RESEARCH ARTICLE

The FASEB Journal

Effects of environmental salinity on global and endocrine-specific transcriptomic profiles in the caudal neurosecretory system of salmonid fishes

Brett M. Culbert[1] 🔘 | Stephen D. McCormick[2] 🔘 | Nicholas J. Bernier[1] 🔘

[1]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

[2]Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Amherst, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence
Brett M. Culbert, Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd E, Guelph, ON, Canada.
Email: brett.m.culbert@gmail.com

Abstract

The caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) is a fish-specific neuroendocrine complex whose function(s) remain uncertain despite over 60 years of research. Osmoregulatory roles for the CNSS have been hypothesized, but molecular regulation of the CNSS following changes in environmental salinity remains poorly characterized. Therefore, we performed transcriptomics on the CNSS of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to establish: (1) how the CNSS responds following seawater (SW) transfer, and (2) which endocrine systems contribute to osmoregulatory responses in the CNSS. Responses following SW transfer varied at 24 h versus 168 h, with changes primarily affecting membrane transport and transcriptional processes at 24 h and neuronal processes at 168 h. Components of several osmoregulation-associated endocrine systems were affected (e.g., corticosteroid receptors), including some that have not previously been identified in the CNSS (e.g., calcitonin). Additionally, transcript levels of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) peptides—which have osmoregulatory functions and were highly abundant in the CNSS—were approximately twofold higher after 24 h in SW. Therefore, we performed additional experiments investigating CRF peptides in a more euryhaline salmonid, Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Smolts had up to 12-fold higher levels of CRF peptide transcripts than parr, but abundance declined following SW transfer. Additionally, CRF transcripts were lower 24 h following freshwater transfer of SW-acclimated salmon. These results suggest that CRF peptides acutely aid in coordinating physiological responses following fluctuations in environmental salinity via anticipatory and/or responsive mechanisms. Collectively, our data indicate that CNSS-mediated production of CRF peptides has osmoregulatory functions and provide a resource for investigations of novel CNSS functions.

KEYWORDS

corticotropin-releasing hormone, neuropeptides, neurosecretory systems, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, osmoregulation, *Salmo salar*, transcriptome

1 | INTRODUCTION

The caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) is a neuroendocrine complex that is located at the distal tip of the spinal cord in fishes. In teleosts, the CNSS is comprised of neurosecretory cells (i.e., Dahlgren cells) whose axons project towards capillary beds located in the caudal vertebrae and converge to form a neurohemal organ called the urophysis.[1–3] The urophysis was first anatomically described in the early 1800s,[4] but the presence of enlarged neurosecretory cells in the caudal spinal cord was not noted until about 100 years later.[5] Ultimately, these observations provided the groundwork for the later development of the CNSS as an endocrine complex.[6] While the importance of the CNSS in the field of endocrinology is cemented owing to the eponymous naming of two peptide hormones that were first identified in and isolated from the CNSS—a peptide in the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) family (urotensin 1, UTS1[7]) and a peptide in the somatostatin family (urotensin 2, UTS2[8])—specific functional roles for this unique neuroendocrine complex remain unclear more than 60 years later.

The CNSS has been hypothesized to contribute to several physiological processes, including reproduction, stress responses, cardiovascular regulation, and thermal adaptation.[2,9,10] However, the greatest attention has been given to the role of the CNSS during changes in environmental salinity. Early studies noted that the size and content of neurosecretory cells in the CNSS changed following changes in salinity,[11–13] and the removal of the CNSS reduced the ability of some species to acclimate to altered salinities.[13,14] These osmoregulatory actions were hypothesized to be mediated by products synthesized within the CNSS because the injection of urophysial extracts induced osmoregulatory responses.[13,15,16] Furthermore, changes in either the external[17,18] or internal[19,20] osmotic environment affect the electrical activity of neurons within the CNSS. However, responses vary depending on changes in specific ions. For example, neurons in the CNSS of tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) can be separated into those that increase firing rates when exposed to high Na^+ and those that respond when Na^+ levels are low, but responses to Na^+ levels appear to be regulated by the brain and not sensing directly by the CNSS.[20] In contrast, the presence of Ca^{+2} sensing receptors on Dahlgren cells,[21,22] as well as high transcript levels of the calcium-regulating hormones parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP[22,23]) and stanniocalcin-1 (STC-1[21]) in the CNSS of flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) suggest that the CNSS can directly sense changes in internal Ca^{+2} levels and participate in Ca^{+2} regulation. Yet, the generalizability of these findings is limited because most work on the CNSS has focused on only a handful of species. Furthermore, despite a solid understanding of the electrophysiological responses displayed by the CNSS in response to changes in environmental salinity, transcriptional regulation of the CNSS following salinity changes is still poorly understood.

Many of the osmoregulatory effects described above were later attributed to changes in the production of UTS1 and/or UTS2 by the CNSS.[24,25] However, changes in the synthesis and release of these hormones by the CNSS—as well as specific osmoregulatory actions of UTS1 and UTS2 across different epithelial tissues[26–29]—often vary among species, likely reflecting species-specific osmoregulatory ability and interactions with other hormone and neurotransmitter systems. Indeed, in addition to synthesizing UTS1 and UTS2, the CNSS also contains large amounts of CRFb[30–32] and PTHrP,[22,23] as well as smaller amounts of arginine vasotocin/vasopressin (AVP), isotocin/oxytocin, and urocortin's 2 & 3 (UCN2 & -3) in some species.[33–39] Furthermore, the presence of descending peptidergic nerve fibers—as well as cholinergic, adrenergic, and serotonergic fibers[40–44]—that exhibit positive staining for gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH)[45–47] and neuropeptide Y (NPY),[48] as well as receptors for CRF and UTS1, UTS2, cortisol, prolactin, and GnRH[49] indicate that the CNSS is likely a major endocrine player in fishes. However, the full complement of endocrine factors produced in the CNSS—and the suite of hormone systems that contribute to the regulation of the CNSS—remains uncertain.

In the current study, we conducted the first transcriptomic assessment of how the CNSS responds following changes in environmental salinity. Specifically, we evaluated changes either 24 or 168 h following the transfer of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater (FW) to seawater (SW). We used rainbow trout because they are euryhaline, and previous work has shown that the CNSS is responsive to osmoregulatory changes in rainbow trout and other salmonids.[11,31,50,51] Another major focus of the current study was to identify which components of different endocrine systems are present in the transcriptome of the rainbow trout CNSS to shed light on potential novel endocrine functions and/or regulators of this tissue, especially those involved in osmoregulation. While a previous study[52] used a transcriptomics approach to evaluate whether responses of the CNSS following a temperature change varied between bold and shy olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*), they did not describe the transcriptome of the CNSS in detail. Thus, the full complement of endocrine systems present in the CNSS remains unclear. We also performed several additional experiments to determine how environmental salinity affects transcript levels of CRF peptides

(specifically, CRFb and UTS1) in the CNSS. We focused on the CRF system because: (1) CRF peptides are abundant in the CNSS[32,53]; (2) transcript and protein levels of CRF peptides in the CNSS often vary following changes in environmental salinity[31,32,54]; and (3) the CRF system has conserved osmoregulatory functions.[27–29,55,56] For some of these experiments, we used Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) because they are anadromous (i.e., migrate between FW and SW as part of their natural life history[57,58]), offering the opportunity to evaluate how CRF peptide synthesis in the CNSS is affected by environmental salinity under more ecologically relevant conditions. Specifically, we investigated how transcript levels of CRF peptides changed: (1) during the seasonal acquisition of SW tolerance as salmon transform from pre-migratory parr into migratory smolts (i.e., smoltification); (2) in response to SW transfer of FW-acclimated parr and smolts; and (3) in response to FW transfer of SW-acclimated post-smolts.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental animals and housing

Experiments 1 and 3 took place at the Hagen Aqualab at the University of Guelph (Guelph, ON, Canada) using either rainbow trout (Exp. 1) or Atlantic salmon (Exp. 3) that were 3 years old. Rainbow trout were acquired from the Ontario Aquaculture Research Centre (Alma, ON, Canada), while Atlantic salmon were acquired from the Normandale Fish Culture Station (Vittoria, ON, Canada). Both species were maintained in 1.8 m diameter fiberglass tanks (~2000 L) that were supplied with aerated, flow-through well water at 12°C and kept on a 12 h light, 12 h dark photoperiod regime. Fish were fed to satiation 3 times per week with commercial pellets (Blue Water Fish Food; Guelph, ON, Canada). A stocking density of ~100 fish per tank was maintained, and fish were kept under these conditions for several months prior to starting experiments. All procedures were carried out in accordance with the Canadian Council for Animal Care guidelines for the use of animals in research and teaching and were approved by the University of Guelph's Animal Care Committee (AUP #4123).

Experiment 2 took place at the United States Geological Survey (USGS) S.O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Laboratory (Turners Falls, MA, USA) using one-year-old juvenile Atlantic salmon that were obtained from the Kensington State Hatchery (Kensington, CT, USA) in the Fall of 2018. Fish were held in 1.8 m diameter tanks that were supplied with flow-through ambient Connecticut River water at a flow rate of 4 L min⁻¹, and tanks were continuously aerated. Fish were maintained under the natural photoperiod and were fed to satiation (BioOregon; Westbrook, ME, USA) using automatic feeders. In December of 2018, fish were separated by size into parr and pre-smolt groups as described previously.[59] Each group of fish was maintained in duplicate tanks containing ~100 fish, and all fish experienced identical temperature regimes throughout the experiment. All fish rearing and sampling protocols were carried out in accordance with USGS institutional guidelines and protocol LSC-9096, which was approved by the USGS Eastern Ecological Science Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

2.2 | Experiment 1: Regulation of the CNSS following FW-to-SW transfer of rainbow trout

2.2.1 | Experimental details

Fifty-eight trout (mass = 545 ± 14 g; fork length = 35.0 ± 0.3 cm; mean ± SEM) were moved from the stock tank and randomly placed into one of six 2000 L recirculating tanks ($N = 8$–10 fish per tank). Three tanks contained well water (FW) and three contained SW (35 ppt, Instant Ocean Sea Salt; Blacksburg, VA, USA). Each tank received continuous aeration via an air stone and was equipped with both particle and biological filtration, as well as UV sterilization. Groups of fish were sampled either 24, 72, or 168 h following transfer, and no mortalities occurred. Food was withheld throughout the experiment because salmonids naturally suppress food intake following transfer to SW.[31,60–62] All fish were killed between 1000 and 1200 h via terminal anesthesia using buffered MS-222 (100 mg L⁻¹, Syndel; Vancouver, BC, Canada). Fork length and mass were recorded, and blood was collected from the caudal vasculature using a 1 mL ammonium heparinized syringe and spun at 9000 g for 5 min. Most fish (>80%) were still juvenile, and therefore, sex was not included in our analyses. After this, plasma was collected, frozen on dry ice, and stored at −80°C for later determination of osmolality and cortisol levels. To sample the CNSS, we collected all spinal tissue from the five most caudal vertebrae and the urostyle. Following dissection, the CNSS was frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C for later extraction of RNA (see Section 2.5) to be used for RNA-Seq (see Section 2.7) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR; see Section 2.6). A portion of the CNSS was lost while dissecting one of the 7 d SW-transferred fish, resulting in $N = 7$ for this group.

2.3 | Experiment 2: Transcriptional regulation of CRF peptides in the CNSS during smoltification and FW-to-SW transfer of juvenile Atlantic salmon

2.3.1 | Experimental details

Twelve parr and twelve smolts were randomly sampled on February 19, April 1, and May 6 in 2019. Fish were kept at ambient temperatures (2–4°C) through the winter, and water temperature was increased by 1°C per day until 8–10°C was reached on February 15. The temperature was kept the same throughout the experiment so that all fish were sampled at identical temperatures. To avoid potential tank effects, fish from each group (parr or smolts) were sampled from two separate tanks at each timepoint ($N=6$ per tank). To determine the response of fish following SW exposure, groups of parr and smolts were randomly selected and placed into six 1 m diameter tanks (3 tanks of parr and 3 tanks of smolts) containing 28 ppt recirculating SW (Instant Ocean Sea Salt) during the week of May 6th, 2019, which is the peak of smolt development for this population under these conditions.[63] These tanks were held at 8.5–9.5°C and contained particle, biological, and charcoal filtration, as well as continuous aeration. Fish were fed to satiation every day, but food was withheld the day prior to samplings. Twelve parr and twelve smolts were sampled after 24, 96, and 240 h of SW exposure, during which no mortalities occurred. All fish were killed via terminal anesthesia using buffered MS-222 (100 mg L^{-1}), after which fork length and mass were recorded. All fish were juvenile, and therefore, sex was not determined. Blood was collected from the caudal vasculature using a 1 mL ammonium heparinized syringe, spun at 3200 g for 5 min at 4°C, and plasma was collected for later measurement of cortisol and osmolality. Given the small size of these fish, the CNSS was fixed prior to removal from the spinal column to prevent tissue loss. All muscle was removed from the caudal spinal column (consisting of the five most caudal vertebrae and the urostyle), and the spinal column was placed in a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) for 24 h at 4°C. The following day, the CNSS was removed from the spinal column, and RNA was extracted following the protocol of Craig et al.[31] for later qPCR analysis (see Section 2.6).

2.4 | Experiment 3: Transcriptional regulation of CRF peptides in the CNSS during SW-to-FW transfer of post-smolt Atlantic salmon

2.4.1 | Experimental details

Salmon ($N=28$; fork length: 37.8 ± 0.6 cm, mass: 537.8 ± 24.0 g) were held in a recirculating tank that contained ~2000 L of SW

(33 ppt; Instant Ocean Sea Salt) for approximately 6 months. This tank was continuously aerated with an air stone and was equipped with both particle and biological filtration, as well as UV sterilization. At the start of the experiment, $N=10$ salmon were immediately sampled while the remaining fish were randomly split among two ~500 L tanks containing aerated, flow-through well water (FW; $N=9$ per tank). After either 24 or 96 h in FW, fish were terminally anesthetized using phenoxyethanol (0.2%), and their plasma (for cortisol and osmolality) and CNSS (for qPCR; see Section 2.6) were collected as previously described. We also sampled FW-acclimated salmon ($N=10$; fork length: 39.4 ± 1.0 cm, mass: 581.3 ± 42.3 g) that had never been in SW for comparison (see Section 2.1 for housing conditions). No mortalities occurred following FW transfer, and all treatment groups contained approximately equal proportions of females and males (in total, 53% male and 47% female).

2.5 | Plasma cortisol and osmolality measurements

Plasma osmolality values were determined in duplicate using a Vapro 5520 vapor pressure osmometer (Wescor; Logan, UT, USA) and had an intra-assay variation of 8.3% coefficient of variation (CV). Circulating cortisol levels were determined using a commercially available enzyme immunoassay (Neogen, Cat # 402710; Lexington, KY, USA) for experiments 1 and 3, or a previously validated direct competitive enzyme immunoassay[64] for experiment 2. Intra- and inter-assay variations were 8.2% and 13.1% CV, respectively.

2.6 | RNA isolation and qPCR

The entire CNSS of fish from experiments 1 and 3 was homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Burlington, ON, Canada) using a Precellys Evolution tissue homogenizer (Bertin Instruments; Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) and total RNA was extracted as per the manufacturer's directions (see Craig et al.[31] for details of RNA extraction of CNSS samples from experiment 2). The quantity and purity of RNA samples were assessed using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific; Mississauga, ON, Canada). Following this, we treated 1 μg of RNA with DNase (DNase 1; Thermo Scientific) and reverse transcribed cDNA using a high-capacity Applied Biosystems cDNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Scientific). We then performed qPCR using a CFX96 system (BioRad; Hercules, CA, USA) with SYBR green (SsoAdvanced Universal; BioRad) and gene-specific primers (Table 1). All samples were run in duplicate, and negative controls—including no template controls (where cDNA was replaced with water) and no reverse transcriptase controls (where RNA reverse

TABLE 1 Gene-specific primers used for real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) or Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*).

	Primer sequence (5′ to 3′)	Amplicon size (bp)	Efficiency (%)	Accession number	Reference
Trout					
crfa1	F: CAAAATTCGCTCCAGTCCTC	95	100	XM_021613200	Current study
	R: TGCGTGAGCTGAAGTTGTAA				
crfa2	F: ATTCGCCCCAATCTTCATT	79	102	XM_021589550	Current study
	R: TGAAGTAAAGCCCTGTTGACC				
crfb1	F: CTGAAGGCATGTACCCAGAG	110	102	NM_001124286	Current study
	R: GACGAACCGGCTGATGTT				
crfb2	F: GAAAGTCATGCACCCCAAG	117	102	NM_001124627	Current study
	R: AAGCCGCTGATGAACCTATT				
crfbp1	F: CCAACACGTTGTCTATCTAC	107	100	NM_001124631	Current study
	R: TTTTATGGCAACCTTCAGGCT				
crfbp2	F: CTCCCTATCTATCTCTCCAC	122	100	XM_036977080	Current study
	R: GTTATGGCGATCCTCAGGAT				
crfr1a	F: TCCCTCGGAGACAGGAGTGT	121	102	XM_021557506	Current study
	R: CTTTTACGATGTGCGACTGGT				
crfr1b	F: CGGCAATTTATAGGCTGTGTT	114	99	XM_021624572	Current study
	R: CCCACATGAACAACCAACAG				
crfr2a	F: CACTGTACTGTACTAGTGGT	83	100	XM_021613636	Current study
	R: TCCTGCTTAAATGATCTCTGC				
crfr2b	F: TAAATTCAGAACAGATGGGC	82	100	XM_021589160	Current study
	R: CATTGCCAGTAAGACTCTGAC				
ucn2a	F: CATGCGTATGTGTCTGAGCC	61	101	CDQ61544	Current study
	R: TCTTCTCCCATCCTTTGGCAC				
ucn2b	F: ACTCCTAAGTTGTGACATTGGA	68	99	XM_021570508	Current study
	R: CCAGACACAGGCTTAGATG				
ucn3a/b	F: GCACGGAACTTGGACATCAT	91	100	XM_021596023	Current study
	R: GTCTGAGACAGAGGCTGGAG			XM_036945073	
uts1a	F: GTTGCTGAAGGCTGGTGATA	82	100	NM_001124343	Current study
	R: CAACCGGGGATTTCTTTG				
uts1b	F: GGCGACGCAGTTTCCTAC	60	99	XM_021612039	Current study
	R: GGGATTTCTCTGCAAATAACG				
ef1a	F: CCATTGACATTTCTCTGTGGAAGT	106	96	AF498320	[65]
	R: GAGGTACCAGTGATCATGTTCTTGA				
rpl13a	F: GGACAAGCTGCACTGGAGAG	113	93	XM_021574753	[66]
	R: GTGGGCTTCAGACGGACAAT				
Salmon					
crfb1	F: CTTGATCCATCACTCGTGGAAA	98	108	XM_014181363	[59]
	R: GTCAGGGGTTCAACGAGATC				
uts1a	F: CAGTGTCTGTAGACCACGG	89	104	XM_014205273	[59]
	R: TATCACCAGCCTTCAGCAAC				
uts1b	F: GCAGTCTACTACAATCGCCAT	103	101	XM_014144548	[59]
	R: AAACGGTGCCTTGATCGC				

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	Primer sequence (5′ to 3′)	Amplicon size (bp)	Efficiency (%)	Accession number	Reference
ef1a	F: TCCTGCGGAGTCTCAAAACC	96	103	XM_014141923	[59]
	R: CGTTGGGTTCTTTTCCTGCG				
rpl13a	F: GGACAAGCTGCACTGGAGAG	113	93	XM_014128281	[66]
	R: GTGGGCTTCAGACGGACAAT				

Note: The sequences of *ucn3a* and *ucn3b* are 99% identical in both species, and we were therefore unable to design primers that differentially amplified these paralogs.

Abbreviations: *crf*, corticotropin-releasing factor; *crfbp*, corticotropin-releasing factor binding protein; *crfr*, corticotropin-releasing factor receptor; *ef1a*, elongation factor 1 alpha; *rpl13a*, ribosomal protein L13a; *ucn*, urocortin, *uts*, urotensin.

transcriptase was replaced with water during cDNA synthesis)—were also included. Each reaction contained a total of 20 μL, which consisted of 10 μL of SYBR green, 5 μL of combined forward and reverse primers (0.2 μM [final]), and 5 μL of 10× diluted cDNA. Cycling parameters included a 30 s activation step at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles consisting of a 3 s denaturation step at 95°C and a combined 30 s annealing and extension step at 60°C. Melt curve analysis was conducted at the end of each run to confirm the specificity of each reaction. To account for differences in amplification efficiency, standard curves were constructed for each gene using serial dilutions (4×) of pooled cDNA. Input values for each gene were obtained by fitting the average threshold cycle value to the antilog of the gene-specific standard curve, thereby correcting for differences in primer amplification efficiency. To correct for minor variations in template input and transcriptional efficiency, we normalized our data to the geometric mean of transcript abundances of elongation factor 1α (*ef1α*) and ribosomal protein L13a (*rpl13a*) as reference genes. While reference genes were stable across treatments in experiments 1 and 3, the expression of reference genes varied between sampling times in experiment 2. To account for differences in reference gene expression across time, the geometric mean of the reference genes within each group of samples was normalized to a "control" group (parr in February) using a correction factor (mean value within a group/mean value of control group) as previously described.[67,68] All data are expressed relative to the mean value of the control group within each experiment (see figure captions for further details).

2.7 | RNA-Seq

To evaluate both acute and chronic responses of the rainbow trout CNSS during SW acclimation, we conducted RNA-Seq on FW-to-FW (control) and FW-to-SW-transferred fish ($N = 7$–9 per group) either 24 or 168 h post-transfer. Total RNA (see Section 2.6) was sent to the Génome Québec Centre of Expertise and Services


FIGURE 1 Changes in (A) plasma osmolality and (B) cortisol values of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW; gray) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW; black) for 24, 72, or 168 h. Significant differences ($p < .05$) are depicted using either letters (across time; uppercase = within SW, lowercase = within FW), filled oversized squares (between groups across all timepoints) or asterisks (between groups within a timepoint). Values are represented as means ± SEM and individual data points are shown.

(Montreal, QC, Canada) for library preparation and sequencing. The integrity of all RNA was evaluated using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent; Mississauga, ON, Canada) and all samples had RNA Integrity Numbers (RIN) greater than 7.6 (8.1 ± 0.3). Using 250 ng of total RNA, mRNA was

FIGURE 2 Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 24 h as determined via RNA-Seq. Data are presented as changes in SW relative to FW. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that had a Log$_2$ fold change $\geq |0.5|$ between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini–Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < .01$) are darkened on the volcano plot and a subset of genes are labeled (see File S1 for more details). Note that for visualization purposes the *x*- and *y*-axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log$_2$ and Log$_{10}$ scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes, or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents an individual GO term (see File S2 for more details). The extent of overlapping genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker = more shared genes) and the significance of each GO term is indicated by the color of the circle (darker = more significant).

isolated using the NEBNext® Poly(A) Magnetic Isolation Module (New England Biolabs; Whitby, ON, Canada). Stranded cDNA libraries [296 ± 1 base pair (bp) length] were created using the Illumina Stranded mRNA Prep, and sequencing was conducted on all 32 samples using 100 bp paired-end reads with a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina; San Diego, CA, USA). Samples were sequenced across two batches with equal representation from each group within each run. A mean of ~35 000 000 ± 4 000 000 paired-end reads was produced per sample (Table S1). Preliminary analyses indicated that batch effects were negligible (<1% of variation), and therefore, batch was not included in statistical models. Raw sequencing reads are archived with the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (NCBI SRA Accession: PRJNA1139945).

Processing and analysis of the RNA-Seq data was performed by the Canadian Centre for Computational Genomics (C3G; https://computationalgenomics.ca/) using their in-house RNA-Seq pipeline (https://bitbucket.org/mugqic/genpipes/src/master/pipelines/rnaseq/). Briefly, paired reads were joined and checked for quality using FastQC (v0.12.0, RRID:SCR_014583; Babraham Bioinformatics) and low-quality reads (Phred score <30; ~0.02% of all reads) were trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.39.0, RRID:SCR_011848[69]). Filtered reads from all libraries were aligned to the rainbow trout reference genome (USDA_OmykA_1.1; ENSEMBL version 107; NCBI

Accession: GCA_013265735.3) using STAR (v2.7.8a, RRID:SCR_004463[70]). This resulted in an average alignment rate of 86.3% ± 1.2% across all samples, which provided an average of 12 934 ± 78 transcripts per sample. A total of 49 009 unique transcripts were identified overall. Picard (v3.0.0, RRID:SCR_006525; Picard Tools) was used to create a single global BAM file for each sample, and RNA expression quantification was performed using HTSeq-count (v2.0.2, RRID:SCR_011867[71]). Using the edgeR package (v3.40.2, RRID:SCR_012802[72]) in R (v4.2.3, RRID:SCR_001905[73]), genes were filtered for low expression (a counts per million score of ≥3) which resulted in a final count of 25 647 unique transcripts.

2.8 | Statistical analyses

For all RNA-Seq analyses, each sample was normalized to its overall library size, and differential gene expression testing was performed in edgeR (v3.42.4, RRID:SCR_012802[72]) using generalized linear models and *F*-tests. For the main RNA-Seq analysis, the resulting *p*-values from these analyses were false-discovery rate corrected (FDR; $p < .01$) using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method within edgeR, and an effect size of log$_2$(fold change) > |0.5| between groups was utilized. However, we used a more liberal FDR threshold of $p < .05$ without a fold

FIGURE 3 Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 168 h as determined via RNA-Seq. Data are presented as changes in SW relative to FW. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that had a Log_2 fold change $\geq |0.5|$ between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini–Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < .01$) are darkened on the volcano plot, and a subset of genes is labeled (see File S1 for more details). Note that for visualization purposes, the x- and y-axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log_2 and Log_{10} scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes, or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents an individual GO term (see File S2 for more details). The extent of overlapping genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker = more shared genes) and significance of each GO term is indicated by the color of the circle (darker = more significant).

change threshold when exploring changes in endocrine genes. Functional enrichment analysis and subsequent data visualization was performed as described by Reimand et al.[74] Briefly, Gene Ontology (GO) terms were generated from gene lists via the g:GOSt function within g:Profiler (RRID:SCR_006809[75]). GO terms were considered significantly enriched if they contained 4 or more differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and had a BH-FDR value ≤0.1. To account for the identification of multiple GO terms that all contained the same sets of DEGs, we created GO clusters using the EnrichmentMap (v3.3.6, RRID:SCR_016052[76]) and AutoAnnotate (v1.4.0[77]) apps in Cytoscape (v3.10.0, RRID:SCR_003032[78]). GO clusters were annotated according to words that were most common across all GO terms within each cluster. Enrichment maps were created using each list of significant GO terms and their respective clusters. Additionally, to better characterize the extent of overlap between DEGs across groups, we also created upset plots using the 'UpSetR' package[79] and biplots depicting principal components 1 and 2—which were derived from a principal components analysis using the 'prcomp' function—using the 'ggbiplot' package.

Statistical analysis of all plasma and qPCR data was performed using R (version 4.3[73]). All data are presented as means ±1 standard error of the mean (SEM) and a significance level (α) of .05 was used for all tests. Outliers were

excluded based on a 2× interquartile range threshold. When data did not meet the assumptions of normality and/or equal variance, data were either log or square-root transformed to meet the assumptions, or analyses were performed using ranked data. Plasma and qPCR data for Experiments 1 and 2 were analyzed using two-way ANOVAs that included group (FW and SW or parr and smolt) and either month (February, April or May) or time following SW exposure (trout: 24, 72 or 168 h; salmon: FW, 24, 96 or 240 h), as well as the interaction between these factors. Data from Experiment 3 were analyzed using one-way ANOVAs with group as a fixed factor. When significant differences were detected, post hoc Tukey's tests were performed using the 'emmeans' package.[80]

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Experiment series 1: Rainbow trout

3.1.1 | Physiological effects of FW-to-SW transfer

Plasma osmolality levels (Figure 1A; $p_{\text{group}} < .001$, $p_{\text{time}} = .07$, $p_{\text{group*time}} = .11$) were ~25% higher in FW-to-SW-transferred fish compared to FW-to-FW transferred fish across all time points. In contrast, while plasma

FIGURE 4 Effect of transferring rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) for 24 h versus 168 h as determined via RNA-Seq. Data are presented as changes at 168 h relative to 24 h. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that had a Log_2 fold change ≥ |0.5| between groups and were significantly different following Benjamini–Hochberg false-discovery rate (BH-FDR) correction ($p < .01$) are darkened on the volcano plot, and a subset of genes is labeled (see File S1 for more details). Note that for visualization purposes, the x- and y-axes of the volcano plot are plotted on a Log_2 and Log_{10} scale, respectively. Significant GO terms were clustered (larger circles) based on overlap between shared genes when analyzing all significant genes, only significant upregulated genes, or only significant downregulated genes. Each smaller circle within the larger circles represents an individual GO term (see File S2 for more details). The extent of overlapping genes shared between GO terms is indicated by the intensity of the connecting lines (darker = more shared genes) and significance of each GO term is indicated by the color of the circle (darker = more significant).

cortisol levels (Figure 1B; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} = .16$, $p_{group*time} < .001$) were low across all time points in FW-to-FW transferred fish, cortisol levels in FW-to-SW-transferred fish were 3- and 16-fold higher 24 and 72 h post-transfer, respectively.

3.1.2 | Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CNSS transcriptome

After 24 h, we detected 324 DEGs (179 upregulated and 145 downregulated) when comparing FW-to-SW and FW-to-FW transferred fish (File S1). Further scrutiny

of these DEGs using GO analysis revealed changes in clusters related to nuclear transport, membrane transport, DNA maintenance, negative transcription regulation, neurotransmitter transport, lipid biosynthesis, RAS protein signaling, and chromosome organization (Figure 2; File S2). When only DEGs that were higher in the FW-to-SW group were included in the GO analysis, neurotransmitter transport and stimuli responses differed (Figure 2; File S2). In contrast, membrane transport, chromosome organization, and lipid biosynthesis were affected when GO analysis was performed using DEGs that were lower in the FW-to-SW group (Figure 2; File S2).

FIGURE 5 Upset plot depicting overlap between genes that were significantly different in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-seawater (SW) compared to fish that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW) for either 24 or 168 h. A biplot depicting overlap between principal components 1 and 2 based on a principal component analysis that included all significant differentially expressed genes (DEGs) is included as an inset.

After fish had acclimated for 168 h, we detected 193 DEGs (96 upregulated and 97 downregulated) between FW-to-SW and FW-to-FW transferred fish (File S1). GO analysis revealed that these changes were primarily related to neuron development and differentiation, cell responses to a chemical stimulus, protein folding, and phospholipid metabolism (Figure 3; File S2). Specifically, upregulated DEGs were related to protein folding, while downregulated DEGs were related to neuron development and differentiation (Figure 3; File S2).

The greatest changes were observed when FW-to-SW-transferred fish at 168 h versus 24 h were compared, which yielded 823 DEGs (425 upregulated and 398 downregulated; File S1). Accordingly, 17 unique DEG clusters were identified (Figure 4; File S2), with several focusing on the regulation of DNA and RNA processing (cellular catabolism regulation, RNA catabolism, DNA organization and replication, RNA processing, and chromatin organization) and cellular signaling and synapse regulation (synaptic signaling, negative signaling and communication, and cell and synapse regulation). Additional GO clusters included as follows: developmental processes, cell and membrane adhesion, cell

proliferation regulation, negative cellular organization, amine metabolism, protein folding, cell projection organization, amino acid metabolism, and cell cycle regulation. When only upregulated DEGs were considered (Figure 4; File S2), we again detected several GO clusters related to the regulation of DNA and RNA processing (DNA organization and replication, RNA processing, protein-DNA organization, DNA repair), as well as cell cycle regulation, negative biosynthesis regulation, cell projection organization, cellular catabolism regulation, glycoprotein regulation, tissue development, cell–cell adhesion, protein folding, amine metabolism, microtubule-based movement, and amino acid metabolism. When focusing on downregulated DEGs (Figure 4; File S2) several clusters related to synapses and cell proliferation (synapse signaling, cell proliferation, junction and synapse organization, and neuron generation), as well as actin filament regulation, RNA catabolism, negative cell signaling, substance and stimuli response, movement and responses, cell and membrane adhesion, cellular export and secretion, and protein dephosphorylation were identified. In contrast, we did not detect any significant DEGs when comparing the groups of fish

TABLE 2 Endocrine-related genes (ligands and receptors) detected in the CNSS of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).

Hormone system	ENSEMBL ID	Gene name	Symbol	Abundance rank	Example references
Arginine vasopressin (AVP)	ENSOMYG00000020106	AVP receptor 1Aa[†]	*avpr1a*	11 634	[33,38]
	ENSOMYG00000031005	AVP	*avp*	20 640	
Androgen/Estrogen	ENSOMYG00000031569	Estrogen receptor β2	*erb2*	15 119	[83]
	ENSOMYG00000019366	Estrogen receptor 1	*esr1*	20 974	
	ENSOMYG00000013733	Androgen receptor β	*arb*	23 168	
	ENSOMYG00000011232	Estrogen receptor 2a	*esr2a*	25 097	
Calcitonin	ENSOMYG00000037910	Calcitonin-related polypeptide β[¶]	*calcb*	2611	
	ENSOMYG00000028443	Calcitonin-related polypeptide α	*calca*	2881	
	ENSOMYG00000038284	Calcitonin-related polypeptide α[¶]	*calca*	3488	
	ENSOMYG00000033548	Calcitonin-related polypeptide β[¶]	*calcb*	4659	
	ENSOMYG00000027416	Calcitonin receptor-like a	*calcrla*	13 480	
	ENSOMYG00000047298	Calcitonin receptor-like a	*calcrla*	21 173	
Corticosteroid	ENSOMYG00000031572	Glucocorticoid receptor 1[‡]	*gr1*	3217	[49,84]
	ENSOMYG00000022763	Glucocorticoid receptor 2	*gr2*	10 260	
	ENSOMYG00000042542	Glucocorticoid receptor 2	*gr2*	18 282	
	ENSOMYG00000014588	Glucocorticoid receptor 1[¶]	*gr1*	18 654	
	ENSOMYG00000012882	Mineralocorticoid receptor a	*mra*	19 894	
	ENSOMYG00000010574	Mineralocorticoid receptor b	*mrb*	20 741	
Corticotropin-releasing Factor (CRF)	ENSOMYG00000051449	Urotensin 1a	*uts1a*	25	[7,30–32]
	ENSOMYG00000018606	Urotensin 1b	*uts1b*	33	
	ENSOMYG00000004052	CRFb1	*crfb1*	53	
	ENSOMYG00000044159	Urocortin 2b	*ucn2b*	17 053	
	ENSOMYG00000036163	CRFb2[¶]	*crfb2*	20 334	
Enkephalin	ENSOMYG00000007450	Proenkephalin b	*penkb*	1933	[85]
	ENSOMYG00000024686	Proenkephalin a	*penka*	15 673	
Growth hormone (GH)	ENSOMYG00000034417	GH receptor b1	*ghrb1*	4287	
	ENSOMYG00000047593	GH receptor b2	*ghrb2*	8258	
	ENSOMYG00000037342	GH-releasing hormone receptor b	*ghrhrb*	22 303	

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Hormone system	ENSEMBL ID	Gene name	Symbol	Abundance rank	Example references
Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)	ENSOMYG00000047099	IGF2 receptor	igf2r	1985	
	ENSOMYG00000009988	IGF2 receptor	igf2r	4628	
	ENSOMYG00000012223	IGF2b[†]	igf2b	11 294	
	ENSOMYG00000025784	IGF-like family receptor 1	igflr1	13 431	
	ENSOMYG00000038605	IGF1[§]	igf1	19 390	
	ENSOMYG00000013731	IGF receptor 1a	igfr1a	20 898	
	ENSOMYG00000023593	IGF1[§]	igf1	21 104	
	ENSOMYG00000028616	IGF receptor 1a	igfr1a	23 175	
	ENSOMYG00000066583	IGF 1b receptor	igf1rb	24 682	
Leptin	ENSOMYG00000007753	Leptin receptor	lepr	15 667	
	ENSOMYG00000000549	Leptin receptor	lepr	19 179	
Natriuretic peptide	ENSOMYG00000047680	Natriuretic peptide receptor 2	npr2	14 619	
	ENSOMYG00000011071	Natriuretic peptide receptor 1a	npr1a	15 147	
	ENSOMYG00000040077	Natriuretic peptide receptor 2	npr2	19 345	
Nesfatin	ENSOMYG00000014020	Nucleobindin 1	nucb1	3775	
	ENSOMYG00000037688	Nucleobindin 2a	nucb2a	4516	
	ENSOMYG00000029455	Nucleobindin 2	nucb2	5532	
	ENSOMYG00000012646	Nucleobindin 1	nucb1	6090	
Pituitary adenylate-cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP)	ENSOMYG00000017026	ACAP1	adcyap1a	2385	[86]
	ENSOMYG00000037446	ACAP1a receptor 1	adcyap1r1a	13 333	
Somatostatin	ENSOMYG00000013058	Urotensin 2a	uts2a	12	[8,87–89]
	ENSOMYG00000046142	Urotensin 2a	uts2a	39	
	ENSOMYG00000029539	Urotensin 2b	uts2b	2159	
	ENSOMYG00000069067	Urotensin-related peptide 1	urp1	2561	
	ENSOMYG00000036798	Somatostatin 1	sst1.1	19 140	
Tachykinin	ENSOMYG00000031155	Tachykinin precursor 1[¶]	tac1	3951	
	ENSOMYG00000012700	Tachykinin precursor 1[¶]	tac1	10 421	
Thyroid hormone	ENSOMYG00000063156	Thyroid hormone receptor β[¶‖]	thrb	2110	
	ENSOMYG00000004119	Thyroid hormone receptor β	thrb	4384	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Hormone system	ENSEMBL ID	Gene name	Symbol	Abundance rank	Example references
Additional hormone systems	ENSOMYG00000067176	Stanniocalcin 2	stc2a	17241	[21]
	ENSOMYG00000025264	Cholecystokinin a	ccka	23282	[84]
	ENSOMYG00000001366	Neuropeptide Y	npy	24778	[48,84]
	ENSOMYG00000001126	Galanin receptor 1	galr1	25459	[90]

Note: The relative abundance of each gene is reported as the abundance ranking out of all 25 647 genes detected in the CNSS of rainbow trout in FW (threshold of ≥3 counts per million total reads). Example references are provided in instances where components of each hormone system have previously been identified in the CNSS of a teleost. Gene names are as listed on ENSEMBL except for components of the corticotropin-releasing factor, corticosteroid, and growth hormone systems, which were manually annotated in agreement with previous studies of salmonid-specific paralogs for these systems.[66,81,82] Significant differences (p_{FDR} <.05) are indicated using the following symbols: †Higher in SW versus FW at 24h; ‡Lower in SW versus FW at 24h; §Higher in SW versus FW at 168h; ¶Lower in SW versus FW at 168h; °Higher at 24h versus 168h in SW.

that had been sampled 24 or 168 h following transfer from FW-to-FW (File S1).

Of the combined 496 distinct DEGs identified between FW- and SW-transferred fish at either 24 or 168 h post-transfer (Figure 5), most DEGs (96%) were uniquely changed at either 24 h (61%; 161 upregulated and 142 downregulated) or 168 h (35%; 77 upregulated and 95 downregulated). Only 18 DEGs (3.5%) were similarly affected at both time points (17 upregulated and 1 downregulated) and 3 DEGs (0.5%) showed opposing patterns between time points (1 DEG upregulated at 24 h versus downregulated at 168 h and 2 DEGs downregulated at 24 h versus upregulated at 168 h).

3.1.3 | Presence of endocrine system components in the transcriptome of the CNSS

In addition to detecting components of several peptide (e.g., AVP, CRF, enkephalin, pituitary adenylate-cyclase-activating polypeptide, somatostatin, STC, cholecystokinin, NPY, and galanin) and steroid (e.g., androgen, corticosteroid, and estrogen receptors) hormone systems that have previously been identified in the CNSS of other teleosts, we also observed components of endocrine systems that have not previously been identified in the CNSS (Table 2). Specifically, we identified components of hormone systems that are broadly involved with calcium maintenance (calcitonin), cardiovascular regulation (natriuretic peptide and tachykinin), growth/osmoregulation [insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and growth hormone], and metabolism (leptin, nesfatin, and thyroid hormone).

Of the endocrine systems present in the CNSS, components of several were affected by SW transfer (Table 2). After 24 h of SW acclimation, levels of AVP receptor 1Aa (*avpr1aa*; +255% compared to FW transferred fish, p_{FDR} = .007), insulin-like growth factor 2b (*igf2b*; +111%, p_{FDR} = .003), and glucocorticoid receptor 1 (*gr1*; −15%, p_{FDR} = .03) were significantly altered. A longer acclimation period of 168 h in SW caused changes in levels of two paralogs of insulin-like growth factor 1 (*igf1*; +217% and +235%, both p_{FDR} = .04), CRFb2 (*crfb2*; −65%, p_{FDR} = .04), and thyroid hormone receptor beta (*thrb*; −22%, p_{FDR} = .03). Finally, when comparing fish that had acclimated to SW for 168 h versus 24 h, the abundance of two paralogs of calcitonin beta (*calcb*; −28% and −38% compared to SW-transferred fish at 24 h, p_{FDR} = .04 and p_{FDR} = .007), calcitonin alpha (*calca*; −45%, p_{FDR} = .01), glucocorticoid receptor 1 (*gr1*; −30%, p_{FDR} = .049), two paralogs of tachykinin 1 (*tac1*; −35% and −44%, p_{FDR} = .01 and p_{FDR} = .03), and thyroid hormone receptor beta (*thrb*; −32%, p_{FDR} < .001) were affected.

FIGURE 6 Changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and (C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) that were transferred from freshwater-to-freshwater (FW; gray) or from freshwater-to-seawater (SW; black) for 24, 72, or 168 h. Significant differences ($p < .05$) are depicted using either letters (across time) or asterisks (between groups within a timepoint) and data are expressed relative to FW fish at 24 h. Values are represented as means ± SEM and individual data points are shown.

FW-acclimated trout ($N = 3$) indicated that *uts1a*, *uts1b*, and *crfb1* were highly abundant (all amplified at ~20 cycles; Supp. Figure 1). Transcripts of *crfbp1* and −2, *ucn2a* and −b, and *crfr1a* and -1b were 8×, 64×, and 1000× less abundant, respectively (with no obvious differences between paralogs), while *crfa1* and −a2, *crfb2*, *crfr2a* and *2b*, and *ucn3* were all >4000× less abundant (≥35 cycles). Therefore, we focused all subsequent qPCR analyses on *crfb1*, *uts1a*, and *uts1b*.

Levels of *uts1a* (Figure 6A; $p_{group} = .31$, $p_{time} = .03$, $p_{group*time} < .001$), *uts1b* (Figure 6B; $p_{group} = .20$, $p_{time} = .33$, $p_{group*time} = .002$), and *crfb1* (Figure 6C; $p_{group} = .83$, $p_{time} = .08$, $p_{group*time} = .003$) all showed similar responses following SW transfer. After 24 h, transcript levels were ~70%–170% higher following transfer from FW-to-SW versus FW-to-FW, but levels in FW-to-SW-transferred fish declined by 72 h such that they were no longer different than levels in FW-to-FW transferred fish.

3.2 | Experiment series 2: Atlantic Salmon

While the following results are focused on transcriptional changes in the CNSS, a full description of changes in plasma osmolality and cortisol levels in these fish has previously been reported.[59,66] Briefly, plasma cortisol and osmolality values were higher in smolts than in parr across all sampling points, and parr (but not smolts) had elevated plasma cortisol and osmolality values 24 h after SW transfer. Following transfer from SW-to-FW, plasma osmolality decreased within 24 h while all groups had low cortisol levels (≤2 ng mL^{-1}).

3.2.1 | Seasonal patterns in CRF peptides in the CNSS of parr and smolts

Levels of *uts1a* (Figure 7A; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} < .001$, $p_{group*time} = .57$), *uts1b* (Figure 7B; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} < .001$, $p_{group*time} = .74$), and *crfb1* (Figure 7C; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} = .001$, $p_{group*time} = .41$) were all

3.1.4 | Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS

In agreement with our RNA-Seq results (Table 2), qPCR assessment of CRF system components in the CNSS of

FIGURE 7 Seasonal changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and (C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) of parr (gray) and smolt (black) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in February, April, and May. Significant differences ($p < .05$) are depicted using either letters (across time; uppercase = within SW, lowercase = within FW, underlined uppercase = overall time effect), filled oversized squares (between groups across all timepoints) or asterisks (between groups within a timepoint) and data are expressed relative to parr in February (Feb). Values are represented as means ± SEM, and individual data points are shown.

3.2.2 | Effects of FW-to-SW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS of parr and smolts

Following transfer from FW-to-SW, levels of *uts1a* (Figure 8A; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} < .001$, $p_{group*time} = .15$), *uts1b* (Figure 8B; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} < .001$, $p_{group*time} = .69$), and *crfb1* (Figure 8C; $p_{group} < .001$, $p_{time} < .001$, $p_{group*time} = .34$) decreased by ~50%–65% within 96 h in both parr and smolts. However, levels of each peptide remained ~6–7-fold higher in smolts versus parr across all timepoints.

3.2.3 | Effects of SW-to-FW transfer on CRF peptides in the CNSS of post-smolts

Levels of *uts1a* (Figure 9A; $p = .17$) and *uts1b* (Figure 9B; $p = .19$) did not change following transfer from FW-to-SW, but levels of *crfb1* (Figure 9C; $p = .007$) were ~50% lower 24 h post-transfer compared to fish that were chronically acclimated to either FW or SW.

4 | DISCUSSION

While the CNSS has long been hypothesized to serve osmoregulatory roles, our understanding of these roles is limited in part because no study has characterized transcriptome-wide responses by the CNSS following changes in environmental salinity. In the current study, we determined how the transcriptome of the CNSS in rainbow trout—a euryhaline salmonid—changed 24 and 168 h following transfer from FW-to-SW. Plasma osmolality levels were elevated in SW fish at all time points—indicating that SW-transferred fish were physiologically perturbed at 24 and 168 h post-transfer—but plasma cortisol levels had returned to baseline by 168 h, suggesting that fish were beginning to acclimate by this time. Indeed, transcriptional changes in the CNSS at 24 versus 168 h post-transfer support temporal differences in physiological responses following SW transfer. Only 18 DEGs showed conserved

~3–4-fold higher in smolts than in parr through the spring. Additionally, levels increased through the spring in both groups with ~5-, 3-, and twofold increases in *uts1a*, *uts1b*, and *crfb1*, respectively, when comparing between February and May.

FIGURE 8 Changes in transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and (C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) of parr (gray) and smolt (black) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) that were either sampled in freshwater (FW), or 24, 96, or 240 h post-transfer to seawater. Significant differences ($p < .05$) are depicted using either letters (across time; underlined uppercase = overall time effect) or filled oversized squares (between groups across all timepoints) and data are expressed relative to FW parr. Values are represented as means ± SEM, and individual data points are shown.

including changes in transcription factor signaling (GO cluster: negative transcription regulation), histone regulation (GO cluster: DNA maintenance), and DNA modification (GO cluster: chromosome organization). The only other transcriptomics study that has investigated the CNSS reported similar changes in transcription-related processes in the CNSS of flounder following acute increases in temperature,[52] suggesting that this may be a general response of the CNSS following exposure to environmental stressors. Our results are also consistent with transcriptional responses observed in other tissues following SW transfer of salmonids. For example, previous work in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) reported that genes related to transcriptional processes (especially methylation) were upregulated in the gills 240 h after fish had been transferred from FW-to-SW.[91] Similarly, Harvey et al.[92] found that transcription factor dynamics and chromatin remodeling in the liver of Atlantic salmon changed both during smoltification and following SW transfer. Thus, widespread adjustments in transcriptional processes are clearly an important response while acclimating to SW, as well as responding to stressors more generally.

We also observed several changes in genes related to amino acid transport (GO cluster: membrane transport) and lipid metabolism (GO cluster: lipid biosynthesis) at 24 h, which likely reflect metabolic adjustments associated with SW acclimation. Changes in lipid metabolism—specifically, increased rates of lipolysis and reduced lipogenesis—are one of the primary metabolic changes that occur during smoltification and SW transfer,[92–94] and these periods are also associated with less pronounced changes in amino acid metabolism.[92,95]

Lastly, we detected an upregulation of DEGs related to neurotransmitter transport 24 h after SW transfer, which was primarily related to increased levels of genes that regulate GABA and taurine transport (*slc6a11*, *slc6a6*, and *slc6a6b*[96]). While firing rates of Dahlgren cells are regulated by many hormones and neurotransmitters, GABA appears to be one of the major suppressors of Dahlgren cell activity.[97] Indeed, Yuan et al.[98] reported that reductions in firing activity of Dahlgren cells in the CNSS of flounder in response to low temperature are primarily mediated by increased GABA signaling. Thus, it is likely that

responses across both time points, and the number of DEGs was far greater when comparing SW-transferred fish at 24 and 168 h (823 DEGs) versus FW- and SW-transferred fish within either time point (24 h: 324 DEGs; 168 h: 193 DEGs).

Many of the responses observed 24 h after transfer to SW reflected broad changes in transcriptional processes,

FIGURE 9 Effects of transferring seawater-acclimated (SW) Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) to freshwater (FW) on transcript levels of (A) urotensin 1a (*uts1a*), (B) urotensin 1b (*uts1b*), and (C) corticotropin-releasing factor b1 (*crfb1*) in the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS). Significant differences ($p < .05$) are depicted using letters, and data are expressed relative to SW-acclimated fish. Values are represented as means ± SEM, and individual data points are shown. Note that the FW group had never been in SW. NS, no significant differences.

may be an important response following SW transfer since taurine can aid in the maintenance of cellular osmolality and help to minimize oxidative damage.[99–102]

Fewer changes between FW-to-FW and FW-to-SW-transferred fish were detected at 168 h post-transfer, with the most notable changes being an upregulation of genes related to protein folding and a downregulation of genes involved in neuron development and differentiation. While the upregulation of genes related to protein folding and cellular stress (*calr*, *hsp90b1a*, *dnajb11*, and *hspa5*) likely indicates that SW-transferred trout may still have been acclimating to their new environment even after 168 h, it is less obvious why genes related to neuron development and differentiation might have changed. It is possible that these changes reflect adjustments in the neurosecretory activity of the CNSS (i.e., alterations in Dahlgren cell abundance) since previous work investigating the effects of temperature changes on the CNSS of flounder also reported that cellular proliferation was reduced in response to either elevated or reduced temperatures.[10] However, these authors did not observe any changes specifically in populations of Dahlgren cells, suggesting that changes in genes related to neuronal processes detected in the current study are also unlikely to reflect changes in Dahlgren cell abundance. Instead, these changes could reflect reductions in the growth of neurites (progenitors of axons and dendrites) since multiple DEGs in this GO cluster (*slitrk5* and 2 paralogs of *nptna*) are involved in modulating neurite outgrowth and activity[103,104]; however, further research is needed to determine what the functional outcome(s) of such changes might be.

Endocrine functions have been associated with the CNSS for over 60 years, yet the suite of hormone systems that are present in the CNSS and/or regulate the CNSS remains unclear. Here, we confirmed the presence of components in many endocrine systems that have previously been identified in the CNSS (e.g., AVP, corticosteroids, CRF, and somatostatin; see Table 2), as well as several hormone systems that have not previously been identified in the CNSS (e.g., calcitonin, growth hormone, IGF, and leptin; see Table 2). Interestingly, despite the high abundance of PtHrP components in the CNSS of flounder,[22,23] all components of the PTH/PTHrP system that were

GABA signaling is important for regulating Dahlgren cell activity 24 h post-transfer to SW, but future studies should evaluate changes in other components of GABA signaling pathways (i.e., receptor abundance) to better understand how GABA is affecting the CNSS following SW transfer. Additionally, increased taurine transport into the CNSS

identified in our RNA-Seq data were very low in abundance (i.e., below the abundance threshold for inclusion in our final gene list). Thus, it is likely that the complement of endocrine systems that are present in the CNSS varies across species, and additional work utilizing a diverse range of species is clearly warranted to better establish similarities and differences across teleosts.

In addition to being present in the CNSS, components of several hormone systems in the CNSS were affected by SW transfer. While some of these changes occurred in endocrine systems which have previously been identified in the CNSS and have conserved osmoregulatory functions (e.g., AVP, corticosteroids, and CRF[55,56,105,106]), we also detected changes in several hormone systems (e.g., calcitonin, IGF, tachykinin, and thyroid hormone systems) which we do not believe have previously been identified in the CNSS of any fish.[3] Of these novel endocrine systems, changes in calcitonin and IGF systems are particularly notable since both hormone families have osmoregulatory functions. Calcitonin is involved in calcium regulation,[107] and calcitonin levels are often acutely elevated following SW transfer.[108–111] Indeed, we found that transcript abundance of several calcitonin peptides was higher in SW-transferred fish at 24 h versus 168 h post-transfer. Interestingly, Björnsson et al.[108] reported that cortisol reduces circulating calcitonin levels in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). While cortisol levels were 3× higher in SW- versus FW-transferred fish at 24 h, levels of the most abundant paralog of glucocorticoid receptor 1 were 15% lower in SW-transferred fish at this time. Therefore, the observed elevation in calcitonin transcript abundance 24 h post-transfer may be mediated, at least in part, by local reductions in glucocorticoid receptor activity within the CNSS. Like calcitonin, the osmoregulatory actions of IGF-1 (i.e., increased ion secretion and improved SW tolerance) are well established[57,112]; however, far fewer studies have evaluated osmoregulatory functions of IGF-2. In Atlantic salmon and black-chinned tilapia (*Sarotherodon melanotheron*), transfer from FW-to-SW caused transcript levels of both *igf1* and *igf2* to increase in the gills,[113,114] but levels of these transcripts were reduced in the brain and liver of tilapia following SW transfer[114,115] suggesting tissue-specific regulation. The current data indicate that transcription of both IGF-1 and IGF-2 is stimulated in the CNSS following SW transfer, but the temporal dynamics of these changes vary. While IGF-2 was upregulated during early acclimation (after 24 h in SW), IGF-1 upregulation did not occur until later (after 168 h in SW). In contrast to calcitonin and IGF, there is limited evidence for direct osmoregulatory roles of thyroid hormones in salmonids.[116] Similarly, while tachykinin has many physiological functions,[117] there is little support for an osmoregulatory role of this hormone family. Therefore,

it is unlikely that the observed changes in either thyroid hormone or tachykinin systems are directly associated with osmoregulatory processes. Overall, these data extend our understanding of the importance of endocrine signaling in the CNSS, but additional studies are necessary to determine whether these hormones (e.g., IGF and calcitonin) are released into circulation via the urophysis to exert physiological effects on other tissues, or whether the actions of these hormone systems are locally restricted to within the CNSS.

While few significant changes within the CRF system were detected during our RNA-Seq analysis—levels of *crfb2* were 65% lower in SW-to-FW versus FW-to-FW transferred fish at 168 h—we decided to further characterize transcriptional changes in the CRF system of the CNSS using qPCR because CRF peptides were highly abundant in the CNSS and their synthesis has previously been shown to respond to changes in environmental salinity.[31,32] Using this more direct approach, we found that transcript levels of the three major CRF peptide paralogs in the CNSS (*uts1a*, *uts1b*, and *crfb1*) were all 70%–170% higher in SW-to-FW versus FW-to-FW transferred rainbow trout at 24 h post-transfer (similar, non-significant responses were also observed in the RNA-Seq analysis). These findings agree with previous studies which have generally shown that the transfer of FW fish to SW or marine fish to FW causes acute (≤24 h post-transfer) changes in protein/mRNA levels of CRF and/or UTS1,[25,54,87] including in rainbow trout.[31,50] Interestingly, Craig et al.[31] reported that transferring rainbow trout from FW-to-SW caused elevated levels of *crfb* and *uts1* in the CNSS 24, 72, and 168 h post-transfer. It is unclear why our results differ from Craig et al.,[31] but since body size is positively associated with SW tolerance in many salmonids,[118,119] this difference may reflect the size of fish used in our study (~550 g) versus Craig et al. (~185 g). Regardless, because CRF peptides generally promote ion excretion across osmoregulatory epithelia in fish,[26,28,29] acute activation of CRF peptide transcription following SW transfer likely helps fish develop the appropriate mechanisms needed to minimize the passive influx of ions and promote salt secretion—which is necessary for survival in hyperosmotic environments.[120]

In contrast to our rainbow trout results, transcript abundance of CRF peptides decreased when Atlantic salmon parr or smolts were transferred from FW-to-SW. However, this likely reflects prior anticipatory increases in the abundance of CRF peptides that were observed during the spring in both parr and smolts—although seasonal upregulations were much stronger in smolts than in parr—prior to when SW migrations would normally occur. In advance of SW migrations, smolts undergo a variety of physiological adjustments during the spring, including many changes related to osmoregulation, which prepare

them to migrate from FW into SW.[57] Based on the current results, it appears that increased activity of the CRF system in the CNSS may be involved in regulating these preparatory changes. Indeed, previous work reported that the cytophysiological activity of the neurosecretory neurons in the CNSS of coho salmon was higher in smolts than in parr.[51] Furthermore, Nishioka et al.[51] also reported that activity in the CNSS of SW-acclimated coho smolts (6–8 months post-transfer) remained higher than that of FW-acclimated parr, which is consistent with the sustained elevation of CRF peptide transcript levels in smolts even 240 h after SW transfer compared to FW-acclimated parr. While a central role for CRF peptides in the brain has previously been reported in preparation for seasonal migrations in salmonids,[59,121–124] we believe that the current data are the first report of seasonal changes in the CNSS CRF system of salmonids. Finally, in agreement with the apparent activation of CRF peptide transcription in the CNSS either in response to (rainbow trout) or in advance of (Atlantic salmon) exposure to increased salinity, we also found that levels of *crfb1* transiently decreased 24 h after the transfer of SW-acclimated Atlantic salmon into FW. Thus, our data suggest that CRF peptide transcription acutely increases in response to hyperosmotic environments and acutely decreases in response to hypoosmotic environments in salmonids.

Overall, transcriptional responses of the CNSS following transfer from FW-to-SW are temporally dynamic and are associated with changes in several endocrine systems. Additionally, elevated production of CRF peptides by the CNSS, either in response to or in advance of increased environmental salinity, appears to be a conserved response across salmonid fishes. Collectively, these results provide the most intensive investigation of the osmoregulatory functions of the CNSS at a molecular level conducted to date and offer several promising avenues for future studies to evaluate novel physiological contributions of the CNSS.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Brett M. Culbert: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. **Stephen D. McCormick**: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing—review & editing. **Nicholas J. Bernier**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing—review & editing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

FUNDING INFORMATION

DISCLOSURES

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data for qPCR and physiological analyses are deposited on Mendeley Data (10.17632/g3pr923wf2.1) and sequencing reads are archived with the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (Accession: PRJNA1139945).

ORCID

Brett M. Culbert https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2830-9590
Stephen D. McCormick https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0621-6200
Nicholas J. Bernier https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4953-7063

REFERENCES

1. Bern HA, Takasugi N. The caudal neurosecretory system of fishes. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1962;2:96-110. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(62)90032-1

2. Chan DK, Bern HA. The caudal neurosecretory system. *Cell Tissue Res*. 1976;174:409-433. doi:10.1007/BF00220680

3. Rousseau K, Girardot F, Parmentier C, Tostivint H. The caudal neurosecretory system: a still enigmatic second neuroendocrine complex in fish. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2025; **115**:154-194. doi:10.1159/000536270

4. Weber EH. Knoten und unpaarer Faden, mit dem sich das Ruckenmark bei einigen Fischen endigt, namentlich beim *Cyprinus carpio*. *Meckels Arch Annt Physiol*. 1827;2:316-317.

5. Dahlgren U. The electric motor nerve centers in the skates (Rajidæ). *Science*. 1914;40:862-863. doi:10.1126/science.40.1041.862

6. Enami M. The morphology and functional significance of the caudal neurosecretory system of fishes. In: Gorbman A, ed. *Comparative Endocrinology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 1959:697-724.

7. Lederis K, Letter A, McMaster D, Moore G, Schlesinger D. Complete amino acid sequence of urotensin I, a hypotensive and corticotropin-releasing neuropeptide from Catostomus. *Science*. 1982;218:162-165. doi:10.1126/science.6981844

8. Pearson D, Shively JE, Clark BR, et al. Urotensin II: a somatostatin-like peptide in the caudal neurosecretory system of fishes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1980;77:5021-5024. doi:10.1073/pnas.77.8.5021

9. Munro AD. Possible functions of the caudal neurosecretory system. *Rev Fish Biol Fish*. 1995;5:447-454. doi:10.1007/BF01103815

10. Yuan M, Li X, Long T, Chen Y, Lu W. Dynamic responses of the caudal neurosecretory system (CNSS) under thermal stress in olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *Front Physiol*. 2020;10:1560. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01560

11. Chevalier G. Ultrastructural changes in the caudal neurosecretory cells of the trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* in relation to external salinity. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1976;29:441-454. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(76)90027-7

12. Enami M. Changes in the caudal neurosecretory system of the loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*) in response to osmotic stimuli. *Proc Jpn Acad*. 1956;32:759-764. doi:10.2183/pjab1945.32.759

13. Takasugi N, Bern HA. Experimental studies on the caudal neurosecretory system of *Tilapia mossambica*. *Comp Biochem Physiol*. 1962;6:289-303. doi:10.1016/0010-406X(62)90133-0

14. Ireland MP. Effect of urophysectomy in *Gasterosteus aculeatus* on survival in fresh water and sea-water. *J Endocrinol*. 1969;43:133-134. doi:10.1677/joe.0.0430133

15. Enami M, Miyashita S, Imai K. Studies in neurosecretion IX. Possibility of occurrence of a sodium-regulating hormone in the caudal neurosecretory system in teleosts. *Endocrinol Jpn*. 1956;3:280-290. doi:10.1507/endocrj1954.3.280

16. Fryer JN, Woo NYS, Gunther RL, Bern HA. Effect of urophysial homogenates on plasma ion levels in *Gillichthys mirabilis* (Teleostei: Gobiidae). *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1978;35:238-244. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(78)90068-0

17. Ashworth AJ, Banks JR, Brierley MJ, Balment RJ, McCrohan CR. Electrical activity of caudal neurosecretory neurons in seawater and freshwater-adapted *Platichthys flesus*, *in vivo*. *J Exp Biol*. 2005;208:267-275. doi:10.1242/jeb.01372

18. Yagi K, Bern HA. Electrophysiologic indications of the osmoregulatory role of the teleost urophysis. *Science*. 1963;142:491-493. doi:10.1126/science.142.3591.491

19. Bennett MVL, Fox S. Electrophysiology of caudal neurosecretory cells in the skate and fluke. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1962;2:77-95. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(62)90031-X

20. Yagi K, Bern HA. Electrophysiologic analysis of the response of the caudal neurosecretory system of *Tilapia mossambica* to osmotic manipulations. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1965;5:509-526. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(65)90040-7

21. Greenwood MP, Flik G, Wagner GF, Balment RJ. The corpuscles of stannius, calcium-sensing receptor, and stanniocalcin: responses to calcimimetics and physiological challenges. *Endocrinology*. 2009;150:3002-3010. doi:10.1210/en.2008-1758

22. Ingleton PM, Bendell LA, Flanagan JA, Teitsma C, Balment RJ. Calcium-sensing receptors and parathyroid hormone-related protein in the caudal neurosecretory system of the flounder (*Platichthys flesus*). *J Anat*. 2002;200:487-497. doi:10.1046/j.1469-7580.2002.00036.x

23. Lu W, Jin Y, Xu J, Greenwood MP, Balment RJ. Molecular characterisation and expression of parathyroid hormone-related protein in the caudal neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder, *Platichthys flesus*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2017;249:24-31. doi:10.1016/J.YGCEN.2017.02.015

24. Arnold-Reed DE, Balment RJ, McCrohan CR, Hackney CM. The caudal neurosecretory system of *Platichthys flesus*: general morphology and responses to altered salinity. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*. 1991;99:137-143. doi:10.1016/0300-9629(91)90248-B

25. Minniti F, Donato A, D'este L, Renda T. Sauvagine/urotensin I-like immunoreactivity in the caudal neurosecretory system of a seawater fish *Diplodus sargus* L. in normal and hyposmotic milieu. *Peptides*. 1989;10:383-389. doi:10.1016/0196-9781(89)90047-8

26. Chan DKO. Cardiovascular and renal effects of urotensins I and II in the eel, *Anguilla rostrata*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1975;27:52-61. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(75)90052-0

27. Loretz CA, Bern HA, Foskett JK, Mainoya JR. In: Farner DS, Lederis K, eds. *Neurosecretion: Molecules, Cells, System*. Plenum Press; 1981:319-328.

28. Mainoya JR, Bern HA. Effects of teleost urotensins on intestinal absorption of water and NaCl in tilapia, *Sarotherodon mossambicus*, adapted to fresh water or seawater. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1982;47:54-58. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(82)90083-1

29. Marshall WS, Bern HA. Active chloride transport by the skin of a marine teleost is stimulated by urotensin I and inhibited by urotensin II. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1981;43:484-491. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(81)90233-1

30. Alderman SL, Bernier NJ. Ontogeny of the corticotropin-releasing factor system in zebrafish. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2009;164:61-69. doi:10.1016/J.YGCEN.2009.04.007

31. Craig PM, Al-Timimi H, Bernier NJ. Differential increase in forebrain and caudal neurosecretory system corticotropin-releasing factor and urotensin I gene expression associated with seawater transfer in rainbow trout. *Endocrinology*. 2005;146:3851-3860. doi:10.1210/en.2005-0004

32. Lu W, Dow L, Gumusgoz S, et al. Coexpression of corticotropin-releasing hormone and urotensin I precursor genes in the caudal neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder (*Platichthys flesus*): a possible shared role in peripheral regulation. *Endocrinology*. 2004;145:5786-5797. doi:10.1210/en.2004-0144

33. Gozdowska M, Ślebioda M, Kulczykowska E. Neuropeptides isotocin and arginine vasotocin in urophysis of three fish species. *Fish Physiol Biochem*. 2013;39:863-869. doi:10.1007/s10695-012-9746-6

34. Grone BP, Butler JM, Wayne CR, Maruska KP. Expression patterns and evolution of urocortin and corticotropin-releasing hormone genes in a cichlid fish. *J Comp Neurol*. 2021;529:2596-2619. doi:10.1002/cne.25113

35. Harding KE, Warne JM, Hyodo S, Balment RJ. Pituitary and plasma AVT content in the flounder (*Platichthys flesus*). *Fish Physiol Biochem*. 1997;17:357-362. doi:10.1023/a:1007761801419

36. Holder FC, Schroeder MD, Guerne JM, Vivien-Roels B. A preliminary comparative immunohistochemical, radioimmunological, and biological study of arginine vasotocin (AVT) in the pineal gland and urophysis of some teleostei. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1979;37:15-25. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(79)90041-8

37. Hosono K, Yamashita J, Kikuchi Y, Hiraki-Kajiyama T, Okubo K. Three urocortins in medaka: identification and spatial expression in the central nervous system. *J Neuroendocrinol*. 2017;29:1-11. doi:10.1111/jne.12472

38. Lacanilao F. The urophysial hydrosmotic factor of fishes: II. Chromatographic and pharmacologic indications of similarity to arginine vasotocin. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1972;19:413-420. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(72)90240-7

39. Parmentier C, Hameury E, Lihrmann I, et al. Comparative distribution of the mRNAs encoding urotensin I and urotensin II in zebrafish. *Peptides*. 2008;29:820-829. doi:10.1016/J.PEPTIDES.2008.01.023

40. Audet C, Chevalier G. Monoaminergic innervation of the caudal neurosecretory system of the brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* in relation to osmotic stimulation. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1981;45:189-203. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(81)90104-0

41. Conlon JM, Balment RJ. Synthesis and release of acetylcholine by the isolated perifused trout caudal neurosecretory system. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1996;103:36-40. doi:10.1006/gcen.1996.0091

42. Hubbard PC, Balment RJ, McCrohan CR. Adrenergic receptor activation hyperpolarizes the caudal neurosecretory cells of the flounder, *Platichthys flesus*. *J Neuroendocrinol*. 1996;8:153-159. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2826.1996.tb00836.x

43. McKeon TW, Cohen SL, Black EE, Kriebel RM, Parsons RL. Monoamines in the caudal neurosecretory complex: biochemistry and immunohistochemistry. *Brain Res Bull*. 1988;21:37-42. doi:10.1016/0361-9230(88)90117-7

44. Yulis CR, Garcia ME, Rodríguez EM. The caudal spinal cord of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*): immunocytochemical evidence of a "caudal serotoninergic system." *Cell Tissue Res*. 1990;259:543-550. doi:10.1007/BF01740782

45. Miller KE, Kriebel RM. Peptidergic innervation of caudal neurosecretory neurons. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1986;64:396-400. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(86)90074-2

46. Xia W, Smith O, Zmora N, Xu S, Zohar Y. Comprehensive analysis of GnRH2 neuronal projections in zebrafish. *Sci Rep*. 2014;36764:. doi:10.1038/srep03676

47. Yamamoto N, Oka Y, Amano M, Aida K, Hasegawa Y, Kawashima S. Multiple gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH)-immunoreactive systems in the brain of the dwarf gourami, Colisa lalia: immunohistochemistry and radioimmunoassay. *J Comp Neurol*. 1995;355:354-368. doi:10.1002/cne.903550303

48. Oka S, Chiba A, Honma Y. Structures immunoreactive with porcine NPY in the caudal neurosecretory system of several fishes and cyclostomes. *Zool Sci*. 1997;14:665-669. doi:10.2108/zsj.14.665

49. Lu W, Worthington J, Riccardi D, Balment RJ, McCrohan CR. Seasonal changes in peptide, receptor and ion channel mRNA expression in the caudal neurosecretory system of the European flounder (*Platichthys flesus*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2007;153:262-272. doi:10.1016/J.YGCEN.2007.05.004

50. Larson BA, Madani Z. Sequential changes in urotensin immunoreactivity patterns in the trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, caudal neurosecretory system in response to seawater challenge. *Zool Sci*. 1996;13:403-414. doi:10.2108/zsj.13.403

51. Nishioka RS, Bern HA, Lai KV, Nagahama Y, Grau EG. Changes in the endocrine organs of coho salmon during normal and abnormal smoltification—an electron-microscope study. *Aquaculture*. 1982;28:21-38. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(82)90005-9

52. Yuan M, Zhang X, Louro B, Li X, Canário AVM, Lu W. Transcriptomics reveals that the caudal neurosecretory system in the olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) is more responsive in bold individuals and to chronic temperature change. *Aquaculture*. 2021;544:737032. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737032

53. Bernier NJ, Alderman SL, Bristow EN. Heads or tails? Stressor-specific expression of corticotropin-releasing factor and urotensin I in the preoptic area and caudal neurosecretory system of rainbow trout. *J Endocrinol*. 2008;196:637-648. doi:10.1677/JOE-07-0568

54. Lu W, Zhu G, Chen A, Li X, McCrohan CR, Balment R. Gene expression and hormone secretion profile of urotensin I associated with osmotic challenge in caudal neurosecretory system of the euryhaline flounder, *Platichthys flesus*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2019;277:49-55. doi:10.1016/J.YGCEN.2019.01.004

55. Cannell E, Dornan AJ, Halberg KA, Terhzaz S, Dow JAT, Davies SA. The corticotropin-releasing factor-like diuretic hormone 44 (DH44) and kinin neuropeptides modulate desiccation and starvation tolerance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Peptides*. 2016;80:96-107. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2016.02.004

56. Stengel A, Taché Y. Neuroendocrine control of the gut during stress: corticotropin-releasing factor signaling pathways in the spotlight. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2009;71:219-239. doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.010908.163221

57. McCormick SD. Smolt physiology and endocrinology. In: McCormick SD, Farrell AP, Brauner CJ, eds. *Euryhaline Fishes*. Academic Press; 2013:199-251. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-396951-4.00005-0

58. Zydlewski J, Wilkie MP. Freshwater to seawater transitions in migratory fishes. In: McCormick SD, Farrell AP, Brauner CJ, eds. *Euryhaline Fishes*. Academic Press; 2013:253-326. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-396951-4.00006-2

59. Culbert BM, Regish AM, Hall DJ, McCormick SD, Bernier NJ. Neuroendocrine regulation of plasma cortisol levels during smoltification and seawater acclimation of Atlantic salmon. *Front Endocrinol*. 2022;13:859817. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.859817

60. Arnesen AM, Jørgensen EH, Jobling M. Feed intake, growth and osmoregulation in Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), following abrupt transfer from freshwater to more saline water. *Aquaculture*. 1993;114:327-338. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(93)90307-K

61. Damsgård B, Arnesen AM. Feeding, growth and social interactions during smolting and seawater acclimation in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. *Aquaculture*. 1998;168:7-16. doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00335-4

62. Usher ML, Talbot C, Eddy FB. Effects of transfer to seawater on growth and feeding in Atlantic salmon smolts (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture*. 1991;94:309-326. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(91)90176-8

63. McCormick SD, Regish AM, Christensen AK, Björnsson BT. Differential regulation of sodium-potassium pump isoforms during smolt development and seawater exposure of Atlantic salmon. *J Exp Biol*. 2013;216:1142-1151. doi:10.1242/jeb.080440

64. Carey JB, McCormick SD. Atlantic salmon smolts are more responsive to an acute handling and confinement stress than parr. *Aquaculture*. 1998;168:237-253. doi:10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00352-4

65. Madison BN, Tavakoli S, Kramer S, Bernier NJ. Chronic cortisol and the regulation of food intake and the endocrine growth axis in rainbow trout. *J Endocrinol*. 2015;226:103-119. doi:10.1530/JOE-15-0186

66. Culbert BM, Mossington E, McCormick SD, Bernier NJ. Regulation and roles of the gill corticotropin-releasing factor system during osmoregulatory disturbances in Atlantic salmon. *J Exp Biol*. 2025;228(2):JEB248168. doi:10.1242/jeb.248168

67. Billiau AD, Sefrioui H, Overbergh L, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta inhibits lymphokine activated killer cytotoxicity of bone marrow cells. *Transplantation*. 2001;71:292-299. doi:10.1097/00007890-200101270-00022

68. Essex-Fraser PA, Steele SL, Bernier NJ, Murray BW, Stevens ED, Wright PA. Expression of four glutamine synthetase genes in the early stages of development of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in relationship to nitrogen excretion. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280:20268-20273. doi:10.1074/jbc.M412338200

69. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30:2114-2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

70. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29:15-21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

71. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq-A python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 2015;31:166-169. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

72. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;26:139-140. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

73. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2024. http://www.R-project.org/

74. Reimand J, Isserlin R, Voisin V, et al. Pathway enrichment analysis and visualization of omics data using g:profiler, GSEA, Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap. *Nat Protoc*. 2019;14:482-517. doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0103-9

75. Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, et al. G:profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47:W191-W198. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz369

76. Merico D, Isserlin R, Stueker O, Emili A, Bader GD. Enrichment map: a network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e13984. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013984

77. Kucera M, Isserlin R, Arkhangorodsky A, Bader GD. AutoAnnotate: a Cytoscape app for summarizing networks with semantic annotations. *F1000Res*. 2016;5:1717. doi:10.12688/F1000RESEARCH.9090.1

78. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res*. 2003;13:2498-2504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303

79. Conway JR, Lex A, Gehlenborg N. UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics*. 2017;33:2938-2940. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx364

80. Lenth RV. Least-squares means: the R package lsmeans. *J Stat Softw*. 2016;69:1-33. doi:10.18637/jss.v069.i01

81. Mennigen JA, Magnan J, Touma K, et al. Social status-dependent regulation and function of the somatotropic axis in juvenile rainbow trout. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2022;554:111709. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2022.111709

82. Romero A, Vega M, Santibáñez N, et al. *Salmo salar* glucocorticoid receptors analyses of alternative splicing variants under stress conditions. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2020;293:113466. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113466

83. Callard GV, Specker JL, Knapp J, Nishioka RS, Bern HA. Aromatase is concentrated in the proximal pars distalis of tilapia pituitary. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1988;71:70-79. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(88)90296-1

84. Rupia EJ, Zhao Y, Lu W. Individualities mediate divergent stress responses and appetite regulation in CNS of olive flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Aquaculture*. 2023;563:738957. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738957

85. Yamada C, Mikami S, Kuraishi Y, Kobayashi H. Immunoreactive methionine-enkephalin in the caudal neurosecretory system of the carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *Cell Tissue Res*. 1988;253:2-4. doi:10.1007/BF00222307

86. Jiang P, Fang S, Huang N, Lu W. The excitatory effect of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2B receptors on the caudal neurosecretory system Dahlgren cells in olive flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*. 2023;283:111457. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2023.111457

87. Larson BA, Madani Z. Increased urotensin I and II immunoreactivity in the urophysis of *Gillichthys mirabilis* transferred to low salinity water. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1991;83:379-387. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(91)90143-T

88. Lu W, Greenwood M, Dow L, et al. Molecular characterization and expression of urotensin II and its receptor in the flounder (*Platichthys flesus*): a hormone system supporting body fluid homeostasis in euryhaline fish. *Endocrinology*. 2006;147:3692-3708. doi:10.1210/en.2005-1457

89. Quan FB, Dubessy C, Galant S, et al. Comparative distribution and *in vitro* activities of the urotensin II-related peptides URP1 and URP2 in zebrafish: evidence for their colocalization in spinal cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0119290. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119290

90. Cornbrooks EB, Parsons RL. Sexually dimorphic distribution of a galanin-like peptide in the central nervous system of the teleost fish *Poecilia latipinna*. *J Comp Neurol*. 1991;304:639-657. doi:10.1002/cne.903040410

91. Norman JD, Ferguson MM, Danzmann RG. An integrated transcriptomic and comparative genomic analysis of differential gene expression in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) following seawater exposure. *J Exp Biol*. 2014;217:4029-4042. doi:10.1242/jeb.107441

92. Harvey TN, Gillard GB, Røsæg LL, et al. The genome regulatory landscape of Atlantic salmon liver through smoltification. *PLoS One*. 2024;19:e0302388. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0302388

93. Gillard G, Harvey TN, Gjuvsland A, et al. Life-stage-associated remodelling of lipid metabolism regulation in Atlantic salmon. *Mol Ecol*. 2018;27:1200-1213. doi:10.1111/mec.14533

94. Sheridan MA. Alterations in lipid metabolism accompanying smoltification and seawater adaptation of salmonid fish. *Aquaculture*. 1989;82:191-203. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(89)90408-0

95. Sweeting RM, Wagner GF, McKeown BA. Changes in plasma glucose, amino acid nitrogen and growth hormone during smoltification and seawater adaptation in coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. *Aquaculture*. 1985;45:185-197. doi:10.1016/0044-8486(85)90269-8

96. Verri T, Terova G, Romano A, et al. The SoLute Carrier (SLC) family series in teleost fish. In: Saroglia M, Liu Z, eds. *Functional Genomics in Aquaculture*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2012:219-320. doi:10.1002/9781118350041.ch10

97. Lan Z, Zhang W, Xu J, Lu W. GABA-A receptor-mediated inhibition of Dahlgren cells electrical activity in the olive flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2021;306:113753. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2021.113753

98. Yuan M, Li X, Lu W. The caudal neurosecretory system: a novel thermosensitive tissue and its signal pathway in olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *J Neuroendocrinol*. 2020;32:12876. doi:10.1111/jne.12876

99. Cheng CH, Guo ZX, Wang AL. The protective effects of taurine on oxidative stress, cytoplasmic free-Ca^{2+} and apoptosis of pufferfish (*Takifugu obscurus*) under low temperature stress. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 2018;77:457-464. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.04.022

100. Lambert IH, Kristensen DM, Holm JB, Mortensen OH. Physiological role of taurine—from organism to organelle. *Acta Physiol*. 2015;213:191-212. doi:10.1111/apha.12365

101. Schaffer S, Takahashi K, Azuma J. Role of osmoregulation in the actions of taurine. *Amino Acids*. 2000;19:527-546. doi:10.1007/s007260070004

102. Thirupathi A, Pinho RA, Baker JS, István B, Gu Y. Taurine reverses oxidative damages and restores the muscle function in overuse of exercised muscle. *Front Physiol*. 2020;11:582449. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.582449

103. Beesley P, Kraus M, Parolaro N. The neuroplastins: multifunctional neuronal adhesion molecules—involvement in behaviour and disease. In: Berezin V, Walmod PS, eds. *Cell Adhesion Molecules: Implications in Neurological Diseases*. Springer; 2014:61-89. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-8090-7_4

104. Liu Y, Zhang L, Mei R, et al. The role of SliTrk5 in central nervous system. *Biomed Res Int*. 2022;2022:4678026. doi:10.1155/2022/4678026

105. Kulczykowska E. Arginine vasotocin and isotocin: towards their role in fish osmoregulation. In: Baldisserotto B ed. *Fish Osmoregulation*;CRC Press: 2007:151-176. doi:10.1201/b10994-7

106. Takei Y, Hiroi J, Takahashi H, Sakamoto T. Diverse mechanisms for body fluid regulation in teleost fishes. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2014;307:R778-R792. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00104.2014

107. Takei Y, Hwang PP. Homeostatic responses to osmotic stress. In: Schreck CB, Tort L, Farrell AP, Brauner CJ, eds. *Biology of Stress in Fish*. Academic Press; 2016:207-249. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802728-8.00006-0

108. Björnsson BT, Yamauchi K, Nishioka RS, Deftos LJ, Bern HA. Effects of hypophysectomy and subsequent hormonal replacement therapy on hormonal and osmoregulatory status of coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1987;68:421-430. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(87)90081-5

109. Fouchereau-Peron M, Arlot-Bonnemains Y, Moukhtar MS, Milhaud G. Adaptation of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdnerii*) to sea water: changes in calcitonin levels. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*. 1986;83:83-87. doi:10.1016/0300-9629(86)90092-7

110. Lafont AG, Fitzpatrick T, Rankin JC, Dufour S, Fouchereau-Peron M. Possible role of calcitonin gene-related peptide in osmoregulation via the endocrine control of the gill in a teleost, the eel, *Anguilla anguilla*. *Peptides*. 2006;27:812-819. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2005.09.009

111. Najib L, Martine FP. Adaptation of rainbow trout to seawater: changes in calcitonin gene-related peptide levels are associated with an increase in hormone-receptor interaction in gill membranes. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 1996;102:274-280. doi:10.1006/gcen.1996.0069

112. Mancera JM, McCormick SD. Role of prolactin, growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor 1 and cortisol in teleost osmoregulation In: Baldisserotto B ed. *Fish Osmoregulation*;CRC Press: 2007:497-515. doi:10.1201/b10994-16

113. Breves JP, Fujimoto CK, Phipps-Costin SK, Einarsdottir IE, Björnsson BT, McCormick SD. Variation in branchial expression among insulin-like growth-factor binding proteins (igfbps) during Atlantic salmon smoltification and seawater exposure. *BMC Physiol*. 2017;17:2. doi:10.1186/s12899-017-0028-5

114. Link K, Berishvili G, Shved N, et al. Seawater and freshwater challenges affect the insulin-like growth factors IGF-I and IGF-II in liver and osmoregulatory organs of the tilapia. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2010;327:40-46. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2010.05.011

115. Link K, Shved N, Serrano N, et al. Effects of seawater and freshwater challenges on the Gh/Igf system in the saline-tolerant blackchin tilapia (*Sarotherodon melanotheron*). *Front Endocrinol*. 2022;13:976488. doi:10.3389/fendo.2022.976488

116. McCormick SD. Endocrine control of osmoregulation in teleost fish. *Am Zool*. 2001;41:781-794. doi:10.1093/icb/41.4.781

117. Severini C, Improta G, Falconieri-Erspamer G, Salvadori S, Erspamer V. The tachykinin peptide family. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2002;54:285-322. doi:10.1124/pr.54.2.285

118. McCormick SD, Naiman RJ. Osmoregulation in the brook trout, *Salvelinus fontinalis*—II. Effects of size, age and photoperiod on seawater survival and ionic regulation. *Comp Biochem Physiol A*. 1984;79:17-28. doi:10.1016/0300-9629(84)90704-7

119. Parry G. Size and osmoregulation in salmonid fishes. *Nature*. 1958;181:1218-1219. doi:10.1038/1811218a0

120. Larsen EH, Deaton LE, Onken H, et al. Osmoregulation and excretion. *Compr Physiol*. 2014;4:405-573. doi:10.1002/cphy.c130004

121. Clements S, Schreck CB, Larsen DA, Dickhoff WW. Central administration of corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulates locomotor activity in juvenile chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2002;125:319-327. doi:10.1006/GCEN.2001.7707

122. McCormick SD, Regish AM, Ardren WR, Björnsson BT, Bernier NJ. The evolutionary consequences for seawater performance and its hormonal control when anadromous Atlantic salmon become landlocked. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9:968. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-37608-1

123. Ojima D, Iwata M. Central administration of growth hormone-releasing hormone and corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulate downstream movement and thyroxine secretion in fall-smolting coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2010;168:82-87. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2010.04.007

124. Westring CG, Ando H, Kitahashi T, et al. Seasonal changes in CRF-I and urotensin I transcript levels in masu salmon: correlation with cortisol secretion during spawning. *Gen Comp Endocrinol.* 2008;155:126-140. doi:10.1016/J.YGCEN.2007.03.013

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.