META-ANALYSIS

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit. 2022: 28: e935823 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.935823

Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Serum Vitamin D Levels in People Under Age 18 Years: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Autho D Stati: Data I nuscrij Lite Fur	rs' Contribution: Study Design A ata Collection B stical Analysis C Interpretation D pt Preparation E erature Search F nds Collection G	ABCDEF 1 CE 2 BF 1 E 1 E 1 D 1 D 1	Xian Cui* Yuhang Zhai* Shuai Wang Ke Ding Zhenya Yang Yan Tian Tingting Huo	 Department of Ultrasound, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, PR China College of Sciences and Mathematics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA
	Correspondin Financia Conflict o	ng Author: l support: f interest:	* Xian Cui and Yuhang Zhai contributed equally to this work Xian Cui, e-mail: pipettor@163.com None declared None declared	
	Back Material/N	kground: Nethods:	During the COVID-19 pandemic the implementation of social distancing and home confinement resulted in li under age 18 years, which can elevate the risk of vita was to systemically evaluate the effect of the COVID- age 18 years. Following the PRISMA recommendations, we searched als from inception to November 3, 2021. All trials as vitamin D levels in people under age 18 years were i 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH] D) levels before and du using a random-effects model. Risk differences were	of a range of measures to suppress transmission, such as mited sunlight exposure and physical inactivity in people amin D deficiency and insufficiency. The aim of this study -19 pandemic on serum vitamin D levels in people under ed PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Database for tri- sessing the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on serum ncluded and analyzed. Mean differences (MDs) of serum ring the COVID-19 pandemic were calculated and pooled used to assess changes in the proportions of people un-
		Results:	Our analysis included 5 studies comprising 4141 peop 25(OH)D levels before and during the COVID-19 pand dicated serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly low 25(OH)D level was not observed among infants (age	ole under age 18 years. The combined result MD of serum emic as 3.28 ng/mL, 95% CI=0.95-5.62 ng/mL, P<0.01] in- er during the COVID-19 pandemic. The decreased serum under 1 year) (P=0.28).
	Cond	clusions:	During the COVID-19 pandemic, the serum vitamin I lower and vitamin D supplementation for people und research is needed to validate the present findings.	D levels of people under age 18 years were significantly er age 18 years might reduce the risk of COVID-19. More
	Ке	ywords:	Vitamin D Deficiency • Meta-Analysis • COVID-19	
	Full-t	ext PDF:	https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt	/935823
				a 30



Received: 2021.12.14 Accepted: 2022.04.14

Available online: 2022.04.27 Published: 2022.05.25

> Stat Data Manuscr Fu

Background

The epidemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is currently having a damaging impact on almost all countries around the world. Characterized by an approximately 2% mortality rate and the absence of medical countermeasures, COVID-19 remains a major public health threat globally [1,2]. Vitamin D is a steroid hormone essential in regulating body levels of calcium and phosphorus and mineralization of bones [3,4]. Insufficient levels of serum vitamin D are strongly associated with various diseases, including total cancer incidence, diabetes, and infectious diseases [5,6].

A growing body of circumstantial evidence shows an association of COVID-19 with serum vitamin D levels [7,8]. COVID-19 incidence and mortality were found to be strongly associated with vitamin D status in various populations [9]. Similar associations were also seen in children [10]. Since late December 2019, governments from various countries have implemented a series of measures to control the COVID-19 pandemic, such as social distancing and home confinement, which potentially limit sunlight exposure or physical activity of children. A main source of acquiring vitamin D is sunlight exposure. Several studies reported an elevated risk of vitamin D deficiency among COVID-19-negative children [12,13]. Although the COVID-19 pandemic has been reported to significantly affect serum vitamin D levels in children, it has not been systemically summarized.

In this study, we aimed to systemically evaluate the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on serum vitamin D levels in people under age 18 years.

Material and Methods

Literature Search

This study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [14] guidelines for the trial identification, data extraction and integrity, assessment of bias, and sensitivity analyses. We searched PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Database for eligible publications from inception to November 3, 2021. We used the following keywords and phrases: "COVID-19", "coronavirus disease 2019", "SARS-CoV-2", "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2", "vitamin D", "25-Hydroxyvitamin D 2", "child", "infants", and "pediatric". Detailed search strategies for each database can be found in the **Supplementary Material**. To include more studies, we also examined the reference lists of relevant studies.

Eligibility Criteria

The inclusion criteria were: (1) studies investigating the serum vitamin D levels of participants before and during the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) study population aged <18 years and did not receive vitamin D supplementations or any other medications; (3) study population was COVID-19-negative; (4) studies published in English.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) letters, case reports, reviews, animal experiments, and expert opinions; (2) studies that included outpatients or asymptomatic COVID-19 patients; (3) studies published in languages other than English; (4) duplicate publications.

Definitions of Vitamin D deficiency and Insufficiency

Because vitamin D is converted by 25-hydroxylase to 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] in the liver, serum vitamin D was defined as serum 25(OH)D concentrations. Following the Endocrine Society's Clinical Practice Guideline [15], we defined vitamin D deficiency, insufficiency, and sufficiency according to serum concentrations of 25(OH)D <20 ng/mL (<50 nmol/L), 21-29 ng/mL (51-74 nmol/L), and 30-100 ng/mL (75-250 nmol/L), respectively.

Data Extraction

Two authors (XC and SW) independently screened the studies retrieved form the above-mentioned databases for eligible studies following the inclusion criteria. Necessary information was independently extracted by the 2 authors using a customized and standardized form. Any discrepancy was resolved by discussion with the third author (TTH).

For included studies, the following information was extracted: the first author, publication year, country, mean ages and proportions of males of the study population, sample size, definition of COVID-19 pandemic period, and serum vitamin D levels before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Quality Scoring of Studies

Two reviewers (XC and SW) independently assessed study quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [16], a risk of bias assessment tool for observational studies. Using this tool, 3 domains were assessed: (1) selection of study population (4 items); (2) comparability of groups (2 items); and (3) ascertainment of exposure and outcomes (3 items). Studies with NOS scores 7-9 were high-quality, scores 4-6 had moderate risk of bias, and scores 0-3 had very high risk of bias.



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study selection.

Statistical Analysis

Changes of the serum vitamin D levels before and during the COVID-19 pandemic were evaluated by pooling mean differences (MDs) or risk differences. MDs or risk differences from included studies were combined using a random-effects model. Stratification analysis was done according the age of the participants as infants and children (infants were defined as <1 year old and children were defined as 1-18 years old).

Heterogeneity was assessed using Cochran's Q test and Higgins's l^2 , with $l^2 >50\%$ and a P value <0.10 suggested significant heterogeneity [17]. To explore the sources of significant heterogeneity, the enrolled studies were sequentially excluded to observe the overall impact of the individual study. Potential publication bias was evaluated using Begg's rank correlation [18] and Egger's weighted regression methods [19].

Review Manager Version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, United Kingdom) and STATA 15.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX) were used to perform statistical analyses. A 2-side P value of <0.05 was considered significant for all analyses.

Results

Study Selection

As illustrated in **Figure 1**, a total of 748 studies were identified through the initial searches in the above-mentioned databases; 317 were excluded due duplication among various databases and 256 papers were excluded by browsing the titles or abstracts. After retrieving 33 full-length manuscripts, 5 studies [12,13,20-22] were included into the current study.

Study Characteristics

The 5 studies encompassed a total of 4141 people under age 18 years and were published between 2020 and 2021. The sample size ranged from 226 to 1800. The studies were conducted in 1 each in South Korea (21), Greece (20), Hong Kong (13), Poland (12), and China (22). Most studies (4/5) were retrospective studies. Three studies [12,20,21] focused on people age 1-18 years and 2 on infants [13,22]. Nearly half of the study population were males. All studies defined the pre-COVID-19 period as the year 2019 and the COVID-19 period as the year 2020. The demographic characteristics of the study population from the included studies are presented in **Table 1**.

Quality Assessment of Studies

NOS for included eligible studies are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. All 5 included studies were evaluated as moderate or high quality, of which 2 each scored 6 points and 7 points, and 1 scored 8 points.

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Serum Vitamin D Levels

Of the 5 included studies, 4 [12,13,21,22] reported serum 25(OH)D levels before and during then COVID-19 pandemic. As shown in **Figure 2**, when summarizing the serum 25(OH)

Study included	Country	Study design	Sample size	Age (means±SD)	% of males	Definition of pre-COVID-19	Definition of COVID-19 period
Kang et al, 2020	Korea	Retrospective	226	10.5 (8.7-12.4) years*	42.5	3, 2019-3, 2021	3-9, 2020
Feketea et al, 2021	Greece	Prospective	340	8.6±4.6 years	45.6	2, 2019-12, 2019	1, 2020-1, 2021
Wong et al, 2021	Hong Kong	Retrospective	303	10.42±6.37 months	48.5	6-12, 2019	6-12, 2020
Rustecka et al, 2021	Poland	Retrospective	1472	8.0±5.0 years	52.1	1-12, 2019	1, 2020-2, 2021
Yu et al, 2020	China	Retrospective	1800	29.0±23.0 months	NA	1-12, 2019	1-12, 2020

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

SD - standard deviation; NA - not available. * Range of age.



Figure 2. Summarized mean differences of serum 25(OH)D level among all participants.

	Pre-C	OVID-19	period	COV	ID-19 p	eriod		Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, random, 95% Cl	IV, random, 95% Cl
Rustecka et al, 2021	54	21	93	47	15	47	39.3%	7.00 [0.95, 13.05]	
Yu et al, 2020	41.16	10.92	300	40.74	10.5	300	60.1%	0.42 [-1.29, 2.13]	
Total (95% CI)			393			347	100.0%	3.28 [0.95, 5.62]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =4	1.44; Chi ² =	19.52, di	f=3 (P=	0.0002); l ²	=85%			H-10	
Test for overall efect: Z	=2.75 (P=	=0.006)						-10	Pre-COVID-19 period COVID-19 period

Figure 3. Summarized mean differences of serum 25(OH)D level among infants (aged <1 year).

D levels together, a statistically significant decrease was seen with pooled MD as 3.28 ng/mL (95% confidence interval (CI)=0.95-5.62 ng/mL, P<0.01) with a significant heterogeneity (l^2 =85%).

To explore the potential source of the heterogeneity, we excluded included studies sequentially. As illustrated in **Supplementary Figure 1**, when we excluded the study conducted by Yu et al [22], the heterogeneity was significantly decreased (l^2 =0%)

As presented in **Figure 3**, 2 studies reported serum 25(OH) D level changes among infants (<1 year old). No statistically

significant serum 25(OH)D level change was seen, with *P* value 0.28.

According to serum 25(OH)D level, 3 studies [12,20,22] categorized serum vitamin D levels into vitamin D deficiency, insufficiency, and sufficiency (**Figure 4**). No significantly increased vitamin D deficiency and vitamin D insufficiency risk were seen, with pooled risk differences as 0.03 (95% CI=-0.11-0.18, P=0.64, l^2 =96%) and -0.01 (95% CI=-0.09-0.07, P=0.81, l^2 =81%), respectively, which indicates the proportions of vitamin D deficiency and vitamin D insufficiency among children remained unchanged.

e935823-4

	Pre-COVID	-19 period	COVID-1	9 period		Risk difference	Risk difference
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% Cl	M-H, random, 95% Cl
.3.1 Deficient							
u et al, 2020	28	900	73	900	14.1%	-0.05 [-0.07, -0.03]	-
eketea et al, 2021	50	168	41	172	8.4%	0.06 [-0.03, 0.15]	
ustecka et al, 2021	468	851	279	621	12.0%	0.10 [0.05, 0.15]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		1919		1693	34.5%	0.03 [-0.11, 0.18]	
otal events	546		393				
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.02 est for overall efect: Z=0	2; Chi²=53.08, df 9.47 (P=0.64)	=2 (P<0.0000	1); l²=96%				
.3.2 Insufficient							
ustecka et al. 2021	264	851	236	621	12.2%	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]	_ _
eketea et al. 2021	67	168	68	172	7.7%	0.00 [-0.10, 0.11]	
u et al, 2020	326	900	290	900	12.6%	0.04 [-0.00, 0.08]	
ubtotal (95% CI)		1919		1693	32.4%	-0.01 [-0.09, 0.07]	
otal events	657		594				
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00); Chi ² =10.72, df 24 (P=0 81)	=2 (P=0.005)	; I ² =91%				
	.2.1 (1 0101)						
.3.3 Sumcient	70	1(0	05	170	7 50/	0.07[0.17.0.04]	
eketea et al, 2021	/2	168	85	1/2	/.5%	-0.07 [-0.17, 0.04]	
USLECKA EL AI, ZUZ I	119	1 Cõ	100	021	13.1%	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.01]	
u et al, 2020	540	900	537	900	12.5%	0.01 [-0.04, 0.06]	—
ubtotal (95% CI)	727	1919	720	1093	33.1%	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.02]	T
otal events	/3/ 	-2 (D-0.25), 12	/ <u>Z0</u>				
atorogonaituu Tau? _0.00), CIII=2.76, UI= 00 (P=0 32)	=Z (P=0.25); I=	=20%				
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	.00 (1 -0.52)						
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 est for overall efect: Z=1				5070	100.0%	-0.00[-0.04, 0.04]	▲
eterogeneity: Tau²=0.00 est for overall efect: Z=1 otal (95% CI)		5757		50/9	100.070		T
leterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 est for overall efect: Z=1 otal (95% CI) otal events	1940	5757	1715	5079	100.070		Ť
leterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 est for overall efect: Z=1 otal (95% CI) otal events leterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	1940); Chi²=52.83, df	5757 ==8 (P<0.0000	1715 1); I²=85%	5079	100.070		
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 est for overall efect: Z=1 otal (95% CI) otal events eterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 :st for overall efect: Z=0	1940); Chi²=52.83, df 1.04 (P=0.96)	5757 ==8 (P<0.0000	1715 1); I ² =85%	5079	100.070		-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5

Figure 4. Summarized risk differences among participants by serum 25(OH)D level status.

Similarly, to explore the potential source of the heterogeneity, we excluded the study specifically focused on infants (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Decreased heterogeneity was then 0%, 36%, and 0% for vitamin D deficiency, vitamin D insufficiency, and vitamin D sufficiency risk, respectively. Then we assessed the association among infants (<1 year old). Similarly, no statistically significant differences were seen (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

Publication Bias

No potential publication bias was observed (*P*>0.05), and the detailed results of potential publication bias can be found in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis study to summarize the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on serum vitamin D levels in people under age 18 years. Five studies with a total 4141 pediatric participants were included and analyzed. For the pediatric population, the serum vitamin D levels were significantly decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The general metabolism and actions of vitamin D are well-established [23]. Vitamin D has 2 forms (D_2 and D_3), which differ chemically in their side chains. Vitamin D, is obtained from daily diet such as oil-rich fish [24]. Vitamin D₃ is produced in the skin from 7-dehydrocholesterol by UV irradiation. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, many negative effects on lifestyle choices were reported [25]. A study conducted by Xiang et al [26] in 2426 children and adolescents from China reported a substantial decrease in physical activity and increase in screen time during the COVID-19 pandemic. Another study, conducted in Italy, also reported significantly less time spent in sports activities [27]. The home confinement and decreased physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic thus resulted in inadequate exposure to sunlight, which can lead to decreased serum vitamin D levels. Moreover, studies also reported that red meat, high-sugar and high-fat diets, and sugary drink intakes increased significantly during the lockdown and school closure [25,26,28]. The daily diet changes might also promote decreased serum vitamin D levels.

The findings of our study that the serum vitamin D level was significantly decreased during COVID-19 pandemic highlight the importance of vitamin D supplementation people under age 18 years. A recent meta-analysis reported that low serum 25 (OH) vitamin D level was strongly associated with an increased risk of COVID-19 infection [29]. Another meta-analysis reported that there was about 3 times higher chance of getting infected with COVID-19 among vitamin D-deficient individuals and about 5 times increased probability of developing severe COVID-19 disease in vitamin D-deficient patients

[30]. Improving serum vitamin D levels in people under age 18 years therefore has a potential benefit in reducing the risk of acquiring COVID-19. Grant et al [7] recommended that people at risk of influenza and/or COVID-19 consider taking 10 000 IU/d of vitamin D3 for a few weeks to rapidly raise 25(OH)D concentrations, followed by 5000 IU/d.

While interpreting the findings in the current study, limitations need to be considered. First, most of the studies did not compare the prior and current COVID-19 period serum vitamin D levels in the same population. Even if the sensitivity analyses and subgroup analyses were performed carefully, the heterogeneities of some comparisons remained substantial. Second, the mean ages of the participants among the 5 included studies varied greatly. Because age has an important effect on living habits, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on serum vitamin D levels may be significantly different, such between infants versus kindergarten or elementary school students. However, as described above, 2 studies provided data on infants <1 year and we observed they differed from the entire pediatric population. Third, the serum vitamin D levels might be affected by various factors, such as the season. However, due to the limited number of included studies and the information provided by each study, we could not perform more subgroup analyses. Fourth, potential language bias might exist because we only included articles published in English. Fifth,

Supplementary Material. Search strategies

possible publication bias could not be assessed for all analyses, as a small number of studies were included.

Conclusions

Our meta-analysis provides pooled results based on 5 studies and reported the quantized changes in serum vitamin D levels during the COVID-19 pandemic. Vitamin D supplementation may be needed for people under age 18 years. The measures aimed to reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 need to take into account the detrimental effects on people under age 18 years. Further research should focus the long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on serum vitamin D levels and health outcomes.

Data Sharing Statement

Data are available on request from the authors.

Declaration of Figures' Authenticity

All figures submitted have been created by the authors, who confirm that the images are original with no duplication and have not been previously published in whole or in part.

upplementary Table 1. Qualit	y assessment of included studies b	y Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.
------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------

NOS case-control Study	Is the case definition adequate?	Representa- tiveness of the cases	Selection of controls	Definition of controls	Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis	Ascerta- inment of intervention	Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls	Non- response rate	Total quality scores
Kang et al, 2020	\$	\$	\$	\$	**	-	\$	\$	8
Feketea et al, 2021	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	7
Wong et al, 2021	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	7
Rustecka et al, 2021	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	6
Yu et al, 2020	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	-	\$	\$	6

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

		.0010-12	periou		// 1/ U			Mean unerence	Mean unreferice
study or subgroup	Mean	SD	lotal	Mean	SD	lotal	Weight	IV, random, 95% Cl	IV, random, 95% Cl
u et al, 2020	36.12	10.5	900	35.28	11.34	900	0.0%	0.84 [-0.17, 1.85]	
ustecka et al, 2021	35	18	851	31	14	621	54.2%	4.00 [2.36, 5.64]	
long et al, 2021	29.64	11.98	183	25.62	20.85	120	8.6%	4.02 [-0.09, 8.13]	
ang et al, 2020	23.8	8.2	112	18.9	6.8	112	37.2%	4.90 [2.93, 6.87]	
otal (95% CI)			1146			853	100.0%	4.34 [3.13, 5.54]	•
eterogeneity: Tau ² =0	.00; Chi ² =	=0.50, df=	=2 (P=0	78); l ² =0	1%			F	
est for overall efect: Z	=7.06 (P<	< 0.00001)					-10) -5 0 5 10

Supplementary Figure 1. Sensitivity analysis on summarized mean differences of serum 25(OH)D level.

Study or subgroup	Pre-COVID- Events	-19 period Total	COVID-19 Events	9 period Total	Weight	Risk difference M-H, random, 95% (Risk difference CI M-H, random, 95% CI
1.3.1 Deficient							
Yu et al, 2020	28	900	73	900	0.0%	-0.05 [-0.07, -0.03]	
Feketea et al, 2021	50	168	41	172	14.5%	0.06 [-0.03, 0.15]	
Rustecka et al, 2021	468	851	279	621	19.0%	0.10 [0.05, 0.15]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1019		793	33.6%	0.09 [0.05, 0.14]	
Total events	518		320				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	; Chi ² =0.58, df=	=1 (P=0.45); I ² =	=0%				
lest for overall elect. 2=5.	90 (P<0.0001)						
1.3.2 Insufficient	264	051	226	621	10.20/	0.07[0.12_0.02]	
KUSLECKA EL AL, 2021	204	85 I	230	021	19.3%	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]	
Fekelea el al, 2021	0/	108	80	1/2	13.5%	0.00[-0.10, 0.11]	
10 et di, 2020	520	900	290	900	0.0%	0.04 [-0.00, 0.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	221	1019	204	793	32.8%	-0.05 [-0.11, 0.02]	
Hotorogonoity: Tou2—0.00	331 • Chi21 56 df_	-1 (D_0 21). I2	-2604				
Test for overall efect: 7=1	44 (P=0 15)	-1 (F—0.21), I -	-3070				
	11(1=0.15)						
1.3.3 Sufficient	72	1(0	05	170	12 40/	0.07[0.17.0.04]	
Feketea et al, 2021	/2	168	85	1/2	13.4%	-0.07 [-0.17, 0.04]	
KUSTECKA ET AL, 202 I	119	851	106	621	20.3%	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.01]	
Yu et al, 2020	546	900	537	900	0.0%	0.01[-0.04, 0.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	101	1019	101	/93	33.6 %	-0.03 [-0.07, 0.00]	◆
lotal events	191	1 (D 0 53) 12	191				
Heterogeneity: Iau ² =0.00	CnP = 0.39, at = 0.3	=1 (P=0.53); I*=	=0%				
lest for overall effect: $2 = 1$.	92 (P=0.05)						
		3057		2379	100.0%	-0.00 [-0.06, 0.06]	•
Total (95% CI)	1040		815				T
Total (95% CI) Total events		C C (D .0.0001	12_020/				
Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	Chi ² =28.00, df	[=5 (P<0.0001],1==02%				
Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² —0.00, Test for overall efect: Z—0.	; Chi ² =28.00, df 01 (P=0.99)	=5 (P<0.0001), I ⁻ =62%				-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5

Supplementary Figure 2. Sensitivity analysis on summarized risk differences among participants by serum 25(OH)D level.

Supplementary Table 2. Publication bias of summarized outcomes.

Output to the second	Publication bias				
Outcomes	Begg (P value)	Egger (P value)			
Summarized mean differences of serum 25(OH)D level	0.74	0.51			
Summarized risk differences among participants with deficient serum 25(OH)D level	0.66	0.32			
Summarized risk differences among participants with insufficient serum 25(OH)D level	0.19	0.21			
Summarized risk differences among participants with sufficient serum 25(OH)D level	0.84	0.60			

	Pre-COVID-	-19 period	COVID-1	9 period		Risk difference	Risk difference
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, random, 95% Cl	M-H, random, 95% Cl
1.4.1 Deficient							
eketea et al, 2021	6	37	2	39	7.5%	0.11 [-0.03, 0.25]	
/u et al, 2020	7	300	9	300	44.9%	-0.01 [-0.03, 0.02]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)		337		339	52.4%	0.04 [-0.09, 0.16]	
lotal events	13		11				
leterogeneity: Tau ² =0.01	; Chi²=3.22, df=	=1 (P=0.07); I ² =	=69%				
est for overall efect: Z=0.	57 (P=0.57)						
.4.2 Insufficient							
eketea et al. 2021	15	37	12	39	3.3%	0.10 [-0.12, 0.31]	
/u et al. 2020	79	300	73	300	20.9%	0.02 [-0.05, 0.09]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)		337		339	24.3%	0.03 [-0.04, 0.09]	•
lotal events	94		85				-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00 Fest for overall efect: Z=0.	; Chi²=0.46, df= 81 (P=0.42)	=1 (P=0.50); l ² =	=0%				
1.4.3 Sufficient							
eketea et al. 2021	16	37	25	39	3.2%	-0.21 [-0.42, 0.01]	
/u et al, 2020	214	300	218	300	20.1%	-0.01 [-0.09, 0.06]	_
Subtotal (95% CI)		337		339	23.3%	-0.08 [-0.27, 0.10]	
lotal events	230		243				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.01	; Chi ² =2.75, df=	=1 (P=0.10); I ² =	=64%				
Test for overall efect: Z=0.	88 (P=0.38)						
fotal (95% CI)		1011		1017	100.0%	0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]	▲
lotal events	337		339				Ť
leterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	; Chi²=7.47, df=	=5 (P=0.19); I ² =	=33%				
lest for overall efect: Z=0.	16 (P=0.87)						
est for subgroup differen	ces: Chi²=1.31, o	df=2 (P=0.52);	I'=0%			-	U.S -U.ZS U U.ZS U.S
							Pro-(()VII)-19 period (()VII)-19 period

Supplementary Figure 3. Summarized risk differences among participants by serum 25(OH)D level status among infants (aged <1 year).

References:

- Gavriatopoulou M, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Korompoki E, et al. Emerging treatment strategies for COVID-19 infection. Clin Exp Med. 2021;21:167-79
- 2. Ita K. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Current status and prospects for drug and vaccine development. Arch Med Res. 2021;52:15-24
- Dusso AS, Brown AJ, Slatopolsky E. Vitamin D. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2005;289:F8-28
- 4. Harrison HE. Vitamin D. Prog Clin Biol Res. 1981;61:95-108
- Holick MF. The vitamin D deficiency pandemic: Approaches for diagnosis, treatment and prevention. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2017;18:153-65
- Holick MF, Chen TC. Vitamin D deficiency: A worldwide problem with health consequences. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:10805-865
- Grant WB, Lahore H, McDonnell SL, et al. Evidence that Vitamin D supplementation could reduce risk of influenza and COVID-19 infections and deaths. Nutrients. 2020;12:988
- 8. Jolliffe DA, Camargo CA Jr., Sluyter JD, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis of aggregate data from randomised controlled trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9:276-92
- 9. Mitchell F. Vitamin-D and COVID-19: Do deficient risk a poorer outcome? Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020;8:570
- 10. Yilmaz K, Sen V. Is vitamin D deficiency a risk factor for COVID-19 in children? Pediatr Pulmonol. 2020;55:3595-601
- 11. Panfili FM, Roversi M, D'Argenio P, et al. Possible role of vitamin D in COVID-19 infection in pediatric population. J Endocrinol Invest. 2021;44:27-35
- 12. Rustecka A, Maret J, Drab A, et al. The impact of COVID-19 Ppandemic during 2020-2021 on the Vitamin D serum levels in the paediatric population in Warsaw, Poland. Nutrients. 2021;13:1990
- Wong RS, Tung KTS, So HK, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on serum vitamin D level among infants and toddlers: An interrupted time series analysis and before-and-after comparison. Nutrients. 2021;13:1270
- 14. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71

- Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: An Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96:1911-30
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connel D, et al. The Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analysis. In: Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Systematic Reviews. England: Oxford; 2000
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003;327:557-60
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994;50:1088-101
- 19. Egger M, Davey Smith G, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315:629-34
- Feketea G, Vlacha V, Tsiros G, et al. Vitamin D levels in asymptomatic children and adolescents with atopy during the COVID-19 era. J Pers Med. 2021;11:712
- 21. Kang HM, Jeong DC, Suh BK, Ahn MB. The impact of the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on childhood obesity and vitamin D status. J Korean Med Sci. 2021;36:e21
- 22. Yu L, Ke HJ, Che D, et al. Effect of pandemic-related confinement on vitamin D status among children aged 0-6 years in Guangzhou, China: A crosssectional study. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2020;13:2669-75
- 23. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:266-81
- 24. Nair R, Maseeh A. Vitamin D: The "sunshine" vitamin. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2012;3:118-26
- Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, Pivari F, et al. Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: An Italian survey. J Transl Med. 2020;18:229
- Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2020;63:531-32
- Pietrobelli A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle behaviors in children with obesity living in Verona, Italy: A longitudinal study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020;28:1382-85

e935823-8

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

- Cancello R, Soranna D, Zambra G, et al. Determinants of the lifestyle changes during COVID-19 pandemic in the residents of Northern Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:6287
- 29. Teshome A, Adane A, Girma B, Mekonnen ZA. The impact of vitamin D level on COVID-19 infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Public Health. 2021;9:624559
- 30. Ghasemian R, Shamshirian A, Heydari K, et al. The role of vitamin D in the age of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Clin Pract. 2021;75:e14675