

## RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON GAN ET AL.

Efficacy of Modern Diabetes Treatments DPP-4i, SGLT-2i, and GLP-1RA in White and Asian Patients With Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Diabetes Care 2020;43:1948–1957 Sushrima Gan,<sup>1</sup> Adem Y. Dawed,<sup>1</sup> Louise A. Donnelly,<sup>1</sup> Anand T.N. Nair,<sup>1</sup> Colin N.A. Palmer,<sup>1</sup> Viswanathan Mohan,<sup>2</sup> and Ewan R. Pearson<sup>1</sup>

Diabetes Care 2020;43:e202-e203 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dci20-0049

We would like to thank Singh et al. (1) for their correspondence, which makes some important points regarding the prescribing of sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i) and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RA) in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes.

We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the impact of ethnicity on the glucose-lowering efficacy of relatively newer antihyperglycemic agents SGLT-2i, GLP-1RA, and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP-4i) using previously published evidence from randomized clinical trials (2). Careful reading of our article will reveal that we do not compare the drugs, nor do we infer that one drug class is better than another, but focus on the difference in glycemic response between Asian and White participants for each of the drug groups.

We thank Singh et al. for pointing out the previous meta-analysis by Cai et al. (3) that analyzed the effects of SGLT-2i and showed no significant difference between the Asian and the non-Asian group. Our study included randomized clinical trials with study duration >24 weeks and a sensitivity analysis with study duration >12 weeks. As Singh et al. correctly pointed out, our results are not in line with Cai et al., and this

difference could be because of the study selection criteria for the two studies (>50% in Asian and non-Asian group in Cai et al. vs. >70% Asian or White in Gan et al. with >50 patients in each study arm). We prespecified what we considered to be a stricter definition of Asian and White to enable comparison of groups that were largely Asian with those that were largely White. We feel that use of a 50% cutoff does not effectively enable an ethnicity-specific comparison.

The authors point out that Cai et al. reported a significant increase in ketosis in Asian participants on SGLT-2i. It is worth mentioning here that due to the lack of sufficient data from the non-Asian studies included by Cai et al., comparison between two populations on the risk of ketosis could not be carried out. It was because of this lack of data that we did not attempt to compare SGLT-2i side effects, where more studies are clearly needed.

Finally, our study was focused on  $HbA_{1c}$  efficacy rather than cardiovascular outcomes of these drugs, but we agree with Singh et al. that previous studies suggest the cardiovascular benefits of GLP-1RA are more pronounced than those of SGLT-2i in Asians (4,5). While SGLT2i may have better glycemic efficacy for Asians than Whites and there is no

clear benefit for Asians compared with Whites with GLP-1RA, this does not mean that SGLT2i should be considered in place of GLP-1RA where there are other effects such as cardiovascular risk to take into consideration.

Funding. The research was commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) using Official Development Assistance funding (INSPIRED 16/136/102). E.R.P. holds Wellcome Trust New Investigator Award 102820/Z/13/Z. Duality of Interest. No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

1. Singh AK, Singh R, Khunti K. Comment on Gan et al. Efficacy of modern diabetes treatments DPP-4i, SGLT-2i, and GLP-1RA in white and Asian patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Care 2020;43:1948–1957 (Letter). Diabetes Care 2020;43:e200–e201. DOI: 10.2337/dc20-1950 2. Gan S, Dawed AY, Donnelly LA, et al. Efficacy of modern diabetes treatments DPP-4i, SGLT-2i, and GLP-1RA in white and Asian patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Care 2020;43:1948–1957

3. Cai X, Gao X, Yang W, et al. No disparity of the efficacy and all-cause mortality between Asian and non-Asian type 2 diabetes patients with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors treatment: a meta-analysis. J Diabetes Investig 2018; 9:850–861

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Madras Diabetes Research Foundation & Dr. Mohan's Diabetes Specialities Centre, Chennai, India

Corresponding author: Ewan R. Pearson, e.z.pearson@dundee.ac.uk

<sup>© 2020</sup> by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at https://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license.

4. Singh AK, Singh R. Cardiovascular outcomes with SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonist in Asians with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020;14: 715–722

5. Buse JB, Wexler DJ, Tsapas A, et al. 2019 Update to: management of hyperglycemia in

type 2 diabetes, 2018. A Consensus Report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetes Care 2020;43:487–493