



Article Risk Assessment of Bovine Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II DRB3 Alleles for Perinatal Transmission of Bovine Leukemia Virus

Liushiqi Borjigin ^{1,2}, Chieh-Wen Lo ^{3,4}, Lanlan Bai ^{1,3}, Rania Hamada ^{3,5}, Hirotaka Sato ^{1,2}, Shuji Yoneyama ⁶, Anna Yasui ⁷, Sohei Yasuda ⁷, Risa Yamanaka ⁷, Munehito Mimura ⁷, Michihito Inokuma ⁸, Yasuo Shinozaki ⁹, Naoko Tanaka ⁹, Shin-Nosuke Takeshima ¹⁰ and Yoko Aida ^{1,2,4,*}

- ¹ Viral Infectious Diseases Unit, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; liushiqi.borjigin@vetmed.hokudai.ac.jp (L.B.); lanlan.bai@riken.jp (L.B.); hirosato@dokkyomed.ac.jp (H.S.)
- ² Baton Zone Program, Nakamura Laboratory, RIKEN Cluster for Science, Technology and Innovation Hub, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan
- ³ Photonics Control Technology Team, RIKEN Center for Advanced Photonics, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; rogerwen80@gmail.com (C.-W.L.); rania_hamada@vet.svu.edu.eg (R.H.)
- ⁴ Laboratory of Global Infectious Diseases Control Science, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan
- ⁵ Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Qena 83523, Egypt
- ⁶ Kenou Livestock Hygiene Service Center, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 321-0905, Japan;
 - yoneyamas01@pref.tochigi.lg.jp
- ⁷ Kumagaya Livestock Hygiene Service Center, Kumagaya, Saitama 360-0813, Japan; yasui.anna@pref.saitama.lg.jp (A.Y.); yasuda.sohei@pref.saitama.lg.jp (S.Y.);
- yamanaka.risa@pref.saitama.lg.jp (R.Y.); mimura.munehito@pref.saitama.lg.jp (M.M.)
- Chuo Livestock Hygiene Service Center, Chiba 262-0011, Japan; m.inkm@pref.chiba.lg.jp
- ⁹ Nanbu Livestock Hygiene Service Center, Kamogawa, Chiba 296-0033, Japan; y.shnzk14@pref.chiba.lg.jp (Y.S.); n.akbsh@pref.chiba.lg.jp (N.T.)
- Department of Food and Nutrition, Jumonji University, Niiza, Saitama 352-8510, Japan;
- takesima@jumonji-u.ac.jp
- Correspondence: aida@riken.jp

Abstract: Perinatal transmission plays a critical role in the spread of bovine leukemia virus (BLV) infection in cattle herds. In the Holstein breed, we previously identified BLV resistant and susceptible bovine leukocyte antigen (*BoLA*)-*DRB3* alleles, including *BoLA*-*DRB3*009:02* and **014:01:01* with a low BLV proviral load (PVL), and **015:01* and **012:01* with a high PVL. Here, we evaluated the perinatal BLV transmission risk in dams with different *BoLA*-*DRB3* alleles. *BoLA*-*DRB3* alleles of 120 dam-calf pairs from five dairy farms in Japan were identified; their PVL was quantified using the BLV-Coordination of Common Motifs (CoCoMo)-qPCR-2 assay. Ninety-six dams were BLV-positive, and 29 gave birth to BLV-infected calves. Perinatal transmission frequency was 19% in dams with resistant alleles suppressed to a low PVL level, and 38% and 25% in dams with susceptible and neutral alleles that maintained high PVL levels, respectively. Notably, all calves with resistant alleles were BLV free, whereas 30% of calves with susceptible genes were infected. Thus, vertical transmission risk was extremely lower for dams and calves with resistant alleles compared to those with susceptible alleles. Our results can inform the development of effective BLV eradication programs under field conditions by providing necessary data to allow for optimal selection of dams for breeding.

Keywords: bovine leukemia virus; perinatal infection; dam; calf; *BoLA-DRB3* polymorphism; proviral load; disease suitability; disease resistance

1. Introduction

Bovine leukemia virus (BLV) belongs to the family Retroviridae (genus *Deltaretro-virus*) together with human T-leukemia virus types 1 and 2 (HTLV-1 and -2), and causes



Citation: Borjigin, L.; Lo, C.-W.; Bai, L.; Hamada, R.; Sato, H.; Yoneyama, S.; Yasui, A.; Yasuda, S.; Yamanaka, R.; Mimura, M.; et al. Risk Assessment of Bovine Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II *DRB3* Alleles for Perinatal Transmission of Bovine Leukemia Virus. *Pathogens* **2021**, *10*, 502. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens10050502

Academic Editor: Paul C. Bartlett

Received: 27 March 2021 Accepted: 20 April 2021 Published: 22 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). enzootic bovine leucosis (EBL), the most common neoplastic disease affecting cattle worldwide [1]. Approximately 70% of BLV-infected cattle are asymptomatic, a stage designated as aleukemic, whereas approximately 25%–30% and 1%–5% of BLV-infected cattle develop persistent lymphocytosis and B cell lymphoma, respectively, after several years of latency [1].

In 2012, 51 countries or territories regularly reported the presence of EBL infections to The World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) [2]. Currently, after decades of systematic control and eradication approaches, most European countries and Oceania have eradicated BLV from their dairy herds [2,3]. However, in several countries where compulsory eradication or control strategies have not been implemented, the spread of BLV infection continues owing to the absence of effective treatments or vaccines. Recently, high BLV prevalence has been reported in the United States (US), China, Canada, Japan, etc. [4–8]. Thus, BLV infection commonly affects the cattle industry worldwide and causes considerable economic loss owing to premature death of animals by lymphomas [9], carcass condemnation at slaughter [10], reduction in milk yield [6,11,12], and decreased immunity [13], as well as effects on reproductive capacities [14] and longevity [6,12]. The economic loss due to reduced milk production of BLV-infected cattle alone was estimated at 525 million USD annually in the US dairy industry [11]. Additionally, it was estimated that the annual mean partial net revenue from BLV-infected dairy cattle was 635 CAD less than that from BLV-free cattle [15].

BLV is transmitted primarily through the transfer of infected lymphocytes and via horizontal and vertical routes [1]. Horizontal transmission of BLV occurs primarily by close contact with infected animals or via blood-sucking insects, such as tabanids and stable flies, [16] or via iatrogenic procedures, including the repeated use of individual needles, syringes, rectal palpation gloves, and dehorners [17,18]. Meanwhile, vertical transmission includes perinatal and postnatal infection. Vertical postnatal infection from cattle to calves occurs via colostrum and milk [19,20]; the infectious capacity of cells in milk from BLV-infected dams is currently estimated by ex vivo visualization of BLV infection [21]. Conversely, perinatal infection may occur in utero or in the birth canal [19,20]. Previously, Mekata et al. [19] investigated the frequency of perinatal BLV infection in field conditions in Japan and observed that 10 out of 129 (7.7%) calves born from BLV-infected cows were infected in the birth canal and 14 (10.8%) were infected *in utero*. Thus, perinatal transmission of BLV plays a critical role in the spread of BLV infection in cattle herds.

The BLV proviral load (PVL), which represents the amount of retroviral genome integrated into the host genome, strongly correlates with infection capacity, as assessed by syncytium formation [22,23], and with disease progression [5,22]. Cattle with high PVLs are considered major transmission sources [5,19,24,25] and risk factors for progression of EBL [5,22,26]. Additionally, studies on BLV-associated host factors have identified polymorphisms within the bovine major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (BoLA) [26–28]. BoLA is a highly polymorphic gene set that plays a central role in antigen recognition of pathogens and is, thus, used extensively as a marker of disease and immunological traits in cattle. Previously, we identified BoLA class II *DRB3* resistant alleles associated with low BLV PVL and susceptible alleles associated with a high PVL in Japanese black cattle and Holstein cattle [26,28,29]. However, whether *BoLA-DRB3* polymorphism is a risk factor for vertical postnatal infection of BLV remains unclear.

The previous association study demonstrated that, in Japanese Holstein cattle, *BoLA*-*DRB3*002:01*, **009:02*, and **014:01:01* represent resistant alleles, while *BoLA*-*DRB3*012:01* and **015:01* were identified as susceptible alleles for BLV PVL [28]. Therefore, in the current study we investigated the prevalence and PVL of BLV in dams and their calves to evaluate the perinatal BLV infection risk for cattle carrying these *BoLA*-*DRB3* alleles.

2. Results

2.1. Risk of Perinatal BLV Transmission in Dams with Different BoLA-DRB3 Genotypes

From January 2017 to March 2020, 120 calves were born at five dairy farms in Japan and immediately separated from their mothers and placed into individual calf hatches. Thereafter, they were given heat sterilized colostrum or commercial milk replacer to prevent horizontal and vertical postnatal BLV infection. We collected peripheral blood samples from the 120 dam-calve pairs (Table 1), and subsequently genotyped them to determine BoLA-DRB3 allele polymorphisms (Table 2). We identified 14 of the previously reported *BoLA-DRB3* alleles (the Immuno Polymorphism Database (IPD)-MHC database (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ipd/mhc/group/BoLA/ accessed on 15 April 2021)), of which two were resistant alleles, (*BoLA-DRB3*009:02* and *014:01:01), and two were susceptible alleles (BoLA-DRB3*012:01 and *015:01). We then compared the frequency of *BoLA-DRB3* genotypes including resistance and susceptible alleles. Of the 120 dams, 17 (14.2%) had resistance and neutral allele genotypes, 5 (4.2%) had genotypes of resistance and susceptible allele genotypes, 6 (5.0%) had susceptible/susceptible allele genotypes, 49 (40.8%) susceptible/neutral allele genotypes, and 43 (35.8%) had neutral/neutral allele genotypes, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). In particular, among the 22 dams with resistance alleles, 4 (3.3%), 13 (10.8%), and 5 (4.2%) had BoLA-DRB3*009:02/neutral alleles, BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01/neutral alleles, and BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01/susceptible alleles, respectively (Table 2). Further, among the 55 dams with susceptible alleles, 14 (11.7%), 35 (29.2%), 3 (2.5%), and 3 (2.5%) had BoLA-DRB3*012:01/neutral, BoLA-DRB3*015:01/neutral, BoLA-DRB3*012:01/015:01, and BoLA-DRB3*015:01/015:01, respectively (Table 2). Cumulatively, these results show that dams with susceptible and neutral alleles accounted for a large proportion.

	Sampling Year					
Farm	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
А	2	5	2	0	9	
В	2	2	0	0	4	
С	2	3	0	0	5	
D	5	3	11	0	19	
Е	16	18	32	17	83	
Total	27	31	45	17	120	

Table 1. Number of dam-calf pairs from five farms that were sampled peripheral blood temporarily from January 2017 to March 2020.

Thereafter, to determine the BLV infection status of dams, we performed quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction using Coordination of Common Motifs (CoCoMoqPCR-2) to calculate the BLV PVL, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) were used to estimate the anti-BLV antibody titer (Table 2). Ninety-six (80%) of the 120 dams were positive for BLV PVL and anti-BLV antibodies (Tables 2 and 3). In contrast, we detected BLV PVL only using CoCoMo-qPCR-2 to determine BLV infection in calves. Twenty-nine (30%) of the 96 BLV-positive dams gave birth to calves that were positive for BLV PVL (Tables 2 and 3). Notably, 62% of the 29 dams gave birth to BLV-positive calves that carried susceptible/susceptible genotypes (10.3%) and susceptible/neutral genotype (51.7%; Table 3). Thus, the population of dams that gave birth to BLV-positive calves carrying the susceptible alleles was higher than the population of total dams carrying the susceptible/susceptible (5.0%) and susceptible/neutral genotypes (40.8%) and the population of BLV-positive dams carrying the susceptible/susceptible (5.0%) and susceptible/neutral genotypes (49.0%). In contrast, the population of dams that gave birth to BLV-positive calves with resistant/neutral genotypes (3.4%) and resistant/susceptible genotypes (6.9%) was lower than the population of all dams (18.4% = 14.2% + 4.2%) and BLV-positive dams (16.7% = 11.5% + 5.2%) carrying the same genotypes. Notably, three dams gave birth to BLVpositive calves carrying the BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01 alleles (BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01/neutral

and BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01/*015:01), but not to those carrying the BoLA-DRB3*009:02 alleles (Table 2). Figure 1 shows the frequency of BLV-positive dams or BLV-negative dams in five distinct genotypes. The proportion of BLV-positive dams with resistant/neutral genotypes (9.1%) was markedly lower than with the other four genotypes, whereas the frequency of BLV-positive dams with susceptible/susceptible genotypes (60%) were the highest compared to that of BLV-positive dams with the other four genotypes. These results show that dams with resistant alleles have a lower risk of vertical BLV transmission than those with susceptible alleles.

Dams Alleles	gp51 ^a	PVL ^b	Dams Alleles	gp51	PVL	Dams Alleles	gp51	PVL
Resistant/Neutral Genotypes						Neutral/I	notypes	
009:02/001:01	+d	189	012:01 /011:01	+	17.625	011:01/007:01	+	78.079
009:02/001:01	+	47	012:01 /011:01	+	11,459	011:01/011:01	+	70.859
009:02 /010:01	_e	0	012:01 /010:01	+	862	011:01/011:01	+	57.379
009:02 /010:01	_	0	012:01 /016:01	+	205	002:01/027:03	+	$\frac{47,903}{47,903}$
014:01:01 /001:0)1 +	12.544	012:01 /011:01	_	0	011:01/001:01	+	43.822
014:01:01/027:0)3 +	° 1491	015:01 /010:01	+	83,036	011:01/010:01	+	42,597
014:01:01 /001:0)1 +	1181	015:01 /011:01	+	72,853	011:01/007:01	+	40,583
014:01:01/027:0)3 +	674	015:01 /001:01	+	67,185	010:01/001:01	+	35,257
014:01:01 /011:0)1 +	490	015:01 /007:01	+	65,839	010:01/010:01	+	31,068
014:01:01 /007:0)1 +	428	015:01 /011:01	+	63,536	011:01/027:03	+	28,112
014:01:01 /011:0)1 +	321	015:01 /001:01	+	54,091	011:01/010:01	+	26,038
014:01:01 /011:0)1 +	68	015:01 /011:01	+	43,868	011:01/011:01	+	25,977
014:01:01 /011:0)1 +	43	015:01 /011:01	+	42,759	010:01/010:01	+	25,839
014:01:01 /027:0	03 —	0	015:01 /027:03	+	41,824	011:01/010:01	+	25,547
014:01:01 /007:0	01 —	0	015:01 /001:01	+	40,714	011:01/010:01	+	25,547
014:01:01 /011:0)1 —	0	015:01 /011:01	+	38,416	001:01/001:01	+	25,031
014:01:01 /011:0)1 —	0	015:01 /011:01	+	38,371	010:01/001:01	+	22,180
Resistant/susceptible genotypes			015:01 /011:01	+	33,300	010:01/010:01	+	20,134
014:01:01/015:0	1 +	33,529	015:01 /001:01	+	24,249	011:01/027:03	+	18,607
014:01:01/015:0	1 +	3122	015:01 /011:01	+	22,690	010:01/010:01	+	13,613
014:01:01/015:0	1 +	<u>360</u>	015:01 /010:01	+	20,238	010:01/027:03	+	11,914
014:01:01/012:0	1 +	570	015:01 /011:01	+	16,800	011:01/027:03	+	10,928
014:01:01/012:0	1 +	153	015:01 /001:01	+	13,247	001:01/010:01	+	6876
Susceptible/susceptible genotypes			015:01 /011:01	+	<u>9196</u>	010:01/001:01	+	3955
012:01/015:01	+	52,072	015:01 /011:01	+	7288	010:01/001:01	+	1893
012:01/015:01	+	28,311	015:01 /010:01	+	7063	010:01/001:01	+	794
012:01/015:01	_	0	015:01/010:01	+	<u>6252</u>	010:01/010:01	+	684
015:01/015:01	+	45,321	015:01 /010:01	+	<u>6077</u>	011:01/001:01	+	223
015:01/015:01	+	16,735	015:01 /011:01	+	6000	011:01/011:01	+	144
015:01/015:01	+	12,157	015:01 /001:01	+	1727	011:01/001:01	+	109
Susceptib	le/neutral g	enotypes	015:01 /001:01	+	1043	011:01/001:01	+	106
012:01 /001:01	+	61,538	015:01 /007:01	+	616	011:01/001:01	+	66
012:01 /007:04	+	59,974	015:01 /007:01	+	420	010:01/007:01	_	0
012:01 /007:01	+	59,441	015:01 /001:01	+	279	011:01/010:01	_	0
012:01 /007:04	+	57,550	015:01 /007:01	+	275	011:01/010:01	_	0
012:01 /011:01	+	51,915	015:01 /001:01	_	0	011:01/018:01	—	0
012:01 /001:01	+	44,098	015:01 /011:01	-	0	001:01/027:03	-	0
012:01 /001:01	+	42,750	015:01 /001:01	_	0	001:01/027:03	_	0
012:01 /027:03	+	30,863	015:01 /011:01	_	0	002:01/016:01	_	0
012:01 /027:03	+	19,058	015:01 /001:01	_	0	001:01/027:01	_	0
						011:01/007:01	-	0
						011:01/001:01	_	0
						001:01/007:01	_	0

Table 2. BoLA-DRB3 alleles and proviral load (PVL) in 120 dams sampled from January 2017 to March 2020.

^a Bovine leukemia virus (BLV)-positive cows were diagnosed using anant^aAnti-Env gp51 antibodies was detected using the BLV antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Kit (JNC, Tokyo, Japan). ^b PVL was calculated using the BLV-Coordination of Common Motifs (CoCoMo)-qPCR-2 system (RIKEN Genesis, Kanagawa, Japan). PVL given as proviral copies per 10⁵ cells. ^c PVL of BLV-positive dams that delivered BLV-positive calves are given in boldface and underline. ^d+, Positive. ^e-, Negative.

	Dam no.	/Total no. (%)	Dam no. (%) with		
<i>BoLA-DRB3</i> Genotype —	All Dams ^a	BLV-Positive Dams ^b	BLV-Negative Calves ^c	BLV-Positive Calves ^d	
Resistant/neutral	17/120 (14.2)	11/96 (11.5)	10/67 (14.9)	1/29 (3.4)	
Resistant/susceptible	5/120 (4.2)	5/96 (5.2)	3/67 (4.5)	2/29 (6.9)	
Susceptible/susceptible	6/120 (5.0)	5/96 (5.2)	2/67 (3.0)	3/29 (10.3)	
Susceptible/neutral	49/120 (40.8)	43/96 (44.8)	28/67 (41.8)	15/29 (51.7)	
Neutral/neutral	43/120 (35.8)	32/96 (33.3)	24/67 (35.8)	8/29 (27.6)	
Total	120	96	67	29	

Table 3. The proportions of dams with different genotypes, delivered BLV-positive calves and BLV-negative calves.

"^a" represent all dams sampled in this study. "^b" represent the BLV-positive dams in this study. "^c" represent the BLV-positive dams that delivered BLV-negative calves. "^d" represent the BLV-positive dams that delivered BLV-positive calves.



■ No. (%) BLV-positive dams born BLV-positive calves in each genotype □ No. (%) BLV-positive dams born BLV-free calves in each genotype

Figure 1. The number and ratio of dams with different bovine leukocyte antigen (*BoLA*)-*DRB3* genotypes. Black bars and white bars with black frames represent the numbers and ratios of dams that gave birth to bovine leukemia virus (BLV)-positive (BLV⁺) or BLV-negative (BLV⁻) calves in different genotypes.

2.2. Distribution of PVLs in Dams with Different BoLA-DRB3 Genotypes

The maternal viral load has been shown to significantly correlate with the frequency of perinatal infection [19]. Therefore, we further analyzed the distribution of PVLs among dams carrying the five genotypes (Figure 2). A total of 96 dams were positive for BLV, with PVLs ranging from 43 to 83,036 copies per 10^5 cells, as determined by CoCoMoqPCR-2 (Table 2 and Figure 2). Notably, 11 dams carrying resistant/neutral genotypes had the lowest PVL, ranging from 43 to 12,544 copies per 10^5 cells (mean 1589 copies), among the five genotype groups. In contrast, five dams carrying susceptible/susceptible genotypes showed the highest PVL, ranging from 12,157 to 52,072 copies per 10⁵ cells (mean 30,919 copies), among the five genotype groups. In addition, PVLs of 43 dams carrying susceptible/neutral genotypes ranged from 205 to 83,036 copies/10⁵ cells (mean 29,921 copies per 10^5 cells) and those of 32 dams carrying neutral/neutral genotypes ranged from 66 to 78,079 copies/ 10^5 cells (mean 23,138 copies per 10^5 cells); these dams (p = 0.0015for susceptible/neutral genotypes and p = 0.0366 neutral/neutral genotypes genotypes) had significantly higher PVLs than those carrying resistant/neutral genotypes. Thus, our results showed that the PVLs in dams carrying resistant alleles were lower than those in dams carrying susceptible and neutral alleles.



Figure 2. The bovine leukemia virus (BLV) proviral load (PVL) of 96 BLV-infected dams with different bovine leukocyte antigen (*BoLA*)-*DRB3* genotypes, sampled from five farms in Japan from January 2017 to March 2020. PVL in peripheral blood was quantified using CoCoMo-qPCR-2 and *BoLA-DRB3* alleles were identified with the PCR-sequence-based typing method. The mean PVL was compared among five groups. p < 0.05 represents statistically significant and 0.05 represents tends to be significant, respectively.

2.3. Frequencies of BLV Provirus in Calves with Different BoLA-DRB3 Genotypes

A total of 120 newborn calves were assessed for BLV infection and BLV PVL using CoCoMo-qPCR-2. Twenty-nine out of 96 BLV-positive dams gave birth to calves that were positive for BLV PVL (Table 3). In contrast, all 24 BLV-negative dams delivered calves that were negative for BLV PVL (Table 2), suggesting successful avoidance of postnatal vertical infection. Furthermore, genotyping was performed to determine the polymorphisms of BoLA-DRB3 alleles in these calves resulting in the identification of 14 of the previously reported alleles of the BoLA-DRB3 locus (Table 4). Among 29 BLV-positive calves, 5 (17.2%) had susceptible/susceptible genotypes, 11 (37.9%) had susceptible/neutral genotypes, and 13 (44.8%) had neutral/neutral genotypes (Figure 3A). Notably, all calves with three genotypes including resistant alleles were BLV free (Figure 3A). Conversely, among the 91 BLV-negative calves, 2 calves (2.2%), 6 calves (6.6%), 6 calves (6.6%), 7 calves (7.7%), 30 calves (33.0%), and 40 calves (44.0%) had resistant/resistant, resistant/neutral, resistant/susceptible, susceptible/susceptible, susceptible/neutral, and neutral/neutral genotypes, respectively (Figure 3A). Similarly, Figure 3B shows the frequency of BLVpositive or BLV-negative calves in the five distinct genotypes. Notably, no BLV-positive calves contained one of the three genotypes including resistant alleles. In contrast, the frequencies of BLV-positive calves with susceptible/susceptible, susceptible/neutral, or neutral/neutral genotypes ranged from 24.5% to 41.7%. Although PVL levels did not differ significantly between the 16 calves with susceptible alleles and 13 calves with only neutral alleles (p = 0.126), it tended to be higher in calves with susceptible/neutral genotype than in those with neutral/neutral genotype (Figure 3C). These results show that cattle with susceptible alleles are at a high risk for vertical transmission due to their genetic preference for maintaining a high level of PVL.

Calves Alleles	PVL	Calves Alleles	PVL	Calves Alleles	PVL		
Resistant/neutral genotypes							
009:02/010:01	0	015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/011:01	0		
009:02 /010:01	0	015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/011:01	0		
009:02/002:01	0	015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/011:01	0		
014:01:01 /011:01	0	015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/010:01	3721		
014:01:01 /011:01	0	015:01 /010:01	3654	001:01/010:01	3255		
014:01:01 /001:01	0	015:01 /010:01	0	001:01/010:01	374		
Resistant/resist	Resistant/resistant genotypes		0	001:01/010:01	0		
014:01:01/014:01:01	0	015:01 /010:01	0	001:01/010:01	0		
014:01:01/014:01:01	0	015:01 /010:01	0	001:01/010:01	0		
Resistant/suscep	tible genotypes	015:01 /010:01	0	001:01/010:01	0		
014:01:01/015:01	0	015:01 /001:01	174	001:01/010:01	0		
014:01:01/015:01	0	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/010:01	0		
014:01:01/015:01	0	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/007:01	0		
014:01:01/015:01	0	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/007:01	0		
014:01:01/015:01	0	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/002:01	0		
014:01:01/012:01	0	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/001:01	59		
Susceptible/susce	ptible genotypes	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	4044	015:01 /001:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	1496	015:01 /007:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	0	015:01 /002:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	0	012:01 /011:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	0	012:01 /011:01	0	001:01/001:01	0		
012:01/015:01	0	012:01 /010:01	1366	001:01/001:01	0		
015:01/015:01	9988	012:01 /010:01	246	001:01/001:01	0		
015:01/015:01	662	012:01 /007:01	4525	002:01/011:01	0		
015:01/015:01	183	012:01 /007:01	0	002:01/007:01	42		
015:01/015:01	0	012:01 /005:03	0	005:03/010:01	0		
015:01/015:01	0	012:01 /018:01	0	007:01/027:03	0		
015:01/015:01	0	012:01 /016:01	0	007:01/011:01	147		
Susceptible/neutral genotypes		Neutral/neutra	l genotypes	007:01/007:01	0		
015:01 /027:03	5086	010:01/007:04	0	010:01/027:03	472		
015:01 /027:03	1096	011:01/027:03	8046	010:01/027:03	0		
015:01 /027:03	106	011:01/027:03	0	010:01/027:03	0		
015:01 /027:03	0	001:01/027:03	553	010:01/011:01	721		
015:01 /027:03	0	001:01/027:03	0	010:01/011:01	0		
015:01 /018:01	0	001:01/027:03	0	010:01/011:01	0		
015:01 /016:01	9355	001:01/027:03	0	010:01/010:01	0		
015:01 /016:01	0	001:01/027:03	0	011:01/010:01	0		
015:01 /011:01	1545	001:01/016:01	0	011:01/011:01	420		
015:01 /011:01	109	001:01/011:01	1562	011:01/011:01	0		
015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/011:01	545	011:01/011:01	0		
015:01 /011:01	0	001:01/011:01	0				

 Table 4. BoLA-DRB3 alleles and proviral load (PVL) in 120 calves, which were given birth from January 2017 to March 2020.



Figure 3. Estimation of bovine leukemia virus (BLV) vertical transmission probability by dams with different bovine leukocyte antigen (*BoLA*)-*DRB3* genotypes. (**A**) Red and white bars with red frames represent the number and ratio of calves with each *BoLA*-*DRB3* genotype between the total of BLV-positive calves (BLV⁺) and the total of BLV-negative calves (BLV⁻). (**B**) Black and white bars with black frames represent the number and ratio of the probabilities of vertical transmission of BLV by calves with different *BoLA*-*DRB3* genotypes. (**C**) PVL in peripheral blood from calves was quantified using CoCoMo-qPCR-2, and *BoLA*-*DRB3* genotypes were identified with the PCR-sequence-based typing method. The mean PVL was compared between two groups with different *BoLA*-*DRB3* genotypes and significant differences between both groups were calculated using Tukey's test. *p* > 0.05 represents statistically not significant.

2.4. Differential Risk of BLV Vertical Transmission in Dams and Calves with Different BoLA-DRB3 Genotypes

We compared the effect of BoLA-DRB3 alleles on BLV vertical transmission in dams and calves. As summarized in Figure 4A–C, the risk of BLV vertical transmission was low in dams with two genotypes (resistant/susceptible and resistant/neutral) possessing at least one resistant allele (19%), moderate in dams with genotype (neutral/neutral) possessing only one neutral allele (25%), and high in dams with two genotypes (susceptible/neutral and susceptible/susceptible) possessing susceptible and neutral or only susceptible alleles (38%). Similarly, the risk of vertical BLV transmission from infected dams to their calves was: 0% for calves with three genotypes (resistant/resistant, resistant/susceptible and resistant/neutral) possessing at least one resistant allele; 25%, for calves with neutral/neutral genotype possessing only a neutral allele; and 30% for calves with susceptible/neutral and susceptible/susceptible genotypes possessing susceptible and neutral or only susceptible alleles (Figure 4D–F).



Figure 4. Probability of vertical bovine leukemia virus (BLV) transmission by dams and calves with different bovine leukocyte antigen (*BoLA*)-*DRB3* alleles. Black colored areas represent the probability of vertical transmission of BLV by BLV-positive dams (**A**–**C**) and calves (**D**–**F**) with different genotypes. PVL in peripheral blood from dams and their calves was quantified using CoCoMo-qPCR-2, and *BoLA-DRB3* alleles were identified by the PCR-sequence-based typing method. The probability of vertical transmission of BLV from BLV-positive dams with resistant/neutral and resistant/susceptibility genotypes, possessing at least one resistant allele (**A**); with susceptible/susceptible and susceptible/neutral genotypes, possessing only neutral alleles (**C**). The probability of vertical transmission of BLV in BLV-positive calves with resistant/resistant, resistant/neutral, and resistant/susceptibility genotypes, possessing at least one resistant allele (**B**); and with neutral/neutral genotypes, possessing only susceptible and neutral alleles (**B**); and with neutral/neutral genotypes, possessing only neutral alleles (**C**). The probability of vertical transmission of BLV in BLV-positive calves with resistant/resistant, resistant/neutral, and resistant/susceptibility genotypes, possessing at least one resistant allele (**D**); with susceptible/susceptible and susceptible/neutral genotypes, possessing only susceptible allele or susceptible alleles (**E**); and with neutral/neutral genotypes, possessing only neutral alleles (**F**).

3. Discussion

This is the first study to demonstrate that cattle with different *BoLA-DRB3* alleles have different risks of vertical transmission of BLV. In particular, we found that the risk of vertical transmission for dams and calves with resistant alleles was much lower than that for dams and calves with susceptible alleles. In addition, dams with susceptible alleles were at a higher risk for vertical transmission because of their genetic characteristics that maintain PVL at a high level, whereas PVL was maintained at a low level in most dams with resistant alleles, thereby reducing the risk of vertical BLV transmission.

Here, we successfully quantified the PVL of 120 dam-calve pairs from five dairy farms from January 2017 to March 2020 and showed the distribution of PVLs in dams and calves with different *BoLA-DRB3* genotypes. PVL was maintained at a low level in most dams with resistant alleles, except for one dam with *DRB3*014:01:01/*015:01* (33,529 copies per 10^5 cells) that consistently had a PVL above 10,000 copies per 10^5 cells. Meanwhile, the two dams with *DRB3*009:02* maintained a PVL lower than 200 copies per 10^5 cells. These results show that *DRB3*009:02* is the strongest resistant allele for BLV, while *DRB3*014:01:01* is marginally weaker than *DRB3*009:02*. Previously, it was reported that BLV-infected cattle carrying the *DRB3*009:02* allele is not a source of infection for BLV-free cattle [24]. Similarly, we demonstrated that cattle with resistant alleles do no undergo perinatal BLV transmission. This was first evidenced by the observation that 3.4% and 6.9% of the dams delivered BLV-positive calves with resistant/neutral and resistant/susceptible genotypes, respectively, which was significantly lower than the frequencies of dams that delivered BLV-positive calves carrying susceptible/susceptible (10.3%), susceptible/neutral (51.7%), and neutral/neutral (27.6%) genotypes. Second, only 9.1% of dams with resistant/neutral

genotypes gave birth to BLV-positive calves; this was markedly lower than the proportion birthed by dams with the other four genotypes. Third, all calves delivered from BLV-infected dams with a genotype including resistant alleles, were BLV free. Conversely, dams with susceptible alleles had high PVLs, representing a major perinatal BLV infectious factor. In addition, frequencies among BLV-positive calves with three distinct genotypes, including susceptible/susceptible, susceptible/neutral, or neutral/neutral, alleles ranged from 24.5% to 41.7%, which were higher than that of calves with genotypes that include resistant alleles. PVL in calves carrying susceptible/neutral alleles tended to be higher than that of calves with neutral/neutral alleles. Previously, we reported that BLV proviruses were detected in the nasal secretions, saliva samples, and milk of individuals with high PVL in their blood [21,25,30]. Thus, our results demonstrate that cattle with susceptible alleles represent the most critical infectious agents in both horizontal and perinatal BLV transmission.

To investigate perinatal BLV transmission, we successfully tested the BLV for 120 dams and their calves within one month of delivery at five dairy farms from January 2017 to March 2020. To avoid postnatal vertical infection, all newborn calves were immediately separated from their dams and placed into individual calf hatches, and subsequently fed heat sterilized colostrum or commercial milk replacer during the study period. All 24 BLV-negative dams delivered calves that were negative for BLV PVL, indicating that postnatal infection can be avoided by implementing the above-mentioned countermeasures. In addition, the time from BLV infection to seroconversion is reported to be approximately 1–2 months [31]. Hence, we also performed testing for BLV infection within 1 month after delivery, as this is the optimal time to confirm perinatal infection.

Of the total 120 dams, the provirus was detected in 96 (80%). No provirus was detected in the 24 calves delivered from BLV free dams, indicating that no horizontal or postnatal vertical infections occurred prior to sampling. The perinatal infection rate in BLV-infected dams was confirmed to be 30%, which was higher than previously reported (18.6% in one farm in Japan) [19]. This may differ from maternal PVL or genetic characteristics at different farms or regions. The correlation between maternal PVL and the frequency of perinatal infection was previously reported [19]. We set a PVL of 10,000 copies/ 10^5 cells as the cutoff for classification as high or low [26,28]. In this study, with the exception of two cases, it was clearly shown that dams with PVL > 6000 copies per 10^5 cells were more susceptible to perinatal transmission to their calves. Twenty-seven of the 64 (42%) dams with PVL > 6000 per 10^5 cells, while only two of the 32 (6%) dams with PVL < 6000 per 10^{5} cells, demonstrated perinatal infection. This agrees with data from previous studies that demonstrated > 40% of newborn calves were born to dams with high BLV PVL [19]. Although no correlation was found between PVL in dams and calves (data not shown), PVL in newborn calves with susceptible alleles tended to be higher than that in calves with neutral alleles. Thus, calves infected in the first week of life could play an active role in early propagation of BLV to susceptible cattle, as their PVL is increased during the first 12 months and is maintained for years [30]. Notably, all calves with resistant alleles were BLV free. These results suggest that the genetic characteristics of calves are involved in the increases in PVL after their birth and that culling them earlier is useful for effective BLV eradication.

As a result of identifying the distribution of *BoLA-DRB3* alleles in all 120 dams, 17 (14.2%), five (4.2%), six (5.0%), 49 (40.8%), and 43 (35.8%) dams had resistant/neutral, resistant/susceptible, susceptible/susceptible, susceptible/neutral, neutral/neutral, and genotypes, respectively. These results showed that the dams with susceptible alleles were the most prevalent. Conversely, the 96 BLV-infected dams comprised 29 dams that delivered BLV-positive calves and 67 dams that delivered BLV-negative calves. The proportion of dams with resistant/neutral genotypes was 17/120 (14.2%); 10/67 (14.9%) dams delivered BLV-negative calves. Furthermore, the proportion of dams with susceptible/susceptible and susceptible/neutral genotypes was 55/120 (45.8%) dams; 30 (44.8%) dams delivered BLV-negative calves and 18 (62.1%) dams

delivered BLV-positive calves. Moreover, the proportion of dams with neutral/neutral genotypes were 43 (35.8%) of all 120 dams, 24 (35.8%) dams delivered BLV-negative calves, and eight (27.6%) delivered BLV-positive calves. Notably, although the proportion of dams with susceptible/susceptible, susceptible/neutral, and neutral/neutral genotypes are similar among all 120 dams and dams that delivered BLV-negative calves, the proportion of dams with resistant alleles and susceptible alleles that delivered BLV-positive calves were lower and higher than that in all 120 dams and those that delivered BLV-negative calves, respectively. These results indicate that dams with resistant alleles have a lower risk of perinatal BLV transmission than dams with susceptible alleles. In addition, the frequency of perinatal BLV transmission was low (9%, 1/11) in dams with resistant alleles, moderate (25%, 8/32) in dams with neutral alleles, and high (38%, 18/48) in dams with susceptible alleles. This frequency in dams with resistant alleles was lower than that in dams with susceptible alleles.

The "test and segregate" or "test and cull" approaches have been considered most effective for BLV infection control or eradication [2,19]. However, the "test and cull" approach is applicable in farms with low or moderate transmission risk within a herd [2,15,32,33]. The "test and segregate" approach is inappropriate for farms with a smaller area where it is difficult to separate animals, and it may inconvenience management, milking work, etc. Furthermore, this approach makes it impossible to prevent vertical perinatal infection. Our findings clearly indicate that BLV-infected cattle with susceptible alleles represent the primary factor in vertical and horizontal transmission within herds. Therefore, selective breeding of cattle with BLV resistant *BoLA-DRB3* alleles, as well as the preferential culling of cattle with susceptible *BoLA-DRB3* alleles could reduce the risk of both horizontal and vertical transmission, and is useful for the development of an economically feasible and effective BLV eradication program under field conditions.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Clinical Animals

From January 2017 to March 2020, we collected peripheral blood from 120 dams and their calves (<1 month in age) at five dairy farms (A, B, C, D, and E) in Chiba, Saitama and Tochigi prefectures in Japan (Table 1). The A, B, C, D, and E farms had approximately 93, 70, 53, 70, and 126 Holstein cattle, respectively. The 120 newborn calves were immediately separated from their mothers and placed into individual calf hatches and subsequently fed heat sterilized colostrum or commercial milk replacer to prevent horizontal and vertical postnatal BLV infection.

4.2. Ethics Approval

This study was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee and the Animal Care and Use Committee of RIKEN (approval numbers H29-2-104 and W2019-1-001, respectively).

4.3. Collection of Blood Samples, Extraction of Genomic DNA, and Separation of Serum or Plasma

Genomic DNA was extracted from ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated peripheral blood samples using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega corporation, Madison, WI, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity and quality of extracted DNA was measured based on the A260/280 ratio using a Nanodrop One Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Serum or plasma were separated from whole blood or EDTA-treated blood samples, respectively.

4.4. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for Anti-Env gp51 Antibody

BLV-specific Env gp51 antibodies were measured from serum or plasma samples using a BLV-specific antibody detection ELISA kit (JNC, Tokyo, Japan), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

4.5. Quantification of BLV PVL Using the BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR-2 Assay

BLV PVLs were quantified using BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR-2 (RIKEN Genesis, Kanagawa, Japan) with THUNDERBIRD Probe qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan), as described previously [22,34]. In brief, a 183 bp sequence of the BLV LTR gene was amplified using the degenerate primer set "CoCoMo-FRW and CoCoMo-REV" and detected with a 15 bp 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-labeled LTR probe. As the internal control, the *BoLA-DRA* gene was amplified using the primer set "DRA-F and DRA-R", and detected with the FAM-labeled DRA probe. Finally, the PVL was calculated using the following formula: (number of BLV LTR copies/number of *BoLA-DRA* copies) × 10⁵ cells.

4.6. BoLA-DRB3 Genotyping

BoLA-DRB3 alleles were typed using the PCR-sequencing-based typing (SBT) method [35]. Briefly, we amplified exon 2 of *BoLA-DRB3* with PCR using primers DRB3FRW and DRB3REV. Thereafter, the first PCR fragments were purified using an ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Purification Kit (USB Corp., Cleveland, OH, USA) and sequenced with the ABIPRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Finally, sequence data were analyzed using Assign 400ATF ver. 1.0.2.41 software (Conexio Genomics, Fremantle, Australia).

4.7. Statistical Analysis

The Student's *t*-test was used to determine the significance between the PVL of calves with susceptible and resistant alleles. Following analysis of variance, Tukey's test was used to determine the significance in the PVL of dams with different alleles. The pairwise-proptest was used to determine the significance in frequencies of perinatal BLV transmission from dams with different alleles, and in BLV prevalence of calves with different alleles. *p* < 0.05 was considered significant.

5. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that the risk of vertical transmission for dams and calves with resistant alleles was much lower than that for dams and calves with susceptible alleles. In addition, dams with susceptible alleles were found to maintain a high level of PVL, whereas PVL was maintained at a low level in most dams with resistant alleles. These results may contribute to the development of low-cost and high-efficiency BLV eradication strategies to reduce the BLV prevalence and the PVL via decreased selection of dams with susceptible alleles and high PVL, and increased selection of dams with resistant alleles and low PVL for breeding.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.A.; Sample collection, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), S.Y., A.Y., Y.S. (Yasuda Sohei), R.Y., M.M., M.I.,Y.S. (Yasuo Shinozaki), N.T., L.B. (Lanlan Bai), S.-N.T., and Y.A.; Methodology, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), C.-W.L., L.B. (Lanlan Bai), R.H., S.-N.T. and Y.A.; Software, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin) and S.-N.T., Validation, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), C.-W.L., L.B. (Lanlan Bai), S.-N.T. and Y.A.; Formal Analysis, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), C.-W.L. and Y.A.; Investigation, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), L.B. (Lanlan Bai), H.S. and Y.A.; Resources, Y.A.; Data Curation, L.B. (Lanlan Bai), H.S. and Y.A.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin) and Y.A.; Writing—Review and Editing, L.B. (Liushiqi Borjigin), C.-W.L., L.B. (Lanlan Bai), H.S. and Y.A.; Supervision, Y.A.; Project Administration, Y.A.; Funding Acquisition, Y.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the Projects of the NARO Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution (the special scheme project on regional developing strategy) (grant number 16817983).

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee, and the Animal Care and Use RIKEN Animal Experiments Committee (approval numbers H29-2-104 and W2019-1-001).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all farmers for providing blood samples, their help with blood sampling and the collection of epidemiological data. We also thank all members of the Virus Infectious Disease Field of RIKEN. We are grateful to the Support Unit, Biomaterial Analysis, RIKEN BSI Research Resources Center for helping with the sequence analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Aida, Y.; Murakami, H.; Takahashi, M.; Takeshima, S.N. Mechanisms of pathogenesis induced by bovine leukemia virus as a model for human T-cell leukemia virus. *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 2. Panel, E.; Health, A. Enzootic bovine leukosis EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW). EFSA J. 2015, 13, 4188.
- Gillet, N.; Florins, A.; Boxus, M.; Burteau, C.; Nigro, A.; Vandermeers, F.; Balon, H.; Bouzar, A.B.; Defoiche, J.; Burny, A.; et al. Mechanisms of leukemogenesis induced by bovine leukemia virus: Prospects for novel anti-retroviral therapies in human. *Retrovirology* 2007, 4, 1–32. [CrossRef]
- 4. Murakami, K.; Kobayashi, S.; Konishi, M.; Kameyama, K.I.; Tsutsui, T. Nationwide survey of bovine leukemia virus infection among dairy and beef breeding cattle in Japan from 2009–2011. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ohno, A.; Takeshima, S.N.; Matsumoto, Y.; Aida, Y. Risk factors associated with increased bovine leukemia virus proviral load in infected cattle in Japan from 2012 to 2014. *Virus Res.* 2015, 210, 283–290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Nekouei, O.; VanLeeuwen, J.; Stryhn, H.; Kelton, D.; Keefe, G. Lifetime effects of infection with bovine leukemia virus on longevity and milk production of dairy cows. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **2016**, *133*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Yang, Y.; Fan, W.; Mao, Y.; Yang, Z.; Lu, G.; Zhang, R.; Zhang, H.; Szeto, C.; Wang, C. Bovine leukemia virus infection in cattle of China: Association with reduced milk production and increased somatic cell score. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2016, *99*, 3688–3697. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ladronka, R.M.; Ainsworth, S.; Wilkins, M.J.; Norby, B.; Byrem, T.M.; Bartlett, P.C. Prevalence of Bovine Leukemia Virus Antibodies in US Dairy Cattle. *Vet. Med. Int.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rhodes, J.K.; Pelzer, K.D.; Johnson, Y.J. Economic implications of bovine leukemia virus infection in mid-Atlantic dairy herds. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 2003, 223, 346–352. [CrossRef]
- 10. White, T.L.; Moore, D.A. Reasons for whole carcass condemnations of cattle in the United States and implications for producer education and veterinary intervention. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 2009, 235, 937–941. [CrossRef]
- Otta, S.L.; Johnson, R.; Wells, S.J. Association between bovine-leukosis virus seroprevalence and herd-level productivity on US dairy farms. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 2003, *61*, 249–262. [CrossRef]
- 12. Erskine, R.J.; Bartlett, P.C.; Byrem, T.M.; Render, C.L.; Febvay, C.; Houseman, J.T. Association between bovine leukemia virus, production, and population age in Michigan dairy herds. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2012**, *95*, 727–734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Konnai, S.; Murata, S.; Ohashi, K. Immune exhaustion during chronic infections in cattle. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 2017, 79, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- 14. VanLeeuwen, J.A.; Haddad, J.P.; Dohoo, I.R.; Keefe, G.P.; Tiwari, A.; Tremblay, R. Associations between reproductive performance and seropositivity for bovine leukemia virus, bovine viral-diarrhea virus, Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis, and Neospora caninum in Canadian dairy cows. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **2010**, *94*, 54–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kuczewski, A.; Hogeveen, H.; Orsel, K.; Wolf, R.; Thompson, J.; Spackman, E.; van der Meer, F. Economic evaluation of 4 bovine leukemia virus control strategies for Alberta dairy farms. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2019**, *102*, 2578–2592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Kohara, J.; Takeuchi, M.; Hirano, Y.; Sakurai, Y.; Takahashi, T. Vector control efficacy of fly nets preventing bovine leukemia virus transmission. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2018, *80*, 1524–1527. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kohara, J.; Konnai, S.; Onuma, M. Experimental transmission of Bovine leukemia virus in cattle via rectal palpation. *Jpn. J. Vet. Res.* **2006**, *54*, 25–30.
- 18. Lassauzet, M.L.; Thurmond, M.C.; Johnson, W.O.; Stevens, F.; Picanso, J.P. Effect of brucellosis vaccination and dehorning on transmission of bovine leukemia virus in heifers on a California dairy. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* **1990**, *54*, 184.
- 19. Mekata, H.; Sekiguchi, S.; Konnai, S.; Kirino, Y.; Honkawa, K.; Nonaka, N.; Horii, Y.; Norimine, J. Evaluation of the natural perinatal transmission of bovine leukaemia virus. *Vet. Rec.* **2015**, *176*, 254. [CrossRef]
- 20. Ruiz, V.; Porta, N.G.; Lomónaco, M.; Trono, K.; Alvarez, I. Bovine Leukemia virus infection in neonatal calves. Risk factors and control measures. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 2018, *5*, 267. [CrossRef]
- 21. Watanuki, S.; Takeshima, S.N.; Borjigin, L.; Sato, H.; Bai, L.; Murakami, H.; Sato, R.; Ishizaki, H.; Matsumoto, Y.; Aida, Y. Visualizing bovine leukemia virus (BLV)-infected cells and measuring BLV proviral loads in the milk of BLV seropositive dams. *Vet. Res.* **2019**, *50*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 22. Jimba, M.; Takeshima, S.N.; Matoba, K.; Endoh, D.; Aida, Y. BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR: Quantitation of bovine leukemia virus proviral load using the CoCoMo algorithm. *Retrovirology* **2010**, *7*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- Sato, H.; Watanuki, S.; Murakami, H.; Sato, R.; Ishizaki, H.; Aida, Y. Development of a luminescence syncytium induction assay (LuSIA) for easily detecting and quantitatively measuring bovine leukemia virus infection. *Arch. Virol.* 2018, 163, 1519–1530. [CrossRef]

- 24. Juliarena, M.A.; Barrios, C.N.; Ceriani, M.C.; Esteban, E.N. Hot topic: Bovine leukemia virus (BLV)-infected cows with low proviral load are not a source of infection for BLV-free cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2016**, *99*, 4586–4589. [CrossRef]
- 25. Yuan, Y.; Kitamura-Muramatsu, Y.; Saito, S.; Ishizaki, H.; Nakano, M.; Haga, S.; Matoba, K.; Ohno, A.; Murakami, H.; Takeshima, S.N.; et al. Detection of the BLV provirus from nasal secretion and saliva samples using BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR-2: Comparison with blood samples from the same cattle. *Virus Res.* 2015, 210, 248–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Lo, C.W.; Borjigin, L.; Saito, S.; Fukunaga, K.; Saitou, E.; Okazaki, K.; Mizutani, T.; Satoshi Wada, S.T.; Aida, Y. BoLA-DRB3 Polymorphism is Associated with Differential Susceptibility to Bovine Leukemia. *Viruses* **2020**, *12*, 352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Takeshima, S.; Aida, Y. Structure, function and disease susceptibility of the bovine major histocompatibility complex. *Anim. Sci. J.* **2006**, *77*, 138–150. [CrossRef]
- Takeshima, S.N.; Ohno, A.; Aida, Y. Bovine leukemia virus proviral load is more strongly associated with bovine major histocompatibility complex class II DRB3 polymorphism than with DQA1 polymorphism in Holstein cow in Japan. *Retrovirology* 2019, 16, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miyasaka, T.; Takeshima, S.N.; Jimba, M.; Matsumoto, Y.; Kobayashi, N.; Matsuhashi, T.; Sentsui, H.; Aida, Y. Identification of bovine leukocyte antigen class II haplotypes associated with variations in bovine leukemia virus proviral load in Japanese Black cattle. *Tissue Antigens* 2013, *81*, 72–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gutiérrez, G.; Alvarez, I.; Merlini, R.; Rondelli, F.; Trono, K. Dynamics of perinatal bovine leukemia virus infection. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2014, 10, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Monti, G.E.; Frankena, K. Survival analysis on aggregate data to assess time to sero-conversion after experimental infection with Bovine Leukemia virus. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **2005**, *68*, 241–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. More, S.; Bøtner, A.; Butterworth, A.; Calistri, P.; Depner, K.; Edwards, S.; Garin-Bastuji, B.; Good, M.; Gortázar Schmidt, C.; Michel, V.; et al. Assessment of listing and categorisation of animal diseases within the framework of the Animal Health Law (Regulation (EU) No 2016/429): Enzootic bovine leukosis (EBL). EFSA J. 2017, 15, 4956.
- 33. Ruggiero, V.J.; Norby, B.; Benitez, O.J.; Hutchinson, H.; Sporer, K.R.B.; Droscha, C.; Swenson, C.L.; Bartlett, P.C. Controlling bovine leukemia virus in dairy herds by identifying and removing cows with the highest proviral load and lymphocyte counts. *J. Dairy Sci.* **2019**, *102*, 9165–9175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Takeshima, S.N.; Kitamura-Muramatsu, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Polat, M.; Saito, S.; Aida, Y. BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR-2: Improvements to the BLV-CoCoMo-qPCR assay for bovine leukemia virus by reducing primer degeneracy and constructing an optimal standard curve. *Arch. Virol.* **2015**, *160*, 1325–1332. [CrossRef]
- Takeshima, S.; Matsumoto, Y.; Miyasaka, T.; Saito, H.; Onuma, M. A new method for typing bovine major histocompatibility complex class II DRB3 alleles by combining two established PCR sequence-based techniques. *Tissue Antigens* 2011, *78*, 208–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]