

Protocol of a meta-analysis

Clinical efficacy and complications of short versus long fusion for the treatment of degenerative scoliosis

Wanjun Liu, MM, Jian Sun, MM, Yao Wu, MM, Liqi Yang, MD^{*}

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of long fusion (LF) versus short fusion (SF) for the treatment of degenerative scoliosis (DS).

Methods: We will search MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and Web of Science to collect the randomized and non-randomized controlled studies that compared LF with SF in the treatment of DS from inception to June 1, 2019. The quality of the included studies will be assessed by 2 evaluation members according to the Cochrane collaboration network standard or the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale. The included studies will be analyzed using RevMan 5 (version 5.3.3).

Results and Conclusion: The study will compare the efficacy and safety of LF and SF in the treatment of DS and provide more reliable, evidence-based data for clinical decision making.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42019137646.

Abbreviations: DS = degenerative scoliosis, LF = long fusion, SF = short fusion.

Keywords: degenerative scoliosis, fusion, meta-analysis, surgery

1. Introduction

Degenerative scoliosis (DS), which is more common in the elderly, is a deformity of the coronal Cobb angle $\geq 10^{\circ}$ caused by the degeneration of individuals with mature bone development.^[1] DS is a complex spinal disease, and its exact pathology is unclear.^[2] In addition, its clinical symptoms vary, including lumbago backache, lower limb pain, and trunk unbalance.^[3] At present, the primary treatment for DS in clinical practice is surgery, which mainly includes decompression and fusion. Its purpose is to relieve lumbago and neurogenic pain, correct the deformity, and reconstruct the balance of the spine.^[4] However, there is a lack of consensus on the length of fusion in the surgical treatment of DS.^[5] According to the definition published in previous studies, short fusion (SF) refers to cases where either the average number of fusion segments is less than 3 or the fusion segments are within

WL and JS contributed equally to this work and are the co-first authors.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81471273). The funder had no role in this study.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Liqi Yang, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, No. 678 Furong Road, Economic and Technological Development Zone of Hefei, Hefei, 230000, Anhui, China (e-mail: 1084534815@qq.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Liu W, Sun J, Wu Y, Yang L. Protocol of a meta-analysis: clinical efficacy and complications of short versus long fusion for the treatment of degenerative scoliosis. Medicine 2020;99:3(e18845).

Received: 18 December 2019 / Accepted: 20 December 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000018845 the upper and lower vertebrae of scoliosis, whereas long fusion (LF) includes cases where the average number of fusion segments is equal or greater than 3 or the fusion segments reach or exceed the upper and lower vertebrae of scoliosis.^[6,7] The purpose of our study was to compare the efficacy and safety of LF and SF in the treatment of DS and to provide more reliable, evidence-based data for clinical decision making.

2. Methods

2.1. Standards

This protocol will be performed based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols guidelines.

2.2. Ethical issues

Ethical approval is not required as this study is based on aggregate data and will not involve humans.

2.3. Registration

The protocol has been registered in the PROSPERO and the registration number is CRD42019137646.

2.4. Inclusion criteria

Clinical studies including prospective and retrospective observational studies (cohort studies, case–control studies, and crosssectional studies) will be considered eligible. In addition, we will only include articles published in English. All studies should assess at least one of the following parameters: surgical duration, hospital stays, blood loss, Cobb angle, coronal C7 plumb, visual analog scale scores, Oswestry Disability Index, and complications.

2.5. Search strategy

We will search MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and Web of Science from inception to June 1, 2019. The search terms included ("degenerative scoliosis" or "degenerative spinal deformity" or "coronal imbalance") and ("fusion" or "internal fixation" or "curve correction").

2.6. Data analysis and statistical methods

All the data will be subjected to meta-analysis using RevMan 5 (version 5.3.3, Cochrane, London, UK). Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed by chi-square and I^2 tests. If the I^2 value is >50%, the data will be considered to be significantly heterogeneous. Continuous data will be represented by mean differences and 95% confidence intervals whereas dichotomous data will be represented by odd ratios and 95% confidence intervals. A *P* value of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

2.7. Quality assessment

Two researchers will independently evaluate the quality of the literature. Studies will be evaluated using the Cochrane risk of bias tool and Newcastle–Ottawa scale.

3. Discussion

DS is a common degenerative disease of the spine and often requires surgical treatment.^[8] Researchers have suggested that severe or progressive deformities, progressive aggravation of neurological symptoms, and ineffective conservative treatment are the main surgical indications.^[9] Although decompression alone may be effective in relieving neurological symptoms, it may lead to further spinal instability.^[10] Therefore, most surgeons recommend decompression combined with fusion for the treatment of DS.^[11] However, there is no uniform standard for the length of fusion segments. The study will compare the

efficacy and safety of LF and SF in the treatment of DS and provide more reliable, evidence-based data for clinical decision making.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun, Yao Wu. Data curation: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun.

Formal analysis: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun, Yao Wu.

Funding acquisition: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun, Liqi Yang.

Methodology: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun.

Supervision: Liqi Yang.

Writing - original draft: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun.

Writing - review & editing: Wanjun Liu, Jian Sun, Liqi Yang.

References

- Xie D, Zhang J, Ding W, et al. Abnormal change of paravertebral muscle in adult degenerative scoliosis and its association with bony structural parameters. Eur Spine J 2019;28:1626–37.
- [2] Eguchi Y, Toyoguchi T, Inage K, et al. Analysis of skeletal muscle mass in women over 40 with degenerative lumbar scoliosis. Eur Spine J 2019;28:1618–25.
- [3] Birknes JK, White AP, Albert TJ, et al. Adult degenerative scoliosis: a review. Neurosurgery 2008;63(3 Suppl):94–103.
- [4] Liang Y, Zhao Y, Wang T, et al. Precision treatment of adult lumbar degenerative scoliosis complicated by lumbar stenosis with the use of selective nerve root block. World Neurosurg 2018;120:e970–5.
- [5] Phan K, Xu J, Maharaj MM, et al. Outcomes of short fusion versus long fusion for adult degenerative scoliosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Orthop Surg 2017;9:342–9.
- [6] Cho K, Suk S, Park S, et al. Short fusion versus long fusion for degenerative lumbar scoliosis. Eur Spine J 2008;17:650–6.
- [7] Faldini C, Martino A, Borghi R, et al. Long vs. short fusions for adult lumbar degenerative scoliosis: does balance matters? Eur Spine J 2015;24:887–92.
- [8] Zhang XN, Sun XY, Hai Y, et al. Incidence and risk factors for multiple medical complications in adult degenerative scoliosis long-level fusion. J Clin Neurosci 2018;54:14–9.
- [9] Silva FE, Lenke LG. Adult degenerative scoliosis: evaluation and management. Neurosurg Focus 2010;28:E1.
- [10] Aebi M. The adult scoliosis. Eur Spine J 2005;14:925-48.
- [11] Daffner SD, Vaccaro AR. Adult degenerative lumbar scoliosis. Am J Orthop 2003;32:77–82.