



Associations between *P2RY12* gene polymorphisms and risks of clopidogrel resistance and adverse cardiovascular events after PCI in patients with acute coronary syndrome

Miaonan Li, MS, Hongju Wang, MD, PhD^{*}, Ling Xuan, MS, Xiaojun Shi, BS, Tong Zhou, MS, Ningru Zhang, MS, Yuli Huang, MS

Abstract

Clopidogrel resistance in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is one of the key causes of recurrent cardiovascular disease (CVD) events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Clopidogrel targets the platelet membrane receptor P2RY12 to inhibit platelet aggregation via adenosine diphosphate (ADP). This study aimed to investigate the relationships between P2RY12 polymorphisms and the risk of clopidogrel resistance and adverse CVD events after PCI. From January 2015 to December 2014, patients who had been diagnosed with ACS undergoing PCI and treated with clopidogrel were recruited for this prospective cohort study (N = 498). Data regarding demographics, medication intake, and ACS lesion were recorded, and whole blood samples were collected for biochemical tests, ADP-induced platelet aggregation ratio detection, and P2RY12 genotyping. P2RY12 genotyping was performed by polymerase chain reaction. The left ventricular ejection fraction was calculated by echocardiography. After 3 to 12 months of follow-up, data regarding any adverse CVD event or death were recorded. The allele frequencies for the T variation alleles in C34T and G52T of P2RY12 were 20.3% and 11.6%, respectively. Patients with T variations at C34T or G52T of P2RY12 had a significantly higher risk of clopidogrel resistance (C34T: P<0.001; G52T: P=0.003) and total cardiovascular events (C34T: P= 0.013; G52T: P=0.018) compared to those with the wild-type genotype. Moreover, multivariable logistic regression showed that patients with the T variations in C34T (odds ratio [OR]: 2.89 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.48–5.64), P=0.002) and G52T (OR: 3.68 [95% CI: 1.71–7.92], P=0.001) also had a significantly higher risk of clopidogrel resistance. Also, the T variations in C34T (OR: 2.68 [95% CI: 1.07-6.73], P=0.035) and G52T (OR: 5.64 [95% CI: 1.52-20.88], P=0.010) significantly increased the risk of post-PCI CVD events after accounting for confounding factors. The P2RY12 gene polymorphisms C34T and G52T were significantly associated with a higher risk of clopidogrel resistance and sequential cardiovascular events in Chinese ACS patients after PCI.

Abbreviations: ACS = acute coronary syndrome, ADP = adenosine diphosphate, CAD = coronary artery disease, CI = confidence interval, CVD = cardiovascular disease, CYP = cytochrome P450, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, OR = odds ratio, PAR = platelet aggregation ratio, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, PCR = polymerase chain reaction, STEMI = ST segment elevated myocardial infarction.

Keywords: clopidogrel resistance, gene polymorphism, P2RY12, PCI

Editor: Perbinder Grewal.

ML and HW have contributed equally to the article.

Funding/support: The study was financially supported by project grant number 1501041154 from Science and Technology Project of Anhui Province, KJ2015ZD30 from Major Projects of natural science research in Universities of Anhui Province, and KJ2015B003by from project of natural science Universities of Anhui Province.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Cardiovascular Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, Anhui, PR China.

* Correspondence: Hongju Wang, Department of Cardiovascular Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, 287 Changhuai Road, Bengbu 233004, Anhui, PR China (e-mail: hongjuwang1@sina.com)

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2017) 96:14(e6553)

Received: 11 December 2016 / Received in final form: 10 February 2017 / Accepted: 9 March 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006553

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) has now become the leading cause of death in China,^[1] and the related burden is expected to continuously increase over the next few decades.^[2] Acute coronary syndrome (ACS), a severe type of CVD, leads to arrhythmia, heart failure, and even sudden death. The application of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) combined with antiaggregation treatment with aspirin and clopidogrel has markedly improved the prognosis of ACS.^[3,4] However, some patients are susceptible to recurrent CVD events after comprehensive intervention, including cardiac death, in-stent restenosis, and stent thrombosis.^[5,6] Dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin is currently recommended for patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and in those undergoing coronary stent implantation. In approximately 5% to 30% of clopidogrel-treated patients, the inhibition of platelet aggregation is insufficient.^[7] As a result, patients with clopidogrel resistance may have a higher risk of recurrent CVD events. Genetic polymorphisms that influence the response to clopidogrel may encode the proteins that are responsible for clopidogrel

absorption, its biotransformation to the active form, and the platelet drug receptor.^[8] The P2RY12 gene encodes the adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor P2Y12, the pharmacological target of clopidogrel. Common variation in the P2RY12 gene has been suggested as one of the mechanisms underlying this large variability in clopidogrel response.^[7] Recently, a clinical study reported that 18C > T SNP of the *P2RY12* gene may be an independent predictor of pharmacological response to clopidogrel,^[9] whereas 2 other studies failed to demonstrate any association between a sequence variation (T744C) of P2RY12 gene and platelet response to clopidogrel in patients with ACS.^[10,11] However, there are still limited data regarding the effects of P2RY12 gene polymorphisms on clopidogrel resistance. Moreover, studies about the relationships between P2RY12 gene polymorphism and cardiovascular health outcome are scarce. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the relationships between C34T, G52T in P2RY12 and the risk of clopidogrel resistance, and sequential CVD events after PCI in Chinese ACS patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

Patients who were diagnosed with ACS and subsequently underwent PCI between January 2015 and December 2015 in the Cardiovascular Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, Anhui Province, China were recruited. All participants were Han Chinese. The exclusion criteria included a previous history of ACS or PCI, medical use of clopidogrel before admission, moderate to severe valve disease, liver or kidney failure, history of hematopoietic diseases, infectious disease, tumor, or other types of consumptive diseases. A total of 498 patients (310 males and 188 females) were included in the final analysis. All participants signed consent forms before the study. This study was approved by the ethics community of the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, Anhui Province, China.

2.2. Study procedure

2.2.1. Presurgery evaluation and medication. All patients were under intensive care and underwent a comprehensive evaluation. Demographic data including age, gender, and smoking status were collected upon admission. Current smoker was defined by a habit of smoking on a regular basis. All patients were given aspirin and 300 mg clopidogrel (loading dose) from the day of admission. If no contraindication was found, 100 mg aspirin and 75 mg clopidogrel were given per day as the maintenance dose. Five-milliliter samples of whole blood were collected both before and 7 days after the intake of medications for examination of the ADP-induced platelet aggregation ratio (PAR). Whole blood was also collected on the second day of admission for biochemical tests and genotyping.

2.2.2. Percutaneous coronary intervention. PCI was performed by cardiovascular specialists. Coronary arteriography was performed, and the results were judged based on the 2001 ACC/AHA criteria.^[12] A drug-eluting stent was placed if the arterial blockage by a lesion was more than 70%. Success of the stent insertion was defined by less than 20% residual blockage and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 3 flow. Gensini^[13] score was calculated for the quantification of the coronary arterial blockage improvement of each lesion by 2 professionally trained cardiovascular specialists separately. The average Gensini score was used in the final analysis.

2.2.3. Perisurgery medication and follow-up survey. Routine use of aspirin and clopidogrel was continued throughout PCI surgery for all patients. Other medications included low-molecular-weight heparin, isosorbide mononitrate, and rosuvastatin. Benazepril and metoprolol tartrate sustained release tablets were used for the adjustment of blood pressure and heart rate in some patients. Pantoprazole was used if patients had gastrointestinal symptoms. All patients were followed for 3 to 12 months (follow-up ended in March 2016) after discharge by telephone or outpatient service. Information such as adverse cardiovascular events was collected at follow-up. During follow-up, a total of 3 patients died. One patient died of respiratory failure caused by lung infection, 1 died of myocardial infarction, and the other one died of heart failure.

2.3. Biochemical tests

Fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, serum creatinine, liver enzymes including aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase, uric acid, and C reactive protein levels were tested using an Olympus AU5800 autoanalyzer (Olympus Co., Tokyo, Japan). The PAR was examined using an AggRAM system (Helena Laboratories, Beaumont, TX).

2.4. Echocardiography

Transthoracic bedside echocardiography was performed by 2 professionally trained echocardiography specialists separately. Patients lay on their left side when echocardiography was performed on the third and fourth intercostal space. The heart was then visualized in the left parasternal long axis, and the left ventricle was visualized by M mode echocardiography. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was then measured.

2.5. DNA extraction and genotyping

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from whole blood samples using a DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis was then performed using PCR purification reagents (PrimeSTAR HS [Premix], TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The forward primer sequence for P2RY12 was GGTAACCAACAAGAAATGCAAGC, and the reverse sequence was GGACAGTGTAGAGCAGTGGGAAG. Each 20- μ L PCR mixture contained 5 μ L 5 \times PrimeSTAR buffer, 2 µL dNTP mixture, 1 µL primer, 0.2 µL Taq polymerase, 2 µL DNA template, and 9.8 µL distilled water. The PCR program consisted of 5 minutes at 95°C for denaturation, 30 cycles of 10 seconds at 95 °C, 15 seconds at 60 °C, 25 seconds at 75 °C, and followed by 10 minutes at 70 °C for extension and 30 seconds at 40°C for annealing. Genotyping was performed by GENEWIZ Co. (Suzhou, China).

2.6. Definitions and major outcomes

In this study, ACS was diagnosed if the patient had either unstable angina pectoris, non-segment elevated myocardial infarction (non-STEMI), or STEMI.^[14] The major outcome of this study was clopidogrel resistance, which was defined as a less than 10% change in the PAR after the intake of clopidogrel for 7 days.^[15] The secondary outcome was adverse cardiovascular events, which included recurrent angina, acute myocardial infarction, emergency revascularization, in-stent restenosis, stent thrombosis, and mortality.

2.7. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). No patient had a missing value, and all patients finished complete follow-up. Quantitative data are presented as mean ± standard deviations, and categorical data as the number and proportion. Student t test was performed for comparison between different genotypes. Fisher exact test was performed for comparisons of proportions. Pearson test was performed for covariate preselection. Relationships between genotypes and clinical outcome were evaluated by multivariable logistic regression using the backward method. A 2-tailed P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. P2RY12 genotype frequencies

Among the 498 patients, genotyping results showed that 324 patients had CC (65.1%), 146 had CT (29.3%), and 28 had TT

Table 1

(5.6%) at C34T of P2RY12. The allele frequencies for the C and T alleles were 79.7% and 20.3%, respectively. At G52T, 396 patients had GG (79.5%), 88 had GT (17.7%), and 14 had TT (2.8%). The allele frequencies for the G and T alleles were 88.4% and 11.6%, respectively. Both polymorphisms were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Patients with a gene variation of the T variation either in the heterozygote or homozygote group were compared to patients with the wild-type genotype.

3.2. Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. No differences were found in age, gender proportion, smoking habit, biochemical results, LVEF, medication intake, CADs, or Gensini score between the different genotype groups. However, patients with a variation at C34T or G52T of P2RY12 had a significantly higher risk of clopidogrel resistance (C34T: P < 0.001; G52T: P = 0.003) and total cardiovascular events (C34T: P = 0.013; G52T: P = 0.018) compared to the wild-type genotype (Table 1).

Baseline characteristics in different P2RY12 genotype groups.						
Characteristics	CC	CT + TT	Р	GG	GT + TT	Р
Number	324	174		396	102	
Age. v	63.9 + 9.9	63.6 + 12.2	0.845	63.2 + 10.2	66.4 + 11.9	0.055
Male (n [%])	210 (64.8)	100 (57.5)	0.107	232 (58.6)	66 (64.7)	0.261
Current smoker (n [%])	72 (22.2)	26 (14.9)	0.051	70 (17.7)	24 (23.5)	0.178
Biochemical results	. = (==:=)	20 (1110)	01001		21 (2010)	01110
FPG_mmol/l	599 ± 296	623 ± 289	0 531	615 ± 303	579 ± 253	0 434
Triglycerides mmol/l	1.00 ± 2.00 1.17 ± 1.22	1.81 ± 1.70	0.613	1.74 ± 1.38	1.77 ± 1.50	0.404
Total cholesterol mmol/l	1/19 - 1 15	437 ± 117	0.010	1/6+115	/ 38 + 1 19	0.648
HDL-c mmol/l	1.09 ± 0.62	1.01 ± 0.31	0.423	1.70 ± 0.10 1.07 ± 0.58	1.03 ± 0.30	0.040
	250 ± 0.02	252 ± 1.02	0.204	253 ± 0.05	2.12 ± 0.03	0.020
	5.00 ± 0.30	2.52 ± 1.02	0.000	2.00 ± 0.00 7 /1 + 15 07	2.42 <u>+</u> 0.33 6.25 ± 15.21	0.403
UNF, IIIg/L	J.90 ± 12.37	9.57 ± 20.52	0.001	220 . 04	0.33 ± 13.21	0.073
UA, IIIII0/L Craatiningmal/l	329±91	300±94	0.070	320 ± 94	331±00 001.205	0.439
Greatinine, µniloi/L	65.0 ± 35.2	70.4±22.9	0.007	70.0±20.9	60.1±32.5	0.001
ALI/ASI	0.90 ± 0.50	0.82 ± 0.33	0.622	0.77 ± 0.50	0.95 ± 0.32	0.315
Echocardiography	50.0 5.4	540 50	0.005	540 45	50.0.0.4	0 775
LVEF, %	52.8 ± 5.4	54.6 ± 5.2	0.605	54.2 ± 4.5	53.2 ± 6.1	0.775
Disease history						
Hypertension (n [%])	188 (58.0)	102 (58.6)	0.898	232 (58.6)	50 (49.0)	0.082
AMI (n [%])	94 (29.0)	60 (34.5)	0.208	116 (29.3)	38 (37.3)	0.121
UAP (n [%])	230 (71.0)	114 (65.5)	0.208	280 (70.7)	64 (62.7)	0.121
Atrial fibrillation	12 (0.07)	6 (0.02)	0.004	5 (0.01)	13 (0.13)	0.000
Mild valve disease	30 (0.09)	16 (0.09)	0.981	32 (0.08)	14 (0.14)	0.079
Diabetes (n [%])	88 (27.2)	58 (33.3)	0.149	124 (31.3)	22 (21.6)	0.054
Medication intake						
ACEI (n [%])	262 (80.9)	144 (82.8)	0.603	312 (78.8)	84 (82.4)	0.426
β-Blocker (n [%])	249 (76.9)	143 (82.2)	0.207	301 (76.0)	81 (79.4)	0.469
PPI (n [%])	113 (34.9)	64 (36.8)	0.672	135 (34.1)	37 (36.3)	0.679
Coronary artery disease and intervention	on	- ()			- ()	
Triple (n [%])	148 (45.7)	82 (47.1)	0.757	178 (44.9)	52 (51.0)	0.276
Double (n [%])	80 (24.7)	38 (21.9)	0.475	92 (23.2)	26 (25.5)	0.632
Single (n [%])	96 (29.6)	54 (31 0)	0 745	120 (30.3)	30 (29.4)	0.861
Stents implanted (n)	1.61 ± 0.70	1.67 ± 0.71	0.848	1.64 ± 0.67	1.68 ± 0.70	0.852
Stent length mm	26.61 ± 6.37	2713 ± 602	0.805	2643 ± 603	27.40 ± 6.82	0.650
Stent diameter mm	3 08 ± 0 /9	317+051	0.606	3.01 ± 0.00	3.27 ± 0.50	0.000
Consini scoro	3.00 ± 0.43	3.17 <u>+</u> 0.31 38 0 + 26 5	0.000	261,265	3.27 ± 0.30	0.123
Clanidagral registance (n. [0/1)	55.9 ± 27.0	50.0 ± 20.3	<0.002	30.1 ± 20.3	33.0 ± 21.1	0.401
Ciopidogrefi resistance (n [76])	30 (17.3)	00 (34.3)	< 0.001	70 (19.7)	34 (33.3)	0.005
Auverse cardiovascular events (IT [%])	07 (11 4)	04 (10 5)	0.010	40 (10 4)	00 (01 0)	0.010
Total cardiovascular events	37 (11.4)	34 (19.5)	0.013	49 (12.4)	22 (21.0)	0.018
AMI	4 (1.2)	3 (1.7)	0.692	5 (1.3)	2 (2.0)	0.636
Emergency revascularization	7 (2.2)	8 (4.6)	0.129	10 (2.5)	5 (4.9)	0.210
In-stent restenosis	12 (3.7)	10 (5.7)	0.290	16 (4.0)	6 (5.9)	0.420
Stent thromboses	0	1 (0.6)	-	0	1 (1.0)	_
Recurrent angina	12 (3.7)	11 (6.3)	0.184	16 (4.0)	7 (6.9)	0.226
Death	2 (0.6)	1 (1.2)	1.000	2 (0.5)	1 (1.0)	0.498

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AMI = acute myocardial infarction, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, CRP = C reaction protein, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, HDL-c = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, PPI = proton pump inhibitor, UA = uric acid; UAP = unstable angina pectoris.

Table 2

Relationships between patient characteristics and risk of clopidogrel resistance.

Variables	Pearson χ^2	Pearson P	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age			0.99 (0.96–1.02)	0.837
Gender	2.492	0.114	0.50 (0.238-1.067)	0.073
Smoking	5.850	0.016	0.35 (0.12-1.03)	0.057
Hypertension	0.122	0.726	1.10 (0.55–2.21)	0.798
Diabetes	1.732	0.188	1.61 (0.67–3.90)	0.291
Fasting glucose			1.00 (0.87–1.16)	0.970
Uric acid			1.00 (1.00-1.01)	0.119
Total cholesterol			0.86 (0.46-1.61)	0.638
Triglycerides			0.68 (0.44-1.05)	0.083
LDL-c			0.92 (0.45-1.88)	0.809
HDL-c			1.16 (0.68–2.04)	0.613
CRP			1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.459
P2RY12 C34T CT+TT genotype	11.395	0.001	2.89 (1.48-5.64)	0.002
P2RY12 G52T GT+TT genotype	9.100	0.003	3.68 (1.71–7.92)	0.001

CI = confidence interval, CRP = C reaction protein, HDL-c = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, MLR = multivariable logistic regression, OR = odds ratio.

3.3. Relationships between P2RY12 polymorphisms and risk of clopidogrel resistance

The relationships between the *P2RY12* polymorphisms and the risk of clopidogrel resistance are shown in Table 2. Multivariable logistic regression showed that patients with the T variation at C34T had a significantly higher risk of clopidogrel resistance than patients with the CC genotype (odds ratio [OR]: 2.89 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.48–5.64), P=0.002), and the T variation at G52T also significantly increased the risk by 3.7 times (OR: 3.68 [95% CI: 1.71–7.92], P=0.001). These associations did not depend on patient age, gender, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, or any biochemical results (Table 2).

3.4. Relationships between P2RY12 polymorphisms and risk of adverse cardiovascular events

The relationships between *P2RY12* polymorphisms and the risk of adverse CVD events are presented in Table 3. After adjusting for age, gender, smoking status, hypertension, diabetes, biochemical results, and clopidogrel resistance, the T variation in C34T significantly increased the risk of post-PCI CVD events (OR: 2.68 [95% CI: 1.07–6.73], P=0.035). However, the T variation at G52T significantly increased the CVD risk after accounting for confounding factors (OR: 5.64 [95% CI: 1.52–20.88], P=0.010).

4. Discussion

This cohort study demonstrated that variations at C34T and G52T of the *P2RY12* gene significantly increased the risk for clopidogrel resistance in Chinese ACS patients. The findings also suggested that patients with C34T or G52T polymorphism had a higher risk of adverse cardiovascular events after PCI.

The 2 most widely used antiplatelet drugs in the world are aspirin and clopidogrel. Both are efficient for secondary prevention of CVDs through different mechanisms of action. Nevertheless, the CURE trial found that dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin in ACS reduced adverse coronary events by 20% when compared with aspirin monotherapy.^[16] The results of the present study showed a 3.67 times higher risk of post-PCI CVD events in patients with clopidogrel resistance. It has been suggested that the risk of antiplatelet therapy failure in dual antiplatelet therapy may be greatly increased if clopidogrel

Table 3

Relationships between pa	atient characteristics and	risk of total adverse	cardiovascular events.
--------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------

Variables	Pearson χ^2	Pearson P	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age			1.00 (0.96–1.04)	0.978
Gender	0.488	0.485	1.04 (0.39–2.81)	0.938
Smoking	4.00	0.045	3.90 (1.38–11.00)	0.010
Hypertension	0.218	0.641	0.91 (0.36-2.26)	0.833
Diabetes	20.01	0.000	3.39 (1.17–9.82)	0.024
Fasting glucose			1.09 (0.94–1.27)	0.255
Uric acid			1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.714
Total cholesterol			0.76 (0.36–1.61)	0.472
Triglycerides			1.12 (0.83-1.51)	0.466
LDL-c			1.33 (0.60-2.95)	0.480
HDL-c			1.38 (0.58-3.26)	0.465
CRP			0.99 (0.96-1.01)	0.315
P2RY12 C34T CT + TT genotype	8.775	0.003	2.68 (1.07-6.73)	0.035
P2RY12 G52TGT + TT genotype	7.439	0.006	5.64 (1.52-20.88)	0.010
Clopidogrel resistance	11.35	0.001	3.67 (1.44–9.37)	0.007

Multivariable logistic regression. CI = confidence interval, CRP = C reaction protein, HDL-c = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-c = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, OR = odds ratio.

resistance occurs. Moreover, the CAPRIE study revealed the modest superiority of clopidogrel monotherapy over aspirin monotherapy.^[17] These findings not only demonstrate that clopidogrel has superior effectiveness to aspirin in antiplatelet monotherapy, but that it also plays a key role in dual antiplatelet therapy. If patients have a poor or no response to clopidogrel treatment, the inhibition of platelet aggregation by aspirin only may be insufficient. As a result, patients may have a higher risk of recurrent CVD events.

Previous studies have reported associations between gene polymorphisms and clopidogrel resistance. The cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme gene polymorphism has been the most studied gene in this respect, and its variation at CYP2C19 is significantly associated with a lower response to clopidogrel.^[18–20] However, previous studies on the CYP2C19 gene found it could only explain 12% of the variability in clopidogrel resistance, indicating that other gene polymorphisms might also play important roles. Our findings support the notion that patients with T variations at C34T or G52T of P2RY12 had a significantly higher risk of clopidogrel resistance (C34T: P < 0.001; G52T: P=0.003) compared to those with the wild-type genotype (Table 1). Moreover, the variability of P2RY12 in clopidogrel resistance was much larger both at C34T (34.94%) or G52T (20.48%), which indicated that P2RY12 polymorphisms may play an important role in the mechanisms of clopidogrel resistance. Previous studies on the T744C polymorphism in the P2RY12 gene found no significant association with clopidogrel response.^[10,21,22] Nevertheless, our study investigated the significant associations between platelet receptor P2RY12 gene polymorphisms and clopidogrel resistance, which adds very valuable information in this field. These results were consistent with those of a small study in Turkey that also demonstrated an increased risk of clopidogrel resistance with the C34T variation of P2RY12.^[23] However, a cohort study conducted by Tang et al^[8] in Han Chinese suggested that the effect of C34T genotype alone on clopidogrel response was small. In our study, we did not include the CYP2C19 genotyping; therefore, it remains possible that CYP2C19 polymorphism may also play a role. Clopidogrel exerts its antiaggregating effect by irreversibly binding to P2RY12. Therefore, the potential mechanism of these gene variations and clopidogrel resistance found in our study might be due to their affected binding affinity and/or postreceptor signaling pathways. However, further studies on the mechanism of platelet receptor-related clopidogrel resistance are warranted.

Inadequate blockage of platelet aggregation in ACS patients can lead to recurrent CVD events after PCI. Previous studies have also suggested association between gene polymorphisms and recurrent CVD. The CYP2C19 681 G>A mutation, which is associated with reduced clopidogrel antiplatelet activity, is an important marker for poor prognosis in ACS patients receiving clopidogrel treatment.^[24] Moreover, a recent meta-analysis, carried out on nearly 8000 patients with CAD undergoing clopidogrel treatment, showed that the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events and stent thrombosis.^[25] However, little has been reported about the relationship between P2RY12 gene polymorphisms and the risks of adverse CVD events after PCI. We have shown for the first time that patients with T variations at C34T or G52T of P2RY12 had a significantly higher risk of total cardiovascular events (C34T: P=0.013; G52T: P=0.018) compared to those with the wild-type genotype. More recently, 2 studies demonstrated that a genetic defect of CYP2C19 led to an approximately 2 times higher risk of subsequent cardiovascular events, including nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke.^[26,27] Our study also demonstrated that T variations at the C34T and G52T sites of *P2RY12* were associated with a 2.7 and 5.6 times greater risk for CVD events after PCI, respectively. Hence, our data have demonstrated that gene polymorphisms are associated with clopidogrel resistance and, in turn, significantly increased the risk of post-PCI CVD events. Other genetic and environmental factors may also play critical roles, which require further analysis.

In addition to the genetic effect on clopidogrel resistance and the prognosis of ACS, other factors including obesity,^[28] diabetes,^[29] smoking habit,^[30] and drug interaction^[31] also have been reported to be influential factors. Based on our data, we also found that smoking and diabetes were significantly associated with post-PCI CVD events, suggesting the important role of lifestyle and diabetes on post-PCI CVD health besides the demonstrated genetic effect.

Our study also had several limitations. First, the perspective study only had 1 time point for follow-up; therefore, a survival test could not be performed. Second, only dominant model was used in our analysis due to sample size limitation. Third, a single assessment of platelet function and only 1 method for testing platelet function may not be sufficient to fully diagnose the response to antiplatelet therapy. Fourth, there may be some clinical factors that are difficult to control, such as low drug adherence. Clopidogrel therapy may fail due to patient noncompliance with prescriptions from physicians. In addition, there may be variability in absorption with associated underdosing in patients, possible drug–drug interactions, and possible effects of other drug effects, such as herbal medicine taken at the same time, on prognosis.

5. Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that *P2RY12* polymorphisms were associated with higher risks of clopidogrel resistance and adverse cardiovascular events after PCI in Chinese ACS patients. More clinical and laboratory studies are required for further elucidation of the mechanisms of clopidogrel response variation, especially the function of P2RY12 in antiaggregation treatment. In order to optimize the effect of antiaggregation treatment and reduce the risk of recurrent cardiovascular events, a personalized regimen for those carrying T gene at C34T and G52T of *P2RY12* may be warranted.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants in the study.

References

- Yang L, Li L, Lewington S, et al. Outdoor temperature, blood pressure, and cardiovascular disease mortality among 23 000 individuals with diagnosed cardiovascular diseases from China. Eur Heart J 2015;36: 1178–85.
- [2] Yang G, Kong L, Zhao W, et al. Emergence of chronic noncommunicable diseases in China. Lancet 2008;372:1697–705.
- [3] O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:e78–140.
- [4] Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet 2001;358:527–33.

- [5] Nakata T, Miyahara M, Nakatani K, et al. Relationship between CYP2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism and platelet reactivities with clopidogrel treatment in Japanese patients undergoing coronary stent implantation. Circ J 2013;77:1436–44.
- [6] Wu ZK, Wang JJ, Wang T, et al. Clopidogrel resistance response in patients with coronary artery disease and metabolic syndrome: the role of hyperglycemia and obesity. J Geriatr Cardiol 2015;12:378–82.
- [7] Rudez G, Bouman HJ, van Werkum JW, et al. Common variation in the platelet receptor P2RY12 gene is associated with residual on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity in patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary interventions. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2009;2:515–21.
- [8] Tang XF, Zhang JH, Wang J, et al. Effects of coexisting polymorphisms of CYP2C19 and P2Y12 on clopidogrel responsiveness and clinical outcome in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing stentbased coronary intervention. Chin Med J 2013;126:1069–75.
- [9] Galic E, Vrbanic L, Kapitanovic S, et al. P2RY12 gene polymorphisms and effect of clopidogrel on platelet aggregation. Coll Antropol 2013; 37:491–8.
- [10] Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, et al. Lack of association between the P2Y12 receptor gene polymorphism and platelet response to clopidogrel in patients with coronary artery disease. Thromb Res 2005; 116:491–7.
- [11] Cuisset T, Frere C, Quilici J, et al. Role of the T744C polymorphism of the P2Y12 gene on platelet response to a 600-mg loading dose of clopidogrel in 597 patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. Thromb Res 2007;120:893–9.
- [12] Smith SCJr, Dove JT, Jacobs AK, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for percutaneous coronary intervention (revision of the 1993 PTCA guidelines)-executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines (Committee to revise the 1993 guidelines for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty) endorsed by the Society for Cardiac Angiography and Interventions. Circulation 2001;103:3019–41.
- [13] Gensini GG. A more meaningful scoring system for determining the severity of coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 1983;51:606.
- [14] Cannon CP, Brindis RG, Chaitman BR, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA key data elements and definitions for measuring the clinical management and outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes and coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards (Writing Committee to Develop Acute Coronary Syndromes and Coronary Artery Disease Clinical Data Standards). Circulation 2013; 127:1052–89.
- [15] Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Hiatt BL, et al. Clopidogrel for coronary stenting: response variability, drug resistance, and the effect of pretreatment platelet reactivity. Circulation 2003;107:2908–13.
- [16] Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, et al. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med 2001;345:494–502.

- [17] CAPRIE Steering CommitteeA randomised, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events (CAPRIE). CAPRIE Steering Committee. Lancet 1996;348:1329–39.
- [18] Arya V, Mahajan P, Saraf A, et al. Association of CYP2C19, CYP3A5 and GPIIb/IIIa gene polymorphisms with aspirin and clopidogrel resistance in a cohort of Indian patients with coronary artery disease. Int J Lab Hematol 2015;37:809–18.
- [19] Hou X, Shi J, Sun H. Gene polymorphism of cytochrome P450 2C19*2 and clopidogrel resistance reflected by platelet function assays: a metaanalysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2014;70:1041–7.
- [20] Tresukosol D, Suktitipat B, Hunnangkul S, et al. Effects of cytochrome P450 2C19 and paraoxonase 1 polymorphisms on antiplatelet response to clopidogrel therapy in patients with coronary artery disease. PLoS One 2014;9:e110188.
- [21] Kar R, Meena A, Yadav BK, et al. Clopidogrel resistance in North Indian patients of coronary artery disease and lack of its association with platelet ADP receptors P2Y1 and P2Y12 gene polymorphisms. Platelets 2013;24:297–302.
- [22] Sun B, Li J, Dong M, et al. Diversity of platelet function and genetic polymorphism in clopidogrel-treated Chinese patients. Genet Mol Res 2015;14:1434–42.
- [23] Sen HM, Silan F, Silan C, et al. Effects of CYP2C19 and P2Y12 gene polymorphisms on clinical results of patients using clopidogrel after acute ischemic cerebrovascular disease. Balkan J Med Genet 2014;17: 37–41.
- [24] Wei YQ, Wang DG, Yang H, et al. Cytochrome P450 CYP 2C19*2 associated with adverse 1-year cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome. PLoS One 2015;10:e0132561.
- [25] Sofi F, Giusti B, Marcucci R, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19*2 polymorphism and cardiovascular recurrences in patients taking clopidogrel: a meta-analysis. Pharmacogenomics J 2011;11:199–206.
- [26] Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, et al. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med 2009; 360:363–75.
- [27] Cayla G, Hulot JS, O'Connor SA, et al. Clinical, angiographic, and genetic factors associated with early coronary stent thrombosis. JAMA 2011;306:1765–74.
- [28] Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, et al. Platelet aggregation according to body mass index in patients undergoing coronary stenting: should clopidogrel loading-dose be weight adjusted? J Invasive Cardiol 2004;16:169–74.
- [29] El Ghannudi S, Ohlmann P, Jesel L, et al. Impaired inhibition of P2Y(12) by clopidogrel is a major determinant of cardiac death in diabetes mellitus patients treated by percutaneous coronary intervention. Atherosclerosis 2011;217:465–72.
- [30] Zhao ZG, Chen M, Peng Y, et al. The impact of smoking on clinical efficacy and pharmacodynamic effects of clopidogrel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart 2014;100:192–9.
- [31] Bates ER, Lau WC, Angiolillo DJ. Clopidogrel-drug interactions. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1251–63.