# Traumatic thoracolumbar projectile with concomitant vertebral body and aortic injury

Daniel C. Lee, MD,<sup>a</sup> Philip M. Batista, MD,<sup>a</sup> Karol Meyermann, MD,<sup>a</sup> Jose Trani, MD,<sup>a</sup> Christopher Bilbao, DO,<sup>b</sup> and Joseph V. Lombardi, MD,<sup>a</sup> Camden, NJ

## ABSTRACT

Penetrating subdiaphragmatic aortic trauma is associated with high morbidity and mortality with studies having reported a 50%-70% associated mortality. We describe a case of a patient with a subdiaphragmatic aortic injury caused by a 7.4-cm common nail that traversed through his L1 vertebral body into the aorta. His aortic injury was managed jointly with vascular surgery and neurosurgery teams. (J Vasc Surg Cases and Innovative Techniques 2020;6:490-2.)

Keywords: Penetrating aortic injury; Retroperitoneal; Aortic trauma

Penetrating subdiaphragmatic aortic trauma is associated with incredibly high morbidity and mortality with previous studies having reported approximately 50%-70% associated mortality.<sup>1-6</sup> Patients who are fortunate enough to arrive alive at the hospital with these injuries, can be diagnosed clinically with confirmatory radiologic studies such as computed tomography angiography. Prompt identification of the mechanism of injury, concomitant injuries, and, if indicated, surgical treatment are paramount to achieving a successful outcome. We report a patient who presented with a 7.4 cm common nail that penetrated his aorta posteriorly through his L1 vertebral body. Primary aortic repair via a retroperitoneal approach was performed in conjunction with neurosurgery with successful retrieval of the nail. The patient agreed, in writing, to publication of his case details and images.

### **CASE REPORT**

A 48-year-old man with no significant past medical history presented acutely after he had a mechanical fall 5 feet off a ladder onto a loaded nail gun. The projectile was discharged into his mid back. He did not lose consciousness or sustain any other injuries. On arrival, the patient was hemodynamically stable. His only pertinent physical examination finding was a posterior punctate puncture wound directly over his mid spinal column and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvscit.2020.08.001

associated point tenderness. Neurologic examination revealed intact motor and sensory function of bilateral lower extremities. Owing to the mechanism and location of the injury, a computed tomography angiography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis was performed. Imaging demonstrated a nail that traversed through the L1 vertebral body through the right L1 pedicle and tangentially through the spinal canal (Fig 1, A, B). The tip seemed to terminate within the aortic lumen directly posterior to the celiac artery. There were no signs of active extravasation.

Given the hemodynamic stability of the patient, a discussion between vascular surgery, neurosurgery, and trauma surgery determined the safest plan. Initially, both endovascular and open management were considered. The location of the nail, in zone 6, prohibited a straight forward thoracic graft placement owing to the celiac artery. Therefore, the decision was made to perform a retroperitoneal aortic exposure, to allow for optimal aortic control and repair, as well as foreign body removal.

The patient was positioned in the right lateral decubitus position enabling access to the entry site and allowing a retroperitoneal exposure. A retroperitoneal incision was made through the 9th rib space to expose the subdiaphragmatic aorta. No obvious bleeding was encountered and the celiac and superior mesenteric arteries were isolated and controlled. The aorta was retracted anteriorly and there was an obvious puncture of the aorta with provoked hemorrhage. This was controlled with Debakey forceps and repaired primarily with 4-0 Prolene suture without the need for aortic clamping. The nail was visualized adjacent to the aortic puncture site (Fig 2). Under direct visualization, the nail was exposed posteriorly and extracted using a Leksell Rongeur clamp by the neurosurgery team (Fig 3). There was no obvious leakage of cerebrospinal fluid to suggest dural leak and no further neurosurgical intervention was needed.

His postoperative course was uneventful and the patient completed a 3-day course of vancomycin and cefepime for vertebral osteomyelitis prophylaxis and received the tetanus diphtheria and pertussis vaccine. On postoperative day 4, the patient was discharged. At his 1-month follow-up appointment, the patient demonstrated a well-healing surgical incision and was without any neurologic or vascular deficits.

From the Division of Vascular Surgery,<sup>a</sup> and Division of Neurosurgery,<sup>b</sup> Cooper University Hospital.

Author conflict of interest: none.

Correspondence: Joseph V. Lombardi, MD, Division of Vascular Surgery, Cooper University Hospital, 3 Cooper Plaza, Ste 411, Camden, NJ 08103 (e-mail: doclombardi@gmail.com).

The editors and reviewers of this article have no relevant financial relationships to disclose per the Journal policy that requires reviewers to decline review of any manuscript for which they may have a conflict of interest.

<sup>2468-4287</sup> 

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Society for Vascular Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



**Fig 1.** A, Computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis, sagittal view of projectile tip imbedded within the aorta at L1, at the level of the celiac artery. **B**, CTA axial view of projectile traversing through the spinal canal.



Fig 2. In situ, projectile tip with repaired aorta in view.

# DISCUSSION

Penetrating aortic injuries often present as surgical emergencies with associated hemodynamic instability secondary to hemorrhagic shock. A large retrospective review of 129 patients demonstrated significant survival benefit when a contained hematoma is present compared with free hemorrhage, 35% vs 90%.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the location of the aortic injury has demonstrated significantly different outcomes, with more proximal injuries corresponding with higher mortality.<sup>1</sup> Last, multiple vascular injuries were associated with further elevation of mortality as high as 79%, compared with just 46% with no other associated vascular injuries.<sup>1</sup>

Our patient's injury was at the level of L1, directly posterior to the celiac artery and was without frank hemorrhage on a computed tomography scan. This vascular injury was the only one identified. He was hemodynamically stable, with no vasoactive medications needed to support his blood pressure, allowing for a controlled plan by three different specialties. The precarious location of the nail did not allow for an endovascular solution prompting a multispecialty open surgical approach.

Once the extent of the patient's aortic injury was identified, proper anatomic exposure became critical. In the endovascular era, open aortic case volumes have decreased as much as 76% with concurrent decreases in resident trainee aortic case volumes as well.<sup>7.8</sup>



Fig 3. Extracted 7.4 cm common carpenter's nail.

Journal of Vascular Surgery Cases and Innovative Techniques December 2020

Fortunately, the ability to perform the retroperitoneal exposure allowed for optimal patient positioning for both vascular and neurosurgical teams. Furthermore, it afforded the surgeon excellent aortic visualization for definitive repair.

## CONCLUSIONS

This case highlights the advantage of a multidisciplinary team approach to provide optimal patient care at a level one trauma center. Moreover, this case report demonstrates the continued need for excellent open aortic training for vascular residents as the number of open aortic cases continues to downtrend.<sup>7,8</sup> The ability to confidently expose the aorta via the retroperitoneal approach should be part of the vascular surgeons' armamentarium for both basic and precarious scenarios.

#### REFERENCES

- Lopez-Viego MA, Snyder WH III, Valentine RJ, Clagett GP. Penetrating abdominal aortic trauma: a report of 129 cases. J Vasc Surg 1992;16:332-6.
- 2. Accola KD, Feliciano DV, Mattox KL, Bitondo CG, Burch JM, Jordan GL Jr, et al. Management of injuries to the suprarenal aorta. Am J Surg 1987;154:613-8.
- 3. Buscaglia LC, Blaisdell FW, Lim RC Jr. Penetrating abdominal vascular injuries. Arch Surg 1969;99:764-9.
- Mattox KL, McCollum WB, Jordan GL Jr, Beall AC Jr, DeBakey ME. Management of upper abdominal vascular trauma. Am J Surg 1974;128:823-8.
- 5. Stone HH, Oxford WM, Austin JT. Penetrating wounds of the abdominal aorta. South Med J 1973;66:1351-5.
- 6. Yeo MT, Domanskis EJ, Bartlett RH, Gazzaniga AB. Penetrating injuries of the abdominal aorta. Arch Surg 1974;108: 839-42.
- Smith ME, Andraska EA, Sutzko DC, Boniakowski AM, Coleman DM, Osborne NH. The decline of open abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery among individual training programs and vascular surgery trainees. J Vasc Surg 2020;71:1371-7.
- 8. Suckow BD, Goodney PP, Columbo JA, Kang R, Ston DH, Sedrakyan A, et al. National trends in open surgical, endovascular, and branched-fenestrated endovascular aortic aneurysm repair in Medicare patients. J Vasc Surg 2018;67: 1690-7.e1.

Submitted Jun 12, 2020; accepted Aug 1, 2020.