# Regional analgesia and cancer outcomes: Our current understanding in 2024

Submitted: 03-May-2024 Accepted: 05-May-2024 Published: 07-Jun-2024

### Vijaya Gottumukkala

Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA

Address for correspondence: Prof. Vijaya Gottumukkala, 1400-Unit 409, Holcombe Blvd, Houston- TX 77584, USA.

E-mail: vgottumukkala@mdanderson.org

#### Access this article online

Website: https://journals.lww. com/iiaweb

DOI: 10.4103/ija.ija\_475\_24

Quick response code



Cancer is one of the two leading causes of death globally, with metastatic or recurrent disease and/or complications related to treatment being the leading cause of death. Surgery remains the mainstay of therapeutic options for non-metastatic disease for solid tumours as it offers the best chance for long-term survival. However, surgical interventions by themselves could contribute to cancer recurrence by disruption of the stromal tissue, shedding of tumour cells, or the release of inflammatory mediators and immunosuppressive factors with tissue damage and activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) axis. [2]

There has been significant interest in evaluating the choice of anaesthetic techniques or strategies and determining whether regional analgesia/anaesthesia could remarkably reduce the neuro-humoral responses to surgical stress and tissue damage and improve oncologic outcomes.[3] The use of local anaesthetics in high-volume regional blocks or as part of continuous catheter techniques is believed to have local effects on cancer tissue, inhibiting tumour growth, proliferation, and metastasis in animal models.[4] Furthermore, in vitro studies have demonstrated that local anaesthetic agents in clinically used dosing schedules are associated with preserving immune function.[5] Therefore, there was a rational basis for the interest and enthusiasm in the study and use of regional anaesthesia to decrease cancer recurrence. [6]

Several retrospective studies investigated potential survival benefit of regional anaesthesia in curative resection surgery with mixed results.[7-9] Park et al.[10] published the results of a multicentre, randomised study in 2008 to determine whether epidural anaesthesia and postoperative epidural analgesia decreased the incidence of death and major complications during and after intra-abdominal surgical procedures. They showed no difference in 30-day mortality and morbidity rates between epidural-supplemented versus general anaesthesia. However, a sub-study of the parent randomised controlled trial (RCT) evaluated if epidural analgesia could decrease cancer recurrence following colon cancer resection. The authors found a beneficial association between epidural analgesia and improved survival in patients without metastases at 1.46 years, while there was no survival benefit for patients with metastases.[11] Rigg et al.[12] observed no overall difference in mortality or major morbidity between patients randomly assigned to general anaesthesia with intraoperative and postoperative epidural therapy or general anaesthesia with other anaesthetic and analgesic regimens for major abdominal or thoracic surgery (MASTER trial). A sub-study of this MASTER trial was performed to investigate cancer-free survival and all-cause mortality differences between the two arms. The authors found no differences in 5-year recurrence and mortality rates.[13]

Sessler et al.[14] published the first RCT results to evaluate the differences in cancer survival between regional and general anaesthesia in 2019. In this trial, 2,108 women undergoing primary breast resection were randomised into the regional anaesthesia-analgesia group (mostly paravertebral blocks) or general anaesthesia (mostly sevoflurane)-opioid analgesia group to assess local and metastatic cancer recurrence rates in the two groups. No difference was noted in breast cancer recurrence (Hazard ratio (HR): 0.97 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.74, 1.28) between the groups. Further, Yu et al.[15] published the results of a RCT in 526 women demonstrating no recurrence free survival (RFS) benefit in women having a pectoral nerve block (PEC) for mastectomy procedures (HR: 0.9 [95% CI: 0.76, 1.32]). Karmakar et al.[16] reported no difference between the thoracic paravertebral block and general anaesthesia groups for women (n = 180)undergoing modified radical mastectomy with a 5-year follow-up.

Xu et al. investigated the effects of general anaesthesia plus epidural versus general anaesthesia alone on RFS and overall survival (OS) in patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic procedures for lung cancer resection. In this RCT of 400 patients, the authors found no differences in RFS (HR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.60, 1.35) or OS (adjusted HR: 1.12; 95% CI: 0.64, 1.96) between the two groups.[17] Two other recent RCTs showed no benefits with regional analgesia in RFS in thoracic and abdominal cancer surgeries[18] or disease-free survival and overall survival at 5 years after elective colon resections.[19] Li et al.[20] recently published a systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis of 15 RCTs comparing regional anaesthesia (RA) to general anaesthesia on RFS and OS after oncology surgeries involving 5981 participants. Compared to general anaesthesia, RA had no positive effect on RFS (HR, -0.02; 95% CI, -0.11 to 0.07), OS (HR, -0.03; 95% CI, -0.28to 0.23), time to tumour progression (0.11; 95% CI, -0.33 to 0.55), 5-year RFS (risk ratio (RR), 1.24; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.76)), and 5-year OS (RR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.85 to 1.44). Sub-group analysis based on study design, patient characteristics, and tumour types also showed no effect of RA on RFS or OS.

While the rationale and the initial enthusiasm on the cancer recurrence and disease-related survival benefits of regional analgesia were promising (mostly from retrospective studies), to date, data from the five randomised controlled trials and a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials offer no benefits for regional anaesthesia with regards to oncological outcomes. However, regional analgesia provides other benefits, with minimising opioid-related adverse events, better dynamic pain control, patient's ability for increased ambulation and pulmonary rehabilitative exercises, minimised postoperative pulmonary and thrombotic complications, and enhanced patient experience and satisfaction.<sup>[21]</sup>

#### **ORCID**

Vijaya Gottumukkala: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6941-4979

#### **REFERENCES**

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: A Cancer J Clin 2021;71:209-49.
- Tohme S, Simmons RL, Tsung A. Surgery for cancer: A trigger for metastases. Cancer Res 2017;77:1548-52.
- Hiller JG, Perry NJ, Poulogiannis G, Riedel B, Sloan EK. Perioperative events influence cancer recurrence risk after surgery. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018;15:205-18.
- Xing W, Chen DT, Pan JH, Chen YH, Yan Y, Li Q, et al. lidocaine induces apoptosis and suppresses tumor growth in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells in vitro and in a xenograft model in vivo. Anesthesiology 2017;126:868-81.
- Cata JP, Ramirez MF, Velasquez JF, Di AI, Popat KU, Gottumukkala V, et al. Lidocaine stimulates the function of natural killer cells in different experimental settings. Anticancer Res 2017;37;4727-32.
- Sessler DI. Does regional analgesia reduce the risk of cancer recurrence? A hypothesis. Eur J Cancer Prev 2008;17:269-72.
- Exadaktylos AK, Buggy DJ, Moriarty DC, Mascha E, Sessler DI. Can anesthetic technique for primary breast cancer surgery affect recurrence or metastasis? Anesthesiology 2006;105:660-4.
- Cata JP, Chavez-MacGregor M, Valero V, Black W, Black DM, Goravanchi F, et al. The impact of paravertebral block analgesia on breast cancer survival after surgery. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2016;41:696-703.
- Illias AM, Yu KJ, Wu SC, Cata JP, Tsai Y-F, Hung KC, et al. Association of regional anesthesia with oncological outcomes in patients receiving surgery for bladder cancer: A meta-analysis of observational studies. Front Oncol 2023;13:1097637. doi: 10.3389/fonc. 2023.1097637.
- Park WY, Thompson JS, Lee KK. Effect of epidural anesthesia and analgesia on perioperative outcome: A randomized, controlled Veterans affairs cooperative study. Ann Surg 2001;234:560-71.
- Christopherson R, James KE, Tableman M, Marshall P, Johnson FE. Long-term survival after colon cancer surgery: A variation associated with choice of anesthesia. Anesth Analg 2008;107:325-32.
- 12. Rigg JR, Jamrozik K, Myles PS, Silbert BS, Peyton PJ, Parsons RW, et al. Epidural anaesthesia and analgesia and outcome of major surgery: A randomised trial. Lancet 2002;359:1276–82.
- Myles PS, Peyton P, Silbert B, Hunt J, Rigg JR, Sessler DI; ANZCA Trials Group Investigators. Perioperative epidural analgesia for major abdominal surgery for cancer and recurrence-free survival: Randomised trial. BMJ 2011;342:d1491. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.d1491.

- Sessler DI, Pei L, Huang Y, Fleischmann E, Marhofer P, Kurz A, et al. Recurrence of breast cancer after regional or general anaesthesia: A randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2019;394:1807-15.
- Yu L, Cui X, Song P, Li C, Zhao H, Chang Y. Perioperative pectoral nerve block type II and postoperative recurrence in breast cancer: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Surg 2022;22:447. doi: 10.1186/s12893-022-01895-3.
- 16. Karmakar MK, Samy W, Lee A, Li JW, Chan WC, Chen PP, et al. Survival analysis of patients with breast cancer undergoing a modified radical mastectomy with or without a thoracic paravertebral block: A 5-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. Anticancer Res 2017;37:5813-20.
- Xu Z-Z, Li HJ, Li MH, Huang SM, Li X, Liu QH, et al. Epidural anesthesia-analgesia and recurrence-free survival after lung cancer surgery: A randomized trial. Anesthesiology 2021;135:419-32.
- Du YT, Li YW, Zhao BJ, Guo XY, Feng Y, Zuo MZ, et al. Long-term survival after combined epidural-general anesthesia or general anesthesia alone: Follow-up of a randomized trial. Anesthesiology 2021;135:233-45.
- Falk W, Magnuson A, Eintrei C, Henningsson R, Myrelid P, Matthiessen P, et al. Comparison between epidural and

- intravenous analgesia effects on disease-free survival after colorectal cancer surgery: A randomised multi-centre controlled trial. Br J Anaesth 2021;127:65-74.
- Li T, Meng X, Wang D, Wang Q, Ma J, Dai Z. Regional anesthesia did not improve postoperative long-term survival of tumor patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. World J Surg Onc 2023;21:68. doi: 10.1186/s12957-023-02957-3.
- Ravindranath S, Ranganath YS, Backfish-White K, Wolfe J, Adhikary S. The role of regional anaesthesia and acute pain services in value-based healthcare. Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim 2023;51:450-8.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms

How to cite this article: Gottumukkala V. Regional analgesia and cancer outcomes: Our current understanding in 2024. Indian J Anaesth 2024;68:587-9.



## "ANAESTHESIA A COMPLETE SPECIALITY- WE ARE THE LIFELINE" AND OUR LIFELINE IS "ISA FAMILY BENEVOLENT FUND"

- ISA encourages members to join Family Benevolent Fund of Indian Society of Anaesthesiologists (ISA-FBF) to help our colleagues' and our own families when they face the testing moments of their life.
- BECOME AN ISAFBF MEMBER, NOT FOR YOU, BUT TO HELP OUR COLLEAGUE'S FAMILIES BY DONATING Rs.300/- per year /death.
- TO BECOME AN ISAFBF MEMBER KINDLY VISIT OUR WEBSITE isafbf.com or CONTACT YOUR CITY BRANCH/STATE/PRESIDENT/SECRETARY
- Contact for Details & Application forms:

Dr. Sugu Varghese, Hon.Sec.ISA-FBF

Mobile: +91-9447052094

Website: www.isafbf.com/www.isaweb.in

(Or Contact: Your State/City branch President/Secretary)