Hindawi Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism Volume 2022, Article ID 8740272, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8740272

### Research Article

## Nutritional Status and Its Determinants among Adult Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy Treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia

# Ahmed Nuru Muhamed, Berihun Bante Tesema, Endalk Getasew Hiruy, Sahlu Mitku Shiferaw, Dessie Temesgen Aycheh, and Melsew Dagne Abate

Correspondence should be addressed to Ahmed Nuru Muhamed; ahmednuuruu@gmail.com

Received 6 April 2022; Accepted 29 June 2022; Published 28 September 2022

Academic Editor: Mohammed S. Razzaque

Copyright © 2022 Ahmed Nuru Muhamed et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Malnutrition is a common problem in cancer patients. It has an impact on all aspects of the patient's life such as increasing the risk of infection, treatment toxicity, hospital stay, and health-care costs. Factors influencing the nutritional status of adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy treatment in Ethiopia have not been thoroughly investigated. As a result, the purpose of this study is to assess the nutritional status and its determinants among adult cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital. Objectives. The objective of this study is to determine the nutritional status and its determinants among adult cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital. Methods. A cross-sectional study was conducted among adult cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital Oncology Treatment Center, from January to May 2021. The data were gathered through a face-to-face interview and chart review method. Epi Data 4.6 was used to enter the data, which was then exported to SPSS version 25 for statistical analysis. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to determine the association between nutritional status and potential risk factors. A P value less than 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. Result. This study revealed that 48.1% of participants have some level of malnutrition. Lowest wealth index AOR 0.06 (0.016-0.2), food insecurity AOR 0.1 (0.05-0.24), vomiting AOR 0.2 (0.110-.444), poor appetite AOR 0.2 (0.11-0.44), no diarrhea AOR 2.6 (1.34-5.00), and poor functioning AOR 0.3 (0.2-0.54) were significantly associated with good nutritional status. Conclusion and Recommendation. The prevalence of malnutrition among adult cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment at HUCSH was high. Wealth index, food security, poor appetite, diarrhea, and performance status were significantly correlated with the nutritional status of the patients. To improve the patient's nutritional status, economic support, early nutritional screening, and assessment, management of chemotherapy-induced symptoms should be considered.

#### 1. Introduction

Cancer is a disease in which abnormal cells develop without control, these cells develop and invade other cells and spread to different sites in the body causing disease and, if left untreated, could lead to the death of an individual [1]. Cancer is the world's second leading cause of death [2]. Globally, there were 17.0 million new cancer cases and 9.6 million deaths from cancer in 2018 [2]. About 70% of cancer-related deaths were reported in low- and middle-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wolkite University, Wolkite, SNNPR, Ethiopia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Adult Health Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Debre Tabor University, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>St. Peter Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Debre Tabor Health Sciences College, Department of Nursing, Debre Tabor, Ethiopia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Adult Health Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia

income countries [3]. In Ethiopia, cancer is responsible for 77,352 new cases and 51,865 cancer deaths in 2020 [4].

Cancer patients are vulnerable to nutritional deficiency as a result of the combined effects of the disease and its treatment [5]. Nutrition has a positive role in improving patients' overall health. On the other hand, malnutrition affects all aspects of a patient's life by increasing the risk of infection, delayed wound healing, increasing treatment toxicity, extending hospital stay, and increasing health-care costs [6].

Malnutrition is defined as an acute or chronic nutritional condition characterized by nutrient excess or deficiency, energy imbalance, and inflammatory activity that results in a change in body composition, impairment of function, and clinical outcome [6].

Cancer and its treatment have an impact on the nutritional status by altering the metabolic system, altered food taste, and reducing food intake [7]. It causes changes in physiological and psychological functions [7], which may have an impact on quality of life [7]. Indeed, acute and chronic symptoms associated with antineoplastic treatments frequently have a negative impact on the nutritional status of the patients [8–10].

Chemotherapy has a number of side effects, including nausea, vomiting, anorexia, diarrhea, and constipation, all of have an effect on the patient's life [6]. Patients are more likely to become malnourished in these circumstances, especially if chemotherapy treatment is given more often and for long periods [6, 11].

Even though cancer and its treatment raise the risk of malnutrition, early assessment of nutritional status is crucial for early nutritional management and thus increasing the patient's survival [10]. Despite the high prevalence of malnutrition in cancer patients, only a few studies have examined the nutritional condition of adult cancer patients in Ethiopia, with all previous studies took place in Addis Ababa (the capital city of Ethiopia). Furthermore, there are key issues that affect cancer patients' nutritional health that have yet to be addressed, particularly in our country's setting, such as length of sickness [10], food security [12], anxiety [13, 14], and depression [13, 15].

This study aims to examine the nutritional status of adult cancer patients who are undergoing chemotherapy treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital (HUCSH). As a result, this research will help researchers to better understand the incidence of malnutrition and the factors that contribute to it. It will also aid healthcare workers to emphasize the prevention of malnutrition. As a result, early detection and management of malnutrition will reduce mortality, morbidity, and length of stay in the hospital, improve healing, and reduce costs.

#### 2. Methodology

2.1. Study Area and Period. The study was conducted at the Cancer Treatment Center of HUCSH from January to May 2021. Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital is one of the teaching and referral hospitals providing cancer treatment for the southern nation's

nationalities peoples and Sidama National Regional State of Ethiopia and is under Hawassa University.

Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital is located in Hawassa city which is 275 km far from Addis Ababa. The oncology unit of the hospital provides diagnostic, surgical, and chemotherapy treatment services. The Oncology Treatment Center contains a total of 12 beds for inpatient treatment, and the treatment was given in three days per week.

2.2. Study Design. An institutional-based cross-sectional study design was conducted.

#### 2.3. Population

- 2.3.1. Source Population. The source populations were all adult cancer patients who are on chemotherapy treatment follow-up at the HUCSH Cancer Treatment Center.
- 2.3.2. Study Population. The study populations were all adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy treatment during the data collection period at HUCSH.
- 2.4. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Inclusion Criteria Patients were included in the study if they were 18 years or older, had no hospitalization in the month before the study (except for routine chemotherapy), and had received at least one cycle of chemotherapy treatment, regardless of the site of cancer.
- 2.4.1. Exclusion Criteria. The exclusion criteria include the presence of active illness, hearing, or communication impairment.
- 2.5. Study Variables
- 2.5.1. Dependent Variable. It is nutritional status.

#### 2.5.2. Independent Variables

- (1) Socio-demographic factors. age, sex, educational status, marital status, residence, religion, occupation, wealth index, and food security.
- (2) Life Style Factors. They are consumption of alcohol, cigarette smoking, and chat chewing.
- (3) Clinical Information. It includes type of cancer, duration of illness, number of chemotherapy cycles, stage of cancer, performance status, comorbidities, anxiety, and depression.
- (4) Symptoms. They are altered taste, poor appetite, dry mouth, pain, fatigue, mouth ulcer, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and constipation.

#### 2.6. Operational Definition

- (1) Subjective global assessment score of nutritional status: according to the sum score of points assigned to each item, patients were classified as well nourished: <17 points, and malnourished (moderate and severe): ≥17 points [14].
- (2) Performance status: this is the assessment of the level of function and ability of self-care using the World Health Organization Performance Status measuring scale ranging from 0 (fully active) to 4 (bedridden) [16].
- (3) Food insecurity is a state that exists when people do not have adequate physical, social, or economic access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs for an active and healthy lifestyle [17].
- (4) The Household Food Insecurity Access Scale is a set of questions about food access that are used to distinguish food-secure from food-insecure households in various cultural contexts [18].
- 2.7. Sample Size Determination. All adult cancer patients who visited the HUCSH Cancer Treatment Center for chemotherapy treatment during the data collection period were included consequently. The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula with a 5% margin of error (d) and a 95% confidence interval (alpha = 0.05), and the proportion of malnutrition in cancer patients in Ethiopia at TASH was 27.5 percent (P = 0.275) [17].

$$ni = Z^2 \frac{P(1-P)}{d^2},\tag{1}$$

where ni = initial sample size.  $Z\alpha/2 = 1.96$  (Z = score corresponds to 95% confidence level). P = proportion of affected quality of life of cancer patients. q = proportion of not affected quality of life of cancer patients. d2 = margin of error (0.05)

$$ni = 1.96^2 \frac{0.275 (0.725)}{0.05^2}.$$
 (2)

Considering 10% of contingency for nonresponses, the final sample size will be 338.

2.8. Data Collection and Quality Control. There were six parts to the data collection: initially, after evaluating the literature, the authors constructed questionnaires to collect socio-demographic data, and clinical information about the disorders and treatments was collected from the medical chart.

Second, a wealth index questionnaire consists of 21 questions that are used to measure housing conditions and household characteristics. Principal component analysis was used to compute and minimize the number of variables (PCA). Then, using an Ethiopian demographic health survey, they were divided into five quintiles [19].

To assess the patient's nutritional status, the patientgenerated subjective global assessment (PG-SGA) tool was used. This tool consists of a patient history emphasizing weight loss, gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea and vomiting, and a physical examination emphasizing subcutaneous fat tissue loss and muscle wasting [20].

Fourth, the Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) was used to assess food insecurity in households.

It consists of nine questions about food insecurity, with response options of never, rarely, sometimes, or often. The highest HFIAS score is 27, and the higher the score, the greater the food insecurity [15].

Fifth, the World Health Organization Performance Status (WHO-PS) questionnaire was used to assess the patient's performance status.

It is frequently used to assess how the condition affects the patient's ability to perform daily duties, which are graded on a scale of 0 (completely active) to 4 (bedridden), with a score of 0–1 indicating good status and 2–4 indicating poor status [16].

Sixth, the hospital anxiety and depression questionnaire was used to assess the patient's anxiety and depression levels. It is a valid and reliable questionnaire used to assess anxiety and depression in the general population [21]. The HAD consists of 14 items divided into two subscales (anxiety and depression), each with seven items, and is scored on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 3, with 0 being the most pleasant response and 3 being the least pleasant. Each subscale's score is computed, and values of 11 or higher are considered to indicate depression or anxiety disorder. Scores of 7 or less indicate that the person should not be considered a case, while scores of 8 to 10 indicate that the findings are questionable [21, 22].

2.9. Data Analysis. Data were entered into Epi Data 4.2 software, cleaned and coded, and then exported to SPSS version 25 for analysis (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). SPSS Inc. was a software house headquartered in Chicago, USA. It is a foremost global manufacturer of software used in data analysis, data management, reporting, and modeling [23]. Categorical variables were expressed using descriptive statistics (frequency and percentages) and continuous variables using mean and standard deviation. Bivariable analyses were used to investigate the first connection between each independent variable and the dependent variable. Then, to account for cofounders and identify the predictors of nutritional status, those independent variables with a P value of less than 0.25 were entered into multivariable logistic regression. The statistical significance was determined by a P value of less than 0.05, and the strength of the association was determined by an OR with a 95 percent confidence interval. The Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test (P value = 0.223) was used to assess model fitness.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents. Out of 338 samples, 324 participated in the study having a response rate of 95.86%, 223 (68.8%) were female, and 175 (57.8%) were below the age group of 50 with a mean (SD) age of 45.81 years (10.98). More than half of the participants, 66 (54.2%), have no formal education. About 231 (71.3%) were

TABLE 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of adult cancer patients						
under	chemotherapy	treatment	at	HUCSH,	Hawassa,	Southern
Ethiop	ia, 2021.					

Age	Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent
Age       50-59       97       29.9         ≥60       40       12.3         Sex       Female       223       68.8         Male       101       31.2         Residences       Rural       215       66.4         Urban       109       33.9         Sidama       209       64.5         Amhara       46       14.2         Ethnicity       Oromo       46       14.2         Gurage       23       7.1         Other       Orthodox       18       5.6         Religion       Muslim       23       7.1         Protestant       256       79         Married       231       71.3         Single       22       6.8         Divorced       38       11.7         Widowed       33       10.2         Educational status       Primary       89       27.5         Secondary       30       9.3         College and above       29       9.0         Housewife       125       37.7         Farmer       90       27.4         Merchant       50       15.5		18-40	102	31.5
≥60	A 000	41-49	85	26.2
Sex	Age	50-59	97	29.9
Sex         Male         101         31.2           Residences         Rural Urban         215 66.4 (109 33.9)           Sidama         209 64.5 (109 64.5)           Amhara         46 14.2 (14.2)           Ethnicity         Oromo 46 14.2 (14.2)           Gurage 23 7.1 Other         23 7.1 (14.2)           Religion         Muslim 23 7.1 (14.2)           Protestant         256 79 (14.2)           Married 231 71.3 (14.2)         Single 22 6.8 (14.2)           Divorced 38 11.7 (14.2)         Widowed 33 10.2 (14.2)           Educational status         No formal education 176 176 176 (14.2)           Primary 89 27.5 (14.2)         Secondary 20 9.0 (14.2)           Housewife 125 37.7 (14.2)         Farmer 90 27.4 (14.2)           Merchant 50 15.5 (15.5)         Merchant 50 15.5 (15.5)           Occupational status         Government employee (16 4.9) (16.2)           Unemployed 16 4.9 (16.2)         4.9 (16.2)           Other* 13 4.0 (16.2)         4.9 (16.2)           Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 (14.8)         14.8 (14.8)           Fourth 64 19.8 (14.8)		≥60	40	12.3
Male   101   31.2	Sav		223	68.8
Sidama   209   64.5	JCA	Male	101	31.2
Sidama   209   64.5	Pacidancas	Rural	215	66.4
Amhara   46   14.2	Residences	Urban	109	33.9
Ethnicity         Oromo Gurage Other         46 Other         14.2 Other           Religion         Orthodox Muslim 23 7.1 Other         18 5.6 Other           Muslim Protestant 256 79         256 79           Married Single 22 6.8 Other         231 71.3 Other           Marital status Obvorced 38 11.7 Other         38 11.7 Other           Widowed 33 10.2 Other         33 10.2 Other           Primary 89 27.5 Other         89 27.5 Other           Farmer 90 27.4 Other         30 9.3 Other           Farmer 90 27.4 Other         30 9.3 Other           Occupational status Other         30 9.4 Other           Unemployed 16 4.9 Other         49 Other           Other* 13 4.0 Other         109 33.6 Other           Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 Fourth 64 19.8		Sidama	209	64.5
Gurage Other   Other		Amhara	46	14.2
Other           Religion         Orthodox Muslim 23 7.1 Protestant 256 79           Married 231 71.3           Married 231 71.3           Married 22 6.8 Divorced 38 11.7 Widowed 33 10.2           Marrial status Primary 89 27.5 Secondary 30 9.3 College and above 29 9.0           Housewife Farmer 90 27.4 Merchant 50 15.5 Occupational status         Housewife 125 37.7 Farmer 90 27.4 Merchant 50 15.5 Sovernment employee Unemployed 16 4.9 Other* 13 4.0           Lowest 65 20.1 Second 109 33.6 Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 Fourth 64 19.8	Ethnicity	Oromo	46	14.2
Religion         Orthodox Muslim 23 7.1 Protestant 256 79           Married 231 71.3           Married 231 71.3           Marital status Single Divorced 38 11.7 Widowed 33 10.2           Moformal education Primary 89 27.5 Secondary 30 9.3 College and above 29 9.0           Housewife 125 37.7 Farmer 90 27.4 Merchant 50 15.5           Occupational status Government employee Unemployed 16 4.9 Other* 13 4.0           Lowest 65 20.1 Second 109 33.6           Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 Fourth 64 19.8		Gurage	23	7.1
Religion         Muslim Protestant         23 7.1 79           Married         231 71.3           Married         231 71.3           Single         22 6.8 11.7 Widowed           Divorced         38 11.7 Widowed           Widowed         33 10.2           Primary         89 27.5 Secondary           Secondary         30 9.3 College and above           College and above         29 9.0           Housewife         125 37.7 Farmer           Farmer         90 27.4 Merchant           Merchant         50 15.5 Government employee           Unemployee         16 4.9 Other*           Other*         13 4.0           Lowest         65 20.1 Second           Second         109 33.6 Middle           Wealth index         Middle 48 14.8 Fourth           Fourth         64 19.8		Other		
Protestant   256   79		Orthodox	18	5.6
Married         231         71.3           Single         22         6.8           Divorced         38         11.7           Widowed         33         10.2           Primary         89         27.5           Secondary         30         9.3           College and above         29         9.0           Housewife         125         37.7           Farmer         90         27.4           Merchant         50         15.5           Occupational status         Government employee         30         9.4           Unemployee         16         4.9           Other*         13         4.0           Lowest         65         20.1           Second         109         33.6           Wealth index         Middle         48         14.8           Fourth         64         19.8	Religion	Muslim	23	7.1
Marital status         Single Divorced Divorced Widowed         22 38 11.7 33 10.2           Butter of Marital status         No formal education Primary Secondary Secondary 30 9.3 27.5 30.9 30 9.3 20.0           College and above 29 9.0         Housewife 125 37.7 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 27.4 2		Protestant	256	79
Divorced   38   11.7     Widowed   33   10.2     No formal education   176   54.3     Primary   89   27.5     Secondary   30   9.3     College and above   29   9.0     Housewife   125   37.7     Farmer   90   27.4     Merchant   50   15.5     Occupational status   Government     employee   Unemployed   16   4.9     Other*   13   4.0     Lowest   65   20.1     Second   109   33.6     Wealth index   Middle   48   14.8     Fourth   64   19.8		Married	231	71.3
Divorced   38   11.7     Widowed   33   10.2	Marital status	Single	22	6.8
No formal education	wantai status	Divorced	38	11.7
Educational status         Primary Secondary Secondary Secondary College and above         89 9.3         27.5           Housewife American Parmer         125 37.7         37.7         37.7           Farmer Parmer Parmer         90 27.4         27.4         37.7           Merchant Source         50 15.5         15.5         37.7		Widowed	33	10.2
Secondary   30   9.3       College and above   29   9.0     Housewife   125   37.7     Farmer   90   27.4     Merchant   50   15.5     Occupational status   Government   employee   Unemployed   16   4.9     Other*   13   4.0     Lowest   65   20.1     Second   109   33.6     Wealth index   Middle   48   14.8     Fourth   64   19.8		No formal education	176	54.3
Secondary   30   9.3       College and above   29   9.0     Housewife   125   37.7       Farmer   90   27.4       Merchant   50   15.5     Occupational status   Government   employee   Unemployed   16   4.9       Other*   13   4.0       Lowest   65   20.1       Second   109   33.6     Wealth index   Middle   48   14.8     Fourth   64   19.8	Educational status	Primary	89	27.5
Housewife   125   37.7   Farmer   90   27.4   Merchant   50   15.5	Educational status	Secondary	30	9.3
Farmer   90   27.4     Merchant   50   15.5     Occupational status   Government   employee   16   4.9     Unemployed   16   4.9     Other*   13   4.0     Lowest   65   20.1     Second   109   33.6     Wealth index   Middle   48   14.8     Fourth   64   19.8		College and above	29	9.0
Merchant         50         15.5           Occupational status         Government employee         30         9.4           Unemployee         16         4.9           Other*         13         4.0           Lowest         65         20.1           Second         109         33.6           Wealth index         Middle         48         14.8           Fourth         64         19.8		Housewife	125	37.7
Occupational status         Government employee Unemployed Other*         30         9.4           Unemployed Other*         16         4.9           Other*         13         4.0           Lowest Second 109         33.6           Wealth index Middle Fourth 64         48         14.8           Fourth 64         19.8		Farmer	90	27.4
employee Unemployed Other*  Lowest Second Wealth index  High Second Fourth  130  9.4  4.9  4.9  4.0  130  4.0  109  33.6  4.0  109  33.6  4.0  109  33.6  4.0  109  33.6		Merchant	102 85 97 40 223 101 215 109 209 46 46 23 18 23 256 231 22 38 33 176 89 30 29 125 90 50 30 16 13 65 109 48 64	15.5
Employee   Unemployed   16   4.9     13   4.0     4.	Occupational status	Government	30	9.4
Other*         13         4.0           Lowest         65         20.1           Second         109         33.6           Wealth index         Middle         48         14.8           Fourth         64         19.8		employee	30	7.4
Lowest 65 20.1 Second 109 33.6 Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 Fourth 64 19.8		Unemployed	16	4.9
Second         109         33.6           Wealth index         Middle         48         14.8           Fourth         64         19.8		Other*	13	4.0
Wealth index Middle 48 14.8 Fourth 64 19.8		Lowest	65	20.1
Fourth 64 19.8			102 85 97 40 223 101 215 109 209 46 46 23 18 23 256 231 22 38 33 176 89 30 29 125 90 50 30 16 13 65 109 48 64	33.6
	Wealth index	Middle		14.8
Highest 38 11.7		Fourth		19.8
		Highest	38	11.7

married, and 215 (66.4%) of the patients were rural residents. Regarding occupation, 125 (37.7%) were housewives and 85 (27.4) were farmers. More than half of the study participants 53.3% have a lowest and second wealth index quintal (Table 1).

- 3.2. Lifestyle of Respondents. 48 (14.8%), 42 (12.9%), and 76(23.4%) of the respondents had history of alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and chat chewing, respectively (Figure 1).
- 3.3. Clinical Characteristics of the Participants. Breast cancer was the leading type of cancer accounting for 25.5% of the respondents, followed by GI cancer 23.9%. The mean length of time since their diagnosis of cancer was 14.95 months. One hundred and thirty two (42.3%) of the participants were in stage III of cancer. Concerning the current chemotherapy treatment,




FIGURE 1: The lifestyle of adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy at HUCSH, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia, 2021.

89 (28.7%) participants were in their fourth cycle of treatment. Seventy one (21.9%) of the participants had comorbid diseases, among these 54.9% of the participants had hypertension. The mean (SD) of anxiety and depression subscale scores was 8.83 (3.287) and 9.29 (4.177), respectively (Table 2).

- 3.4. Nutritional Status of Cancer Patients. One hundred and sixty eight (51.9%) of the participants are well nourished, and 156 (48.1%) are malnourished (moderate and severe malnutrition) (Table 3).
- 3.5. Symptoms of Cancer Patients. The common symptoms that appeared among cancer patients were fatigue 269 (83%), nausea 250 (77.2%), appetite loss 111 (34.3%), diarrhea 160 (49.4%), and vomiting 106 (32.7%) (Figure 2).
- 3.6. Determinants of Nutritional Status. In this study, multiple factors were associated with nutritional status in adult cancer patients. The bivariable logistic regression analysis shows that educational status, wealth index, food security, type of cancer, stage of cancer, the cycle of chemotherapy, the presence of comorbidities, anxiety, performance status, nausea, poor appetite, vomiting, and diarrhea were significantly correlated with nutritional status at P < 0.25 and entered into the multivariable analysis.

In the multivariable analysis, wealth index, food security, poor appetite, vomiting, diarrhea, and performance status had a significant association with nutritional status. The multivariable analysis showed that adult cancer patients with vomiting were 80% less likely to have good nutritional status, AOR 0.2 (0.110–444).

Patients that have the lowest wealth index were 94% less likely to be well nourished AOR 0.06 (0.016–0.2) compared to patients with the highest wealth index. Patients with food insecurity were 90% less likely to be well nourished AOR 0.1 (0.05–0.24) than patients with secured food. Similarly, patients who have poor appetite are 80% less likely to be well nourished than patients without appetite loss with AOR 0.2 (0.11–0.44).

Table 2: Clinical characteristics of adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy treatment at HUCSH, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia, 2021.

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Breast cancer	79	25.5
	GI cancer*a		23.9
	Gynecological cancer*b		21.9
Cancer type	Hematological cancer	57	18.4
		17	5.5
	Prostate cancer	79 74 68 57 17 15 218 29 22 16 14 11 63 62 89 96 18 68 131 93 239 39 16 9 7 97 227 192 83 49 164 90	4.8
	<12 months	218	70.3
	12-24 months	29	9.4
Duration of illness	25-36 months	22	7.1
Duration of limess	37-48 months	16	5.2
	49-60 months	14	4.5
	>60 months	11	3.5
	Two	63	20.3
Cycle of	Three	62	20
chemotherapy	Breast cancer 79 GI cancer*a 74 Gynecological cancer*b 68 Hematological cancer Lung cancer 17 Prostate cancer 15 <12 months 218 12-24 months 29 25-36 months 16 49-60 months 11 Two 63 Three 62 Four 89 Five and above 96 Stage I 18 Stage III 131 Stage IV 93 No comorbidity 239 Hypertension 39 DM 16 Cardiac diseases 9 Other*c 7 <2 97 ≥2 227 Normal 192 Border line 83 Case 49 Normal 164 Border line 90 Case 70	28.7	
	Five and above	96	31
	Stage I	18	5.8
Stage of cancer	Stage II	68	21.9
Stage of cancer	Stage III	131	42.3
	Stage IV	93	30
	No comorbidity	239	77.1
		39	12.6
Comorbidity	DM	16	5.2
•	Cardiac diseases	9	2.9
	Other*c	7	2.3
WHO performance	<2	97	29.9
status	≥2	227	70.1
	Normal	192	59.3
Anxiety	Border line	83	25.6
•	Case	79 74 68 57 17 15 218 29 22 16 14 11 63 62 89 96 18 68 131 93 239 39 16 9 7 97 227 192 83 49 164 90	15.1
	Normal	164	50.6
Depression	Border line	57 18.  17 5.5 15 4.8  218 70. 29 9.4 22 7.1 16 5.2 14 4.5 11 3.5 63 20. 62 20 89 28. 96 31 18 5.8 68 21. 131 42. 93 30 239 77. 39 12. 16 5.2 9 2.5 7 2.3 97 29. 227 70. 192 59. 83 25. 49 15. 164 50. 90 27. 70 21.	27.8
-	Case	70	21.6

<sup>\*</sup>a, GI cancer: esophageal, gastric, and colorectal cancers. \*b, gynecologic cancer: ovarian and cervical cancers.

Table 3: Nutritional status of adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy treatment at HUCSH, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia.

Nutritional stat	us	Frequency	Percent
	Well nourished	168	51.9
PG-SGA score	Moderately malnourished	118	36.4
	Severely malnourished	38	11.7

Those patients that have no diarrhea were 2.6 times more likely to have normal nutritional status than patients with diarrhea with AOR 2.6 (1.34–5.00). Patients that have poor functioning are 60% less likely to be well nourished than patients with good performance status with AOR 0.3 (0.2–0.54) (Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

Cancer patients are vulnerable to nutritional deficiency as a result of the combined effects of the disease and its treatment. Nutritional deficiency has an impact on all spheres of the patient's life by increasing the risk of infection, increasing treatment toxicity, delaying wound healing, prolonging hospital stay, and increasing health-related costs. In Ethiopia, nutritional assessment is not performed routinely on cancer patients. An institution-based cross-sectional study was aimed to assess the nutritional status and associated factors among adult cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment at Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Ethiopia, 2021.

This study has shown that the overall prevalence of malnutrition among adult cancer patients receiving chemotherapy was 48.1%. This finding was in line with studies done in Malaysia (43.5%) [24], Oman (40.21%) [25], and Ethiopia at Addis Ababa (47.1%) [26]. However, the finding of this study was higher than the study done in New Zealand (32%) [26], Vietnaam (34.1%) [27], Iran (6%) [5], and Japan (19%) [28]. A high level of malnutrition in this study could be explained by low socioeconomic status, differences in the assessment method used, less resourced health facilities, and lack of integration of dieticians in the treatment protocol of cancer [13, 14].

The nutritional status found in this study was associated with the economic conditions of the patients, patient with the lowest economic status was 94 times less likely to be well nurished than patients with the highest economic status, AOR 0.06 (0.02–0.2). This finding was in line with studies in the USA, Vietnam, and Kenya [25, 27, 29]. This can be explained by patients with a good financial status that can access a diversified nutritional diet and special supportive treatments that can promote the health status of the patient.

In this study, patients with insecure food were 90% less likely to be well nourished than patients with secured food with AOR 0.1 (0.05–0.24). This finding was in line with studies in Iran, Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, and Kenya [6, 12, 23, 30]. This can be explained by food insecurity in households leading to a change in dietary practices and lack of adequate food that can lead to poor nutritional status, so this can increase the burden of symptoms, the length of hospital stay, and poor prognosis [29].

Appetite loss and diarrhea are significantly associated with the nutritional status of adult cancer patients. This finding was consistent with studies reported from Libya, [31] India, [32] and Korea [33]. This may be due to cancer therapy affecting the nutritional status of the patient through altering the metabolic system and changes in food tests, resulting in damage to normal tissues [33].

In this study, a significant association was found between performance statuses with the nutritional status of participants. Functional performance activity is a factor that deserves attention, considering that individuals with limited functional capacity have difficulties in the preparation of food, and basic life care [16]. The results of this study support this statement because it was observed that patients with poor functional status were 60% less likely to have normal nutritional status than mobile patients. This finding was in line with other studies [5,14]. Poor functional status is a known factor unfavorably affecting the physical, psychological, and social health of the patients [16].




FIGURE 2: Symptoms of adult cancer patients undertaking chemotherapy treatment at HUCSH, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia.

Table 4: Bivariable and multivariable logistic regression of determinant factors for nutritional status among adult cancer patients under chemotherapy at HUCSH, Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia.

Variables	C-+	Nutritional status		COD (OF CI)	A O.D. (05, CI)	n 1
v ariables	Category	Undernutrition	Normal	COR (95 CI)	AOR (95 CI)	P value
	Lowest	43	10	0.1 (0.02-0.16)	0.06 (0.02-0.2)	
	Second	52	52	0.2 (0.10-0.57)	0.3 (0.1-0.75)	
Wealth index	Middle	24	31	0.3 (0.12-0.8)	0.3 (0.09-0.99)	<0.001**
	Fourth	29	42	0.3 (0.14-0.87)	0.4 (0.15-1.29)	
	Highest	8	33	1	1	
Earl accurity	Insecure	81	20	8.0 (4.553-14.030)	0.1 (0.05-0.24)	<0.001**
Food security	Secure	75	148	1	1	
A +: + - 1	Yes	132	79	0.3 (0.178-0.476)	0.2 (0.11-0.44)	۶0 001**
Appetite lose	No	124	89	1	1	<0.001**
D:l	Yes	89	71	1	1	0.005**
Diarrhea	No	67	97	1.8 (1.17-2.82)	2.6 (1.34-5.00)	0.01*
MILO DC	<2	65	32	0.3 (0.2-0.543)	0.4 (0.18-0.79)	
WHO-PS	> 2	91	136	1	1	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significant level at p < 0.01, \*significant level at p < 0.05.

The potential limitation of this study was that food insecurity is assessed based on a one-month recall of occurrence, and social desirability bias may increase or decrease. Some variables like dietary diversity, family size of the patients, and treatment adherences were not determined which could be considered as a limitation. This study address anxiety and depression in relation to nutritional status.

#### 5. Conclussion

The prevalence of malnutrition among adult cancer patients receiving chemotherapy treatment was high. Wealth index, food security, appetite loss, presence of diarrhea, and performance status were found to be significant factors for nutritional status. To improve the nutritional status of adult cancer patients, regular nutritional assessment, economic support, improving food security, managing chemotherapy-induced symptoms, such as appetite loss and diarrhea, nutritional education mainly on food preference, energy, and nutrient balance, nutritional intervention, and further longitudinal studies are needed to explore the impact of malnutrition on survival and quality of life in cancer patients.

5.1. Recommendation. Governmental and nongovernmental organizations should provide support to cancer patients that have an economic problem to improve food security so that

they could not expose to stress and nutritional problems. Health-care providers should consider regular nutritional assessment, manage chemotherapy-induced symptoms, such as appetite loss and diarrhea, and give nutritional education mainly on food preference, energy, and nutrient balance. Lastly, further prospective studies are needed to explore the impact of malnutrition on survival and quality of life among cancer patients in developing countries.

5.2. Implication. This study identifies key factors that affect the nutritional status of cancer patients in developing countries. Identification of those predictor factors would permit the government to design strategies to foster the nutritional status of cancer patients. The study finding implies the predictors of malnutrition in cancer patients were low socioeconomic status and symptom burden. Hence, this finding emphasizes to give economic support to less wealthy cancer patients and better management of cancer-related symptoms should be included to improve the nutritional status of patients.

#### **Abbreviations**

AOR: Adjusted odds ratio
CI: Confidence interval
COR: Crude 275 odds ratio

HUCSH: Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized

Hospital Illinois

PCA: Principal component analysis

PG- Patient-generated subjective global assessment

SGA:

IL:

SGA: Subjective global assessment

SPSS: Statistical package for social science

USA: United States of America

WHO- World Health Organization Performance Status.

PS:

#### **Data Availability**

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### **Ethical Approval**

Ethical clearance and approval letters were obtained from the Ethical Clearance Committee of Bahir Dar University College of Medicine and the Health Science Ethical Review Committee to conduct the research with protocol No. 051/ 2021. A formal letter was submitted to the HUCSH Oncology Treatment Center.

#### Consent

Informed consent was obtained from the study participants after clearly introducing the purpose, benefits, and risks of the study. Confidentiality was secured by coding each questionnaire and not sharing personal information to the third party.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this study.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

Ahmed Nuru conceived the study, prepared the proposal, analyzed the data, interpreted the findings, and wrote the manuscript. Berihun Bante and Endalik Getasew were involved in data analysis and reviewing of the manuscript. Sahlu Shiferaw and Dessie Temesgen participated in editing and revising subsequent drafts of the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Bahir Dar University, Hawassa University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Oncology Treatment Center staff, study participants, data collectors, and supervisors for the support of the overall process of this study.

#### References

- [1] M. M. Harding, *Lewis's Medical-Surgical Nursing*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2020.
- [2] F. Bray, J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R. L. Siegel, L. A. Torre, and A. Jemal, "Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 394–424, 2018.
- [3] D. M. Parkin, L. Hämmerl, J. Ferlay, and E. J. Kantelhardt, "Cancer in Africa 2018: the role of infections," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 146, no. 8, pp. 2089–2103, 2020.
- [4] J. Ferlay, M. Colombet, I. Soerjomataram et al., "Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLO-BOCAN sources and methods," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 144, no. 8, pp. 1941–1953, 2019.
- [5] N. Khoshnevis, F. Ahmadizar, M. Alizadeh, and M. E. Akbari, "Nutritional assessment of cancer patients in Tehran, Iran," Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1621–1626, 2012.
- [6] K. Alsaleh, F. A. Almomen, A. Altaweel, O. Barasain, and A. Alq, "Malnutrition in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy in a single oncology center," *Journal of Nature and Science and Medicine*, vol. 4, 2021.
- [7] C. G. Lis, D. Gupta, C. A. Lammersfeld, M. Markman, and P. G. Vashi, "Role of nutritional status in predicting quality of life outcomes in cancer-a systematic review of the epidemiological literature," *Nutrition Journal*, vol. 11, 2012.
- [8] R. Wagland, H. Sciences, R. Wagland et al., "Treatment-related problems experienced by cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: a scoping review," *European Journal of Cancer Care*, vol. 24, pp. 605–617, 2015.
- [9] E. Citak, Z. Tulek, and O. Uzel, "Nutritional status in patients with head and neck cancer undergoing radiotherapy: a longitudinal study," *Support Care Cancer*, vol. 27, pp. 6–10, 2018.
- [10] C. Management, "Nutritional status and related factors of patients with advanced lung cancer in northern china: a retrospective study," Cancer Mangement Research, vol. 11, pp. 2225–2231, 2019.

- [11] F. Rafaella, D. M. Silva, M. Gondim et al., "Factors associated with malnutrition in hospitalized cancer patients: a croossectional study," *Nutrition Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2015.
- [12] M. D. Maskooni, A. D. Motlagh, and A. Izadi, "Is food security associated to nutritional status among hospitalized cancer and non-cancer patients," *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 801–804, 2013.
- [13] J. Shamsudin, D. Program, H. Campus, L. E. E. M. E. I. Ling, D. Program, and H. Campus, "The association of nutritional status with psychological distress among cancer patients at hospital universiti sains," *International Journal of Allied Health Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1635–1643.
- [14] E. Worku, "Prevalence and factors associated with undernutrition on cancer patients at tikur anbessa specialized hospital, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, 2021.
- [15] M. Chabowski, J. Polański, B. Jankowska-Polańska, D. Janczak, and J. Rosińczuk, "Is nutritional status associated with the level of anxiety, depression and pain in patients with lung cancer," *Journal of Thoracic Disease*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2303–2310, 2018.
- [16] F. Azam, M. F. Latif, A. Farooq et al., "Performance status assessment by using ECOG (eastern cooperative oncology group) score for cancer patients by oncology healthcare professionals," *Case Reports in Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 728–736, 2019.
- [17] E. Van Den Bogaart, M. M. Berkhout, A. B. Nour et al., "Concomitant malaria among visceral leishmaniasis in-patients from Gedarif and Sennar States, Sudan: a retrospective case-control study," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 332–413, 2013.
- [18] A. Derso, H. Bizuneh, A. Keleb, A. Ademas, and M. Adane, "Food insecurity status and determinants among Urban Productive Safety Net Program beneficiary households in Addis Ababa," *Plos One*, 2021.
- [19] ICF, EP Health Institute (EPHI)[Ethiopia] and. Ethiopia Mini Demographic Health Survey 2019 Final Report, ICF, Addis Ababa, 2019.
- [20] Y. D. Nigatu, S. H. Gebreyesus, J. P. Allard, and B. S. Endris, "The effect of malnutrition at admission on length of hospital stay among adult patients in developing country: a prospective cohort study," *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*, vol. 41, pp. 217–224, 2021.
- [21] Y. Wondie, A. Mehnert, and A. Hinz, "The hospital anxiety and depression scale (HADS) applied to Ethiopian cancer patients," *PLoS One*, vol. 15, no. 12, Article ID e0243357, 2020.
- [22] R. Akel, H. El Darsa, B. Anouti et al., "Anxiety, depression and quality of life in breast cancer patients in the levant," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention: Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2809–2816, 2017.
- [23] IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA, 2017.
- [24] M. Mohamadpour, Z. M. Sharif, and M. A. Keysami, "Food insecurity, health and nutritional status among sample of palm-plantation households in Malaysia," *Journal of Health*, *Population and Nutrition*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 291–302, 2012.
- [25] N. Vergara, J. E. Montoya, H. G. Luna, J. R. Amparo, and G. Cristal-luna, "Quality of life and nutritional status among cancer patients on chemotherapy," *Oman Medical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 270–274, 2013.
- [26] T. G. Argefa and L. Roets, "Malnutrition and the survival of cervical cancer patients: a prospective cohort study using the PG-SGA tool," *Nutrition and Cancer*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 605–612, 2021.

- [27] T. Q. Cuong, M. Banks, M. Hannan-jones, D. Thi, N. Diep, and D. Gallegos, "Prevalence and associated risk factors of malnutrition among hospitalized adults in a multisite study in Ho Chi Minh city," Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition (APJCN), vol. 27, pp. 986–995, 2018.
- [28] Y. Fukuda, K. Yamamoto, M. Hirao et al., "Prevalence of malnutrition among gastric cancer patients undergoing gastrectomy and optimal preoperative nutritional support for preventing surgical site infections," *Annals of Surgical On*cology, vol. 22, pp. 778–785, 2015.
- [29] P. M. Chege, Z. W. Ndungu, and B. M. Gitonga, "Food security and nutritional status of children under-five in households affected by HIV and AIDS in Kiandutu informal settlement, Kiambu County, Kenya," *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 2016.
- [30] M. L. Trego, Z. M. Baba, K. I. DiSantis, and M. L. Longacre, "Food insecurity among adult cancer survivors in the united states," *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, vol. 13, 2019.
- [31] D. Pandey, M. H. Buzgeia, S. A. Badr, F. Gheith Senussi, H. Ibrahim El-Mokasabi, and A. Mohammed El-Shahomi, "Assessing malnutrition among chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy cancer Benghazi outpatients," *Nutrition & Food Science*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 298–307, 2011.
- [32] D. EA. Sharma, R. Kannan, R. Tapkire, and S. Nath, "Evaluation of nutritional status of cancer patients during treatment by patient-generated subjective global assessment: a hospital-based study," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 16, no. 18, pp. 8173–8176, 2016.
- [33] J.-Y. EA. Kim, G. A. Wie, Y. A. Cho et al., "Development and validation of A nutrition screening tool for hospitalized cancer patients," *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 724–729, 2011.