Pp. 81–85 DOI: 10.1089/gtmb.2015.0148

Analysis of the Relationship Between *ADIPOR1* Variants and the Susceptibility of Chronic Metabolic Diseases in a Northeast Han Chinese Population

Fengling Wang,^{1,2,*} Shuzhen Suo,^{1,3,*} Liang Sun,¹ Jun Yang,⁴ Fan Yang,¹ Chengxiao Zhao,¹ Xuejie Li,³ Ludan Yuan,³ Shuqian Yu,³ Tao Qi,³ Xiaoquan Zhu,¹ Huiping Yuan,¹ Zening Jin,⁵ Lianmei Pu,⁵ Deping Liu,¹ Xiaofang Sui,² and Ze Yang¹

Objective: Shared genetic variants in *ADIPOR1* have been identified as closely related to coronary artery disease (CAD), type 2 diabetes (T2D), and T2D with CAD susceptibility, suggesting that these variants are strong candidates for the common soil hypothesis. Therefore, it is essential to analyze the relationship between *ADIPOR1* variants and the susceptibility to CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in other populations. *Materials and Methods:* A case–control study was conducted which included three case cohorts [CAD (*n*=316), T2D (*n*=295), T2D with CAD (*n*=302)], and a control cohort (*n*=268) from a population in northeast China. Six *ADIPOR1* single-nucleotide polymorphisms were genotyped by high-resolution melting and polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Results:* We confirmed that the shared variant, rs3737884*G, in *ADIPOR1* is associated with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD (*p*-value range: 6.54E-6–1.82E-5, odds ratio [OR] range: 1.770–1.844) and that rs16850797*C is associated with T2D and T2D with CAD (*p*-value range: 0.001–0.001, OR range: 1.529–1.571). We also found that a novel shared variant, rs7514221*C, is associated with an increased susceptibility to CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD (*p*-value range: 0.002–0.004, OR range: 1.194–2.382) in this population. *Conclusions: ADPOR1* variants, rs3737884*G and rs7514221*C, may be shared risk factors associated with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in a population of northeast China.

Introduction

CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE (CAD) and type 2 diabetes (T2D) are both chronic metabolic diseases triggered by several common factors and shared polygenic variants with high prevalence and morbidity (Alberti and Zimmet, 1998; King *et al.*, 1998). To our surprise, CAD is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in patients with T2D and accounts for up to 80% of deaths in patients with this disorder (Doria, 2010). It has been hypothesized that T2D and CAD share a common genetic basis (Dong *et al.*, 2014), and the adiponectin signaling-related gene has been postulated to play critical roles in this scenario (Tao *et al.*, 2014).

Adiponectin is a functionally active adipokine that regulates glucose and lipid metabolism. The metabolic effects and biological function of adiponectin are mainly mediated by adiponectin receptor 1 (ADIPOR1) (Kadowaki and Ya-

mauchi, 2011). So, ADIPOR1 plays an important role in indirectly regulating glucose and lipid metabolism in chronic metabolic diseases (Yamauchi et al., 2014). The human ADIPOR1 gene is located at chromosome 1p36.13-q41 and presents several polymorphisms (Yamauchi et al., 2014). Some of these polymorphisms have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of developing several diseases, including obesity (Lacinov et al., 2007), metabolic syndrome (Peters et al., 2013), diabetes (Qi et al., 2007), cardiovascular disease (Cox et al., 2013), gastric cancer (Shin et al., 2013), colorectal cancer (Liu et al., 2011), and prostate cancer (Kaklamani et al., 2011). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is only one report to date on the association of ADIPOR1 variants with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD risk among the northern Han Chinese population (Jin et al., 2014). Due to differences of genetic background, gene variation association studies may vary among populations in

¹The Key Laboratory of Geriatrics, Beijing Hospital & Beijing Institute of Geriatrics, Chinese Ministry of Health, Beijing, China.

Department of Geriatrics, Department of Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University, Jiamusi, China.

Clinical Medical School, Jiamusi University, Jiamusi, China.

⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing Institute of Heart Lung and Blood Vessels, Beijing, China.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to the work.

[©] Fengling Wang, et al., 2016; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

82 WANG ET AL.

allele frequencies and linkage disequilibrium (LD) structures. It is important to examine multiple ethnic populations for the identification of ethnicity-specific loci as well as common susceptibility loci (Deschamps et al., 2015).

Therefore, we conducted a study assessing the association of ADIPOR1 variants with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in a northeast Han Chinese population. The study may lay a theoretical foundation for the common soil hypothesis.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All participants agreed to the protocol of this study and provided written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the local research ethics committee.

Participants

The study population included patients who self-identified as having a Han ethnic origin and permanent residents of the Jiamusi Heilongjiang area in northeast China. We enrolled a total of 1181 subjects containing 316 CAD, 295 T2D, and 302 T2D with CAD patients, as well as 268 healthy controls between October 2014 and May 2015. T2D was diagnosed according to World Health Organization criteria (Alberti and Zimmet, 1998), while classification of CAD patients was based on previous studies (Qi et al., 2013). The patients in the T2D with CAD group met both of the above inclusion criteria. The control group comprised healthy individuals who had no dyslipidemia and abnormal glucose tolerance, as well as family history of CAD or T2D in first-degree relatives. The exclusion criteria were the same as the criteria of the previous study (Jin et al., 2014).

TABLE 1. THE ALLELE AND GENOTYPIC FREQUENCIES OF ADIPOR1 SNPs

Control (n = 268)		CAD (n = 316)		T2D (n=295)		T2D with CAD (n=302)				
SNP	n (%)	p	n (%)	p/OR (95% CI)	n (%)	p/OR (95% CI)	n (%)	p/OR (95% CI)		
rs75393	542									
C	199 (37.1)	0.784	220 (34.8)	0.410	215 (36.4)	0.81	210 (34.8)	0.407		
G	337 (62.9)		412 (65.2)	0.904	375 (63.6)	0.970	394 (65.2)	0.902		
CC	45 (16.8)	0.165	34 (10.8)	(0.711-1.149)	36 (122)	(0.761-1.237)	43 (14.2)	(0.708-1.150)		
CG	109 (40.7)		152 (48.1)	0.056	143 (48.5)	0.115	124 (41.1)	0.684		
GG	114 (42.5)		130 (41.1)		116 (39.3)		135 (44.7)			
	rs3737884									
G	362 (67.5)	9.47E-7 ^a	497 (78.6)	1.82E-5 ^b	468 (79.3)	$7.24E-6^{c}$	479 (79.3)	6.54E-6 ^d		
A	174 (32.5)	0	135 (21.4)	1.770	122 (20.7)	1.844	125 (20.7)	1.842		
GG	127 (47.4)	$3.67E-7^{a}$	198 (62.7)	(1.362-2.301)	184 (62.4)	(1.409-2.413)	192 (63.5)	(1.410-2.406)		
AG	108 (40.3)		101 (32.0)	$2.00E-4^{b}$	100 (33.9)	$3.60E-5^{c}$	95 (31.5)	$8.00E-5^{d}$		
AA	33 (12.3)		17 (5.3)		11 (62.4)		15 (5.0)			
rs1342387										
A	201 (37.5)	0.619	255 (40.6)	0.27	237 (40.2)	0.358	227 (38.0)	0.873		
G	335 (62.5)	0.600	373 (59.4)	1.139	353 (59.8)	1.118	371 (62.0)	1.019		
AA AG	43 (16.1) 115 (42.9)	0.688	57 (18.2) 141 (44.9)	(0.899–1.44) 0.057	51 (17.3) 135 (45.8)	(0.880–1.422) 0.608	41 (13.7) 145 (48.5)	(0.802–1.297) 0.394		
GG	110 (42.9)		116 (36.9)	0.037	109 (36.9)	0.008	143 (48.3)	0.394		
	, ,		110 (30.9)		109 (30.9)		113 (37.6)			
rs16850 C	138 (25.7)	0.002^{a}	196 (31.0)	0.047	201 (34.7)	0.001°	213 (35.3)	0.001^{d}		
G	398 (74.3)	0.002	436 (69.0)	1.293	379 (65.3)	1.529	391 (64.7)	1.571		
CC	21 (7.8)	0.001^{a}	47 (14.9)	(1.002–1.675)	36 (12.4)	(1.181–1.980)	54 (17.9)	(1.217–2.028)		
CG	96 (35.9)	0.001	102 (32.3)	0.029	129 (44.5)	0.005^{c}	105 (34.8)	$0.001^{\rm d}$		
GG	151 (56.3)		167 (52.8)	0.02)	125 (43.1)	0.005	143 (47.4)	0.001		
rs1204:			107 (82.8)		120 (1011)		1.0 ()			
C 151204.	248 (46.3)	0.05	324 (51.9)	0.055	298 (54.6)	0.016	303 (50.7)	0.139		
T	288 (53.7)	0.03	300 (48.1)	1.234	248 (45.4)	1.395	295 (49.3)	1.192		
СС	64 (23.9)	0.14	84 (26.9)	(0.995–1.580)	87 (31.9)	(1.098-1.772)	79 (25.4)	(0.944–1.506)		
CT	120 (44.8)		156 (50.0)	0.082	124 (45.4)	0.032	145 (48.5)	0.252		
TT	84 (31.3)		72 (23.1)		62 (22.7)		75 (25.1)			
rs75142	221		, , ,		, , ,		, , ,			
C	62 (11.6)	0.013^{a}	111 (17.6)	0.004^{b}	106 (18.0)	0.002^{c}	110 (16.6)	0.002^{d}		
Ť	474 (88.4)		521 (82.4)	1.629	484 (82.0)	1.674	494 (83.4)	1.702		
CC	5 (1.9)	0.017^{a}	9 (2.8)	(1.165-2.276)	9 (3.1)	(1.194-2.347)	13 (2.3)	(1.217 - 2.382)		
CT	52 (19.4)		93 (29.7)	0.018	88 (29.8)	0.009^{c}	84 (26.8)	0.010^{d}		
TT	211 (78.7)		214 (67.7)		198 (67.1)		205 (70.9)			

 $p \le 0.05/3 = 0.017$. n refers to number of individuals. Values are given as allele or genotype frequencies, proportions (%), and OR (95%) CI); differences were compared using the Pearson's χ^2 method.

Significance indicates difference between case and control $p \le 0.05$.

^bSignificance indicates CAD versus control.

^cSignificance indicates T2D versus control.
^dSignificance indicates T2D with CAD versus control.

CAD, coronary artery disease; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

Table 2. Association Between Related ADIPOR1 SNPs and CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in Common Genetic Models

		CAD/co	ntrol	T2D/control			CAD+T2D/control		
SNP	p	OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI	p	OR	95% CI
rs3737884 Codominant GG GA AA	3.39E-4 0.068 Rf	3.026 1.815	1.618–5.660 0.952–3.450 —	2.02E-5 0.005 Rf	4.346 2.778 —	2.118–8.919 1.333–5.791 —	1.64E-4 0.051 Rf	3.326 1.935 —	1.736–6.376 0.991–3.784 —
Dominant GG+GA AA	0.003	2.470	1.343-4.544	1.51E-04	3.626	1.793–7.330	0.002	2.687	1.425–5.066
Recessive GG GA+AA	2.14E-4	1.863	1.338-2.593	3.55E-04	1.84	1.315–2.576	1.02E-04	1.938	1.386–2.710
rs16850797 Codominant CC CG GG	0.012 0.025 Rf	2.024 0.961 —	1.156–3.541 0.674–1.370 —	0.014 0.007 Rf	2.071 1.623	1.150–3.729 1.138–2.315	2.92E-4 0.432 Rf	2.715 1.155	1.561–4.723 0.807–1.654 —
Dominant CC+CG GG	0.398	1.151	0.830-1.597	0.002	1.704	1.219–2.384	0.032	1.435	1.031–1.997
Recessive CC CG+GG	0.008	2.055	1.194–3.536	0.014	1.667	1.124–2.936	3.98E-04	2.561	1.502–4.368
rs7514221 Codominant CC CT TT	0.305 0.004 Rf	1.775 1.763	0.585–5.383 1.195–2.602 —	0.243 0.003 Rf	1.918 1.803	0.632–5.822 1.216–2.674 —	0.057 0.011 Rf	2.676 1.663	0.937–7.641 1.119–2.470 —
Dominant CC+CT TT	0.003	1.764	1.212–2.569	0.002	1.813	1.240-2.653	0.004	1.752	1.199–2.560
Recessive CC CT+TT	0.439	1.542	0.510-4.658	0.367	1.655	0.548-5.002	0.097	2.366	0.832-6.727

Values are given as OR and 95% CI. Differences were compared by Pearson's χ^2 test. $p \le 0.017$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Genotyping

Six single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (rs7539542, rs3737884, rs1342387, rs16850797, rs12045862, and rs7514221) on chromosome 1q32 were selected for genotyping in the present study. Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen EDTA whole peripheral blood using a salting-out procedure. The SNPs were genotyped using the polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism method, with the exception of rs12045862, which was genotyped using high-resolution melting curves–unlabeled probe genotyping analysis. To ensure the quality of genotyping, we selected randomly three samples of each genotype to be directly sequenced. No discrepancies were observed.

Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 software. Continuous parameters were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and were compared using one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA). The normality of distributions of the continuous variables was assessed with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Categorical data were given as proportions of all the samples and were compared using the Pearson's chi-square (χ^2) test. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated to evaluate the strength of association between variables. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was verified using a chi-square goodness-fit test. Pairwise LD and haplotype analysis were confirmed using the open-source software, SHEsis. Bonferroni correction was conducted for multiple comparisons. A two-tailed value of $p \le 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics

The prevalence of known chronic metabolic risk factors, including SBP, DBP, FBG, TG, TC, and LDL-C, appeared to be higher in each subgroup (CAD group, T2D group, and

84 WANG ET AL.

TABLE 3. HAPLOTYPE ANALYSIS FOR ADIPOR1 SNPs and CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD

Haplotype	Case freq	Control freq	χ^2	p	OR	95% CI
CAD versus contro	ol					
A C T	15.21 (0.024)	24.56 (0.148)	4.046	0.044	0.518	0.271-0.994
A G T	114.23 (0.181)	147.70 (0.003)	14.404	1.49E-4	0.585	0.443 - 0.773
GCT	163.56 (0.259)	101.55 (0.189)	8.535	0.003	1.518	1.146-2.011
G G C	90.74 (0.144)	49.96 (0.093)	7.300	0.007	1.652	1.145-2.385
C G T	228.00 (0.361)	200.19 (0.106)	0.106	0.745	0.961	0.755 - 1.222
T2D versus contro	1					
A C T	20.54 (0.035)	24.56 (0.046)	0.745	0.387	0.769	0.423-1.399
A G T	100.36 (0.173)	147.70 (0.276)	16.652	4.54E-5	0.552	0.414-0.736
G C T	164.34 (0.018)	101.55 (0.068)	14.026	1.82E-4	1.711	1.290-2.270
G G C	88.84 (0.153)	49.96 (0.093)	9.440	0.002	1.774	1.227-2.567
C G T	189.76 (0.327)	200.19 (0.373)	2.448	0.117	0.820	0.640 - 1.051
T2D with CAD ve	ersus control					
A C T	25.26 (0.042)	24.56 (0.046)	0.095	0.758	0.915	0.518-1.614
A G T	94.28 (0.156)	147.70 (0.276)	23.773	1.10E-6	0.489	0.366-0.654
GCT	164.02 (0.272)	101.55 (0.189)	11.088	8.74E-4	1.608	1.214-2.130
G G C	68.10 (0.113)	49.96 (0.093)	1.242	0.265	1.245	0.847 - 1.830
G G T	225.43 (0.373)	200.19 (0.373)	0.004	0.947	1.008	0.792 - 1.283

All those with frequency <0.03 in both the case and control were excluded from the analysis. Pearson's χ^2 analysis was performed; a significance level was set at $p \le 0.05$.

T2D with CAD group), while HDL-C was lower compared with the normal control (p > 0.05).

SNP association analysis

We analyzed all SNPs and found a week pairwise LD for those SNPs. The observed genotype frequencies of the six polymorphisms conformed to the HWE in the control (p > 0.05). The allele and genotypic frequencies are shown in Table 1. The G allele frequency for rs3737884 of each case subgroup (CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD patients) was higher [p=1.82E-5,OR = 1.770, 95% CI (1.362–2.301); p = 7.24E-6, OR = 1.844, 95% CI (1.409–2.413); p=6.54E-6, OR=1.842, 95% CI (1.410–2.406)] compared with the control. However, the C allele for rs16850797 only conferred risk for the T2D and T2D with CAD groups [p=0.001, OR=1.529, 95% CI (1.181-1.980); p = 0.001, OR = 1.571, 95% CI (1.217–2.028)]. In addition, the allele C of rs7514221 was also positively associated with the three diseases [p = 0.004, OR = 1.629, 95% CI (1.165-2.276); p = 0.002, OR = 1.674, 95% CI (1.194-2.347); p = 0.002, OR = 1.702, 95% CI (1.217–2.382)].

Through genetic model analysis, we found that rs3737884*G, rs16850797*C, and rs7514221*C are risk variants for CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in at least one of the three genetic models (Table 2, p < 0.017).

Haplotype GCT, which contains 3737884*G, rs16850797*C, and rs7514221*T, was the most prevalent risk haplotype for patients with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD (Table 3, p < 0.017).

Discussion

ADIPOR1 variant, rs3737884*G, was associated with the susceptibility of CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD, but rs16850797*C was associated with T2D and T2D with CAD, which has only been reported in a northern Han Chinese population (Jin *et al.*, 2014). There are different effects of genetics backgrounds on the disease in different ethnic

groups, so an estimate of genetic effect size from one genetic background is frequently biased and more precise estimates can be obtained in independent replication study. Therefore, we conducted a replication study to assess the association of *ADIPOR1* variants with T2D, CAD, and T2D with CAD in a northeast Han Chinese population.

Consistent with the above findings, we also identified a novel shared variant rs7514221*C in *ADIPOR1* that is associated with CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD susceptibility in our study. Our finding confirms that *ADPOR1* variant, rs3737884*G, is a strong shared candidate for the three diseases in a Chinese Han population. SNP rs7514221*C seems to be also a shared genetic variant of CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD in our study population. Considering that this is the first study on the shared variant rs7514221, it should be verified in other populations.

Although rs16850797*C was just associated with T2D and T2D with CAD, our haplotype analysis showed that GCT containing two risk variants (rs3737884*G and rs16850797*C) was the most prevalent at-risk haplotype for the three diseases. It seems to suggest that we should pay attention to the integrity of the gene when we try to identify the genetic variation associated with diseases (Hannou *et al.*, 2015).

The mechanisms through which variations in the *ADIPOR1* could influence CAD and T2D are only hypothetical at the moment. We suppose the two polymorphisms (rs3737884 and rs7514221) located inside intron of the *ADIPOR1* locus could influence adiponectin receptor expression levels and further physiologically modulate adiponectin metabolic activities in distal metabolically active tissues (Luo *et al.*, 2013).

However, we focused only on SNPs; numerous factors act individually and together to influence risk of the three diseases. So, we should involve more factors in our future work. Besides, further physiological and functional studies are also needed to reveal the molecular mechanisms and pathways underlying the associations with the three diseases (Ghanbari *et al.*, 2015).

Conclusion

Taken together, our study not only confirmed that *AD-POR1* variant, rs3737884*G, was a strong shared risk variant for CAD, T2D, and T2D with CAD but also identified a novel risk factor, rs7514221*C, shared with the three diseases in a population of northeast China.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to all of the healthy volunteers and subjects who participated in this study and thank all of the nurses of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University for collecting specimens and clinical information. The authors appreciate Jiancai Du and Xinghui Li from the Key Laboratory of Geriatrics, Beijing Hospital and Beijing Institute of Geriatrics, for dealing with writing problems, experiments, and experimental dates.

This study was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (81061120527, 81370445, 81472408, 81400790, 81571385), the National Department Public Benefit Research Foundation by the Ministry of Health P.R. China (201302008), Beijing Nova Program (Z121107002512058), 12th 5-Year National Program from the Ministry of Scientific Technology (2012BAI10B01), Graduate Science and Technology Innovation Projects in Jianusi University (LM2015-015), the General Program of Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation of China (H2015076), and Heilongjiang Province Traditional Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Attack Project for the young and middle-aged people (ZQG-055).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ (1998) Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med 15:539–553.
- Cox AJ, Lambird JE, Sandy An S, *et al.* (2013) Variants in adiponectin signaling pathway genes show little association with subclinical CVD in the diabetes heart study. Obesity (Silver Spring) 21:E456–E462.
- Deschamps CL, Connors KE, Klein MS, *et al.* (2015) The ACTN3 R577X polymorphism is associated with cardiometabolic fitness in healthy young adults. PLoS One 10:e130644.
- Dong C, Tang L, Liu Z, *et al.* (2014) Landscape of the relationship between type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease through an integrated gene network analysis. Gene 539:30–36.
- Doria A (2010) Genetics of diabetes complications. Curr Diab Rep 10:467–475.
- Ghanbari M, Franco OH, de Looper H, *et al.* (2015) Genetic variations in miRNA binding sites affect miRNA-mediated regulation of several genes associated with cardiometabolic phenotypes. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 8:473–486.
- Hannou SA, Wouters K, Paumelle R, *et al.* (2015) Functional genomics of the CDKN2A/B locus in cardiovascular and metabolic disease: what have we learned from GWASs? Trends Endocrinol Metab 26:176–184.
- Jin Z, Pu L, Sun L, *et al.* (2014) Identification of susceptibility variants in ADIPOR1 gene associated with type 2 diabetes, coronary artery disease and the comorbidity of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. PLoS One 9:e100339.

- Kadowaki T, Yamauchi T (2011) Adiponectin receptor signaling: a new layer to the current model. Cell Metab 13:123–124.
- Kaklamani V, Yi N, Zhang K, *et al.* (2011) Polymorphisms of ADIPOQ and ADIPOR1 and prostate cancer risk. Metabolism 60:1234–1243.
- King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH (1998) Global burden of diabetes, 1995–2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. Diabetes Care 21:1414–1431.
- Lacinov Z, Michalsk D, Kasalick M, et al. (2007) The influence of obesity on the gene expression of adiponectin and its receptor in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Vnitr Lek 53:1190– 1197.
- Liu L, Zhong R, Wei S, *et al.* (2011) Interactions between genetic variants in the adiponectin, adiponectin receptor 1 and environmental factors on the risk of colorectal cancer. PLoS One 6:e27301.
- Luo N, Chung BH, Wang X, *et al.* (2013) Enhanced adiponectin actions by overexpression of adiponectin receptor 1 in macrophages. Atherosclerosis 228:124–135.
- Peters KE, Beilby J, Cadby G, *et al.* (2013) A comprehensive investigation of variants in genes encoding adiponectin (ADIPOQ) and its receptors (ADIPOR1/R2), and their association with serum adiponectin, type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome. BMC Med Genet 14:15.
- Qi L, Doria A, Giorgi E, *et al.* (2007) Variations in adiponectin receptor genes and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in women: a tagging-single nucleotide polymorphism haplotype analysis. Diabetes 56:1586–1591.
- Qi L, Qi Q, Prudente S, *et al.* (2013) Association between a genetic variant related to glutamic acid metabolism and coronary heart disease in individuals with type 2 diabetes. JAMA 310:821–828.
- Shin E, Park DJ, Kim H, et al. (2013) Adiponectin receptor expression in gastric carcinoma: implications in tumor development and progression. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 139: 709–718.
- Tao C, Sifuentes A, Holland WL (2014) Regulation of glucose and lipid homeostasis by adiponectin: effects on hepatocytes, pancreatic β cells and adipocytes. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 28:43–58.
- Yamauchi T, Iwabu M, Okada M, *et al.* (2014) Adiponectin receptors: a review of their structure, function and how they work. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 28:15–23.

Address correspondence to:
 Xiaofang Sui, MD
 Department of Geriatrics
The First Affiliated Hospital of Jiamusi University
 384 Dexiang Street
 Jiamusi 154002
 China

E-mail: fucongtianjiang@163.com

Ze Yang, PhD
The Key Laboratory of Geriatrics
Beijing Hospital & Beijing Institute of Geriatrics
Chinese Ministry of Health
1 Dahua Road
Dong Dan
Beijing 100730
China

E-mail: yang_ze@sina.com