Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Genomics Data

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gdata

RNA-Seq reveals changes in the *Staphylococcus aureus* transcriptome following blue light illumination

Tamarah L. Adair *, Bayless E. Drum

Baylor University Department of Biology, One Bear Place 97388, Waco, TX 76798, United States

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 17 May 2016 Accepted 23 May 2016 Available online 26 May 2016

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus Phototherapy Reactive oxygen intermediates RNA-seq MRSA In an effort to better understand the mechanism by which blue light inhibits the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in culture, a whole transcriptome analysis of *S. aureus* isolate BUSA2288 was performed using RNA-Seq to analyze the differential gene expression in response to blue light exposure. RNA was extracted from *S. aureus* cultures pooled from 24 1 ml well samples that were each illuminated with a dose of 250 J/cm² of 465 nm blue light and from control cultures grown in the dark. Complementary DNA libraries were generated from enriched mRNA samples and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq Next Generation Sequencer. Here we report one type of analysis that identified 32 candidate genes for further investigation. Blue light has been shown to be bactericidal against *S. aureus* and is a potential alternative therapy for antibiotic resistant organisms. The mechanism for the inactivation of bacteria is hypothesis. The RNA-Seq data generated by these experiments is deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (Gene accession GSE62055) and may be found at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE62055).

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Specifications

Organism/cell line/tissue	S. aureus BUSA2288 (Nasal isolate)
Sex	NA
Sequencer or array type	MiSeq
Data format	Raw
Experimental factors	Blue light illumination vs no light
Experimental features	RNA-Seq was used to analyze the differential gene expression of <i>S. aureus</i> in culture in response to blue light aurocure
Concept	IBB Baulor University
Consent	
Sample source location	NA

1. Direct link to deposited data [provide URL below]

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE62055

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

[complete description of the Experimental design and methods used to acquire the genomic data and where applicable, in the analysis. Include any relevant figures/tables.]

2.1. Bacterial isolate

A methicillin resistant isolate of *S. aureus* was cultured from the nasal passage of a healthy Baylor University student in Waco, TX during the fall of 2009. This isolate is referred to as BUSA2288. Baylor University's Institutional Review Board for the protection of human subjects approved the consent form, collection procedures, and recording methods. The nasal passage sample was collected by swabbing each anterior nare and gently rolling the swab across the surface of a mannitol salt agar plate. Fermenting colonies were isolated and purified on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates. Gram positive, catalase positive, coagulase positive, staphylococcal cultures were identified as *S. aureus* and stored in CRYOCARE beads (Key Scientific Products, Stamford, Texas) for future use. A Kirby Bauer disc diffusion assay was performed on *S. aureus* BUSA2288 and oxacillin resistance was confirmed using Etest (bioMérieux, Inc., Durham, NC) and positive PCR amplification of the *nuc* and *MecA* genes [4].

2.2. Growth conditions

BUSA2288 was grown overnight in 5 ml of Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth at 37 °C. The broth culture was inoculated from a single colony grown on a TSA plate. The contents of this overnight culture were added to 45 ml BHI broth resulting in a concentration of approximately

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2016.05.011

2213-5960/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Data in Brief





^{*} Corresponding author.

 1×108 CFU/ml as measured by colony counts. 1 ml aliquots of this diluted overnight culture was transferred to each well of two BD Falcon[™] non-treated 24-well plates. The control plate, labeled No Light (NL), was covered and protected from light, and the treatment plate, labeled Blue Light (BL), was illuminated. Both plates were incubated with shaking at 35 °C for 2 h.

2.3. Light source

The illumination box was designed and constructed in house. Twenty-four 1.5 mm Kingbright blue LED lights were attached to a 24-well plate lid. The lights were arranged so that when the modified lid was place on a 24-well plate the lights were 0.5 mm above the broth of the individual wells as seen in Fig. 1. The LED lights are CIE 127 compliant with a dominant wavelength of 465 nm and a $2\theta 1/2$ of 16° [1] The lights were operated at a forward current of 20 mA for 2 h resulting in a total light dosage per well of 250 J/cm². The resistors were placed away from the light box so as to not increase the temperature inside of the incubator.

2.4. RNA extraction and mRNA enrichment

Total RNA was extracted from the NL control and BL treatment samples using a modified phenol chloroform extraction method as follows. The culture was removed from 24 wells and centrifuged. The pelleted cells were resuspended in RNAse free water and incubated with an equal volume of 1:1 phenol/chloroform (~250 µl each). After a 30 min incubation at 70 °C, the phases were separated by centrifugation at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The aqueous layer was removed (~200 µl) and 2x the volume of isopropanol was added followed by refrigerated centrifugation at 12,000 $\times g$ for 10 min. The RNA pelleted was washed with 200 μ l of cold 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 8000 \times g for 10 min. The pellet was dried in the inverted tube at room temperature for 10 min and resuspended in 95 °C Elution Buffer Solution (Ambion). Both samples were treated with DNAse Inactivation Reagent (Ambion). The mRNA was enriched following the protocols provided with the MICROBExpress Bacterial mRNA Enrichment Kit (Ambion). This procedure uses a capture hybridization by magnetic beads to remove 16S and 23S ribosomal RNAs. The purity and concentration of the total and enriched RNA samples were analyzed by gel electrophoresis and by an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Complementary DNA libraries were built and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeg Next Generation Sequencer at the University of Oklahoma Health Science Center's lab for Molecular Biology and Cytometry Research.

2.5. RNA-seq data analysis

Two independent experiments were performed and sequenced. Whole genome sequencing has not been performed on BUSA2288, so in order to determine which reference genomes to use for alignment



Fig. 1. Blue light box. The light box was built in house using Kingbright LED lights and an adjustable power supply [1].

we performed an alignment using BLAST and determined the two closest related reference genomes. High quality reads were then aligned to the genomes of MRSA252 (NC_002952) and N315 (NC_002745), in order to create a transcriptome map.

In one analysis, the combined results of both independent experiments were analyzed using the Pairwise Analysis tools in Gene Sifter® [3]. The genes were normalized by Mapped Reads using EdgeR statistics including a Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction. The Quality was set at a minimum number of 10 reads and the lower threshold for change was 5 fold, with a p-value of 0.05 or less. These criteria produced a list of 32 up or down regulated genes as shown in Table 1.

	D	ifferer	ntially	regulated	gene
--	---	---------	---------	-----------	------

		MRSA252	n-fold	
Functional category and gene	gene	Locus	change	P-value
1 Discontinue de la continue de la c				
1. BIOSYNTHESIS OF AMINO ACIDS	and	CAD1 40C	F F 4	4 415 02
debydrogenase	asa	SAK1406	- 5.54	4.41E-03
aspartate kinase	hic	CAD1/05	5.2	7 70E 02
2 Cell envelope components	iysc	3/11/14/03	- 5.5	7.70E-05
sortase	srtR	SAR1108	5.03	9.45F-03
3 Cellular processes	5112	5/11(1100	5.05	5.15E 05
serine protease	snlC	SAR1906	7 45	1 49E-02
4. Central intermediary	opie	5	7110	
metabolism				
Nitrate reductase subunit alpha	narG	SAR2486	-5.88	5.96E-03
Nitrate reductase subunit beta	narH	SAR2485	-5.88	5.96E-03
Nitrate reductase gamma chain	NarI	SAR2483	-5.88	5.96E-03
Respiratory nitrate reductase	nar]	SAR2484	-5.88	5.96E-03
delta chain	5			
Small heat shock protein	narK	SAR2475	-9.24	1.25E-03
Nitrite transport protein	narT	SAR2476	-9.24	1.44E-03
Nitrite reductase large subunit	nasD	SAR2489	-5.46	2.50E-02
Assimilatory nitrite reductase	nasE	SAR2488	-6.15	1.20E-02
small subunit				
Tetrapyrrole (corrin/porphyrin)	nasF	SAR2487	-6.15	1.20E-02
methylase				
5. Energy metabolism				
Azoreductase	acpD	SAR0203	28.06	1.79E-02
Dioxygenase	PcpA_N_like	SAR2599	18.32	1.14E-02
6. Protein synthesis	-			
Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis	tRNA-Asp	SARt023	-10.13	4.01E-02
7. Regulatory function	-			
Accessory gene regulator B	agrB	SAR2123	-8.03	5.29E-04
Nitrogen regulatory protein A	nreB	SAR2482	-5.56	1.38E-02
Response regulator	nreC	SAR2480	-5.68	3.52E-02
Dissimilatory nitrate/nitrite	NreB-NreC	SAR2481	-5.88	2.24E-02
reduction) two-component				
regulatory system				
RNAIII regulatory	RNAIII	SARs022	-9.88	2.38E-04
transcript/delta haemolysin				
8. conserved protein, unknown	Pfam			
function	prediction			
Hypothetical protein	SepA	SAR2259	-8.38	3.47E-05
Hypothetical protein	YceI-like	SAR2769	11.74	3.47E-02
Hypothetical protein	CbiX, CbiK,	SAR2490	-7.13	9.08E-03
	DUF3928			
Hypothetical protein	Pig-F GPI	SAR0742	-5.64	1.13E-02
	biosynthesis			
Hypothetical protein	Trep-Strep	SAR1005	-5.76	3.68E-03
Hypothetical protein	DoxX,	SAR1010	-5.64	9.06E-03
	DoxX_2			
Hypothetical protein	bPH_5	SA2264	-7.11	1.77E-03
Hypothetical protein	DUF5080,	SAR0291	-6.24	3.48E-04
	HRG			
Hypothetical protein	DUF4293,	SAR0292	-6.31	5.52E-03
	RTA1, Serinc			
Hypothetical protein	Ycf1	SAR2683	-5.7	5.96E-03
Hypothetical protein	Putative	SAR0455	- 5.51	4.12E-02
	membrane			
	protein			

2.6. Conclusions

One hypothesis regarding the mechanism of blue light inhibition is that the interaction of blue light with intracellular or membrane bound molecules, results in production of reactive oxygen species and cell death [2]. This data indicates that there is a genetic response to the blue light involving the oxidative stress pathways. Whether this is a specific or general response is an important question to explore, since polymorphism exists at many of these alleles. This data provides a starting point for further exploration. It is possible that a small molecule acting to up or down regulate one or more of these pathways may provide a new antimicrobial. The raw data files for the RNA-seq experiment are deposited in the GEO, Gene accession GSE62055.

Transparency document

The Transparency document associated with this article can be found, in online version.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by funds from the Baylor University Research Committee and the Vice Provost for Research at Baylor University.

References

- Y. Lu, Preliminary Spec: T-1 3/4 (5 mm) SOLID STATE LAMP. http://www.mouser. com/ds/2/216/wp7113pbc-a-308475.pdf2009.
- [2] M. Maclean, S.J. Macgregor, J.G. Anderson, G.A. Woolsey, The role of oxygen in the visible-light inactivation of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 92 (2008) 180–184, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2008.06.006.
- [3] PerkinElmer, Inc, Geospiza GeneSifter® for Genetic Analysis. http://www.geospiza. com/Products/default.shtml2016.
- [4] K. Zhang, J. Sparling, B.L. Chow, S. Elsayed, Z. Hussain, D.L. Church, D.B. Gregson, T. Louie, J.M. Conly, New quadriplex PCR assay for detection of methicillin and mupirocin resistance and simultaneous discrimination of *Staphylococcus aureus* from coagulase-negative *Staphylococci*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42 (2004) 4947–4955, http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.11.4947-4955.2004.