Published in final edited form as:

Lancet Glob Health. 2014 July; 2(7): e383. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70216-5.

Keeping the family in family planning

Jenny Trinitapoli,

Department of Sociology & Population Research Institute, Penn State University, PA, USA

Janneke Verheije,

Amsterdam Institute for Social Science Research, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Susan Watkins, and

California Center for Population Research, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Sara Yeatman

Department of Health and Behavioral Sciences, University of Colorado Denver, CO, USA Jenny Trinitapoli: jennytrini@psu.edu

Maria Rodríguez and colleagues (March, p e131) 1 posit that the term family planning is too antiquated and euphemistic to be useful and argue that it should be replaced with the more precise and scientific term contraception. We disagree that contraception is a better term and off er two simple insights to support this view.

First, the anthropological and demographic literature on fertility in Africa shows that the desire for a family factors heavily in young women's contraceptive choices.^{2,3} Our own work in Kenya and Malawi provides robust evidence that sexually active young adults use contraception to plan their families.^{4,5} Furthermore, many young people avoid modern contraceptive methods because they fear it will compromise their future fertility.⁴

Second, Rodríguez and colleagues' world in which all individuals make free and informed choices about contraceptive use is an imagined and profoundly western world, which ignores the social organisation of reproduction. That sex is a relational phenomenon (ie, by definition, at least one other person is included) is more than an inconvenient truth. Those lured by methodological individualism who continue to ignore men, relationships, and extended family networks do so at their own peril.^{6,7}

We have no protective instinct towards family planning as a term or as a movement. But in our estimation, contraception is a far less accurate term to apply to what most young women across the globe are doing, even if the phrase is more palatable to western scientists.

Acknowledgments

JT and SY received grants from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Copyright © Trinitapoli et al.

Trinitapoli et al. Page 2

References

1. Rodríguez MI, Say L, Temmerman M. Family planning versus contraception: what's in a name? Lancet Glob Heath. 2014; 2:e131–32.

- 2. Bledsoe C, Banja F, Hill AG. Reproductive mishaps and western contraception: an African challenge to fertility theory. Popul Dev Rev. 1998; 24:15–57.
- 3. Johnson-Hanks, J. Uncertain honor: modern motherhood in an African crisis. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press; 2006.
- 4. Verheijen, J. PhD thesis. Leiden African Studies Center; 2013. Balancing men, morals and money: women's agency between HIV and security in a Malawi village.
- 5. Rutenberg N, Watkins SC. The buzz outside the clinics: conversations and contraception in Nyanza Province, Kenya. Stud Fam Plann. 1997; 28:290–307. [PubMed: 9431650]
- 6. Dodoo F. Men matter: additive and interactive gendered preferences and reproductive behavior in Kenya. Demography. 1998; 35:229–42. [PubMed: 9622784]
- Caldwell JC, Caldwell P. The cultural-context of high fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. Popul Dev Rev. 1987; 13:409–37.