Letters

RESEARCH LETTER Impact of Physical Activity on Clinical Outcomes in AF Patients Undergoing Catheter Ablation

Current guidelines recommend that the optimal management of atrial fibrillation (AF) requires a holistic approach that includes lifestyle modification.^{1,2} Maintaining regular physical activity (PA) is a major component of lifestyle modification, but its optimal dose for AF patients remains a topic of debate. Although regular PA has been shown to have a beneficial effect on cardiovascular health in general, vigorous or endurance PA may promote AF or recurrence.³ Thus, recommendations for optimal PA remain uncertain for patients with successful atrial fibrillation catheter ablation (AFCA). The present study aimed to investigate the impact of PA on clinical outcomes among patients undergoing AFCA.

This was a retrospective cohort study that utilized health checkup data from 2009 to 2016 available at the National Health Insurance Service in the Republic of Korea. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Seoul National University Hospital (No. E-2007-138-1143) and adhered to the 2013 revised Declaration of Helsinki.

This study investigated patients who received AFCA and underwent a health checkup within 2 years after the procedure from the population diagnosed with AF between 2009 and 2020. The study population was divided according to PA status and doses. The no PA group comprised patients who reported not performing any PA in the past week on the health habit survey, while the PA group comprised the remaining patients. Also, the study population was recategorized into no PA and mild, moderate, and vigorous PA groups (corresponding to the patients with PA doses of 0, 1-499, 500-1,499, and \geq 1,500 MET-min/week, respectively).



The primary outcome of this study was the occurrence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and the secondary outcomes were each component of the primary outcome. As an exploratory outcome, AF recurrence after the index AFCA was defined as utilizing redo AFCA or direct-current cardioversion during follow-up. The risks of study outcomes were evaluated using adjusted HRs (aHRs) with 95% CIs through multivariate Cox regression analysis.

Among patients undergoing AFCA, a total of 711 and 4,051 patients were identified as the no PA and PA groups, respectively. Compared with the no PA group, the PA group tended to be associated with a lower risk of MACE (aHR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.57-1.04; P = 0.089), but this was statistically nonsignificant (**Figure 1**). For the secondary outcomes, the PA group was associated with a significantly lower risk of all-cause death (aHR: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.41-0.94; P = 0.025) (**Figure 1**).

Regarding PA doses, higher doses showed a statistically non-significant trend towards a greater reduction in the risk of MACE (aHR for MACE: 0.79 [95% CI: 0.56-1.12], 0.81 [95% CI: 0.59-1.13], and 0.52 [95% CI: 0.30-0.90] for the mild, moderate, and vigorous PA groups, respectively; *P* for trend = 0.126), (Figure 1). For the secondary outcomes, there was also a trend for a dose-response relationship between PA and all-cause death, with lowering risks of all-cause death with higher PA doses (aHR: 0.69 [95% CI: 0.44-1.13], 0.65 [95% CI: 0.41-1.01], and 0.34 [95% CI: 0.15-0.79] for the mild, moderate, and vigorous PA groups, respectively; *P* for trend = 0.056) (Figure 1).

Although this study may have several limitations, to the best of our knowledge, this is the largest observational study showing the mortality benefit of PA in patients underwent AFCA. From the results, we concluded that maintaining regular PA after AFCA was associated with a lower risk of all-cause death without any higher risks of other cardiovascular adverse events and AF recurrence. The study may support the role of regular PA as a part of integrated care management for AF patients undergoing catheter ablation.

Groups base	d on PA	engag	ement					B Groups ba	sed on	PA dose	e			
Outcome	Group	Ν	Event	Crude IR	Adjusted H	IR (95% CI)	Р	Group	Ν	Event	Crude IR	Adjusted H	IR (95% CI)	Р
MACE	No PA	711	57	12.6	Reference			No PA	711	57	12.6	Reference	÷	
	PA	4051	212	8.4	0.77 (0.57–1.04)	⊢∎ -#	0.089	Mild PA	1424	76	8.5	0.79 (0.56-1.12)	⊢∎∔	0.400
								Moderate PA	2217	119	8.6	0.81 (0.59-1.13)	⊢∎∔	0.126
								Vigorous PA	410	17	6.5	0.52 (0.30-0.90)	H 	
Ischemic stroke	No PA	711	25	5.5	Reference	÷	0.097	No PA	711	25	5.5	Reference	÷	
	PA	4051	85	3.3	0.68 (0.43–1.07)	⊢∎		Mild PA	1424	31	3.5	0.70 (0.41-1.19)		0.214
								Moderate PA	2217	47	3.4	0.71 (0.43-1.17)	H B	0.314
								Vigorous PA	410	7	2.7	0.49 (0.21-1.14)	H B	
	No PA	711	11	2.4	Reference	÷.	0.801	No PA	711	11	2.4	Reference	÷.	
Hospitalization	PA	4051	52	2.0	1.09 (0.56–2.14)	⊢ #//_		Mild PA	1424	21	2.3	1.15 (0.54-2.45)		
r heart failure								Moderate PA	2217	27	1.9	1.10 (0.53-2.27)		_#0.920 #
								Vigorous PA	410	4	1.5	0.81 (0.25-2.61)		
All-cause death	No PA	711	32	6.9	Reference	÷.		No PA	711	32	6.9	Reference	÷.	0.056
	PA	4051	103	4.0	0.62 (0.41–0.94)	┝╋┷┥	0.025	Mild PA	1424	37	4.1	0.69 (0.43-1.13)	⊢ ∎∔i	
								Moderate PA	2217	59	4.2	0.65 (0.41-1.01)	⊢ ∎i	
								Vigorous PA	410	7	2.7	0.34 (0.15-0.79)	⊢∎→	
AF recurrence	No PA	711	147	36.3	Reference	÷	0.564	No PA	711	147	36.3	Reference	÷	
	PA	4051	799	35.6	0.95 (0.79–1.13)	H a ti		Mild PA	1424	289	37.0	0.99 (0.81-1.20)	H.	0 705
								Moderate PA	2217	431	35.0	0.93 (0.77-1.13)	H H H	0.795
								Vigorous PA	410	79	34.0	0.91 (0.69–1.20)	⊢∎⊣	
Composite outcome	No PA	711	191	48.0	Reference	•	0.112	No PA	711	191	48.0	Reference	•	
	PA	4051	946	42.6	0.88 (0.75–1.03)	H∎d		Mild PA	1424	342	44.3	0.92 (0.77-1.10)	H	0 107
								Moderate PA	2217	515	42.3	0.87 (0.74-1.03)	Hee	0.197
								Vigorous PA	410	89	38.5	0.77 (0.60-1.00)	H.	

(A) Analysis based on PA engagement. (B) Analysis based on PA doses. AF = atrial fibrillation; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; IR = incidence rate in 1000 person-years; PA = physical activity; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event(s).

Soonil Kwon, MD So-Ryoung Lee, MD, PhD *Eue-Keun Choi, MD, PhD Seung-Woo Lee, MS Kyung-Do Han, PhD Hyo-Jeong Ahn, MD Seil Oh, MD, PhD Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD *Department of Internal Medicine Seoul National University Hospital Seoul National University College of Medicine 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu

Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea

E-mail: choiek17@snu.ac.kr

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacasi.2023.08.008

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

This work was supported by a grant from the Patient-Centered Clinical Research Coordinating Center funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant no. HC21C0028) and by the Korea Medical Device Development Fund grant funded by the Korea government (the Ministry of Science and ICT; the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy; the Ministry of Health & Welfare; and the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety) (project no. Hl20C1662, 1711138358, KMDF_PR_20200901_0173). Dr Choi has received research grants or speaking fees from Abbott, Bayer, BMS/Pfizer, Biosense Webster, Chong Kun Dang, Daewoong Pharmaceutical Co, Daiichi Sankyo, DeepQure, Dreamtech Co Ltd, Jeil Pharmaceutical Co Ltd, Medtronic, Samjinpharm, Seers Technology, and Skylabs. Dr Lip has served as a consultant and speaker (no fees have been received personally) for BMS/Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Anthos, and Daiichi Sankyo; and served as the co-principal investigator of the AFFIRMO project on multimorbidity in AF, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 899871. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

REFERENCES

1. Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, et al. 2020 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS): the Task Force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42: 373–498.

2. Chao TF, Joung B, Takahashi Y, et al. 2021 Focused update consensus guidelines of the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society on Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation: executive summary. *Thromb Haemost*. 2022;122:20-47.

3. Molina L, Mont L, Marrugat J, et al. Long-term endurance sport practice increases the incidence of lone atrial fibrillation in men: a follow-up study. *Europace*. 2008;10:618–623.