Infantile hepatic hemangioma misdiagnosed by prenatal ultrasonography

A case report

Ya Jin, MD^{a,b}, Lin Li, MD^c, Fan Yang, PhD^{a,b,d,*}

Abstract

Rationale: The drastic differences in treatment and prognosis of infantile hepatic hemangioma (IHH) and hepatoblastoma (HBL) make accurate prenatal diagnosis imperative. The retrospective comparisons of ultrasonic features between fetal IHH and HBL have been reported before, but clinically, the differential diagnosis in utero is very difficult and can lead to prenatal misdiagnosis.

Patient concerns: A 27-year-old woman at 30 gestational weeks underwent the routine prenatal examination. A heterogeneous solid mass of the fetus, with close relationship to the liver, was recognized by ultrasound.

Diagnosis: A diagnosis of HBL was highly considered.

Interventions: The fetus was aborted and the autopsy was performed.

Outcomes: The histological outcome was IHH.

Lessons: The prognosis of fetal IHH and HBL is very different, so an accurate diagnosis prenatally is crucial and indispensable. The radiologist and clinician should differentiate between IHH and HBL, especially since the fetus can have serious complications.

Abbreviations: HBL = hepatoblastoma, HE = hematoxylin and eosin stain, IHH = infantile hepatic hemangioma, RI = resistance index.

Keywords: differential diagnosis, fetus, hepatoblastoma, infantile hepatic hemangioma, ultrasound

1. Introduction

Infantile hepatic hemangioma (IHH) is the most common benign mesenchymal tumor of the liver in fetus and infants, accounting for about 20% of all liver tumors arising in fetus,^[1,2] while

Editor: Maya Saranathan.

This work was funded by The New sprout fund of West China Second University Hospital (No. KX067), the National Key Research and Development Plan (2017YFC0113905), and the International Cooperation Project of Sichuan Science and Technology Department (20GJHZ0198).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

^a Department of Ultrasound, ^b Key Laboratory of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Pediatric Diseases and Birth Defects of Ministry of Education, West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University, ^c Department of Pathology, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, ^d Chengdu Chenghua District Maternal and Child Health Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Fan Yang, Department of Ultrasound, West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University, Renmin South Road, Section 3, Number 20, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610041, China/Chengdu Chenghua District Maternal and Child Health Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China (e-mail: jessica1975cd@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Jin Y, Li L, Yang F. Infantile hepatic hemangioma misdiagnosed by prenatal ultrasonography: a case report. Medicine 2021;100:2 (e24242).

Received: 28 January 2020 / Received in final form: 6 December 2020 / Accepted: 17 December 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000024242

hepatoblastoma (HBL) is the most frequent malignant congenital neoplasm.^[3] Giant IHH may cause life-threatening complications in utero, including fetal hydrops, anemia, thrombocytopenia, cardiac failure. IHH could regress spontaneously without treatment. The core treatment for IHH is conservative therapy (observation or follow-up).^[4,5] However, the mainstay treatment for HBL are surgical resection, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and even liver transplantation, and the prognosis depends on many factors and is not encouraging.^[6]

Since there exist differences in the treatment therapy and prognosis between IHH and HBL, how to make an accurate prenatal differential diagnosis is an issue. The ultrasound has become the main method for prenatal diagnosis, owing to its realtime assessment of the liver tumor in fetus, including size, location, the feeding vessels, even the relationship between portal and hepatic vein.^[7] However, the overlap of imaging features between IHH and HBL may confuse the differential diagnosis in utero, and there is very few research about the prenatal differential diagnosis.^[8] The final diagnosis depends on the postnatal ultrasound, contrast-enhanced computerized tomography and the biopsy of the hepatic lesions.^[9]

In this case report and the review of literature, we tried to investigate if there were specific imaging features of ultrasound for the differential diagnosis between IHH and HBL in utero.

2. Case presentation

A 27-year-old woman, gravida 1 para 0, underwent the routine prenatal examinations in our hospital from 6 gestational weeks. Till 30 gestational weeks, the prenatal course was unremarkable, including systemic prenatal ultrasound diagnosis and the routine fetal cardiac screening (at 24 gestational weeks). Fetal growth was within the normal process and the alpha fetal protein was 23.91 U/ml (the normal reference value:0–25 U/ml).

At 30 gestational weeks, the B-mode ultrasound revealed that the liver of the fetus was enlarged with a heterogeneous solid mass located in the right quadrant of the fetal abdominal cavity, with a close relationship to the liver. The mass measured 5.8cmx4.7cmx5.2cm and pushed the portal vein and gall bladder. The lesion seemed to have an ill-defined margin, irregular shape, partial capsule with multiple cystic cavities inside, and maximal diameter of 2.1 cm. The portal and hepatic veins were not dilated. In the color Doppler ultrasound, the mass appeared to be highly vascularized (Fig. 1) and RI was 0.67. The three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound showed the feeding vessels were mainly around the lesion but there were less vessels inside it (Fig. 2). Due to the rapid enlargement of this mass and the imaging features of ultrasound, the diagnosis of HBL was highly considered. But IHH, mesenchymal hamartoma or other rare liver tumors such as hepatic cysts or adenomas could not be ruled out.

According to the pediatrician, the final diagnosis depended on the postnatal biopsy of the hepatic lesion and the prognosis of HBL was uncertain. Finally, the parents chose to give up this fetus because of the uncertain outcomes involving tremendous emotional and economic impacts on the family. Furthermore, they refused to take any further prenatal examination, like fetal MRI. A male fetus was aborted, weighing 1409 g and measuring 36 cm long.

Medical ethics committee of West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University have approved this case report and the autopsy was performed. The liver of the fetus was enlarged, about



Figure 1. Ultrasonic features in B-mode and color Doppler. A heterogeneous solid mass located in the right quadrant of the fetal abdominal cavity, with close relationship to the liver. The lesion seemed to have ill-defined margin, irregular shape, partial capsule and multiple cystic cavities inside (hollow arrow). The mass appeared to be highly vascularized (solid arrow). (s: spine, L: liver, Left: the left side; Right: the right side).



Figure 2. Ultrasonic features in power Doppler. The mass appeared to be highly vascularized around the lesion but with less vessels inside (dark part in center).

8 cm long and the mass was located in the right lobe, pushing the portal vein (Fig. 3). The histological diagnosis was IHH (Fig. 4).

The written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of case details and images.

3. Discussion

Most IHH and HBL share similar clinical symptoms in utero and the imaging findings combined with the level of alpha fetal



Figure 3. Gross specimen. During the autopsy, the liver of the fetus was enlarged, about 8 centimeters long and the mass was located in the right lobe, pushing the portal vein (The dark part is this tumor).



Figure 4. HE staining pathological section. In the hematoxylin and eosin stain (HE, ×200), the tumor was composed of a large number of small vessels with thin wall. The vascular endothelial cells were large and monolayered. The extramedullary hematopoiesis was observed.

protein play a vital role for the diagnosis and treatment strategies selection.^[10,11] Ultrasound is the routine scan method during the prenatal diagnosis of IHH and HBL. However, the overlap of ultrasonic imaging between them bring difficulties in the prenatal differential diagnosis. In this case report, we aim to analyze the reasons for misdiagnosis and to investigate if there were specific ultrasonic imaging features for the differentiation between IHH and HBL in utero.

In this case report, the B-mode ultrasound revealed a heterogeneous solid mass with multiple cystic cavities inside the fetus. These imaging features were similar to IHH of previous studies.^[12] IHH may be detected as early as 16 weeks using US, and has an appearance of a complex echo pattern on gray-scale sonograms, heterogeneous, mostly hypoechoic. According to the study of Belinda et al,^[3] giant hepatic hemangiomas appeared as heterogeneous with a central necrotic hypoechoic area inside. However, HBL could also appear as a heterogeneous, mostly hypoechoic solid mass with foci of hemorrhage and necrosis inside.^[9,12,13,14] This was one reason for the misdiagnosis in our case. The other reason is that IHH contains arteriovenous malformations and venous, lymphatic or capillary components thus cystic cavity inside is regularly seen and this important feature was ignored by the sonographer.^[3,8,15,16] In addition, the lesion seemed to have an ill-defined margin, irregular shape and partial capsula and these appearances were consistent with HBL.[17,18]

In the color Doppler ultrasound, studies showed that HBL appeared as arterial flow with RI > 0.7 and it may be that HBL mainly consists of numerous and disorderly hepatoblast-like cells and lack of arterio-venous anastomosis or draining veins.^[19–21] Studies also showed that IHH appeared to have large feeding and draining vessels surrounding or within the tumors and the presence of artery-vein shunting. IHH was supplied by hepatic

artery and drained by hepatic vein. Both vessels were enlarged and showed high velocity and low RI (<0.7).^[7,19–21] This could be significant imaging finding specific to IHH. However, in this case, the mass appeared to be a highly vascularized lesion, RI was 0.67 but the portal and hepatic veins of the fetus were not dilated, and according to the power Doppler ultrasound, the feeding vessels of the lesion was unclear. This is another reason for the misdiagnosis in our case.

There exist difficulties in the differential diagnosis between IHH and HBL in utero by ultrasound. But according to some specific imaging features like necrosis or cystic cavity inside the tumor, ill-defined margin, polylobular, the type of the feeding vessels (arteries or veins), dilated hepatic arteries, veins, or arteryvein shunting, IHH could be diagnosed more accurately prenatally.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Ya Jin, Fan Yang. Data curation: Ya Jin, Fan Yang. Formal analysis: Ya Jin, Fan Yang. Funding acquisition: Fan Yang. Methodology: Ya Jin, Fan Yang. Project administration: Fan Yang. Resources: Lin Li. Validation: Lin Li. Visualization: Lin Li. Writing – original draft: Ya Jin. Writing – review & editing: Fan Yang.

References

- Kochin IN, Miloh TA, Arnon R, et al. Benign liver masses and lesions in children: 53 cases over 12 years. Isr Med Assoc J 2011;13:542–7.
- [2] Stanley RH, Lauri AA. World Health Organization classification of tumours: pathology and genetics of tumours of the digestive system. Lyon: IARC Press; 2010. 241-242.
- [3] Hsi Dickie B, Fishman SJ, Azizkhan RG. Hepatic vascular tumors. Semin Pediatr Surg 2014;23:168–72.
- [4] Moon SB, Kwon HJ, Park KW, et al. Clinical experience with infantile hepatic hemangioendothelioma. World J Surg 2009;33:597–602.
- [5] Feng ST, Chan T, Ching AS, et al. CT and MR imaging characteristics of infantile hepatic hemangioendothelioma. Eur J Radiol 2010;76:e24–9.
- [6] Janek J, Bician P, Kenderessy P, et al. Experience with hepatoblastoma treatment in small children - the use of preoperative 3D virtual analysis MeVis for liver resections. Rozhl Chir 2017;96:25–33.
- [7] Pan FS, Xu M, Wang W, et al. Infantile hepatic hemangioendothelioma in comparison with hepatoblastoma in children: clinical and ultrasound features. Hepat Mon 2013;13:e11103.
- [8] Jiao-Ling L, Xiu-Ping G, Kun-Shan C, et al. Huge fetal hepatic Hemangioma: prenatal diagnosis on ultrasound and prognosis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018;18:2.
- [9] Al-Hussein HA, Graham EM, Tekes A, et al. Pre- and postnatal imaging of a congenital hepatoblastoma. Fetal Diagn Ther 2011;30:157–9.
- [10] Von Schweinitz D. Hepatoblastoma: recent developments in research and treatment. Semin Pediatr Surg 2012;21:21–30.
- [11] Alamo L, Perrin L, Vial Y, et al. Prenatal imaging of congenital hepatic tumors: a report of three cases. Clin Imaging 2017;41:112–7.
- [12] Makin E, Davenport M. Fetal and neonatal liver tumours. Early Hum Dev 2010;86:637–42.
- [13] SpyridakisI , Kepertis C, Lampropoulos V, et al. Embryonal/ Fetal subtype hepatoblastoma: a case report. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8: ND01-2.
- [14] AboEllail MA, Hanaoka U, Numoto A, et al. HDlive imaging of a giant fetal hemangioma. J Ultrasound Med 2015;34:2315–8.
- [15] Imai H, Hidaka N, Murakami T, et al. In utero sonographic findings of giant hepatic hemangioma and associated perinatal complications: a report of two cases. J Med Ultrasound 2015;23:46–51.

- [16] Cheng YK, Chu WC, Law LW, et al. A fetus with a huge neck mass and a large abdominal circumference – a rare case of sialoblastoma and hepatoblastoma. Prenat Diagn 2012;32:915–7.
- [17] Shih JC, Tsao PN, Huang SF, et al. Antenatal diagnosis of congenital hepatoblastoma in utero. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2000;16:94–7.
- [18] Gembruch U, Baschat AA, Gloeckner-Hoffmann K, et al. Prenatal diagnosis and management of fetuses with liver hemangiomata. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2002;19:454–60.
- [19] Meirowitz NB, Guzman ER, Underberg-Davis SJ, et al. Hepatic hemangioendothelioma: prenatal sonographic findings and evolution of the lesion. J Clin Ultrasound 2000;28:258–63.
- [20] Schmitz R, Heinig J, Klockenbusch W, et al. Antenatal diagnosis of a giant fetal hepatic hemangioma and treatment with maternal corticosteroid. Ultraschall Med 2009;30:223–6.
- [21] Alamo L, Beck-Popovic M, Gudinchet F, et al. Congenital tumours: imaging when life just begins. Insights Imaging 2011;2:297–308.