Data in Brief 8 (2016) 1127-1136



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Comparison data of common and abundant terpenes at different grape development stages in Shiraz wine grapes



Pangzhen Zhang ^a, Sigfredo Fuentes ^a, Tracey Siebert ^b, Mark Krstic ^c, Markus Herderich ^b, Edward William R. Barlow ^a, Kate Howell ^{a,d,*}

^a Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

^b Australian Wine Research Institute, Urrbrae, SA 5064, Australia

^c Australian Wine Research Institute, Mooroolbark, VIC 3138, Australia

^d INRA, UMR1083 SPO, 2, Place Viala, F-34060 Montpellier, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 February 2016 Received in revised form 14 April 2016 Accepted 7 July 2016 Available online 14 July 2016

Keywords: Rotundone Terpene Sesquiterpene Grape ripening

ABSTRACT

Terpenoids were extracted from grape vine bunches during plant development and analysed by GC-MSD. The grapevines analysed were from a commercial harvest of Vitis vinifera cv. Shiraz. The terpenoids were analysed from 4 weeks post flowering (wpf) to harvest in one season. The data are presented with the structure of the compound and aroma profile and semi-quantified. The subclass of sesquiterpenes was given special attention, and this data set describes the first analysis of these compounds during ripening of this important economic crop. Sesquiterpenes may have a hitherto described contribution to wine aroma. This data set may provide insight into biosynthetic pathways and aroma chemistry. Interpretation of our data and further discussion can be found in "Terpene evolution during the development of Vitis vinifera L. cv. Shiraz grapes" (Zhang et al., 2016) [1].

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.02.125

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.07.010

2352-3409/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia.

E-mail address: khowell@unimelb.edu.au (K. Howell).

Specifications table

Subject area	Chemistry, Biology
More specific sub- ject area	Aroma chemistry of wine grapes
Type of data	Table
How data was acquired	<i>Gas chromatography- mass spectrometry.</i> An Agilent Technologies 6890 gas chromatograph (GC; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was equipped with a Gerstel MPS2 multipurpose sampler and coupled to an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector (MSD).
Data format	Analyzed
Experimental factors	Grape samples were homogenized and the volatile fractions directly sampled using SPME.
Experimental features	The physiological stages of grapevine ripening were comprehensively sam- pled, from weeks post flowering (wpf) until physiological ripening. Grape samples were homogenized, extracted and the sesquiterpene fraction qua- lified and quantified to compare the concentration and accumulation over time.
Data source location	The Old Block, Mount Langi Ghiran 37.31°S, 143.15°E, Victoria, Australia
Data accessibility	Data is with this article

Value of the data

- This data is a comprehensive list of terpenoids from bunches during ripening of wine grapes and is
 presented by calculating the total peak area of the compound with the total terpenoid peak area.
 The data is also semi-quantified by presenting as μg α-copaene equivalents/ mean berry weight in
 kg. The structure of the compound and aroma descriptor (if known) is given.
- Comparison with other vineyard studies to gain insight into the cultivar-dependent synthesis of these compounds is valuable. Understanding the importance of weather and climate, cultural practices and maximizing aroma in wine could be areas of further investigation.
- Absolute quantification by synthesis of the deuterated analogs, importance to aroma of wine and understanding the biosynthetic pathways of terpenoids are possible areas of collaboration for future research.

1. Data

Terpenoids are important plant secondary compounds and wine aroma compounds. Terpenoids were analysed in grapevines (*Vitis vinifera cv. Shiraz*) during physiological ripening, from weeks post flowering (wpf) (Table 1).

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The vineyard is located approximately 15.5 km east to the nearest Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather station (Ararat Prison Station, Vic, Australian BOM Station No. 089085). The long-term mean January temperature (MJT) and annual average rainfall recorded at this weather station by February 2015 is 19.1 °C and 584.2 mm, respectively. Therefore, the viticulture region is classified as a cool climate wine region [2]. The MJT and total rainfall from October to harvest for the studied season

Table 1Comparison of common and abundant terpenes at different grape development stages in the 2013-14 growing season^a.

GC Peak number	Compound number	Terpenes com- Chemical structures ^b pounds and classes	Odour quality ^c	Concentration at different weeks post-flowering (wpf) (μ g α -copaene equivalents/ mean berry weight in kg) Percentage of individual terpenoids to total terpenoids in terms of GC peak area							
				wpf 4 E-L 31	wpf 6 E-L 32	wpf 9 EL 34-35	wpf 11 E-L 35-36	wpf 13 E-L 36	wpf 15 E-L 37	wpf 17 E-L 38	
1	10	Monoterpenes (Monoterpenoids) Limonene	lemon, orange	6.25 ±0.76 1.12%	ND ^d	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
2	12	1,8-Cineole	mint, sweet	7.94 ±0.66 a 1.43%	2.21 ±1.6 b 1.34%	1.34 ±0.14 b 3.20%	1.21 ±0.61 b 4.73%	1.73 ±0.31 b 6.03%	2.37 ±0.92 b 7.72%	1.87 ±1.27 b 4.21%	
3	9	Geraniol	rose, geranium	9.31 ±6.33 a 1.67%	5.57 ±3.16 ab 3.38%	1.06 ±0.14 b 2.53%	2.17 ±0.72 b 8.49%	5.73 ±1.66 ab 19.99%	6.48 ±0.42 ab 21.12%	6.36 ±0.59 ab 14.30	
16	7	Geranyl acetone ^e	magnolia, green	27.18 ±5.86 a 4.89%	22.39 ±7.31 a 13.58%	5.16 ±1.76 b 12.33%	1.13 ±0.54 b 4.45%	1.30 ±0.31 b 4.54%	1.62 ±0.25 b 5.28%	1.77 ±0.15 b 3.99%	
27	15	Citronellol	rose	1.47 ±0.47 a 0.26%	2.88 ±1.33 b 1.75%	0.79 ±0.44 a 1.89%	ND	ND	ND	ND	

		Sesquiterpenes								
6	19	Clovene	NA ^f	0.55±0.09 a 0.10%	ND	ND	0.52 ±0.19 a 2.05%	0.26 ±0.06 b 0.91%	0.25 ±0.06 b 0.81%	0.54 ±0.16 a 1.22%
7	51	α-Ylangene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.40 ±0.44 ^g a 4.56%	4.16 ±0.51 b 9.36%
10	53	β-Bourbonene	herb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.77±0.27 1.74%
11	18	Sesquiterpenes (E)- β - Caryophyllene	wood, spice	166.65 ±24.10 a 29.95%	27.12 ±4.52 b 16.45%	4.72±0.93 11.30%	c ND	ND	ND	ND
12	54	β-Copaene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.19±0.09 2.67%
13	22	α-Guaiene ^h	wood, balsamic	0.09 ±0.03 ab 0.02%	$0.26 \pm 0.04 c$ 0.16%	0.07 ±0.03 ab 0.16%	0.03 ±0.01 a 0.10%	0.02 ±0.01 a 0.06%	0.01 ±0.01 a 0.05%	0.11 ±0.08 b 0.24%
14	30	Guaia-6,9-diene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.26±0.20 2.84%

P. Zhang et al. / Data in Brief 8 (2016) 1127-1136

1130

			H H H								
15	52	Selina-4(15),6- diene		NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.44±0.23 0.99%
17	20	α-Humulene		wood	188.50 ±33.58 a 33.88%	18.12 ±5.48 b 10.99%	1.78 ±0.42 b 4.26%	1.02 ±0.31 b 3.98%	0.32 ±0.21 b 1.13%	0.86 ±0.19 ^fb 2.79%	0.88 ±0.55 b 1.97%
18	40	γ-Muurolene		herb, wood, spice	3.67 ±1.20 a 0.66%	0.86 ±0.23 b 0.52%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
20	27	Sesquiterpenes δ-Selinene		NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.20±0.28 2.70%
21	46	<i>epi-</i> Zonarene	H	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.14 ⁱ 0.47%	3.15±0.33 7.09%

22	38	α -Muurolene H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	wood	6.44 ±0.74 a 1.16%	3.29 ±0.74 b 2.00%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
23	34	γ-Cadinene	wood	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.89±0.22 4.26%
24	39	δ-Cadinene	thyme, medicine, wood	40.28 ±5.44 a 7.24%	11.08 ±0.83 b 6.72%	1.83 ±0.47 c 4.37%	0.95 ±0.16 c 3.71%	0.95 ±0.92 c 3.31%	0.33 ±0.02 c 1.09%	1.39 ±0.35 c 3.12%
25	48/49	Cis/trans- Calamenene	herb, spice	ND	2.47 ±0.13 a 1.50%	0.18 ±0.15 c 0.44%	0.10 ±0.04 c 0.41%	0.10 ±0.05 c 0.34%	0.14 ±0.03 ^g c 0.45%	0.77 ±0.01 b 1.72%
26	47	Zonarene H	NA	29.52 ±2.54 a 5.31%	2.19 ±0.52 b 1.33%	0.16 ±0.18 ^g b 0.37%	0.05 ±0.02 ^f b 0.19%	ND	ND	ND
28	28	Sesquiterpenes 7- epi - α -Selinene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.73±0.26 1.65%

1132

29	36	ω-Cadinene	NA	6.24 ±0.84 a 1.12%	1.79 ±0.29 b 1.09%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
30	35	α-Cadinene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.74±0.12 1.66%
31	42	α-Calacorene	wood	4.44 ±0.51 a 0.80%	3.01 ±0.18 b 1.83%	0.26 ±0.18 c 0.62%	0.11 ±0.03 c 0.42%	ND	ND	0.49 ±0.33 c 1.10%
32	57	Epi-Cubenol	NA	5.47±0.19 0.98%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
33	NA	Cubenol	spice, herb, green tea	5.43±0.63 0.98%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4	60	Norisoprenoids Theaspirane iso- mer A	fruits, peach, honey	21.14 ±1.78 a 3.80%	32.16 ±4.57 b 19.51%	14.30 ±0.62 c 34.19%	10.90 ±2.23 c 42.74%	12.08 ±1.09 c 42.15%	13.64 ±0.76 c 44.48%	11.49 ±2.71 c 25.83%

1133

5	60	Theaspirane iso- mer B		camphor, mint, wood, eucalyptus	8.36 ±1.23 a 1.50%	13.19 ±2.44 b 8.00%	5.39 ±0.08 c 12.90%	3.31 ±0.88 d 12.97%	2.87 ±0.31 d 10.02%	2.58 ±0.08 d 8.43%	1.74 ±0.28 d 3.91%
9	62	(E)-β- Damascenone		apple. rose, honey	4.61 ±0.86 a 0.83%	5.01 ±1.07 a 3.04%	2.10 ±0.28 b 5.02%	1.97 ±0.50 b 7.73%	1.36 ±0.56 b 4.75%	ND	ND
19	61	β-lonone	X	seaweed, violet, flower, raspberry	12.85 ±2.16 a 2.31%	11.23 ±1.46 b 6.81%	2.73 ±0.15 c 6.54%	2.07 ±0.31 c 8.11%	1.94 ±0.22 c 6.78%	1.64 ±0.22 c 5.35%	1.52 ±0.73 c 3.42%
	Total monoterpenoids				52.15 ±10.74 a	33.06 ±11.62 b	8.34 ±1.98 c	4.51 ±0.96 c	8.76 ±2.05 c	10.46 ±1.25 c	10.01 ±1.75 c
	Total norisoprenoids Total sesquiterpenes				9.37% 46.95 ±4.76 a	20.08% 61.60 ±9.44 b	19.95% 24.53 ±0.84 c	17.67% 18.25 ±3.89 c	18.26 ±2.05 c	17.87 ±0.96 c	22.50% 14.75 ±3.60 c
					8.44% 457.27 ±66.87 a	70.19 ±10.62 b	58.05% 8.95 ±1.42 c	2.75 ±0.68 c	1.65 ±1.12 c	2.34 ±1.56 c	19.72 ±2.71 c
	Total terpeno	ids			556.37 +54.62 a	42.38% 164.84 +30.14 b	41.81 +1.15 c	25.51 +5.00 c	28.67 +3.80 c	7.62% 30.67 +1.46 c	44.34% 44.47 +6.72 c
	Total volatile	compounds			2297.53 ±495.00 a	1564.11 ±517.35 b	592.44 ±77.34 c	511.38 ±58.63 c	674.18 ±69.08 c	859.16 ±60.13 c	750.77 ±87.62 c
	Percentage of terpenoids to total volatile compounds					10.54%	7.06%	4.99%	4.25%	3.57%	5.92%

^a Different letters in the column represent significantly different means \pm standard error (p < 0.05).

^b The enantiomeric purity of most chiral compounds is unknown, and so here a single enantiomer was chosen to represent each compound.

^c Odour of the compound taken from Flavornet by Terry Acre and Heinrich Arn, http://www.flavornet.org © Datu Inc., 2014, and Leffingwell & Associates, http://www.leffingwell.com © Leffingwell & Associates, 2014.

d Not detected.

^e Geranyl acetone is a monoterpenoid, not a monoterpene.

^f Not available.

^g The compound was detected in 2 replicates only.

^h α-Guaiene was expressed as the ratio of m/z 147: m/z 161 multiplied by the concentration of internal standard, α-Guaiene was expressed as the ratio of m/z 147: m/z 161 multipliedy by the concentration of internal standard, α-copaene.

ⁱ The compound was detected only in 1 replicate only.

(2013–14) was 20.0 °C and 124.1 mm. The *Vitis vinifera*, cv. Shiraz vineyard was planted in 1968 with on its own roots, 3.0 m between rows and 1.8 m between vines, with rows oriented northeast to southwest. Vertical shoot positioned (VSP) trellis system was used. Grapevines were irrigated when required at a rate of 5.76 L/(hr vine) using a dripping irrigation system along vineyard rows with a dripper spacing of 0.5 m. The total irrigation volume for the studied season (2013–14 October to harvest) was 84.3 mm. No significant pest or disease pressure was observed during the experiment.

Terpenoid analysis was conducted for grape samples based on a published protocol [3] with the following modifications. An Agilent Technologies 6890 gas chromatograph (GC; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector (MSD) was used. A Gerstel MPS2 multipurpose sampler was used to control head-space solid phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and injection. The instruments were controlled using Agilent G1701EA MSD ChemStation software and Gerstel Maestro software (version 1.4.20.0). The GC was fitted with a J&W DB-5ms capillary column measuring approximately 30 m \times 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm film df. Helium (ultrahigh purity, BOC, Adelaide, SA, Australia) was used as carrier gas in constant flow mode (1.0 ml/min). The GC inlet was fitted with a resilanised borosilicate glass SPME inlet liner (Supelco, 6.5 mm o.d., 0.75 mm i.d., 78.5 mm long) held at 220 °C.

The SPME fibre was desorbed in the pulsed splitless mode and the splitter, at 50:1, was opened after 30 s. The fibre was allowed to bake in the inlet for 10 min. The oven was started at 50 °C, held at this temperature for 1 min, then increased to 230 °C at 3 °C/min, and increased to 280 °C at 20 °C/min and held at 280 °C for 5 min. The temperatures of the MS source and quadruple were set at 230 °C and 150 °C, respectively. The MS transfer line was held at 250 °C. Simultaneous selective ion monitoring (SIM) and scanning modes were used to record electron ionization mass spectrometric data in the range of 35–280 m/z with ionization voltage of 70 eV.

100 g of representative de-stemmed grapes were homogenised using a hand-held blender. 5 g of homogenised sample was weighted into a HS-SPME vial (Agilent Technologies, 20 ml), mixed with $500 \,\mu\text{L}$ α -copaene (200.64 μ g/L in ethanol) as internal standard, and shaken for 24 h at 22 °C. 2 ml saturated brine were then added to the samples before subjected to SPME-GC-MS analysis. The vial and its contents were heated to 45 °C. The polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene (PDMS/DVB, Agilent) 65µm SPME fibre was exposed to the headspace for 60 min with agitation. Sesquiterpenes were identified by comparing the mass spectra and retention indices with the terpenoids library in MassFinder (version 4.1, Dr. Hochmuth Scientific Consulting, Hamburg, Germany). All compounds except α -guaiene were semi-quantified as α -copaene equivalents, expressed as relative areas \times 100, α guaiene was determined by SIM with α -copaene as internal standard; the ions monitored were: m/z105, 133, 147, 161, and 204; dwell time 25 ms each. The target ions used were m/z 147 for α -guaiene and 161 for α -copaene with ions 105, 133, and 204 m/z used as qualifiers. Data were analysed using Agilent G1701DA MSD ChemStation software. α -Guaiene was expressed as the ratio of m/z 147:m/z161 multiplied by the concentration of α -copaene internal standard. The assay precision was validated by a series of standard additions of internal standard as described previously [3]. Blank SPME runs and blank internal standards were checked regularly.

Comparison of terpenoid profiles at different berry developmental stages were analysed by discriminant analysis using SPSS v.21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL. USA).

Acknowledgements

This work was sponsored by the Rathbone Wine Group, the University of Melbourne, the Australian Wine Research Institute (AWRI), and the Australia Grape and Wine Authority. The work was performed at the University of Melbourne and AWRI and was supported by Australia's grape growers and winemakers through their investment body, the Australia Grape and Wine Authority, with matched funds from the Australian Government and partial funding from the Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Science at the University of Melbourne. This publication has been written with the support of the AgreenSkills Fellowship Programme to Kate Howell who has received funding from the EU's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no. FP7-609398. The authors thank Sheridan Barter (AWRI) for providing the protocols and training in analysis of monoterpenoids,

sesquiterpenes and norisoprenoids and their excellent technical assistance in rotundone analysis and Damien Sheehan (Rathbone Wine Group) for his support and assistance with the field trials.

Transparency document. Supplementary material

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.07.010.

References

- P. Zhang, S. Fuentes, T. Siebert, M. Krstic, M. Herderich, E.W.R. Barlow, K. Howell, Terpene evolution during the development of Vitis vinifera L. cv. Shiraz grapes, Food Chem. 204 (2016) 463–474.
- [2] J.S. Gladstones, Climate and Australian viticulture, in: P.R. Dry, B.G. Coombe (Eds.), Viticulture, vol. 1, Winetitles, Adelaide, 2004, pp. 90–118 (2nd ed.).
- [3] M. Parker, A.P. Pollnitz, D. Cozzolino, I.L. Francis, M.J. Herderich, Identification and quantification of a marker compound for 'Pepper' aroma and flavor in shiraz grape berries by combination of chemometrics and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Agric. Food Chem. 55 (2007) 5948–5955.