

Keywords: colorectal cancer; KRAS; survival; mortality; microsatellite instability; BRAF

KRAS-mutation status in relation to colorectal cancer survival: the joint impact of correlated tumour markers

A I Phipps^{*1}, D D Buchanan², K W Makar¹, A K Win³, J A Baron⁴, N M Lindor⁵, J D Potter^{1,6} and P A Newcomb¹

¹Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98109, USA; ²Cancer and Population Studies Group, Queensland Institute for Medical Research, 300 Herston Road, Herston, Queensland 4006, Australia; ³Centre for Molecular, Environmental, Genetic and Analytic Epidemiology, The University of Melbourne, 207 Bouverie Street, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia; ⁴Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, 130 Mason Farm Road, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; ⁵Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, 13400 E. Shea Boulevard, Scottsdale, AZ 85259, USA and ⁶Centre for Public Health Research, Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand

Background: Mutations in the Kirsten Ras (*KRAS*) oncogene are common in colorectal cancer (CRC). The role of *KRAS*-mutation status as a prognostic factor, however, is unclear. We evaluated the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival, considering heterogeneity in this association by tumour and patient characteristics.

Methods: The population-based study included individuals diagnosed with CRC between 1998–2007 in Western Washington State. Tumour specimens were tested for *KRAS* exon 2 mutations, the *BRAF* p.V600E mutation, and microsatellite instability (MSI). We used Cox regression to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and disease-specific and overall survival. Stratified analyses were conducted by age, sex, tumour site, stage, and MSI. We conducted additional analyses combining *KRAS*-mutation, *BRAF*-mutation, and MSI status.

Results: Among 1989 cases, 31% had *KRAS*-mutated CRC. Kirsten Ras (*KRAS*)-mutated CRC was associated with poorer disease-specific survival (HR = 1.37, 95% CI: 1.13–1.66). This association was not evident in cases who presented with distant-stage CRC. Cases with *KRAS*-wild-type/*BRAF*-wild-type/MSI-high CRC had the most favourable prognosis; those with CRC exhibiting a *KRAS*- or *BRAF*-mutation and no MSI had the poorest prognosis. Patterns were similar for overall survival.

Conclusion: Kirsten Ras (*KRAS*)-mutated CRC was associated with statistically significantly poorer survival after diagnosis than *KRAS*-wild-type CRC.

The Kirsten Ras (*KRAS*) proto-oncogene encodes for a guanosine triphosphate (GTP)/guanosine diphosphate binding protein downstream of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in the RAS/RAF/MAPK pathway. Mutations in *KRAS* are evident in 30–40% of colorectal tumours (Andreyev *et al*, 1998; Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Gnanasampanthan *et al*, 2001; Wang *et al*, 2003; Lee *et al*, 2008; De Roock *et al*, 2010a, 2010b; Nash *et al*, 2010; Roth *et al*, 2010; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012; Inoue *et al*, 2012). Based

on evidence that the benefits of adjuvant treatment with anti-EGFR chemotherapy for distant-stage metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) are limited to patients with *KRAS*-wild-type disease (Lin *et al*, 2011; Bokemeyer *et al*, 2012), testing for *KRAS* mutations is increasingly common in clinical practice in order to better direct treatment of CRC (Allegra *et al*, 2009). Although the role of *KRAS*-mutation status as a predictive biomarker for response to anti-EGFR-targeted therapy is well supported, the role of *KRAS* as a

*Correspondence: Dr AI Phipps; E-mail: ahipps@fhcrc.org

Received 7 December 2012; revised 21 February 2013; accepted 22 February 2013; published online 19 March 2013

© 2013 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007–0920/13

prognostic biomarker for CRC survival, independent of anti-EGFR therapy, is less clear.

A number of previous studies have evaluated the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis (Andreyev *et al*, 1998; Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Andreyev *et al*, 2001; Gnanasampanthan *et al*, 2001; Wang *et al*, 2003; Lee *et al*, 2008; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Nash *et al*, 2010; Roth *et al*, 2010; De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012). In the largest study to date, Andreyev *et al* (2001) reported that the presence of a somatic *KRAS* mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-free and overall survival after CRC diagnosis, but only among patients with Dukes' C CRC and only among those with the *KRAS* p.G12V mutation (Andreyev *et al*, 2001). This latter finding was supported by results from a recent study in which *KRAS* codon 12 mutations, particularly the p.G12V mutation, but not *KRAS* codon 13 mutations were associated with poorer survival (Imamura *et al*, 2012). Thus, observed inconsistencies in the literature regarding the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival may be related to differences in the distribution of specific *KRAS* mutations, stage at diagnosis, or other characteristics.

Correlations between *KRAS*-mutation status and other tumour characteristics of prognostic relevance may further complicate the study of this marker in relation to prognosis. In particular, *KRAS*-mutated CRC is less likely to exhibit microsatellite instability (MSI) than *KRAS*-wild-type CRCs (Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Nash *et al*, 2010; Roth *et al*, 2010; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012) and is almost never *BRAF*-mutated (Lee *et al*, 2008; De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012). The presence of high MSI (MSI-H) is associated with a more favourable prognosis (Guastadisegni *et al*, 2010), whereas *BRAF*-mutated CRC has a poorer prognosis than *BRAF*-wild-type disease (Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010; De Roock *et al*, 2010a). Failure to account for these attributes of *KRAS*-mutated CRC could thus obscure an association between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival.

To better understand the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis, we used data from two concurrent population-based studies of incident invasive CRC conducted in Western Washington State.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population. Details of the population-based study samples have been published elsewhere (Newcomb *et al*, 2007a, b). Briefly, eligible participants included men and women diagnosed with invasive CRC between January 1998 and June 2002 who, at the time of diagnosis, were aged 20–74 years and resided in King, Pierce, or Snohomish counties in Western Washington State. Women who resided in 10 additional Washington counties and were diagnosed during the same time period at ages 50–74 years were also eligible. During a second phase of study recruitment, we identified eligible participants as men and women with invasive CRC in this 13-county ascertainment area who were diagnosed at ages 18–49 years between April 2002 and July 2007. All cases were identified through the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) cancer registry serving Western Washington State. Study eligibility was limited to English speakers with a publicly available telephone number. Of 3585 individuals contacted and identified as eligible, 463 (13%) were deceased, 351 (10%) refused participation, 128 (4%) could not be reached, and 24 (0.7%) completed only a partial interview. In total, 76% of eligible cases were enrolled in the study ($N = 2708$).

At an average of 8.6 months after diagnosis, participants completed a structured telephone interview in which they were asked to provide detailed information on a number of potential

risk factors, including smoking history, body mass index (BMI), family history of CRC, and use of selected medications. At the conclusion of the interview, participants were asked for consent to access diagnostic tumour specimens. Adequate tumour specimens were obtained for 78% of enrolled participants ($N = 2120$).

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in accordance with assurances filed with and approved by the US Department of Health and Human Services.

KRAS-mutation testing and additional tumour characterisation.

DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tumour tissue. In cases for whom tumour DNA was successfully extracted ($N = 1989$), the coding sequence of *KRAS* exon 2 was amplified (Oliner *et al*, 2010). Mutations in exon 2 were identified via forward and reverse sequencing of amplified tumour DNA (Alsop *et al*, 2006). Cases for whom *KRAS* testing failed ($N = 36$) or produced equivocal results ($N = 30$) were classified as having unknown *KRAS*-mutation status. For quality control purposes, sequencing was also conducted on three cell-line controls (one containing the p.G12V mutation, one containing the p.G13D mutation, and one wild-type cell line).

Tumour specimens were also assayed for *BRAF*-mutation status and for the presence of MSI. Tumour DNA was tested for the c.1799 T > A (p.V600E) *BRAF* mutation using a fluorescent allele-specific PCR assay as described previously (Buchanan *et al*, 2010). With respect to MSI status, testing for cases enrolled in earlier years of recruitment ($N = 1430$) was based on a 10-gene panel assayed in tumour DNA and in DNA extracted from normal surrounding tissue (BAT25, BAT26, BAT40, MYCL, D5S346, D17S250, ACTC, D18S55, D10S197, and BAT34C4) (Boland *et al*, 1998; Newcomb *et al*, 2007b); tumours were classified as MSI-H if instability was observed in $\geq 30\%$ of markers, and as MSS if instability was observed in $< 30\%$ of markers. For more recently enrolled cases ($N = 470$), MSI status was based on immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing of four markers: MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2 (Lindor *et al*, 2002; Shia, 2008); cases whose tumour tissue exhibited positive staining for all markers were considered MSS, whereas cases negative for at least one marker were considered MSI-H. High concordance between IHC and PCR-based MSI testing has been demonstrated elsewhere (Cicek *et al*, 2011). Cases for whom test results were equivocal or for whom testing was not completed ($N = 80$) were classified as having unknown MSI status.

Information on tumour site and stage at diagnosis was available from SEER. Tumours located in the caecum through the splenic flexure were grouped together as proximal colon cancers (ICD-O-3 codes C180, C182, C183, C184, and C185) (World Health Organisation, 2000). Tumours located in the descending (C186) and sigmoid colon (C187) were classified as distal colon cancer, and tumours in the rectosigmoid junction (C199) and rectum (C209) were grouped together as rectal cancer. Stage at diagnosis was recorded according to SEER summary staging conventions (localised-, regional-, distant-stage).

Survival information. Vital status was determined via linkage to SEER and the National Death Index. For cases who died during study follow-up, information was obtained on the date and cause of death, classified according to ICD-10 conventions (World Health Organisation, 2007). Deaths with an underlying cause attributed to ICD-10 codes C18.0-C20.0 or C26.0 (that is, CRC) were classified as disease-specific mortality events. Vital-status linkage was performed periodically, with the most recent linkage capturing deaths occurring through September 2010.

Statistical analysis. We used Cox proportional hazards regression to evaluate the association between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival after CRC diagnosis. The time axis for analysis was defined

as days since diagnosis, with left censoring of participants until the date of study enrollment. We conducted separate survival analyses for disease-specific survival and overall survival. In all analyses, participants still alive at their last vital-status assessment were censored at that date. In analyses of disease-specific survival, we also censored persons who died due to causes other than CRC at the time of death. We evaluated associations between *KRAS*-mutation status and survival outcomes in the full cohort and within strata defined by patient characteristics (age at diagnosis, sex) and tumour characteristics (tumour site, stage, MSI status). In light of the fact that somatic mutations in *KRAS* and *BRAF* rarely co-occur (Davies *et al*, 2002), and given that *BRAF*-mutated CRC has been shown to have a poorer prognosis than *BRAF*-wild-type CRC (Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010; De Roock *et al*, 2010a), we conducted separate analyses: (1) in all cases irrespective of *BRAF*-mutation status; (2) restricted to *BRAF*-wild-type cases; and (3) combining information on *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations to evaluate relative differences in survival for cases with a mutation in either *vs* neither gene. We also evaluated relative differences in survival between case groups defined by joint *KRAS*/MSI status, and by joint *KRAS*/*BRAF*/MSI status. Finally, we explored associations between different classes of *KRAS* mutations and survival outcomes, examining associations with specific mutations evident in $\geq 5\%$ of cases, and, more generally, with codon 12 mutations and codon 13 mutations separately; differences in codon-specific associations were evaluated via tests for heterogeneity. For all analyses, proportional hazards assumptions were assessed by testing for a non-zero slope of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals on ranked failure times (Therneau and Grambsch, 2000).

Regression models included adjustment terms for age (5-year categories), sex, and study phase. We also assessed potential confounding by several patient and tumour characteristics: cigarette smoking (never, former, current); BMI 2 years before diagnosis (<25.0 , 25.0 – 29.9 , ≥ 30.0 kg m⁻²); race (white, non-white); regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs at baseline (no, yes); family history of CRC in first-degree relatives (no, yes); and tumour site (proximal colon, distal colon/rectum). Of these additional factors, only cigarette smoking and BMI were retained in our final analytic model as adjustment for other variables had minimal impact on effect estimates ($<5\%$ change).

We conducted sensitivity analyses using alternative approaches to assess the potential impact of excluding enrolled cases with unknown *KRAS*-mutation status. Specifically, we replicated analyses: (1) including all cases with missing *KRAS*-mutation status as *KRAS* wild-type; (2) including cases with missing *KRAS*-mutation status as *KRAS*-mutated; and (3) using multiple imputation for missing *KRAS* status. The multiple imputation model was based on all covariate variables from the multivariate model, as well as family history of CRC, tumour site, MSI status, *BRAF*-mutation status, race, survival time, and the survival outcome of interest (Moons *et al*, 2006; Sterne *et al*, 2009). All analyses were conducted in STATA SE version 12.0 (College Park, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1 by *KRAS*-mutation status. Approximately 31% of cases had *KRAS*-mutated CRC. Compared with cases with *KRAS*-wild-type CRC, cases with *KRAS*-mutated disease were statistically significantly less likely to have MSI-H or *BRAF*-mutated CRC (P -value <0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of age at diagnosis, sex, tumour site, or stage according to *KRAS*-mutation status. Overall, 38% ($N=728$) of cases died during the study follow-up period (mean = 6.5 years, range = 5.3 months

Table 1. Study population characteristics by *KRAS*-mutation status

	<i>KRAS</i> wild-type ($N=1330$)	<i>KRAS</i> -mutated ($N=593$)	P -value*
Age at diagnosis			
<50	346 (26)	147 (25)	0.65
50–59	291 (22)	143 (24)	
60–69	415 (31)	188 (32)	
70–74	278 (21)	115 (19)	
Sex			
Male	609 (46)	264 (45)	0.61
Female	721 (54)	329 (55)	
Tumour site			
Proximal colon	505 (39)	255 (44)	0.10
Distal colon	364 (28)	147 (25)	
Rectal	424 (33)	183 (31)	
Unknown	37	89	
Stage at diagnosis^a			
Localised	553 (42)	220 (37)	0.12
Regional	610 (46)	293 (50)	
Distant	144 (11)	75 (13)	
Unknown	23	5	
MSI status^a			
MSS/MSI-L	1042 (82)	509 (90)	<0.001
MSI-H	236 (18)	56 (10)	
Unknown	52	28	
<i>BRAF</i> mutation status^a			
Wild-type	1083 (82)	580 (99)	<0.001
Mutated	232 (18)	6 (1)	
Unknown	15	7	
Vital status			
Alive	843 (63)	352 (59)	0.09
Deceased	487 (37)	241 (41)	
Mean years of follow-up (s.d.)	6.7 (3.9)	6.3 (4.1)	
Abbreviations: <i>KRAS</i> = Kirsten Ras; MSI = microsatellite instability; MSI-H = high microsatellite instability. * P -value for χ^2 .			
^a % distribution excludes cases with unknown value of characteristic.			

to 13.7 years). Of those cases who died, $\sim 62\%$ ($N=449$) died because of CRC.

Multivariate-adjusted analyses of disease-specific survival yielded estimates nearly identical to those from unadjusted analyses, and provided evidence of statistically significantly poorer survival in cases with *KRAS*-mutated *vs* *KRAS*-wild-type CRC (Table 2) (hazard ratio (HR) = 1.37, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.13–1.66). The magnitude of this association was similar when cases with *BRAF*-mutated disease were excluded or combined with the *KRAS*-mutated case group. Interaction terms by age at diagnosis, sex, tumour site, stage, and MSI status were not statistically significant ($P>0.05$); however, point estimates did vary slightly by stage and age at diagnosis. In particular, *KRAS*-mutation status was not associated with survival in cases who presented with distant-stage disease (P -interaction by stage = 0.07). Additionally, *KRAS*-mutated CRC was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-specific survival in cases aged ≥ 50 years at diagnosis but not in those aged <50 (P -interaction by age = 0.15).

Table 2. KRAS-mutation status and disease-specific survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis by patient and tumour characteristics, with and without consideration of BRAF-mutation status

	All cases			BRAF-wild-type CRC only			Joint KRAS/BRAF mutation status		
	KRAS-wild-type deaths/cases	KRAS-mutated deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a	KRAS-wild-type deaths/cases	KRAS-mutated deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a	KRAS- and BRAF-wt deaths/cases	KRAS- or BRAF-mut deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a
Overall (unadjusted)	287/1330	162/593	1.36 (1.12–1.65)	238/1098	161/587	1.39 (1.14–1.70)	234/1083	214/834	1.31 (1.09–1.58)
Overall (adjusted)	287/1330	162/593	1.37 (1.13–1.66)	238/1098	161/587	1.40 (1.14–1.72)	234/1083	214/834	1.34 (1.11–1.63)
By age at diagnosis									
<50 years	79/346	34/147	1.03 (0.69–1.54)	67/320	34/147	1.14 (0.76–1.73)	65/317	48/176	1.40 (0.96–2.03)
≥50 years	208/984	128/446	1.48 (1.18–1.85)	171/778	127/440	1.49 (1.18–1.88)	169/766	166/658	1.33 (1.07–1.66)
By sex									
Male	134/609	74/264	1.35 (1.02–1.79)	119/545	73/261	1.37 (1.03–1.85)	118/542	89/329	1.36 (1.03–1.79)
Female	153/721	88/329	1.38 (1.06–1.81)	119/553	88/326	1.43 (1.07–1.89)	116/541	125/505	1.35 (1.04–1.75)
By tumour site									
Proximal	104/505	72/255	1.44 (1.06–1.95)	68/322	71/252	1.45 (1.04–2.04)	67/315	109/444	1.25 (0.92–1.71)
Distal/rectal	178/788	87/330	1.29 (1.00–1.68)	166/745	87/327	1.33 (1.02–1.73)	163/737	101/376	1.35 (1.04–1.73)
By stage at diagnosis									
Localised	29/553	19/220	1.55 (0.85–2.82)	28/463	19/216	1.38 (0.75–2.54)	28/458	20/311	1.06 (0.58–1.93)
Regional	146/610	83/293	1.35 (1.03–1.78)	111/487	82/291	1.47 (1.10–1.96)	109/480	121/424	1.50 (1.15–1.96)
Distant	111/144	59/75	1.02 (0.73–1.41)	98/129	59/75	1.06 (0.76–1.49)	96/126	72/90	1.11 (0.80–1.53)
By MSI									
MSS	257/1042	143/509	1.24 (1.00–1.52)	221/943	142/504	1.33 (1.07–1.65)	217/933	180/613	1.40 (1.15–1.72)
MSI-H	22/236	11/56	2.06 (0.93–4.52)	10/115	11/55	2.17 (0.89–5.31)	10/112	25/181	1.67 (0.77–3.61)

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HR = hazard ratio; KRAS = Kirsten Ras; MSI = microsatellite instability; MSI-H = high microsatellite instability. All associations are relative to the KRAS wild-type case group. All *P*-values for tests of interaction across strata indicate a lack of statistically significant interaction (*P* > 0.05).

^aAdjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking.

These non-significant differences in the strength of association across stage and age strata were diminished in analyses combining cases with BRAF-mutated and KRAS-mutated CRC. Associations were similar but attenuated in analyses of overall survival (Table 3).

In analyses considering KRAS in combination with MSI status (Table 4), disease-specific and overall survival were statistically significantly more favourable in cases with KRAS-wild-type/MSI-H CRC (HR = 0.35, 95% CI: 0.23–0.55, and HR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.60–1.00, respectively) and statistically significantly poorer in cases with KRAS-mutated/MSS CRC (HR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.01–1.52, and HR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.02–1.43, respectively) compared with cases with KRAS-wild-type/MSS disease. Results were similar after excluding cases with BRAF-mutated CRC. Patterns of association also changed very little when combining cases with KRAS- and/or BRAF-mutated disease: cases with KRAS- and BRAF-wild-type/MSI-H disease had the most favourable prognosis, and those with KRAS- or BRAF-mutated/MSS disease had the poorest survival.

Among cases with KRAS-mutated CRC, 75% (*N* = 444) had a mutation in codon 12 and 22% (*N* = 132) in codon 13 (Supplementary Table 1). Compared with cases with a codon 12 KRAS mutation, those with a codon 13 mutation were statistically significantly more likely to have CRC located in the proximal colon (54% vs 40%) and to have MSI-H disease (19% vs 7%). We found no statistically significant differences in the association between KRAS-mutation status and survival when we

evaluated associations with mutated codon 12 vs mutated codon 13 (*P*-heterogeneity = 0.54 and *P*-heterogeneity = 0.30 for disease-specific and overall survival, respectively). The presence of a somatic p.G13D mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer disease-specific (HR = 1.48, 95% CI: 1.04–2.04) and overall survival (HR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.05–1.81) compared with KRAS-wild-type; neither p.G12D nor p.G12V mutations were significantly associated with survival outcomes when evaluated separately (Supplementary Table 2).

Compared with cases with known KRAS-mutation status, enrolled cases with unknown KRAS status were younger at diagnosis (median age = 52 years vs 60 years), more likely to have distant-stage disease (20% vs 12%), and had a lower 5-year overall survival (65% vs 74%) (not shown). In sensitivity analyses, we evaluated the effect of missing information on KRAS status (*N* = 728, 29%). In analyses based on our primary analytic model with no exclusion of BRAF-mutated cases, including all cases with unknown KRAS-mutation status as KRAS-mutated cases increased point estimates to HR = 1.53 (95% CI: 1.13–1.79) for disease-specific survival and HR = 1.39 (95% CI: 1.23–1.57) for overall survival. When we instead included these 728 cases as KRAS-wild-type, point estimates fell to HR = 1.12 (95% CI: 0.94–1.34) and HR = 1.06 (95% CI: 0.92–1.23) for disease-specific and overall survival, respectively. Thus, our point estimates comparing survival in KRAS-mutated vs KRAS-wild-type cases are subject to some uncertainty due to the exclusion of cases with missing KRAS data.

Table 3. KRAS-mutation status and overall survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis by patient and tumour characteristics, with and without consideration of BRAF-mutation status

	All cases			BRAF-wild-type CRC only			Joint KRAS/ BRAF mutation status		
	KRAS-wild-type deaths/cases	KRAS-mutated deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a	KRAS-wild-type deaths/cases	KRAS-mutated deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a	KRAS- and BRAF-wt deaths/cases	KRAS-or BRAF-mut deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a
Overall (unadjusted)	487/1330	241/593	1.22 (1.05–1.43)	391/1098	239/587	1.28 (1.09–1.51)	386/1083	341/834	1.27 (1.10–1.47)
Overall (adjusted)	487/1330	241/593	1.24 (1.06–1.45)	391/1098	239/587	1.27 (1.08–1.50)	386/1083	341/834	1.24 (1.07–1.44)
By age at diagnosis									
<50 years	98/346	41/147	1.00 (0.70–1.45)	86/320	41/147	1.07 (0.74–1.55)	84/317	55/176	1.22 (0.87–1.72)
≥50 years	389/984	200/446	1.31 (1.10–1.56)	305/778	198/440	1.33 (1.11–1.60)	293/766	286/658	1.24 (1.05–1.47)
By sex									
Male	238/609	115/264	1.20 (0.96–1.50)	207/545	114/261	1.24 (0.98–1.56)	205/542	146/329	1.25 (1.00–1.55)
Female	249/721	126/329	1.30 (1.04–1.61)	184/553	125/326	1.32 (1.05–1.67)	181/541	195/505	1.25 (1.01–1.53)
By tumour site									
Proximal	195/505	109/255	1.21 (0.95–1.54)	121/322	107/252	1.24 (0.95–1.62)	120/315	185/444	1.13 (0.90–1.43)
Distal/rectal	276/788	128/330	1.22 (0.99–1.51)	258/745	128/327	1.23 (0.99–1.53)	254/737	148/376	1.21 (0.99–1.49)
By stage at diagnosis									
Localised	130/553	60/220	1.19 (0.87–1.63)	107/463	59/216	1.15 (0.83–1.59)	106/458	83/311	1.05 (0.78–1.42)
Regional	227/610	117/293	1.24 (0.99–1.55)	171/487	116/291	1.33 (1.05–1.69)	169/480	177/424	1.36 (1.09–1.68)
Distant	118/144	62/75	1.01 (0.73–1.38)	104/129	62/75	1.05 (0.75–1.45)	102/126	76/90	1.09 (0.80–1.49)
By MSI									
MSS	391/1042	213/509	1.21 (1.02–1.43)	344/943	212/504	1.26 (1.06–1.51)	340/933	261/613	1.28 (1.09–1.51)
MSI-H	79/236	17/56	1.20 (0.68–2.12)	32/115	16/55	1.20 (0.63–2.30)	32/112	67/181	1.05 (0.67–1.64)

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; CRC = colorectal cancer; HR = hazard ratio; KRAS = Kirsten Ras; MSI = microsatellite instability; MSI-H = high microsatellite instability; mut = mutated; wt = wild-type. All associations are relative to the KRAS wild-type case group. All P-values for tests of interaction across strata indicate a lack of statistically significant interaction ($P > 0.05$).

^aAdjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking.

However, when we implemented a multiple imputation model to account for missingness in KRAS, our results based on the analysis of known and imputed KRAS data indicated statistically significantly poorer disease-specific (HR = 1.35, 95% CI: 1.12–1.63) and overall survival (HR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.05–1.42) associated with the presence of a KRAS mutation.

DISCUSSION

In this large population-based cohort of men and women with incident invasive CRC, the presence of a somatic KRAS mutation was associated with statistically significantly poorer survival, specifically in those without distant-stage disease. Patients with KRAS-mutated CRC, whose tumours were also MSS, had the poorest prognosis. These patterns of association were relatively unchanged when limited to BRAF-wild-type cases and when grouping BRAF-mutated and KRAS-mutated cases. Contrary to some previous reports, we did not find the association between KRAS-mutation status and survival to be limited to the p.G12V KRAS-mutation specific identified mutations.

Activating mutations in KRAS are among the most common mutations in human cancers (Ikediobi *et al*, 2006). Mutations in KRAS codons 12 and 13 have been shown to result in an altered RAS protein with greater resistance to GTPase activity (Bollag and

McCormick, 1995; Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999). By remaining in an active GTP-bound state for longer, mutated RAS contributes to enhanced cellular growth and proliferation (Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999), activating the RAS/RAF/MAPK and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-AKT pathways. The relationship between constitutive activation of the RAS/RAF/MAPK signalling pathway and CRC prognosis has previously also been supported by studies evaluating the association between the BRAF p.V600E activating mutation and CRC survival (Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Roth *et al*, 2010; De Roock *et al*, 2010a). Mutations in BRAF and KRAS are both thought to occur early in colorectal carcinogenesis, and are rarely observed together. Here, we found that only 1% ($N = 6$) of CRC cases with a somatic KRAS mutation harboured a BRAF mutation, compared with 18% of KRAS-wild-type CRC cases; this is consistent with data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (Cerami *et al*, 2012) and recent reports from other large studies (Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012). When we combined information on KRAS and BRAF status to compare survival in CRC cases with a somatic mutation in at least one *vs* neither of these genes, we found only modest differences from our analyses where BRAF-mutation status was not considered.

The presence of a somatic KRAS mutation is also inversely associated with the presence of MSI (Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Nash *et al*, 2010; Imamura *et al*, 2012). MSI-H CRC is known to have a statistically significantly more favourable prognosis than MSS CRC (Guastadisegni *et al*, 2010), and to have a distinct

Table 4. KRAS-mutation status, in combination with MSI and BRAF-mutation status, in relation to disease-specific and overall survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis

	Disease-specific survival		Overall survival	
	Deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a	Deaths/cases	HR (95% CI) ^a
Joint KRAS and MSI status				
KRAS wt/MSI-H	22/236	0.35 (0.23–0.55)	79/236	0.78 (0.60–1.00)
KRAS mut/MSI-H	11/56	0.77 (0.42–1.41)	17/56	0.87 (0.53–1.42)
KRAS wt/MSS	257/1042	1.00 (ref)	391/1042	1.00 (ref)
KRAS mut/MSS	143/509	1.24 (1.01–1.52)	213/509	1.21 (1.02–1.43)
Joint KRAS and MSI status (BRAF wild-type only)				
KRAS wt/MSI-H	10/112	0.34 (0.18–0.65)	32/112	0.74 (0.51–1.07)
KRAS mut/MSI-H	11/53	0.87 (0.47–1.60)	16/53	0.92 (0.55–1.52)
KRAS wt/MSS	217/933	1.00 (ref)	340/933	1.00 (ref)
KRAS mut/MSS	141/501	1.36 (1.09–1.68)	210/501	1.27 (1.07–1.52)
Joint KRAS, BRAF, and MSI status				
KRAS and BRAF wt/MSI-H	10/112	0.34 (0.18–0.65)	32/112	0.75 (0.52–1.08)
KRAS or BRAF mut/MSI-H	25/181	0.60 (0.39–0.91)	67/181	0.91 (0.69–1.20)
KRAS and BRAF wt/MSS	217/933	1.00 (ref)	340/933	1.00 (ref)
KRAS or BRAF mut/MSS	180/613	1.41 (1.15–1.73)	261/613	1.28 (1.08–1.51)

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; KRAS = Kirsten Ras; MSI = microsatellite instability; MSI-H = high microsatellite instability; mut = mutated; wt = wild-type.
^aAdjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, study population, body mass index, and history of cigarette smoking.

clinicopathology: the distribution of MSI follows a clear gradient of decreasing prevalence from the ascending colon to the rectum (Yamauchi *et al*, 2012) and is less prevalent in cases diagnosed at later stages (Ogino *et al*, 2009b; Nash *et al*, 2010). Although we found that the prevalence of MSI was statistically significantly lower in KRAS-mutated vs KRAS-wild-type cases, we found no difference in the distribution of tumour site or stage at diagnosis according to KRAS status. We also found no statistically significant interaction in the association between KRAS-mutation status and survival according to MSI status, tumour site, or stage at diagnosis. However, our results did suggest that KRAS-mutation status was not associated with survival in cases who presented with distant-stage disease, as has been suggested by at least two previous studies (Nash *et al*, 2010; Inoue *et al*, 2012). Thus, although the prevalence of somatic KRAS mutations does not appear to differ by stage at diagnosis, the prognostic role of KRAS may differ by stage.

Several studies in the distant-stage, metastatic setting have demonstrated the utility of KRAS-mutation status as a predictive marker for response to anti-EGFR therapy (Lin *et al*, 2011; Bokemeyer *et al*, 2012). In a recent meta-analysis, Lin *et al* (2011) reported that the presence of a KRAS mutation had a positive likelihood ratio of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.45–2.76) for predicting non-response to anti-EGFR in distant-stage CRC. However, the role of KRAS as a predictive marker has not been demonstrated for less advanced disease: recently published findings from a phase III randomized trial of patients with stage III colon cancer indicated no benefit in 3-year disease-free survival with the addition of cetuximab to standard chemotherapy, regardless of KRAS-mutation status (HR = 1.21, 95% CI: 0.98–1.49 in KRAS-wild-type and HR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.86–1.46 in KRAS mutated) (Alberts *et al*, 2012). Results from that trial did, however, provide support for the role of KRAS-mutation status as a prognostic factor, independent of anti-EGFR therapy: 3-year disease-free survival ranged from 72–75% across treatment arms in participants with KRAS-wild-type disease vs 65–67% in participants with KRAS-mutated disease (Alberts *et al*, 2012).

Previous studies focused on KRAS-mutation status as a potential prognostic factor has been mixed in their findings. In the largest study of KRAS-mutation status and survival to date, the Kirsten Ras Colorectal Cancer Collaborative Group study (RASCAL, N = 2721), Andreyev *et al* (1998) reported statistically significantly poorer overall survival for KRAS-mutated vs KRAS-wild-type disease at a magnitude similar to that observed here (HR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.07–1.40). The majority of other, smaller studies have also indicated a poorer prognosis in patients with KRAS-mutated CRC (Nash *et al*, 2010; De Roock *et al*, 2010a; Hutchins *et al*, 2011; Imamura *et al*, 2012). Several studies, however, have failed to find an association between KRAS and patient outcomes (Samowitz *et al*, 2000; Gnanasampanthan *et al*, 2001; Wang *et al*, 2003; Lee *et al*, 2008; Ogino *et al*, 2009a,b; Roth *et al*, 2010). The basis for these inconsistencies is unclear, but may be related to limited sample size and differences in the distribution and consideration of other factors, such as age at diagnosis, stage, and MSI status.

Prior studies have also differed in their consideration of specific KRAS mutations in relation to CRC survival. In an update of the original RASCAL study (RASCAL II, N = 4268), Andreyev *et al* (2001) found the association between KRAS-mutation status and survival was largely confined to the p.G12V mutation. Imamura *et al* (2012) recently reported a similar finding, and found that mutations in KRAS codon 13 were not associated with CRC survival. Unlike these reports, we did not find a statistically significant association between the p.G12V KRAS mutation and prognosis. Although experimental evidence has suggested that mutations in KRAS codon 12, particularly p.G12V, confer lower GTPase activity (Bollag and McCormick, 1995; Al-Mulla *et al*, 1999), which may translate to greater transforming potential, our data are not consistent with a clear difference in the prognostic significance of somatic KRAS mutations by codon.

Results presented here should be interpreted in the context of study limitations. Only limited information on first course of treatment was available and it is possible that treatment could have differed according to KRAS-mutation status; however, 95% of cases

were diagnosed before 2006 at a time before *KRAS*-mutation status might have been used to decide on anti-EGFR therapy. *KRAS*-mutation status does not appear to be associated with differential response to other chemotherapies (Richman *et al*, 2009; Ogino *et al*, 2009a; Hutchins *et al*, 2011). In addition, *KRAS*-mutation status was not determined for 29% of enrolled cases. Although these cases differed from cases with known *KRAS*-mutation status on several factors that could be related to prognosis, we obtained point estimates similar to those in our primary analyses in sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation to account for these missing data. *KRAS*-mutation status also could not be determined in cases who were not enrolled in the present study because of refusal, death before enrollment, or loss to follow-up. If *KRAS*-mutated CRC is truly associated with poorer prognosis, the prevalence of *KRAS* mutations is likely to have been higher in those cases who died before they could be enrolled in the study: exclusion of deceased cases would thus have attenuated, rather than inflated our estimates of the strength of association.

Important strengths of the present study include the population-based design and large sample size. Our consideration of both MSI and *BRAF*-mutation status in evaluating the relationship between *KRAS*-mutation status and CRC survival also represents an important strength. Here, we confirm previous reports that *KRAS*-mutated CRC is less likely to be MSI-H and is very rarely *BRAF* mutated. When we evaluated these three markers in combination in relation to survival, we found a strong gradient in risk, particularly with respect to disease-specific survival. Those individuals with CRC that was *KRAS*-wild-type, *BRAF*-wild-type, and MSI-H had the most favourable disease-specific survival; individuals with CRC that was *KRAS*- or *BRAF*-mutated and MSS experienced a statistically significantly poorer prognosis than other case groups defined by combinations of these three markers. These results support the prognostic significance of *KRAS*-mutation status beyond its now established role as a predictive marker in distant-stage CRC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health under RFA no. CA-95-011, and through cooperative agreements with members of the Colon Cancer Family Registry and Principal Investigators. In particular, this work was conducted through the Seattle Colorectal Cancer Family Registry (U01 CA074794) and through NCI grant R01CA076366. Paraffin-embedded tumour tissue for the Seattle Colorectal Cancer Family Registry was provided by The Jeremy Jass Memorial Pathology Bank. This publication was also supported by the NCI training grant R25CA94880 and by NCI grants K05CA152715 and K07CA172298. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organisations imply endorsement by the US Government or the Cancer Family Registry.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mulla F, Milner-White EJ, Going JJ, Birnie GD (1999) Structural differences between valine-12 and aspartate-12 Ras proteins may modify carcinoma aggression. *J Pathol* **187**(4): 433–438.
- Alberts SR, Sargent DJ, Nair S, Mahoney MR, Mooney M, Thibodeau SN, Smyrk TC, Sinicrope FA, Chan E, Gill S, Kahlenberg MS, Shields AF, Quesenberry JT, Webb TA, Farr Jr GH, Pockaj BA, Grothey A, Goldberg RM (2012) Effect of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin with or without cetuximab on survival among patients with resected stage III colon cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA* **307**(13): 1383–1393.
- Allegra CJ, Jessup JM, Somerfield MR, Hamilton SR, Hammond EH, Hayes DF, McAllister PK, Morton RF, Schilsky RL (2009) American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: testing for *KRAS* gene mutations in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma to predict response to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody therapy. *J Clin Oncol* **27**(12): 2091–2096.
- Alsop K, Mead L, Smith LD, Royce SG, Tesoriero AA, Young JP, Haydon A, Grubb G, Giles GG, Jenkins MA, Hopper JL, Southey MC (2006) Low somatic *K-ras* mutation frequency in colorectal cancer diagnosed under the age of 45 years. *Eur J Cancer* **42**(10): 1357–1361.
- Andreyev HJ, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates JR, Clarke PA (1998) Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the multicenter "RASCAL" study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **90**(9): 675–684.
- Andreyev HJ, Norman AR, Cunningham D, Oates J, Dix BR, Iacopetta BJ, Young J, Walsh T, Ward R, Hawkins N, Beranek M, Jandik P, Benamouzig R, Jullian E, Laurent-Puig P, Olschwang S, Muller O, Hoffmann I, Rabes HM, Zietz C, Troungos C, Valavanis C, Yuen ST, Ho JW, Croke CT, O'Donoghue DP, Giaretti W, Rapallo A, Russo A, Bazan V, Tanaka M, Omura K, Azuma T, Ohkusa T, Fujimori T, Ono Y, Pauly M, Faber C, Glaesener R, de Goeij AF, Arends JW, Andersen SN, Lovig T, Breivik J, Gaudernack G, Clausen OP, De Angelis PD, Meling GI, Rognum TO, Smith R, Goh HS, Font A, Rosell R, Sun XF, Zhang H, Benhattar J, Losi L, Lee JQ, Wang ST, Clarke PA, Bell S, Quirke P, Bub VJ, Piris J, Cruickshank NR, Morton D, Fox JC, Al-Mulla F, Lees N, Hall CN, Snary D, Wilkinson K, Dillon D, Costa J, Pricolo VE, Finkelstein SD, Thebo JS, Senagore AJ, Halter SA, Wadler S, Malik S, Krtolica K, Urošević N (2001) Kirsten ras mutations in patients with colorectal cancer: the 'RASCAL II' study. *Br J Cancer* **85**(5): 692–696.
- Bokemeyer C, Cutsem EV, Rougier P, Ciardiello F, Heeger S, Schlichting M, Celik I, Kohne CH (2012) Addition of cetuximab to chemotherapy as first-line treatment for *KRAS* wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: Pooled analysis of the CRYSTAL and OPUS randomised clinical trials. *Eur J Cancer* **48**(10): 1466–1475.
- Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, Srivastava S (1998) A National Cancer Institute Workshop on microsatellite instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* **58**(22): 5248–5257.
- Bollag G, McCormick F (1995) Intrinsic and GTPase-activating protein-stimulated Ras GTPase assays. *Methods Enzymol* **255**: 161–170.
- Buchanan DD, Sweet K, Drini M, Jenkins MA, Win AK, English DR, Walsh MD, Clendenning M, McKeone DM, Walters RJ, Roberts A, Pearson SA, Pavluk E, Hopper JL, Gattas MR, Goldblatt J, George J, Suthers GK, Phillips KD, Woodall S, Arnold J, Tucker K, Muir A, Field M, Greening S, Gallinger S, Perrier R, Baron JA, Potter JD, Haile R, Frankel W, de la Chapelle A, Macrae F, Rosty C, Walker NI, Parry S, Young JP (2010) Risk factors for colorectal cancer in patients with multiple serrated polyps: a cross-sectional case series from genetics clinics. *PLoS One* **5**(7): e11636.
- Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, Antipin Y, Reva B, Goldberg AP, Sander C, Schultz N (2012) The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discovery* **2**(5): 401–404.
- Cicek MS, Lindor NM, Gallinger S, Bapat B, Hopper JL, Jenkins MA, Young J, Buchanan D, Walsh MD, Le Marchand L, Burnett T, Newcomb PA, Grady WM, Haile RW, Casey G, Plummer SJ, Krumroy LA, Baron JA, Thibodeau SN (2011) Quality assessment and correlation of microsatellite instability and immunohistochemical markers among population- and clinic-based colorectal tumors results from the Colon Cancer Family Registry. *J Mol Diagn* **13**(3): 271–281.
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, Teague J, Woffendin H, Garnett MJ, Bottomley W, Davis N, Dicks E, Ewing R, Floyd Y, Gray K, Hall S, Hawes R, Hughes J, Kosmidou V, Menzies A, Mould C, Parker A, Stevens C, Watt S, Hooper S, Wilson R, Jayatilake H, Gusterson BA, Cooper C, Shipley J, Hargrave D, Pritchard-Jones K, Maitland N, Chenevix-Trench G, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Palmieri G, Cossu A, Flanagan A, Nicholson A, Ho JW, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Weber BL, Seigler HF, Darrow TL, Paterson H, Marais R, Marshall CJ, Wooster R, Stratton MR, Futreal PA (2002) Mutations of the *BRAF* gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**(6892): 949–954.

- De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilias G, Kalogeris KT, Kotoula V, Papamichael D, Laurent-Puig P, Penault-Llorca F, Rougier P, Vincenzi B, Santini D, Tonini G, Cappuzzo F, Frattini M, Molinari F, Saletti P, De Dosso S, Martini M, Bardelli A, Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Taberner J, Macarulla T, Di Fiore F, Gangloff AO, Ciardiello F, Pfeiffer P, Qvortrup C, Hansen TP, Van Cutsem E, Piessevaux H, Lambrechts D, Delorenzi M, Tejpar S (2010a) Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. *Lancet Oncol* **11**(8): 753–762.
- De Roock W, Jonker DJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tu D, Siena S, Lamba S, Arena S, Frattini M, Piessevaux H, Van Cutsem E, O'Callaghan CJ, Khambata-Ford S, Zalcborg JR, Simes J, Karapetis CS, Bardelli A, Tejpar S (2010b) Association of KRAS p.G13D mutation with outcome in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. *JAMA* **304**(16): 1812–1820.
- Gnanasampathan G, Elsaleh H, McCaul K, Iacopetta B (2001) Ki-ras mutation type and the survival benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy in Dukes' C colorectal cancer. *J Pathol* **195**(5): 543–548.
- Guastadisegni C, Colafranceschi M, Ottini L, Dogliotti E (2010) Microsatellite instability as a marker of prognosis and response to therapy: A meta-analysis of colorectal cancer survival data. *Eur J Cancer* **46**(15): 2788–2798.
- Hutchins G, Southward K, Handley K, Magill L, Beaumont C, Stahlschmidt J, Richman S, Chambers P, Seymour M, Kerr D, Gray R, Quirke P (2011) Value of mismatch repair, KRAS, and BRAF mutations in predicting recurrence and benefits from chemotherapy in colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **29**(10): 1261–1270.
- Ikedobi ON, Davies H, Bignell G, Edkins S, Stevens C, O'Meara S, Santarius T, Avis T, Barthorpe S, Brackenbury L, Buck G, Butler A, Clements J, Cole J, Dicks E, Forbes S, Gray K, Halliday K, Harrison R, Hills K, Hinton J, Hunter C, Jenkinson A, Jones D, Kosmidou V, Lugg R, Menzies A, Mironenko T, Parker A, Perry J, Raine K, Richardson D, Shepherd R, Small A, Smith R, Solomon H, Stephens P, Teague J, Tofts C, Varian J, Webb T, West S, Widaa S, Yates A, Reinhold W, Weinstein JN, Stratton MR, Futreal PA, Wooster R (2006) Mutation analysis of 24 known cancer genes in the NCI-60 cell line set. *Mol Cancer Ther* **5**(11): 2606–2612.
- Imamura Y, Morikawa T, Liao X, Lochhead P, Kuchiba A, Yamauchi M, Qian ZR, Nishihara R, Meyerhardt J, Haigis K, Fuchs CS, Ogino S (2012) Specific Mutations in KRAS codons 12 and 13, and patient prognosis in 1075 BRAF-wild-type colorectal cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**(17): 4753–4763.
- Inoue Y, Saigusa S, Iwata T, Okugawa Y, Toiyama Y, Tanaka K, Uchida K, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M (2012) The prognostic value of KRAS mutations in patients with colorectal cancer. *Oncol Reports* **28**(5): 1579–1584.
- Lee S, Cho NY, Choi M, Yoo EJ, Kim JH, Kang GH (2008) Clinicopathological features of CpG island methylator phenotype-positive colorectal cancer and its adverse prognosis in relation to KRAS/BRAF mutation. *Pathol Int* **58**(2): 104–113.
- Lin AY, Buckley NS, Lu AT, Kouzminova NB, Salpeter SR (2011) Effect of KRAS mutational status in advanced colorectal cancer on the outcomes of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* **10**(1): 63–69.
- Lindor NM, Burgart LJ, Leontovich O, Goldberg RM, Cunningham JM, Sargent DJ, Walsh-Vockley C, Petersen GM, Walsh MD, Leggett BA, Young JP, Barker MA, Jass JR, Hopper J, Gallinger S, Bapat B, Redston M, Thibodeau SN (2002) Immunohistochemistry versus microsatellite instability testing in phenotyping colorectal tumors. *J Clin Oncol* **20**(4): 1043–1048.
- Moons KG, Donders RA, Stijnen T, Harrell Jr. FE (2006) Using the outcome for imputation of missing predictor values was preferred. *J Clin Epidemiol* **59**(10): 1092–1101.
- Nash GM, Gimbel M, Cohen AM, Zeng ZS, Ndubuisi MI, Nathanson DR, Ott J, Barany F, Paty PB (2010) KRAS mutation and microsatellite instability: two genetic markers of early tumour development that influence the prognosis of colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* **17**(2): 416–424.
- Newcomb PA, Baron J, Cotterchio M, Gallinger S, Grove J, Haile R, Hall D, Hopper JL, Jass J, Le Marchand L, Limburg P, Lindor N, Potter JD, Templeton AS, Thibodeau S, Seminara D (2007a) Colon cancer family registry: an international resource for studies of the genetic epidemiology of colon cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **16**(11): 2331–2343.
- Newcomb PA, Zheng Y, Chia VM, Morimoto LM, Doria-Rose VP, Templeton A, Thibodeau SN, Potter JD (2007b) Estrogen plus progestin use, microsatellite instability, and the risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Cancer Res* **67**(15): 7534–7539.
- Ogino S, Meyerhardt JA, Irahara N, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Saltz LB, Mayer RJ, Schaefer P, Whittom R, Hantel A, Benson 3rd AB, Goldberg RM, Bertagnoli MM, Fuchs CS (2009a) KRAS mutation in stage III colon cancer and clinical outcome following intergroup trial CALGB 89803. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**(23): 7322–7329.
- Ogino S, Noshio K, Kirkner GJ, Kawasaki T, Meyerhardt JA, Loda M, Giovannucci EL, Fuchs CS (2009b) CpG island methylator phenotype, microsatellite instability, BRAF mutation and clinical outcome in colon cancer. *Gut* **58**(1): 90–96.
- Oliner K, Juan T, Suggs S, Wolf M, Sarosi I, Freeman DJ, Gyuris T, Baron W, Bakker A, Parker A, Patterson SD (2010) A comparability study of 5 commercial KRAS tests. *Diagnostic pathol* **5**: 23.
- Richman SD, Seymour MT, Chambers P, Elliott F, Daly CL, Meade AM, Taylor G, Barrett JH, Quirke P (2009) KRAS and BRAF mutations in advanced colorectal cancer are associated with poor prognosis but do not preclude benefit from oxaliplatin or irinotecan: results from the MRC FOCUS trial. *J Clin Oncol* **27**(35): 5931–5937.
- Roth AD, Tejpar S, Delorenzi M, Yan P, Fiocca R, Klingbiel D, Dietrich D, Biesmans B, Bodoky G, Barone C, Aranda E, Nordlinger B, Cisar L, Labianca R, Cunningham D, Van Cutsem E, Bosman F (2010) Prognostic role of KRAS and BRAF in stage II and III resected colon cancer: results of the translational study on the PETACC-3, EORTC 40993, SAKK 60-00 trial. *J Clin Oncol* **28**(3): 466–474.
- Samowitz WS, Curtin K, Schaffer D, Robertson M, Leppert M, Slattery ML (2000) Relationship of Ki-ras mutations in colon cancers to tumour location, stage, and survival: a population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers* **9**(11): 1193–1197.
- Shia J (2008) Immunohistochemistry versus microsatellite instability testing for screening colorectal cancer patients at risk for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome. Part I. The utility of immunohistochemistry. *J Mol Diagn* **10**(4): 293–300.
- Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, Wood AM, Carpenter JR (2009) Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *BMJ* **338**: b2393.
- Therneau TM, Grambsch PM (2000) *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*. Springer: New York, NY, USA.
- Wang C, van Rijnsoever M, Grief F, Bydder S, Elsaleh H, Joseph D, Harvey J, Iacopetta B (2003) Prognostic significance of microsatellite instability and Ki-ras mutation type in stage II colorectal cancer. *Oncology* **64**(3): 259–265.
- World Health Organization (2000) *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology*. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization (2007) *International Classification of Diseases*. WHO: Geneva, Switzerland.
- Yamauchi M, Morikawa T, Kuchiba A, Imamura Y, Qian ZR, Nishihara R, Liao X, Waldron L, Hoshida Y, Huttenhower C, Chan AT, Giovannucci E, Fuchs C, Ogino S (2012) Assessment of colorectal cancer molecular features along bowel subsites challenges the conception of distinct dichotomy of proximal versus distal colorectum. *Gut* **61**(6): 847–854.

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on British Journal of Cancer website (<http://www.nature.com/bjc>)