Endocrinologists of Indian Origin: A Global Force that can (and should) Collaborate

Wise are those who learn from History

When we left India in the mid-1960s, there were only a few clinical units with a special interest in Endocrinology in the country but no recognized Endocrinology training programs. Therefore, for those of us who wanted to obtain further training in Endocrinology, leaving the country was a necessity. Since then, we have come a long way. The first recognized certificate in the subspecialty of Endocrinology, Doctor of Medicine (DM) in Endocrinology, was started in 1969 at the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, and the first recipient of DM in Endocrinology was Radharaman Jivan Dash, who subsequently became the head of the Endocrine Department at the same institution; unfortunately, Professor Dash passed away recently (Aug 21, 2021). There are now about 30-40 programs that award DM in Endocrinology, with approximately 100 individuals receiving this distinction annually.

The Endocrine Society of India (ESI) was established in 1971. The ESI is probably one of the first, if not the first, sub-specialty societies in India, which now has more than 1000 members. The Research Society for the Study of Diabetes of India (RSSDI), the second in our specialty, was established in 1972 and has more than 9000 members. This contrasts with a membership of 4998 endocrinologists in the American Association of Endocrinologists in the USA. The total number of endocrinologists (Endocrinology, diabetes, and metabolism) in the USA is estimated to be 8377.

Most of the medical knowledge that we have acquired has come from the textbooks that were authored by Western physicians. However, it is well known that the etiology, as well as the presentation of various diseases, may be quite different based on the ethnicity and geographical area of the patients, besides other factors. Therefore, the management of these diseases needs to be different. Specific to the specialty of endocrinology, the management of diabetes is a good example. The prevalence of diabetes in India is about 8.9%, about 77 million, and one in six adults with diabetes in the world comes from India.^[1] There are more than 2 million persons of Indian origin currently residing in the USA, and it is one of the fastest-growing immigrant groups in the United States. According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) prevalence of diabetes among Asian Indians in the USA is estimated to be 12.6%.^[2] It is believed that the prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk factors may be higher among South Asians (India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, or Bangladesh) compared to other ethnic groups.[3] A meta-analysis performed by a group of investigators concluded that despite modest changes for adiposity, lifestyle modification in the high-risk South Asian population resulted in a clinically important 35% relative reduction in diabetes incidence.^[4] The effects of acculturation have also been studied in the Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) study. The prevalence of diabetes was studied in three different groups: Separation (preference for South Asian culture), Assimilation (preference for the US culture), and Integration (similar preference for both cultures). The integration class had the lowest prevalence of diabetes, pre-diabetes, fasting, and 2-hour glucose compared to the women in the Separation class.^[5] Finally, it has been described that the adoption of the "Western/non-vegetarian" dietary habits is associated with an adverse cardiometabolic profile in Asian Indians.^[6] Endocrinologists of Indian origin have made major contributions to these studies.

Besides diabetes, obesity is a common worldwide health problem. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines overweight and obesity as a BMI of ≥ 25 and ≥ 30 respectively. A 2000 expert panel of the WHO proposed BMI criterion for overweight as $23-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and that for obesity as $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for people residing in the Asia-Pacific region,^[7] and later added the cut-off points of 23 kg/m² and >27.5 kg/m² as the critical points for moderate-risk and high-risk public health action.^[8] Finally, in 2009, more than 100 Indian medical experts representing reputed medical institutions, hospitals, government-funded research institutions, and policy-making bodies participated in developing Asian Indian-specific guidelines for defining and managing overweight and obesity. The consensus guidelines defined overweight as those with BMI between 23.0–24.9 kg/m² and obesity as those having BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m².^[9] However, despite these efforts, different criteria to define overweight and obesity continue to be applied in recent studies. Visaria and Lo, for instance, defined normal weight as BMI <23 kg/m², overweight as BMI 23–27 kg/m², and Obese as BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² in their recent study.^[10] In another study, Luhar et al.[11] predicted that the prevalence of overweight will more than double among Asian Indian adults aged 20-69 years between 2010 and 2040, while the prevalence of obesity will triple during the same time periods. However, they used the WHO definitions of overweight and obesity (Overweight BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m² and Obesity BMI > 29.9 Kg/m2). It is apparent that these predictions would be very different if the definitions for overweight and obesity specific for the Indian population were applied.

Since the last consensus conference to develop Asian Indian specific guidelines for overweight and obesity guidelines was

held in 2009, it may be time to have another meeting to review and update these criteria by involving Endocrinologists of Indian origin living in India and abroad.

It is well established that good control of diabetes is beneficial in the prevention and management of chronic complications of diabetes. Measurement of the proportion of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is now routinely applied not only to estimate the degree of control of diabetes but also for the diagnosis of diabetes and pre-diabetes. Although it has been known for some time that there are differences in HbA1c based on race and ethnicity, this factor is not often considered when using HbA1c as a diagnostic measure or as an indicator of the degree of control of diabetes. Various studies have shown that the HbA1C values are higher among Asians without diabetes^[12] and with impaired glucose tolerance^[13] compared to their White counterparts. It has been hypothesized that ethnic differences may be due to multiple factors, including differences in glycation, the life span of erythrocytes, biological differences, type of lifestyle, or socioeconomic factors. Genetic studies also indicate that there may be a significant genetic contribution. Some of the differences between different countries may be explained by rates of hemoglobinopathies (e.g., higher rates of Thalassemia among Southeast Asians) and nutritional iron deficiency (Higher rates in East Indians).^[14] The ethnic variations of HbA1c have obvious diagnostic and therapeutic implications. Some studies have evaluated the diagnostic utility of HbA1c among Indians living in India and those living in the USA^[15] and concluded that in Asian Indians, the use of HbA1c for diagnosis of type 2 diabetes could result in a higher prevalence and that HbA1c may identify a subset of individuals with milder glucose tolerance. More detailed studies of the HbA1c among Indians and their influence on the diagnosis and control of diabetes and pre-diabetes are warranted. Once again, the Endocrinologists of Indian origin globally will have the unique ability and perspective to play a major role and contribute significantly to these studies.

Unlike the evolution of the ESI, the bone and mineral field evolved more insidiously in India, but the seminal works started much earlier. The initial emphasis was on understanding the pathogenesis of rickets and osteomalacia despite plenty of sunshine, the so-called sunshine paradox, and fluorosis that was (and still continues to be) endemic. The seminal works of Jolly, Rizvi, Vaishnava, and Teotia^[16-19] are often cited in relation to nutritional rickets and osteomalacia, and endemic fluorosis. In fact, the observed osteosclerosis and the lack of fragility fractures in patients with endemic fluorosis led to the concept of using sodium fluoride as a potential anabolic therapy for age-related osteoporosis in later randomized clinical trials. In addition, it was proposed that a major contributor to age-related osteoporosis was due to co-existent nutritional osteomalacia due to poor dietary calcium and vitamin D intake, the so-called "osteomalacia", although not uniformly accepted. The contemporary adoption of supplemental calcium and vitamin D in the management of osteoporosis has its origins from earlier work from India and other countries with similar nutritional challenges. The next wave of contributions by the Indian clinical investigators centered around the differential phenotypic expression of primary hyperparathyroidism in comparison to the West,^[20,21] an enigma even still to be solved. In fact, it was often stated that primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) does not exist in India and that all HPT is secondary to chronic vitamin D deficiency. It was not uncommon to encounter rickets and/or osteomalacia in association with PHPT.^[22] Much of these seminal works were carried out in a few select centers, and the works were largely outside the domain of ESI for a number of years.

The more organized collaborative research in the bone and mineral field took off with the formal formation of the Indian Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ISBMR) in 1997 under the visionary leadership of Dr. Ambrish Mithal, an endocrinologist from Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute (SGPGI), and Dr. Ajay Gupta, a nephrologist from Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA (visit www.ISBMR.org). Although the ISBMR mission and vision was conceived during an ESI meeting in 1984, it took another decade for the inaugural ceremony of ISBMR at SGPGI Lucknow in 1997. The organization gave a forum and intellectual support in India and initially in the USA to support the collaborative research enterprise. The global collaborative efforts led to the development of guidelines specific to Asian Indians with respect to calcium and vitamin D intakes,^[23] country-specific bone mineral density (BMD) thresholds for the detection and diagnosis of osteopenia and osteoporosis,^[24,25] the role of vitamin D in the pathogenesis and disease expression of PHPT,^[26] and more recently the molecular basis for parathyroid adenoma formation.[27,28] The recent publication of the CUBES results will have far-reaching clinical implications for the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis.^[24] The CUBES study and similar other studies, including the multicenter Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) funded study, highlighted the challenges the patients and health care providers face when applying the non-Indian databases in making clinical decisions for long term treatments. Similarly, studies linking vitamin D nutrition and the clinical expression of parathyroid disease eventually could eliminate bone disease and osteitis fibrosa cystica in PHPT,^[20,21,26] just as iodination of salt eliminated endemic goiter. Several ISBMR researchers contributed immensely to our understanding of the similarities and differences in disease expression between countries and within India.^[20,21,26,29,30] This was truly a global collaboration and must continue so we can deliver ethnic-specific based personalized care.

From a broader perspective, these three major organizations (ESI, RSSDI, and ISBMR) have really propelled advances in research, clinical care, and public health measures. But the time is now right for this collaborative network to expand. Besides India, there is a large number of Endocrinologists of Indian origin, at least in the US and perhaps in other countries as well. Like the history of ESI, RSSDI, and ISBMR, the history of AEIOU (Association of Endocrinologists of

Indian origin in the USA) is a long one. It was started back in 1991 when Dr. Prakash Kansal (Professor of Medicine at the University of Alabama) established the organization. For reasons that are not clear, it became dormant for many years but has recently been reactivated. It is now registered as a nonprofit organization in the state of Michigan (USA). In the recent past, it has sponsored several webinars in which the Endocrinologists from USA and India have presented their research and clinical findings, and a few from the UK, UAE, and Africa have attended. Perhaps this could serve as the focal point of this worldwide collaboration of Endocrinologists of Indian Origin. We hope that this collaboration will continue for a long time for the benefit of all and will lead to the recognition of Endocrinologists of Indian Origin as a truly global force.

Ved V. Gossain, Sudhaker D. Rao¹

Professor of Medicine and Chief, Division of Endocrinology (Emeritus), Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, ¹Bone and Mineral Disorders, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Bone and Mineral Disorders, Director, Bone and Mineral Research Laboratory, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan, USA

> Address for correspondence: Dr. Ved V. Gossain Professor of Medicine and Chief Division of Endocrinology (Emeritus), Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA. E-mail: gossain@msu.edu

REFERENCES

- 1. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th ed. 2019.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics report 2020. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Dept of Health and Human Services; 2020.
- Anand SS, Yusuf S, Vuksan V, Devanesen S, Teo KK, Montague PA, et al. Differences in risk factors, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease between ethnic groups in Canada: The study of health assessment and risk in ethnic groups (SHARE). Lancet 2000;356:279-84.
- Jenum AK, Brekke I, Mdala I, Muilwijk M, Ramachandran A, Kjøllesdal M, *et al.* Effects of dietary and physical activity interventions on the risk of type 2 diabetes in South Asians: Meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised controlled trials. Diabetologia 2019;62:1337-48.
- Al-Sofiani ME, Langan S, Kanaya AM, Kandula NR, Needham BL, Kim C, *et al.* The relationship of acculturation to cardiovascular disease risk factors among U.S. South Asians: Findings from the MASALA study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2020;161:108052. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres. 2020.108052.
- Bhupathiraju SN, Guasch-Ferré M, Gadgil MD, Newgard CB, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, *et al.* Dietary patterns among Asian Indians living in the United States Have distinct metabolomic profiles that are associated with cardiometabolic risk. J Nutr 2018;148:1150-9.
- Mahajan K, Batra AJIhj. Obesity in adult Asian Indians-the ideal BMI cut-off. Ind Heart J 2018;70:195.
- WHO Expert Panel Report: Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet 2004;363:157-63.
- Misra A, Chowbey P, Makkar BM, Vikram NK, Wasir JS, Chadha D, et al. Consensus statement for diagnosis of obesity, abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome for Asian Indians and recommendations for physical activity, medical and surgical management. J Assoc Physicians India 2009;57:163-70.
- 10. Visaria A, Lo D. Association between body mass index and hypertension subtypes in Indian and United States adults. Indian Heart J

2020;72:459-61.

- Luhar S, Timæus IM, Jones R, Cunningham S, Patel SA, Kinra S, *et al.* Forecasting the prevalence of overweight and obesity in India to 2040. PLoS One 2020;15:e0229438.
- Cavagnolli G, Pimentel AL, Freitas PA, Gross JL, Camargo JL. Effect of ethnicity on HbA1c levels in individuals without diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12:e0171315.
- Herman WH, Ma Y, Uwaifo G, Haffner S, Kahn SE, Horton ES, et al. Differences in A1C by race and ethnicity among patients with impaired glucose tolerance in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes Care 2007;30:2453-7.
- Metcalf P, Kyle C, Kenealy T, Sundborn G, Jackson R. Ethnic differences in HbA1c in adults in New Zealand: A cross-sectional study. 2018. Integr Obes Diabetes 2018;4:1-7. doi: 10.15761/IOD.1000205.
- 15. Gujral U, Prabhakaran D, Pradeepa R, Kandula N, Kondal D, Deepa M, et al. Isolated HbA1c identifies a different subgroup of individuals with type 2 diabetes compared to fasting or post-challenge glucose in Asian Indians: The CARRS and MASALA studies. Diab Res Clin Pract 2019;:93-102.
- 16. Singh A, Jolly SS. Endemic fluorosis. Q J Med 1961;30:357-72.
- Rizvi SN, Rao SR, Vaishnava H. Value of calcium infusion test in the diagnosis of metabolic bone disorders. J Assoc Physicians India 1968;16:817-25.
- Vaishava H, Rizvi SN. Primary hyperparathyroidism associated with nutritional osteomalacia. Am J Med 1969;46:640-4.
- Teotia SP, Kunwar KB, Mukherjee SK, Broach CW, Teotia M. Serum vitamin-D activity in patients of nutritional osteomalacia requiring high dosage of vitamin-D2 (preliminary report). J Assoc Physicians India 1969;17:635-40.
- Mithal A, Bandeira F, Meng X, Silverberg S, Shi Y, Mishra SK, *et al.* Clinical presentation of primary hyperparathyroidism: India, Brazil and China. In: Bilezikian JP, Levine MA, Marcus R, editors. The Parathyroids. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2001. p. 375-86.
- Rao DS, Agarwal G, Talpos GB, Phillips ER, Bandeira F, Mishra SK, et al. Role of vitamin D and calium nutrition in disease expression and parathyroid tumor growth in primary hyperparathyroidism: A global perspective. J Bone Miner Res 2002;17:N75-80.
- 22. Vaishava H, Rizvi SN. Primary hyperparathyroidism associated with nutritional osteomalacia. Am J Med 1969;46:640-4.
- Goswami R, Gupta N, Goswamy D, Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Kochupillai N. Prevalence and significance of low 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in healthy subjects in Delhi. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72:472-5.
- 24. Aggarwal A, Pal R, Bhadada SK, Ram S, Garg A, Bhansali A, et al. Bone mineral density in healthy adult Indian population: The Chandigarh Urban Bone Epidemiological Study (CUBES). Arch Osteoporos 2021;16:17.
- 25. Bhadada SK, Chadha M, Sriram U, Pal R, Paul TV, Khadgawat R, et al. The Indian Society for bone and mineral research (ISBMR) position statement for the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis in adults. Arch Osteoporos 2021;16:102.
- Rao SD, Miragaya J, Parikh N, Honasoge M, Springer K, Van Harn M, et al. Effect of vitamin D nutrition on disease indices in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2020;201:105695.
- 27. Varshney S, Bhadada SK, Sachdeva N, Arya AK, Saikia UN, Behera A, et al. Methylation status of the CpG islands in vitamin D and calcium-sensing receptor gene promoters does not explain the reduced gene expressions in parathyroid adenomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98:E1631-5.
- Singh P, Bhadada SK, Dahiya D, Arya AK, Saikia UN, Sachdeva N, et al. Reduced calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) expression is epigenetically deregulated in parathyroid adenomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2020;1053015-24.
- 29. De Lucia F, Minisola S, Romagnoli E, Pepe J, Cipriani C, Scillitani A, et al. Effect of gender and geographiclocation on the expression of

primary hyperparathyroidism. J Endocrinol Invest 2013;36:123-6.

30. Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Gupta Y, Bhadra K, Narang A, Mani K, *et al.* The prevalence of and risk factors for radiographic vertebral fractures in older Indian women and men: Delhi vertebral osteoporosis study (DeVOS). Arch Osteoporos 2012;7:201-7. This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijem.in
	DOI: 10.4103/2230-8210.343878

How to cite this article: Gossain VV, Rao SD. Endocrinologists of Indian origin: A global force that can (and should) collaborate. Indian J Endocr Metab 2022;26:26-9.