



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Evaluation, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>b</sup> Research Network on Health Services in Chronic Diseases (REDISSEC), Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>c</sup> Infection Control Program, Hospital del Mar, Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>d</sup> Medical Documentation Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>e</sup> Emergency Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>f</sup> Management Control Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>g</sup> Institute of Neuropsychiatry and Addictions (INAD), Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>h</sup> Respiratory Medicine Department, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, DCEXS, UPF, CIBERES, ISCiii, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>i</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>j</sup> Critical Care Department, Hospital del Mar. Barcelona, IMIM, UAB, Spain  
<sup>k</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital del Mar. IMIM, CIBERFES, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>l</sup> Department of Geriatrics, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain  
<sup>m</sup> Department of Nephrology, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain

\* Corresponding author.  
 E-mail address: [xcastells@parcdesalutmar.cat](mailto:xcastells@parcdesalutmar.cat) (X. Castells).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2020.06.012>  
 0300-2896/© 2020 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## Pulmonary Embolism in Patients With Covid-19 Pneumonia: The Utility of D-dimer



### *Embolia pulmonar en pacientes con neumonía por covid-19: utilidad del dímero-d*

Dear Editor,

D-dimer levels are increased in pulmonary embolism (PE) but also in many other conditions including inflammation, cancer, pregnancy, trauma, and sepsis.<sup>1</sup> D-dimer is a useful rule-out test for avoiding imaging in several clinical settings.<sup>2</sup> In fact, in patients with low or intermediate clinical probability, D-dimer has negative predictive value to exclude deep vein thrombosis or PE without further testing in the outpatient setting.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, its usefulness in hospitalized patients with suspected thromboembolism is less well established. Only few studies have evaluated the predictive value of quantification of D-dimers in hospitalized patients with PE,<sup>4</sup> and there are no studies addressing this topic in patients with COVID-19. Thus, in the context of the COVID pandemic, in which seriously ill patients have respiratory symptoms, it is even more convenient to find an adequate value of D-dimer that can help when requesting imaging studies, such as computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA).

A retrospective study was performed to analyze the predictive value of D-dimer to assess CTPA for diagnosis of PE in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia during their hospitalization. The local Clinical Research Ethical approved the study.

All patients included in current study were COVID-19 positive according to present diagnostic criterion.<sup>5</sup> They had undergone CTPA scans due to suspected PE and underwent D-dimer tests according guidelines.<sup>6</sup> D-dimers were checked at least at the time of admission and prior to CTPA. D-dimer (local reference range: <500 mcg/L FEU), was measured by a commercial latex-enhanced immunoturbidimetric assay (Siemens AG SYSMEX CS-5100). CTPA examinations were obtained in a multidetector CT scanner (Discovery CT750 HD, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, USA) by using a Dual-Energy CTPA protocol (Gemstone Spectral Imaging GSI). Highest observed values of D-dimer (of at least one assessment during hospitalization) before CTPA for each patient were used as diagnostic threshold and their sensitivity and specificity was estimated. Positive predictive (PPV)- and negative predictive (NPV)-values were calculated to evaluate the correct positive and correct negative test procedure results.<sup>7</sup> The calculations were made with SPSS/PC for Windows (version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and

MedCalc (version 9.3.9.0; MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium). *P* values of <.05 were considered statistically significant.

A total of 52 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia and suspected PE were included. The main causes for CTPA assessment were clinical worsening (85%) and/or elevated D-dimer (15%). Only two patients had right ventricle dilatation at the time of PE diagnosis. Dyslipidaemia and obesity were more frequent in patients with PE, but there were no significant differences found between groups when analysing with other variables. Forty-nine patients received low weight molecular heparin (LWMH) as thromboprophylaxis at standard dose (40 mg/day, *n*=25) or intermediate dose (1 mg/kg/day, *n*=18) according D-dimer value ( $\leq 2000$  and  $>2000$ , respectively) or therapeutic LWMH (1 mg/kg/12 h, *n*=6) for medical conditions (atrial fibrillation and others). Three patients (2 with PE and 1 non-PE) did not receive thromboprophylaxis.

At the time of admission, D-dimer levels were not different among patients that developed PE [(median (P5–P75) 2350 (1070–10500) mcg/L] and those who did not [3030 (650–12415) mcg/L], (*P*=.87). We found significant differences in the highest values of D-dimer before performing CTPA only in patients with PE [14,240 (5140–31550) mcg/L, *P*=.007]. The mean changes from the baseline to the highest values before CTPA for patients with PE was 9406 (2917) mcg/L. In **Table 1**, we set out estimates of sensitivity, specificity, Positive Predicted Value (PPV), Negative Predicted Value (NPV), Positive Likelihood Ratio (LR+), and (LR–) values to predict the diagnosis of PE. D-dimer of 2000 mcg/L resulted in the best cut-off point of sensitivity for patients with PE: sensitivity, 1.00; PPV, 0.60; specificity, 0.44; and, NPV, 1.00; LR–, 0. Using this threshold there were zero negative false cases; however, there were 18 (35%) positive false cases. By contrast, D-dimer of 30,000 mcg/L or higher was the best threshold for the diagnosis of PE; sensitivity, 0.26; PPV, 0.75; specificity, 0.93; NPV, 0.61, and LR+, 3.78; but we found only 2 (3.8%) positive false cases at this cut-off. In addition, we found that a variation in D-dimer of 4000 mcg/L or more from admission to the highest value before CPTA was predictive of PE with a sensitivity, 0.48; PPV, 0.79; specificity, 0.90; NPV, 0.68; LR+ 4.62, and LR– 0.58. This magnitude showed only 3 positive false cases. Among the subjects included in the study, only 2 deaths were confirmed to be caused by severe respiratory syndrome, with no evidence of PE.

The current retrospective study identified that a D-dimer value of 2000 mcg/L was the best sensitivity cut-off point to rule out PE in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Besides, we recognized a D-dimer level of 30,000 mcg/L as the best value of specificity to predict PE. Also, an increase of D-dimer of 4000 mcg/L from admission

**Table 1**

Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, LR+, LR– and NPV with 95% confidence interval for highest levels of D-dimer (mcg/L) at different cut-off points for prediction pulmonary embolism in patients with COVID-19 during hospitalization.

D-dimer cut-off	Sensitivity	LR+	PPV	Specificity	LR–	NPV
2000	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	1.79 (1.26–2.53)	0.60 (0.44–0.76)	0.44 (0.25–0.63)	0.00	1.00 (1.00–1.00)
3000	0.90 (0.77–1.00)	1.88 (1.22–2.89)	0.60 (0.42–0.78)	0.52 (0.32–0.72)	0.19 (0.05–0.75)	0.87 (0.69–1.00)
5000	0.76 (0.58–0.94)	1.90 (1.11–3.26)	0.62 (0.43–0.80)	0.60 (0.41–0.79)	0.40 (0.17–0.91)	0.75 (0.56–0.94)
10,000	0.57 (0.36–0.78)	2.38 (1.08–5.25)	0.67 (0.45–0.88)	0.76 (0.59–0.93)	0.56 (0.32–0.99)	0.68 (0.51–0.85)
15,000	0.48 (0.26–0.69)	2.98 (1.09–8.18)	0.71 (0.48–0.95)	0.84 (0.70–0.98)	0.62 (0.39–1.00)	0.66 (0.49–0.82)
30,000	0.26 (0.08–0.44)	3.78 (0.84–17.02)	0.75 (0.45–1.00)	0.93 (0.84–1.00)	0.79 (0.58–1.00)	0.61 (0.47–0.76)

Definition of abbreviations: LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR–, negative likelihood ratio; PPV, positive predicted value; NPV, negative predicted value.

to the highest determination during hospitalization was found to be the best value to detect PE.

To our knowledge, this is the first report with the aim to identify specific D-dimer cut-off values in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia to predict PE. Several studies have shown that the risk of thrombotic events increases with rising D-dimer concentration in acutely ill-hospitalized patients.<sup>4,8</sup> A recent study has demonstrated that despite systematic thrombosis prophylaxis, the incidence of thrombotic complications (mainly PE) in ICU patients with COVID-19 infections is notably high.<sup>9</sup>

It has been stated that viral infections could lead to a prothrombotic state. Dengue virus has been stated to give rise to increased Interleukin-6 (IL-6), which in turn leads to an increase in Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1)<sup>10</sup> a decrease in tPA which leads to a pro-thrombotic state. Likewise, severe cases of COVID-19 and original SARS virus were associated with an increase IL-6 level (and other inflammatory markers),<sup>11</sup> and elevated PAI-1 levels,<sup>12</sup> respectively.

Our study presents several limitations: its retrospective nature and a sample size is small. These shortcomings are however counterbalanced by two strengths. First, the wide range of D-dimer values both at admission and during hospitalization, second, 40% incidence when PE is suspected suggests a considerable frequent occurrence.

In conclusion, D-dimer monitoring helps in the evaluation of PE in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and they should be considered in the clinical management of these patients. Our study opens the venue to future multicenter studies with a larger sample size for adequate validation.

## Bibliografía

- Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing GJ, Harjola VP, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:543–603.
- Righini M, Perrier A, De Moerloose P, Bounameaux H. D-Dimer for venous thromboembolism diagnosis: 20 years later. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2008;6:1059–71.
- Pasha SM, Klok FA, Snoep JD, Mos IC, Goekoop RJ, Rodger MA, et al. Safety of excluding acute pulmonary embolism based on an unlikely clinical probability by the Wells rule and normal D-dimer concentration: a meta-analysis. *Thromb Res*. 2010;125:e123–7.
- Cohen AT, Spiro TE, Spyropoulos AC, Desanctis YH, Homering M, Buller HR, et al. D-dimer as a predictor of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill, hospitalized patients: a subanalysis of the randomized controlled MAGELLAN trial. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2014;12:479–87.
- Spanish Health Ministry Guidelines. Action procedure against cases of infection with the new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2).
- Kollias A, Kyriakoulis KG, Dimakakos E, Poulakou G, Stergiou GS, Syrigos K. Thromboembolic risk and anticoagulant therapy in COVID-19 patients: Emerging evidence and call for action. *Br J Haematol*. 2020.

- Altman DG, Bland JM. Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *BMJ*. 1994;309:102.
- Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, Monreal M, Samama MM, Nicol P, et al. Extended-duration venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;153:8–18.
- Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, Arbous MS, Gommers DAMPJ, Kant KM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res*. 2020.
- Wills BA, Oragui EE, Stephens AC, Daramola OA, Dung NM, Loan HT, et al. Coagulation abnormalities in dengue hemorrhagic fever: serial investigations in 167 Vietnamese children with Dengue shock syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;35:277–85.
- Gong J, Dong H, Xia SQ, Huang YZ, Wang D, Zhao Y, et al. Correlation analysis between disease severity and inflammation-related parameters in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *medRxiv*. 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.25.20025643>.
- Liu ZH, Wei R, Wu YP, Lisman T, Wang ZX, Han JJ, et al. Elevated plasma tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA) and soluble thrombomodulin in patients suffering from severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) as a possible index for prognosis and treatment strategy. *Biomed Environ Sci*. 2005;18:260–4.

Juanjo Rodríguez-Sevilla<sup>a</sup>, Anna Rodó-Pin<sup>b</sup>, Irene Espallargas<sup>c</sup>, Judit Villar-García<sup>d</sup>, Lluís Molina<sup>e</sup>, Purificación Pérez Terán<sup>f</sup>, Antonia Vázquez Sanchez<sup>f</sup>, Joan Ramón Masclans<sup>f</sup>, Carmen Jiménez<sup>a</sup>, Raúl Millán Segovia<sup>e</sup>, Flavio Zuccarino<sup>c</sup>, Antonio Salar<sup>a</sup>, Diego A. Rodríguez-Chiaradía<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Hematology, Hospital del Mar, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Pulmonology Department, Hospital del Mar, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), CIBERES, (ISCiii), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Department of Radiology, Hospital del Mar, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain, IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques), Barcelona, Spain, Department of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Cardiology Department, Hospital del Mar, Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain

<sup>f</sup> Critical Care Department, Hospital del Mar, GREPAC Institut Hospital del Mar d'Investigacions Mèdiques (IMIM), Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [darodriguez@parcdesalutmar.cat](mailto:darodriguez@parcdesalutmar.cat) (D.A. Rodríguez-Chiaradía).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2020.06.013>

0300-2896/ © 2020 SEPAR. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.