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#### Abstract

Class B G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) remain an underexploited target for drug development. The calcitonin receptor (CTR) family is particularly challenging, as its receptors are heteromers comprising two distinct components: the calcitonin receptor-like receptor (CLR) or calcitonin receptor (CTR) together with one of three accessory proteins known as receptor activity-modifying proteins (RAMPs). CLR/RAMP1 forms a CGRP receptor, CLR/RAMP2 forms an adrenomedullin-1 (AM $)$ receptor, and CLR/RAMP3 forms an adrenomedullin-2 ( $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ ) receptor. The CTR/RAMP complexes form three distinct amylin receptors. While the  selective blockade of $A M_{2}$ receptors would be therapeutically valuable, inhibition of $A M_{1}$ receptors would cause clinically unacceptable increased blood pressure. We report here a systematic study of structure-activity relationships that has led to the development of first-in-class $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor antagonists. These compounds exhibit therapeutically valuable properties with 1000 -fold selectivity over the $A M_{1}$ receptor. These results highlight the therapeutic potential of $A M_{2}$ antagonists.


## INTRODUCTION

G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are the largest family of cell surface receptors, with a broad range of physiological and pathophysiological roles. ${ }^{1}$ GPCRs have been promising and successful targets for many therapeutic interventions. ${ }^{2}$ Functional complexity and pharmacological diversity of GPCRs can be further influenced by interactions with receptor activitymodifying proteins (RAMPs). RAMPs are a family of single transmembrane domain proteins that complex with GPCRs to facilitate cell surface trafficking, receptor pharmacology as well as recycling and degradation. ${ }^{3,4}$ Of the six classes of GPCRs, members of class $B$ (secretin receptor family) have been most studied for their interactions with RAMPs and include calcitonin (CTR) and calcitonin receptor-like (CLR) receptors. ${ }^{4,5}$ Despite their physiological importance and promising therapeutic potential, the small number of full-length ligandbound structures of class B GPCRs and the limited structural information on druggable binding sites have made the development of compounds that target this GPCR family challenging. ${ }^{6,7}$ However, a number of structures have been solved recently ${ }^{8-10}$ due to advances in cryo-EM technology and resolution, so that further developments are now more feasible. Regardless, a number of compounds have been reported in the past decade, including synthetic modulators of glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1, corticotropin-releasing factor 1, and calcitonin receptor-like receptors. ${ }^{11-13}$ The
most successful target of class B GPCRs for small molecule modulators has been the CGRP receptor (comprising CLR and RAMP1) for which several antagonists and antibodies have been developed in recent years for the treatment of migraine. ${ }^{14-18}$ Some of these have reached the market including the two oral small molecule antagonists, rimegepant ${ }^{19}$ (Nurtec ODT) and ubrogepant ${ }^{20}$ (Ubrelvy), as well as the three injectable signal blocking monoclonal antibodies, erenumab ${ }^{21}$ (Aimovig), eptinezumab ${ }^{22}$ (Vyepti), and galcanezumab ${ }^{23}$ (Emgality). For small molecule antagonists, the binding site has been shown by X-ray crystallography studies to be at the interface between RAMP1 and the CLR. ${ }^{24}$

The selectivity of CGRP receptor antagonists indicates the potential of exploiting differences between CLR/RAMP receptor complexes to develop antagonists for other members of the CLR family, such as receptors of the hormone adrenomedullin (AM). While the CGRP receptor comprises CLR and RAMP1, adrenomedullin-1 $\left(\mathrm{AM}_{1}\right)$ and adrenome-dullin-2 $\left(\mathrm{AM}_{2}\right)$ receptors form by the interaction of CLR with
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Figure 1. Docking of telcagepant (magenta) and compound 1 (purple) in our pseudo (hybrid)-model of the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor-binding pocket. The Glu74 residue from RAMP3 is indicated in green. CLR is shown in yellow and RAMP3 in cyan. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted lines. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ has similar spatial occupancy and interactions to telcagepant (magenta) as observed in the CGRP receptor crystal structure (PDB code $3 \mathrm{~N} 7 \mathrm{R}^{36}$ ).

RAMP2 and RAMP3, respectively. ${ }^{4}$ AM is a potent vasodilator that regulates blood pressure. ${ }^{25}$ While AM signaling through the $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ receptor is required for cardiovascular homeostasis, ${ }^{26}$ aberrant AM signaling is implicated in cancer development and progression. ${ }^{27,28}$ Both AM and the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptors have been shown to be upregulated and mediate protumoral processes in many cancers, ${ }^{29} 31$ including breast and pancreatic cancers. ${ }^{32,33}$

We have recently reported the discovery of the first-in-class small molecule antagonists against the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor. ${ }^{34}$ These molecules are important new tools that will provide significant insight into the pharmacology of the CLR/RAMP receptor family. Additionally, they show promising antitumoral effects in both in vitro and in vivo models of pancreatic cancer. With a view to therapeutic potential, the new $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor antagonists show 1000 -fold selectivity against the $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ receptor, enabling physiological signaling of $A M$ to continue through $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ receptors, lowering the risk of off-target side effects mediated by the $A M_{1}$ receptor.

Here, we describe the development and structure-activity relationships (SARs) of this family of small molecule antagonists. The chemistry strategy is underpinned by simple and convergent synthesis routes, and the efficacy of these compounds was evaluated in in vitro and in vivo models of breast cancer. The exploration of full drug-like characteristics (ADME, PK, and in vitro safety markers) of lead compounds is described by Avgoustou et al. ${ }^{34}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and SAR. There are four significant differences between RAMP1 and RAMP3 in the vicinity of the small molecule ligand-binding pocket, namely, R67E, A70T, D71N, and W74E. ${ }^{34}$ Of these, we chose W 74 E as a residue difference to exploit because of its interaction with ligands that have been crystallized in the CGRP receptor. The incorporation of a basic
center to interact with the glutamate carboxylate provided a compelling strategy for designing $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor-selective ligands. The W74E change is also seen when comparing RAMP1 with RAMP2; therefore, the simplest approach to building a pseudo (hybrid)-model of the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor-binding pocket was to transpose the side-chain conformation of Glu105 from the RAMP2 crystal structure (PDB code $3 A Q F^{35}$ ) into the Trp74 position of the CGRP receptor crystal structure (PDB code $3 N 7 \mathrm{R}^{36}$ ). Alternative conformations of the glutamate side chain were examined but the results were not significantly affected. As all our compounds were active, at least to some extent at both CGRP and $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptors, it was decided to use this slightly modified structure of the CGRP receptor as a basis for docking, making the assumption that the binding modes in both CGRP and $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptors would be the same. ${ }^{37}$
The crystal structure of MK- 3207 has been solved, ${ }^{38}$ but the only information published is a figure that shows a stick representation of the ligand and a surface representation of the protein. The ligand was docked such that it replicated as much of the information presented in this image as possible. Conformations of ligands were initially built using Open Babel (version 2.3.1). The starting conformation of the CLRbinding spiro ring system was fixed to replicate the configuration observed in the image, and the resultant conformer was refined by density functional theory (DFT) minimization in ORCA. ${ }^{39}$ Docking was carried out using GOLD, ${ }^{40}$ tethering the lactam or equivalent portion of the headgroup onto that observed in the PDB structure of telcagepant bound to the ectodomain of the CGRP receptor ( PDB code $3 \mathrm{~N} 7 \mathrm{R}^{36}$ ) and generating 30 docks per compound using default options. Results were processed using an in-house script to cluster the docks and assess the quality of hydrogen bonds, identifying docks with high GOLD scores, no antihydrogen bonds, and, where relevant, a high-quality
interaction with the glutamate (distance between heavy atoms of 2.7-3.5 $\AA$ and the angle subtended at donor H of close to $180^{\circ}$ ).

The dock of the published structure of compound 1, a CGRP receptor antagonist with an encouraging activity against the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor, ${ }^{41}$ overlaid well with telcagepant, preserving the interactions of the tethered headgroup (Figure 1). In addition, the carbonyl oxygen atom of the pivalamide substituent formed a hydrogen bond with the indole NH of CLR Trp72. The residue implicated in selectivity, W74E, appeared to be accessible from the ortho position of the phenyl ring, suggesting a position to introduce basic substituents (Figure 1).

From starting point compound $\mathbf{1}$, the aim was to design and synthesize compounds that would bind strongly to the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor. Our strategy assumed that modulating the RAMP structural binding fragment, while conserving the CLR-binding fragment, would lead to the successful identification of RAMP3-binding groups (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Compound 1 structure analysis for SAR.

We first set out to investigate the antagonist behavior of several known CLR-binding fragments attached to the RAMPbinding motif present in $\mathbf{1}$. As shown in Table 1, combining a

Table 1. Investigating the Effect of Different CLR-Binding Fragments on the Antagonism against the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ Receptor


| Compound | R | $\mathrm{AM}_{2} \mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 5.9 |
| 2 |  | <5 |
| 3 |  | 6.7 |
| 4 |  | <5 |

set of known CLR fragments from CGRP inhibitors (specifically, telcagepant/rimegepant, MK-3207, and olcegepant) with the RAMP-binding portion of 1 led to analogues 2-4, of which indene 3 showed moderately improved inhibition at the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor $\left(\mathrm{pIC}_{50}=6.7\right)$ relative to the initial indene lead 1, with non-indenes losing measurable activity.

Working on the basis that the CLR fragment in 3 was optimal for our purposes, we implemented the strategy of incorporating a basic moiety into the benzyl side chain of the RAMP fragment. The $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ data of our compounds against $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$, together with data for selected compounds against $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ and CGRP, are summarized in Table 2. Compounds bearing a heterocyclic or heteroaromatic ring showed reduced potency (compounds $5-8$ ), while the activity was broadly maintained when a pyrrolidine-substituted benzyl group or an indazole was incorporated (compounds 9, 10). Pleasingly, aniline 11 provided our first significant increase in receptor affinity, with a $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ of 8.2 . Activity was maintained when the aniline was changed to a benzylic amine (compound 12) but changing to the corresponding benzylic alcohol or moving the aminomethyl group to the meta-position led to a marked decrease in activity (compounds 13, 14). Returning to the positional scanning at the ortho-benzyl position, we were interested in finding that a primary amide, homologated primary amine, and tethered secondary amine produced $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of around 7 (compounds 15-17). In contrast, benzylic morpholine, imidazole, pyridine, and nitrile groups performed poorly (compounds $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 1}$ ), as did the aminomethyl analogue attached to a pyridine ring (compound 22). The incorporation of further basic residues via an imidazole (compound 23) or by changing to a guanidine moiety (compound 24) failed to improve potency. However, simply homologating the aminomethyl group to secondary amine $\mathbf{2 3}$ gave a dramatic increase in affinity, providing our first inhibitor in the subnanomolar range (compound 25). Further efforts to increase activity by increasing alkylation at various points around the benzylic aminomethyl fragment did not result in a significant enhancement of activity (compounds 25-29). Overall, this study highlighted the importance of the spatial orientation of the basic group (e.g., compound 12 versus compound 14) and the sensitivity of the receptor to steric bulk in the RAMP3-binding region (cf. compounds 25, 27, 18). Finally, in all cases where compounds were cross-screened against $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ receptor, very weak potency was observed. Although RAMP2 contains Asp and Glu at the equivalent positions, it is believed that other significant differences in the pocket are responsible for the lack of activity at the $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ receptor. For example, (i) residue 70 (Ala in RAMP1 and Thr in RAMP3) is the much larger Arg in RAMP2, which, in crystal structures (PDB code $4 \mathrm{RWF}^{42}$ ), sits in space that would clash with these ligands, and (ii) $\operatorname{Trp} 84$ in RAMP3, which makes significant interactions with the core of these ligands, is a Trp in RAMP3 but the smaller Phe in RAMP2, which is unable to contact the ligands and therefore leaving an energetically unfavorable void.

## CHEMISTRY

The modular nature of our inhibitors offered simple and efficient synthetic routes from commercially available and inexpensive starting materials, allowing us rapidly to identify analogues with increased potency against the $A M_{2}$ receptor. The general synthetic route is shown in Scheme 1. The reductive amination of the appropriate aldehyde with Ala-OMe

Table 2. $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ Values of RAMP with N-Alkyl-Substituent SAR Library against the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ Receptor Compared to Those of $\mathrm{AM}_{1}$ and CGRP Receptors ${ }^{a}$


Table 2. continued

${ }^{a}$ nd: not determined.

## Scheme 1. Reagents and General Conditions ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) Ethyl bromoacetate, $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), dimethylformamide (DMF) or benzylbromoacetate, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, tetrahydrofuran (THF; when amine was used) and glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (when aldehyde or ketone was used); (b) (i) PivCl, DIPEA, dichloromethane (DCM); (ii) $2.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ or $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) HATU, NMM, DM or EDCI, HOAt, DIPEA, DMF.

Scheme 2. Reagents and Conditions ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) (i) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{PTC}^{*}$, toluene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (ii) recrystallized from toluene $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$; (b) (i) MsOH , toluene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, o/n. PTC*: Chiral phase transfer catalyst. Please see Experimental Section for details.
or simple alkylation of amines with ethyl bromoacetate provided intermediates that were subjected to acylation with pivaloyl chloride and saponification to produce the desired RAMP-binding acids. Amide bond formation promoted by EDCI or HATU generated the final RAMP-CLR inhibitor constructs that could be further manipulated using standard functional group transformations (detailed synthetic procedures are described in the Supporting Information).

With a robust method in hand, we next wanted to assess the impact of the stereochemistry of the CLR-binding unit on activity as it is known to have an impact on the CGRP potency. ${ }^{43,44}$ For example, in the case of MK-3207, the analogue with a CLR-binding group in the $(S)$ rather than $(R)$ configuration reduces potency by 100 -fold, from 0.12 to 10 nM . Indeed, as discussed in our preliminary report on this work, ${ }^{34}$ the ( $R$ )-enantiomer of 25 (isolated by the preparative chiral high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) of the racemate) was found to have improved potency over the corresponding ( $S$ )-enantiomer ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}=9.2$ versus 7.2 ), so we set about devising an efficient synthesis of (R)-25.

The synthesis of the ( $R$ )-CLR-binding motif amine 30 was accomplished by a modification of the method reported by Bulger and Yasuda. ${ }^{45,46}$ An enantioselective phase transfercatalyzed alkylation of 31 with 32 was found to give a higher degree of selectivity when excess sodium hydroxide was employed in toluene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This method allowed us to generate intermediate 33 in an $\sim 90 \%$ yield with $83 \%$ ee although this sample could be delivered in $>99 \%$ ee after a single recrystallization (Scheme 2). Finally, the removal of the $\mathrm{Bn}-{ }^{\mathrm{an}}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ - groups gave the $(R)$-enantiomer of the desired amine 30 with $>99 \%$ ee. Slow crystallization of 30 in methanol allowed us to confirm the product stereochemistry using single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

The docked pose of $(R)-25$ was consistent with that of $\mathbf{1}$ in the placement of their common substructures. As predicted, the protonated amine formed salt bridges with the carboxylate of Glu74 and the carboxylate of Asp71 (an asparagine carboxamide in RAMP3), possibly explaining why it is tolerated in both CGRP and $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$. The apparent preference for RAMP3 over RAMP1 could be explained by the interactions with the acid of Glu74 and the carbonyl of


Figure 3. Docking of compound ( $R$ )-25 in our pseudo (hybrid)-model of the $A M_{2}$ receptor-binding pocket. Glu74 residues from RAMP3 are indicated in green. CLR is shown in yellow and RAMP3 in cyan. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted lines. The protonated amine of compound (R)-25 forms salt bridges with the carboxylate of Glu74 and the carboxylate of Asp71 (an asparagine carboxamide in RAMP3).

b


Figure 4. $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor antagonist inhibits in vitro viability of human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 as well as subcutaneous MDA-MB-231 tumor growth in $\mathrm{BALB} / \mathrm{c}$ nude mice. (a) Daily treatment with small molecule $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor antagonists significantly decreased the viability of MDA-MB-231 cells in vitro by $55 \%$ after 3 days when treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}( \pm)-25$, compared to that of vehicle-treated controls ( $p<0.01$, unpaired $t$-test). Data are from three independent experiments and presented as mean $\pm$ SD. (b) Mice ( $n=10$ per group) were inoculated subcutaneously with MDA-MB-231 cells to generate tumors, and first treatment was given on the day of the first tumor volume measurement (arrow). Tumor growth rates were significantly reduced in mice treated daily with $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ip $( \pm)-\mathbf{2 5}(p<0.001$, simple linear regression comparing the line of best fit). Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SD.

Asn71 being more favorable than the indole of $\operatorname{Trp} 74$ and the acid of Asp71, either because of differences in the salt bridge geometry or because the carboxamide is a preferred partner when compared with the indole (Figure 3).

Antitumor Effect of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$-25. In vitro viability and in vivo subcutaneous xenograft models were used to determine antitumor effects of the $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor antagonist ( $\pm$ )-25, using the highly aggressive triple-negative breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231. ( $\pm$ )-25 decreased the MDA-MB-231 viability by $55 \%$ after 3 days of daily treatment at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration (Figure 4a; $p<0.01$ ). For the in vivo xenograft study, MDA-MB-231 cells were subcutaneously inoculated under the skin of the flank of female BALB/c nude mice. Once the tumors were palpable ( 5 days after inoculation), ( $\pm$ )-25 ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or vehicle control was administered ip once daily. Tumors were measured twice weekly, and the well-being of mice was assessed by measuring the body weight and
monitoring behavior. ( $\pm$ )-25 was well tolerated, and the body weight of ( $\pm$ )-25-treated mice did not differ significantly when compared with that of vehicle-treated mice. No adverse effects were observed, and all mice exhibited apparently normal activity, feeding, and inquisitiveness. Daily administration of $( \pm)-25(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ significantly decreased breast cancer xenograft tumor growth by $47 \%$ (Figure $4 \mathrm{~b} ; p<0.001$ ), 4 weeks after treatment.

## CONCLUSIONS

Here, we report a systematic and extensive structure-activity relationship study of our first-in-class $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptor small molecule antagonists. ${ }^{34}$ Through the careful optimization of CLR and RAMP domain-binding fragments, we have been able to develop a family of antagonists that show high selectivity for $A M_{2}$ over the closely related $A M_{1}$ heteromer, by exploiting
differences in the RAMP structures, focusing on residues 70 and 84. A robust chemistry strategy allowed us to prepare a large library of analogues and led to numerous derivatives with nanomolar potencies. In addition, the products are readily generated as single enantiomers through the employment of an efficient asymmetric synthesis of the ( $R$ )-CLR-binding unit. While our original goal was to identify compounds with selectivity for $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ receptors over all of the CLR and CTR family receptors, we found it hard to separate $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ and CGRP receptors in this respect. However, since CGRP receptors mediate pain, particularly bone pain in metastatic cancers, this may be an additional benefit for therapy in oncology. Finally, although we have previously shown the full drug-like properties (ADME, hERG, and PK) and selectivity profile of compound 25 and the effects of this compound class in pancreatic cancer cell viability and apoptosis in both in vitro and in vivo tumor growth models, ${ }^{34}$ we demonstrate here that similar potent antitumor effects are also observed in breast cancer models using the highly aggressive triple-negative breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All reagents, unless otherwise stated, were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Small molecule antagonists were prepared as 2 mM dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) stocks for cell culture experiments and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Based on each cell line's ligand-receptor combination, the appropriate unlabeled peptide was used. Human CGRP was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (SCP0060), and human AM was purchased from Anaspec (AS-60447).

Chemical Methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVIII HD $400(400 \mathrm{MHz})$, Bruker AVI $400(400 \mathrm{MHz})$, Bruker AMX-400 ( 400 MHz ), or DPX-400 ( 400 MHz ) supported by an Aspect 3000 data system and referenced to the residual solvent peak ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Signal positions were recorded in $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ with the abbreviations $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{br}$, and m denoting singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, broad, and multiplet, respectively. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVIII HD 400 ( 377 MHz ) and are uncorrected. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (BDH Silica Gel 60 $43-60$ or Fluorochem Davisil silica gel 43-60) using head pressure by means of a compressed air line. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on commercially available precoated aluminum-backed plates (Merck silica Kieselgel 60 F254). Spots were made visible either by the quenching of UV fluorescence or by staining with a potassium permanganate solution. All reactions were conducted in an oven or flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon. Low-resolution mass spectra were (LC-MS) recorded on Micromass Autospec, operating in E.I., C.I., or FAB mode, or a PerkinElmer Turbomass Bench top GCMS operating in either E.I. or C.I. mode. All ultraperformance liquid chromatographymass spectroscopy (UPLC-MS) analyses were carried out using Waters Acquity UPLC-MS (quaternary pump flow $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Acquity autosampler, PDA and QDA). All solvents, substrates, and reagents that were commercially available were used without further purification. Enantioselectivities were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis employing a Gilson HPLC chain with an ABI Analytical Spectroflow 783 UV or an SPD10 Shimadzu UV-vis detector. Purities of all final reported compounds were greater than $95 \%$ based on analytical HPLC chromatograms. Purification of the final compounds by preparative HPLC was accomplished on a C18 $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$ column in water/acetonitrile or with Biotage Isolera using a C18 Ultra cartridge in water/acetonitrile with $\mathrm{pH}=10$ buffer followed by freeze-drying of the pooled fractions containing pure products (Scheme 3).

N-Benzyl-N-(2-oxo-2-(4-(2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazo[4,5-b]-pyridin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)pivalamide (2). 2A ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 2B ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HATU ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}$ )

## Scheme 3. Synthesis of 2 and 4


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{HNR}_{2}$ (B), HATU, NMM, DMF, rt
were dissolved in dry DMF ( 2 mL ). $N$-Methylmorpholine ( 0.1 mL , 1.75 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and then washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was purified using a Biotage Isolera ( 12 g , C18 Ultra cartridge, $60-80 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) and freeze-dried to provide 2 as a white solid ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-$ $4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 450$.

N-Benzyl-N-(2-oxo-2-(4-(2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinazolin-3(4H)-yl)-piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)pivalamide (4). 2A ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2B $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HATU $(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL}) . N$-Methylmorpholine $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was purified using a Biotage Isolera ( 12 g , C18 Ultra cartridge, $60-80 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) and freeze-dried to provide 2 as a white solid $(18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.59-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.43$ (m, 2H); LC-MS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$463. (Scheme 4)

Ethyl 2-((Pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amino)acetate (5B). (2Methylamino) pyridine 5A ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 55.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 45 mL ), and ethyl bromoacetate ( $4.63 \mathrm{~g}, 27.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed twice with ammonium chloride, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:1 ethyl acetate/ heptane to $1: 10$ methanol/ethyl acetate) to provide $5 B(1.43 \mathrm{~g}$, quantitative yield). $(2.55 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%)$ as an orange oil. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.30\left(195[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-(N-(Pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pivalamido)acetate. 5B (1 g, 5.14 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere before trimethylamine $(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 15.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Trimethylacetyl chloride $(743 \mathrm{mg}, 6.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h after which the reaction was shown to be complete by TLC. The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide the crude product. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:1-1:0 ethyl acetate/heptane) to provide ethyl 2( $N$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pivalamido)acetate ( 1.45 g , quantitative yield). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.69$ (279 [M + H $]^{+}$).

2-(N-(Pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (5C). Ethyl 2( $N$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pivalamido)acetate $(1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 7 mL ) and water ( 7 mL ) and then lithium

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 5, 6,9-14, 16-21, 24, 25, and $29^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) Ethyl bromoacetate, SIPEA, DMF, rt or benzyl bromoacetate, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, rt (from amine) or glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, MeOH , rt (fromaldehyde or ketone); (b) (i) PivCl, DIPEA, DCM, rt; (ii) $2.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (c) D, HATU, NMM, DMF, rt or D, EDCl, HOAt, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd/C, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux; (e) TFA, DCM, rt or TsOH, MeOH, rt; (f) (i) $p \mathrm{TsOH}$, acetone, rt ; (ii)
 $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, DMF, $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, MW; (j) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Raney-Ni, 2 M NH 3 in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 5{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (k) 4-benzyl-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide hydrochloride, 5 equiv, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MW}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (m) (i) $p \mathrm{TsOH}$, acetone, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$, MeOH , reflux; then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, rt ; ( n ) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, DMSO, rt.
hydroxide monohydrate ( $655 \mathrm{mg}, 15.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h . The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 6 and extracted with ethyl acetate (repeated $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 5 C as a yellow oil $(871 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51-$ $8.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{R} 0.42\left(251[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N-(2-Oxo-2-(( $2^{\prime}$-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro [indene-2, $3^{\prime}$ -pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)pivalamide (5). 5 C ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5D ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HATU ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 2 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with brine $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was purified on reverse phase chromatography to provide 5 as a white solid ( $10.7 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (dd, $J=15.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44-8.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLCMS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.82\left(484[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Benzyl 2-(((1-Methylpiperidin-3-yl)methyl)amino)acetate (6B). 6A ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ), and triethylamine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylbromoacetate ( 0.61 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 60 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $19: 1$ dichloromethane/methanol) to provide 6B $(318 \mathrm{mg}$, $30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.80-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.87 (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{\mathrm{t} R} 1.81\left(277[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 79 \%$ pure.

Benzyl 2-(N-((1-Methylpiperidin-3-yl)methyl)pivalamido)acetate. 6B ( $318 \mathrm{mg}, 1.152 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere and triethylamine $(0.19 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.382 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/ water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.152 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine, saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide the intermediate of $6 \mathrm{C}(344 \mathrm{mg}$, $83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{R} 2.06\left(361[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, $88 \%$ pure.
2-(N-((1-Methylpiperidin-3-yl)methyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (6C). An intermediate of $6 \mathrm{C}(344 \mathrm{mg}, 0.955 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in ethanol ( 10 mL ), and palladium-on-carbon ( $10 \%$ wet, 34 mg ) was added, the vessel was sealed, and an atmosphere of hydrogen was introduced at a 400 psi pressure. The mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction was filtered through celite, washed with methanol, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 6 C as a clear glass ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 0.88-1.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.42\left(271[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
$N$-((1-Methylpiperidin-3-yl)methyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (6). $6 \mathrm{C}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{EDCI} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(46 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.239 mmol ), and HOAt ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.239 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.597 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6D $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with ethyl acetate and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was directly purified using a Biotage Isolera ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ Ultra cartridge, $10-70 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) to provide a crude compound. This was further purified via SP4 (12 g,

C18 cartridge, 5-75\% acetonitrile in water with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide) to provide $6(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 0.77-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.23-3.31 (m, 2H), 4.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.84 (dd, $J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=5.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.78\left(504[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
tert-Butyl-2-((2-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)benzyl)amino)acetate (19B). (2-((1H-Imidazol-1-yl)methyl)phenyl)methanamine dihydrochloride 19A ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $596 \mathrm{mg}, 4.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 5 mL ). A solution of tert-butyl bromoacetate ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was combined with another batch of material ( 0.192 mmol ), quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution, and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide 19B ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) rt $0.58\left(302[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
tert-Butyl-2-(N-(2-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 19B ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.166 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.332 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.249 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Further trimethylacetyl chloride ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.166$ mmol ) was added. UPLC indicated that the reaction was incomplete. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in pyridine ( 1 mL ), and trimethylacetyl chloride ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.797 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ cartridge acetonitrile/pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide the intermediate of 19C (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ as a white solid that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (long basic) rt $2.02\left(386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-(2-((1H-Imidazol-1-yl)methyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (19C). An intermediate of $19 \mathrm{C}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol ( 3 mL ) and 2 M sodium hydroxide $(0.311 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.622 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 days. The mixture was acidified to pH 5 with 2 M aqueous HCl solution and extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, evaporated, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide $19 \mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (s, br, 1H). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.46$ (330[M+ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$), $100 \%$ pure.

N-(2-((1H-Imidazol-1-yl)methyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (19). 19C ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI•HCl $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.182 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAt $(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.182 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 2 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine $(83 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.73 mmol ) and 19D ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was washed three times with sodium bicarbonate, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 $30 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ cartridge acetonitrile/pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide 19 ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) as a colorless glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.87$ (563 $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 100 \%$ pure.

Ethyl 2-((2-(Hydroxymethyl)benzyl)amino)acetate (13B). 13A ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and triethylamine $(744 \mathrm{mg}, 5.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 30 g , C18 cartridge acetonitrile/ pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide 13B ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.62(224[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

Ethyl 2-(N-(2-(Hydroxymethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 13B $(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere, $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride (113 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated ammonium chloride, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 $30 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ cartridge acetonitrile/pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide intermediate 13 C ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ $0.71\left(308[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-(2-(Hydroxymethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (13C). Intermediate $13 \mathrm{C}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF (3 $\mathrm{mL})$. Methanol ( 3 mL ) and lithium hydroxide monohydrate $(45 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.07 mmol ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 30 g , C18 cartridge acetonitrile/ pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide $13 \mathrm{C}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.44\left(280[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N-(2-(Hydroxymethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (13). 13C ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(62 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.32 mmol ), and HOAt ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 4 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 13D ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 30 g , C18 cartridge acetonitrile/pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide $13(25 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.83\left(513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-((2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)amino)acetate. 18A (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine $(0.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol})$. Ethyl bromoacetate ( 72 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed twice with ammonium chloride, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide $18 \mathrm{~B}(70 \mathrm{mg})$ that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.73\left(293[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-(N-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 18B ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) under an argon atmosphere and then $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine (62 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/ water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and then the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend.

The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated ammonium chloride, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 30 g , C18 cartridge acetonitrile/ pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide the intermediate of $\mathbf{1 8 C}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ 0.87 ( $377[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

2-(N-(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid. An intermediate of 18C ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2.0 M sodium hydroxide ( $159 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The volatiles were removed, and then the residue was dissolved in water. The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl , and the crude material was extracted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 4, and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 18 C ( 59 mg , quant.) that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.50\left(349[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N -(2-(Morpholinomethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (18). 18C $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{EDCI} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(33 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.17 mmol ), and HOAt ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). N,N-Diisopropylethylamine ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 18D ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h . The mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 30 g , C18 cartridge acetonitrile/ pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide 18 ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (dd, $J=7.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 2.27$ (582 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).
(2-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)phenyl)methanamine (9A). $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was carefully added to a solution of the corresponding nitrile $9 \mathrm{E}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction mixture was slowly quenched with saturated ammonium chloride ( 4 mL ), diluted with ethyl acetate, and filtered through celite. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with ammonium chloride, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The crude was purified using a Biotage Isolera ( 18 g , C18 Ultra cartridge, $30-60 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) to provide 9A (356 $\mathrm{mg}, 35 \%)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.48\left(267[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-((2-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)acetate (9B). 9A $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and triethylamine ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl bromoacetate ( 27 mg , 0.16 mmol ) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed twice with ammonium chloride, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide 9B ( 63 mg ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.62\left(353[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-(N-(2-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 9B ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) under an argon atmosphere and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $5: 1-1: 1$ heptane/EtOAc) to provide methyl 2-(N-(2-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate ( 52 mg , $67 \%)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.05\left(437[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-(2-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (9C). Methyl 2-(N-(2-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, tetrahydrofuran $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water ( 1 mL ), and lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight before the pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl and volatiles were removed. The crude product was directly purified via flash silica chromatography (5-30\% methanol/dichloromethane) to provide the desired 9C as a colorless solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.51\left(409[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
N-(2-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (9F). 9C ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 9D ( 30 mg , 0.12 mmol ), and HATU ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 2.5 mL ). $N$-Methylmorpholine ( 0.25 mL ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $70-100 \%$ ethyl acetate/petrol ether) to provide $9 \mathrm{~F}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ as a colorless glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.30-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03 (dd, $J=15.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45-3.51 (m, 1H), 3.56-3.73 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-$ 7.07 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.37 (m, 8H), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.91\left(642[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 99 \%$ pure.

N-(2-Oxo-2-(( $2^{\prime}$-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospirolindene-2, $3^{\prime}$ -pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-(2-(pyrrolidin-3-yl)benzyl) pivalamide (9). 9F ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ were dissolved in methanol ( 5 mL ) followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified ( 500 mg SCX-2 MeOH to ammonia in MeOH ) to provide 9 $(28 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (dd, $J=7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-$ $7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{R} 0.66\left(552[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, 97\% pure.

Ethyl 2-((3-(((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)amino)acetate (14B). 14A ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.635 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 4 mL ), and triethylamine ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.825 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl bromoacetate ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.571 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified via reverse phase chromatography (SP4 $30 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ cartridge acetonitrile/ pH 10 buffer with ammonium bicarbonate) to provide 14B ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ $0.73\left(323[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-(N-(3-(((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)pivalamido) acetate. 14B ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) under an argon atmosphere and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ diisopropylethylamine ( $0.72 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride (34 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography (1:1 heptane/ EtOAc) to provide ethyl 2-(N-(3-(( tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl) pivalamido) acetate ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ). LC-MS ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 2.19$ $\left(407[M+H]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-(3-(((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (14C). Ethyl 2-(N-(3-(( (tertbutoxycarbonyl)amino) methyl) benzyl) pivalamido) acetate ( 95 mg , 0.234 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ) and methanol ( 1 mL ), and lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.351 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl , and the product was extracted with dichloromethane. The volatiles were removed to provide $14 \mathrm{C}(76 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.32$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.97-5.06 (m, 1H), 7.06-7.10 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.23 (d, 1H), 7.27$7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}{ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.54\left(379[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
tert-Butyl 3-((N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro-[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)methyl)benzylcarbamate (14E). 14C ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI• $\mathrm{HCl}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAt $(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 2 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine $(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.678 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $14 \mathrm{D}(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organics were washed three times with water and then with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $5 \%$ methanol/ dichloromethane) to provide $14 \mathrm{E}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 2.36\left(612[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 96 \%$ pure.

N-(3-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (14). 14E ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $p$-toluene sulfonic acid monohydrate $(19 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.10 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h and poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via $\operatorname{SPE}\left(2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ and then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM ) to provide $14(5 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.49 (dd, $J=15.6,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}$, br, 2H), $6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 4.98\left(512[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, $95 \%$ pure.

Methyl 2-(((1H-Indazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)acetate (10B). 10A $(124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then methyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend. The reaction mixture was poured into water, and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl and washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by Isolera (acetonitrile/ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}$ buffer $\left.\mathrm{pH}=10\right)$ to provide $10 \mathrm{~B}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.46\left(220[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 2-(N-((1H-Indazol-4-yl)methyl)pivalamido)acetate. 10B $(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tetrahydrofuran ( 2 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride (20 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated ammonium chloride, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide crude methyl 2 -( N -(( 1 H -indazol-4-yl)methyl)pivalamido)acetate ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 124 \%$ ) that was used directly in the next step. UPLCMS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.66\left(302[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-((1H-Indazol-4-yl)methyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid. Methyl 2( N -((1H-indazol-4-yl)methyl) pivalamido) acetate $(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ mmol ) was dissolved in methanol ( 2.2 mL ), and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was dissolved in water. The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 4 and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 10C ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ $0.73\left(323[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.49(290[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N-((1H-Indazol-4-yl)methyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (10). 10C ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI•HCl ( 32 mg , $0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAt ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1.1 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $62 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 10D ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was directly purified using a Biotage Isolera ( 12 g , C18 Ultra cartridge, $20-40 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) to provide crude $10(31 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.0,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.81\left(523[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 100 \%$ pure.

Methyl 2-((2-(Pyridin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)acetate (20B). 20A (629 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(9.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then methyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride ( $324 \mathrm{mg}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into water and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl and then washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide 20B ( $410 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.62\left(257[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 96 \%$ pure.

Methyl 2-(N-(2-(Pyridin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 20B (97 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography $(0: 1-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc})$ to provide methyl 2-((2-(pyridin-3yl)benzyl)amino)acetate ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ 0.74 (341 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$).

2-(N-(2-(Pyridin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (20C). Methyl 2-((2-(pyridin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)acetate ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 1 mL ), and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.50 mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The volatiles were removed, and then the residue was dissolved in water. The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 4 . The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (repeated three times). The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 20 C as a yellow oil that was used directly in the next step (8 $\mathrm{mg}, 38 \%)$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.49\left(327[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
$N$-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2, $3^{\prime}-$ pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-(2-(pyridin-3-yl)benzyl)pivalamide (20). 20C ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI•HCl ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol ), and HOAt ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}) . N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine $(13 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 20D
( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The crude was directly purified via MDAP (XBridge C18 $19 \times 150,30-60 \%$ acetonitrile water with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide) to provide $20(8 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ (dd, $J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.05 (dd, $J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.14-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.63 (dd, $J=4.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.84 (br, 1 H ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 2.04\left(560[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N-(2-Bromobenzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (21E). 21C ( $3.11 \mathrm{~g}, 9.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI. $\mathrm{HCl}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}$, 13.27 mmol ), and HOAt ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 13.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 60 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 21D ( $2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 9.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 250 mL ) and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and three times with brine. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in DCM) to provide 21E $(4.38 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.29(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.84\left(561,563[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
$N$-(2-Cyanobenzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (21). 21E ( $4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 7.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 88 mL ) and was degassed by bubbling argon through the solution. Zinc(II) cyanide ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 14.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . UPLC-MS indicated complete conversion. The heat was removed, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed twice with saturated sodium bicarbonate and three times with brine. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was triturated with diethyl ether to provide $21(3.85 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as an offwhite solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=16.0,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N-(2-(Aminomethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (12). 21 ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $15 \%$ ammonia in methanol ( 180 mL ) under an argon atmosphere in an autoclave. Raney nickel ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and hydrogen was introduced to 500 psi. The vessel was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and then at rt for 18 h . UPLC-MS showed $20 \%$ conversion, so extra Raney nickel ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and hydrogen was reintroduced to 500 psi. The vessel was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6.5 h . UPLC-MS analysis showed $58 \%$ conversion. The mixture was decanted (from the nickel solids) and filtered through celite, washing with $15 \%$ ammonia in methanol, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in $15 \%$ ammonia in methanol ( 180 mL ) under an argon atmosphere in an autoclave. Raney nickel ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72$ mmol ) was added, and hydrogen was reintroduced to 500 psi. The vessel was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , then rt for $18 \mathrm{~h}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$ for 42 h , and $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The mixture was decanted (from the nickel solids) and filtered through celite, washing with $15 \%$ ammonia in methanol, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography (EtOAc and then $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, then $10-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ with ammonia in DCM) to provide 12 (240 $\mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ) as a white powder after freeze-drying from an aqueous solution. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.49 (dd, $J=15.8,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ (br s, 2H), 4.93 (br s, 2H), 6.86 (dd, $J=7.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.09-7.41$
(m, 7H), 7.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 (dd, $J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.79\left(512[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 84 \%$ pure-contains $6 \%$ monoN -methyl and $3 \%$ di- N -methylamine byproducts.
2-((N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)methyl)benzamide (15). 21 ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMSO ( 1 $\mathrm{mL})$. Water ( 0.17 mL ) was added, followed by hydrogen peroxide solution ( 3 drops) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (repeated twice). The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified twice via column chromatography (1:0 ethyl acetate/methanol to $15: 1$ ethyl acetate/methanol) to provide 15 $(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.11 (dd, $J=7.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLCMS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.65\left(526[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 2-((2-(2-(Diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)amino)acetate (16B). 16A ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 2 mL ), and then methyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $191 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water, and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl before the mixture was washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide 16B ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.63-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-$ $5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.84\left(303[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 80 \%$ pure.

Methyl 2-(N-(2-(2-(Diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 16B ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $93 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21$ mmol ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 days. The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic extracts were evaporated to provide methyl 2-( N -(2-(2-(diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.96\left(387[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 89 \%$ pure.

2-(N-(2-(2-(Diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (16C). Methyl 2-(N-(2-(2-(diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 1 mL ), and then 2.5 M sodium hydroxide ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The volatiles were removed, the material was diluted with water, and the pH was adjusted to 5 with 2 M HCl . This was then concentrated to dryness to provide 16C (assume 0.176 mmol ) as a glass that was used directly in the next step.

N-(2-(2-(Diallylamino)ethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1,1',2',3-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (16E). 16C ( $\sim 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI.HCl (53 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HOAt ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 16D ( $44.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.177 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography (EtOAc) to provide 16E ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as a pale yellow glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.02 (br s, 2H), $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 2.65$ ( 606 $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 98 \%$ pure.

N-(2-(2-Aminoethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (16). 16E (77 mg, 0.127 mmol$)$ and $N, N^{\prime}$ dimethylbarbituric acid $(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.801 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry degassed dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) and degassed again. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (0) (11.4 mg, 0.010 mmol$)$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and at rt for 18 h . UPLC-MS analysis showed incomplete reaction. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (0) ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . UPLC-MS still showed incomplete conversion. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and saturated sodium bicarbonate, and layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The aqueous layer was backextracted twice with ethyl acetate, the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The extracted residues were combined and shown to contain monoallyl byproduct. The product was still in the aqueous layer, which was evaporated and purified using a Biotage Isolera ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{C} 18$ Ultra cartridge, $60-80 \%$ acetonitrile/water with pH 10 buffer) to provide crude 16. This was further purified via MDAP (XBridge C18 $19 \times 150,35-50 \%$ acetonitrile water with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide) to provide 16 ( $19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ ) as a pale yellow glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.93-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (br s, 2H), $6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.79\left(526[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 94 \%$ pure.
tert-Butyl 8-(((2-Methoxy-2-oxoethyl)amino)methyl)-3,4-dihy-droisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (17B). 17A (80 mg, 0.306 mmol ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 5 mL ), and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and glycine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.918 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by magnesium sulfate. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . Sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and stirring was continued at rt for 72 h . The reaction mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. UPLC-MS indicated a $1: 1$ mixture of imine and amine. Repeating conditions with sodium triacetoxyborohydride in dichloromethane did not improve the ratio. The residue was dissolved in methanol ( 10 mL ), cooled on ice/water, and sodium borohydride (7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1.5 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic extracts were washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide $\mathbf{1 7 B}(150 \mathrm{mg}$, quantitative yield) as a yellow oil that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.83\left(335[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
tert-Butyl 8-((N-(2-Methoxy-2-oxoethyl)pivalamido)methyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate. 17B (148 mg, $\sim 0.407$ mmol ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h after which time UPLC-MS indicated that amine had been completely consumed. The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica $\mathrm{SPE}\left(5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}, 15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in $\mathrm{DCM})$ to provide tert-butyl 8 -(( $N$-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-pivalamido)methyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) as a colorless gum. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.93$ (419 $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 80 \%$ pure.

2-(N-((2-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-8-yl)methyl)pivalamido)-acetic Acid (17C). tert-Butyl 8-((N-(2-me-thoxy-2-oxoethyl) pivalamido)methyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline$2(1 H)$-carboxylate ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol})$ was
added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . UPLC-MS indicated incomplete hydrolysis, so a further 2.5 M sodium hydroxide $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.125 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 72 h . The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated ammonium chloride. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide $\mathbf{1 7 C}(\sim 0.084 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a glass, which was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.59\left(405[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.
tert-Butyl 8-((N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro-[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)-methyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxylate (17E). 17C (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{EDCI} . \mathrm{HCl}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAt ( 14 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL}) . N, N-$ Diisopropylethylamine ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 17D ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084$ mmol ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic extract was washed three times with water, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via $\mathrm{SPE}\left(2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{EtOAc}\right)$ to provide $17 \mathrm{E}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a colorless glass. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.86\left(638[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-((1,2,3,4-tetrahydroiso-quinolin-8-yl)methyl)pivalamide (17). 17E ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ). Trifluoroacetic acid (0.3 mL ) was added, and the solution was stirred at rt for 45 min . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via $\mathrm{SPE}\left(2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} 10 \%\right.$ MeOH in EtOAc and then $10-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM ) to provide 17 $(12 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a colorless glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.86 (dd, $J=7.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.66\left(538[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, 99\% pure.

Ethyl 2-((2-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)benzyl)amino)acetate (11B). 11A ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethyl bromoacetate ( $38 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(157 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in DMF ( 1 mL ) and stirred at rt for 2 h , after which the reaction was complete by UPLC-MS. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 11B ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a yellow gum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.14$ (br s, 1H).

Ethyl 2-(N-(2-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 11B $(119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(204 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethylacetyl chloride ( $58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . UPLC-MS showed little reaction, so further $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine (204 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethylacetyl chloride ( $58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. After an additional 2 h , UPLC-MS showed complete reaction. The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane. The organics were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified via flash chromatography ( $4: 1$ heptane/ethyl acetate) to provide the intermediate of $11 \mathrm{C}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-7.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short CSH $2-50 \%){ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.50\left(415[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$, $95 \%$ pure.

2-(N-(2-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid 11C. An intermediate of 7C ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved
in methanol ( 1.5 mL ), and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.625$ mmol ) was added and the mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h . The mixture was poured into water, and the pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl , and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 4 , and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide $11 \mathrm{C}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ (br s, 1H). UPLC-MS (short CSH $2-50 \%){ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.28\left(363[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$, $95 \%$ pure.
tert-Butyl (2-((N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro-[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)methyl)phenyl)carbamate (11E). 11C ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI.HCl ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HOAt $(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 4 mL ). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( 115 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 11D ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via flash silica chromatography ( $1: 1$ heptane/acetone) to provide 11 E ( 110 mg , $84 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.36(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 1.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.03 (dd, $J=15.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.60 (dd, $J=16.0$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{br}$, s, 1 H ), $8.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short CSH $2-$ $50 \%){ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.31\left(498[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Boc}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, $88 \%$ pure.

N-(2-Aminobenzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (11). 11E ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Trifluoroacetic acid $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was stirred at rt for 7 h . The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via prep-HPLC (HP C18, ID 22 mm , length 150 mm , flow rate $16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}: 5-50 \% \mathrm{MeCN} /$ water $/ 0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetyl (TFA) over 20 min ) to provide $11(10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a colorless glass (TFA salt). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ (dd, $J=$ $15.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (br s, 2H), $4.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC ( 25 min acidic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 12.28,99 \%$ pure. MS 498 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N-(2-(Guanidinomethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (24). $12(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-benzyl-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide hydrochloride (prepared according to the literature; ${ }^{47} 30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.117 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to tetrahydrofuran $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetonitrile $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 1 h . The mixture was diluted with methanol and purified directly by prep-HPLC (HP C18, ID 22 mm , length 150 mm , flow $16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ : $5-45 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ water/acetonitrile $0.1 \%$ TFA over 20 min ) to provide the desired $24(8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a colorless glass (TFA salt). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (dd, $J=15.9,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-$ $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC: $98 \%$ pure. MS: $554[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2-(1,1-Dimethoxyethyl)benzaldehyde (29E). 29A ( $830 \mathrm{mg}, 3.39$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere and cooled on dry ice/acetone. To this was added a solution of $n$-butyllithium ( $2.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes) dropwise so that the internal temperature stayed below $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10$
$\min$ addition). The reaction was stirred on dry ice/acetone for 60 $\min$. To this was added DMF $(0.525 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. The mixture was stirred on dry ice/acetone for 60 min before being allowed to warm to rt over 18 h . Water was added, and the mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 29E ( $634 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a straw-colored oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 2-((2-(1,1-Dimethoxyethyl)benzyl)amino)acetate (29B). 29E ( $634 \mathrm{mg}, 3.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere. $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( 1.14 mL , 6.52 mmol ) was added followed by methyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $777 \mathrm{mg}, 6.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and magnesium sulfate (excess). The mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . Sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2$ mmol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h . The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide $29 \mathrm{~B}(717 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a pale straw-colored gum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.37-7.42 (m, 1H), 7.52-7.57 (m, 1H).

Methyl 2-(N-(2-(1,1-Dimethoxyethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate. 29B ( $685 \mathrm{mg}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere, and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine (1.34 $\mathrm{mL}, 7.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Trimethylacetyl chloride $(0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.07$ mmol ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h after which the reaction had reached completion, as judged by TLC analysis. The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide methyl 2( $N$-(2-(1-aminoethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate ( 1.012 g , quantitative yield) as a yellow gum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ (br s, 2H), 7.25$7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, one signal collapsed, not visible.

2-(N-(2-(1,1-Dimethoxyethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (29C). Methyl 2-(N-(2-(1-aminoethyl)benzyl)pivalamido)acetate $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide ( $0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h , after which the reaction had reached completion, as judged by TLC analysis. The mixture was diluted with water, and the pH was adjusted very carefully to pH 4 with $10 \%$ potassium hydrogen sulfate. Once at pH 4 , the aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated carefully ( $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath, not to dryness). 29C was used directly in the next step as the compound is not stable.

N-(2-(1,1-Dimethoxyethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (29F). 29C ( $\sim 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. EDCI. $\mathrm{HCl}(322 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HOAt ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by 29D (387 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 days. The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed three times with water, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via column chromatography ( 300 mL silica, $2: 1$ heptane/ acetone) to provide $29 \mathrm{~F}(247 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%)$ as a colorless glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H).

## Scheme 5. Synthesis of 28


aReagents and conditions: (a) glycine methyl ester hydrochloride, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt ; (b) PivCl, DIPEA, DCM, rt; (c) (i) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{DMF}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MW}$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{TFA}$, rt; (d) (i) $\mathrm{CbzCl}^{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt; (ii) 2.5 N NaOH , MeOH , rt; (e) (i) F, EDCI. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HOAt}$, DIPEA, DMF, rt; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

N-(2-Acetylbenzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro-[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (29G). 29F ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetone ( 15 mL ), and $p$-toluene sulfonic acid monohydrate ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h , at which point further $p$ toluene sulfonic acid monohydrate ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred for a further 1 h . The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 29G ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (dd, $J=$ $15.8,7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.16-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H). UPLC-MS ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.75\left(524[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, $90 \%$ pure.

N-(2-(1-Aminoethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (29). 29G ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ammonium acetate $(131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium cyanoborohydride ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 18 h . Extra ammonium acetate (131 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The mixture was poured into water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane. The organic extract was evaporated, and the residue was purified via prep-HPLC (XBridge C18, ID 19 mm , length 150 mm , flow rate $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}: 40-60 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in pH 10 $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right.$ with $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ over 8 min$)$ to provide $29(15 \mathrm{mg}$, $17 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.29-1.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-$ $7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (long run) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.86$ $\left(526[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 99 \%$ pure (Scheme 5).

Methyl 2-((1-(2-Bromophenyl)ethyl)amino)acetate (28B). 28A (5 $\mathrm{g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(9.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then methyl glycinate hydrochloride ( $15.69 \mathrm{~g}, 125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium cyanoborohydride $(3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 62.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was stirred at rt over the weekend. The reaction mixture was poured into water, and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl before the mixture was washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by Isolera (acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}$ buffer $\left.\mathrm{pH}=10\right)$ to provide 28B $(1.857 \mathrm{~g}$, $27 \%)$ UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.88$ (273 [M+H] ${ }^{+}$).

Methyl 2-(N-(1-(2-Bromophenyl)ethyl)pivalamido)acetate $28 C$. 28B ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$
under an argon atmosphere, and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine (3.55 $\mathrm{mL}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/ water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting with heptane/acetone $=4: 1$ to provide the intermediate of 28C ( 2.2 g , 91\%). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.98$ $\left(357[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 2-(N-(1-(2-Cyanophenyl)ethyl)pivalamido)acetate. 28C $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was degassed by bubbling argon through the solution. Zinc(II) cyanide ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed twice with saturated sodium bicarbonate and three times with brine. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The crude was directly purified using a Biotage Isolera (C18 Ultra cartridge, 0-20\% acetone/heptane) to provide the intermediate of 28D ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.79\left(303.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 2-(N-(1-(2-(Aminomethyl)phenyl)ethyl)pivalamido)acetate (28D). An intermediate of 28D ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of methanol $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Palladium-on-carbon ( $10 \%$ wet, 30 mg ) was added, the vessel was sealed, and an atmosphere of hydrogen was introduced using a balloon. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h . The reaction was filtered through celite, washed with methanol, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 28D. UPLC-MS (long basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.68\left(307[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$, 84\% pure.

Methyl 2-(N-(1-(2-((((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)ethyl)pivalamido)acetate. 28D $(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine $(92 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to benzyl chloroformate ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h , quenched by adding water, and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were dried over sodium sulfate. After filtration and concentration, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with heptane/ acetone $=4: 1$ to provide the intermediate of $28 \mathrm{E}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield $)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.38$ (m, 9H). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.89\left(441[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

2-(N-(1-(2-((((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)ethyl)pivalamido)acetic Acid (28E). An intermediate of 28E ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol ) was dissolved in methanol ( 1 mL ), and 2.5 M sodium hydroxide $(168 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was

## Scheme 6. Synthesis of 7, 8, 23, 26, and 27


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) amine $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{DIPEA}, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$ then $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, rt, 18-30 h ; (b) (i) amine $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{EDCI}$, HOAt, DIPEA, DMF, rt, o/n; (ii) 4 M HCl , dioxane, rt , o/n; (c) (i) RCHO, DIPEA, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{THF}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{rt}$, $18-30 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) PivCI, DIPEA, DCM, rt.
stirred at rt over the weekend. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was dissolved in water. The pH was adjusted carefully to 4 by the addition of 2 M HCl , and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous pH was again adjusted to 4 , and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide 28 E ( 68 mg , quantitative yield) that was used directly in the next step. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.51\left(427[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Benzyl 2-(1-(N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro-[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)ethyl)benzylcarbamate. 28E ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol ) and HOAt ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added followed by 28 F ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with ethyl acetate and brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $4: 1$ heptane/ acetone) to provide benzyl 2-(1-(N-(2-oxo-2-( ( $2^{\prime}$-oxo- $1,1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro [indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl) amino)ethyl)pivalamido)ethyl)benzylcarbamate ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.80\left(658[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

N-(1-(2-(Aminomethyl)phenyl)ethyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide. To a solution of benzyl 2-(1-(N-(2-oxo-2( $\left(2^{\prime}\right.$-oxo- $1,1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene- $2,3^{\prime}$-pyrrolo $[2,3-b]$ pyridin]5 -yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamido)ethyl)benzylcarbamate ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 2 mL ) was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{mg})$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The suspension was degassed under vacuum and purged with hydrogen three times. The resulting mixture was stirred under 700 psi of hydrogen pressure at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h . The mixture was filtered through celite, and the filter liquid was concentrated and purified by preparative HPLC (acetonitrile/ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}$ buffer over 8 min ) to provide 28 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.80\left(526[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)($Scheme 6$)$.
$N$-(2-((()1H-Imidazol-2-yl)methyl)amino)methyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, 1', 2',3-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]-pyridine]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (23). 23A ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ), and $23 \mathrm{~B}(12 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.076 mmol ) was added. $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15$ mmol ) and magnesium sulfate were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt. After 20 h , sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094$ mmol ) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature,
monitoring by UPLC-MS. Extra sodium triacetoxyborohydride (20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as required. Once complete, the reaction was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and the mixture was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The crude was purified via SPE ( 2 g STMAd MeOH and then $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH , followed by $2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} 0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc and then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) to provide $23(13 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.48 (dd, $J=15.9,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.63 (br d, 1 H ), 3.70 (br d, 1H), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (br s, 2H), $6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R}$ $0.64\left(592[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 92 \%$ pure.

N -(2-((Cyclopropylamino)methyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo1,1', $2^{\prime}$,3-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (26). 26A ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ), and 26B $(8.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added. $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine $(0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15$ mmol ) and magnesium sulfate were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt. After 6 h , sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094$ mmol ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 48 h . The reaction was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The crude was purified via SPE ( $2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SiO}_{2} 0-12 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc) and trituration in diethyl ether to provide $26(9 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.27-0.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.40-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30$ (s, 9H), 2.14-2.22 (m, 1H), $3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (dd, $J=16.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{R} 0.78\left(552[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 95 \%$ pure.
$N$-(2-(Azetidin-1-ylmethyl)benzyl)-N-(2-oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3-$ tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)pivalamide (27). 27A ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ), and $27 \mathrm{~B}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and magnesium sulfate were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt . After 6 h , sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 30 h . The reaction was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The crude was purified via SPE ( 2 g $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} 0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in EtOAc and then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) to provide $27(21 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as a colorless glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.11 (br s, 2H), 6.81 (dd, $J=7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68$ (br d, 2H). UPLCMS ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.78\left(552[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 95 \%$ pure.
tert-Butyl (2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1,1',2',3-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)carbamate (7E/8E). $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine ( $6.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of Boc-glycine-OH ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI. $\mathrm{HCl}(2.52 \mathrm{~g}$, $13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and HOAt ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 25 mL ) under an argon atmosphere. Amine $\mathbf{D}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, washing in with DMF ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h , after which time the reaction was complete as assessed by UPLC-MS. The mixture was poured into saturated sodium bicarbonate. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were washed three times with water, $20 \%$ aqueous citric acid, three more times with water, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to provide the intermediate of $7 \mathrm{E} / 8 \mathrm{E}(4.84 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$ as a pale yellow glass. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (CSH 2-50\%) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.93$ (409 [M + H] ${ }^{+}$).

2-Amino-N-(2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine]-5-yl)acetamide Dihydrochloride (7E/8E). An intermediate of $7 \mathrm{E} / 8 \mathrm{E}(4.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was triturated in 3 M HCl in cyclopentyl methyl ether ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) until a flowing suspension was obtained. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h after which the reaction was judged complete by UPLC-MS. The solid was isolated by decanting the solvent and then washed and decanted three times with diethyl ether. The solid was dried to provide $7 \mathrm{E} / \mathbf{8 E}$ ( 4.62 mg , quantitative yield) as a beige powder. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 0.43\left(309[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right), 93 \%$ pure.
$N$-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-((1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-$5-y l) m e t h y l) p i v a l a m i d e ~(7) .7 E(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tetrahydrofuran $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.065 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). 1-Phenyl- 1 H -pyrazole-5-carbaldehyde ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and magnesium sulfate were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered, poured into water, and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl and washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide the intermediate of 7. This compound was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride ( $258 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via MDAP (XBridge C18 19 $\times 150,30-60 \%$ acetonitrile water with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide) to provide $7(11 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (dd, $J=7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ (dd, $J=5.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.99(549[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N-(2-Oxo-2-((2'-oxo-1, $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3$-tetrahydrospiro[indene-2, $3^{\prime}-$ pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridin]-5-yl)amino)ethyl)-N-(thiazol-2-ylmethyl)pivalamide (8). 8E (52 mg, 0.14 mmol$)$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tetrahydrofuran $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine ( $0.065 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Thiazole-2-carbaldehyde ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( 100 mg , 0.47 mmol ), and magnesium sulfate were added, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered, poured into
water, and the pH was adjusted to 4 with 2 M HCl before being washed twice with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was basified with sodium carbonate and extracted twice with dichloromethane. This organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to provide an intermediate of 8 . This compound was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2.5 mL ) under an argon atmosphere, and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice/water). Trimethylacetyl chloride $(221 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, washed with brine and saturated sodium bicarbonate, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was purified via MDAP (XBridge C18 $19 \times 150$, 30-60\% acetonitrile water with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide) to provide 8 (14 $\mathrm{mg}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.72-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (short basic) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{R} 1.80\left(490[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$.

Synthesis of 30 (See Scheme 2). (R)-1'-(tert-Butyl)-5-(dibenzylamino)-1,3-dihydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]-pyridin]-2'( $1^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ )-one (33). To a solution of sodium hydroxide ( 72 g ) in water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature were added toluene $(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ and [2-(chloromethyl)-4-(dibenzylamino)phenyl]methanol hydrochloride $(4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, while bubbling with argon, for 5 min . Methyl 1-tert-butyl-2-hydroxy-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine-3-carboxylate (3.0 g, 12.1 mmol ) was added in three portions over 10 min . Argon continued to be bubbled through the stirring solution for 15 min , and (9R)-1-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]cinchonan-1-ium-9-ol bromide $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion at room temperature. This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h under bubbling argon. Water ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added [note: exothermic reaction], and the mixture was stirred for $\sim 15 \mathrm{~min}$ while warming to room temperature. The two layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted by ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to give the crude product of $\sim 90 \%$ purity, $83 \%$ ee. This product was dissolved in toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once totally dissolved, the mixture was warmed to room temperature and methanol $(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , and the resulting crystals were collected by filtration and washed with methanol to give 33 ( $61 \%, 96 \% ~ e e)$. The product was further recrystallized using toluene ( 50 mL ) and methanol $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give $3.1 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%,>99 \% \mathrm{ee})$ of the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,11.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.78 (dd, $J=7.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS ( $488.27[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$). Chiral HPLC: Phenomenex Lux $3 \mu$ Cellulose-1 column; "hexane/ isopropanol, 95:5; flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; detection at 254 nm .
(R)-5-Amino-1,3-dihydrospiro[indene-2,3'-pyrrolo[2,3-b]-pyridin]-2'(1'H)-one (30). To a solution of $33(3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added methanesulfonic acid $(11 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 h . The methanol was removed under vacuum, and water ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the mixture, and pH was adjusted to $\sim 10$ by adding a $50 \%$ aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to give the crude product. The crude product was dissolved in methanol $(\sim 80 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to the solution followed by concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature under a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ overnight. Volatiles were removed to dryness, and the crude material was then dissolved in dichloromethane. Water followed by saturated aqueous potassium carbonate was added to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 10$. The mixture was extracted by dichloromethane, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated to give 1.2 g ( $77 \%$, $>99 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) of the desired product 30 . This compound was used directly
in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-8.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS $\left(252.11[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=+63.6(c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Chiral HPLC: Phenomenex Lux $3 \mu$ Cellulose-1 column; ${ }^{n}$ hexane/ isopropanol, 40:60; flow rate $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; detection at 220 nm .

Synthesis of ( $R$ )-25. This compound was synthesized according to the experimental procedure described for 25 using 30 instead of D (see Scheme 4). ${ }^{34}$ Analytical data for $(R)-25:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{br}$ s, 2H), $6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=+40.8$ (c 1.0, MeOH).

Cell Lines and Culture Conditions. All cell lines were purchased from ATCC, Cell Applications, Inc., or DiscoverX with proof of authentication, unless stated. All cell lines were maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Human breast cancer cells MDA-MB-231 (ATCC, HTB-26) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium, GlutaMAX supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 61870036) containing $10 \%$ (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10500-064), and $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ penicillin-streptomycin (SigmaAldrich, P4333). CGRP (95-0164C6) receptor- and $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$ (950169C6) receptor-overexpressing cell lines were obtained from DiscoverX and cultured in AssayComplete Cell Culture Kit 105 (92-3105G) supplemented with $800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ G418 and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ puromycin. The receptor component expression of these cells was validated in-house previously. ${ }^{34}$

Time-Resolved Fluorescence Resonance Energy-Transfer (TR-FRET) cAMP Accumulation. The ability of the compounds to inhibit cAMP production induced by an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ concentration of the maximum agonist activation (information previously published ${ }^{34}$ ) in GPCR/RAMP-overexpressing cells (i.e., $\mathrm{AM}_{2}$, CGRP cells) was evaluated using cAMP accumulation assays. Each compound was tested at 8 full-log concentrations $\left(10^{-11}-10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ including a negative control (blank). The total cAMP was measured using the TR-FRET LANCE cAMP detection kit (PerkinElmer, AD0264), as described previously. ${ }^{34}$ Briefly, frozen cells $\left(2 \times 10^{6}\right.$ in each well) were thawed and prepared in warm stimulation buffer $(1 \times$ HBSS, 5 $\mathrm{mM} N$-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine- $N^{\prime}$-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 0.5 mM IBMX, and $0.1 \%$ bovine serum albumin (BSA)). Alexa Fluor conjugated anti-cAMP (1:100 concentration) was then added to the cell suspension, and cells were plated ( 2500 cells, $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in a 384-well white opaque microtiter plate (OptiPlates, PerkinElmer, 6007299). Cells were first preincubated with serial dilutions ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of the antagonists for 30 min at room temperature prior to their stimulation with the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of agonist $(3 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for 15 min at room temperature. Subsequently, a $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ detection mix (Europium-Chelate streptavidin/biotinylated cAMP) was added to stop the reaction and induce cell lysis. TR-FRET was detected after an hour of incubation by an EnSight multimode Plate reader (PerkinElmer) at $320 / 340 \mathrm{~nm}$ excitation and $615 / 665 \mathrm{~nm}$ emission. Data were normalized to agonist only and blank (stimulation buffer only) wells as 0 and $100 \%$ cAMP inhibition, respectively.

The final DMSO concentration was below $0.5 \%$, and this was kept consistent in all of the wells, including agonist alone and blank. The same methodology including the number of cells was used for all cell lines. Concentration-response curves were analyzed using threeparameter logistic curve fitting to determine $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (Graphpad Prism 7 and 8). No further constraints in any parameters of the curves were used.

Real-Time-Glo MT Viability Assay. Cell viability in human breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) was quantified using Real-TimeGlo MT Cell Viability Assay (Promega, G9712) as previously described. ${ }^{34}$ Cells ( 2000 cells) were seeded into 96 -well white clearbottom plates (Corning, 3903) in full serum media overnight before washing and changing to suboptimal media (RPMI $+5 \%$ FBS $+1 \%$ $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}$ ) containing Real-Time-Glo reagents according to the Promega protocol. A baseline luminescence read (prior to treatment) was taken
using an EnSight Multimode Plate Reader (PerkinElmer) after an hour of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were then treated with compounds or vehicle control ( $\mathrm{PBS}+0.05 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) daily. Results were normalized to vehicle-treated controls as $100 \%$ viable (Graphpad Prism 7 and 8).

Ethical Statement for In Vivo Studies. The in vivo study plans were assessed by a local research ethics committee before submission for Home Office approval. All in vivo experiments were carried out under the authority of project and personal licenses granted by the U.K. Home Office under the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (ASPA).

In Vivo Efficacy Model. The study was performed using 6-7 week old BALB/c nude female mice, with a weight range of $15-20 \mathrm{~g}$. Animals were provided by Envigo Corporation (Cambridgeshire, U.K.) or Charles River Laboratories (Massachusetts) depending on availability. Each experiment started with 10 mice (experimental units) in each experimental/control group. Subsequent analysis (tumor growth and histology) was only performed in animals where tumors had established and were palpable within 3 days of implantation. This was in accordance with the power calculation performed to ensure robust statistical analysis by the University of Sheffield Statistical Service. The animals were housed in individually ventilated cages (with the appropriate bedding and flooring conditions) in environmentally controlled conditions with a 12 h light/dark cycles at $\sim 26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Mice had access to an adequate amount of water and a 2018 Teklad Global 18\% Protein Rodent Diet containing $1.01 \%$ calcium (Harlan Laboratories, U.K.). The day-today care of the animals was carried out by the technicians in the Biological Services (The University of Sheffield, U.K.). All scientific procedures on animals were carried under the U.K. Home Office Project Licenses (40/3499) and Procedure Individual Licenses.

Compound Preparation for In Vivo Studies. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, D4540) and sonicated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The appropriate volume of solvent (Kolliphor HS15 (1 part, grams), Kollisolv PEG E 400 ( 3 parts, mL), and PBS ( 6 parts, $\mathrm{mL})$ ) was then added to yield a $6 \% \mathrm{DMSO} / 94 \%$ solvent solution. These working stocks ( $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were further sonicated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min before storing at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To make treatment aliquots, equal amounts of the working stock (or vehicle control) and solvent were mixed and sonicated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~min}(4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, equivalent to 20 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 3 \% \mathrm{DMSO} / 97 \%$ solvent). Vehicle control and compounds were sonicated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min prior to ip injections ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per mouse).

Cell Preparation and Tumor Inoculation. Cells were prepared according to standard cell culture techniques. Cell pellets were resuspended in 50\% PBS/50\% Matrigel (Corning, 354234). Matrigel/ PBS cell suspension, needles (25G), and syringes ( 1 mL ) were kept on ice before and during tumor inoculation into mice. Cell suspension ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5 \times 10^{6}$ cells) was injected subcutaneously into the left flank of 6-7 weeks old female immunodeficient nude athymic mice (BALB/c nude). Once the tumors became palpable (around 100 $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ), mice were randomized into treatment groups. Mice were treated daily at the same time of the day with an ip injection of 20 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound or vehicle control ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per mouse) until humane end point. Mice were observed for at least 30 min post treatment to detect any acute adverse effects. Tumor size and mouse weights were measured twice a week. At the end of each study, the animals were euthanized following the appropriate procedures listed in the ASPA Act 1986. Vital organs and tumors were stored in $10 \%$ neutral-buffered formalin for further histological analysis. The primary experimental outcome was tumor volume. The percentage tumor volume was calculated by normalizing measured tumor volumes to the initial tumor volume prior to the start of treatment on day 5 . Simple linear regression was done to compare the line of best fit between the growth curves. Blinding was not used for the in vivo studies.
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