RESEARCH ARTICLE



REVISED The effects of the glycaemic control on the severity of

the delirium in the advanced phase of Alzheimer's disease

[version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Antonio Martocchia¹, Marta Scarienzi¹, Pietro Prunas¹, Enrico Bentivegna¹, Mauro Cacciafesta², Paolo Martelletti¹, Giorgio Sesti¹

¹Sapienza University of Rome, ROMA CAPITALE, RM, 00100, Italy

²Department of Cardiovascular, Respiratory, Nephrological, Anesthesiological and Geriatric Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

V2 First published: 16 Dec 2020, 9:1470 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26022.1 Latest published: 28 Jul 2021, 9:1470 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26022.2

Abstract

Background: Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) and delirium are common in advanced phases of Alzheimer's disease (AD).

Methods: Thirty-eight moderate-severe AD patients were enrolled (n=16 affected by type 2 diabetes). Each patient received a comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) (including evaluation of BPSD and frailty), and a complete metabolic evaluation (including the measurement of the glycated hemoglobin, HbA1c).

Results: Both the hyper- and hypo-glycemic extremes of the glycemic spectrum worsened BPSD, but delirium was more susceptible to hypoglycemic events. The severity of delirium was significantly related to cognitive function (r = -0.585, p<0.001) and frailty (r = +0.440, p<0.05).

Conclusions: The measurement of HbA1c was useful for evaluating the risk of delirium in relationship to glycemic control and nutritional status.

Keywords

Marigliano-Cacciafesta-polypathology-scale, glycaemic control, Alzheimer's disease, delirium

Open Peer Review			
Reviewer Sta	tus 🗸 🗸		
	Invited Reviewers		
	1	2	
version 2			
(revision)			
28 Jul 2021			
version 1	×	×	
16 Dec 2020	report	report	

- Sandra Sigala ^(D), University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- Takahiko Nagamine D, Director of Sunlight
 Brain Research Center, Hofu, Japan
 Matsumoto Surgical Hospital, Hofu, Japan

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Antonio Martocchia (antonio.martocchia@uniroma1.it)

Author roles: Martocchia A: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology; Scarienzi M: Data Curation; Prunas P: Data Curation; Bentivegna E: Writing – Review & Editing; Cacciafesta M: Resources; Martelletti P: Resources; Sesti G: Resources

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2021 Martocchia A *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Martocchia A, Scarienzi M, Prunas P *et al.* The effects of the glycaemic control on the severity of the delirium in the advanced phase of Alzheimer's disease [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2021, 9:1470 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26022.2

First published: 16 Dec 2020, 9:1470 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26022.1

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

This version of the article has details about the treatment with central nervous system agents in the groups of AD patients with and without diabetes. The references have been increased, considering the suggestions of the reviewers. The introduction and the discussion have been improved.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) and delirium are common in the advanced phases of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and worsen with disease severity, whereas symptoms of cognitive decline may be prevalent in the first phases of the disease¹.

Both the hyper- and hypo-glycemic extremes of the glycemic spectrum may worsen cognitive functions in AD patients^{2–4}, and nutrition plays an important role in the development of the delirium in frail older patients⁵.

The aim of this preliminary report was to evaluate the relationship between delirium and glycemic control in the advanced phases of AD.

Methods

Ethical statement

The study involved human participants with metabolic syndrome in aging and it was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the local institutional committee (S. Andrea Hospital, ID number 67910) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Written informed consent for participation and evaluation of data was obtained from the individual participants included in this study or from their caregivers, where ability to consent was affected by delirium.

Participants

We randomly (systematic sampling) enrolled 38 elderly patients (n = 11 males and n = 27 females) from the outpatients of the S. Andrea Hospital of Rome, in 2019. From their medical records, the patients met the criteria for probable AD dementia proposed by the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA)⁶ (Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of 12.4+7.3, mean + standard deviation, in the moderatesevere stage of the disease). Prior to inclusion, subjects with other diseases, such as territorial infarction, intracranial hemorrhage, brain tumor, hydrocephalus, or severe white matter hyperintensities (WMH) were excluded from the study. Sixteen of the patients (16/38, 42% of the sample) were affected by type 2 diabetes (T2DM, according to 2020 American Diabetes Association, ADA, criteria)⁷, undergoing treatment with oral glucose lowering agents (n = 13, one patient withrepaglinide) or with insulin (n = 3).

Assessments

Each patient received from our group: a) a comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA), including the evaluation of the Activity of Daily Living (ADL), the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL), the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale (CIRS) and the Marigliano-Cacciafesta Polypathology Scale (MCPS)^{8,9}; b) an anthropometric evaluation, including height, weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA)¹⁰; c) a behavioral and psychological examination, using the Cornell's scale, the Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR), the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) and the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM, with CAM-severity)¹¹⁻¹³. An assessment of glucose metabolism, including fasting glycaemia and insulinemia (excluded in insulin-treated patients), glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and insulin resistance by the means of the HOMA-index (glycemia mg/dl x insulinaemia µU/mol /405) (excluded in insulin-treated patients) and an evaluation of renal function, measuring creatinine and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) estimated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula (CKD-EPI), was performed using a clinical chemistry analyzer (c16000 Architect System, Abbott Laboratories). A continuous glucose monitoring (FreeStyle Libre system) was used in selected patients with a high risk of hypoglycemic events, or with great variability of glycaemia during the day.

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis, carried out using Primer of Biostatistics Version 7, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for the evaluation of the differences between the groups of patients. The relationship between two variables was examined by the means of regression analysis. A p<0.05 was assumed as significant. Data are presented as mean + standard deviation.

Results

The clinical characteristics of the 38 AD patients with and without T2DM are described in Table 1^{14} .

The percentage of use of drugs (antipsychotics, sleeping pills, antidepressants, antidementia, antiparkinsonian agents, mood stabilizing medications) in AD patients with or without diabetes, were respectively: 37%, 19%, 19%, 6%, 19%, 6%, and 32%, 18%, 23%, 9%, 9%, 9% (differences not significant). One AD patients with diabetes was treated with zolpidem.

The MMSE scores were inversely and significantly related to CAM-S score (r = -0.585, p<0.001), while MCPS scores were positively related to the CAM-S score (r = 0.440, p<0.05) (Figure 1a and 1b).

The regression analysis between HbA1c and MMSE score showed a concave downward " \cap " parabola (not significant) with apex at HbA1c of 10.5% and MMSE of 13.0. The regression analysis between HbA1c and the psychometric BPSD scales (Cornell, NPI and CAM-S) showed a "U" shape (concave upward

Table 1. Clinical characteristics in Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients with (n = 16, females n = 9) and without (n = 22, females n = 18) type 2 diabetes (T2DM) (mean+standard deviation).

	AD patients without T2DM	AD patients with T2DM
Age (years)	83.3+5.7	82.3+4.2
MMSE	11.6+7.1	12.7+7.5
ADL (IADL M and F)	2.9+2.2 (M: 1.7+1.7; F: 1.2+1.8)	2.7+1.8 (M: 1.4+1.5; F: 0.7+1.0)
MCPS	48.6+10.5	47.4+7.0
CIRS-SI and -CI	2.2+0.6 and 4.8+2.2	2.3+0.4 and 5.6+1.4
BMI	24.4+7.0	26.7+5.7
Waist circumference (cm)	M: 98.7+1.5; F: 90.3+22.6	M: 97.7+8.6; F: 89.1+15.2
MNA	17.4+6.0	18.8+4.8
Cornell	14.2+8.6	11.4+6.0
CDR	2.7+1.4	2.2+1.1
CAM	2.7+1.5	2.2+1.9
CAM-S	7.8+4.1	4.7+3.7 *
NPI	53.7+28.5	40.2+20.2
Fasting glycaemia (mg/dl)	94.5+11.2	135.6+58.0**
Fasting insulinaemia (µu/ml)	6.0+2.6	8.9+9.5
HbA1c	5.4+0.4%	6.8+1.1%***
HOMA index	1.4+0.6	3.2+3.4*
GFR (CKD-EPI; ml/min)	66.0+16.9	64.9+23.4

MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; ADL, Activity of Daily Living; IADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; MCPS, Marigliano-Cacciafesta Polypathology Scale; CIRS, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale; SI, severity index; BMI, body mass index, MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating scale; CAM, Confusion Assessment Method; CAM-S, CAM-severity; NPI, Neuropsychiatric Inventory; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula; M, male; F, female.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.0001.



Figure 1. a) The relationship between MMSE and CAM-S scores. b) The relationship between MCPS and CAM-S scores. MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; CAM-S, Confusion Assessment Method-severity; MCPS, Marigliano-Cacciafesta Polypathology Scale.

parabola) (Cornell p<0.05, NPI<0.01 and CAM-S p<0.0001) (Figure 2). The apices of the parabolas were at HbA1c of 6.9%, 7.6% and 10.3%, the levels that minimized the Cornell, NPI and CAM-S scores, respectively (Figure 2). Therefore, the mean HbA1c that minimized all the behavioural disorders was 8.3%.

Continuous glucose monitoring in selected patients (n = 3) showed that, even if HbA1c level was within the normal range, either a great variability in glycaemia during the 24 hours or repeated hypo-glycemic events during the night (up to 26 hypoglycemic events in a single patient) were present (Figure 3).

Discussion

In our preliminary study, polypathology and frailty was associated with the severity of delirium as demonstrated by using the MCPS scale in correlation with the CAM-S scale.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first report about the association of the MCPS and CAM-S scale, whereas the

MCPS was previously evaluated by our group in patients with comorbidities and frailty⁹.

Glycemic control was associated with modification of BPSD and delirium in the advanced phases of AD. In particular, delirium (as indicated by the CAM-S score) was more susceptible to the glycemic control in its low range. As considered by point 7 (Metabolism and Nutritional state) of MCPS, high glucose levels increase the score of the MCPS scale (+25) only in conditions of diabetes mellitus decompensation, with significant risks of development of delirium.

Glycemic control modulated the appearance of BPSD and delirium with a polynomial U-shaped curve, with both the hyper- and hypo-glycemic extremes of the glycemic spectrum worsening BPSD. The regression equations showed that HbA1c levels between 6.9–10.3% (mean 8.3%) minimized BPSD, in agreement with International Diabetes Federation (2020)¹⁵ and ADA (2020)⁷ guidelines for managing T2DM in older people. As matter of fact, in healthy older subjects (with few coexisting



NPI/Cornell/CAM-S

NPI=3.7 HbA1c² − 53.6 HbA1c + 239.5; R²=0.101, p < 0.01. □ ---- NPI

Cornell=1.7 HbA1c² - 23.6 HbA1c + 91.5; R²=0.122, p < 0.05. () - · - Cornell

CAM-S =0.3 HbA1c² - 5.1 HbA1c + 27.9; R²=0.175, p <0.0001. 0 CAM-S

Figure 2. The relationship between the glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), Cornell's scale and Confusion Assessment Method-severity (CAM-S) score.



Figure 3. Continuous glucose monitoring in selected cases (n=3). A) Patient A, 81 years, MMSE=1.5, NPI=77, Cornell=13, CAM-S=17, HbA1c=6.6%, glucose mean 150 mg/dl, above/in/under the interval 56/43/1%, event of low glucose=0, mean duration=0, mean duration=0 min. **B**) Patient B, 77 years, MMSE=13.7, NPI=30, Cornell=12, CAM-S=4, HbA1c=5.5%, glucose mean 72 mg/dl, above/in/under the interval 0/16/84%, event of low glucose=26, mean duration=296 min. **C**) Patient C, 82 years, MMSE=10.5, NPI=52, Cornell=18, CAM-S=11, HbA1c=5.7%, glucose mean 94 mg/dl, above/in/under the interval 7/54/39%, event of low glucose=4, mean duration=281 min.

chronic illnesses, intact cognitive and functional status) a reasonable HbA1c goal is <7.5% (with fasting or pre-prandial glucose of 90–130 mg/dl), whereas in complex/poor health patients (with more chronic illnesses, moderate-to severe cognitive impairment or >2 ADL dependencies) the reasonable HbA1c goal rises to <8.5 (with fasting or pre-prandial

glucose of 100–180 mg/dl) to avoid possible overtreatment, hypoglycemic events and fall risk.

The HbA1c value of 6% corresponds to a mean glucose of 126 mg/dl, with 95% prediction limits of 100–152 mg/dl, which includes the lower goals for glycaemia (100–180 mg/dl)

suggested by ADA standard of care¹⁶⁻¹⁸ in older frail patients with moderate-severe dementia. More than half of our patients (22/38, 58%) showed HbA1c levels lower than 6%.

The reduction of one point (%) of HbA1c (from 6.8% to 5.8%) was associated with an increase of +2.2 points in Cornell, +7.0 points in NPI and +0.2 in CAM-S scores. Because of the parabolic profiles of the curves, another reduction of one point of HbA1c (from 5.8% to 4.8%) resulted in an exponential increase of BPSD scores (+5.6 Cornell, +14.4 NPI and +2.6 CAM-S).

The variability of glycaemia seemed to be associated with greater BPSD in AD patients, although it was not possible to carry out a statistical analysis because of the few number of patients in the sample (a limit of the study).

The treatment with central nervous system drugs (antipsychotics, sleeping pills, antidepressants, antidementia, antiparkinsonian agents, mood stabilizing medications) may be a confounding factor in AD patients¹⁹⁻²¹, but, as above reported, no differences were found in the present study between the two AD groups with and without diabetes.

In conclusion, the measurement of HbA1c in elderly AD patients with advanced dementia (with and without T2DM) is recommended in order to evaluate glycemic control (and nutritional status). The reduction of mean glycemic levels (due to malnutrition in non-diabetic patients or overtreatment in diabetic patients) should be avoided by means of a multidimensional approach.

Data availability

DANS EASY: The Glycaemic Control and the Severity of the Delirium. https://doi.org/10.17026/dans-xq4-58fq14.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

- Gottesman RT, Stern Y: Behavioral and Psychiatric Symptoms of Dementia 1. and rate of decline in Alzheimer's Disease. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 10: 1062. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rizzo MR, Marfella R, Barbieri M, et al.: Relationships between daily acute 2. glucose fluctuations and cognitive performance among aged type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; **33**(10): 2169–2174. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sakurai T, Kawashima S, Satake S, et al.: Differential subtypes of diabetic older adults diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2014; 14 Suppl 2: 62-70. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Yaffe K, Falvey C, Hamilton N, et al.: Diabetes, glucose control, and 9-year cognitive decline among older adults without dementia. Arch Neurol. 2012; **69**(9): 1170-1175. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Rosted E, Prokofieva T, Sanders S, et al.: Serious consequences of
- 5. malnutrition and delirium in frail older patients. J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr. 2018; 37(2): 105-116. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al.: The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 2011; 7(3): 263-269. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 7. American Diabetes Association (ADA): Standard of medical care in diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020; 43: S1-S212. **Publisher Full Text**
- Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L: Cumulative illness rating scale. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1968; **16**(5): 622–626. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Martocchia A, Frugoni P, Indiano I, et al.: Screening of frailty in elderly patients with disability by the means of Marigliano-Cacciafesta polypathology scale (MCPS) and Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA) scales. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2013; 56(2): 339-342. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ: Assessing the nutritional status of the elderly: 10 The Mini Nutritional Assessment as part of the geriatric evaluation. Nutr Rev. 1996; 54(1 Pt 2): S59-S65. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 11. Alexopoulos GS, Abrams RC, Young RC, et al.: Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia. Biol Psychiatry. 1988; 23(3): 271-284. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cummings IL, Mega M, Grav K, et al.; The Neuropsychiatric Inventory; 12. comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia. Neurology. 1994: 44(12): 2308-2314 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, et al.: Clarifying confusion: the confusion 13 assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. Ann Intern Med. 1990; 113(12): 941-948 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 14. Martocchia A: The Glycaemic Control and the Severity of the Delirium. DANS. 2020.

http://www.doi.org/10.17026/dans-xq4-58fq

- Dunning T, Sinclair A, Colagiuri S: New IDF Guideline for managing type 15. 2 diabetes in older people. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2014; **103**(3): 538–540. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 16. Glyconverter.tk: Glycated hemoglobin converter.
- Nathan DM, Kuenen J, Borg R, et al.: Translating the A1C assay into estimated 17. average glucose values. [published correction appears in Diabetes Care 2009;32:207] Diabetes Care. 2009; 31(8): 1473-1478. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kuenen JC, Borg R, Kuik DJ, et al.: Does glucose variability influence the 18 relationship between mean plasma glucose and HbA, levels in type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients? *Diabetes Care.* 2011; **34**(8): 1843–1847. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Nagamine T: Severe hypoglycemia in an elderly patient with Alzheimer's 19. disease and with sepsis: The role of neurotransmitters on glucose regulation. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2021; 21: 116-117. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Nagamine T: Severe hypoglycemia associated with tiapride in an elderly 20. patient with diabetes and psychosis. Innov Clin Neurosci. 2017; 14(1-2): 12-13. PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- Nagamine T: Long-term preoperative use of zolpidem is associated with 21. postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing hip surgery. Psychogeriatrics. 2021; 21(4): 689-690. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 13 July 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28717.r89381

© **2021 Nagamine T.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Takahiko Nagamine 匝

- ¹ Director of Sunlight Brain Research Center, Hofu, Japan
- ² Department of Emergency Medicine, Matsumoto Surgical Hospital, Hofu, Japan

This paper analyzes HbA1c (blood glucose control status) and delirium in patients with Alzheimer's disease. It is interesting to note that both high and low blood glucose levels increase the risk of delirium. The paper is clinically significant because it shows that HbA1c as a predictor of delirium may be valid.

If possible, two points should be considered: one is to conduct a study with separate analysis for the presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, and the other is to design the study to take into account the effects of antipsychotics and sleeping pills on blood glucose and delirium.

Alzheimer's disease is caused by a decrease in neurotransmission in the acetylcholine system. The central acetylcholine nervous system may be less responsive to glucose loading. Older patients with Alzheimer's disease may occasionally experience hypoglycemia. This is due not only to the decline in acetylcholine and other neurotransmitters in Alzheimer's disease itself but also to antipsychotic drugs used for delirium ¹.

The level of HbA1c is also affected by the type of hypoglycemic drug. For example, concomitant use of insulin secretagogues (e.g., sulfonylureas) and dopamine blockers can cause hypoglycemia and delirium-like disturbances in consciousness ².

To avoid the effect of diabetic drugs, it is recommended to divide Alzheimer's disease into two groups, one with comorbid diabetes and the other without diabetes, and study the relationship between HbA1c and delirium in each group. Delirium is influenced by Alzheimer's disease, but also by medications used to treat insomnia (e.g., benzodiazepine receptor agonists), so it is advisable to adjust for medications that have a risk of inducing delirium as a background factor³.

Even though there are confounding factors, the study of HbA1c as a predictor of delirium is very unique and a good perspective from the point of view that Alzheimer's disease is called diabetes of the brain.

References

1. Nagamine T: Severe hypoglycemia in an elderly patient withAlzheimer's disease and with sepsis: The role of neurotransmitters on glucose regulation. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*. 2021; **21** (1): 116-117 Publisher Full Text

2. Nagamine T: Severe Hypoglycemia Associated with Tiapride in an Elderly Patient with Diabetes and Psychosis.*Innov Clin Neurosci.* **14** (1-2): 12-13 PubMed Abstract

3. Nagamine T: Long-term preoperative use of zolpidem is associated with postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing hip surgery. *Psychogeriatrics*. 2021; **21** (4): 689-690 Publisher Full Text

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: psychopharmacology, emergency medicine, diabetes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 22 Jul 2021

Antonio Martocchia, Sapienza University of Rome, ROMA CAPITALE, Italy

Dear Prof Takahiko Nagamine,

We agree with the evidence about HbA1c as a predictor of delirium in Alzheimer's disease and with the interest about the effects of the presence/absence of diabetes mellitus or concurrent therapies (antipsychotics and sleeping pills, as well as insulin secretagogues, e.g. sulfonylureas, and dopamine blockers) on HbA1c and delirium.

In our sample of AD patients with diabetes, one subject was treated with repaglinide (we added a sentence in the text in methods).

The percentage of use of drugs (antipsychotics, sleeping pills, antidepressants, antidementia, antiparkinsonian agents, mood stabilizing medications) in AD patients with or without diabetes, were respectively: 37%, 19%, 19%, 6%, 19%, 6%, and 32%, 18%, 23%, 9%, 9%, 9% (differences not significant) (we added a sentence in the text, results and discussion). One AD patients with diabetes was treated with zolpidem. We added the suggested references in the bibliography's list. Yours sincerely, Antonio Martocchia, MD PhD

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 17 May 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28717.r85330

© **2021 Sigala S.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Sandra Sigala 匝

Section of Pharmacology, Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

The Ms entitled "The effects of the glycaemic control on the severity of the delirium in the advanced phase of Alzheimer's disease" by Martocchia and coll is very interesting and gives support to the evidence that glycemic control in the frail elderly is important in slowing cognitive degeneration/Alzheimer's onset.

Authors highlighted as well the importance in these patients of a comprehensive clinical evaluation, which includes both biochemical and functional values.

The main limit of the study is the sample size, but this point has been taken up and discussed by the Authors themselves.

I have only minor points to underline:

- 1. The first sentence in the Introduction needs a reference
- 2. Characters in the Figure legend need to be unified Figure 3 is hard to read
- 3. Throughout the Ms, please correct typos and the language style

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

No source data required

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical pharmacology; translational oncology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 22 Jul 2021

Antonio Martocchia, Sapienza University of Rome, ROMA CAPITALE, Italy

Dear Reviewer Prof Sandra Sigala,

We really appreciated your review, discussing the importance of a comprehensive clinical evaluation in frail elderly with Alzheimer's disease.

We agree that the limit of study is the sample size: we completed the sentence in the manuscript in the Discussion: "The variability of glycaemia seemed to be associated with greater BPSD in AD patients, although it was not possible to carry out a statistical analysis because of the few number of patients in the sample (a limit of the study)".

We added the reference (1) and modified the first sentence: "Behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) and delirium are common in the advanced phases of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and worsen with disease severity, whereas symptoms of cognitive decline may be prevalent in the first phases of the disease (1)".

We unified the characters in the figure legends.

We modified the figure 3.

We corrected typos and the language style throughout the Ms. Yours sincerely Antonio Martocchia, MD PhD

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research