

Hypokalemia Events With Sodium Zirconium Cyclosilicate and Placebo in Hemodialysis Patients

Check for updates

Steven Fishbane¹, Martin Ford^{2,3}, Masafumi Fukagawa⁴, Kieran McCafferty⁵, Anjay Rastogi⁶, Bruce Spinowitz⁷, Konstantin Staroselskiy⁸, Konstantin Vishnevskiy⁹, Vera Lisovskaja¹⁰, Ayman Al-Shurbaji¹¹, Nicolas Guzman¹² and Sunil Bhandari¹³

¹Department of Medicine, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Great Neck, New York, USA; ²Department of Renal Medicine, King's College Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK; ³Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK; ⁴Division of Nephrology, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Japan; ⁵Department of Nephrology, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK; ⁶UCLA CORE Kidney Program, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA; ⁷Department of Medicine, New York-Presbyterian Queens, Queens, New York, USA; ⁸Department #2, B. Braun Avitum Russland Clinics, St. Petersburg, Russia; ⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Clinical Pharmacology and Nephrology, North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov, St. Petersburg, Russia; ¹⁰Biometrics and Information, AstraZeneca BioPharmaceuticals Research and Development Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden; ¹¹Global Medicines Development, AstraZeneca BioPharmaceuticals Research and Development Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden; ¹²Global Medicines Development, AstraZeneca BioPharmaceuticals Research and Development, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA; and ¹³Department of Renal and Transplant Medicine, Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Hull, UK

Correspondence: Steven Fishbane, Department of Medicine, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, 100 Community Drive, Great Neck, New York 11021, USA. E-mail: Sfishbane@northwell.edu

Received 27 April 2021; revised 19 November 2021; accepted 21 January 2022; published online 28 January 2022

Kidney Int Rep (2022) **7**, 908–912; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2022.01.1058 © 2022 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

owering predialysis serum potassium (K^+) concentration is an important function of hemodialysis in patients with end-stage kidney disease, as a component for the effective management and avoidance of hyper-kalemia. Because of the typical K^+ removal that occurs, serum and total body K^+ are at their nadir immediately after hemodialysis; postdialysis hypokalemia is reported to occur in 35% to 45% of patients, which may incur additional risks.^{1–3}

The clinical importance of hypokalemia in end-stage kidney disease is under-recognized.^{3,4} Patients with combined predialysis and postdialysis hypokalemia are at significantly higher mortality risk versus patients without either predialysis or postdialysis hypokalemia.⁴ Predialysis hypokalemia occurring independently is associated with increased mortality risk⁴; for post-dialysis hypokalemia, mortality risk seems to be dependent on predialysis serum K⁺ concentration.⁴

Sodium zirconium cyclosilicate (SZC) is a novel, highly-selective K^+ binder approved for the treatment of hyperkalemia in adults, including those undergoing maintenance hemodialysis.^{5–8} The phase 3b DIALIZE study (NCT03303521) revealed that SZC is an effective and well-tolerated treatment for predialysis

908

hyperkalemia in patients with end-stage kidney disease.⁹ In DIALIZE, events of predialysis hypokalemia (serum K⁺ concentration < 3.5 mmol/l) were reported in 5.1% of placebo patients and 5.2% of SZC patients (both n = 5).⁹ In this *post hoc* safety analysis, we report a detailed analysis of hypokalemia events from DIAL-IZE (Supplementary Methods).

RESULTS

Patients

The DIALIZE safety analysis set comprised 195 patients (SZC n = 96, placebo n = 99). At baseline, overall mean (SD) age was 58.2 (13.7) years, 58.5% of patients were male, and mean (SD) weight was 74.0 (19.5) kg (Supplementary Results and Supplementary Table S1).

Predialysis Hypokalemia

There were 12 (SZC n = 7, placebo n = 5) registered instances of predialysis hypokalemia (Table 1). Predialysis hypokalemia occurred at a higher numerical frequency during the 4-week evaluation period for patients receiving SZC (5 instances, 4.2% of patients) versus placebo (2 instances, 2.0% of patients) (Supplementary Figure S1A and Table 1). Instances

| Table 1. Registered instance | s of predialysis and | postdialysis hypokalemia |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|

| Study visit type | | SZC ($N = 96$) | | Placebo (A | Placebo ($N = 99$) | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | Study period | Number (%) of patients ^a | Number of instances | Number (%) of patients ^a | Number of instances | | |
| | | Predialysis hypokalemia | | | | | |
| LIDI or SIDI | Overall | 5 (5.2) | 7 | 5 (5.1) | 5 | | |
| | Screening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Dose titration | 2 (2.1) | 2 | 2 (2.0) | 2 | | |
| | Evaluation | 4 (4.2) | 5 | 2 (2.0) | 2 | | |
| | Follow-up | 0 | 0 | 1 (1.0) | 1 | | |
| LIDI | Overall | 3 (3.1) | 3 | 2 (2.0) | 2 | | |
| | Screening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Dose titration | 1 (1.0) | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Evaluation | 2 (2.1) | 2 | 2 (2.0) | 2 | | |
| SIDI Overall Screening Dose titration Evaluation | 2 (2.1) 0 1 (1.0) 2 (2.1) | 4 0 1 3 | 2 (2.0) 0 2 (2.0) 0 | 2 0 2 0 | | | |
| | | Postdialysis hypokalemia | | | | | |
| LIDI or SIDI or follow-up | Overall | 75 (78.1) | 397 | 57 (57.6) | 239 | | |
| | Dose titration | 64 (66.7) | 205 | 49 (49.5) | 131 | | |
| | Evaluation | 61 (63.5) | 166 | 43 (43.4) | 88 | | |
| | Follow-up | 26 (27.1) | 26 | 20 (20.2) | 20 | | |
| LIDI | Overall | 74 (77.1) | 339 | 55 (55.6) | 195 | | |
| | Dose titration | 63 (65.6) | 173 | 46 (46.5) | 107 | | |
| | Evaluation | 61 (63.5) | 166 | 43 (43.4) | 88 | | |
| SIDI | Overall | 16 (16.7) | 32 | 15 (15.2) | 24 | | |
| | Dose titration | 16 (16.7) | 32 | 15 (15.2) | 24 | | |
| | Evaluation | 0 (0.0) | 0 | 0 (0.0) | 0 | | |

K⁺, potassium; LIDI, long interdialytic interval; SIDI, short interdialytic interval; SZC, sodium zirconium cyclosilicate.

^aNumber (%) of patients with predialysis or postdialysis hypokalemia (serum K^+ concentration of <3.5 mmol/l).

Safety analysis set (*N* = 195). Percentages are based on the total numbers of patients in the treatment group (*M*). Only LIDI (study visits 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15), SIDI (study visits 5, 6, 7.5, 8, 9.5, 10.5, 11.5, 12.5, 13.5, and 14.5), and follow-up (study visit 16) included. No postdialysis serum K⁺ measurements were collected during screening. Study period was derived from visit. Dose titration was defined as after screening and before visit 12; evaluation was defined as on and after visit 12 and before visit 16; follow-up period was defined as visit 16.

occurred at long interdialytic interval and short interdialytic interval visits in both treatment arms (Table 1). Predialysis serum K^+ concentrations by study visit among patients with predialysis hypokalemia are presented in Supplementary Figure S1B.

Severe Predialysis Hypokalemia

A total of 3 patients (SZC n = 2, placebo n = 1) each reported 1 event of severe predialysis hypokalemia (serum K⁺ concentration < 2.7 mmol/l), occurring while in a dialysis clinic. Event summaries for patients 1 (placebo), 2 (SZC), and 3 (SZC) are described in the Supplementary Results, and predialysis serum K⁺ profiles are plotted in Supplementary Figure S2. As predialysis serum K⁺ samples were evaluated using a central laboratory, study investigators were not aware of a patient's status of severe hypokalemia at the time of measurement. As such, patients with instances of severe predialysis hypokalemia were initiated on dialysis as per their routine care. The patients completed their dialysis treatment and returned home. No associated adverse event was reported with any instance of severe predialysis hypokalemia.

Postdialysis Hypokalemia

Overall, 78% of SZC (n = 75) and 58% of placebo (n = 57) patients reported instances of postdialysis hypokalemia (Table 1). Proportions of patients with postdialysis hypokalemia at each study visit were numerically greater with SZC than placebo, between 24% to 46% and 15% to 27%, respectively, during the titration period and 43% to 51% and 19% to 29%, respectively, during the evaluation period (Supplementary Figure S3A). Events occurred at long interdialytic interval and short interdialytic interval visits with both SZC and placebo (Table 1). Among patients with postdialysis hypokalemia, median and range (minimum-maximum) values of postdialysis serum K⁺ concentration across study visits were generally comparable between treatment groups, between 3.20 to 3.35 mmol/l (2.00-3.4 mmol/l) with SZC and 3.25 to 3.30 mmol/l (2.00-3.4 mmol/l) with placebo (Supplementary Figure S3B).

In both arms, greater proportions of patients with lower baseline dialysate K⁺ concentrations had postdialysis hypokalemia (dialysate K⁺ 1 mmol/l: SZC 100% [n = 2], placebo 100% [n = 1]; 2 mmol/l: SZC 90% [n =60], placebo 73% [n = 51]) versus those with baseline dialysate K⁺ concentration of 3 mmol/l (SZC 48% [n =13], placebo 15% [n = 4]) (Supplementary Table S2).

For all but 2 SZC patients with postdialysis hypokalemia at a long interdialytic interval visit, predialysis serum K⁺ concentration returned to \geq 3.5 mmol/l at the next study visit (Figure 1a). In both arms, predialysis serum K⁺ concentrations were generally higher for patients with postdialysis serum K⁺ concentration \geq 3.5 mmol/l versus <3.5 mmol/l at the previous study visit (Figure 1a and b).



Figure 1. Box and whisker plot of predialysis serum K⁺ concentrations at subsequent SIDI visits, stratified by postdialysis serum K⁺ status at the previous LIDI visit: (a) SZC and (b) placebo treatment arms. Safety analysis set (N = 195). Individual patient cases are presented. Box lines represent the Qs (Q1, Q2 [median], Q3), red symbols within the boxes represent mean values, whiskers extend to the last values within $\pm 1.5 \times$ (Q3–Q1), and symbols beyond the whiskers represent extreme values. Hypokalemia was defined as serum K⁺ concentration of <3.5 mmol/l (gray dashed line). Values found are predialysis serum K⁺ concentrations at SIDI study visits that followed a LIDI, stratified by postdialysis serum K⁺ status (\geq 3.5 vs. <3.5 mmol/l) at the previous visit. Visits 5, 7.5, 9.5, 10.5, 11.5, 12.5, 13.5, and 14.5 are SIDI visits, consistent with the study design of DIALIZE.⁹ K⁺, potassium; LIDI, long interdialytic interval; Max, maximum; Min, minimum; Q, quartile; SIDI, short interdialytic interval; SZC, sodium zirconium cyclosilicate.

Patients With Combined Predialysis and Postdialysis Hypokalemia by Study Visit

Events of combined predialysis and postdialysis hypokalemia at the same study visit were low in both treatment arms (both n = 1 [1.0%]). Both events occurred during the 4-week evaluation period (Supplementary Results).

DISCUSSION

In our *post hoc* analysis, the overall number of events of predialysis hypokalemia was low, and the proportions of patients overall with predialysis hypokalemia were similar with SZC and placebo. Few patients (SZC n = 2,

placebo n = 1) in the study had instances of severe predialysis hypokalemia, and instances were not associated with any adverse events.

We observed no increased risk of combined predialysis and postdialysis hypokalemia with SZC versus placebo. This finding is important as the combination of predialysis and postdialysis hypokalemia has been found to be associated with the highest mortality risk versus patients without either predialysis or postdialysis hypokalemia.⁴

A greater proportion of SZC patients had postdialysis hypokalemia versus placebo, consistent with the mechanism of action of SZC; however, the severity, as measured by median and range of postdialysis serum K⁺ concentration, was comparable between treatment arms. In patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis, postdialysis hypokalemia is usually transient-in the hours after dialysis, serum K⁺ concentration rapidly rebounds because of continued redistribution of K⁺ from the intracellular to the extracellular space. Indeed, after instances of postdialysis hypokalemia in DIALIZE, serum K⁺ concentration rebounded to within the clinically acceptable range by the next short interdialytic interval visit in all but 2 SZC patients. In DIALIZE, postdialysis serum K⁺ measurements were mostly collected at long interdialytic interval visits during the treatment period. Therefore, postdialysis serum K⁺ data are enriched for time points when serum K⁺ concentrations are highest, which most likely explains the lower rates of postdialysis hypokalemia (19%-29%) observed in the placebo arm at each study visit than in the literature (35%–45%).^{1,2} Finally, higher rates of postdialysis hypokalemia among patients with lower baseline dialysate K^+ concentrations (1–2 mmol/l) in both arms likely reflect greater reductions in serum K⁺ achieved because of the higher serum K⁺ gradient, although low patient numbers in the dialysate K^+ at 1 mmol/l group precluded reliable estimation.

The present analyses have several limitations. The analyses are *post hoc* in nature and were not prespecified. Therefore, the results are exploratory and hypothesis generating. Second, postdialysis serum K^+ concentration was taken immediately after dialysis sessions and measured using central laboratory assessment; therefore, persisting and incident postdialysis hypokalemia could not be fully distinguished. Finally, the association of hypokalemia events and adverse clinical outcomes was not explored.

In conclusion, these *post hoc* analyses provide additional evidence on the safety of SZC in the management of hyperkalemia in patients with end-stage kidney disease. Despite the efficacy of SZC in lowering predialysis serum K^+ concentration, these descriptive analyses suggest that SZC was not associated with a clinically significant increase in the frequency of predialysis hypokalemia. Treatment with SZC, versus placebo, did not increase the frequency of combined predialysis and postdialysis hypokalemia at the same visit, which is associated with increased mortality risk. Although postdialysis hypokalemia was more frequent with SZC than placebo, it did not persist to the next study visit for all but 2 patients in the SZC arm.

DISCLOSURE

SF reports receiving research support and consulting fees from AstraZeneca. MFo reports receiving travel support

from Amgen and AstraZeneca and is an advisory board member for AstraZeneca. MFu reports receiving consulting and lecture fees from AstraZeneca Japan. KM reports being an academic grant holder and advisory board member for AstraZeneca. AR reports receiving research or travel support from and/or is a speaker, consultant, or advisory board member for AstraZeneca, Relypsa, Fresenius Medical Care, Sanofi, Kadmon, AMAG, Otsuka, Genzyme, GSK, Omerus, Janssen, Reata Pharmaceuticals, Ironwood, and Amgen. BS reports receiving research grants, lecture fees, and/or consulting fees from AstraZeneca, Akebia, Reata Pharmaceuticals, and Fresenius Medical Care. KS reports receiving research support from AstraZeneca. KV reports receiving research support from AstraZeneca. VL, AAS, and NG are employees of AstraZeneca. SB has given lectures and participated in an advisory board for AstraZeneca, has given lectures sponsored by Vifor Pharma, and has received travel support from AstraZeneca and Vifor Pharma.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patients, their families, and all investigators involved in the DIALIZE study; the dialysis services and research staff in each participating center; and the members of the data monitoring committee: David Charytan, MD, Charles Herzog, MD, and David Wheeler, MD. Medical writing support was provided by Annie Macpherson, PhD, and Shaun W. Foley, BSc (Hons) CMPP, and editorial support was provided by Sinead Stewart, all of Core, London, UK, supported by AstraZeneca according to Good Publication Practice guidelines (https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10. 7326/M15-0288). The sponsor was involved in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and data checking of information provided in the manuscript. Ultimate responsibility for opinions, conclusions, and data interpretation lies with the authors. Development of this manuscript was supported by AstraZeneca.

DATA STATEMENT

Data underlying the findings described in this manuscript may be obtained in accordance with AstraZeneca's data sharing policy described at https://astrazenecagroup-dt. pharmacm.com/DT/Home.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the data interpretation, critically reviewed the manuscript, approved the final version, and accepted accountability for the overall work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary File (PDF) Supplementary Methods. Supplementary Results.

RESEARCH LETTER

Table S1. Patients' demographics and baselinecharacteristics.

Figure S1. Predialysis hypokalemia by study visit: (a) proportions of patients and (b) predialysis serum K^+ concentrations among patients with predialysis hypokalemia.

Figure S2. Predialysis serum K^+ concentration at each study visit for patients with severe predialysis hypokalemia (n = 3).

Figure S3. Postdialysis hypokalemia by study visit: (a) proportions of patients and (b) median and range of postdialysis serum K^+ concentration.

Supplementary References.

REFERENCES

- Nakai S, Suzuki K, Masakane I, et al. Overview of regular dialysis treatment in Japan (as of 31 December 2008). *Ther Apher Dial.* 2010;14:505–540. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-9987.2010.00893.x
- Checheriţă IA, David C, Diaconu V, Ciocâlteu A, Lascăr I. Potassium level changes—arrhythmia contributing factor in chronic kidney disease patients. *Rom J Morphol Embryol.* 2011;52(3 suppl):1047–1050.
- 3. Clase CM, Carrero JJ, Ellison DH, et al. Potassium homeostasis and management of dyskalemia in kidney diseases:

conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. *Kidney Int*. 2020;97: 42–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2019.09.018

- Ohnishi T, Kimachi M, Fukuma S, Akizawa T, Fukuhara S. Postdialysis hypokalemia and all-cause mortality in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2019;14:873–881. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.07950718
- Stavros F, Yang A, Leon A, Nuttall M, Rasmussen HS. Characterization of structure and function of ZS-9, a K+ selective ion trap. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e114686. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0114686
- AstraZeneca UK Limited. Summary of product characteristics: Lokelma 5 g powder for oral suspension. AstraZeneca UK Limited. Accessed 10 February 2022. https://www. ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/lokelmaepar-product-information_en.pdf
- AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. LOKELMA (sodium zirconium cyclosilicate) for oral suspension. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Accessed 10 February 2022. http://www. azpicentral.com/pi.html?product=lokelma
- Kosiborod M, Rasmussen HS, Lavin P, et al. Effect of sodium zirconium cyclosilicate on potassium lowering for 28 days among outpatients with hyperkalemia: the HARMONIZE randomized clinical trial [published correction appears in *JAMA*. 2015;313:526]. *JAMA*. 2014;312:2223–2233. https://doi.org/10. 1001/jama.2014.15688
- Fishbane S, Ford M, Fukagawa M, et al. A phase 3b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of sodium zirconium cyclosilicate for reducing the incidence of predialysis hyperkalemia. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2019;30:1723–1733. https:// doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2019050450