



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Recovery of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function in healthcare workers with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital: a prospective cohort study

Lijuan Xiong^{1,¥}, Qian Li^{2,¥}, Xiongjing Cao^{1,¥}, Huangguo Xiong^{1,¥}, Ming Huang^{3,¥}, Fengwen Yang^{4,¥}, Daquan Meng⁵, Mei Zhou⁵, Yanzhao Zhang⁶, Yunzhou Fan¹, Liang Tang², Yang Jin⁵, Jiahong Xia^{7,†,**}, Yu Hu^{2,†,*}

¹ Department of Nosocomial Infection Management, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

² Institute of Hematology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

³ State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China

⁴ Evidence-Based Medicine Center, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin, China

⁵ Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, NHC Key Laboratory of Pulmonary Diseases, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

⁶ Department of Rehabilitation, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

⁷ Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 May 2022

Revised 21 June 2022

Accepted 28 June 2022

Keywords:

Novel coronavirus
COVID-19
Functional fitness
Cytokine
Lymphocyte subsets

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate the recovery of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function in healthcare workers (HCWs) with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital.

Methods: The participants of “Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19” underwent a functional fitness test (muscle strength, flexibility, and agility/dynamic balance), lung function test, and immune function test (including cytokines and lymphocyte subsets) at 13 months after discharge.

Results: The project included 779 HCWs (316 nonsevere COVID-19 and 463 severe COVID-19). This study found that 29.1% (130/446) of the HCWs have not yet recovered their functional fitness. The most affected lung function indicator was lung perfusion capacity (34% with diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide-single breath <80%). The increase of interleukin-6 (64/534, 12.0%) and natural killer cells (44/534, 8.2%) and the decrease of CD3⁺ T cells (58/534, 10.9%) and CD4⁺ T cells (26/534, 4.9%) still existed at 13 months after discharge. No significant difference was found in the HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 regarding recovery of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function at 13 months after discharge.

Conclusion: The majority of Chinese HCWs with COVID-19 had recovered their functional fitness, lung function, and immune function, and the recovery status in HCWs with severe COVID-19 is no worse than that in HCWs with nonsevere COVID-19 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases.
This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

* Corresponding authors: Yu Hu, Institute of Hematology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430022, China.

** Jiahong Xia, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430022, China.

E-mail addresses: dr_huyu@126.com (Y. Hu), jiahong.xia@hust.edu.cn (J. Xia).

¥ Contributed equally

† Contributed equally

Introduction

COVID-19 refers to an acute respiratory infectious disease caused by SARS-CoV-2, which can cause a series of clinical symptoms, such as fever, fatigue, dry cough, dyspnea, shortness of breath, shock, and multiorgan dysfunction. As of June 17, 2022, the World Health Organization reports 535,863,950 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 6,314,972 deaths (WHO, 2022). Between December 2019 and February 2020, 3019 healthcare workers (HCWs) (1716 confirmed cases) in China were found to be affected by SARS-CoV-

2 (Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response and Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020). Wuhan (17.7%) and Hubei Province (10.4%), where the patients were first diagnosed, had the highest proportion of patients with severe COVID-19 in the whole country (Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response and Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020).

At present, few studies focused on the health consequences, including symptoms (Havervall et al., 2021), SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence (Moncunill et al., 2021), and antibodies (Egbert et al., 2021) in HCWs with COVID-19 after they were discharged from the hospital. A study in China followed up HCWs with COVID-19 for 3 months after discharge; it suggested that 69 (91%) of the HCWs with COVID-19 had returned to their original work, 82% of the HCWs' lung high-resolution computed tomography returned to normal, and 42% of the HCWs had mild pulmonary function abnormalities (Liang et al., 2020). Our previous study reported dynamic changes in functional fitness and immunologic indicators within 1 year after discharge in HCWs with severe COVID-19 (Xiong et al., 2021). There were also other studies revealing recovery of functional fitness (Paz et al., 2021), lung function (Huang et al., 2021), and immune function (Qin et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020) in patients with COVID-19. However, most of these studies were not carried out on HCWs with COVID-19, and few of them had compared the recovery status in patients with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 after discharge. In the fight against COVID-19, HCWs with COVID-19 had made huge sacrifices. It is of great public health significance to pay attention to their health status after discharge from the hospital and implement individualized interventions to help the recovery of the target population. So far, the health consequences of HCWs with COVID-19 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital remain unclear.

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the recovery of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function in HCWs with COVID-19 at 13 months after hospital discharge and compare the recovery status of nonsevere and severe groups.

Methods

Study design and participants

The participants were from the “Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19” in China, which the Chinese Academy of Engineering and Tencent Charity Foundation launched (Xiong et al., 2021). The participants were HCWs with COVID-19 in Hubei Province (including the provincial capital city, Wuhan, and its surrounding cities). The HCWs with COVID-19 agreed to participate in the project through the information platform of “Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19” and were followed up on the health consequences after discharge from the hospital. The health consequences that the project mainly focused on included psychologic evaluation, a survey of persistent symptoms, lung function evaluation, and physical examinations. From June 2020 to March 2021, the project included a total of 779 HCWs (316 nonsevere and 463 severe COVID-19). All HCWs were contacted through the platform and/or by telephone to participate in follow-up visits (5, 8, 11, and 13 months after discharge) in Union Hospital (Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology). Currently, the longest follow-up period for the HCWs is 13 months after discharge.

Regarding follow-up visits at 13 months after discharge, all HCWs participating in this project were asked to take physical examinations (any time between March 11, 2021 and March 19, 2021) and complete the functional fitness, lung function, and immune function tests at Union Hospital (Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology). For HCWs with abnormal

results of physical examinations, experts will be arranged to develop personalized rehabilitation plans to speed recovery.

In this study, HCWs of “Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19” who completed any follow-up visits (including functional fitness test, lung function test, and immune function test) between March 11, 2021, and March 19, 2021, were included in the analyses.

The disease severity and the standards of discharge were evaluated according to the recommendations by the National Health Commission (China National Health Commission, 2020). The severity of the disease was divided into four types, including mild (with mild clinical symptoms but without pneumonia manifestations in imaging examination), moderate (with fever, respiratory symptoms, etc., and with pneumonia manifestations in imaging examination), severe (meeting at least one of the following criteria: shortness of breath, RR \geq 30 beats/min; the oxygen saturation \leq 93%; PaO₂/FiO₂ \leq 300 mmHg in the resting state), and critical (meeting at least one of the following criteria: respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation, shock, combined with other organ failure requiring intensive care unit [ICU] monitoring and treatment). In this study, the HCWs with severe or critical COVID-19 were assigned to the severe group, and the HCWs with mild or moderate COVID-19 were assigned to the nonsevere group. The standards of discharge included (i) no fever for three consecutive days, (ii) improved respiratory symptoms, (iii) obvious resolution and recovery of an acute lesion in lung computed tomography scanning, and (iv) two negative results of SARS-CoV-2 tests 24 hours apart.

According to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, this research was approved by the ethics committee of Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. All HCWs signed written informed consents at enrollment.

Data collection

Information on demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants was obtained at enrollment through the information platform of “Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19”.

The functional fitness test was performed by doctors in the Department of Rehabilitation of Union Hospital (Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology). The Senior Fitness Test (SFT) could comprehensively reflect the physical recovery status of the participants in the aspects of muscle strength, flexibility, and agility/dynamic balance. Previous literature showed that SFT could also be applied to other age groups beyond the elderly (Boshnjaku et al., 2021); therefore, this study used SFT to assess the functional fitness status. In this study, the SFT included evaluation of muscle strength (grip strength test, 30-second elbow flexion test, 30-second chair stand, and 2-minute step test), flexibility (back scratch test and chair sit-and-reach test), and agility/dynamic balance (functional reach test and the Y balance test) (Rikli and Jones, 1999). According to literature and policy documents, there are normal ranges for evaluation of muscle strength and agility/dynamic balance (Nogueira et al., 2021; State Sport General Administration, 2003). Therefore, if a HCW's score in any muscle strength and agility/dynamic balance test is out of the normal ranges, it was recorded that they had not recovered their functional fitness by the doctors.

The lung function test was performed by doctors at the NHC Key Laboratory of Pulmonary Diseases of Union Hospital (Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology). The tests were performed with the Masterscreen pneumotachograph system (CareFusion, Hoehberg, Germany), and the diagnoses were based on the recommendations by the American Thoracic Society (Graham et al., 2019).

The immunologic indicators of the HCWs were measured at the Department of Clinical Laboratory of Union Hospital (Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology). The levels of cytokine profile, including interferon- γ , interleukin (IL)-10, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor- α were quantified by BD cytometric bead array analysis, using the BD™ Cytometric Bead Array Human Th1/Th2 cytokine kit. The relative numbers of lymphocyte subsets, including B cells, CD3⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, natural killer (NK) cells and CD4⁺/CD8⁺ cell ratio were detected with flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto™, BD Biosciences), and data of lymphocyte subsets were analyzed with FCAP software (version 3.0).

Statistical analysis

Median and interquartile range and number (%) were used to describe continuous and categorical covariates, respectively. The Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, *t*-test, χ^2 , and Fisher's exact test were applied where appropriate. Multivariate linear regression models were used to analyze disease severity associations with functional fitness. Multivariable adjusted logistic regression models were applied to investigate disease severity and lung function relationships. The covariates of age, sex, education, roles in work, body mass index (BMI), smoking habit, and comorbidities were adjusted in the models. The analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A two-sided *P*-value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the HCWs

From June 2020 to March 2021, the cohort recruited a total of 779 HCWs in Hubei Province. All the follow-up visits were completed between March 11, 2021, and March 19, 2021. The median number of hospital discharge days was 387.4 (376.3, 396.3) (approximately 13 months). Among the 779 HCWs, 222 HCWs missed follow-up visits at 13 months after discharge. Among the remaining 557 HCWs, 111 HCWs declined functional fitness test, 254 HCWs declined lung function test, and 23 HCWs declined immune function test. The final sample sizes of participants who underwent functional fitness, lung function, and immune function tests (including cytokines and lymphocyte subsets) were 446, 303, and 534, respectively (Figure 1).

The demographic and clinical characteristics of 779 HCWs according to disease severity are presented in Table 1. The HCWs' median age was 35.0 (30.0–43.0) years, median BMI was 22.8 (20.8–25.2) kg/m²; 77% of the HCWs were female (601/779), and 59% (445/755) of the HCWs were nurses. The HCWs with severe COVID-19 were older, had higher BMI, lower education status, less likely to be nurses, more likely to have respiratory support, a history of ICU admission, comorbidities, and symptoms at admission than HCWs with nonsevere COVID-19.

Functional fitness in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19

In this study, 446 HCWs (162 nonsevere and 284 severe COVID-19) took part in the functional fitness test; it found that 29.1% (130/446) of the HCWs have not yet recovered their functional fitness. There was no significant variance in the proportion of unrecovered HCWs between the nonsevere (30.2%, 49/162) and severe groups (28.5%, 81/284). The results of functional fitness test in HCWs according to disease severity are presented in Table 2. In the three aspects of functional fitness recovery (muscle strength, flexibility, and agility/dynamic balance), no significant difference was found in the nonsevere and severe groups (all *P*-values >0.05). The

characteristics of the HCWs included and excluded from the analyses of functional fitness were similar (Table S1).

Lung function in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19

A total of 303 HCWs (114 nonsevere and 189 severe COVID-19) underwent lung function tests at 13 months after discharge. The lung function indicators of the HCWs according to disease severity are demonstrated in Table 3. This study found that the most affected indicator of lung function was lung perfusion capacity (34% with diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide [DLCO]-single breath [SB] <80%) in the HCWs. Obstruction (forced expiratory volume in one second/forced vital capacity <70%) was found in 8% and restriction (total lung capacity-SB <80%) in 6% of the HCWs. No significant variance in all indicators of lung function was found in HCWs of different disease severity (all *P*-values >0.05). The characteristics of the HCWs included and excluded from the analyses of lung function were similar (Table S1).

Immune function in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19

In this study, 534 HCWs (198 nonsevere and 336 severe COVID-19) participated in the immune function test. No significant difference was found in the median values of cytokines (Table 4) and lymphocyte subsets (Table 5) between the nonsevere and the severe group. This study also analyzed the distribution of various cytokines and lymphocyte subsets. The results suggested that more than 95% of the study population had normal levels of interferon- γ , IL-10, IL-2, IL-4, and TNF- α , and 12.0% (64/534) of the HCWs had elevated levels of IL-6 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital (Table 4). More than 90% of the HCWs had normal relative numbers of lymphocyte subsets (B cells, CD3⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T cells, CD4⁺/CD8⁺ cell ratio, CD8⁺ T cells, and NK cells) (Table 5). At 13 months after discharge from the hospital, the decrease of CD3⁺ T cells (58/534, 10.9%) and CD4⁺ T cells (26/534, 4.9%) and elevation of NK cells (44/534, 8.2%) still existed.

This study compared the levels and distributions of cytokines and lymphocyte subsets in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 and found no statistically significant differences between the two groups (all *P*-values >0.05). This study also compared the levels of cytokines in 152 HCWs with severe COVID-19 at 11 months and 13 months after discharge. Results showed that levels of all cytokines except IL-2 were lower at 13 months after discharge than at 11 months. Levels of IL-2 were slightly elevated within normal ranges at 13 months after discharge compared with 11 months (Table S2). The characteristics of the HCWs included and excluded from the analyses of immune function were similar (Table S1).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that focuses on the health consequences of patients with COVID-19 with the longest follow-up time (13 months after discharge), and the study population consisted of HCWs. This study found that at 13 months after discharge from the hospital, there was no statistically significant difference between the HCWs in nonsevere and severe groups regarding recovery of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function. The results of this study found that at 13 months after discharge, a small proportion of HCWs had not recovered their functional fitness (about 30%), had poor lung perfusion (34%), increased IL-6 and NK cells, and decreased relative numbers of CD3⁺ T cells and CD4⁺ T cells. Interventions should be implemented timely to help speed recovery in these target populations in the future.

Table 1
Characteristics of HCWs according to disease severity of COVID-19.

Characteristics	All (N = 779)	nonsevere (N = 316)	Severe (N = 463)	P
Demographic characteristics				
Age (years)	35.0 (30.0–43.0)	34.0 (28.0–40.0)	36.0 (31.0–45.0)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.8 (20.8–25.2)	22.0 (20.0–23.8)	23.4 (21.2–25.7)	<0.001
Sex (female)	601 (77%)	249 (79%)	352 (76%)	0.386
Education (college and higher)	586/732 (80%)	234/288 (81%)	352/444 (79%)	0.019
Location of the hospital work for				0.378
Hankou, Wuhan	452 (58%)	188 (59%)	264 (57%)	
Wuchang, Wuhan	196 (25%)	79 (25%)	117 (25%)	
Hanyang, Wuhan	40 (5%)	11 (4%)	29 (6%)	
Outside Wuhan in Hubei	91 (12%)	38 (12%)	53 (12%)	
Roles in work				0.003
Doctors	184/755 (24%)	72/298 (24%)	112/457 (24%)	
Nurses	445/755 (59%)	182/298 (61%)	263/457 (58%)	
Other	126/755 (17%)	44/298 (15%)	82/457 (18%)	
Smoking habit (yes)	24/756 (3%)	9/300 (3%)	15/456 (3%)	0.016
Time from discharge to follow-up (days)*	387.4 (379.3–393.4)	386.4 (382.3–396.1)	387.4 (379.1–393.3)	0.271
Clinical characteristics				
The highest respiratory support in hospital				<0.001
No supplemental oxygen	278/740 (38%)	159/295 (54%)	119/445 (27%)	
Supplemental oxygen by nasal cannula or mask	443/743 (60%)	136/295 (46%)	307/445 (69%)	
Noninvasive or invasive mechanical ventilation	19/740 (2%)	0(0%)	19/445 (4%)	
ICU admission (yes)	20/753 (3%)	1/299 (0.3%)	19/454 (4%)	<0.001
Comorbidities (yes)	167/747 (22%)	28/298 (9%)	139/449 (31%)	<0.001
Symptoms at admission				
Fatigue	412 (53%)	127 (60%)	285 (62%)	<0.001
Fever	404 (52%)	119 (38%)	285 (62%)	<0.001
Muscle soreness	252 (32%)	58 (18%)	194 (42%)	<0.001
Dry cough	238 (31%)	79 (25%)	159 (34%)	0.006
Cough	232 (30%)	72 (23%)	160 (35%)	<0.001
Chest distress	212 (27%)	49 (16%)	163 (35%)	<0.001
Diarrhea	175 (23%)	56 (18%)	119 (26%)	0.009
Shortness of breath	169 (22%)	29 (9%)	140 (30%)	<0.001
Headache	147 (19%)	33 (10%)	114 (24%)	<0.001
Dyspnea	99 (13%)	7 (2%)	92 (20%)	<0.001
Vomiting	43 (6%)	8 (3%)	35 (8%)	0.002

Data are presented as n (%), n/N (%), or median (IQR).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HCWs, healthcare workers; ICU, intensive care unit; IQR, interquartile range

* The sample sizes for a group of “all,” “nonsevere,” and “severe” were 557, 205, and 352.

Table 2
Functional fitness in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19.

Categories	All (N = 446)	Median (IQR)		β (95% CI)		P
		Nonsevere (N = 162)	Severe (N = 189)	Nonsevere (N = 114)	Severe (N = 189)	
Muscle strength test						
Grip strength test, N	25.5 (21.2, 30.5)	25.9 (21.4, 29.9)	25.3 (21.3, 30.7)	0	0.21 (-0.98, 1.41)	0.726
30-second elbow flexion test, n	19.0 (15.0, 20.5)	18.0 (15.0, 24.0)	19.0 (15.0, 23.0)	0	0.21 (-0.83, 1.24)	0.693
30-second chair stand, n	17.0 (15.0, 20.5)	18.0 (15.0, 21.0)	17.0 (15.0, 20.0)	0	-0.50 (-1.50, 0.50)	0.328
2-minute step test, n	93.0 (80.0, 107.0)	95.0 (83.0, 108.0)	92.0 (79.0, 107.0)	0	-1.62 (-5.60, 2.36)	0.423
Flexibility test						
Back scratch test (left)	-1.0 (-8.0, 3.0)	0.0 (-5.9, 3.4)	-2.8 (-10.1, 2.6)	0	-0.76 (-2.51, 0.99)	0.392
Back scratch test (right)	2.0 (-2.1, 5.0)	2.5 (0.0, 5.2)	1.7 (-4.3, 4.4)	0	-1.01 (-2.39, 0.36)	0.147
Chair sit-and-reach test, cm	1.5 (-2.0, 6.5)	2.3 (0.0, 7.0)	1.0 (-3.5, 6.5)	0	0.05 (-2.38, 2.48)	0.969
Agility/dynamic balance						
Functional reach test, cm	27.0 (22.2, 31.0)	27.0 (22.0, 30.0)	27.0 (23.0, 31.0)	0	0.51 (-0.97, 1.99)	0.500
YBT						
Anterior-L ^a	73.1 (69.0, 80.0)	73.0 (69.4, 79.0)	73.5 (68.0, 80.0)	0	0.56 (-1.13, 2.26)	0.512
Posterolateral-L	76.0 (70.2, 81.0)	75.9 (71.0, 81.0)	77.0 (70.0, 81.0)	0	0.52 (-1.40, 2.45)	0.595
Posteromedial-L	64.0 (54.9, 71.8)	64.0 (56.0, 71.0)	77.0 (70.0, 81.0)	0	1.01 (-1.67, 3.71)	0.460
Anterior-R ^b	75.0 (69.5, 80.0)	75.0 (70.5, 80.0)	75.0 (69.0, 80.0)	0	-0.27 (-1.85, 1.31)	0.731
Posterolateral-R	78.0 (71.5, 83.0)	78.0 (73.0, 83.0)	78.0 (71.0, 84.0)	0	0.00 (-1.87, 1.87)	0.999
Posteromedial-R	62.0 (54.0, 70.0)	61.0 (54.0, 81.0)	63.0 (54.0, 71.0)	0	1.29 (-1.42, 3.99)	0.351
Leg length	79.0 (76.8, 81.5)	79.0 (76.8, 81.0)	79.0 (76.8, 81.6)	0	0.25 (-0.28, 0.77)	0.358
Composite score-L	211.8 (196.6, 227.0)	211.0 (197.9, 228.4)	212.0 (196.5, 227.9)	0	2.10 (-2.80, 7.00)	0.400
Composite score-R	214.0 (197.0, 229.6)	214.0 (197.9, 228.4)	214.0 (196.0, 230.0)	0	1.01 (-4.01, 6.03)	0.692
Ratio of composite score to leg length-L	0.9 (0.8, 0.9)	0.9 (0.8, 0.9)	0.9 (0.8, 0.9)	0	0.01 (-0.01, 0.02)	0.533
Ratio of composite score to leg length-R	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	0	0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	0.931

Abbreviations: HCWs, healthcare workers; IQR, interquartile range

^a L-reach distance by left leg. ^bR-reach distance by right leg. The models adjusted for age, sex, education, roles in work, body mass index (BMI), smoking habit, and comorbidities.




Figure 1. Flow chart of this study.
HCWs: healthcare workers

Table 3
Lung function in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19.

Categories	All (N = 303)	N (%) Nonsevere (N = 114)	Severe (N = 189)	β (95% CI) Nonsevere (N = 114)	Severe (N = 189)	P
FEV ₁ <80%, % of predicted	24 (8%)	13 (11%)	11 (6%)	1	0.51 (0.20, 1.31)	0.160
FVC <80%, % of predicted	7 (2%)	4 (4%)	3 (2%)	1	0.57 (0.08, 4.29)	0.583
FEV ₁ /FVC <70%	24 (8%)	9 (8%)	15 (8%)	1	1.11 (0.43, 2.84)	0.827
RV <80%, % of predicted*	43 (14%)	17 (15%)	26 (14%)	1	0.75 (0.36, 1.57)	0.449
TLC <80%, % of predicted	18 (6%)	9 (8%)	9 (5%)	1	0.51 (0.17, 1.57)	0.243
FRC <80%, % of predicted	57 (19%)	17 (15%)	40 (21%)	1	1.52 (0.77, 3.00)	0.223
DLCO <80%, % of predicted ^a	102 (34%)	42 (37%)	60 (32%)	1	0.71 (0.41, 1.23)	0.223

Data are presented as n (%).

^a Carbon monoxide diffusion capacity was not corrected for hemoglobin. Abbreviations: DLCO, diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; HCWs, healthcare workers; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity. The models adjusted for age, sex, education, roles in work, body mass index, smoking habit, and comorbidities.

In this study, approximately 30% of the HCWs had not recovered their functional fitness at 13 months after discharge from the hospital. The results were consistent with the findings of an Italian study, which demonstrated that 32% of the patients were still showing impaired functional fitness up to approximately 3–6 months after infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Baricich et al., 2021). The decline in functional fitness was also found in patients with SARS approximately 1–2 years after discharge from the hospital (Rooney et al., 2020). Studies speculated that the causes of the decline in functional fitness might be related to the prolonged time of immobility (Herridge et al., 2016), the impairment in lung function (Mo et al., 2020), the presence of neurologic symptoms (e.g., as skeletal muscle injury) (Cagnazzo et al., 2021), and the inflammatory changes due to cardiac involvement (Rodriguez et al., 2020).

To date, it is not clear how COVID-19 affects the functional fitness of the patients and for how long the impaired functional fitness will last. Therefore, research regarding mechanisms and a longer follow-up time should be carried out in the future. In addition, rehabilitation guidance should be provided to help their recovery.

Our study found that up to 34% of the HCWs with COVID-19 had diffusion impairment at 13 months after discharge. Similar to the previous findings, the lung is the organ most affected by infection of SARS-CoV-2. Former studies also found abnormal lung function in patients with COVID-19 after discharge or symptom onset (Huang et al., 2021; Milanese et al., 2021; Shah et al., 2021). The study in Jin Yin-tan Hospital in China reported that approximately 22–56% of patients with various severity scales of COVID-19 had pulmonary diffusion abnormality (DLCO <80%, % of predicted)

Table 4
Levels of cytokines in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19.

Categories	All (N = 534)	Nonsevere (N = 198)	Severe (N = 336)	P
IFN-γ (pg/ml)	1.04 (0.96-1.16)	1.04 (0.96-1.16)	1.04 (0.96-1.16)	0.881
Elevated	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.371
Normal ^a	0.64-15.17	0.73-15.17	0.64-9.58	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
IL-10 (pg/ml)	1.25 (1.09-1.45)	1.25 (1.10-1.45)	1.40 (1.05-1.45)	0.952
Elevated	3 (0.6%)	2 (1.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0.559
Normal ^a	0.51-3.81	0.66-2.55	0.51-3.81	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
IL-2 (pg/ml)	1.36 (1.23-1.52)	1.36 (1.25-1.48)	1.40 (1.23-1.52)	0.271
Elevated	3 (0.6%)	2 (1.0%)	1 (0.3%)	0.558
Normal ^a	0.87-4.07	0.87-4.07	0.91-4.07	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
IL-4 (pg/ml)	1.50 (1.39-1.61)	1.46 (1.35-1.61)	1.50 (1.39-1.60)	0.598
Elevated	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.371
Normal ^a	1.02-2.60	1.02-2.48	1.04-2.60	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
IL-6 (pg/ml)	1.53 (1.20-2.55)	1.51 (1.20-2.44)	1.62 (1.14-2.74)	0.589
Elevated	64 (12.0%)	25 (12.6%)	39 (11.6%)	0.783
Normal ^a	0.32-5.25	0.32-5.25	0.48-5.23	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
TNF-α (pg/ml)	2.58 (1.60-5.60)	2.49 (1.60-6.45)	2.58 (1.58-5.08)	0.596
Elevated	8 (1.5%)	3 (1.5%)	5 (1.5%)	0.980
Normal ^a	0.56-21.20	0.56-21.20	0.92-20.38	
Decreased	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	

Data are presented as median (IQR).

^a Data are shown as the normal ranges of the indicators. Abbreviations: HCWs, healthcare workers; IQR, interquartile range. The comparison between two groups was performed with Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 5
Levels of lymphocyte subsets in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19.

Categories	All (N = 534)	Nonsevere (N = 198)	Severe (N = 336)	P
B cells (%)	9.90 (7.79-12.30)	9.90 (7.85-12.08)	9.90 (7.72-12.42)	0.963
Elevated	12 (2.2%)	5 (2.5%)	7 (2.1%)	0.918
Normal ^a	4.29-18.31	4.29-17.60	4.30-18.31	
Decreased	15 (2.8%)	6 (3.0%)	9 (2.7%)	
CD3⁺ T cells (%)	70.67 (64.37-75.92)	71.17 (65.15-76.40)	70.04 (63.92-75.55)	0.254
Elevated	7 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (2.1%)	0.068
Normal ^a	58.21-83.83	58.34-82.80	58.21-83.83	
Decreased	58 (10.9%)	18 (9.1%)	40 (11.9%)	
CD4⁺ T cells (%)	35.58 (31.01-40.36)	36.40 (31.49-40.10)	35.02 (30.54-40.85)	0.280
Elevated	11 (2.0%)	3 (1.5%)	8 (2.4%)	0.423
Normal ^a	25.40-51.33	25.59-51.33	25.40-50.41	
Decreased	26 (4.9%)	7 (3.5%)	19 (5.7%)	
CD4⁺/CD8⁺ cell ratio	1.42 (1.14-1.82)	1.42 (1.18-1.77)	1.42 (1.09-1.86)	0.746
Elevated	22 (4.1%)	8 (4.0%)	14 (4.2%)	0.427
Normal ^a	0.46-2.72	0.61-2.72	0.46-2.72	
Decreased	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
CD8⁺ T cells (%)	25.20 (20.76-29.98)	25.17 (21.13-29.72)	23.35 (20.63-30.39)	0.820
Elevated	24 (4.5%)	10 (5.1%)	14 (4.1%)	0.793
Normal	14.24-38.48	14.24-38.48	14.41-38.32	
Decreased	19 (3.6%)	6 (3.0%)	13 (3.9%)	
NK cells (%)	16.89 (12.01-22.75)	16.07 (11.98-23.09)	17.42 (12.01-22.58)	0.668
Elevated	44 (8.2%)	15 (7.6%)	29 (8.6%)	0.852
Normal ^a	3.51-30.44	4.58-29.66	3.51-30.44	
Decreased	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.3%)	

Data are presented as median (IQR) or n (%).

^a Data are shown as the normal ranges of the indicators. Abbreviations: HCWs, healthcare workers; IQR, interquartile range. The continuous covariate between two groups was compared with Mann-Whitney U test. The comparison of categorical covariates between two groups was evaluated using chi-square test and Fisher's exact test.

at 6 months after symptom onset (Huang et al., 2021). A study in Italy also found that 40% of the patients with COVID-19 had DLCO impairment at 6 months after hospital discharge (Milanese et al., 2021). Another prospective cohort in Canada suggested that more than 50% of the patients with COVID-19 had lung function impairment at 12 weeks after symptom onset (Shah et al., 2021). In published studies, patients with SARS (Xie et al., 2005) and H1N1 (Bai et al., 2011) were also found to have varying degrees of decline in lung diffusing capacity after discharge. The impairment would persist for months or years after discharge. Therefore, it is of significant importance to monitor lung function in patients with COVID-

19 after discharge from the hospital for a longer period of time. In addition, effective intervention measures, such as cardiopulmonary exercise (Gao et al., 2021), should be practiced to help patients regain their regular lung function. Some studies speculate that the mechanisms of the decreased diffusing capacity caused by SARS-COV-2 may be related to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, lung and multiorgan damage, and functional failure caused by cytokine storm (Iwasaki et al., 2021; Mustafa et al., 2020), and related mechanism research should also be further carried out.

Patients infected with the SARS-COV-2 (Qin et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020), SARS-COV (Huang et al., 2005), H7N9 (Zhou et al.,

2013), and H5N1 (Henter et al., 2006) were found to have increased levels of cytokines (especially IL-6), which indicated an uncontrolled systemic inflammatory reaction process and might lead to severe immune pathologic damage. Similar to the current study, a study in China demonstrated that 2 weeks after recovery, patients with COVID-19 had elevated levels of IL-6 (20.59%), IL-4 (19.12%), TNF- α (10.29%), IL-17 (2.94%), and IL-10 (1.47%); whereas levels of cytokine in healthy controls were all in normal ranges (Hasichaolu et al., 2020). Another study in China compared the levels of cytokines in hospitalized and discharged patients with noncritical COVID-19; it reported that the levels of IL-6, TNF- α , interferon- γ , IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10 were all upregulated in the hospitalized patients (Lin et al., 2020). Our previous research explored the cytokine levels in HCWs with severe COVID-19 before discharge, at 5 months, 8 months, and 11 months after discharge. The results suggested that the majority of the HCWs' cytokine levels gradually returned to normal (showing a trend of decline) (Xiong et al., 2021). The cytokine levels still showed a trend of decline from 11 months to 13 months in HCWs with severe COVID-19. At 11 months after discharge, about one-third of HCWs with severe COVID-19 had elevated cytokine levels (Xiong et al., 2021). This study found that at 13 months after discharge, only 12% of the HCWs (including nonsevere and severe COVID-19) had increased cytokine levels (only IL-6 increased), indicating that the cytokines recovered well in the majority of the participants regardless of disease severity. This study found, for the first time, that the recovery of cytokine levels in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19 at 13 months after discharge from the hospital was similar, indicating that the immune function of HCWs with severe COVID-19 could also be recovered as well as those with nonsevere COVID-19. However, the specific mechanism is still unclear, and research should be carried out to explore the recovery process further.

This study found that at 13 months after discharge, the relative numbers of CD3⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T cells, and CD8⁺ T cells decreased and NK cells increased in HCWs regardless of disease severity. This is similar to our previous results in follow-up visits within 1 year (Xiong et al., 2021). However, the lymphocyte subsets at 13 months after discharge recovered better than within 1 year in HCWs. In addition to our previous study, other research indicates that the immune system gradually recovered after COVID-19 infection. A study in China found decreased levels of CD8⁺ T cells, CD19⁺ B cells, total lymphocytes, CD3⁺ T cells, CD4⁺ T cells, and CD56⁺ NK cells in patients with COVID-19 2 weeks after recovery (Hasichaolu et al., 2020). Another study in China suggested that the levels of neutrophils, monocytes, NK cells, and CD4⁺ T cells increased. Levels of total lymphocytes and CD8⁺ T cells significantly decreased in discharged noncritical patients with COVID-19 than in those who were hospitalized (Lin et al., 2020). Published studies showed that levels of lymphocyte subsets were significantly decreased in patients with severe COVID-19 (Huang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Lymphocytes play key roles in viral clearance in patients with COVID-19. The observed decrease of lymphocyte subsets may destroy many immune cells, inhibiting the patients' cellular immunity. The decreases in lymphocyte subsets after recovery were evidenced to be independent predictors of disease severity and rehabilitation efficacy (Akbari et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Similar T cell depletion was also observed in SAR-CoV and MERS patients (Fung et al., 2020). However, the mechanisms remain unclear; although there were studies speculating that cytokine storm (Zhang et al., 2020), lung impairment, and virus (Merad and Martin, 2020) might be involved in the T cell depletion. Future mechanism studies are warranted. Studies with a longer follow-up time are also needed to investigate the impacts of COVID-19 on immune function.

This research has several limitations. First, this study evaluated the HCWs' functional fitness recovery from three aspects: muscle

strength, flexibility, and agility/dynamic balance. Follow-up studies should also use other evaluation methods, such as the 6-minute walking test, to evaluate the HCWs' functional fitness recovery. Second, the HCWs did not have a lung function test before infection. Therefore, it was impossible to compare with the results after infection. The number of HCWs with chronic respiratory disease was limited. However, self-reported prevalence of chronic respiratory disease might lead to underestimation. This study speculates that the majority of the HCWs' lung function at baseline is normal. The interpretation of current results remains valid. Third, this study did not analyze the associations between computed tomography findings and lung function parameters; future studies should focus on these aspects. Fourth, due to laboratory testing methods, only the relative numbers of lymphocyte subsets were available in this study. Follow-up studies should also focus on the differences in the absolute numbers of lymphocyte subsets. Fifth, this study lacked a control group and could not assess the health status of HCWs who were not infected with COVID-19. Future studies should be conducted to compare the health status of HCWs infected and uninfected with COVID-19. Lastly, this study found no statistically significant difference in some aspects of health recovery in HCWs with nonsevere and severe COVID-19. The response rate of follow-up visits may introduce biases to the study findings. However, the characteristics of the HCWs included and excluded from the study were similar. In addition, the low proportion of ICU admission (4%) in HCWs with severe COVID-19 and the high proportion (approximately 40%) of HCWs without supplemental oxygen may also limit generalizability of the study findings to other populations. Therefore, the results achieved in the current study need to be confirmed in larger cohort studies in the future.

At 13 months after discharge from the hospital, the health consequences of the majority of the HCWs with COVID-19 had returned to normal. The recovery of HCWs with severe COVID-19 is no worse than those with nonsevere COVID-19 in terms of functional fitness, lung function, and immune function. However, it is still necessary to implement timely interventions in helping HCWs to recover fully after discharge from the hospital.

Funding

The study was supported by the Rehabilitation Care Project for Medical Staff Infected with COVID-19 in China launched by the Chinese Academy of Engineering and Tencent Foundation. The study's funder had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or report writing. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Declarations of competing interest

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Ethical approval

According to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, this research was approved by the ethics committee of Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. All HCWs signed written informed consents at enrollment.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files). Because the cohort is still going on, we may not make the data available to others.

Author contributions

Hu Y, Xia J, Xiong LJ, Li Q, Cao XJ, Xiong HG, Huang M, and Yang FW designed this study. Hu Y, Xiong LJ, and Li Q were responsible for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors had full access to all of the data in the study. Hu Y, Xia J, and Xiong LJ managed the project and provided guidance. Xiong LJ, Cao XJ, Xiong HG, Meng DQ, Zhou M, Zhang YZ, and Fan YZ collected the data. Xiong LJ, Li Q, Xiong HG, and Tang L analyzed the data. Xiong LJ and Li Q drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and gave final approval for the version to be published.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:[10.1016/j.ijid.2022.06.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2022.06.052).

References

- Akbari H, Tabrizi R, Lankarani KB, Aria H, Vakili S, Asadian F, et al. The role of cytokine profile and lymphocyte subsets in the severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Life Sci* 2020;258.
- Bai L, Gu L, Cao B, Zhai XL, Lu M, Lu Y, et al. Clinical features of pneumonia caused by 2009 influenza A(H1N1) virus in Beijing, China. *Chest* 2011;139:1156–64.
- Baricich A, Borg MB, Cuneo D, Cadario E, Azzolina D, Balbo PE, et al. Midterm functional sequelae and implications in rehabilitation after COVID19. A cross-sectional study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2021;57:199–207.
- Boshnjaku A, Bahtiri A, Feka K, Krasniqi E, Tschan H, Wessner B. Test-retest reliability data of functional performance, strength, peak torque and body composition assessments in two different age groups of Kosovan adults. *Data Brief* 2021;36.
- Cagnazzo F, Arquiza C, Derraz I, Dargazanli C, Lefevre PH, Riquelme C, et al. Neurological manifestations of patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review of the literature. *J Neurol* 2021;268:2656–65.
- China National Health Commission. Chinese clinical guidance for COVID-19 pneumonia diagnosis and treatment. 7th ed; 2020. <http://kjfy.meetingchina.org/msite/news/show/cn/3337.html> accessed 18 June 2022.
- Cruz Rodriguez JB, Lange RA, Mukherjee D. Gamut of cardiac manifestations and complications of COVID-19: a contemporary review. *J Investig Med* 2020;68:1334–40.
- Deng Z, Zhang M, Zhu T, Zhili N, Liu Z, Xiang R, et al. Dynamic changes in peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets in adult patients with COVID-19. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;98:353–8.
- Egbert ER, Xiao S, Colantuoni E, Caturegli P, Gadala A, Milstone AM, et al. Durability of spike immunoglobulin G antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 among health care workers with prior infection. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4.
- Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi* 2020;41:145–51.
- Fung SY, Yuen KS, Ye ZW, Chan CP, Jin DY. A tug-of-war between severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and host antiviral defence: lessons from other pathogenic viruses. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2020;9:558–70.
- Gao Y, Chen R, Geng Q, Mo X, Zhan C, Jian W, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing might be helpful for interpretation of impaired pulmonary function in recovered COVID-19 patients. *Eur Respir J* 2021;57.
- Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, Barjaktarevic IZ, Cooper BG, Hall GL, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:e70–88.
- Hasichaolu ZX, Zhang X, Li X, Li X, Li D. Circulating cytokines and lymphocyte subsets in patients who have recovered from COVID-19. *BioMed Res Int* 2020;2020.
- Havervall S, Rosell A, Phillipson M, Mangsbo SM, Nilsson P, Hober S, Thålin C. Symptoms and functional impairment assessed 8 months after mild COVID-19 among health care workers. *JAMA* 2021;325:2015–16.
- Henter JL, Chow CB, Leung CW, Lau YL. Cytotoxic therapy for severe avian influenza A (H5N1) infection. *Lancet* 2006;367:870–3.
- Herridge MS, Moss M, Hough CL, Hopkins RO, Rice TW, Bienvenu OJ, et al. Recovery and outcomes after the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in patients and their family caregivers. *Intensive Care Med* 2016;42:725–38.
- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2021;397:220–32.
- Huang KJ, Su IJ, Theron M, Wu YC, Lai SK, Liu CC, et al. An interferon-gamma-related cytokine storm in SARS patients. *J Med Virol* 2005;75:185–94.
- Huang W, Berube J, McNamara M, Saksena S, Hartman M, Arshad T, Bornheimer SJ, O’Gorman M. Lymphocyte subset counts in COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *Cytometry A* 2020;97:772–6.
- Iwasaki M, Saito J, Zhao H, Sakamoto A, Hirota K, Ma D. Inflammation triggered by SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2 augments drives multiple organ failure of severe COVID-19: molecular mechanisms and implications. *Inflammation* 2021;44:13–34.
- Liang L, Yang B, Jiang N, Fu W, He X, Zhou Y, Ma WL, Wang X. Three-month follow-up study of survivors of coronavirus disease 2019 after discharge. *J Korean Med Sci* 2020;35:e418.
- Lin L, Luo S, Qin R, Yang M, Wang X, Yang Q, et al. Long-term infection of SARS-CoV-2 changed the body’s immune status. *Clin Immunol* 2020;218.
- Merad M, Martin JC. Pathological inflammation in patients with COVID-19. a key role for monocytes and macrophages. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2020;20:355–62.
- Milanese M, Anselmo M, Buscaglia S, Garra L, Goretti R, Parodi L, et al. COVID-19 6 months after hospital discharge: pulmonary function impairment and its heterogeneity. *ERJ Open Res* 2021;7:00196–2021.
- Mo X, Jian W, Su Z, Chen M, Peng H, Peng P, et al. Abnormal pulmonary function in COVID-19 patients at time of hospital discharge. *Eur Respir J* 2020;55.
- Moncunill G, Mayor A, Santano R, Jiménez A, Vidal M, Tortajada M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and antibody kinetics among health care workers in a Spanish Hospital after 3 months of follow-up. *J Infect Dis* 2021;223:62–71.
- Mustafa MI, Abdelmoneim AH, Mahmoud EM, Makhawi AM. Cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients, its impact on organs and potential treatment by QTY code-designed detergent-free chemokine receptors. *Mediators Inflamm* 2020;2020.
- Nogueira MA, Almeida TDN, Andrade GS, Ribeiro AS, Rêgo AS, Dias RDS, et al. Reliability and accuracy of 2-minute step test in active and sedentary lean adults. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther* 2021;44:120–7.
- Paz LES, Bezerra BJDS, Pereira TMM, da Silva WE. COVID-19. the importance of physical therapy in the recovery of workers’ health. *Rev Bras Med Trab* 2021;19:94–106.
- Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71:762–8.
- Rikli RE, Jones CJ. Development and validation of a functional fitness test for community-residing older adults. *J Aging Phys Act* 1999;7:129–61.
- Rooney S, Webster A, Paul L. Systematic review of changes and recovery in physical function and fitness after severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus infection: implications for COVID-19 rehabilitation. *Phys Ther* 2020;100:1717–29.
- Shah AS, Wong AW, Hague CJ, Murphy DT, Johnston JC, Ryerson CJ, et al. A prospective study of 12-week respiratory outcomes in COVID-19-related hospitalisations. *Thorax* 2021;76:402–4.
- State Sport General Administration. https://sky.nankai.edu.cn/_upload/article/files/f1/da/da63a2a84531a6457617e9e86256/87b0d024-7de9-4596-aff9-12864d685bfe.pdf, 2003; (accessed on 18 June 2022)
- Wan S, Yi Q, Fan S, Lv J, Zhang X, Guo L, et al. Relationships among lymphocyte subsets, cytokines, and the pulmonary inflammation index in coronavirus (COVID-19) infected patients. *Br J Haematol* 2020;189:428–37.
- Wang F, Nie J, Wang H, Zhao Q, Xiong Y, Deng L, et al. Characteristics of peripheral lymphocyte subset alteration in COVID-19 Pneumonia. *J Infect Dis* 2020;221:1762–9.
- World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard, <https://www.who.int/>, 2022 (accessed on 18 June 2022).
- Xie L, Liu Y, Xiao Y, Tian Q, Fan B, Zhao H, Chen W. Follow-up study on pulmonary function and lung radiographic changes in rehabilitating severe acute respiratory syndrome patients after discharge. *Chest* 2005;127:2119–24.
- Xiong L, Li Q, Cao X, Xiong H, Huang M, Yang F, et al. Dynamic changes of functional fitness, antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and immunological indicators within 1 year after discharge in Chinese health care workers with severe COVID-19: a cohort study. *BMC Med* 2021;19:163.
- Zhang X, Tan Y, Ling Y, Lu G, Liu F, Yi Z, et al. Viral and host factors related to the clinical outcome of COVID-19. *Nature* 2020;583:437–40.
- Zhou J, Wang D, Gao R, Zhao B, Song J, Qi X, et al. Biological features of novel avian influenza A (H7N9) virus. *Nature* 2013;499:500–3.