RESEARCH ARTICLE

Filament formation by the translation factor eIF2B regulates protein synthesis in starved cells

Elisabeth Nüske¹, Guendalina Marini¹, Doris Richter², Weihua Leng¹, Aliona Bogdanova¹, Titus M. Franzmann², Gaia Pigino¹ and Simon Alberti^{1,2,*}

ABSTRACT

Cells exposed to starvation have to adjust their metabolism to conserve energy and protect themselves. Protein synthesis is one of the major energy-consuming processes and as such has to be tightly controlled. Many mechanistic details about how starved cells regulate the process of protein synthesis are still unknown. Here, we report that the essential translation initiation factor eIF2B forms filaments in starved budding yeast cells. We demonstrate that filamentation is triggered by starvation-induced acidification of the cytosol, which is caused by an influx of protons from the extracellular environment. We show that filament assembly by eIF2B is necessary for rapid and efficient downregulation of translation. Importantly, this mechanism does not require the kinase Gcn2. Furthermore, analysis of sitespecific variants suggests that eIF2B assembly results in enzymatically inactive filaments that promote stress survival and fast recovery of cells from starvation. We propose that translation regulation through filament assembly is an efficient mechanism that allows yeast cells to adapt to fluctuating environments.

KEY WORDS: Budding yeast, Protein assembly, Regulation of translation, Starvation, Stress response

INTRODUCTION

The ability of cells to effectively respond to stressful conditions is fundamental for their survival. Single-celled organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are frequently exposed to unfavorable environmental conditions such as starvation. Adaptation to stress conditions requires alterations in metabolism as well as the production of cytoprotective factors, such as molecular chaperones. One recently proposed survival strategy involves the formation of large protein assemblies. These assemblies are thought to protect proteins from damage (Franzmann and Alberti, 2019; Franzmann et al., 2018), store proteins for later use (Franzmann et al., 2018; Laporte et al., 2008; Petrovska et al., 2014; Sagot et al., 2006) or downregulate protein activity (Petrovska et al., 2014; Riback et al., 2017).

Glucose starvation induces re-localization of many cytoplasmic proteins into assemblies (Narayanaswamy et al., 2009; Noree et al.,

*Author for correspondence (simon.alberti@tu-dresden.de)

D G.P., 0000-0002-2295-9568; S.A., 0000-0003-4017-6505

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 2 March 2020; Accepted 26 May 2020

2010). For unknown reasons, many of these assemblies adopt a highly regular filamentous structure. In the case of the two metabolic enzymes CTP synthase (CtpS) and glutamine synthetase (Gln1), filament formation has been shown to regulate enzymatic activity (Noree et al., 2014; Petrovska et al., 2014). However, the assembly mechanism and the function of most of these stress-induced filamentous assemblies remain unclear.

A major class of proteins that coalesce into cytoplasmic assemblies in starved cells are translation factors (Brengues and Parker, 2007; Franzmann et al., 2018; Hoyle et al., 2007; Noree et al., 2010). Protein synthesis is a cellular process that consumes a large amount of energy in growing cells. In fact, it has been estimated that this process can account for up to 50% of ATP consumption in eukaryotic cells (Hand and Hardewig, 1996). Thus, when energy is limited, for example upon glucose starvation or entry into stationary phase, cells must downregulate translation to conserve energy and promote survival. Formation of cytoplasmic assemblies from translation factors in starved cells could be an adaptive strategy to regulate protein synthesis.

The process of protein synthesis is divided into three stages initiation, elongation and termination - that all depend on a specific set of translation factors. Regulation of translation often occurs at the level of translation initiation. For example, during amino acid starvation both the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2) and its nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) eIF2B are targeted by signaling pathways that regulate their activity (Pavitt, 2005). eIF2 mediates the first step of translation initiation, where it binds the initiator methionyl-tRNA and forms a ternary complex that is involved in recognizing the start codon (Dever et al., 1995). Formation of this ternary complex only occurs when eIF2 is in its active GTP-bound state (Walton and Gill, 1975). eIF2-bound GTP is subsequently hydrolyzed to GDP at the ribosome and the active GTP-bound form of eIF2 is restored through a nucleotide exchange reaction that is mediated by eIF2B.

eIF2B is a decameric protein complex that consists of two heteropentamers. The protein subunits Gcd1 and Gcd6 form a catalytic subcomplex while Gcd2, Gcn3 and Gcd7 are components of a regulatory subcomplex. The eIF2B-catalyzed reaction is the rate-limiting step of translation initiation in stressed cells (reviewed in Pavitt, 2005). Under stress conditions, eIF2/eIF2B activity is regulated by post-translational modifications. In budding yeast, the kinase Gcn2 is the key player in this process. Gcn2 phosphorylates eIF2 and thus enhances the affinity of the initiation factor to its binding partner eIF2B. The tight binding of both initiation factors causes inhibition of the nucleotide exchange reaction and ultimately translational arrest (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2001). This reaction takes place in a variety of different stresses, such as amino acid starvation (Hinnebusch and Fink, 1983, reviewed in Simpson and Ashe, 2012). Importantly, however, translational arrest during glucose starvation does not depend on Gcn2 (Ashe et al., 2000).



¹Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Pfotenhauerstrasse 108, 01307 Dresden, Germany. ²Department of Cellular Biochemistry Biotechnology Center (BIOTEC), Center for Molecular and Cellular Bioengineering (CMCB), Technische Universität Dresden, Tatzberg 47/49, 01307 Dresden, Germany.

Thus, alternative mechanisms must be in place to shut down translation during starvation, but these mechanisms have so far remained elusive.

Here, we show that the translation initiation factor eIF2B is diffusely distributed in exponentially growing yeast but re-localizes upon starvation, energy depletion and alcohol stress into multiple small assemblies that subsequently mature into filaments. We show that the trigger for filament formation is a stress-induced acidification of the cytosol and that filament assembly correlates with rapid and efficient downregulation of translation. Importantly, this mechanism is independent of the canonical stress-signaling pathway mediated by the kinase Gcn2. We propose that eIF2B assembly into enzymatically inactive filaments is a protein-autonomous mechanism that allows yeast cells to rapidly adjust their protein synthesis rates to starvation conditions.

RESULTS

eIF2B assembly formation is a response to starvation

The two essential translation initiation factors eIF2 and its GEF eIF2B can form mixed filamentous structures in budding yeast, but the mechanism of filament formation as well as the function has remained unclear (Campbell et al., 2005; Noree et al., 2010; Petrovska et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2010). We hypothesized that filament formation by eIF2B could be an adaptation to energy depletion stress, because many proteins have been found to specifically form assemblies in starved cells or cells that enter into stationary phase (Franzmann et al., 2018; Munder et al., 2016; Petrovska et al., 2014; Rizzolo et al., 2017).

To investigate eIF2B filament formation in yeast cells, we employed live-cell fluorescence microscopy on cells expressing fluorescently labelled Gcn3 – the non-essential α -subunit of eIF2B. To prevent tagging artifacts, a monomeric variant of superfolder GFP (sfGFP) was employed. Exponentially growing cells expressing Gcn3-sfGFP displayed no filaments: instead, the protein was evenly and diffusely distributed throughout the cytoplasm. However, in stationary phase, the natural form of nutrient scarcity, about 57% of the cells displayed filaments (Fig. 1A). To investigate whether a lack of energy, which is characteristic for stationary phase cells, is causing filament formation, we subjected Gcn3-sfGFP expressing cells to two other forms of energy depletion: a milder form of energy depletion where we removed glucose from the medium and a more severe form of energy depletion where we additionally blocked glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration with chemicals (Dechant et al., 2010). These treatments induced eIF2B filamentation in 8% and 86% of the cells, respectively (Fig. 1B; Movie 1). This suggests that filament assembly is a response to low energy levels and that the extent of filamentation depends on the magnitude of energy stress applied.

Because eIF2B assemblies have also been described in unstressed and exponentially growing cells (Campbell et al., 2005), we tested whether the discrepancy with our data could be explained by the used fluorescent tag. Campbell et al. used a version of EGFP that is known to dimerize and reported that the abundance of eIF2B filaments depends on which subunit has been tagged EGFP tag. To investigate whether the position of the fluorophore influences the result, we independently tagged all five eIF2B subunits with sfGFP as well as one of the eIF2 subunits (Sui2). However, in all cases filaments formed exclusively in energy-depleted cells and not in growing cells (Fig. S1A). Moreover, tagging of Gcn3 with mCherry as well as with HA, a short epitope tag, produced identical results (Fig. S1B,C). Taken together, these findings show that our fluorescent tag neither prevents nor promotes assembly formation and that tagged Gcn3 indicates the localization of the whole eIF2B complex. Most importantly, these data demonstrate that eIF2B filaments form only in response to starvation but not in non-stressed cells.

eIF2B assembly formation is not a result of protein misfolding and aggregation

Environmental stress challenges cellular proteostasis and often coincides with protein aggregation (Richter et al., 2010). This opens the possibility that eIF2B filaments are structures of misfolded and aggregated protein. To investigate this, we induced eIF2B filament formation by depleting cells of energy for 1 h and then added back nutrients and imaged the cells during the recovery phase. We found that pre-formed assemblies dissolved within less than 10 min after release from stress (Fig. 1C; Movie 2). Such short dissolution times are not indicative of misfolded and aggregated protein. Furthermore, the localization and disassembly kinetics were unaffected in the absence of the molecular protein disaggregase Hsp104, which is essential for recovery from stress (Sanchez et al., 1992), suggesting that the filaments are not a result of misfolding and aggregation (Fig. S1D). In agreement with this, eIF2B assemblies were also sensitive to detergents such as sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Fig. S1E) and hence are not amyloid-like aggregates (Alberti et al., 2010). Finally, the filaments formed under starvation conditions contained all five subunits of eIF2B (Noree et al., 2010 and data not shown), further arguing against the idea that the filaments are protein aggregates. Altogether, these data show that eIF2B filaments are not protein aggregates, but protein assemblies that rapidly form and dissolve in response to starvation.

eIF2B assembly involves bundling into filaments

We noticed in our fluorescence microscopy experiments that eIF2B not only formed filaments, but also multiple smaller assemblies in response to different starvation conditions. Live-cell time-lapse microscopy revealed that these assemblies form earlier than the filaments, suggesting that they are precursors of the larger filamentous structure (Movie 1). To further investigate this, we performed structured illumination microscopy (SIM) on cells that had been treated by energy depletion and were fixed at different time points after the onset of stress. This experiment revealed that multiple small round and comma-shaped assemblies had already formed after 5 min of energy depletion (Fig. 1D). Shorter filaments were observed at later time points (10 min) and increased in length and intensity over time (20 min and 2 h; Fig. 1D), while the number of small assemblies decreased. This temporal pattern of assembly suggests that large filaments are in fact bundles of smaller filamentous structures. Similar bundles of filaments have previously been described for the metabolic enzyme Gln1 (Petrovska et al., 2014).

We further investigated the filament bundles of eIF2B by utilizing correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) on energydepleted cells expressing Gcn3-sfGFP. CLEM analysis revealed a regular pattern of several electron dense lines in the electron micrograph, which colocalized with the fluorescent signal of the eIF2B filaments and occupied a ribosome-free space (Fig. 1E). We therefore conclude that eIF2B assemblies are bundles of individual filaments, which comprise most of the initially diffuse protein.

eIF2B assembly is caused by starvation-induced cytosolic acidification

Our results so far show that the essential translation factor eIF2B forms filaments as a response to starvation and energy depletion. Moreover, filament formation is not the result of protein misfolding and aggregation but may be a physiological response to energy depletion stress. This raises questions about the cellular events regulating filament formation.





Starvation has previously been shown to be accompanied by a drop in cytosolic pH (pHc) and an increase in intracellular crowding (Joyner et al., 2016; Munder et al., 2016; Orij et al., 2009). Both parameters have strong effects on protein solubility and they are

known driving forces for the formation of assemblies in starved cells. One striking example is provided by the metabolic enzyme Gln1, which forms filaments in a pH- and crowding-dependent manner (Petrovska et al., 2014). To test whether a reduced pHc is

Biology Open

Fig. 1. elF2B filament formation is a starvation response. (A) Live-cell fluorescence microscopy of S. cerevisiae expressing Gcn3-sfGFP(V206R) in log phase and after 3 days of growth in SC medium (Stationary). Note that log phase cells do not show filaments. Arrows point at filaments. The percentage of cells with filaments is shown at the lower left corner of each panel (n>100). Scale bar: 5 µm. (B) Gcn3-sfGFP(V206R) localization after 30 min of glucose depletion (-Glucose) and after 30 min of energy depletion (ED) with 20 mM 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) and 10 μM antimycin (AM). Scale bar: 5 µm. n>100 cells. (C) Fraction of cells with Gcn3-sfGFP(V206R) filaments during different growth conditions, as quantified from live-cell fluorescence microscopy in a CellASICS microfluidic chamber. Cells grown to log phase in SC medium with 2% glucose (0 min), during ED (20 min and 60 min) and after recovery (80 min) are shown. The arrow indicates duration of ED (red) and recovery (black). Please note that the cells in Fig. 2B and C were grown under different conditions (culture flask versus microfluidic chamber, leading to different values in the fraction assembled). (D) Structured illumination microscopy of Gcn3-sfGFP(V206R) expressing log phase cells during the indicated times of energy depletion. Scale bar: 5 µm. (E) Ultrastructure of eIF2B filaments as found in energy depleted cells by correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM). Left: electron micrograph of one representative cell overlaid with DAPI signal (blue fiducials) and GFP signal (green - eIF2B filament). Right: close up of electron dense region corresponding to GFP signal. Scale bar: 1 $\mu m.$

also required for eIF2B assembly formation, we determined if there is a temporal correlation between pH changes and eIF2B localization. Using time-dependent flow-cytometry analysis of energy-depleted cells expressing the pH-sensitive GFP variant pHluorin2 (Mahon, 2011), we observed that the pHc, which we found to be around 7.6 in exponentially growing cells, dropped almost immediately after stress onset (Fig. S2A). After only 3 min, the pHc adopted values around 6. This time frame is comparable to the onset of eIF2B assembly formation (Movie 1) and suggests that eIF2B assembly and pH changes are linked.

To test whether the observed pHc reduction is necessary for assembly formation, we determined if eIF2B forms assemblies in energy-depleted cells exposed to neutral pH medium (a neutral pH of the medium prevents acidification of the cytoplasm, see Munder et al., 2016). This treatment completely prevented eIF2B assembly, while energy-depleted control cells in acidic medium still contained filaments (Fig. 2A). To determine whether the reduced pHc is sufficient to induce filament formation, we altered the pHc directly by treating cells with a membrane-permeable protonophore in the presence of glucose. Filament formation was observed only in cells exposed to a low pH but not in cells exposed to a neutral pH (Fig. 2B). These data show that cytosolic acidification is sufficient and required for filament formation by eIF2B.

Our findings so far show that eIF2B assembly is induced by cytosolic acidification. This suggests that eIF2B filaments could also form in response to other stress conditions that are known to alter the pHc. Interestingly, eIF2B assemblies have previously been described in cells treated with fusel alcohols such as 1-butanol (Taylor et al., 2010). Alcohol stress is known to alter the properties of the plasma membrane, thus making it more permeable to ions (Ingram, 1986). We therefore hypothesized that the effect of fusel alcohols on eIF2B may be mediated by an increased membrane permeability to protons and a subsequent acidification of the cytosol. To test this hypothesis, we determined whether our Gcn3sfGFP strain forms filaments upon treatment with butanol. Indeed, cells treated with 2% butanol rapidly formed filaments (Fig. 2C) and this treatment also led to a rapid drop in the pHc as measured with pHluorin2. The pHc quickly reversed to neutral values upon removal of butanol (Fig. S2B) and at the same time filaments disassembled (data not shown). Importantly, filament formation was only observed in cells that were maintained in acidic medium,

but not in cells maintained in neutral medium (Fig. 2C). Based on these findings we conclude that filament formation by eIF2B is not limited to starvation, but rather takes place under conditions that induce a lower pHc. These data support the hypothesis that pHc changes may be a general signal for cellular stress, as previously proposed (Munder et al., 2016).

Is pH the only necessary factor to induce assembly formation by eIF2B? To test this, we purified eIF2B from insect cells and tested for the formation of assemblies *in vitro*. At a protein concentration of 0.1 μ M, small assemblies formed at pH values of 6.25 and below (Fig. 2D). These assemblies were reminiscent of assemblies seen at early time points during the exposure of cells to energy depletion conditions (Fig. 1D). For unknown reasons, however, we did not observe larger filamentous structures. Thus, we speculate that bundling into larger filaments is dependent on additional factors that are missing from our *in vitro* reconstitution assay.

Because previous studies have demonstrated that crowding conditions affect the formation of various assemblies (Petrovska et al., 2014; Woodruff et al., 2017), we next tested the role of a polymeric crowder on eIF2B assembly. Indeed, assembly formation was promoted when we included 5% w/v ficoll in our in vitro assembly reaction (Fig. 2D). In the presence of a crowding agent, assemblies already formed at pH 6.5 as opposed to a pH 6.25 in the absence of crowder. In agreement with this, a related study found that glucose starvation and energy depletion also increase molecular crowding conditions inside cells (Marini et al., 2020). To investigate whether changes in crowding conditions alone are sufficient to induce eIF2B assembly in cells, we exposed cells to different osmotic stress conditions. However, treatment with 1 M sorbitol or 0.6 M NaCl did not induce eIF2B assembly (Fig. S2C). This indicates that pH changes are obligatory for assembly formation and that increased molecular crowding as it occurs during starvation, is not sufficient but may further enhance the effect of low pHc.

eIF2B filament formation is necessary for efficient regulation of translation

Protein synthesis is the most energy-demanding processes in the cell and is downregulated when cellular energy supply becomes limiting (Hand and Hardewig, 1996). We hypothesized that filament formation may be a cellular strategy to silence eIF2B activity and thus promote translational arrest during starvation. This makes the prediction that conditions that induce eIF2B assembly should also lead to translational arrest. Indeed, inhibition of translation initiation has previously been observed during glucose starvation and fusel alcohol stress (Ashe et al., 2000; 2001), conditions that also induce filament formation by eIF2B (Figs 1B and 2C). To investigate whether energy depletion also causes translational arrest, we performed polysome profiling analysis and a microscopy-based assay to measure translational activity in cells. For the latter, the methionine analogue homopropargylglycine (HPG) and a clickchemistry based approach were employed to determine the level of newly synthesized polypeptides. Indeed, energy depletion led to a rapid shutdown of translation and caused the disassembly of polysomes into monosomes (Fig. 3A,B). Furthermore, ionophoreinduced reduction of pHc caused a near-complete polysome runoff (Fig. S3A). These data demonstrate that conditions that induce the formation of eIF2B filaments also cause translational arrest.

Interestingly, when determining the translation activity of stationary phase cells using the microscopy-based assay above, we noticed a large variation of translational activity from cell to cell. In agreement with this observation, we previously found that only \sim 57% of stationary phase cells had visible filaments (Fig. 1A).



Fig. 2. Cytosolic acidification triggers elF2B filament formation. (A) Live-cell imaging of Gcn3-sfGFP(V206R) filament formation after 20 min of ED at pH 5.5 (upper panel) or pH 7.0 (lower panel). The percentage of cells with filaments is shown in the lower left corner of each panel. Note that no filaments form during ED at neutral pH. Scale bar: 5 µm. *n*>100 cells. (B) Imaging of cells after 20 min of treatment with DNP buffer with 2% glucose at pH 5.5 (upper panel) and pH 7.0 (lower panel). Scale bar: 5 µm. *n*>100 cells. (C) Imaging of cells after 20 min of treatment with 2% 1-butanol at pH 5.5 (upper panel) and pH 7.0 (lower panel). Note that no filaments form at neutral pH and that the cytosolic pH does not drop to values as low as under energy depletion conditions under these conditions, explaining the lower fraction assembled compared to energy-depleted cells. Scale bar: 5 µm. *n*>100 cells. (D) Fluorescence microscopy of purified elF2B after 10 min *in vitro* reconstitution. elF2B-Alexa488 was mixed 1:20 with unlabeled elF2B. Upper panels: The protein mix was incubated in pH 7.0, 6.5, 6.25, 6.0 (left to right) buffer at a final protein concentration of 0.1 µM. Lower panels: Same pH conditions as above but 5% ficoll was added. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Thus, we hypothesized that the translational activity of these cells depends on the extent of eIF2B filament formation. To test this, we grouped the stationary phase cells into cells with and without filaments and determined their translation activity. We found that cells with filaments showed significantly lower translation activity than cells without filaments (Fig. 3C). These data indicate that

filament formation and translation activity are correlated and suggest that filament formation may be required for the downregulation of translation.

Translational control on the level of eIF2B can be mediated by the kinase Gcn2. Stress conditions such as amino acid starvation trigger activation of Gcn2, which in turn phosphorylates eIF2, thus



Fig. 3. eIF2B filament formation facilitates downregulation of translation. (A) Polysome profiles of lysates from log phase cells (left) and after 10 min of energy depletion (right). Peaks corresponding to polysomes and to ribosomal subunits are indicated. Representative profiles from at least three independent experiments are shown. (B) Translational activity as measured by HPG translation assay. The translational activity per cell (mean intensity of the HPG signal) is plotted before and after 10 min of ED. Note that translation is largely repressed within 10 min. (C) Normalized translational activity of cells expressing Gcn3-sfGFP grown into stationary phase. Cells were grouped according to the localization of eIF2B. The translational activity of cells containing assemblies is shown on the right and the activity in cells with diffuse protein is shown on the left. Note that cells with assemblies exhibit significantly lower translational activity. *** equals *P*<0.005. (D) Fluorescence microscopy of wild-type Gcn3-sfGFP (WT) in comparison to Gcn3(T41K)-sfGFP (T41K) and Gcn3R148K-sfGFP (R148K) in log phase cells (upper panel) and after 10 min ED (lower panel). Percentage of cells with filaments is shown. Note that T41K shows fewer filaments and that filament formation is impaired in R148 K. Scale bar: 5 μm. *n*>100 cells. The arrows point to filaments formed under the given conditions. (E) Normalized translational activity in WT cells and mutant cells under log phase conditions (left) and after 10 min of ED (right). Note that mutant cells continue translation longer during energy depletion. Values normalized to the average WT value from at least three independent experiments and >250 cells per condition are shown. *** equals *P*<0.005.

enhancing its binding to eIF2B and, ultimately, inhibiting nucleotide exchange (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2001). To test if eIF2B filament formation and downregulation of translation under energy depletion conditions also depend on Gcn2, we monitored eIF2B localization before and after energy depletion in a Gcn2 deletion strain. eIF2B assembly was unaltered in the absence of Gcn2, indicating that filament assembly does not depend on eIF2 phosphorylation (Fig. S3B). Furthermore, the polysome runoff in energy-depleted cells lacking Gcn2 was indistinguishable from the ones observed with wild-type cells (data not shown). These findings are in line with previous work showing that arrest of translation in response to glucose starvation is independent of Gcn2 (Ashe et al., 2000; Krishnamoorthy et al., 2001). These data suggest that the eIF2B assembly could be causative for the fast shutdown of translation during energy depletion.

To determine the function of filament formation, we created sitespecific eIF2B variants, which exhibit impaired filament formation. These variants are based on a study by Taylor et al. who identified point mutations in Gcn3 (T41K and R148K) that decrease assembly formation of eIF2B (Taylor et al., 2010). We found that both variants formed less filaments during energy depletion (Fig. 3D). The same trend was observed upon reduction of pHc to 6 (Fig. S3C). While T41K was still able to assemble into filaments in some cells, no filamentation was observed in the R148K mutant (Fig. 3D). Importantly, the mutations did not affect the protein expression level (Fig. S3D).

We next tested whether a reduced ability to form filaments affects starvation-induced translational arrest. To this end, we determined the level of newly synthesized polypeptides within the first 10 min of energy depletion (Fig. 3E). These experiments revealed that both mutant strains continued translation longer than wild type, suggesting that filament formation promotes downregulation of translation. Furthermore, polysome profiling analysis showed faster polysome runoff in wild-type cells in comparison to both variants after 10 min of energy depletion (Fig. S3E). Taken together these data support the hypothesis that filament formation enables translation regulation upon stress by silencing eIF2B.

eIF2B filament formation is essential for recovery and survival after starvation

Does the inability to form eIF2B filaments affect recovery from starvation stress? If eIF2B filament formation is a cellular strategy to downregulate translation, cells that cannot form filaments may exhibit disadvantages during starvation. To test this, we first determined translation activity during recovery from energy starvation using our microscopy-based assay that measures the incorporation of a methionine analog into newly synthesized proteins. We found that the two filamentation mutants showed defects in translation recovery. Thirty minutes after recovery from energy depletion, the translation level in R148K cells was nearly unchanged and T41K cells had recovered significantly less than wild-type cells (Fig. 4A). These data suggest that efficient downregulation of translation via filament formation could also be important for re-starting translation after release from stress and re-growth.

Next, we tested how fast cell growth recovered from stationary phase or energy depletion. Both mutant strains exhibited a significantly longer lag phase compared to wild type and thus recovery from energy starvation was slower (Fig. 4B bottom; Fig. S4A). Importantly, all strains showed near-identical growth under non-stress control conditions (Fig. 4B, top). To test if filament formation promotes cell survival during starvation, we grew cells into late stationary phase and tested their survival using a standard growth assay. The survival assay revealed that T41K and R148K cells died faster than wild-type cells (Fig. 4C). These findings agree with the hypothesis that translation regulation via eIF2B filament formation is important for cellular adaptation to starvation and suggest that the process is important for cell survival.

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we show that the essential translation initiation factor eIF2B forms filaments upon a starvation-induced drop in cytosolic pH (Fig. 4D). Acidification of the cytosol is triggered by low energy levels and accompanied by a reduction of the cytoplasmic volume, which further increases the propensity of eIF2B to form filaments. Importantly, filament formation appears to inactivate eIF2B and leads to translational arrest (Fig. 4D). This translational arrest is required for cell survival under starvation conditions and promotes recovery from stress when cells are replenished with nutrients. Thus, eIF2B belongs to a growing group of translation factors that form adaptive assemblies under stress conditions (Franzmann et al., 2018; Munder et al., 2016; Petrovska et al., 2014; Riback et al., 2017; Rizzolo et al., 2017; Saad et al., 2017).

Numerous studies have shown that the kinase Gcn2 regulates translation through eIF2 phosphorylation, which enhances binding of eIF2 to eIF2B, thus inhibiting nucleotide exchange (Hinnebusch, 1993, 2005; Lindahl and Hinnebusch, 1992). Regulation of translation by Gcn2 has been demonstrated for diverse stresses such as heat stress or amino acid starvation (Farny et al., 2009; Mazroui et al., 2007; Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016). Surprisingly, however, we find that Gcn2 is not required for translational arrest under conditions in which cells are depleted of ATP. Similar findings were previously made for glucose-depleted yeast cells (Ashe et al., 2000). This raises an important question: why do energy-depleted cells use a different mechanism for translational arrest?

We speculate that this may have to do with the fact that eIF2B filamentation does not require energy in the form of ATP. Filament formation is induced by cytosolic acidification and occurs spontaneously in cells that are exposed to starvation conditions. By contrast, phosphorylation is an energetically costly process and the level of ATP is limited under starvation conditions. Additionally, to restore normal translation rates in the recovery phase, the phosphate has to be removed from eIF2. In the case of translation regulation by filament formation, the filaments have to be disassembled when the stress subsides. This is achieved by removing protons from the cytosol to re-establish a neutral cytosolic pH. This means that energy is only consumed in the stress recovery phase when cells have enough nutrients to generate ATP. Thus, energy conservation may be one of the reasons why eIF2B and many other proteins form higher-order assemblies in cells that are exposed to starvation conditions.

We find that filament formation by eIF2B is directly regulated by changes in cytosolic pH. Acidification of the cytosol is induced by removing nutrients such as glucose from the medium or by blocking glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration with drugs. All these conditions lower the cellular level of ATP and thus impair the removal of protons from the cytosol through ATP-dependent transporters and pumps (Dechant et al., 2010; Munder et al., 2016; Orij et al., 2009). Importantly, we find that there are other conditions besides starvation that acidify the cytosol. For example, exposure of yeast cells to fusel alcohols such as butanol induces a rapid decrease in cytosolic pH (Fig. S2B). We speculate that fusel alcohols change the permeability of the plasma membrane and thus cause a sudden influx of protons from the extracellular environment. There may be additional stresses that change the permeability of membranes and



Biology Open

Fig. 4. Filament formation is essential for recovery from and survival of starvation. (A) Translational activity of cells expressing Gcn3, Gcn3(T41K) or Gcn3(R148K) after 20 min of recovery from 2 h of energy depletion (ED Recovery) as measured by HPG translation assay. Note that wild-type cells show the highest level of translation after stress release. (B) Growth curves of WT and mutant (T41K, R148K) strains diluted in SC medium to OD 0.05 after growth into log phase (upper panel) or stationary phase (lower panel). Growth was determined by measuring the optical density at 595 nm. Plots were generated from triplicates of three different biological replicates and the experiment was carried out at least three times. (C) Spotting of fivefold serial dilutions of wild-type (WT), T41K (T) and R148K (R) cells grown into log phase (left) and after growth into stationary phase (right). (D) Model for the molecular mechanism of starvation-induced filament formation of eIF2B and its impact on translation. The upper part of the cell represents the control situation, where the cytosolic pH is neutral and eIF2B is diffuse. Under these conditions the protein complex catalyzes the exchange of guanine nucleotide for eIF2 which is essential for translation initiation. During starvation (lower part of the cell) the cytosolic pH is reduced to about 6, which triggers formation of eIF2B assemblies. Assembly formation silences eIF2B activity thus causing translation repression and possibly protection of the protein from aggregation.

thus induce changes in cytosolic pH. For example, heat shock has been reported to cause a drop in cytosolic pH (Weitzel et al., 1985, 1987). This suggests that changes in cytosolic pH could be a more general signal to mount adaptive stress responses.

One previous study investigated the formation of filaments by eIF2B. The authors of this study proposed that filament formation increases the nucleotide exchange rate of eIF2B (Campbell et al., 2005). This was based on the observation that exponentially growing cells harbored eIF2B filaments. However, we could not detect eIF2B filaments in growing cells but found filaments exclusively in cells exposed to starvation conditions. This suggests that filament formation is a specific adaptation to conditions in which energy levels are low. Cells with low energy levels have to reduce their rate of translation, which can rapidly and most efficiently be achieved by decreasing eIF2B activity. In agreement with this, our data suggest that filament formation causes eIF2B inactivation. We attribute these discrepancies to the fact that different tags were used to visualize eIF2B. Indeed, Campbell et al. (2005) used a variant of GFP that is known to form weak dimers and this could have promoted the formation of filaments in exponentially growing cells through avidity effects (Landgraf et al., 2012).

Our data and those from other groups suggest that filament formation may occur via protomer stacking. We found that mutations in Gcn3 and Gcd1 drastically altered eIF2B filament formation, which indicates that these subunits are involved in stacking. This hypothesis is further supported by (i) the crystal structure of eIF2B from Schizosaccharomyces pombe, which shows that eIF2B dimerizes via its alpha subunit (Gcn3) (Kashiwagi et al., 2016), (ii) work on human eIF2B and the regulatory subcomplex of S. cerevisiae eIF2B where a similar interaction of eIF2B- α was proposed (Bogorad et al., 2014; Wortham et al., 2014), (iii) a study by Gordiyenko et al. who proposed dimerization of S. cerevisiae eIF2B pentamers via Gcd1 and Gcd6 - the catalytical subcomplex (Gordiyenko et al., 2014), and (iv) data from Marini et al., who performed a comparison between the Cryo-EM structure of the eIF2B decamer and the in situ tomographic reconstruction of the eIF2B filament, which suggests a decamer-to-decamer interaction through the Gcd6 subunit (Marini et al., 2020). Altogether, this suggests that eIF2B stacking occurs via dimerization of the regulatory subcomplex on one side and the catalytic subcomplex on the other side. Our data and that of Marini et al. (2020) also reveal that eIF2B filaments are bundles of smaller filaments, which associate via lateral interactions. Such a mechanism has been described earlier for Gln1 filament formation (Petrovska

et al., 2014) and may thus be of general relevance for other filamentforming proteins.

Our data with assembly-deficient eIF2B variants suggest that the nucleotide exchange activity of eIF2B is decreased by filament formation (Fig. 3E). Currently, we do not know why filament formation leads to inactivation of eIF2B. We favor the view that eIF2B in its assembled state cannot undergo conformational changes that are required to promote nucleotide exchange on eIF2. Indeed, guanine nucleotide exchange involves substantial structural rearrangements of eIF2 and eIF2B (Bogorad et al., 2017). Assembly of eIF2B into one large filament bundle likely prevents nucleotide exchange for another reason: the binding and release of eIF2 can no longer occur inside the bundle because of steric constraints. More detailed insight into the mechanism will require high-resolution structures of assembled and unassembled eIF2B-eIF2 complexes. Unfortunately, we have not been able to perform direct measurements of the activity of assembled eIF2B, because we did not succeed in reconstituting filaments in vitro. Thus, future efforts should be directed towards finding conditions that allow the reconstitution of eIF2B filaments.

In growing cells, the intracellular pH is in the neutral range and thus eIF2B is fully active and translation occurs at a maximal rate. In response to starvation, lack of energy causes cytosolic acidification and assembly formation by eIF2B. This reduces the amount of active eIF2B and thus translation will increasingly come to a standstill. However, even starved cells need to keep a basal level of translation to maintain vital stress-protective processes. In agreement with this, we noticed that in starved cells not all the eIF2B signal is contained in filaments but that there is always a residual diffuse fluorescent signal. Interestingly, we also observed differences in the amount of assembled and unassembled eIF2B among cells. This may reflect the different abilities of cells to maintain a more neutral pH. The fine-tuning of filament formation via the cytosolic pH may give a population of yeast cells flexibility in responding to environmental changes. Thus, we speculate that eIF2B filament formation is a tunable system where the amount of assembled eIF2B is directly correlated with the cytosolic pH and thus the ability of a cell to keep its energy levels high.

We find that yeast cells that cannot form filaments have specific defects in stress survival and recovery from stress. In agreement with this, cells that cannot form filaments show an impairment in shutting down translation. Starved yeast cells that continue to synthesize proteins at near-normal rates will presumably waste a lot of ATP and this could lead to a severe depletion of energy that eventually kills the cells. However, the extent of filament formation (Fig. 3D) did not always show a clear correlation with the effects observed in our functional assays (Figs 3E and 4A,B,D). Some of these divergent effects may be caused by different filament formation kinetics or smaller assemblies that cannot be resolved with conventional microscopy. Moreover, one can imagine that filaments have other functions than the ones described here. For example, it is also possible that filament formation protects eIF2B from damage. This has previously been shown for the translation termination factor Sup35, which forms protective gels in cells exposed to energy depletion stress (Franzmann et al., 2018). Another possibility is that filament formation protects eIF2B from degradation and autophagy. Filaments may be spared from bulk autophagy, thus ensuring that a certain amount of eIF2B is available for restart of the cell cycle. The investigation of such alternative functions will require more work in the future.

In summary, we uncover a new potential mechanism of translation regulation in energy-starved cells. In the future, it will be interesting to investigate how pervasive this mechanism is. We suspect that organisms that live in fluctuating environments make widespread use of pH changes to regulate the material properties of their cytoplasm and form stress-adaptive assemblies that inactivate, protect and store proteins for later use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast growth and strain generation

All yeast experiments were carried out in the genetic background of *S. cerevisiae* W303 *ADE*+ (*leu2-3112*; *his3-11*, *-15*; *trp1-1*; *ura3-1*; *can1-100*; [*PIN*+]). A list of all strains and plasmids used in this study can be found in Table S1. Cells were grown in yeast peptone dextrose (YPD), synthetic complete (SC) or synthetic dropout (SD) medium at 30°C unless stated differently. Yeast transformation was done using a standard lithium acetate/single-stranded carrier DNA/polyethylene glycol method (Daniel Gietz and Woods, 2002).

C-terminal tagging with sfGFP, mCherry and HA was carried out as described earlier (Sheff and Thorn, 2004). Positive clones were determined by microscopy and verified by immunoblot analysis. Gene deletion was done according to the strategy described previously (Gueldener et al., 2002). Positive clones were determined by sequencing of DNA amplified from single colonies. Integration of codon-optimized pHluorin2 (Mahon, 2011) was done at the *trp* locus of W303 *ADE*+. Positive clones were identified via microscopy.

The filament formation mutant strains yMK16 and yMK54 described by Taylor et al. (2010) were tested positive for reduced filament formation during energy depletion. The genomic mutations in *GCN3* (T41K and R148K) and *GCD1* (P180S) from these strains were introduced into W303 *ADE*+ via the cloning free allele replacement strategy described by (Erdeniz et al., 1997). Positive clones were identified by sequencing of DNA amplified from single colonies. Because single point mutations in Gcn3 (T41K and R148K) alone caused a significant reduction of filament formation in the W303 *ADE*+ background we used, all following experiments were performed in the absence of the second mutation [Gcd1(P180S)].

Cell stress

All stress treatments were carried out on cells grown to mid-log phase. For energy depletion, *S. cerevisiae* cells were washed twice and then incubated in SC medium without glucose but containing 20 mM 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG; Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) for inhibition of glycolysis, and 10 mM antimycin A for inhibition of mitochondrial respiration (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). This treatment reduces intracellular ATP levels by more than 95% (Serrano, 1977). The intracellular pH of *S. cerevisiae* cells was adjusted by incubation in phosphate buffers of different pH in the presence of 2 mM 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) as described previously (Dechant et al., 2010; Munder et al., 2016; Petrovska et al., 2014). DNP buffers further contained 2% glucose. Stationary phase experiments were done by culturing cells for 2 days (starting from mid-log phase) in SC medium with 2% glucose at 30°C.

Light microscopy

Samples for wide-field microscopy were either imaged in concanavalin-Acoated four-well MatTek dishes or in a CellASIC (Millipore) microfluidics flow setup combined with CellASIC ONIX Y04C microfluidic plates (Millipore). General fluorescence microscopy images of living or fixed cells and time-lapse movies were acquired using a DeltaVision microscope system with softWoRx 4.1.2 software (Applied Precision). The system was based on an Olympus IX71 microscope, which was used with a 100×1.4 NA UPlanSApo oil immersion objective. The images were collected with a CoolSnap HQ2 camera (Photometrics) at 1024×1024 (or 512×512) pixel files using 1×1 (or 2×2) binning. Images were maximum intensity projections of at least 12 individual images.

Cell boundaries (indicated by the white outlines in the fluorescence microscopy images) were introduced into the fluorescence images by changing the contrast of a DIC image so that the cell boundaries became white and the cell body and background became black. We then overlaid this contrasted DIC image with the GFP image generating the merged images shown. In some cases (for example Fig. 2B) we noticed structures inside

cells or in the background that generated a white signal in the contrasted image and this would have interfered with the GFP signal in the merged image. In these cases, we removed these internal structures by hand to generate a black background and prevent interference (only the DIC image was manipulated not the GFP image).

SIM

Stressed and control cells were fixed for at least 30 min in 3.7% formaldehyde. Glass slides were coated with concanavalin A for cell attachment and cells were immersed in Vectashield mounting medium prior imaging. SIM was carried out with a DeltaVision OMX v4 BLAZE (Applied Precision) microscope on an inverted stand, a 4× sCMOS camera (Andor) and a 100×1.4 oil immersion UPlanSApochromat objective (Olympus). API softworx was used for driving the microscope and OMX SI reconstruction was carried out using Centos 4, the OMX SI reconstruction Linux box. A 488 nm DPSS laser and a 561 nm DPSS laser were used to image GFP and mCherry signal, respectively. At least five different Z-stacks (maximum Z-resolution) were recorded for each condition. Cell boundaries if shown (indicated by the white outline in fluorescence microscopy images) were drawn manually.

CLEM

CLEM of yeast cells was done essentially as described in (Petrovska et al., 2014). For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), yeast cells were grown to log phase, vacuum filtered, mixed with 20% BSA, high pressure frozen (EMPACT2, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and freeze-substituted with 0.1% uranyl acetate and 4% water in acetone at -90° C. Samples were transitioned into ethanol at -45° C, before infiltration into a Lowicryl HM-20 resin (Polysciences, Inc., Eppelheim, Germany), followed by UV polymerization at -25° C. Semi-thin (70, 100, 150 nm) sections were mounted on formvar-coated EM finder grids and stained for 3 min with lead citrate. Imaging was done in a Tecnai-12 biotwin TEM (FEI Company, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 100 kV with a TVIPS 2k CCD camera (TVIPS GmbH, Gauting, Germany).

For CLEM, yeast cells expressing Gcn3-sfGFP were processed for TEM. To allow alignment of electron microscopy (EM) and light microscopy (LM) images, unstained sections on EM grids were incubated with quenched 200 nm Blue (365/415) FluoSpheres as fiducials. Grids were mounted on a glass slide with VectaShield (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, USA) and viewed in both green (for GFP) and UV (for the fiducials) channels. After staining for TEM, regions of interest in LM were relocated in TEM. Montaged images were acquired at multiple magnifications to facilitate the correlation. LM and TEM images were overlaid in ZIBAmira (Zuse-Institut, Berlin, Germany).

pH measurement

For measurements of the cytosolic pH a codon-optimized version of the ratiometric fluorescent protein pHluorin2 was used. pH measurements and calculations during fusel alcohol stress were essentially done using an imaging-based method as described in (Munder et al., 2016). For pH measurement of individual cells, we use flow cytometry. The data sets were acquired using a FACS Aria Illu (Becton Dickinson) instrument with BV510/FITC and FITC/FITC filter sets. For all measurements, a single-cell gate was defined using the FSC-W and SSC-W parameters and only cells positive for pHluorin2 were considered. Gating was done using FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA) and the relevant datasets were exported to Microsoft Excel or RStudio for further calculations. For pH calculation, a calibration curve for a pH range between pH 5 and pH 8 was determined using the protocol of Diakov et al. (2013). After background subtraction, the mean emission ratio was calculated from the intensity readouts of both channels and plotted against pH to obtain a calibration curve. Subsequent pH measurements were calculated from a sigmoidal fit to the calibration curve. pH curves were plotted using R studio software. The results from kinetic pH measurements during energy depletion were fitted using a nonlinear asymmetric sigmoidal curve.

Statistics

For all data shown in this paper, at least three independent experiments were performed. The data shown are representative of the results obtained. The number of cells analyzed per condition is indicated in the figure legends.

Protein purification

For purification of His-tagged eIF2B, 500 ml of SF9 ESF cells (1×10⁶ cells/ ml) were co-transfected 1:500 with recombinant baculovirus stocks for coexpression of eIF2B regulatory and catalytic subcomplexes (for plasmid and primers used see Table S2 and Table S3). Cells were cultured for about 48 h and then harvested by mild centrifugation at $500 \times g$ for 5 min. Cells were resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris pH 8, 0.1 M KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 0.1% Triton-100, 0.02 M imidazole, protease inhibitors, 1 mM DTT) and lysed using an EmulsiFlex-C5 (Avestin). Cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 75,000×g for 30 min (rotor: JA 25.50, Beckman Coulter). The supernatant was filtered and loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). After washing with 75 ml of wash buffer (0.1 M Tris pH 8, 0.1 M KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.02 M imidazole, 1 mM DTT), the protein was eluted in elution buffer (wash buffer with 0.25 M imidazole) as 2.5 ml fractions. The fractions containing the protein were determined via Bradford assay and peak fractions were pooled prior to size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). SEC was performed using an Äkta Pure chromatography setup (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden), a Superose 6 Increase 5/150 GL column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) and buffer containing 0.05 M Tris pH 8, 0.15 M KCl and 2 mM MgCl₂. Purity of the protein was determined using standard SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining protocols.

In vitro reconstitution

Purified eIF2B was immediately used for reconstitution experiments. Some of the protein was labeled with Alexa 488 and the mixed 1:20 with unlabeled protein. The protein mix was then diluted in reconstitution buffers (0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 5.5-7.5, 0.15 M KCl, 2 mM MgCl, 1 mM DTT) to a final protein concentration of 0.33 µM. Two separate solutions containing $0.33\,\mu M$ eIF2B in reconstitution buffers and 1.25–10% PEG-20000 or 1.25-15% Ficoll 70 in reconstitution buffer were dispensed into two rows of a 96-well plate. A pipetting robot (Tecan, Model Freedom EVO 200) equipped with a TeMo 96 pipetting head with disposable tips (50 µl) was used to mix the protein $(7.5 \,\mu\text{l})$ and crowding solutions $(17.5 \,\mu\text{l})$ and then to transfer each condition in quadruplicate to a 384-well plastic bottom imaging plate (5 µl per well). The 384-well plate was imaged using a Yokogawa CV7000 high-content spinning disk confocal microscope and a 60x 1.2 NA water immersion objective. Z-stacks (3 planes, 0.2 μm spacing) were taken at four different positions per well, once in solution and once close to the bottom surface. Z-stacks are presented as maximum intensity projections. Images shown represent pH 5.5-7.5 buffers ±5% Ficoll.

Yeast cell lysis

Cells were grown overnight in YPD medium to mid-log phase and then harvested by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 4 min. Cell pellets were washed once in dH₂O and then resuspended in 500 µl cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 2.5 mM EDTA; 1% (v/v) Triton X-100; 0.4 mM PMSF; 8 mM NEM; 1.25 mM benzamidine; 10 µg/ml pepstatin; 10 µg/ml chymostatin; 10 µg/ml aprotinin; 10 µg/ml leupeptin; 10 µg/ml E-64; protease inhibitors from Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) and added to about 500 µl ice-cold glass beads. Cells were lysed using mechanical disruption (bead beating) (Tissue Lyser II, Qiagen) at 25 Hz for 20–30 min. Unwanted cell debris and beads were removed by centrifugation at 8000×*g* for 10 min. Yeast cell lysates were subsequently used for immunoblotting, polysome profiling analysis or SDD-AGE.

Immunostaining

For immunostaining, cells expressing Gcn3-HA were fixed via treatment with 3.7% formaldehyde (EMS, Hatfield, USA) for at least 30 min followed by 45 min incubation in spheroplasting buffer [100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 1.2 M Sorbitol (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), Zymolyase (Zymo Research, USA)] at 30°C with mild agitation. Spheroplasts were permeabilized with 1% triton X-100 (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany), washed and then incubated with α -HA primary antibody from mouse (1:2000; Covance, USA) and Alexa Fluor 488 F(ab')₂ fragment of rabbit anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:2000; Invitrogen, A21204). GFP-tagged proteins were detected in lysates via western blot analysis using an α -GFP primary antibody (1:2000; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and a secondary α -mouse antibody (1:5000; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA). The protein levels of PGK were determined as internal loading control with a α -PGK primary antibody from mouse (1:5000; Invitrogen, Camarillo, USA) and a secondary α -mouse antibody (1:5000; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA).

SDD-AGE

Semi-denaturing detergent agarose gel electrophoresis (SDD-AGE) with lysates from filament-containing cells and control cells was performed essentially as described previously (Alberti et al., 2010). The supernatants of cell lysates were adjusted for equal protein concentrations and mixed 4:1 with 4× sample buffer (40 mM Tris acetic acid, 2 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol, 4% SDS, Bromophenol Blue). Samples were incubated for 10 min at room temperature and loaded onto a 1.5% agarose gel containing 0.1% SDS in 1× TAE/0.1% SDS running buffer. The gel was run at 80 V. Proteins in the gel were detected by immunoblotting with a mCherry-specific antibody (MPI-CBG, Antibody facility).

Translation assays

For polysome profiling, we used a protocol adapted from Mašek et al. (2010). In brief, stressed and non-stressed cells were incubated with 0.1 mg/ ml cycloheximide (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany), chilled and washed before cell lysis. Lysis was performed as described above but in buffer containing 0.1 mg/ml cycloheximide. Lysates were cleared by 10 min centrifugation at $8000 \times g$. Supernatant equivalent to about 20-25 OD_{260nm} were carefully layered on top of ice-cold sucrose gradients (7.5–50%) in ultra-clear centrifugation tubes (14×95 mm; Beckman Coulter, Brea, USA). After 2.5 h of ultracentrifugation at 215,000×g in a SW40 Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 4°C, a thin glass needle was placed at the bottom of the tube and gradients were gradually pumped out (1 ml/min) using a peristaltic pump, while detecting the 260 nm signal using a BioCad LC 60 chromatography system (Applied Biosystems).

Translational activity was determined utilizing the Click-iT HPG Alexa 594 Fluor Protein Synthesis Assay Kit from Invitrogen (Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA). For control samples and energy depletion measurements, cells were incubated in SD-Met containing 50 µM of the methionineanalogue HPG. For stationary phase samples, HPG was added directly. After 30 min HPG incubation, the cells were fixed for at least 30 min (1 h for stationary phase) and then incubated for 45 min (90 min for stationary phase) in spheroplasting buffer at 30°C as described above. Spheroplasts were attached to clean, poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany)-coated Cell-View cell culture four-well dishes (Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany), washed, permeabilized with 1% triton X-100 and subsequently stained via click chemistry as described in the manufacturer's protocol. All samples were thoroughly washed prior to imaging to minimize background signal. Imaging was carried out as described above using Cherry to detect the HPG signal. The translational activity per cell was determined from maximum intensity projections using Fiji by subtracting the background signal, manually selecting the area corresponding to each cell in the field of view and reading out the mean intensity values for each area. All values of one experiment were then normalized to the average intensity of the wild-type sample. The combined data of all repeats were plotted as a combination of box and dot plots using R Studio software. Statistics were calculated using a Student's paired t-test, with a two-tailed distribution.

Growth and survival

Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild-type strain W303, were grown overnight, diluted to OD600~0.1 the next morning and regrown to OD600~0.5. Cells were harvested and resuspended in either phosphate buffers of pH 5.6–7.6, containing 2 mM DNP or in S-medium without glucose containing 20 mM 2-DG and 10 mM antimycin A. Cells were then incubated under shaking at 25°C. Samples were taken after 2, 24 and 48 h or as indicated in the specific experiments. Cells were washed once with H_2O and subsequently spotted on YPD as fivefold serial dilutions.

For stress recovery growth assays, cells were grown to mid-log phase and energy depleted for 6 h or grown into stationary phase for 3 days. Control cells were diluted and regrown to OD \sim 0.5. Control cells and starved cells were

diluted to OD ~0.05 in SC and added as triplicates to a 96-well plate. The plate was then incubated at 30°C for 200 cycles of 5 min in a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech), OD₅₉₅ was recorded with ten flashes per well per cycle.

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Desroche Altamirano, S. Maharana, J. Guillén Boixet, D. Richter, C. Iserman and A. Esslinger for critical reading of the manuscript. We are grateful to Prof. M. P. Ashe and S. G. Campbell for sending eIF2B mutant strains that helped us identify the mutations studied in this work. We thank S. Kroschwald for providing the control strain used for Fig. S1E. We thank the following members of Services and Facilities of the Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics for their support: B. Borgonovo and R. Lemaitre (Protein Expression Purification; B. Nitzsche (Light Microscopy Facility) for expert technical assistance regarding SIM; I. Nuesslein, J. Jarrells and C. Eugster Oegema (Cell Technologies Group) for acquisition of FACS data; M. Stoeter (Technology Development Studio) for help performing the *in vitro* reconstitution screen.

Competing interests

Simon Alberti is an advisor on the scientific advisory board of Dewpoint Therapeutics.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: E.N., G.M., T.M.F., S.A.; Methodology: W.L., A.B.; Formal analysis: E.N., D.R., W.L., T.M.F., G.P., S.A.; Investigation: E.N., G.M., D.R., W.L., A.B.; Writing - original draft: E.N., S.A.; Writing - review & editing: E.N., G.P., S.A.; Supervision: G.P., S.A.; Project administration: S.A.; Funding acquisition: S.A.

Funding

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft and the Technische Universität Dresden; additional funding came from the following sources: E.N. and S.A. were supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, AL 1061/5–1); G.M. was supported by a DIGS-BB doctoral fellowship; T.M.F. had support from MaxSynBio consortium; S.A. received additional funding from a Human Frontier Science Program Grant (RGP0034/2017) and the Volkswagen Foundation 'Life?' initiative.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at https://bio.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/bio.046391.supplemental

References

- Alberti, S., Halfmann, R. and Lindquist, S. (2010). Biochemical, cell biological, and genetic assays to analyze amyloid and prion aggregation in yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* 470, 709-734. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(10)70030-6
- Ashe, M. P., De Long, S. K. and Sachs, A. B. (2000). Glucose depletion rapidly inhibits translation initiation in yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 11, 833-848. doi:10.1091/mbc. 11.3.833
- Ashe, M. P., Slaven, J. W., De Long, S. K., Ibrahimo, S. and Sachs, A. B. (2001). A novel eIF2B-dependent mechanism of translational control in yeast as a response to fusel alcohols. *EMBO J.* 20, 6464-6474. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.22.6464
- Bogorad, A. M., Xia, B., Sandor, D. G., Mamonov, A. B., Cafarella, T. R., Jehle, S., Vajda, S., Kozakov, D. and Marintchev, A. (2014). Insights into the architecture of the eIF2Bα/β/δ regulatory subcomplex. *Biochemistry* 53, 3432-3445. doi:10.1021/bi500346u
- Bogorad, A. M., Lin, K. Y. and Marintchev, A. (2017). Novel mechanisms of eIF2B action and regulation by eIF2α phosphorylation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 11962-11979. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx845
- Brengues, M. and Parker, R. (2007). Accumulation of polyadenylated mRNA, Pab1p, eIF4E, and eIF4G with P-bodies in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **18**, 2592-2602. doi:10.1091/mbc.e06-12-1149
- Campbell, S. G., Hoyle, N. P. and Ashe, M. P. (2005). Dynamic cycling of elF2 through a large elF2B-containing cytoplasmic body: implications for translation control. J. Cell Biol. 170, 925-934. doi:10.1083/jcb.200503162
- Daniel Gietz, R. and Woods, R. A. (2002). Transformation of yeast by lithium acetate/single-stranded carrier DNA/polyethylene glycol method. *Methods Enzymol.* **350**, 87-96. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(02)50957-5
- Dechant, R., Binda, M., Lee, S. S., Pelet, S., Winderickx, J. and Peter, M. (2010). Cytosolic pH is a second messenger for glucose and regulates the PKA pathway through V-ATPase. *EMBO J.* 29, 2515-2526. doi:10.1038/emboj.2010.138
- Dever, T. E., Yang, W., Aström, S., Byström, A. S. and Hinnebusch, A. G. (1995). Modulation of tRNA(iMet), eIF-2, and eIF-2B expression shows that GCN4 translation is inversely coupled to the level of eIF-2.GTP.Met-tRNA(iMet) ternary complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **15**, 6351-6363. doi:10.1128/MCB.15.11.6351
- Diakov, T. T., Tarsio, M. Kane, P. M. (2013). Measurement of vacuolar and cytosolic pH in vivo in yeast cell suspensions. J. Vis. Exp. 74, e50261. doi:10.3791/50261

- Erdeniz, N., Mortensen, U. H. and Rothstein, R. (1997). Cloning-free PCR-based allele replacement methods. *Genome Res.* 7, 1174-1183. doi:10.1101/gr.7.12. 1174
- Farny, N. G., Kedersha, N. L. and Silver, P. A. (2009). Metazoan stress granule assembly is mediated by P-elF2alpha-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *RNA* **15**, 1814-1821. doi:10.1261/rna.1684009
- Franzmann, T. M. and Alberti, S. (2019). Protein phase separation as a stress survival strategy. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 11, a034058. doi:10.1101/ cshperspect.a034058
- Franzmann, T. M., Jahnel, M., Pozniakovsky, A., Mahamid, J., Holehouse, A. S., Nüske, E., Richter, D., Baumeister, W., Grill, S. W., Pappu, R. V. et al. (2018). Phase separation of a yeast prion protein promotes cellular fitness. *Science* 359, eaao5654. doi:10.1126/science.aao5654
- Gordiyenko, Y., Schmidt, C., Jennings, M. D., Matak-Vinkovic, D., Pavitt, G. D. and Robinson, C. V. (2014). eIF2B is a decameric guanine nucleotide exchange factor with a γ2ε2 tetrameric core. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3902. doi:10.1038/ ncomms4902
- Gueldener, U., Heinisch, J., Koehler, G. J., Voss, D. and Hegemann, J. H. (2002). A second set of loxP marker cassettes for Cre-mediated multiple gene knockouts in budding yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, e23. doi:10.1093/nar/30.6. e23
- Hand, S. C. and Hardewig, I. (1996). Downregulation of cellular metabolism during environmental stress: mechanisms and implications. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 58, 539-563. doi:10.1146/annurev.ph.58.030196.002543
- Hinnebusch, A. G. (1993). Gene-specific translational control of the yeast GCN4 gene by phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 2. *Mol. Microbiol.* 10, 215-223. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01947.x
- Hinnebusch, A. G. (2005). Translational regulation of GCN4 and the general amino acid control of yeast. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **59**, 407-450. doi:10.1146/annurev. micro.59.031805.133833
- Hinnebusch, A. G. and Fink, G. R. (1983). Positive regulation in the general amino acid control of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 80, 5374-5378. doi:10.1073/pnas.80.17.5374
- Hoyle, N. P., Castelli, L. M., Campbell, S. G., Holmes, L. E. A. and Ashe, M. P. (2007). Stress-dependent relocalization of translationally primed mRNPs to cytoplasmic granules that are kinetically and spatially distinct from P-bodies. *J. Cell Biol.* **179**, 65-74. doi:10.1083/jcb.200707010
- Ingram, L. O. (1986). Microbial tolerance to alcohols: role of the cell membrane. *Trends Biotechnol.* 4, 40-44. doi:10.1016/0167-7799(86)90152-6
- Joyner, R. P., Tang, J. H., Helenius, J., Dultz, E., Brune, C., Holt, L. J., Huet, S., Müller, D. J. and Weis, K. (2016). A glucose-starvation response regulates the diffusion of macromolecules. *Elife* 5, e09376. doi:10.7554/eLife.09376
- Kashiwagi, K., Takahashi, M., Nishimoto, M., Hiyama, T. B., Higo, T., Umehara, T., Sakamoto, K., Ito, T. and Yokoyama, S. (2016). Crystal structure of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B. *Nature* 531, 122-125. doi:10.1038/ nature16991
- Krishnamoorthy, T., Pavitt, G. D., Zhang, F., Dever, T. E. and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2001). Tight binding of the phosphorylated alpha subunit of initiation factor 2 (eIF2alpha) to the regulatory subunits of guanine nucleotide exchange factor eIF2B is required for inhibition of translation initiation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 5018-5030. doi:10.1128/MCB.21.15.5018-5030.2001
- Landgraf, D., Okumus, B., Chien, P., Baker, T. A. and Paulsson, J. (2012). Segregation of molecules at cell division reveals native protein localization. *Nat. Methods* 9, 480-482. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1955
- Laporte, D., Salin, B., Daignan-Fornier, B. and Sagot, I. (2008). Reversible cytoplasmic localization of the proteasome in quiescent yeast cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 181, 737-745. doi:10.1083/jcb.200711154
- Lindahl, L. and Hinnebusch, A. (1992). Diversity of mechanisms in the regulation of translation in prokaryotes and lower eukaryotes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2, 720-726. doi:10.1016/S0959-437X(05)80132-7
- Mahon, M. J. (2011). pHluorin2: an enhanced, ratiometric, pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein. Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol. 2, 132-137. doi:10.4236/abb.2011. 23021
- Marini, G., Nüske, E., Leng, W., Alberti, S. and Pigino, G. (2020). Reorganization of budding yeast cytoplasm upon energy depletion. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 31, 1232-1245. doi:10.1091/mbc.E20-02-0125. Epub 2020 Apr 15. PMID: 32293990
- Mašek, T., Valášek, L. and Pospíšek, M. (2010). Polysome analysis and RNA purification from sucrose gradients. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 703, 293-309. doi:10. 1007/978-1-59745-248-9_20
- Mazroui, R., Di Marco, S., Kaufman, R. J. and Gallouzi, I.-E. (2007). Inhibition of the ubiquitin-proteasome system induces stress granule formation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 18, 2603-2618. doi:10.1091/mbc.e06-12-1079
- Munder, M. C., Midtvedt, D., Franzmann, T., Nüske, E., Otto, O., Herbig, M., Ulbricht, E., Müller, P., Taubenberger, A., Maharana, S. et al. (2016). A pHdriven transition of the cytoplasm from a fluid- to a solid-like state promotes entry into dormancy. *Elife* 5, e09347. doi:10.7554/eLife.09347
- Narayanaswamy, R., Levy, M., Tsechansky, M., Stovall, G. M., O'Connell, J. D., Mirrielees, J., Ellington, A. D. and Marcotte, E. M. (2009). Widespread reorganization of metabolic enzymes into reversible assemblies upon nutrient

starvation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 10147-10152. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0812771106

- Noree, C., Sato, B. K., Broyer, R. M. and Wilhelm, J. E. (2010). Identification of novel filament-forming proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Drosophila melanogaster. J. Cell Biol. 190, 541-551. doi:10.1083/jcb.201003001
- Noree, C., Monfort, E., Shiau, A. K. and Wilhelm, J. E. (2014). Common regulatory control of CTP synthase enzyme activity and filament formation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 25, 2282-2290. doi:10.1091/mbc.e14-04-0912
- Orij, R., Postmus, J., Ter Beek, A., Brul, S. and Smits, G. J. (2009). In vivo measurement of cytosolic and mitochondrial pH using a pH-sensitive GFP derivative in Saccharomyces cerevisiae reveals a relation between intracellular pH and growth. *Microbiology* 155, 268-278. doi:10.1099/mic.0.022038-0
- Pakos-Zebrucka, K., Koryga, I., Mnich, K., Ljujic, M., Samali, A. and Gorman, A. M. (2016). The integrated stress response. *EMBO Rep.* 17, 1374-1395. doi:10. 15252/embr.201642195
- Pavitt, G. D. (2005). eIF2B, a mediator of general and gene-specific translational control. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 33, 1487-1492. doi:10.1042/BST0331487
- Petrovska, I., Nüske, E., Munder, M. C., Kulasegaran, G., Malinovska, L., Kroschwald, S., Richter, D., Fahmy, K., Gibson, K., Verbavatz, J.-M. et al. (2014). Filament formation by metabolic enzymes is a specific adaptation to an advanced state of cellular starvation. *Elife* **3**, e02409. doi:10.7554/eLife.02409. 036
- Riback, J. A., Katanski, C. D., Kear-Scott, J. L., Pilipenko, E. V., Rojek, A. E., Sosnick, T. R. and Drummond, D. A. (2017). Stress-triggered phase separation is an adaptive, evolutionarily tuned response. *Cell* **168**, 1028-1040.e19. doi:10. 1016/j.cell.2017.02.027
- Richter, K., Haslbeck, M. and Buchner, J. (2010). The heat shock response: life on the verge of death. *Mol. Cell* 40, 253-266. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.10.006
- Rizzolo, K., Huen, J., Kumar, A., Phanse, S., Vlasblom, J., Kakihara, Y., Zeineddine, H. A., Minic, Z., Snider, J., Wang, W. et al. (2017). Features of the chaperone cellular network revealed through systematic interaction mapping. *Cell Rep.* 20, 2735-2748. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.074
- Saad, S., Cereghetti, G., Feng, Y., Picotti, P., Peter, M. and Dechant, R. (2017). Reversible protein aggregation is a protective mechanism to ensure cell cycle restart after stress. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **19**, 1202-1213. doi:10.1038/ncb3600

- Sagot, I., Pinson, B., Salin, B. and Daignan-Fornier, B. (2006). Actin bodies in yeast quiescent cells: an immediately available actin reserve? *Mol. Biol. Cell* 17, 4645-4655. doi:10.1091/mbc.e06-04-0282
- Sanchez, Y., Taulien, J., Borkovich, K. A. and Lindquist, S. (1992). Hsp104 is required for tolerance to many forms of stress. *EMBO J.* **11**, 2357-2364. doi:10. 1002/j.1460-2075.1992.tb05295.x
- Serrano, R. (1977). Energy requirements for maltose transport in yeast. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **80**, 97-102. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1977.tb11861.x
- Sheff, M. A. and Thorn, K. S. (2004). Optimized cassettes for fluorescent protein tagging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 21, 661-670. doi:10.1002/yea.1130
- Simpson, C. E. and Ashe, M. P. (2012). Adaptation to stress in yeast: to translate or not? Biochem. Soc. Trans. 40, 794-799. doi:10.1042/BST20120078
- Taylor, E. J., Campbell, S. G., Griffiths, C. D., Reid, P. J., Slaven, J. W., Harrison, R. J., Sims, P. F. G., Pavitt, G. D., Delneri, D. and Ashe, M. P. (2010). Fusel alcohols regulate translation initiation by inhibiting eIF2B to reduce ternary complex in a mechanism that may involve altering the integrity and dynamics of the eIF2B body. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 21, 2202-2216. doi:10.1091/mbc.e09-11-0962
- Walton, G. M. and Gill, G. N. (1975). Nucleotide regulation of a eukaryotic protein synthesis initiation complex. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 390, 231-245. doi:10.1016/ 0005-2787(75)90344-5
- Weitzel, G., Pilatus, U. and Rensing, L. (1985). Similar dose response of heat shock protein synthesis and intracellular pH change in yeast. *Exp. Cell Res.* 159, 252-256. doi:10.1016/S0014-4827(85)80054-9
- Weitzel, G., Pilatus, U. and Rensing, L. (1987). The cytoplasmic pH, ATP content and total protein synthesis rate during heat-shock protein inducing treatments in yeast. *Exp. Cell Res.* **170**, 64-79. doi:10.1016/0014-4827(87)90117-0
- Woodruff, J. B., Ferreira Gomes, B., Widlund, P. O., Mahamid, J., Honigmann, A. and Hyman, A. A. (2017). The centrosome is a selective condensate that nucleates microtubules by concentrating tubulin. *Cell* **169**, 1066-1077.e10. doi:10. 1016/j.cell.2017.05.028
- Wortham, N. C., Martinez, M., Gordiyenko, Y., Robinson, C. V. and Proud, C. G. (2014). Analysis of the subunit organization of the eIF2B complex reveals new insights into its structure and regulation. *FASEB J.* 28, 2225-2237. doi:10.1096/fj. 13-243329