaccine candidates in the pipeline, including subunit (F1/V-based with adjuvant), bacterial vector-based (e.g., OMV-delivered, *Salmonella*expressed), viral vector-based (e.g., Ad5-based, Chad-based), *E. coli* T4 bacteriophage-based, and live attenuated (e.g., *Y. pseudotuberculosis*-based or *Y. pestis*-based) vaccines expressing one or several primary antigens of *Y. pestis* (e.g., F1 capsular protein antigen, LcrV antigen, YscF antigen, and/or pesticin coagulase), which have been tested in different animal models. Two of these candidates have completed a Phase 2 clinical trial and are moving toward FDA licensure, and several candidates have plans to enter clinical trials in 2019.

The requirements and considerations of the WHO TPP⁸⁰ for a prophylactic plague vaccine include elicitation of long lasting immunity, and feasible administration in populations living in endemic areas or health workers involved in plague outbreak investigation or surveillance. The requirements and considerations for a therapeutic vaccine includes elicitation of a rapid protective immunity after the first dose within a narrow window, and protection of individuals in outbreak areas to block transmission chains. Mechanisms of protective immunity are complex and vary depending on the vaccine design and the route of administration, in addition to variations in the immune response induced by the intrinsic attributes of different vaccine candidates. Many recent studies have demonstrated that heterologous prime-boost immunizations could potentially be more immunogenic than homologous prime-boost immunizations.^{70,122-125} Thus, combinations of different vaccine forms using a heterologous primer-boost strategy, such as a subunit vaccine with a live attenuated Y. pestis vaccine or live vectored plague vaccine, might overcome current limitations of plague vaccines and would effectively prevent the potential plague outbreak.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Ms. Yasmine Karma for language editing. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants Al125623 to WS and Albany Medical College startup fund.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The manuscript was written by Wei Sun and Amit K. Singh. Each author has contributed to, seen and approved this manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Perry, R. D. & Fetherston, J. D. Yersinia pestis—etiologic agent of plague. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10, 35–66 (1997).
- 2. Stenseth, N. C. et al. Plague: past, present, and future. PLoS Med. 5, e3 (2008).
- Gage, K. L. & Kosoy, M. Y. Natural history of plague: perspectives from more than a century of research. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 50, 505–528 (2005).
- CDC: 4 deaths, 15 cases of bubonic plague in U.S. this year. http://cbs4indy.com/ 2015/10/22/cdc-4-deaths-15-cases-of-bubonic-plague-in-u-s-this-year/.
- WHO. Plague—Madagascar. http://www.who.int/csr/don/15-november-2017plague-madagascar/en/ (2017).
- Mead, P. S. Plague in Madagascar—a tragic opportunity for improving public health. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 106–108 (2018).
- Tsuzuki, S. et al. Dynamics of the pneumonic plague epidemic in Madagascar, August to October 2017. Eur. Surveill. 22, 17–00710 (2017).
- Galimand, M. et al. Multidrug resistance in Yersinia pestis mediated by a transferable plasmid. N. Engl. J. Med. 337, 677–680 (1997).
- Guiyoule, A. et al. Transferable plasmid-mediated resistance to streptomycin in a clinical isolate of *Yersinia pestis*. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7, 43–48 (2001).
- Hinnebusch, B. J., Rosso, M. L., Schwan, T. G. & Carniel, E. High-frequency conjugative transfer of antibiotic resistance genes to *Yersinia pestis* in the flea midgut. *Mol. Microbiol.* 46, 349–354 (2002).
- Welch, T. J. et al. Multiple antimicrobial resistance in plague: an emerging public health risk. *PLoS ONE* 2, e309 (2007).
- Kiefer, D. et al. Phenotypical characterization of Mongolian Yersinia pestis strains. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 12, 183–188 (2012).
- Guiyoule, A. et al. Recent emergence of new variants of Yersinia pestis in Madagascar. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35, 2826–2833 (1997).
- 14. Radnedge, L., Agron, P. G., Worsham, P. L. & Andersen, G. L. Genome plasticity in *Yersinia pestis. Microbiology* **148**, 1687–1698 (2002).
- Mackey, T. K. et al. Emerging and reemerging neglected tropical diseases: a review of key characteristics, risk factors, and the policy and innovation environment. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 949–979 (2014).
- Boire, N. A., Riedel, V. A. A., Parrish, N. M. & Riedel, S. Lessons learned from historic plague epidemics: the relevance of an ancient disease in modern times. *J. Anc. Dis. Prev. Rem.* 2, 114 (2014).
- Eisen, R. J. et al. Early-phase transmission of *Yersinia pestis* by unblocked fleas as a mechanism explaining rapidly spreading plague epizootics. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 15380–15385 (2006).
- Riedel, S. Biological warfare and bioterrorism: a historical review. Bayl. Univ. Med. Cent. Proc. 17, 400–406 (2004).
- 19. Verma, S. K. & Tuteja, U. Plague vaccine development: current research and future trends. *Front. Immunol.* **7**, 602 (2016).
- Oyston, P. C. & Williamson, E. D. Prophylaxis and therapy of plague. *Expert. Rev. Anti-Infective* 11, 817–829 (2013).
- Wang, X., Zhang, X., Zhou, D. & Yang, R. Live-attenuated Yersinia pestis vaccines. Expert. Rev. Vaccin. 12, 677–686 (2013).
- Williamson, E. D. & Oyston, P. C. Protecting against plague: towards a nextgeneration vaccine. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **172**, 1–8 (2013).
- 23. Feodorova, V. A. & Motin, V. L. Plague vaccines: current developments and future perspectives. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **1**, e36 (2012).
- Sun, W., Roland, K. L. & Curtiss, R. 3rd Developing live vaccines against plague. J. Infect. Dev. Count. 5, 614–627 (2011).
- Feodorova, V. A. & Corbel, M. J. Prospects for new plague vaccines. *Expert Rev. Vaccine* 8, 1721–1738 (2009).
- Alvarez, M. L. & Cardineau, G. A. Prevention of bubonic and pneumonic plague using plant-derived vaccines. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28, 184–196 (2010).
- Quenee, L. E. & Schneewind, O. Plague vaccines and the molecular basis of immunity against *Yersinia pestis. Hum. Vaccine* 5, 817–823 (2009).
- Smiley, S. T. Current challenges in the development of vaccines for pneumonic plague. *Expert. Rev. Vaccine* 7, 209–221 (2008).
- 29. Cornelius, C., Quenee, L., Anderson, D. & Schneewind, O. Protective immunity against plague. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **603**, 415–424 (2007).

- Huang, X. Z., Nikolich, M. P. & Lindler, L. E. Current trends in plague research: from genomics to virulence. *Clin. Med. Res.* 4, 189–199 (2006).
- Calhoun, L. N. & Kwon, Y. M. Salmonella-based plague vaccines for bioterrorism. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 39, 92–97 (2006).
- Sun, W. Plague vaccines: status and future. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 918, 313–360 (2016).
- Titball, R. W. & Williamson, E. D. Yersinia pestis (plague) vaccines. Expert. Opin. Biol. Ther. 4, 965–973 (2004).
- Quenee, L. E., Ciletti, N. A., Elli, D., Hermanas, T. M. & Schneewind, O. Prevention of pneumonic plague in mice, rats, guinea pigs and non-human primates with clinical grade rV10, rV10-2 or F1-V vaccines. *Vaccine* 29, 6572–6583 (2011).
- Jones, S. M., Griffin, K. F., Hodgson, I. & Williamson, E. D. Protective efficacy of a fully recombinant plague vaccine in the guinea pig. *Vaccine* **21**, 3912–3918 (2003).
- Williamson, E. D. et al. Human immune response to a plague vaccine comprising recombinant F1 and V antigens. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 3598–3608 (2005).
- Nakajima, R., Motin, V. L. & Brubaker, R. R. Suppression of cytokines in mice by protein A-V antigen fusion peptide and restoration of synthesis by active immunization. *Infect. Immun.* 63, 3021–3029 (1995).
- Heath, D. G. et al. Protection against experimental bubonic and pneumonic plague by a recombinant capsular F1-V antigen fusion protein vaccine. *Vaccine* 16, 1131–1137 (1998).
- Pitt, M. L. Animals models and correlates of protection for plague vaccines workshop (Gaithersburg, MD, 2004). http://www.fda.gov/cber/minutes/ plague101304t.pdf.
- Bashaw, J. et al. Development of in vitro correlate assays of immunity to infection with Yersinia pestis. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 14, 605–616 (2007).
- Kummer, L. W. et al. Antibodies and cytokines independently protect against pneumonic plague. *Vaccine* 26, 6901–6907 (2008).
- Huang, Q., Richmond, J. F. L., Suzue, K., Eisen, H. N. & Young, R. A. In vivo cytotoxic T lymphocyte elicitation by mycobacterial heat shock protein 70 fusion proteins maps to a discrete domain and is CD4(+) T cell independent. *J. Exp. Med.* **191**, 403–408 (2000).
- Flaherty, K. M., DeLuca-Flaherty, C. & McKay, D. B. Three-dimensional structure of the ATPase fragment of a 70K heat-shock cognate protein. *Nature* 346, 623–628 (1990).
- Verma, S. K., Batra, L. & Tuteja, U. A Recombinant trivalent fusion protein F1-LcrV-HSP70(II) augments humoral and cellular immune responses and imparts full protection against *Yersinia pestis. Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1053 (2016).
- 45. Batra, L. et al. HSP70 domain II of Mycobacterium tuberculosis modulates immune response and protective potential of F1 and LcrV antigens of Yersinia pestis in a mouse model. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 8, e3322 (2014).
- Gregg, K. A. et al. Rationally designed TLR4 Ligands for vaccine adjuvant discovery. *mBio* 8, 17 (2017).
- Gregg, K. A. et al. A lipid A-based TLR4 mimetic effectively adjuvants a Yersinia pestis rF-V1 subunit vaccine in a murine challenge model. Vaccine 36, 4023–4031 (2018).
- Frey, S. E. et al. A phase I safety and immunogenicity dose escalation trial of plague vaccine, Flagellin/F1/V, in healthy adult volunteers (DMID 08-0066). *Vaccine* 35, 6759–6765 (2017).
- Tao, P. et al. A Bivalent anthrax-plague vaccine that can protect against two tier-1 bioterror pathogens, *Bacillus anthracis* and *Yersinia pestis. Front. Immunol.* 8, 687 (2017).
- Moore, B. D. et al. Dual route vaccination for plague with emergency use applications. *Vaccine* 36, 5210–5217 (2018).
- 51. Liu, L. et al. A safety and immunogenicity study of a novel subunit plague vaccine in cynomolgus macaques. J. Appl. Toxicol. **38**, 408–417 (2018).
- Hu, J. et al. One year immunogenicity and safety of subunit plague vaccine in Chinese healthy adults: an extended open-label study. *Hum. Vaccine Immun*other. 41, 2701–2705 (2018).
- Tidhar, A. et al. The NlpD lipoprotein is a novel Yersinia pestis virulence factor essential for the development of plague. PLoS ONE 4, e7023 (2009).
- Dentovskaya, S. V. et al. Selective protective potency of Yersinia pestis ΔnlpD mutants. Acta Nat. 7, 102–108 (2015).
- Fitts, E. C. et al. New insights into autoinducer-2 signaling as a virulence regulator in a mouse model of pneumonic plague. *mSphere* 1, e00342–16 (2016).
- Tiner, B. L. et al. Immunisation of two rodent species with new live-attenuated mutants of *Yersinia pestis* CO92 induces protective long-term humoral- and cellmediated immunity against pneumonic plague. *NPJ Vaccine* 1, 16020 (2016).
- Andersson, J. A. et al. Identification of new virulence factors and vaccine candidates for Yersinia pestis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7, 448 (2017).
- Zauberman, A. et al. Host iron nutritional immunity induced by a live Yersinia pestis vaccine strain is associated with immediate protection against plague. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7, 277 (2017).

- Quintin, J. et al. *Candida albicans* infection affords protection against reinfection via functional reprogramming of monocytes. *Cell Host Microbe* 12, 223–232 (2012).
- Barton, E. S. et al. Herpesvirus latency confers symbiotic protection from bacterial infection. *Nature* 447, 326–329 (2007).
- Netea, M. G. et al. Trained immunity: a program of innate immune memory in health and disease. *Science* 352, aaf1098 (2016).
- Kaufmann, E. et al. BCG educates hematopoietic stem cells to generate protective innate immunity against tuberculosis. *Cell* **172**, 176–190 (2018).
- Achtman, M. et al. Yersinia pestis, the cause of plague, is a recently emerged clone of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96, 14043–14048 (1999).
- Chain, P. S. et al. Insights into the evolution of *Yersinia pestis* through wholegenome comparison with *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 13826–13831 (2004).
- Sun, W., Sanapala, S., Rahav, H. & Curtiss, R. Oral administration of a recombinant attenuated *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* strain elicits protective immunity against plague. *Vaccine* 33, 6727–6735 (2015).
- Demeure, C. E., Derbise, A. & Carniel, E. Oral vaccination against plague using Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Chem. Biol. Interact. 267, 89–95 (2017).
- Sun, W. et al. LcrV delivered via type III secretion system of live attenuated Yersinia pseudotuberculosis enhances immunogenicity against pneumonic plague. Infect. Immun. 82, 4390–4404 (2014).
- Sanapala, S., Rahav, H., Patel, H., Sun, W. & Curtiss, R. Multiple antigens of *Yersinia pestis* delivered by live recombinant attenuated *Salmonella* vaccine strains elicit protective immunity against plague. *Vaccine* 34, 2410–2416 (2016).
- Jia, Q. et al. Single vector platform vaccine protects against lethal respiratory challenge with Tier 1 select agents of anthrax, plague, and tularemia. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 7009 (2018).
- Sha, J. et al. A replication-defective human type 5 adenovirus-based trivalent vaccine confers complete protection against plague in mice and nonhuman primates. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 23, 586–600 (2016).
- Arnaboldi, P. M. et al. Intranasal delivery of a protein subunit vaccine using a tobacco mosaic virus platform protects against pneumonic plague. *Vaccine* 34, 5768–5776 (2016).
- Rocke, T. E. et al. Consumption of baits containing raccoon pox-based plague vaccines protects black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*). Vector Borne. Zoonotic Dis. **10**, 53–58 (2010).
- Rocke, T. E., Kingstad-Bakke, B., Berlier, W. & Osorio, J. E. A recombinant raccoon poxvirus vaccine expressing both *Yersinia pestis* F1 and truncated V antigens protects animals against lethal plague. *Vaccines* 2, 772–784 (2014).
- Abbott, R. C., Osorio, J. E., Bunck, C. M. & Rocke, T. E. Sylvatic plague vaccine: a new tool for conservation of threatened and endangered species? *Ecohealth* 9, 243–250 (2012).
- Rocke, T. E. et al. Sylvatic plague vaccine partially protects Prairie dogs (Cynomys spp.) in field trials. Ecohealth 14, 438–450 (2017).
- Tripp, D. W., Rocke, T. E., Runge, J. P., Abbott, R. C. & Miller, M. W. Burrow dusting or oral vaccination prevents plague-associated prairie dog colony collapse. *Ecohealth* 14, 451–462 (2017).
- Kulp, A. & Kuehn, M. J. Biological functions and biogenesis of secreted bacterial outer membrane vesicles. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 64, 163–184 (2010).
- Ellis, T. N. & Kuehn, M. J. Virulence and immunomodulatory roles of bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 74, 81–94 (2010).
- Holst, J. et al. Properties and clinical performance of vaccines containing outer membrane vesicles from *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Vaccine* 27, B3–B12 (2009).
- W. H. O. Workshop. Efficacy trials of Plague vaccines: endpoints, trial design, site selection. (2018).
- Anderson, G. W. et al. Short- and long-term efficacy of single-dose subunit vaccines against *Yersinia pestis* in mice. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 58, 793–799 (1998).
- Williamson, E. D. et al. An IgG1 titre to the F1 and V antigens correlates with protection against plague in the mouse model. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **116**, 107–114 (1999).
- Anderson, G. W. et al. Protection of mice from fatal bubonic and pneumonic plague by passive immunization with monoclonal antibodies against the F1 protein of *Yersinia pestis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 56, 471–473 (1997).
- Hill, J., Leary, S. E., Griffin, K. F., Williamson, E. D. & Titball, R. W. Regions of Yersinia pestis V antigen that contribute to protection against plague identified by passive and active immunization. *Infect. Immun.* 65, 4476–4482 (1997).
- Hill, J. et al. Synergistic protection of mice against plague with monoclonal antibodies specific for the F1 and V antigens of *Yersinia pestis*. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 2234–2238 (2003).
- Hill, J. et al. Administration of antibody to the lung protects mice against pneumonic plague. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 3068–3070 (2006).

- Xiao, X. et al. Human anti-plague monoclonal antibodies protect mice from Yersinia pestis in a bubonic plague model. PLoS ONE 5, e13047 (2010).
- Liu, W. C. et al. Identification and characterization of a neutralizing monoclonal antibody that provides complete protection against *Yersinia pestis*. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0177012 (2017).
- Meyer, K. F. Effectiveness of live or killed plague vaccines in man. Bull. World Health Organ. 42, 653–666 (1970).
- Cavanaugh, D. C. et al. Plague immunization. V. Indirect evidence for the efficacy of plague vaccine. J. Infect. Dis. 129, S37–S40 (1974).
- Meyer, K. F., Cavanaugh, D. C., Bartelloni, P. J. & Marshall, J. D. Jr. Plague immunization. I. Past and present trends. J. Infect. Dis. 129, S13–S18 (1974).
- Cohen, R. J. & Stockard, J. L. Pneumonic plague in an untreated plaguevaccinated individual. JAMA 202, 365–366 (1967).
- Meka-Mechenko, T. V. F1-negative natural Y. pestis strains. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 529, 379–381 (2003).
- Davis, K. J. et al. Pathology of experimental pneumonic plague produced by fraction 1-positive and fraction 1-negative Yersinia pestis in African green monkeys (Cercopithecus aethiops). Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 120, 156–163 (1996).
- Quenee, L. E., Cornelius, C. A., Ciletti, N. A., Elli, D. & Schneewind, O. Yersinia pestis caf1 variants and the limits of plague vaccine protection. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 2025–2036 (2008).
- Cornelius, C. A., Quenee, L. E., Elli, D., Ciletti, N. A. & Schneewind, O. Yersinia pestis IS1541 transposition provides for escape from plague immunity. *Infect. Immun.* 77, 1807–1816 (2009).
- Andrews, G. P., Heath, D. G., Anderson, G. W. Jr, Welkos, S. L. & Friedlander, A. M. Fraction 1 capsular antigen (F1) purification from *Yersinia pestis* CO92 and from an *Escherichia coli* recombinant strain and efficacy against lethal plague challenge. *Infect. Immun.* 64, 2180–2187 (1996).
- Andrews, G. P. et al. Protective efficacy of recombinant Yersinia outer proteins against bubonic plague caused by encapsulated and nonencapsulated *Yersinia pestis*. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 1533–1537 (1999).
- Simpson, W. J., Thomas, R. E. & Schwan, T. G. Recombinant capsular antigen (fraction 1) from *Yersinia pestis* induces a protective antibody response in BALB/ c mice. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **43**, 389–396 (1990).
- Meyer, K. F., Hightower, J. A. & McCrumb, F. R. Plague immunization. VI. Vaccination with the fraction I antigen of *Yersinia pestis*. J. Infect. Dis. **129**, S41–S45 (1974).
- Reddin, K. M. et al. Comparison of the immunological and protective responses elicited by microencapsulated formulations of the F1 antigen from *Yersinia pestis. Vaccine* 16, 761–767 (1998).
- Huang, S. S., Li, I. H., Hong, P. D. & Yeh, M. K. Development of *Yersinia pestis* F1 antigen-loaded microspheres vaccine against plague. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 9, 813–822 (2014).
- Anisimov, A. P. et al. Amino acid and structural variability of Yersinia pestis LcrV protein. Infect. Genet. Evol. 10, 137–145 (2010).
- Miller, N. C., Quenee, L. E., Elli, D., Ciletti, N. A. & Schneewind, O. Polymorphisms in the *lcrV* gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and their effect on plague protective immunity. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 1572–1582 (2012).
- 105. Tao, P. et al. Mutated and bacteriophage T4 nanoparticle arrayed F1-V immunogens from *Yersinia pestis* as next generation plague vaccines. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003495 (2013).
- Lin, J. S., Kummer, L. W., Szaba, F. M. & Smiley, S. T. IL-17 contributes to cellmediated defense against pulmonary *Yersinia pestis* infection. *J. Immunol.* 186, 1675–1684 (2011).
- Smiley, S. T. Immune defense against pneumonic plague. *Immunol. Rev.* 225, 256–271 (2008).
- Smiley, S. T. Cell-mediated defense against Yersinia pestis infection. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 603, 376–386 (2007).
- Comer, J. E. et al. Transcriptomic and innate immune responses to Yersinia pestis in the lymph node during bubonic plague. Infect. Immun. 78, 5086–5098 (2010).
- Dinc, G., Pennington, J. M., Yolcu, E. S., Lawrenz, M. B. & Shirwan, H. Improving the Th1 cellular efficacy of the lead *Yersinia pestis* rF1-V subunit vaccine using SA-4-1BBL as a novel adjuvant. *Vaccine* **32**, 5035–5040 (2014).
- Bi, Y. J. et al. IL-17A produced by neutrophils protects against pneumonic plague through orchestrating IFN-gamma-activated Macrophage programming. J. Immunol. **192**, 704–713 (2014).

- Toussi, D. N. & Massari, P. Immune adjuvant effect of molecularly-defined tolllike receptor ligands. *Vaccines* 2, 323–353 (2014).
- 113. Ciabattini, A. et al. Modulation of primary immune response by different vaccine adjuvants. *Front. Immunol.* **7**, 427 (2016).
- Demeure, C. in Yersinia: Systems Biology and Control (eds E. Carniel & B. J. Hinnebusch) 123–142 (Caister Academic Press, Wymondham, 2012).
- Williamson, E. D. & Oyston, P. C. F. in *Yersinia: Systems Biology and Control*. (eds E. Carniel & B. J. Hinnebusch) 143–168 (Caister Academic Press, Wymondham, 2012).
- 116. Saltykova, R. A. & Faibich, M. M. Experience from a 30-year study of the stability of the properties of the plague vaccine strain EV in the USSR. *Zh. Mikrobiol. Epidemiol. Immunobiol.* **6**, 3–8 (1975).
- Russell, P. et al. A comparison of Plague vaccine, USP and EV76 vaccine induced protection against *Yersinia pestis* in a murine model. *Vaccine* 13, 1551–1556 (1995).
- Meyer, K. F., Smith, G., Foster, L., Brookman, M. & Sung, M. Live, attenuated Yersinia pestis vaccine: virulent in nonhuman primates, harmless to guinea pigs. J. Infect. Dis. 129, S85–S120 (1974).
- Hallett, A. F., Isaacson, M. & Meyer, K. F. Pathogenicity and immunogenic efficacy of a live attentuated plaque vaccine in vervet monkeys. *Infect. Immun.* 8, 876–881 (1973).
- Une, T. & Brubaker, R. R. In vivo comparison of avirulent Vwa- and Pgm- or Pstr phenotypes of yersiniae. *Infect. Immun.* 43, 895–900 (1984).
- 121. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Fatal laboratory-acquired infection with an attenuated *Yersinia pestis* strain—Chicago, Illinois, 2009. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep.* **60**, 201–205 (2011).
- 122. Hu, S. L. et al. Protection of macaques against SIV infection by subunit vaccines of SIV envelope glycoprotein gp160. *Science* **255**, 456–459 (1992).
- 123. Cottingham, M. G. et al. Different levels of immunogenicity of two strains of Fowlpox virus as recombinant vaccine vectors eliciting T-cell responses in heterologous prime-boost vaccination strategies. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **13**, 747–757 (2006).
- 124. Lu, S. Heterologous prime-boost vaccination. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **21**, 346–351 (2009).
- 125. Vordermeier, H. M. et al. Cellular immune responses induced in cattle by heterologous prime-boost vaccination using recombinant viruses and bacille Calmette-Guerin. *Immunology* **112**, 461–470 (2004).
- 126. Derbise, A. et al. Complete protection against pneumonic and bubonic plague after a single oral vaccination. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **9**, e0004162 (2015).
- 127. Sun, W. et al. A live attenuated strain of *Yersinia pestis* KIM as a vaccine against plague. *Vaccine* **29**, 2986–2998 (2011).
- Lathem, W. W. et al. Progression of primary pneumonic plague: a mouse model of infection, pathology, and bacterial transcriptional activity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA 102, 17786–17791 (2005).
- 129. Agar, S. L. et al. Characterization of a mouse model of plague after aerosolization of *Yersinia pestis* CO92. *Microbiology* **154**, 1939–1948 (2008).
- Okan, N. A. et al. The *smpB-ssrA* mutant of *Yersinia pestis* functions as a live attenuated vaccine to protect mice against pulmonary plague infection. *Infect. Immun.* 78, 1284–1293 (2010).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019