



Barcoded Consortium Infections Resolve Cell Type-Dependent Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium Entry Mechanisms

🐵 Maria Letizia Di Martino,ª Viktor Ek,ª Wolf-Dietrich Hardt,ʰ Jens Eriksson,ª 🕩 Mikael E. Sellinª

^aScience for Life Laboratory, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ^bInstitute for Microbiology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT Bacterial host cell invasion mechanisms depend on the bacterium's virulence factors and the properties of the target cell. The enteropathogen Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S.Tm) invades epithelial cell types in the gut mucosa and a variety of immune cell types at later infection stages. The molecular mechanism(s) of host cell entry has, however, been studied predominantly in epithelial cell lines. S.Tm uses a type three secretion system (TTSS-1) to translocate effectors into the host cell cytosol, thereby sparking actin ruffle-dependent entry. The ruffles also fuel cooperative invasion by bystander bacteria. In addition, several TTSS-1independent entry mechanisms exist, involving alternative S.Tm virulence factors, or the passive uptake of bacteria by phagocytosis. However, it remains ill-defined how S.Tm invasion mechanisms vary between host cells. Here, we developed an internally controlled and scalable method to map S.Tm invasion mechanisms across host cell types and conditions. The method relies on host cell infections with consortia of chromosomally tagged wild-type and mutant S.Tm strains, where the abundance of each strain can be quantified by gPCR or amplicon sequencing. Using this methodology, we quantified cooccurring TTSS-1-dependent, cooperative, and TTSS-1-independent invasion events in epithelial, monocyte, and macrophage cells. We found S.Tm invasion of epithelial cells and monocytes to proceed by a similar MOI-dependent mix of TTSS-1-dependent and cooperative mechanisms. TTSS-1independent entry was more frequent in macrophages. Still, TTSS-1-dependent invasion dominated during the first minutes of interaction also with this cell type. Finally, the combined action of the SopB/SopE/SopE2 effectors was sufficient to explain TTSS-1-dependent invasion across both epithelial and phagocytic cells.

IMPORTANCE Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S.Tm) is a widespread and broad-host-spectrum enteropathogen with the capacity to invade diverse cell types. Still, the molecular basis for the host cell invasion process has largely been inferred from studies of a few selected cell lines. Our work resolves the mechanisms that Salmonellae employ to invade prototypical host cell types, i.e., human epithelial, monocyte, and macrophage cells, at a previously unattainable level of temporal and quantitative precision. This highlights efficient bacterium-driven entry into innate immune cells and uncovers a type III secretion system effector module that dominates active bacterial invasion of not only epithelial cells but also monocytes and macrophages. The results are derived from a generalizable method, where we combine barcoding of the bacterial chromosome with mixed consortium infections of cultured host cells. The application of this methodology across bacterial species and infection models will provide a scalable means to address host-pathogen interactions in diverse contexts.

KEYWORDS *Salmonella*, bacterial invasion, genome barcoding, epithelial cells, macrophages, monocytes

Citation Di Martino ML, Ek V, Hardt W-D, Eriksson J, Sellin ME. 2019. Barcoded consortium infections resolve cell typedependent *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium entry mechanisms. mBio 10: e00603-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00603 -19.

Editor Samuel I. Miller, University of Washington

Copyright © 2019 Di Martino et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Maria Letizia Di Martino, ml.dimartino@imbim.uu.se, or Mikael E. Sellin, mikael.sellin@imbim.uu.se.

Received 7 March 2019 Accepted 12 April 2019 Published 21 May 2019



Eepithelium, thereby eliciting gut inflammation and diarrheal symptoms. *Salmonella enterica* subspecies 1 serovar Typhimurium (S.Tm) is a prototype enterobacterium for studies of the microbe-host cell interactions that explain disease development. *S.Tm* uses a multitude of virulence factors, e.g., flagella, adhesins, two type III secretion systems (TTSS-1 and TTSS-2), and cognate effectors, to compete in the gut lumen and subvert host tissue responses (1–3). Central to the pathogenicity of *S.Tm* is the bacterium's abilities to invade host cells and to survive in the intracellular niche. At the gut mucosal surface, *S.Tm* targets epithelial cell types, including M cells, absorptive epithelial cells, and goblet cells (4–8). Bacteria that breach the epithelial barrier can enter monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, and lymphocytes present in the underlying lamina propria or systemic organs (9–11). The mucosal inflammatory response also causes influx of activated innate immune cells into the *S.Tm*-filled gut lumen (12, 13). Hence, *S.Tm* encounters, and can invade, a wide variety of host cell types during the infection cycle.

Mechanistic studies of S.Tm host cell invasion have predominantly been conducted with cultured epithelial cell lines, e.g., HeLa. This work has given rise to a detailed biochemical model of the entry process (2). S.Tm employs TTSS-1 to translocate effectors across the host cell membrane. Five of these effectors impact the host cell actin cytoskeleton. SipA works in concert with the TTSS-1 translocon component SipC to drive actin nucleation (14) and further stabilizes and protects actin filaments from the depolymerizing activities of, e.g., ADF/cofilin and Gelsolin (15, 16). SopB is a lipid phosphatase that alters the phosphatidylinositol-phosphate composition of the plasma membrane inner leaflet, thereby indirectly recruiting cellular Rho GTPases (17–19). SopE and SopE2 function as guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) that directly activate Rho GTPases, including Cdc42 and Rac1 (20, 21). This activation can be reversed by the effector SptP (22, 23). The combined actions of the TTSS-1 effectors result in transient activation of the WAVE regulatory complex, Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization, and formation of expansive membrane ruffles that drive entry (2). The S.Tm-induced ruffles also fuel a second "cooperative" invasion mechanism-the macropinocytic uptake of proximally located bystander bacteria (24, 25).

While TTSS-1-dependent *S*.Tm invasion of epithelial cell lines has been mapped in some detail, it remains less clear whether the findings can be extrapolated across host cell types. A myosin-II-dependent contractility pathway significantly impacts TTSS-1- and SopB-mediated *S*.Tm invasion of fibroblasts (26). Fibroblasts are, however, unlikely targets for *S*.Tm invasion *in vivo*. The Rck and PagN outer membrane proteins may also under certain conditions promote TTSS-1-independent *Salmonella* invasion (27–29), but the physiological impact of these mechanisms remain poorly understood. Finally, macrophages and dendritic cells can take up both TTSS-1-expressing and nonexpressing *S*.Tm through phagocytosis, but the impact of TTSS-1 expression appears variable (30–32). Systematic studies of the contribution of TTSS-1 effectors during invasion of phagocytes and their progenitors are so far missing.

Bacterial host cell invasion has typically been studied by gentamicin protection assays. This involves parallel infections of cultured host cells with strains of interest, killing of extracellular bacteria with gentamicin, and subsequent plating of intracellular bacteria on selective agar. Such assays are laborious, exhibit limited precision, and are not easily scalable. Some larger-scale methods have been developed recently (33–35), but these are still subject to the inherent experimental noise that stems from well-to-well, plate-to-plate, or batch-to-batch variation.

Here, we have developed a single-well, internally controlled, infection method to resolve and quantify S.Tm invasion mechanisms in distinct host cells at high temporal resolution. The method relies on infection of cultured host cells with barcoded consortia containing multiple (wild-type or mutant) S.Tm strains. Each strain can be recognized by a unique genetic tag located at an inert location on the *Salmonella* chromosome (36). This permits quantification of the relative abundance of each strain in the inoculum and the intracellular population by quantitative PCR (qPCR) or ampli-

con sequencing (Amplicon Seq). We have by this approach quantified cooccurring TTSS-1-dependent, cooperative, and TTSS-1-independent *S*.Tm invasion mechanisms in human epithelial, monocyte, and macrophage cells. The results reveal that *S*.Tm invasion of monocytes, similar to epithelial cells, comprises a mix of TTSS-1-dependent and cooperative invasion mechanisms, with TTSS-1-independent entry accounting for less than 1% of all events. Surprisingly, also invasion of macrophages is predominantly a TTSS-1-dependent process during the first minutes of bacterium-host cell interactions, while cooperative invasion is notably absent in this cell type. Finally, we identify a generic dependence on the effectors SopB, SopE, and SopE2 for TTSS-1-dependent *S*.Tm invasion of both monocytes and macrophages.

RESULTS

A framework for analyzing S.Tm host cell invasion mechanisms by barcoded consortium infections. Barcoding of the bacterial chromosome enables detection of multiple strains in mixed consortium samples. Here, we took advantage of a set of seven unique 40-nucleotide tags (hereafter tagA to tagG [tagA-G]; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), each individually inserted into the *malXY* locus of isogenic S.Tm strains (36). The tag sequences have been used to evaluate bacterial population dynamics in animal models and shown not to confer any fitness defects (13, 36, 37).

As a basis for host cell invasion studies, we optimized two methods for quantification of tag abundance, using either quantitative qPCR for quick bench-side analysis or Amplicon Seq for larger sample sets (see Tables S1 and S2 and Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Both methods were extensively validated for specificity and efficiency of detection (Fig. S1 and S2). Accurate quantification of strain abundances in consortia requires direct comparisons between tagA-G. Therefore, we generated a mixed genomic DNA (gDNA) template, comprising seven tagA-tagG gDNA preparations in a 10-fold dilution series. qPCR quantification of tags in the mixed sample resulted in a linear standard curve that agreed closely with the experimental dilutions (Fig. 1A). Amplification of unspecific background varied somewhat between primer pairs (Fig. S2), corresponding to relative abundance values of 1.5×10^{-7} to 5×10^{-6} (Fig. 1A, gray shading). When the same mixed gDNA template was analyzed by Amplicon Seq in 36 replicate reactions on a 20 million read chip, the results again agreed with the experimental dilutions (Fig. 1B). As expected, we did not detect tagG (10⁻⁶ dilution) reads in 21/36 replicates, and tagF (10^{-5}) was also lost in 2/36 cases. All considered, we can by qPCR or Amplicon Seq stringently quantify tag abundances in a consortium, down to a conservative detection limit of 5×10^{-5} .

For host cell invasion studies, we developed an internally controlled gentamicin protection assay, using mixed consortia of tagged S.Tm strains as inoculum (Fig. 1C and Fig. S3A). To prepare samples for qPCR or Amplicon Seq analysis, the intracellular bacterial population can be extracted and enriched in a nonselective broth. A starting population that is too small may, however, result in stochastic loss of strains in the enriched culture. To validate the infection settings and assess the influence of multiplicity of infection (MOI) on stochastic loss, we infected HeLa cells (150,000 cells infected for 20 min) with a 1:1:1:1:1:1 pool of seven tagged S.Tm^{wt} or seven tagged S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ strains at a range of MOIs (0.002 to 200). S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ lacks the outer membrane ring InvG protein, resulting in a nonfunctional TTSS-1 and a 500- to 1,000-fold-lower ability to invade HeLa cells in parallel comparisons (Fig. S3B). For each MOI, we assessed the total size of the intracellular bacterial population by plating and the distribution of tagged strains by qPCR. Detectable numbers (\geq 10 CFU) of S.Tm^{wt} could be recovered down to an MOI of 0.002, whereas for the S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ -tagged consortium, plating failed to detect intracellular bacteria at an MOI of 0.2 and below (Fig. S3C and D). In keeping with these results, the S.Tm^{wt} consortium exhibited loss of several tags at an MOI of 0.002 (Fig. 1D), while for the S.Tm^{Δ invG} consortium, tag loss occurred also at MOIs of 0.02 to 0.2 (Fig. 1E). Importantly, different sets of tags were lost in replicate experiments (Fig. 1D and E), highlighting stochastic strain loss at low MOIs. In silico simulations of the experiments in Fig. 1D and E (1,000 iterations) substantiated a reproducible loss of tags



FIG 1 Barcoded consortium infections for host cell invasion studies. (A and B) Linear quantification of S.Tm chromosomal tags by qPCR and Amplicon Seq. (A) Standard curve for qPCR detection of tags in a mixed gDNA template comprising seven tagged S.Tm^{wt} gDNA preparations in a 10-fold dilution series (tagA, 9 ng [10⁻¹]; tagB, 0.9 ng [10⁻¹]; tagC, 0.09 ng [10⁻²]; tagD, 0.009 ng [10⁻³]; tagE, 0.0009 ng [10⁻⁴]; tagF, 0.00009 ng [10⁻⁵]; tagG, 0.000009 ng [10⁻⁶]). Gray shading indicates the range of unspecific signals when untagged gDNA was used as the qPCR template. (B) Amplicon Seq quantification of the seven tags in the same mixed gDNA template as in Fig. 1A, run in 36 parallel reactions with unique metabarcoded primer pairs (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). (C) Schematic representation of the protocol used for barcoded consortium infections of cultured host cells. (D and E) Assessment of stochastic tagged strain(s) loss across MOIs. A total of 150,000 HeLa cells were infected with a mixed barcoded consortium comprising either seven S.Tm^{wt} (tagA-G) strains (E) for 20 min at the indicated MOIs. Graphs depict relative tag abundances in the intracellular S.Tm population by qPCR. Two replicates (replicates 1 and 2) are shown side by side. Gray shading indicates the detection limit. nd, no detectable bacteria. (F and G) *ln silico* simulations of experiments in panels D and E (see Materials and Methods for details). The simulations were iterated 1,000 times for both the S.Tm^{wt} (F) and the S.Tm^{\DMWG} (G) consortium infection. Results are shown as means \pm SDs. (H)

at MOIs of ≤ 0.002 for the S.Tm^{wt} consortium and at an MOI of ≤ 0.2 for the S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} consortium (Fig. 1F and G). Hence, to avoid the risk of stochastic strain loss, MOIs lower than 0.2 should not be used under the present conditions. However, by scaling up the experimental size, tag loss at low MOIs could be further mitigated (Fig. S3E).

S.Tm enters cultured epithelial cells predominantly by TTSS-1 effector induction of actin-rich ruffles (2). The ruffles also promote macropinocytotic uptake of proximal bystander bacteria, a phenomenon denoted cooperative invasion (24, 25, 38). Since the chance of another bacterium arriving at a ruffle increases with bacterial density, the frequency of cooperative invasion is predicted to increase with MOI. In barcoded consortium infections, cooperative invasion could influence the experimental outcome and lead to overestimation of the invasion capacity of attenuated mutants. We addressed the impact of cooperative invasion by performing 1:1 dual strain coinfections in HeLa cells over a range of five total MOIs (0.02 to 200). At each MOI, we assessed the ability of an S.Tm^{wt} helper strain to promote the entry of S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} (lacks TTSS-1 function). Both a microscopy-based assay and plating on selective agar showed that the presence of a coinfecting S.Tm^{wt} strain dramatically potentiated the number of intracellular S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ at high MOIs (~50- to 100-fold at an MOI of 200 and ~10-20-fold at an MOI of 20 (Fig. S3F to H). In contrast, this cooperative effect was neglectable at low MOIs (i.e., 0.2 to 2; Fig. S3H). We next performed an analogous experiment, using a simple barcoded consortium comprising two strains, S.Tm^{wt}-tagA and S.Tm^{ΔinvG}-tagE. Again, S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ -tagE faired markedly better at high MOIs than at low MOIs (Fig. 1H). At an MOI of 200, S.Tm $^{\Delta invG}$ -tagE exhibited only \sim 5-fold-lower intracellular abundance than S.Tm^{wt}-tagA. By sharp contrast, at MOIs of 0.2 to 2, the S.Tm^{Δ invG}-tagE strain was \sim 150- to 400-fold less abundant than S.Tm^{wt}-tagA (Fig. 1H). This estimate agrees well with the actual invasion defect of the S.Tm^{Δ invG} strain in parallel single-strain infections (Fig. S3B). Consequently, cooperative invasion appears minimal at low MOIs (\sim 0.2 to 2) and gradually increases with inoculum size.

Taken together, these data (i) validate the use of barcoded consortium infections for S.Tm host cell invasion studies, (ii) delineate the boundary conditions for analysis by qPCR and Amplicon Seq, and (iii) provide a means to assess cooperative invasion by comparisons across a range of MOIs.

Contribution of TTSS-1-dependent, cooperative, and TTSS-1-independent S.Tm entry mechanisms in diverse host cell types. In the course of its *in vivo* infection cycle, *S*.Tm can enter a wide variety of host cell types, including absorptive gut epithelial cells, M cells, goblet cells, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, and lymphocytes (5, 9–12). Invasion of epithelial cells is viewed as a TTSS-1-driven active process, whereas entry into macrophages is presumed to occur largely by passive (TTSS-1-independent) uptake. However, it remains unclear whether *S*.Tm invasion mechanisms with unique features drive the entry process in each distinct host cell type, and furthermore to what extent cooccurring (i) TTSS-1-dependent (hereafter "TTSS-1"), (ii) cooperative, and (iii) TTSS-1-independent (hereafter "non-TTSS-1") invasion mechanisms contribute in each case. The internally controlled conditions achieved by barcoded consortium infections provide an unprecedented opportunity to resolve such questions.

Towards this aim, we employed a 1:1:1:1:1:1 barcoded consortium comprising three S.Tm^{wt} (tagA, tagB, and tagC) and four S.Tm^{Δ invG} (tagD, tagE, tagF, and tagG) strains to assess invasion mechanisms in three typical human cell models— epithelial (HeLa), monocyte (U937), and macrophage (PMA-differentiated U937) cells. Each cell type was infected for 20 min over a tightly spaced range of MOIs (16 – 8 – 4 – 2 – 1 – 0.5 – 0.25 – 0.125 – 0.0625). We reasoned that this setup would permit stringent

FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

Assessment of the impact of cooperative S.Tm invasion. The graph depicts the relative tag abundances in the intracellular S.Tm population after HeLa cell coinfection (20 min, MOIs as indicated) with a mixed barcoded consortium comprising a 1:1 mix of S.Tm^{vt-tagA} and S.Tm^{$\Delta invG-tagE$} strains. Relative abundances were normalized to the inoculum. Results shown are means \pm min/max values from three experiments. Gray shading indicates the detection limit.

quantification of the frequency of TTSS-1, cooperative, and non-TTSS-1 invasion events in each context. First, the consortium contained three or four replicates of each genotype. From this, a robust intracellular abundance value could be derived for TTSS-1-invading ($S.Tm^{wt}$) and non-TTSS-1-invading ($S.Tm^{\Delta invG}$) bacteria under internally controlled conditions. Second, the replicates also allowed surveillance of stochastic loss of strain(s) (evident from random loss of one or more tags) that could bias the results. Last, by comparing the mean relative abundance of $S.Tm^{\Delta invG}$ in the intracellular bacterial population between high and low MOIs, we could estimate cooperative invasion for each cell type. All samples were analyzed by both qPCR and Amplicon Seq, and the two methods were found to yield essentially identical results (Fig. 2A and Fig. S4A).

S.Tm host cell invasion is preceded by a cell surface binding step that involves bacterial adhesins and the TTSS-1 apparatus. In line with previous studies (39), ablation of TTSS-1 function ($S.Tm^{\Delta invG}$) resulted in only a marginal (\leq 2-fold) decrease in host cell binding for all three cell types (Fig. 2A and Fig. S4A). In the intracellular population, the relative abundance of $S.Tm^{\Delta invG}$ strains varied greatly, however, depending both on the MOI and the host cell type (Fig. 2A and Fig. S4A).

As expected, the invasion efficiency of $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ showed a clear correlation with MOI in epithelial (HeLa) cells. At an MOI of 16, $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ strains were on average 20-fold less abundant than $S.\text{Tm}^{wt}$, whereas at lower MOIs (0.5 to 1), this difference was \sim 200-to 400-fold. At an MOI of 0.25 and lower, stochastic loss of some $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ replicates was noted (Fig. 2A, left panel). Surprisingly, a highly similar pattern was also noted for S.Tm invasion of U937 monocytes (Fig. 2A, middle panel). By sharp contrast, $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ was only \sim 3-fold less abundant than $S.\text{Tm}^{wt}$ across all MOIs for invasion of differentiated U937 macrophages (Fig. 2A, right panel). In addition, no stochastic loss of strains was noted here at lower inoculum densities, which can be explained by a larger total intracellular population of $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ upon macrophage infection (Fig. 2A, right panel, and Fig. S4B).

To conceptualize the data, we calculated the relative intracellular proportions of S.Tm^{wt} and S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} bacteria for each condition, using all replicate values from the qPCR analysis. Samples exhibiting stochastic loss of strains were omitted (hatched areas in Fig. 2B), and a simple regression analysis was performed on the remaining data (Fig. 2B). These estimates highlight that a TTSS-1 S.Tm invasion mechanism(s) dominates entry into epithelial cells (93 to 99.99% of all events; Fig. 2B, left panel) and in monocytes (96 to 99.5%; Fig. 2B, middle panel). Non-TTSS-1 uptake contributed with \sim 0.1% and \sim 0.8%, respectively (Fig. 2B). Moreover, cooperative entry occurred in both cell types and accounted for \geq 7% of all invasion events in epithelial cells and \geq 4% in monocytes at the highest MOI (Fig. 2B). It should be noted that these values represent minimal estimates of cooperative invasion (dashed bounds), since they rely on the assumptions that (i) cooperative invasion is completely absent at the lowest possible MOI (as validated for HeLa cells in Fig. S3H) and that (ii) cooperative helping effects between S.Tm^{wt} strains have a modest quantitative impact. Nevertheless, our data resolve and quantify three cooccurring S.Tm invasion mechanisms in epithelial cells and monocytes.

The results further show that entry into macrophages occurs by a mix of TTSS-1 and non-TTSS-1 mechanisms (\sim 75% TTSS-1 and \sim 25% non-TTSS-1 events across MOIs; Fig. 2B, right panel). These data agree with the higher phagocytic potential of macrophages. However, when infections were conducted at a constant MOI (MOI of 1) but over a range of shorter infection times (1 to 20 min), up to \sim 96% of all invasion events were of the TTSS-1 sort (Fig. 2C and D). Hence, although macrophages have a high phagocytic capacity, S.Tm entry is predominantly a bacterium-driven process during the first few minutes of interaction. Of further note, no contribution could be assigned to cooperative invasion in this cell type (Fig. 2B to D).

S.Tm entry into phagocytes may over time result in cell death in a TTSS-1-dependent manner (30). To visualize the cooccurring invasion mechanisms and survey for possible effects on the host cells, we employed live microscopy of the three cell types infected



mBio

FIG 2 Quantification of S.Tm host cell binding and invasion mechanisms across cell types. HeLa epithelial cells, U937 monocytes, and U937-derived macrophages were infected with a mixed barcoded consortium comprising three S.Tm^{wt} (tagA, tagB, and tagC) and four S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} (tagD, tagE, tagF, and tagG) strains at the indicated MOIs for 20 min (A and B) or 1 to 20 min (C and D). For binding assays, cells were pretreated with cytochalasin D prior to infection, and the gentamicin step was omitted prior to enrichment (see Fig. 1C). (A) Quantification of relative tag abundances in the intracellular S.Tm population in HeLa epithelial cells (left panel), U937 monocytes (middle panel), and U937-derived macrophages (right panel) as analyzed by qPCR. Relative abundances were (TTSS-1 positive) and S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} (TTSS-1 negative) strains (see Materials and Methods for details). Gray hatching indicates MOIs where stochastic loss of strains was observed. Three mechanisms of invasion could be resolved and estimated: TTSS-1 (pink), cooperative (Coop; dashed lines, green area), and non-TTSS-1 (turquoise) entry. (C and D) Pronounced TTSS-1 invasion of macrophages in the first minutes of host cell encounter. U937-derived macrophages were infected at an MOI of 1 over the indicated range of time points. (C) Quantification of relative tag abundances in the intracellular S.Tm population as analyzed by qPCR. Relative abundances were normalized to the inoculum. (D) Area plot showing a regression analysis (nonlinear fit) of data from panel C.

with GFP-expressing S.Tm^{wt} (Table S2). In both HeLa epithelial cells and U937 monocytes, we could frequently observe typical TTSS-1 invasion events, morphologically defined by bacterial binding to a previously unperturbed cell followed by ruffle induction (Fig. 3A and B; see also Movies S1A and S2A in the supplemental material). Cooperative invasion events, i.e., the capture of secondary bacteria in membrane ruffles triggered by a primary invader, could also be visualized at rarer frequency (Fig. 3A and



FIG 3 Examples of cooccurring S.Tm invasion mechanisms by live microscopy. HeLa epithelial cells, U937 monocytes, and U937-derived macrophages were infected with an S.Tm^{wt}-GFP strain at MOIs of 10 to 50. Infections were imaged for 20 to 40 min, and images were taken every 20 to 30 s, using a confocal spinning disc microscope. DIC and GFP channel overlays are shown. (A) Representative montages of HeLa cell infections, exemplifying S.Tm invasion events consistent with TTSS-1 entry or cooperative entry. (B) Representative montages of U937 monocyte infections, showing S.Tm invasion events consistent with TTSS-1 entry or cooperative entry. (C) Representative montages of U937-derived macrophage infections, showing S.Tm invasion events consistent with TTSS-1 entry or non-TTSS-1 entry. Time is given in the minute:second format. Bars, 10 μ m.

B and Movies S1B and S2B). We could not with confidence detect non-TTSS-1 entry (i.e., entry in the absence of *S*.Tm-induced ruffles) into either of these cell types, which is in line with the low quantitative estimates (Fig. 2A and B and Fig. 3A and B). In contrast, *S*.Tm entry events in U937 macrophages partitioned into a frequent TTSS-1 type and a slightly less frequent non-TTSS-1 type, i.e., where the dynamic macrophage membrane engulfed *S*.Tm without signs of bacterium-triggered perturbations (Fig. 3C and Movie S3A and B). In line with previous studies (30), some monocytes and macrophages begun displaying early signs of cell death (e.g., circumferential blebbing), but cell lysis did not become pronounced within the short time span of the experiments (Fig. S4C). In conclusion, live imaging can exemplify the cooccurring *S*.Tm invasion mechanisms quantified by barcoded infections in each host cell type.

The combined actions of SopB, SopE, and SopE2 drive TTSS-1 invasion of cultured epithelial, monocyte, and macrophage cells. TTSS-1-dependent invasion has been extensively studied in HeLa cells. Four of the TTSS-1 effectors appear to mainly fuel the invasion process. The phosphatidyl inositol phosphatase SopB and the highly homologous Rho GEFs SopE and SopE2 drive Arp2/3-dependent actin nucleation,

resulting in membrane ruffling, while SipA collaborates with the translocon component SipC to stabilize filamentous actin around the invading bacterium (2). Additional TTSS-1 effectors (e.g., AvrA, SptP) (22, 23, 40) have also been characterized, but they do not seem to significantly impact HeLa cell invasion. It remains unclear whether unique or similar effector sets drive TTSS-1-dependent invasion across host cell types.

Here, we used barcoded consortium infections to quantify the contribution of effectors to TTSS-1 invasion in epithelial, monocyte, and macrophage cells. For the inoculum, we generated a 1:1:1:1:1:1 mix of the seven strains $S.Tm^{wt}$, $S.Tm^{\Delta sopB}$, $S.Tm^{\Delta sopBE2}$, $S.Tm^$

All strains in the consortium were capable of binding HeLa cells with minor differences (Fig. 4A). Analysis of the intracellular population revealed the invasion capacity of each strain at a given time point. Here, $S.Tm^{\Delta 4}$ and $S.Tm^{\Delta invG}$ behaved virtually identically; none of the strains were recovered for the short-term (2- to 4-min) infections, and both displayed a similar \geq 150-fold-lower intracellular abundance than S.Tm^{wt} upon 20-min infections (Fig. 4A and Fig. S5B). This confirms that at most four effectors (SopB, SopE, SopE2 and SipA) explain TTSS-1-dependent invasion of HeLa cells. Internal comparisons between single and multiple effector mutants allowed us to further tease apart the contribution of each effector combination. Deletion of SipA (S.Tm $\Delta sipA$) did not result in an appreciable attenuation of invasiveness (Fig. 4A and Fig. S5B). In contrast, deletion of SopB (S.Tm^{Δ sopB}) or SopEE2 (S.Tm^{Δ sopEE2}) resulted in a significant, but partial, attenuation, while the combined deletion of these three effectors (S.Tm^{\(\Lambda sopBEE2\)}) caused >100-fold-lower invasion capacity. Moreover, S.Tm^{\(\Lambda sopBEE2\)} was only modestly better than the S.Tm^{$\Delta 4$} mutant (i.e., lacking also SipA) (Fig. 4A and Fig. S5B). Hence, TTSS-1 invasion of HeLa cells during the first 2 to 20 min relies on the combined action of SopB/SopE/SopE2 (>99%), with only a minimal contribution of SipA and no detectable impact of other effectors. These findings validate and extend previous work (33).

We next used the same consortium and setup to infect monocytes and macrophages. Here, intracellular bacteria were recovered already at 1 min postinfection (p.i.), which suggests that the invasion process can be completed even quicker than in HeLa cells (compare Fig. 4B and C to Fig. 4A). Moreover, as expected from the results in Fig. 2, non-TTSS-1 invasion (defined by the relative abundance of S.Tm^{ΔinvG}) contributed more to the total intracellular S.Tm pool in macrophages than in HeLa cells and monocytes (Fig. 4A to C). Despite these differences, the pattern of effector dependence for TTSS-1 invasion was strikingly similar across the three host cell types (Fig. 4A to C and Fig. S5B to D). In both monocytes and macrophages, a neglectable contribution could be assigned to SipA, whereas deletion of SopB, SopEE2, or SopBEE2 resulted in progressive attenuation of invasiveness (Fig. 4B and C). S.Tm^{ΔsopBEE2} showed essentially the same attenuation as S.Tm^{$\Delta 4$}, further in line with a minimal contribution of SipA. Finally, comparisons between S.Tm^{$\Delta 4$} and S.Tm^{$\Delta invG$} revealed that effectors other than SopB, SopE, SopE2, or SipA (e.g., AvrA, SptP, or the translocon component SipC), may on their own at best have a minor impact during the first minutes of S.Tm invasion of monocytes/macrophages (Fig. 4B and C and Fig. S5C and D). In macrophages, such effectors are estimated to account for \sim 5% of the invasion events in 1 min infection (Fig. 4C).

From these data, we conclude that TTSS-1 invasion of host cells as diverse as cultured epithelial cells, monocytes, and macrophages relies on a remarkably similar SopB/SopE/SopE2 effector combination.



Time (min)

FIG 4 Contribution of TTSS-1 effectors to S.Tm invasion of distinct host cell types. HeLa epithelial cells, U937 monocytes, and U937-derived macrophages were infected with a mixed barcoded consortium comprising S.Tm⁴⁻tagA, S.Tm^{Δ sipA-}tagF, S.Tm^{Δ sopE2-}tagB, S.Tm^{Δ sopE2-}tagB, S.Tm^{Δ sopE2-}tagD, and S.Tm^{Δ invG-}tagG strains at an MOI of 1 for 1, 2, 3, 4, or 20 min. For binding assays, cells were pretreated with cytochalasin D prior to infection, and the gentamicin step was omitted prior to enrichment. (A to C) Quantification of relative tag abundances in input, the host cell binding population, or the intracellular S.Tm population in HeLa epithelial cells (A), U937 monocytes (B), and U937-derived macrophages (C) as analyzed by qPCR. Relative abundances in binding and intracellular bacterial populations were normalized to the inculum (input) and subsequently to the S.Tm^{wt} reference strain (S.Tm^{wt}-tagA; indicated by the dotted red line). For the intracellular population data, the behavior of each mutant strain is illustrated in a separate panel. Results are shown as mean \pm SD from three experiments. Values that are significantly different from the value for the S.Tm^{wt}-tagA control strain by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple-comparison test are indicated by asterisks as follows: *, *P* < 0.05, **, *P* < 0.01.

DISCUSSION

Consortia of genetically tagged, but otherwise identical, bacterial strains have emerged as a powerful tool to assess pathogen population dynamics in animal model infections with *Salmonella* (13, 36, 37, 41), as well as other microbes (42–45). In this work, we have adapted the use of bacterial barcoded consortia to studies of S.Tm host cell invasion and to competitive infections with mixes of wild-type and virulence gene mutant strains. Taken together, we show that barcoded consortium infections provide a flexible platform for mechanistic studies in cellular microbiology, with several advantages over classical techniques. These advantages include the following: (i) internally normalized infection conditions, (ii) stringent monitoring of experimental noise; (iii) possibility to compare the invasive behavior of many strains on the single-minute time scale; and (iv) the scalability of the method, particularly when combined with Amplicon Seq. Here, we have probed bacterial host cell binding and invasion mechanisms, but this approach is in fact much more versatile than that. Barcoded consortium infections will also permit the tracing of competing strains over the subsequent stages of host cell colonization, i.e., intracellular survival, trafficking, replication, and egress (46, 47).

Salmonella enterica serovars, including S.Tm, infect a number of warm-blooded host species and can invade diverse host cell types (5, 10, 48). Still, the bulk of mechanistic entry studies have been performed in a few epithelial cell lines. Hence, how S.Tm invasion mechanism(s) varies with infection context remains an incompletely explored topic. We found that the proportions of TTSS-1-dependent, cooperative, and TTSS-1independent invasion events vary considerably between contexts, dictated both by the host cell type infected, the timing of the infection, and the MOI. In the experimental settings tested (and with the inocula cultivated under SPI-1-inducing conditions in the absence of opsonization), TTSS-1-dependent invasion accounted for \sim 75 to \sim 99.9% of all invasion events, cooperative invasion for \sim 0 to \geq 7%, and non-TTSS-1 invasion for \sim 0.1 to \sim 25%. Notably, S.Tm entry events in epithelial cells and monocytes showed a highly similar MOI-dependent distribution between the three invasion mechanisms. In contrast, these proportions did not depend on the MOI during infection of macrophages, and we failed to detect cooperative invasion for this cell type. Cooperative S.Tm invasion depends on the TTSS-1 triggering of large membrane ruffles, physical obstacles that increase the likelihood for motile bacteria to dock and enter the same membrane region (24). It appears plausible that the high degree of steady-state membrane ruffling in macrophages, in contrast to epithelial cells and immature monocytes, makes any cooperative effect of TTSS-1-induced ruffles neglectable in this cell type.

The conditions in the gut lumen cause S.Tm to express both flagellar and SPI-1 genes (49–51), which explains why bacteria reaching the intestinal epithelium as a rule are TTSS-1 primed. S.Tm that breach the epithelial barrier can retain expression of TTSS-1 and effectors in the lamina propria (51) or in systemic organs (52, 53), where the bacteria meet a wide range of immune cells. Moreover, gut luminal (and therefore TTSS-1-expressing) S.Tm may come in contact with transepithelial dendritic cell extensions (54) or encounter phagocytes that migrate into the inflamed gut at later infection stages (12, 13). The data presented here show that TTSS-1-dependent invasion can account for up to 96% of S.Tm entry events in macrophages during the first minutes of interaction. Consequently, it seems plausible that TTSS-1-triggered entry into professional phagocytes also has a significant impact *in vivo*. Finally, our data do not lend support to a broadly generalizable impact of "atypical" non-TTSS-1 entry mechanisms (27–29) across host cell types. Barcoded consortium infections will, however, provide a powerful means to search for specific host cells or conditions, where such mechanisms could dominate the entry process.

A large body of literature has uncovered the biochemical activities of the effectors that drive TTSS-1-dependent entry (2). However, the relative contribution of each effector during invasion across divergent epithelial and blood-derived cell types has not been systematically addressed. We have here begun such comparative studies. The data reveal that TTSS-1-dependent S.Tm invasion of human monocytes and macrophages rely on a highly similar effector program as the one used for ruffle-mediated entry in epithelial cell lines. Consequently, actin modulation through the combined action of the lipid phosphatase SopB (17-19) and the Rho-GEFs SopE (20) and SopE2 (21) constitutes a generic program for TTSS-1-dependent entry across epithelial and blood-derived cell types. It remains to be explored whether this will also hold true for other host cell types and for primary cells/tissues in vivo. Notably, recent work hints that S.Tm invasion of the mouse gut absorptive epithelium may depend on different TTSS-1 effectors than what has been observed for epithelial cell lines (55; Böck D., Fattinger S.A., Di Martino M.L., Deuring S., Furter M., Kreibich S., Bosia F., Müller A.A., Nguyen B.D., Rohde M., Pilhofer M., Hardt W.-D., Sellin M.E., submitted for publication). These observations warrant further studies of the impact of host cell context on S.Tm invasion mechanism(s) in vivo.

An emerging trend in infection biology is the replacement of simplistic immortalized cell line infection models with more physiological counterparts, such as organoids (56), multiple host cell type cocultures (57), tissue explants (58), and advanced whole-animal models (59). Whereas such models better recapitulate the host cell and tissue features encountered during a natural infection, they are inherently costly, are subject to large variability between replicate wells/tissues/animals, and allow limited scalability. This restricts the use of classical techniques for bacterium-host cell interaction studies (e.g., gentamicin protection assays combined with CFU plating), since they rely on parallel analysis of large numbers of single strain infections. We foresee that barcoded consortium infections, as delineated here, will provide an invaluable tool for cost-effective, stringent, and scalable analysis of bacterium-host cell interplay in such next-generation experimental models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. Bacterial strains are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. Construction of barcoded mutants was performed by P22 transduction. The tags were transferred from donor S.Tm strains into the relevant mutants, followed by selection on LB agar containing 12.5 μ g/ml chloramphenicol. Construction of the S.Tm^{Δ mv^G-Km^R} was performed by transferring the Δ invG deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ invG (60) into an S.Tm^{vir} strain, followed by selection on LB agar containing 50 μ g/ml kanamycin. The S.Tm^{Δ sopB}-tagE strain was constructed by transferring the Δ sopB deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopB (60) into S.Tm^{vir}-tagE by an identical approach. The S.Tm^{Δ sopE}-tagB strain was constructed into an S.Tm^{Δ sopE}-tagB intermediate, followed by selection from S.Tm Δ sopE deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopB zelection from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopE deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopE deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopE deletion from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopE first, tagB from S.Tm 14028- Δ sopE for an sferred into an S.Tm^{Δ sopE}-tagB intermediate, followed by selection on kanamycin. All strains were verified by PCR.

Mammalian cell culture. HeLa epithelial cells (ATCC CCL-2) were grown in DMEM GlutaMAX (catalog no. 31966-021; Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C and 10% CO₂. U937 monocytes (ATCC CRL-1593.2) were grown in RPMI 1640 GlutaMAX (catalog no. 72400054; Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cultures were passaged two or three times each week. For routine passaging, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin were added to the medium, but antibiotics were omitted during infection experiments. For macrophage differentiation, U937 monocytes were seeded into 12-well plates and differentiated by adding 50 nM phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) (catalog no. P8139; Sigma) to the culture medium for 48 h. The medium was subsequently replaced with PMA-free medium and changed every 48 h.

Bacterial infections. The indicated numbers of HeLa cells were seeded in 12- or 6-well (or larger vessels for Fig. S3E) plates 24 h prior to infection. U937 monocytes were seeded (150,000 to 300,000/well) in precoated 12-well BAM (biocompatible anchor for cell membranes) plates (61) 2 h prior to infection. For U937-derived macrophages, U937 monocytes were seeded (150,000/well) in 12-well plates, differentiated as described above, and infected on day 5 postdifferentiation. The indicated S.Tm strains were grown for 12 h in LB/0.3 M NaCl containing appropriate antibiotics, diluted 1:20, and subcultured for 4 h in 3 ml of the same medium without antibiotics. Inocula were cultured in a roller drum incubator. Strains were diluted in DMEM/10% FBS (for HeLa) or RPMI/10% FBS (for U937) to achieve the desired MOI. To generate barcoded consortia, the indicated tagged strains were mixed in equal ratios unless stated otherwise. After the addition of bacteria (without centrifugation), cultured cells were incubated at 37°C and 10% CO₂ (HeLa) or 5% CO₂ (U937) for 1 to 20 min as indicated. The culture medium was subsequently replaced with new medium containing 200 µg/ml gentamicin (catalog no. G1914; Sigma), and the cells were incubated for a total of 1 h (including infection time). At 1 h p.i., cells were washed with PBS and lysed in 0.1% sodium deoxycholate (catalog no. D6750; Sigma). For plating assays, the lysates were serially diluted and plated on LB agar containing appropriate antibiotics. For tag quantification, lysate bacterial populations were enriched overnight in 3 ml LB medium at 37°C. A diluted culture of the inoculum was also enriched and used as reference. A 1.5-ml portion of the bacterial culture was used to extract genomic DNA. For binding experiments, cells were treated with 1 µM cytochalasin D (catalog no. C8273; Sigma) 20 min prior to infection. Bacteria were added to the cells and incubated for 20 min. Cells were washed with PBS to remove unattached bacteria and processed as described above.

Tag quantification by qPCR. Genomic DNA from enriched cultures was extracted using the GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA kit (catalog no. NA2110-1KT; Sigma). qPCR analysis with Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (2×) (catalog no. K0222; Thermo Fisher Scientific) on a OneStepPlus instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was performed using 9 ng of gDNA and tag-specific primers (Table S1 and Fig. S1A) based on reference 36. The relative abundance of each strain was normalized to the abundance in the inoculum. Standard curves were generated using gDNA from each tagged S.Tm^{wr} strain (Fig. S2).

Tag quantification by Amplicon Seq Sequencing libraries were generated by amplifying each gDNA sample in a Phusion PCR (ThermoScientific) with primers in Table S1. The common forward primer harbors a sequencing adaptor and each reverse primer harbors a specific metabarcode, used for binning of the reads. Sequencing was performed on an Ion S5 XL System sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the Ion 530 chip (20 million reads). Read counting was performed with an in-house-generated script. Briefly, the SamToFastq tool of the Java package Picard, version 2.18.10 (Broad Institute) was used to

convert metabarcode-sorted lonTorrent.bam data files (containing reads), to the FASTAQ format. Reads were counted using fuzzy regular expressions allowing for four mismatches between the tag sequence and the read (the smallest Hamming distance between any tags was 15). The Python 3 script used to count the sequencing reads, "fastaq_read_counter.py," is available from the GitHub repository at https://github.com/Oftatkofta/Barcoded_invasion.git.

Generation of area plots for visualization of cooccurring invasion mechanisms. At each MOI or time point, the respective mean abundance values for $S.\text{Tm}^{wt}$ (from the three tagged replicates) and $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ (from the four tagged replicates) strains were computed. These values were used to calculate the fraction of the intracellular S.Tm population that comprised TTSS-1-invading ($S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$) bacteria. Conditions where bottleneck effects were observed were excluded from the analysis (indicated by gray hatching). Cooperative invasion was estimated by a nonlinear fit regression of the mean abundance of $S.\text{Tm}^{\Delta invG}$ strains across MOIs. The plots are based on the assumption that cooperative invasion is neglectable at the lowest possible MOI (validated for HeLa cells in Fig. 1H and Fig. S3H). Furthermore, the plots contain a minimal estimate of cooperative invasion, since any cooperativity between $S.\text{Tm}^{wt}$ strains cannot be quantified.

In silico simulation of host cell invasion. The simulation was set up as a direct *in silico* adaptation of the barcoded invasion protocol (Fig. 1C). Briefly, the simulation created n_{cells} cell objects and randomly distributed $n_{bacteria}$ bacterium objects among them. For each bacterium, there was a P_{invade} probability that the bacterium would invade a cell. Each bacterium had an associated tag, and each tag had its own P_{invade} . For each iteration, a pool of bacterial objects was created with a tag composition that averaged to equal, but with an experimentally derived standard deviation. The simulation was run 1,000 times for each MOI. The output was the number of tags recovered (maximum seven). Coinvasion was assumed not to occur. The following parameters were used: $n_{cells} = average 150,000$, with an equal probability of a number between 125,000 and 175,000; $n_{bacteria} = MOI \times n_{cells'} P_{invade}$.wt = 1.146E-2, P_{invade} . $\Delta invG = 1.018E-4$ (experimentally derived from data in Fig. S3C and D). The standard deviation of the inoculum pool composition was 0.043 (experimentally derived from Fig. S3A). The Python 3 script used to run the simulation, "invasion_simulation.py," is available from the GitHub repository at https://github.com/Oftatkofta/Barcoded_invasion.git.

Fluorescence microscopy. HeLa cells were seeded in eight-chamber slides (Labtek II [catalog no. 155409; Thermo Fisher Scientific]) and coinfected with an equal mix of $S.Tm^{\omega trv G}$ -ssaGGFP or $S.Tm^{\Delta trv G}$ -ssaGGFP at different MOIs as indicated. At 4 h p.i., cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at 37°C, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, blocked in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) for 30 min, followed by DAPI (1:1,000) (catalog no. D9542; Sigma) and F-actin staining (1:200 phalloidin-Alexa Fluor 568 [catalog no. A12380; Molecular Probes]) for 40 min at room temperature. Fluorescence microscopy images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope. For the analysis, infection foci in at least 1,200 cells were counted for each condition.

Real-time confocal microscopy. For live imaging, 10,000 cells were seeded in eight-chamber slides. Infections were performed at MOIs ranging from 10 to 50 with $S.Tm^{wt}$ -GFP. For analysis of host cell lysis, propidium iodide (1 μ g/ml; Sigma) was added to the culture medium. Infections were imaged for 20 to 60 min as indicated (1 image/20 s for HeLa cells and monocytes; 1 image/30 s for macrophages) on a custom-built microscope, based on a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 core fitted with a 100×/1.45 NA oil objective, a X-Light-V2-LFOV spinning disk module (Crest), and a Prime 95B 25mm camera (Photometrics).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00603-19.

MOVIE S1, AVI file, 1.4 MB. MOVIE S2, AVI file, 1 MB. MOVIE S3, AVI file, 2.2 MB. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.5 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 0.7 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S5, PDF file, 0.7 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.2 MB. TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.4 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI)/Uppsala Genome Center and UPPMAX for providing assistance in massive parallel sequencing and computational infrastructure. Work performed at NGI/Uppsala Genome Center has been funded by RFI/VR and Science for Life Laboratory, Sweden. Work in the Sellin laboratory was supported by the Swedish Research Council (2015-00635 and 2018-02223), the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (2016.0063), and the SciLifeLab Fellows program. M.L.D.M., J.E., and M.E.S. designed the study. M.L.D.M., V.E., J.E., and M.E.S. designed the methodology and performed experiments. W.-D.H. provided resources. M.L.D.M. and M.E.S. wrote the original draft. M.L.D.M., V.E., W.-D.H., J.E., and M.E.S. revised and edited the article. M.L.D.M. and J.E. prepared the figures. M.E.S. acquired funding for the study.

REFERENCES

- Figueira R, Holden DW. 2012. Functions of the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) type III secretion system effectors. Microbiology 158: 1147–1161. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.058115-0.
- Hume PJ, Singh V, Davidson AC, Koronakis V. 2017. Swiss Army pathogen: the Salmonella entry toolkit. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:348. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00348.
- Wotzka SY, Nguyen BD, Hardt W-D. 2017. Salmonella Typhimurium diarrhea reveals basic principles of enteropathogen infection and disease-promoted DNA exchange. Cell Host Microbe 21:443–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.03.009.
- Clark MA, Jepson MA, Simmons NL, Hirst BH. 1994. Preferential interaction of Salmonella typhimurium with mouse Peyer's patch M cells. Res Microbiol 145:543–552. https://doi.org/10.1016/0923-2508(94)90031-0.
- Frost AJ, Bland AP, Wallis TS. 1997. The early dynamic response of the calf ileal epithelium to Salmonella typhimurium. Vet Pathol 34:369–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/030098589703400501.
- Santos RL, Zhang S, Tsolis RM, Bäumler AJ, Adams LG. 2002. Morphologic and molecular characterization of Salmonella typhimurium infection in neonatal calves. Vet Pathol 39:200–215. https://doi.org/10.1354/vp.39-2 -200.
- Hapfelmeier S, Stecher B, Barthel M, Kremer M, Müller AJ, Heikenwalder M, Stallmach T, Hensel M, Pfeffer K, Akira S, Hardt W-D. 2005. The Salmonella pathogenicity island (SPI)-2 and SPI-1 type III secretion systems allow Salmonella serovar typhimurium to trigger colitis via MyD88dependent and MyD88-independent mechanisms. J Immunol 174: 1675–1685. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.3.1675.
- Sellin ME, Müller AA, Felmy B, Dolowschiak T, Diard M, Tardivel A, Maslowski KM, Hardt W-D. 2014. Epithelium-intrinsic NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome drives infected enterocyte expulsion to restrict salmonella replication in the intestinal mucosa. Cell Host Microbe 16:237–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.07.001.
- Müller AJ, Kaiser P, Dittmar KEJ, Weber TC, Haueter S, Endt K, Songhet P, Zellweger C, Kremer M, Fehling H-J, Hardt W-D. 2012. Salmonella gut invasion involves TTSS-2-dependent epithelial traversal, basolateral exit, and uptake by epithelium-sampling lamina propria phagocytes. Cell Host Microbe 11:19–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.11.013.
- Geddes K, Cruz F, Heffron F. 2007. Analysis of cells targeted by Salmonella type III secretion in vivo. PLoS Pathog 3:e196. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.0030196.
- Salcedo SP, Noursadeghi M, Cohen J, Holden DW. 2001. Intracellular replication of Salmonella typhimurium strains in specific subsets of splenic macrophages in vivo. Cell Microbiol 3:587–597. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1462-5822.2001.00137.x.
- Loetscher Y, Wieser A, Lengefeld J, Kaiser P, Schubert S, Heikenwalder M, Hardt W-D, Stecher B. 2012. Salmonella transiently reside in luminal neutrophils in the inflamed gut. PLoS One 7:e34812. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0034812.
- Maier L, Diard M, Sellin ME, Chouffane E-S, Trautwein-Weidner K, Periaswamy B, Slack E, Dolowschiak T, Stecher B, Loverdo C, Regoes RR, Hardt W-D. 2014. Granulocytes impose a tight bottleneck upon the gut luminal pathogen population during Salmonella Typhimurium colitis. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004557. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004557.
- McGhie EJ, Hayward RD, Koronakis V. 2001. Cooperation between actinbinding proteins of invasive Salmonella: SipA potentiates SipC nucleation and bundling of actin. EMBO J 20:2131–2139. https://doi.org/10 .1093/emboj/20.9.2131.
- Zhou D, Mooseker MS, Galán JE. 1999. Role of the S. typhimurium actin-binding protein SipA in bacterial internalization. Science 283: 2092–2095. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5410.2092.
- McGhie EJ, Hayward RD, Koronakis V. 2004. Control of actin turnover by a Salmonella invasion protein. Mol Cell 13:497–510. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S1097-2765(04)00053-X.
- 17. Terebiznik MR, Vieira OV, Marcus SL, Slade A, Yip CM, Trimble WS, Meyer

T, Finlay BB, Grinstein S. 2002. Elimination of host cell PtdIns(4,5)P2 by bacterial SigD promotes membrane fission during invasion by Salmonella. Nat Cell Biol 4:766–773. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb854.

- Patel JC, Galán JE. 2006. Differential activation and function of Rho GTPases during Salmonella-host cell interactions. J Cell Biol 175: 453–463. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200605144.
- Truong D, Boddy KC, Canadien V, Brabant D, Fairn GD, D'Costa VM, Coyaud E, Raught B, Pérez-Sala D, Park WS, Heo WD, Grinstein S, Brumell JH. 2018. Salmonella exploits host Rho GTPase signalling pathways through the phosphatase activity of SopB. Cell Microbiol 20:e12938. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12938.
- Hardt WD, Chen L-M, Schuebel KE, Bustelo XR, Galán JE. 1998. S. typhimurium encodes an activator of Rho GTPases that induces membrane ruffling and nuclear responses in host cells. Cell 93:815–826. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81442-7.
- Stender S, Friebel A, Linder S, Rohde M, Mirold S, Hardt W-D. 2002. Identification of SopE2 from Salmonella typhimurium, a conserved guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Cdc42 of the host cell. Mol Microbiol 36:1206–1221. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01933.x.
- Fu Y, Galán JE. 1999. A Salmonella protein antagonizes Rac-1 and Cdc42 to mediate host-cell recovery after bacterial invasion. Nature 401: 293–297. https://doi.org/10.1038/45829.
- Cain RJ, Hayward RD, Koronakis V. 2008. Deciphering interplay between Salmonella invasion effectors. PLoS Pathog 4:e1000037. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000037.
- Misselwitz B, Barrett N, Kreibich S, Vonaesch P, Andritschke D, Rout S, Weidner K, Sormaz M, Songhet P, Horvath P, Chabria M, Vogel V, Spori DM, Jenny P, Hardt W-D. 2012. Near surface swimming of Salmonella Typhimurium explains target-site selection and cooperative invasion. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002810. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002810.
- Fredlund J, Santos JC, Stévenin V, Weiner A, Latour-Lambert P, Rechav K, Mallet A, Krijnse-Locker J, Elbaum M, Enninga J. 2018. The entry of Salmonella in a distinct tight compartment revealed at high temporal and ultrastructural resolution. Cell Microbiol 20:e12816. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/cmi.12816.
- Hänisch J, Kölm R, Wozniczka M, Bumann D, Rottner K, Stradal T. 2011. Activation of a RhoA/myosin II-dependent but Arp2/3 complexindependent pathway facilitates Salmonella invasion. Cell Host Microbe 9:273–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.03.009.
- Lambert MA, Smith S. 2008. The PagN protein of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium is an adhesin and invasin. BMC Microbiol 8:142–111. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-142.
- Rosselin M, Virlogeux-Payant I, Roy C, Bottreau E, Sizaret P-Y, Mijouin L, Germon P, Caron E, Velge P, Wiedemann A. 2010. Rck of Salmonella enterica, subspecies enterica serovar Enteritidis, mediates Zipper-like internalization. Cell Res 20:647–664. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2010.45.
- Rosselin M, Abed N, Virlogeux-Payant I, Bottreau E, Sizaret P-Y, Velge P, Wiedemann A. 2011. Heterogeneity of type III secretion system (T3SS)-1-independent entry mechanisms used by Salmonella Enteritidis to invade different cell types. Microbiology 157:839–847. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/mic.0.044941-0.
- Monack DM, Raupach B, Hromockyj AE, Falkow S. 1996. Salmonella typhimurium invasion induces apoptosis in infected macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:9833–9838. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.18 .9833.
- Drecktrah D, Knodler LA, Ireland R, Steele-Mortimer O. 2006. The mechanism of Salmonella entry determines the vacuolar environment and intracellular gene expression. Traffic 7:39–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1600-0854.2005.00360.x.
- Bueno SM, Wozniak A, Leiva ED, Riquelme SA, Carreño LJ, Hardt W-D, Riedel CA, Kalergis AM. 2010. Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 differentially modulates bacterial entry to dendritic and non-phagocytic

cells. Immunology 130:273–287. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567 .2009.03233.x.

- Misselwitz B, Dilling S, Vonaesch P, Sacher R, Snijder B, Schlumberger M, Rout S, Stark M, von Mering C, Pelkmans L, Hardt WD. 2014. RNAi screen of Salmonella invasion shows role of COPI in membrane targeting of cholesterol and Cdc42. Mol Syst Biol 7:474. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb .2011.7.
- Hoffmann S, Walter S, Blume A-K, Fuchs S, Schmidt C, Scholz A, Gerlach RG. 2018. High-throughput quantification of bacterial-cell interactions using virtual colony counts. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8:43. https://doi .org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00043.
- Voznica J, Enninga J, Stévenin V. 2018. High-throughput microscopic analysis of Salmonella invasion of host cells. Bio Protoc 8:e3017. https:// doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.3017.
- Grant AJ, Restif O, McKinley TJ, Sheppard M, Maskell DJ, Mastroeni P. 2008. Modelling within-host spatiotemporal dynamics of invasive bacterial disease. PLoS Biol 6:e74. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio .0060074.
- Kaiser P, Slack E, Grant AJ, Hardt WD, Regoes RR. 2013. Lymph node colonization dynamics after oral Salmonella Typhimurium infection in mice. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003532. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat .1003532.
- Andritschke D, Dilling S, Emmenlauer M, Welz T, Schmich F, Misselwitz B, Rämö P, Rottner K, Kerkhoff E, Wada T, Penninger JM, Beerenwinkel N, Horvath P, Dehio C, Hardt WD. 2016. A genome-wide siRNA screen implicates Spire1/2 in SipA-driven Salmonella Typhimurium host cell invasion. PLoS One 11:e0161965. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0161965.
- Misselwitz B, Kreibich SK, Rout S, Stecher B, Periaswamy B, Hardt W-D. 2011. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium binds to HeLa cells via Fim-mediated reversible adhesion and irreversible type three secretion system 1-mediated docking. Infect Immun 79:330–341. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/IAI.00581-10.
- Hardt W-D, Galan JE. 1997. A secreted Salmonella protein with homology to an avirulence determinant of plant pathogenic bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:9887–9892. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.18.9887.
- Porwollik S, Genovese K, Chu W, Loneragan GH, Edrington T, McClelland M. 2018. Neutral barcoding of genomes reveals the dynamics of Salmonella colonization in cattle and their peripheral lymph nodes. Vet Microbiol 220:97–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.05.007.
- Melton-Witt JA, Rafelski SM, Portnoy DA, Bakardjiev AI. 2012. Oral infection with signature-tagged Listeria monocytogenes reveals organspecific growth and dissemination routes in guinea pigs. Infect Immun 80:720–732. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05958-11.
- Rego ROM, Bestor A, Štefka J, Rosa PA. 2014. Population bottlenecks during the infectious cycle of the lyme disease spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi. PLoS One 9:e101009. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0101009.
- Abel S, Abel zur Wiesch P, Chang H-H, Davis BM, Lipsitch M, Waldor MK. 2015. Sequence tag–based analysis of microbial population dynamics. Nat Methods 12:223–226. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3253.
- Martin CJ, Cadena AM, Leung VW, Lin PL, Maiello P, Hicks N, Chase MR, Flynn JL, Fortune SM. 2017. Digitally barcoding Mycobacterium tuberculosis reveals in vivo infection dynamics in the macaque model of tuberculosis. mBio 8:e00312-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00312-17.
- Knodler LA. 2015. Salmonella enterica: living a double life in epithelial cells. Curr Opin Microbiol 23:23–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014 .10.010.
- Pucciarelli MG, García-del Portillo F. 2017. Salmonella intracellular lifestyles and their impact on host-to-host transmission. Microbiol Spectr 5(4):1–18. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MTBP-0009-2016.
- Zhang S, Kingsley RA, Santos RL, Andrews-Polymenis H, Raffatellu M, Figueiredo J, Nunes J, Tsolis RM, Adams LG, Bäumler AJ. 2003. Molecular

pathogenesis of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium-induced diarrhea. Infect Immun 71:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.1.1-12 .2003.

- Stecher B, Hapfelmeier S, Mu C, Kremer M, Stallmach T, Hardt W. 2004. Flagella and chemotaxis are required for efficient induction of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium colitis in streptomycin-pretreated mice. Infect Immun 72:4138–4150. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.7.4138 -4150.2004.
- Ackermann M, Stecher B, Freed NE, Songhet P, Hardt W-D, Doebeli M. 2008. Self-destructive cooperation mediated by phenotypic noise. Nature 454:987–990. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07067.
- Laughlin RC, Knodler LA, Barhoumi R, Payne HR, Wu J, Gomez G, Pugh R, Lawhon SD, Bäumler AJ, Steele-Mortimer O, Adams LG. 2014. Spatial segregation of virulence gene expression during acute enteric infection with Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. mBio 5:e00946-13. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00946-13.
- Giacomodonato MN, Uzzau S, Bacciu D, Caccuri R, Sarnacki SH, Rubino S, Cerquetti MC. 2007. SipA, SopA, SopB, SopD and SopE2 effector proteins of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium are synthesized at late stages of infection in mice. Microbiology 153:1221–1228. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/mic.0.2006/002758-0.
- Gong H, Su J, Bai Y, Miao L, Kim K, Yang Y, Liu F, Lu S. 2009. Characterization of the expression of Salmonella type III secretion system factor Prgl, SipA, SipB, SopE2, SpaO, and SptP in cultures and in mice. BMC Microbiol 9:73. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-73.
- Rescigno M, Urbano M, Valzasina B, Francolini M, Rotta G, Bonasio R, Granucci F, Kraehenbuhl J-P, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P. 2001. Dendritic cells express tight junction proteins and penetrate gut epithelial monolayers to sample bacteria. Nat Immunol 2:361–367. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 86373.
- Zhang K, Riba A, Nietschke M, Torow N, Repnik U, Pütz A, Fulde M, Dupont A, Hensel M, Hornef M. 2018. Minimal SPI1-T3SS effector requirement for Salmonella enterocyte invasion and intracellular proliferation in vivo. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006925. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1006925.
- Yin Y, Zhou D. 2018. Organoid and enteroid modeling of Salmonella infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8:102. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb .2018.00102.
- Noel G, Baetz NW, Staab JF, Donowitz M, Kovbasnjuk O, Pasetti MF, Zachos NC. 2017. A primary human macrophage-enteroid co-culture model to investigate mucosal gut physiology and host-pathogen interactions. Sci Rep 7:45270. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45270.
- Schüller S, Lucas M, Kaper JB, Girón JA, Phillips AD. 2009. The ex vivo response of human intestinal mucosa to enteropathogenic Escherichia coli infection. Cell Microbiol 11:521–530. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462 -5822.2008.01275.x.
- 59. Kaiser P, Diard M, Stecher B, Hardt W-D. 2012. The streptomycin mouse model for Salmonella diarrhea: functional analysis of the microbiota, the pathogen's virulence factors, and the host's mucosal immune response. Immunol Rev 245:56–83. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600 -065X.2011.01070.x.
- 60. Porwollik S, Santiviago CA, Cheng P, Long F, Desai P, Fredlund J, Srikumar S, Silva CA, Chu W, Chen X, Canals R, Reynolds MM, Bogomolnaya L, Shields C, Cui P, Guo J, Zheng Y, Endicott-Yazdani T, Yang H-J, Maple A, Ragoza Y, Blondel CJ, Valenzuela C, Andrews-Polymenis H, McClelland M. 2014. Defined single-gene and multi-gene deletion mutant collections in Salmonella enterica sv Typhimurium. PLoS One 9:e99820. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099820.
- Kawamura R, Mishima M, Ryu S, Arai Y, Okose M, Silberberg YR, Rao SR, Nakamura C. 2013. Controlled cell adhesion using a biocompatible anchor for membrane-conjugated bovine serum albumin/bovine serum albumin mixed layer. Langmuir 29:6429–6433. https://doi.org/10.1021/ la4012229.