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#### Abstract

Background: Inflammation is widespread in the clinical pathology and closely associated to the progress of many diseases. Triterpenoid saponins as a key group of active ingredients in Panax notoginseng (Burk.) F.H. Chen were demonstrated to show antiinflammatory effects. However, the chemical structures of saponins in the leaves and stems of Panax notoginseng (PNLS) are still not fully clear. Herein, the isolation, purification and further evaluation of the antiinflammatory activity of dammarane-type triterpenoid saponins from PNLS were conducted. Methods: Silica gel and reversed-phase C8 column chromatography were used. Furthermore, preparative HPLC was used as a final purification technique to obtain minor saponins with high purities. MS, NMR experiments, and chemical methods were used in the structural identifications. The antiinflammatory activities of the isolated saponins were assessed by measuring the nitric oxide production in RAW 264.7 cells stimulated by lipopolysaccharides. Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction was used to measure the gene expressions of inflammation-related gene. Results: Eight new minor dammarane-type triterpene oligoglycosides, namely notoginsenosides LK1LK8 ( $1-8$ ) were obtained from PNLS, along with seven known ones. Among the isolated saponins, gypenoside IX significantly suppressed the nitric oxide production and inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$, interleukin 10, interferon-inducible protein 10 and interleukin- $1 \beta$. Conclusion: The eight saponins may enrich and expand the chemical library of saponins in Panax genus. Moreover, it is reported for the first time that gypenoside IX showed moderate antiinflammatory activity. © 2018 The Korean Society of Ginseng, Published by Elsevier Korea LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).


## 1. Introduction

Panax notoginseng, Panax ginseng Meyer, and Panax quinquefolium L. belong to Panax genus under Araliaceae family. As is known to all, triterpenoid saponins have made great contributions to the biological activities of these three medicinal herbs mentioned above, from which more than 200 saponins have already been isolated. According to the structures of the aglycone, these known saponins were classified into five types, while the protopanaxadiol (PPD) and protopanaxatriol type accounted for the majority [1]. Depending on the numbers of sugar units, they can also be divided into monoglycoside, diglycoside, and oligoglycoside. The major
components of these three medicinal herbs were reported to be PPD- and protopanaxatriol-type oligoglycosides, such as ginsenoside Rb1, Rc, Rb3, and Re [2].

Inflammation occurs widely in the process of clinical pathology and plays a significant role in the progress of many diseases [3], and therefore the compounds holding antiinflammatory effects will be used for the treatment of various inflammation-related diseases. Previous reports have shown that ginsenoside Rc and Rd belonging to PPD-type oligoglycosides exerted antiinflammatory activity by suppressing the gene expressions of tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (Tnf- $\alpha$ ) and nuclear factor kappa B, respectively [4,5]. The leaves and stems of $P$. notoginseng (PNLS) were rich in PPD-type oligoglycosides and

[^0]could be an alternative source of bioactive saponins and a possible replacement of the roots, which required long growth period for harvest. So far, approximately 30 saponins have been purified and characterized from PNLS, such as notoginsenoside Fc and ginsenoside Rb3 and Rc [6-8]. However, chemical structures of a few saponins are still uncertain, especially pairs of isomeric ones undistinguished by MS spectrum [9]. Hence, isolation from PNLS was conducted to enhance chemical diversity of Panax plants and provide materials for activity screening to find out potential agents with antiinflammatory effects.

In this report, fifteen dammarane-type triterpene oligoglycosides were obtained from PNLS. Among them, gypenoside IX was demonstrated to exhibit the highest inflammatory activities by suppressing the nitric oxide (NO) production and inflammatory cytokines including Tnf- $\alpha$, interleukin 10 (Il-10), interferoninducible protein 10 (Cxcl10) and interleukin-1 $\beta$ (Il-1 $\beta$ ) in RAW 264.7 cells stimulated by lipopolysaccharides (LPSs).

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. General experimental procedures

The total saponins of PNLS were purchased from Qidan Co. Ltd. (Wenshan, Yunnan, China). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341B polarimeter. IR spectra were obtained from a Nicolet-380 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 600 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $(150 \mathrm{MHz})$ data were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE-III spectrometer in deuterated pyridine. The spectra of high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (HRESIMS) were obtained from a QTOF mass spectrometer (Waters, UK) in the negative ion mode. Configuration determination of sugar components was performed on HPLC-UV (Agilent 1100) with a Shim-Pack HRC-ODS column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{~mm}$, Shimadzu, Japan). Silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Group Co., Ltd., China) and reversedphase C8 gel ( $40-60 \mathrm{~mm}$, YMC, Japan) were used in the open column chromatography (CC). Preparative HPLC was performed on a preparative HPLC system (Tong Heng Innovation Technology Co., Ltd., China) equipped with a T-Sharp C18 column ( $150 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$, 5 mm , Xuanmei Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China) and semipreparative HPLC with a Zorbax SB C18 column ( $250 \times 9.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{~mm}$, Agilent Technologies, USA). TLC was carried on the HSGF 254 plates (Qingdao Jiangyou Group Co., Ltd., China) with developing solvents $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.5: 2.5: 0.3,7: 3: 0.5,6.5: 3.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. Authentic samples used for determination of configurations of the sugar moiety were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). L-cysteine methyl ester and o-tolylisothiocyanate as derivatization reagents were bought from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan).

### 2.2. Extraction and isolation

The total saponins ( 440 g ) from PNLS were divided into six fractions (A-F) by silica gel CC, eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (8:2:0.2, 7.5:2.5:0.3, 7:3:0.5, 6.5:3.5:0.5, 1:1:0). F (200 g) was chromatographed over silica gel again to yield four subfractions (F1-F4). F4 (120 g) was fractionated using Rp-C8 gel, eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 6 \rightarrow 9: 1)$ to give four subfractions (F4.1: 1.5 g , F4.2: $6 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~F} 4.3: 40 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~F} 4.4: 34 \mathrm{~g})$. Then F4.2 $(1 \mathrm{~g} \times 6)$ was further purified by preparative HPLC on T-Sharp C18 column with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(28: 72$ $\rightarrow 32: 68$ ) as mobile phase, $40 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 6$ times repeated. Every 20 ml of eluent were collected and followed by a detection of TLC. Eluent in each tube with similar Rf value was combined to give compound $\mathbf{1}(8 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{2}(12 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{3}(5 \mathrm{mg})$, and four subfractions (F4.2.1-F4.2.4). After further purification of F4.2.3 ( 150 mg ) by semipreparative HPLC on an Agilent Zorbox SB-C18 column $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30: 70\right.$, UV detection at 203 nm$)$, compound $5(3 \mathrm{mg})$
and $\mathbf{9}(85 \mathrm{mg})$ were obtained. In a similar way, compound $\mathbf{4}(7 \mathrm{mg})$, $6(32 \mathrm{mg})$, and $7(18 \mathrm{mg})$ were obtained from F4.2.4 ( 100 mg ). Purification of F4.1 ( 1.5 g ) was performed in the same condition as F4.2 to give compound $\mathbf{8}(12 \mathrm{mg})$. Purification of 1 g of F 4.3 was carried out on the silica gel $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 7.5: 2.5: 0.5 \rightarrow\right.$ 7:3:0.5) and prep-HPLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 26: 74 \rightarrow 30: 70\right)$ to give $\mathbf{1 0}$ $(350 \mathrm{mg})$, $\mathbf{1 1}(10 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{1 2}(5 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{1 3}(230 \mathrm{mg})$. Then 1 g of F4.4 was further chromatographed with silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, 8:2:0.2 $\rightarrow$ 7.5:2.5:0.5) to yield $14(300 \mathrm{mg})$. Finally, 100 mg of F3 was further separated by semipreparative $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 32: 68\right.$ $\rightarrow$ 36:64) and $\mathbf{1 5}(20 \mathrm{mg})$ was obtained.

### 2.3. Spectroscopic data

Notoginsenoside LK1 (1): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+2.2$ (c 0.09, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,352,2,920,1,662,1,367,1,164,1,077,1,027$, $893 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 1; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $1,091.5648[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK2 (2): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+5.6$ ( c 0.10, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\max } 3,354,2,926,1,645,1,367,1,163,1,072,1,038$, $893 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 1; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1,207.6134 [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{26}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK3 (3): white, amorphous powder; [ $\alpha$ ] $\mathrm{D}^{25}+10.6(c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,368,2,943,1,650,1,368,1,164$, $1,073,1,027,894 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 1; $m / z 1,237.6258$ [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK4 (4): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-1.1$ (c 0.10, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,355,2,928,1,667,1,367,1,163,1,073,1,028$, $894 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table $1 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1,223.6122 [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK5 (5): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+2.5$ ( $c 0.08, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,344,2,943,1,647,1,366,1,162,1,073,1,027$, $897 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 2; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1,223.6112 [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK6 (6): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+2.2$ ( c 0.09, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,342,2,881,1,650,1,367,1,163,1,075,1,027$, $896 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 2; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1,093.5806 [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK7 (7): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}-11.1$ ( c 0.09, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,353,2,925,1,666,1,367,1,167,1,078,1,027$, $894 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 2; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1,093.5853 [M-H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ ) from HRESIMS.

Notoginsenoside LK8 (8): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}+2.2$ (c 0.10, MeOH); IR $\nu_{\text {max }} 3,339,2,885,1,650,1,368,1,170,1,077,1,027$, $895 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are shown in Table 2; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 931.5287 [M-H] ${ }^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ ) from HRESIMS.

### 2.4. Acid hydrolysis of compounds 1-8

Compounds 1-8 (1 mg each) were hydrolyzed with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) $(2 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling, the remaining acid was taken away with repeat evaporation of MeOH . And then the residue was dissolved in water and removed off impurities with ether. After concentration of the water layer, the residue and authentic samples were analyzed for a comparison through TLC.

### 2.5. Absolute configuration of sugars

The sugar mixtures were obtained as described above. Further derivatizations were conducted according to the method reported [10]. In short, the residue dissolved in anhydrous pyridine was reacted at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h with L-cysteine methyl ester hydrochloride followed by addition of o-tolylisothiocyanate and heat treatment of another 1 h . HPLC-UV was used to analyze the reaction mixture we got.

Table 1
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ) data of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4}$

| No. | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ठC | $\delta \mathrm{H}$ | ¢C | $\delta \mathrm{H}$ | ¢C | $\delta \mathrm{H}$ | ¢C | $\delta \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1 | 39.5 | 1.56(o), 0.74(t,13.2) | 39.5 | 1.55(o), 0.76(t,10.5) | 39.5 | 1.55(o), 0.76(t,13.0) | 39.6 | 1.55(o), 0.76(t,13.9) |
| 2 | 27.1 | 2.22(m), 1.86(o) | 27.1 | 2.19(m), 1.82(o) | 27.1 | 2.19(m), 1.82(o) | 27.2 | 2.22(m), 1.86(o) |
| 3 | 89.3 | 3.28 m | 89.3 | 3.30(m) | 89.3 | 3.30(m) | 89.3 | 3.30 m |
| 4 | 40.0 |  | 40.1 |  | 40.1 |  | 40.1 |  |
| 5 | 56.7 | 0.66(d,11.9) | 56.8 | 0.69(d,11.6) | 56.7 | 0.70(d,11.3) | 56.8 | 0.69(d,11.4) |
| 6 | 18.8 | 1.47(o), 1.36(o) | 18.8 | 1.44(o), 1.38(o) | 18.8 | 1.44(o), 1.38(o) | 18.8 | 1.49(o), 1.38(o) |
| 7 | 35.5 | 1.44(o), 1.18(d,10.9) | 35.4 | 1.50(o), 1.20(d,11.6) | 35.5 | 1.50(o), 1.20(d,11.6 | 35.5 | 1.51(o), 1.19(d,11.4) |
| 8 | 40.3 |  | 40.4 |  | 40.4 |  | 40.4 |  |
| 9 | 50.6 | 1.37(o) | 50.5 | 1.38(o) | 50.5 | 1.38(o) | 50.6 | 1.38(o) |
| 10 | 37.2 |  | 37.3 |  | 37.3 |  | 37.3 |  |
| 11 | 31.2 | 1.54(o), 1.96(d,12.4) | 31.2 | 1.54(o), 2.02(d,11.6) | 31.2 | 1.54(o), 2.01(d,12.3) | 31.2 | 1.56(o), 1.96(d,11.9) |
| 12 | 70.5 | 4.16(o) | 71.0 | 4.16(o) | 70.9 | 4.16(o) | 70.5 | 4.16(o) |
| 13 | 49.9 | 2.04(t,10.4) | 49.8 | 2.06(d,10.8) | 49.9 | 2.10(t,10.7) | 49.9 | 2.03(t,10.5) |
| 14 | 51.8 |  | 51.9 |  | 51.8 |  | 51.8 |  |
| 15 | 31.0 | 1.54(o), 0.99(o) | 31.9 | 1.55(o), 0.97(o) | 31.9 | 1.56(o), 0.97(o) | 31.0 | 1.54(o), 1.02(o) |
| 16 | 27.0 | 1.86(o), 1.37(o) | 26.9 | 1.82(o), 1.45(o) | 26.9 | 1.82(o), 1.45(o) | 27.1 | 1.86(o), 1.36(o) |
| 17 | 52.5 | 2.61(m) | 52.4 | 2.43(m) | 52.3 | 2.46(m) | 52.5 | 2.60(m) |
| 18 | 16.3 | 0.93(s, 3 H ) | 16.3 | 1.01( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) | 16.3 | 1.02(s, 3 H ) | 16.3 | 0.93(s, 3 H ) |
| 19 | 16.6 | 0.83(s,3H) | 16.7 | 0.81(o) | 16.7 | 0.83(o) | 16.7 | 0.82(s,3H) |
| 20 | 83.6 |  | 83.7 |  | 83.7 |  | 83.6 |  |
| 21 | 22.1 | 1.59(s,3H) | 23.9 | 1.67(s,3H) | 23.9 | 1.62(s,3H) | 22.1 | 1.57(s,3H) |
| 22 | 33.1 | $3.20 \mathrm{~m}, 3.48 \mathrm{~m}$ | 40.6 | 3.13(m), 2.90(m) | 40.8 | 3.18(m), 2.46(m) | 33.1 | 3.17(m), 3.47(m) |
| 23 | 30.1 | $2.77 \mathrm{~m}, 2.12 \mathrm{~m}$ | 127.8 | 6.08(m) | 127.8 | 6.11(m) | 30.2 | 2.75(m), 2.11(m) |
| 24 | 203.0 |  | 136.0 | 6.49(d,14.9) | 136.0 | 6.50(d,15.0) | 203.0 |  |
| 25 | 144.7 |  | 143.0 |  | 143.0 |  | 144.6 |  |
| 26 | 125.8 | 6.4s, 5.7 s | 115.3 | 5.04(o), 4.95(o) | 115.3 | 5.04(o), 4.93s | 126.2 | 6.39s, 5.84 s |
| 27 | 18.2 | 1.84( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) | 19.3 | 1.95(s,3H) | 19.4 | 1.94(s, 3 H ) | 18.2 | 1.84(s, 3 H ) |
| 28 | 28.4 | 1.30(s,3H) | 28.5 | 1.29(s,3H) | 28.5 | 1.30(s,3H) | 28.5 | 1.28(s,3H) |
| 29 | 17.0 | 1.13(s,3H) | 17.0 | 1.12(s, 3 H ) | 17.0 | 1.13(s, 3 H ) | 17.1 | 1.12(s,3H) |
| 30 | 17.7 | 0.97(s,3H) | 17.5 | 0.88(s,3H) | 17.5 | 0.86(s,3H) | 17.8 | 0.97(s,3H) |
| Glc-1 | 105.5 | 4.94(d,7.2) | 105.1 | 4.95(d,6.8) | 105.2 | 4.96(d,7.4) | 105.7 | 4.91(d,6.8) |
| 2 | 83.8 | 4.27(0) | 83.4 | 4.13(o) | 83.3 | 4.12(o) | 83.5 | 4.13(o) |
| 3 | 78.5 | 4.27(o) | 78.2 | 4.24(o) | 78.2 | 4.30(o) | 78.2 | 4.16(o) |
| 4 | 72.0 | 4.37(o) | 71.5 | 4.13(o) | 72.2 | 4.24(o) | 72.6 | 4.47(o) |
| 5 | 78.7 | 3.94(o) | 78.7 | 3.88(m) | 78.1 | 3.89(m) | 78.1 | 3.88(o) |
| 6 | 63.2 | 4.59(d,10.6), 4.32(o) | 63.4 | 4.38(o), 4.61(d,11.4) | 63.3 |  | 63.2 | 4.50(o), 4.40(o) |
| Glc'-1 | 106.4 | 4.93(d,7.5) | 103.6 | 5.54(d,8.0) | 103.5 | 5.55(d,8.1) | 103.6 | 5.53(d,7.3) |
| 2 | 77.5 | 4.16(o) | 84.9 | 4.23(o) | 84.9 | 4.24 | 84.9 | 4.23(o) |
| 3 | 78.3 | 3.95(o) | 78.3 | 4.32(o) | 78.8 | 4.31(0) | 78.6 | 3.97(o) |
| 4 | 72.0 | 4.38(o) | 72.2 | 4.24(o) | 71.1 | 4.18(o) | 71.5 | 4.17(o) |
| 5 | 78.6 | 4.36(o) | 79.3 | 3.97(o) | 77.4 | 4.09(o) | 76.9 | 4.04(o) |
| 6 | 63.0 | 4.52(o), 4.37(o) | 63.2 | 4.49(d,11.4), 4.32(o) | 63.3 | 4.39(o), 4.50(d,10.0) | 63.4 | 4.59(d,10.7), 4.40(o) |
| Xyl-1 |  |  | 106.8 | 5.44(d,6.8) | 106.8 | 5.45(d,6.5) | 106.8 | 5.44(d,6.4) |
| 2 |  |  | 76.9 | 4.14(o) | 75.6 | 4.13(o) | 75.1 | 3.92(o) |
| 3 |  |  | 79.1 | 4.37(0) | 79.2 | 4.38(o) | 79.8 | 4.18(o) |
| 4 |  |  | 71.1 | 4.15(o) | 70.9 | 4.16(0) | 71.1 | 4.16(o) |
| 5 |  |  | 67.8 | 4.33(o), 3.70(t,10.2) | 67.8 | 4.33(o), 3.71(t,10.3) | 67.8 | 4.33(o), 3.70(t,8.6) |
| Glc'-1 | 98.3 | 5.12(d,8.0) | 98.6 | 5.18(d,8.0) | 98.7 | 5.19(d,7.5) | 98.4 | 5.11(d,7.3) |
| 2 | 75.1 | 3.96(o) | 75.5 | 3.99(o) | 75.4 | 3.94(o) | 74.7 | 4.20(o) |
| 3 | 79.8 | 4.17(o) | 76.3 | 4.13(o) | 78.7 | 4.24(o) | 79.1 | 4.37(o) |
| 4 | 72.5 | 4.27(o) | 72.3 | 4.23(o) | 71.9 | 4.24(o) | 71.8 | 4.13(o) |
| 5 | 77.0 | 4.06(o) | 78.1 | 4.03(o) | 76.4 | 4.12(o) | 76.4 | 4.14(o) |
| 6 | 70.5 | 4.80(d,10.4), 4.28(o) | 68.7 | 4.69(d,9.1), 4.16(o) | 70.3 | 4.75(d,11.5), 4.39 | 69.9 | 4.76(d,10.3), 4.26(o) |
| $\mathrm{Glc}^{\prime} / \mathrm{Xyl}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{Ara}-1$ | 106.4 | 5.40(d,7.5) | 110.6 | 5.70(d-like,1.2) | 105.5 | 5.12(d,7.3) | 105.1 | 4.94(0) |
| 2 | 75.2 | 4.05(o) | 83.6 | 4.88(s-brs) | 75.4 | 3.94(o) | 72.2 | 4.26(o) |
| 3 | 79.8 | 4.16(o) | 79.2 | 4.81(o) | 78.7 | 4.17(o) | 78.3 | 4.31(o) |
| 4 | 71.6 | 4.18(o) | 86.5 | 4.81(o) | 71.5 | 4.13(o) | 69.3 | 4.37(o) |
| 5 | 67.5 | 4.38(o), 3.71(t,10.4) | 63.2 | 4.49(d,12.2), 4.23(o) | 78.3 | 4.24(o) | 66.6 | 4.34(o), 3.79(t,11.9) |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 63.3 | 4.58(o), 4.39(o) |  |  |

Ara, $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl or arabinopyranosyl; d, doublet; Glc, $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl; m, multiplet; o, overlapped signals; s, singlet; t, triplet; Xyl, $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm; coupling constants ( $J$ ) in hertz

### 2.6. Determination of NO production

Compounds 1-15 (purity $>95 \%$ ) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and the final concentration of DMSO was $0.1 \%$. A pretreatment of each compound for 30 min was conducted in RAW 246.7 cells and then followed by a stimulation of LPS ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 24 h . DMSO was used as negative
control and 20(S)-ginsenoside $\mathrm{Rg} 3(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ as positive group [11]. All compounds were tested in nontoxic concentration. The Griess reaction was carried out to measure the NO production [12]. Briefly, $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of cell culture supernatant was added into Griess reagent in the same volume and reacted for 10 min . Then the absorbance at 540 nm was obtained from a microplate reader.

Table 2
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz ) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ) data of compounds $\mathbf{5}-\mathbf{8}$

| No. | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢C | $\delta \mathrm{H}$ | סC | ¢ H | ¢C | ¢H | ¢C | ¢H |
| 1 | 39.6 | 1.56(o), 0.76(t,12.9) | 39.5 | 1.54(o), 0.73(t,12.0) | 39.5 | 1.54(o), 0.73(t,12.1) | 39.5 | 1.50(o), 0.77(o) |
| 2 | 27.2 | 2.21(m), 1.87(o) | 27.1 | 2.20(d,10.4), 1.84(o) | 27.1 | 2.19(m), 1.83(o) | 27.2 | 2.20(m), 1.82(o) |
| 3 | 89.3 | 3.29(m) | 89.3 | 3.27(m) | 89.3 | 3.27(m) | 89.2 | 3.31(m) |
| 4 | 40.1 |  | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  | 40.1 |  |
| 5 | 56.8 | 0.69(d,12.4) | 56.7 | 0.67(d,12.0) | 56.7 | 0.67(d,11.9) | 56.7 | 0.70(d,11.6) |
| 6 | 18.8 | 1.47(o), 1.38(o) | 18.8 | 1.48(o), 1.37(o) | 18.8 | 1.48(o), 1.36(o) | 18.8 | 1.47(o), 1.36(o) |
| 7 | 35.5 | 1.46(o), 1.20(d,11.5) | 35.5 | 1.44(o), 1.19(d,11.2) | 35.4 | 1.44(o), 1.19(d,10.9) | 35.5 | 1.48(o), 1.22(o) |
| 8 | 40.4 |  | 40.3 |  | 40.3 |  | 40.3 |  |
| 9 | 50.6 | 1.38(o) | 50.5 | 1.38(o) | 50.5 | 1.37(o) | 50.7 | 1.42(o) |
| 10 | 37.3 |  | 37.2 |  | 37.2 |  | 37.3 |  |
| 11 | 31.2 | 1.54(o), 1.95(d, 13.3) | 31.3 | 1.54(o), 1.98(0) | 31.2 | 1.54(o), 1.97(o) | 32.4 | 1.50(o), 2.03(o) |
| 12 | 70.5 | 4.20(o) | 70.6 | 4.14(o) | 70.7 | 4.09(o) | 71.1 | 3.93(o) |
| 13 | 49.9 | 2.03(t,10.6) | 49.8 | 2.06(o) | 49.8 | 2.04(o) | 48.9 | 2.10(o) |
| 14 | 51.8 |  | 51.8 |  | 51.8 |  | 52.1 |  |
| 15 | 31.1 | 1.54(o), 0.98(o) | 31.1 | 1.54(o), 0.97(o) | 31.1 | 1.54(o), 0.97(o) | 31.7 | 1.56(o), 1.02(o) |
| 16 | 27.0 | 1.87(o), 1.38(o) | 27.0 | 1.83(o), 1.38(o) | 27.0 | 1.83(o), 1.37(o) | 27.1 | 1.82(o), 1.41(o) |
| 17 | 52.5 | 2.60(m) | 52.2 | 2.56(m) | 52.4 | 2.52(m) | 55.2 | 2.37(m) |
| 18 | 16.3 | 0.92(s,3H) | 16.3 | 0.95(s, 3 H ) | 16.2 | 0.93(s, 3 H ) | 16.2 | 0.96(s,3H) |
| 19 | 16.7 | 0.82(s,3H) | 16.6 | 0.82(s,3H) | 16.6 | 0.81(s,3H) | 16.7 | 0.79(s,3H) |
| 20 | 83.6 |  | 83.8 |  | 83.9 |  | 73.5 |  |
| 21 | 22.1 | 1.58(s,3H) | 23.0 | 1.66(s,3H) | 22.9 | 1.64(s, 3 H ) | 27.8 | 1.46(s,3H) |
| 22 | 33.1 | 3.19(m), 3.47(m) | 33.1 | 1.96(o), 2.64(m) | 33.1 | 1.94(o), 2.56(m) | 32.6 | 2.15(o), 1.50(m) |
| 23 | 30.1 | 2.75(m), 2.11(m) | 30.9 | 2.35(m), 2.06(o) | 30.8 | 2.32(m), 2.04(o) | 31.0 | 2.35(m), 2.05(o) |
| 24 | 203.0 |  | 76.4 | 4.47(o) | 76.5 | 4.46(o) | 76.3 | 4.44(o) |
| 25 | 144.7 |  | 149.4 |  | 149.4 |  | 149.4 |  |
| 26 | 125.8 | 6.37s, 5.72 s | 110.7 | 5.26s, 4.94(o) | 110.9 | 5.25s, 4.96s | 110.2 | 5.28s, 4.94(o) |
| 27 | 18.2 | 1.84(s,3H) | 18.6 | 1.96(s,3H) | 18.3 | 1.97(s,3H) | 18.8 | 1.91(s,3H) |
| 28 | 28.5 | 1.28(s,3H) | 28.4 | 1.29(s,3H) | 28.5 | 1.29(s,3H) | 28.4 | 1.30(s,3H) |
| 29 | 17.0 | 1.12(s,3H) | 16.9 | 1.11(s,3H) | 16.9 | 1.10(s, 3 H ) | 17.0 | 1.12(s, 3 H ) |
| 30 | 17.8 | 0.97(s,3H) | 17.7 | 0.98(s, 3 H ) | 17.6 | 0.99(s,3H) | 17.4 | 0.96(s,3H) |
| Glc-1 | 105.1 | 4.94(d,8.5) | 105.5 | 4.94(d,7.1) | 105.5 | 4.93(d,7.4) | 105.1 | 4.96(d, 8.1) |
| 2 | 83.4 | 4.10(o) | 83.9 | 4.26(0) | 83.8 | 4.24(0) | 83.3 | 4.12 |
| 3 | 78.6 | 4.25(0) | 78.7 | 4.26(0) | 78.7 | 4.17(o) | 78.6 | 4.30 |
| 4 | 71.7 | 4.12(o) | 72.0 | 4.36(0) | 72.0 | 4.16(o) | 72.1 | 4.23 |
| 5 | 78.2 | 3.87(o) | 78.4 | 3.94(0) | 78.3 | 3.94(o) | 78.1 | 3.88 |
| 6 | 63.4 | 4.37(o), 4.58(d, 11.3) | 63.2 | 4.50(o), 4.59(d,11.0) | 63.2 | 4.35(0) | 63.3 | 4.37, 4.59(d, 11.3) |
| Glc'-1 | 103.6 | 5.51(d,7.6) | 106.5 | 5.39(d,7.8) | 106.4 | 5.37(d,7.6) | 103.5 | 5.53(d,7.6) |
| 2 | 84.9 | 4.22(o) | 77.5 | 4.17(o) | 76.8 | 4.01(o) | 84.9 | 4.22(o) |
| 3 | 78.6 | 4.30(o) | 78.3 | 3.94(o) | 78.5 | 4.24(0) | 78.1 | 4.29(o) |
| 4 | 71.5 | 4.24(0) | 72.0 | 4.36(0) | 72.4 | 3.98(0) | 71.4 | 4.10(o) |
| 5 | 78.3 | 3.96(o) | 78.7 | 4.34(o) | 78.6 | 3.94(0) | 78.3 | 3.96(o) |
| 6 | 63.2 | 4.49(d,10.7), 4.37(o) | 63.0 | 4.50(o), 4.36(o) | 63.0 | 4.49(o), 4.35(o) | 63.2 | 4.50(d,11.8), 4.37(o) |
| Xyl-1 | 106.8 | 5.43(d,6.3) |  |  |  |  | 106.8 | 5.43(d,6.6) |
| 2 | 76.3 | 4.13(o) |  |  |  |  | 76.4 | 4.13(o) |
| 3 | 79.0 | 4.36(o) |  |  |  |  | 79.0 | 4.37(o) |
| 4 | 71.5 | 4.17(o) |  |  |  |  | 71.3 | 4.14(o) |
| 5 | 67.8 | 4.31(o), 3.70(m) |  |  |  |  | 67.8 | 4.34(o), 3.70(m) |
| Glc' ${ }^{\text {-1 }}$ | 98.4 | 5.11(d,7.8) | 98.5 | 5.15(d,7.8) | 98.4 | 5.14(d,7.6) |  |  |
| 2 | 75.1 | 3.91(o) | 75.2 | 3.91(0) | 75.4 | 3.94(o) |  |  |
| 3 | 79.8 | 4.17(o) | 79.5 | 4.16(o) | 79.5 | 4.17(o) |  |  |
| 4 | 72.1 | 4.25(o) | 71.9 | 4.23(0) | 72.0 | 4.34(o) |  |  |
| 5 | 77.0 | 4.04(o) | 77.3 | 4.06(o) | 77.5 | 4.16(o) |  |  |
| 6 | 70.6 | 4.78(d,10.0), 4.27(o) | 70.3 | 4.77(d,10.4), 4.27(o) | 68.6 | 4.69(d,9.1), 4.09(o) |  |  |
| Xyl'/Ara-1 | 106.4 | 4.92(d,7.6) | 106.0 | 5.02(d,7.1) | 110.4 | 5.67(d-like) |  |  |
| 2 | 75.2 | 4.03(o) | 75.2 | 4.05(o) | 83.7 | 4.89(s-brs) |  |  |
| 3 | 78.1 | 4.30(o) | 78.5 | 4.26(0) | 79.3 | 4.79(o) |  |  |
| 4 | 71.1 | 4.15(o) | 70.3 | 4.16(o) | 86.3 | 4.79(o) |  |  |
| 5 | 67.5 | 4.36(o), 3.70(o) | 67.3 | 4.35(o), 3.77(t,9.7) | 63.1 | 4.24(o), 4.49(d,12.0) |  |  |

Ara, $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl or arabinopyranosyl; d, doublet; Glc, $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl; m, multiplet; o, overlapped signals; s, singlet; t, triplet; Xyl, $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm; coupling constants ( $J$ ) in hertz

### 2.7. Measurement of inflammation-related mRNA expressions of gypenoside IX treated cells

RAW 246.7 cells were pretreated with gypenoside IX ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) or ginsenoside Rg3 $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 30 min and then stimulated with LPS ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 4 h . Total RNA was extracted using RNA fast 200 (Fastagen, Shanghai, China) and reverse transcribed into cDNA with PrimeScript RT Master Mix (TaKaRa-Bio, Otsu, Japan), according to
the manufacturer instructions. The cDNA was amplified quantitatively using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa-Bio, Otsu, Japan). The sequences of the forward and reverse primers were $5^{\prime}$ -CTCTTCTCATTCCTGCTTGT-3' and $5^{\prime}$-GTGGTTTGTGAGTGTGAGG-3' for mouse Tnf- $a, 5^{\prime}$-CCTGGTAGAAGTGATGCC- $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$-ACTGCCTT-GCTCTTATTTTC- $3^{\prime}$ for $\mathrm{Il}-10,5^{\prime}$-CCTATGGCCCTCATTCTCAC- $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$ -CGTCATTTTCTGCCTCATCCT-3' for Cxcl10, and $5^{\prime}$-TTAGTCCTCGGC-CAAGACAG-3' and $5^{\prime}$-GGCAAGGAGGAAAACACAGG-3' for $\mathrm{Il}-1 \beta$.

Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction was performed using an ABI ViiA 7 Real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The data was normalized to $\beta$-Actin mRNA.

### 2.8. Statistical analysis

Data from three independent experiments were presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Student's $t$ test and Prism Graphpad program were used in the data analysis and calculation, respectively.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Structure elucidation of new compounds

Compound 1 had a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ deduced from $m / z 1091.5648(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}){ }^{-}$in the HRESIMS. The D-glucose and Dxylose were suggested from the acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$, and their relative configurations were determined as $\beta$ because of signals at $\delta 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and 5.40 (d, 7.5 Hz ). Seven aglycone methyls were suggested from corresponding singlets at $\delta 0.8,0.9,1.0,1.1,1.3,1.6$, and 1.8 and olefinic protons from two singlets at $\delta 6.4$ and 5.7 in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum. In addition, a carbonyl group ( $\delta 203.0$ ) and a terminal double bond ( $\delta 144.7$ and 125.8 ) in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum were also observed.

According to the above characteristic peaks, compound 1 was indicated to show the same aglycone as notoginsenoside B, especially the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone in the side chain [13]. Apart from 30 signals due to the skeleton, the remaining signals of compound 1 were assigned to sugar chain and identical to those of ginsenoside Rb 3 , especially four anomeric carbon signals at $\delta 106.4,106.4,105.5$, and 98.3 [14]. The positions of the functional groups in the side chain were further determined by observation of the correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{3}-27(\delta 1.84)$ and $\mathrm{C}-24, \mathrm{C}-25$, and $\mathrm{C}-26(\delta 203.0,144.7$ and 125.8) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-26(\delta 6.38$ and 5.72$)$ and $\mathrm{C}-24, \mathrm{C}-25$ and $\mathrm{C}-27(\delta 18.2)$ in the heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) spectrum. The glycosylations were indicated to happen at $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-20$ from the downfield signals at the corresponding position. Besides, the correlation between $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{H}-1$ from the glc, and $\mathrm{C}-20$ and $\mathrm{H}-1$ from the glc ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ further corroborated the finding. Sugar sequences were indicated to be a $1 \rightarrow 2$ linkage type attaching to $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $1 \rightarrow 6$ to C-20 from the correlations between signals at $\delta 83.8$ and $\delta 4.93$ and $\delta 70.5$ and $\delta 5.40$.

Accordingly, compound 1 was identified as 3-0-[ $\beta$-Dglucopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl $] 3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar-25-en-24-one (Fig. 1).

Compound 2 was corresponded to a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{26}$ determined from $m / z 1207.6134(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$in the HRESIMS. The absolute configurations of the glucose and xylose were proved
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Fig. 1. The structures of compounds 1-15. Ara(f), $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl; $\operatorname{Ara}(\mathrm{p}), \alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl; Glc, $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl; Xyl, $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl.
to be D and arabinose to be L by acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{2}$. The distinctive carbon signals at $\delta 143.0,136.0,127.8$, and 115.3 suggested a conjugated double bond; the position of which was deduced from the correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{3}-27$ ( $\delta 1.95$ ) and C-24, C-25, and C-26 ( $\delta$ 136.0, 143.0 and 115.3) and $\mathrm{H}_{2}-26(\delta 5.04,4.95)$ and $\mathrm{C}-24, \mathrm{C}-25$, and C-27 ( $\delta$ 19.3) in the HMBC experiment. Furthermore, signals attributed to the aglycone particularly the above characteristic peaks were shown to be identical to those of quinquenoside L1 [15]. Sugar chains were deduced to consist of $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl, $\beta$-Dxylopyranosyl, and $\alpha$-L-arabinofuransyl unit from the signals for five anomeric carbons along with the coupling constants of the corresponding protons. The trisaccharide moiety was suggested to attach at $\mathrm{C}-3$ and the disaccharide moiety at C-20 of the aglycone from the correlations observed between signals at $\delta 4.94$ and $\delta 89.3$ and $\delta 5.18$ and $\delta 83.7$. Then we found that the remaining 28 signals for the sugar parts showed consistence with those of ginsenoside FP2 (11) [16]. Finally, the structure of compound 2 was determined as $3-\mathrm{O}-[\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 2)-\beta$-D-glucopyranosy $(1 \rightarrow 2)-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\alpha$-L-arabinofuransyl( $1 \rightarrow 6$ ) $-\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar-23,25-diene.

Compound 3 possessed a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ established from $m / z ~ 1,237.6258(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$in the HRESIMS. The absolute configurations of glucose and xylose were all determined as D according to the HPLC chromatogram of the acid hydrolysate. NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ showed high similarities with those of notoginsenoside LK2 (2). The only difference laid at signals due to the sugar chain, which were consistent with those of notoginsenoside Fa [17]. Based on this elucidation along with two-dimensional spectra, such as heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) and HMBC, compound $\mathbf{3}$ was identified as $3-O-[\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -$\beta$-D-glucopyranosy $(1 \rightarrow 2)-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\beta$-D-glucopyranosy( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar-23, 25-diene.

Compound 4 was corresponded to a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ deduced from $m / z$ 1,223.6122 (M-H) ${ }^{-}$in the HRESIMS. The absolute configurations of glucose and xylose were shown to be D. The carbon and proton signals of $\mathbf{4}$ agreed mostly with those of notoginsenoside LK1 (1), especially the distinctive signals at $\delta 144.7$ and $\delta 125.8$ due to olefinic carbons and the signals at $\delta 203.0$ assigned to a carbonyl group. The only differences were five more carbon signals due to a xylopyranosyl unit in 4 than 1 . Furthermore, the sugar sequences of 4 were shown the same as those of notoginsenoside Fc (10) [17]. Finally, based on this clarification above and two-dimensional spectra, compound 4 was deduced as $3-0-[\beta-$ D-xylopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranos yl]-20-O-[ $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 6)-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$ -trihydroxydammar-25-en-24-one.

Compound 5 possessed a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{96} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ determined from $m / z 1,223.6112(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. The absolute configurations of the glucose, xylose, and arabinose from acid hydrolysate of compound 5 were proved to be D, D, and L, respectively. The carbon and proton signals of $\mathbf{5}$ agreed well with those of notoginsenoside LK4 (4). The only difference was the replacement of the terminal- $\beta$-Dxylopyranosyl moiety at C-20 in 4 by an $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl group in notoginsenoside LK5. At last, in view of the illuminations above and detailed displays of two-dimensional spectra, compound 5 was identified as 3-O-[ $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-Dglucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar--25-en-24-one (Fig. 1).

Compound 6 had a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ deduced from $m / z 1,093.5806(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. The absolute configuration of glucose and xylose were all proved to be D by HPLC analysis. The unique signals at $\delta 110.7$ and 149.4 at low field were assigned to olefinic carbons and signal at $\delta 76.4$ was due to oxygenated carbon, which indicated
a terminal double bond and a hydroxyl group. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of 6 matched well with those of gypenoside LXXI (9) [18], except for slight difference of the signals at C-24 and C-26 between 6 and 9 . Specifically, signals went to high field by movement of $\delta 0.1$ for C-24 and $\delta 0.5$ for C-26 from $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{9}(\mathbf{6}: \delta 76.4$ at C-24, $\delta 110.7$ at C26; 9: $\delta 76.3$ at $\mathrm{C}-24, \delta 110.3$ at C-26), which suggested $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ were obtained as a pair of C -24 epimers. Furthermore, the configuration at C-24 in the $\mathbf{6}$ was identified to be $R$ after a comparison of signals between 6 and reported C-24 epimers, such as vina-ginsenoside R9 and majoroside F1 [19]. Accordingly, compound $\mathbf{6}$ was deduced as 3-O-[ $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\beta$-Dxylopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydrox-ydammar-24(R)-hydroxyl-25-ene.

Compound 7 was assigned to a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{23}$ established by $m / z 1,093.5853(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 7 resembled to those of notoginsenoside LK6. The only difference was an $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl group in notoginsenoside LK7 by substitution of a terminal- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl moiety at C-20 position in 6. Accordingly, compound 7 was identified as $3-\mathrm{O}-[\beta-\mathrm{D}-$ glucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl]-20-O-[ $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl ( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar-24( $R$ )-hydr oxyl-25-ene.

Compound 8 was assigned to a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{O}_{18}$ deduced from HRESIMS. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{8}$ and notoginsenoside Ft3 showed high similarities but a slight difference in signals for C-24 and C-26 [20]. However, the structure of notoginsenoside Ft3 was not fully determined owing to the unconfirmed hydroxyl group at C-24 position. In our study, the configuration at C-24 of compound $\mathbf{8}$ was suggested to be $S$ from the higher-field shift at $\delta 76.3$ ( $\mathrm{C}-24$ ) and $\delta 110.2$ (C-26). Accordingly, compound 8 was identified as 3-O-[ $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl ( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl] $3 \beta, 12 \beta, 20(S)$-trihydroxydammar-24(S)-hy droxyl-25-ene.

### 3.2. Structure identification of known compounds

The known compounds $9-15$ were identified as gypenoside LXXI (9) [18], notoginsenoside Fc (10) [17], notoginsenoside FP2 (11) [16], notoginsenoside Fz (12) [8], ginsenoside Rc (13) [14], ginsenoside Rb3 (14) [14] and gypenoside IX (15) [21] compared to the NMR and MS data reported.

### 3.3. Antiinflammatory activity

Previous reports have revealed that saponins exerted antiinflammatory activity, and therefore all the isolated compounds were screened for antiinflammatory activity by measuring NO production of LPS-stimulated Raw 264.7 cells. As the results indicate (Fig. 2), only pretreatment of gypenoside IX at noncytotoxic concentration ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) significantly decreased the NO production to $72.0 \%$ compared with the control group ( $100 \%$ ), which indicated the antiinflammatory activity of gypenoside IX. Furthermore, the expressions of inflammation-related genes Tnf- $\alpha$, II-10, Cxcl10, and Il$1 \beta$ were suppressed by gypenoside IX (Fig. 3). Taken together, gypenoside IX has the potential to be used as an antiinflammatory agent.

## 4. Discussion

PNLS were rich in dammarane-type saponins and could be considered as an alternative source of bioactive saponins. In the present study, eight new notoginsenosides bearing dehydrogenated or oxidized side chains along with seven known PPD-type saponins with common side chains have been isolated and identified from PNLS. Among them, compounds 1, 4, and 5 showed the


## Compounds

Fig. 2. Effect of compounds $1-15$ on nitric oxide (NO) production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The data were presented as ratio (\%) compared to the group of DMSO-treated cell (control group). $20(S)-\mathrm{Rg} 3$ was used as positive control. Compounds, which showed less than $80 \%$ of NO production, can be regarded as the potential antiinflammatory inhibitors. Data from three independent experiments were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{* *} p<0.01$ and ${ }^{*} p<0.05$ versus the control group. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; Rg3, 20(S) ginsenoside Rg3; SD, standard deviation.
same $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone as previously isolated saponins, such as notoginsenoside B from the dried root of $P$. notoginseng, ginsenoside III from the flower buds of $P$. ginseng, and vina-ginsenoside R20 from the roots of Panax vietnamensis Ha et Grushv. [13,22,23]. It

has been indicated that this kind of side chain existed widely in genus Panax. Before our study, only quinquenaside L1 from the leaves and stems of $P$. quinquefolium was reported to bear a side chain with conjugated double bonds [15]. The discovery of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ has greatly enhanced the chemical diversity of saponins of this type. In addition, this is the first report on a pair of C-24 epimers [notoginsenoside LK6 (6) and gypenoside LXXI (9)] from P. notoginseng, although this kind of C-24 epimers (vina-ginsenoside R9 and majoroside F1) has been previously isolated from P. vietnamensis [19]. In the previous study, $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ with undefined configurations at $\mathrm{C}-24$ were proposed as floranotoginsenoside D and notoginsenoside Ft3, respectively [20,24]. Besides, only 1D NMR and HRESIMS without 2D NMR were listed for the identification of floranotoginsenoside D , and acid treatment was used to obtain notoginsenoside Ft3 from leaves of $P$. notoginseng. In this study, the configurations of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ were determined by comparing their chemical shifts to those of the C-24 epimers ( $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ ). Moreover, the structure of $\mathbf{7}$ was completely characterized by chemical and spectroscopic methods, and $\mathbf{8}$ was formed naturally.

Gypenoside IX was firstly isolated from Gynostemma pentaphyllum Makino and found in P. notoginseng and P. ginseng [25,26]. Although many reports demonstrated that G. pentaphyllum and gypenosides from this plant displayed antiinflammatory properties [27-29], there have been very few reports of gypenoside IX about antiinflammatory activity. Our data showed the moderate antiinflammatory effect of gypenoside IX, which could be served as an important pharmalogical active saponin in the genus of Panax or Gynostemma.

According to our data (Fig. 2), isolated saponins with four or five sugar units such as ginsenoside Rb3 (14) and 1-7 showed no effect

Fig. 3. Effect of gypenoside IX. (A) On Tnf- $\alpha$ expression in the LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. (B) Il-10 expression in the LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. (C) Cxcl10 expression in the LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. (D) Il- $1 \beta$ expression in the LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. Data from six independent experiments were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. ${ }^{* *} p<0.01$ and ${ }^{*} p<0.05$ versus the Model group. LPS ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were used to build the model.
Cxcl10, interferon-inducible protein 10; Il-10, interleukin 10; Il-1 $\beta$, interleukin-1 $\beta$; IX, gypenoside IX; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; Rg3, 20(S) ginsenoside Rg3; SD, standard deviation; Tnf- $\alpha$, tumor necrosis factor $-\alpha$.
on our experiment model. However, Ma et al [30] reported that ginsenoside Rb 3 protected cardiomyocytes against ischemiareperfusion injury by suppressing the nuclear factor kappa B pathway. Ginsenoside Rb1 with similar structure to ginsenoside Rb 3 was reported to be metabolized after oral administration to compound K and then to present bioactivities on treating allergic inflammation [31]. Hence, it may be suggested that $\mathbf{1 - 7}$ might show effects by metabolizing to less polar saponins through orally administration. Thus, further pharmacological studies of these new compounds in vivo models should be processed.

In this study, we have isolated fifteen dammarane-type triterpene oligoglycosides especially eight new ones from the PNLS, which may enrich and expand the chemical library of saponins in Panax plants. Morever, gypenoside IX as a PPD-type oligoglycoside was demonstrated to show antiinflammatory effect by suppressing the NO production and inflammation-related genes in LPSstimulated RAW 264.7 cells. Further investigation is expected to increase insight into mechanisms of antiinflammation of gypenoside IX.
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