

Research Article Animal Genetics

A new view on the scenario of karyotypic stasis in Epinephelidae fish: Cytogenetic, historical, and biogeographic approaches

Karlla Danielle Jorge Amorim¹, Gideão Wagner Werneck Félix da Costa¹, Marcelo de Bello Cioffi², Alongklod Tanomtong^{3,4}, Luiz Antônio Carlos Bertollo² and Wagner Franco Molina¹

¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Departamento de Biologia Celular e Genética, Centro de Biociências, Natal, RN, Brazil.

²Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Departamento de Genética e Evolução, Laboratório de Citogenética de Peixes, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

³Khon Kaen University, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Muang, Khon Kaen, Thailand. ⁴Khon Kaen University, Toxic Substances in Livestock and Aquatic Animals Research Group, Muang, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.

Abstract

Epinephelidae (groupers) is an astonishingly diverse group of carnivorous fish widely distributed in reef environments around the world, with growing economic importance. The first chromosomal inferences suggested a conservative scenario for the family. However, to date, this has not been validated using biogeographic and phylogenetic approaches. Thus, to estimate karyotype diversification among groupers, eight species from the Atlantic and Indian oceans were investigated using conventional cytogenetic protocols and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization of repetitive sequences (rDNA, microsatellites, transposable elements). Despite the remarkable persistence of some symplesiomorphic karyotype patterns, such as all species sharing 2n=48 and most preserve a basal karyotype (2n=48 acrocentrics), the chromosomal diversification in the family revealed an unsuspected evolutionary dynamic, where about 40% of the species escape from the ancestral karyotype pattern. These karyotype changes showed a relation with the historical biogeography, likely as a byproduct of the progressive occupancy of new areas (huge diversity of adaptive and speciation conditions). In this context, oceanic regions harboring more recent clades such as those of the Indo-Pacific, exhibited a higher karyotype diversity. Therefore, the karyotype evolution of Epinephelidae fits well with the expansion and geographic contingencies of its clades, providing a more complex and diverse scenario than previously assumed.

Keywords: Groupers, animal cytogenetics, pericentric inversions, rDNA, karyotype evolution.

Received: April 26, 2021; Accepted: September 15, 2021.

Introduction

Reef regions are home to a huge diversity of fish (Bezerra and Silva, 2011), among which Epinephelidae (groupers) stand out for their exceptional diversity. The family and allies (Epinephelidae and Serranidae) include 593 species and 71 genera distributed around the world (Craig and Hastings, 2007; Vaini *et al.*, 2019; Fricke *et al.*, 2021), with the greatest species richness being concentrated in the Indo-Pacific region (Bawole *et al.*, 2018).

Groupers present a broad reproductive strategy, including synchronous and asynchronous hermaphroditism (Pressley, 1981; Liu and Sadovy, 2004). Some species can reach up to more than 400 kg (Bright *et al.*, 2016), making them an important target for commercial fishing and fish farming (Heemstra *et al.*, 2002; Rimmer and Glamuzina, 2017). Commercial exploitation has placed groupers among the marine species most impacted by commercial fishing, with 12% of species under threat of extinction (Mitcheson *et al.*, 2013). Some biological characteristics contribute to the low restoration

Send correspondence to Wagner Franco Molina. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Departamento de Biologia Celular e Genética, Centro de Biociências, Centro de Biociências UFRN, Lagoa Nova, 59078970, Natal, RN, Brazil. E-mail: molinawf@yahoo.com.br. of their populations such as slow growth, late maturation, high longevity (i.e., almost 40 years of life), and formation of large agglomerations during the reproductive period (Craig *et al.*, 2011; Santos *et al.*, 2019). However, some species such as the Atlantic goliath grouper (*Epinephelus itajara*) have responded to conservation measures (Giglio *et al.*, 2014).

Molecular approaches have better clarified the phylogenetic relationships of the family (Minglan *et al.*, 2014; Ma *et al.*, 2016; Ma and Craig, 2018; Saad, 2019). In contrast, cytotaxonomic data are still extremely limited, comprising only 8% of the group representatives. In addition, most of the available information refers to *Epinephelus* species, and is restricted to conventional analyses of the karyotype (Arai, 2011; Pinthong *et al.*, 2013; Paim *et al.*, 2017).

Most Epinephelidae species have a karyotype composed of 2n = 48, with a predominance of acrocentric chromosomes (Arai, 2011; Tseng and Shih, 2018), suggesting the maintenance of a basal karyotype with a low evolutionary dynamic. However, chromosomal data of a larger number of representatives, considering their complex evolutionary biogeographical characteristic (Ma *et al.*, 2016; Ma and Craig, 2018), have been entirely neglected, still missing pieces for inferences on the extent of the karyotype stability in the family (Motta-Neto *et al.*, 2019).

Thus, to understand the mechanism of karyotype evolution among Epinephelidae in depth, conventional cytogenetic analyses and chromosomal mapping of six repetitive DNA classes were performed in eight species from the Atlantic and Indian oceans. The data obtained were associated with a set of other available information, thereby providing a comprehensive view of the chromosomal evolution in a phylogenetic and geographic context.

Material and Methods

Samples, chromosomal preparations, and analyses

Eight species belonging to three Epinephelidae genera, Epinephelus Bloch, 1793: E. itajara (Lichtenstein, 1822), E. adscensionis (Osbeck, 1765), E. coeruleopunctatus (Bloch, 1790), E. erythrurus (Valenciennes, 1828), and E. sexfasciatus (Valenciennes, 1828); Cephalopholis Bloch and Schneider, 1801: *C. fulva* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *C. formosa* (Shaw, 1812); and *Rypticus* Cuvier, 1829: *R. saponaceus* (Bloch and Schneider, 1801) were analyzed. The experiments followed ethical rules approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (Process #44/ 2015), and by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Khon Kaen University, based on the Ethics of Animal Experimentation of the National Research Council of Thailand IACUC-KKU-10/62.

Details of the size and location of the samples are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. Individuals were subjected to a 24 h mitotic stimulation using intraperitoneal inoculation of a complex of fungal and bacterial antigens (Molina *et al.*, 2010). Chromosome preparations were obtained from cell suspensions of the anterior region of the kidney using a shortterm culture as described by Gold *et al.* (1990). Chromosomes were stained using a standard 5% Giemsa solution (pH 6.8)

Table 1 - Epinephelidae species analyzed in the present study.

Genera/Species	n	Collection regions	Coordinates
Epinephelus			
E. itajara	1	Rio Grande do Norte State, NE Brazil – Western Atlantic	6°19'23,38" S, 35°02'48,84" W
E. adscensionis	6	Rio Grande do Norte State, NE Brazil – Western Atlantic	6°19'23,38" S, 35°02'48,84" W
E. coeruleopunctatus	3	Andaman Sea – Thailand – Indian Ocean	11°04'00" N, 95°44'34" E
E. erythrurus	1	Andaman Sea – Thailand – Indian Ocean	11°04'00" N, 95°44'34" E
E. sexfasciatus	3	Andaman Sea – Thailand – Indian Ocean	11°04'00" N, 95°44'34" E
Cephalopholis			
C. fulva	5	Trindade Island - Brazil	20°30'38,84" S, 29°19'22,97" W
C. formosa	4	Andaman Sea – Thailand – Indian Ocean	11°04'00" N, 95°44'34" E
Rypticus			
R. saponaceus	4	Trindade Island - Brazil	20°30'38,84" S, 29°19'22,97" W



Figure 1 – Collection sites of *Epinephelus itajara, Epinephelus adscensionis, Rypticus saponaceus,* and *Cephalopholis fulva* species, all from the Atlantic Ocean, and of *Cephalopholis formosa, Epinephelus coeruleopunctatus, Epinephelus erythrurus,* and *Epinephelus sexfasciatus* species, all from the Indian Ocean.

and analyzed under an optical microscope at a magnification of 1000×. The nucleolus organizing regions (NORs) and C-positive heterochromatin were identified following Howell and Black (1980) and Sumner (1972), respectively.

Probes for chromosome hybridization

5S rDNA (~ 200 bp) and 18S rDNA (~ 1400 bp) probes were obtained by PCR from the nuclear DNA of Rachycentron canadum (Teleostei, Perciformes) using the primers A 5'-TAC GCC CGA TCT CGT CCG ATC-3' and B 5'- CAG GCT GGT ATG GCC GTA AGC-3' (Pendás et al., 1994), and NS1 5'-GTA GTC ATA TGC TTG TCT C-3' and NS8 5'-TCC GGT GCA TCA CCT ACG GA-3' (White et al., 1990), respectively. 5S rDNA and 18S rDNA probes were labeled by nick translation with biotin-14-dATP and digoxigenin-11-dUTP, respectively, according to the manufacturer's specifications (Roche Mannheim, Germany). Tol2 (~ 200 bp) and Rex3 (~ 200 bp) probes were amplified using PCR from the nuclear DNA of E. itajara using the primers Tol2-5F 5' -CTG TCA CTC TGA TGA AAC AG-3' and Tol2-5R 5' -CTT TGA CCT TAG GTT TGG GC-3' (Kawakami and Shima, 1999) and Rex3-F5'-YAATGACGG AGG GCC CGG CA-3' and Rex3-5'-TGG GTG GTG GGG CAG GT ACN-3' (Volff et al., 1999; 2000) and labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP by nick translation (Roche Mannheim, Germany). In situ hybridizations with (CA)₁₅ and (GA)₁₅ microsatellites were performed as described by Kubat et al. (2008) using oligonucleotides labeled with Alexa Fluor 555 at the 5' terminal position (InvitrogenTM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA).

Hybridization experiments

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed as described by Pinkel et al. (1986). Chromosomes were treated with RNAse (20 μ g/mL in 2× SSC) for 1 h and with pepsin (0.005% in 10 mM HCl) for 10 min at 37 °C, followed by a step of fixation with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min and dehydration in an alcoholic series (70%/85%/100%) for 5 min. The slides were incubated in 70% formamide/2× SSC for 5 min at 72 °C and dehydrated in an alcohol series (70%/85%/100%) for 5 min. The hybridization process was performed for 16 h at 37 °C using a hybridization solution of 50% formamide, $2 \times SSC$, 10% dextran sulfate, and denatured probe (5 ng/ μ L) in a final volume of 30 µL. Post-hybridization washes were performed in 15% formamide/0.2× SSC for 20 min at 42 °C, followed by washes in 0.1× SSC for 15 min at 60 °C and in Tween-20 $0.5\%/4 \times$ SSC for 5 min at 25 °C. Subsequently, the slides were incubated for 15 min in 5% non-fat dry milk (NFDM)/4× SSC blocking buffer and washed in 0.5% Tween-20/4× SSC for 15 min. The hybridization signals were detected using a streptavidin-FITC conjugate for the 5S rDNA probe and anti-digoxigenin rhodamine conjugate (Roche Mannheim, Germany) for the 18S rDNA probe. Chromosomes were counterstained with Vectashield/DAPI (1.5 µg/mL) (Roche Mannheim, Germany).

Digital image processing

The best metaphases were photographed using an Olympus BX51 epifluorescence microscope coupled with

an Olympus DP73 digital capture system using the cellSens[®] software (Olympus). Chromosomes were defined as metacentric (*m*), submetacentric (*sm*), subtelocentric (*st*), and acrocentric (*a*), according to Levan *et al.* (1964). To count the chromosome arms (FN), the m, sm, and st chromosomes were considered with two arms and the acrocentric chromosomes with only one arm.

Results

All analyzed species shared the same 2n = 48 chromosome number. However, while *E. adscensionis, E. coeruleopunctatus, E. erythrurus, E. sexfasciatus, C. fulva,* and *R. saponaceus* showed karyotypes composed exclusively by acrocentric chromosomes (FN = 48a), *E. itajara* had 6sm + 42a (FN = 54), and *C. formosa* had 4sm + 44a (FN = 52) chromosomes. In all species, small-sized heterochromatic blocks were localized mainly in the centromeric regions of the chromosomes (Figures 2 and 3).

The 18S rDNA and the Ag-NOR sites were coincident and occupied a single locus in the karyotype of all species, always in the short arms of the chromosomes. In *E. adscensionis, E. coeruleopunctatus, E. erythrurus, E. sexfasciatus*, and *C. fulva*, they were localized in the acrocentric pair 24 (Figure 2), while were localized in the submetacentric pair 1 of *E. itajara* and *C. formosa*, and in the acrocentric pair 20 of *R. saponaceus* (Figure 3). The 5S rDNA sequences also displayed a single site in the short arms of the chromosomes in all species. In *E. adscensionis, E. coeruleopunctatus, E. erythrurus, E. sexfasciatus, E. itajara*, *C. formosa*, and *C. fulva* they occurred in the acrocentric pair 23 and in the acrocentric pair 14 of *R. saponaceus* (Figures 2 and 3).

The microsatellites $(CA)_{15}$ and $(GA)_{15}$ had a scattered chromosomal distribution, with some more prominent clusters in the centromeric and terminal regions of some pairs (Figures 4 and 5). *Tol2* transposons also showed a diffuse distribution, while *Rex3* presented discrete accumulations in the centromeric and terminal chromosomal regions in all species, especially in *E. itajara*, in which more evident signals were detected (Figures 4 and 5).

Discussion

Chromosomal profiles

Most Perciformes fish have retained considerable levels of chromosomal conservatism, with karyotypes composed of 2n = 48a and FN = 48 (Motta-Netto *et al.*, 2019). The distribution of such karyotype among several Epinephelidae clades (Table 2), including the ancient *Plectropomus* clade (~ 36 Mya) and recent lineages such as *Alfestes* (~ 5 Mya; Ma *et al.*, 2016), supports 2n = 48a as the basal state for this family.

The maintenance of this diploid number in all analyzed species represents a phylogenetic pattern in Epinephelidae. On the other hand, the karyotype macrostructure (2n = 48a; FN = 48), although still retained in most groupers, behaves as a more dynamic evolutionary trait. In fact, similar to *E. itajara* (2n = 48; FN = 54) and *C. formosa* (2n = 48; FN = 52), over 40% of the Epinephelidae species have some karyotype diversification associated with pericentric inversions, thereby increasing the number of chromosome arms (FN = 48–96) (Table 2). This evolutionary trend, which has been better

Giemsa	C-banding	■18S rDNA■5S rDNA
Single in the second	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
Single constraints 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
Ш а 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Щ 19 20 21 22 23	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2 3 4 5 6 a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Figure 2 – Karyotypes of *Epinephelus adscensionis, Epinephelus coeruleopunctatus, Epinephelus erythrurus,* and *Epinephelus sexfasciatus* after Giemsa staining, C-banding, and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with 18S (red) and 5S (green) rDNA probes. Chromosomes carrying Ag-NORs sites are highlighted in the boxes. Scale bar = $5 \mu m$.

evidenced as chromosomal data increase, is considered as a moderate diversification and reveals an unexpected context for Epinephelidae.

A low rate of evolutionary changes is also evidenced in some repetitive DNA sequences, as highlighted by remarkable homeologies among the Ag-NOR/18S rDNA-bearing pairs in most Epinephelidae species. Indeed, in addition to five of the eight species analyzed (*E. adscensionis, E. coeruleopunctatus, E. erythrurus, E. sexfasciatus* and *C. fulva*), the localization of the major rDNA sites on the smallest pair of the karyotype (pair 24) is a symplesiomorphic array shared by a vast number of species (e.g. Martinez *et al.*, 1989; Zou *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Tseng and Shih, 2018), as indicated in Figure 6. In addition, non-syntenic arrays of the 18S and 5S loci, which are also frequent among teleost groups (Lucchini *et al.*, 1993; Suzuki *et al.*, 1996; Gornung, 2013), are present in all of the eight species analyzed, as well as in several other serranids (Sola *et al.*, 2000; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Paim *et al.*, 2017) (Figure 6). However, in spite of this, some alternative arrangements such as multiple 18S rDNA sites (Minglan *et al.*, 2014) or the co-localization of the 18S/5S sites in the same chromosome pair (Amorim *et al.*, unpublished data) can occur, although not expressively. The distribution of heterochromatin also offers a little discriminatory condition, since it is commonly located in the centromeric/pericentromeric regions, as observed in all the species analyzed, as well as in many other Percomorpha groups (Sola *et al.*, 2000; Motta-Neto *et al.*, 2011; Minglan *et al.*, 2014; Noikotr *et al.*, 2014).

Karyotype conservatism is thought to be related to a high level of synteny, with chromosomal sharing similar gene organization and DNA classes arrays (Ellegren, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2019). In this respect, the chromosomal prospecting of a diversified set of repetitive sequences allowed the estimation of evolutionary changes in different fish groups (Cioffi and Bertollo, 2012; Costa *et al.*, 2015; Lima-Filho *et al.*, 2015;

	Giemsa	C-banding	■18S rDNA■5S rDNA
E. itajara	a 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sm 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 a 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sm 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
C.formosa	m KA *** 2 30 00 00 00 00 00 3 4 5 6 7 8 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 15 16 17 18 19 20 00 00 00 00 00 00 21 22 23 24 24	sm 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sm a 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
C. fulva	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1 2 3 4 5 6 a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
R. saponaceus	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1 2 3 4 5 6 a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Figure 3 – Karyotypes of *Epinephelus itajara, Cephalopholis formosa, Cephalopholis fulva*, and *Rypticus saponaceus* after Giemsa staining, C-banding, and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with 18S (red) and 5S (green) rDNA probes. Chromosomes carrying Ag-NORs sites are highlighted in the boxes. Scale bar = 5 μ m.

Getlekha *et al.*, 2016a). In the present study, $(CA)_{15}$ and $(GA)_{15}$ microsatellites showed a dispersed distribution among chromosomes, with sporadic clusters in the centromeric heterochromatin of some species. This pattern contrasts with that presented by several Percomorpha species (Costa *et al.*, 2015), where conspicuous and diversified chromosomal clusters occur within the same species or among co-familiar species (Silva *et al.*, 2020).

Transposable elements, which can act at different genetic levels, including epigenetic regulation, are important components of the genome of marine fish (Aparicio *et al.*, 2002; Terencio *et al.*, 2015; Xiao *et al.*, 2020). In most of the analyzed species, *Tol2* presented a dispersed distribution in the karyotype, except for some centromeric clusters in *E. adscensionis*. In turn, *Rex3* showed a more discriminated distribution, with conspicuous accumulation in multiple centromeric and telomeric regions, mainly in *E. itajara*, a

species displaying a more differentiated karyotype among the eight analyzed. This transposable element overlaps with heterochromatic regions, probably co-located with the microsatellites $(CA)_{15}$ and $(GA)_{15}$, which suggests a shared evolution of both repetitive DNA classes, as also proposed for other fish species (Da Silva *et al.*, 2002; Fischer *et al.*, 2004; Costa *et al.*, 2013).

Overall, the micro- and macrostructural profiles presented by grouper species indicate an intermediate evolutionary rate between clades with larger (Silva *et al.*, 2020) and much lower (Getlekha *et al.*, 2016b) degrees of chromosomal variation.

Historical cytobiogeography and karyotype divergences

The Atlantic Ocean represents the probable origin center of the Epinephelidae family, from where lineages moved from its eastern region and colonized the Indian and



Figure 4 – Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization mapping of $(CA)_{15}$ and $(GA)_{15}$ microsatellites, and *Tol2* and *Rex3* transposable elements, in mitotic chromosomes of *Epinephelus adscensionis, Epinephelus coeruleopunctatus, Epinephelus erythrurus*, and *Epinephelus sexfasciatus*. Scale bar = 5 µm.

Pacific Oceans by the Tethys Sea (Ma *et al.*, 2016). During their extensive evolutionary history, estimated at 60 Mya (Ma *et al.*, 2016), groupers experienced an extraordinary conservation of the diploid number (2n = 48; all currently analyzed species), followed by a less extensive conservatism of the chromosomal morphologies (~60% of species). Notably, the enlarged set of the karyotype patterns of the groupers, including the eight species investigated here, evidenced an increase in the karyotype diversification associated to the historical-geographic dispersion of their species. Indeed, while in the Atlantic Ocean, 87% of the analyzed species share the 2n = 48a basal karyotype (Table 2), this pattern is reduced to 56% of the Pacific, 55% of the Indo-Pacific, and only to 33% of the Indian Ocean species (Figure 6). Until the Miocene, approximately 23 Mya, epinephelids had a low diversity in the Indian and Pacific oceans (Wilson and Rosen, 1998; Renema *et al.*, 2008). When the invasion of the Indo-Pacific region occurred, historical tectonic processes promoted multiple reef habitats in that region, generating conditions for distinct evolutionary opportunities (Rohde and Muller, 2005; Carpenter *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, sympatric and allopatric divergences in a short period of time, defined the contemporary diversity of the groupers (Craig *et al.*, 2001; Ma *et al.*, 2016; Ma and Craig, 2018), in agreement with the karyotype diversification of some groups.

Some features such as hermaphroditism, reproductive aggregations, high dispersive potential, and ecological plasticity are considered as gene flow maintainers and



Figure 5 - Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization mapping of $(CA)_{15}$ and $(GA)_{15}$ microsatellites, and *Tol2* and *Rex3* transposable elements, in mitotic chromosomes of *Epinephelus itajara, Cephalopholis formosa, Cephalopholis fulva,* and *Rypticus saponaceus*. Scale bar = 5 μ m.

contributors to karyotype stability among groupers, as well as physical environment characteristics (Molina *et al.*, 2014; Motta-Neto *et al.*, 2019). In this case, the exploration and historical adaptation to new habitats may have had a disturbing effect on the modern grouper lineages, contributing to the disruption of the latent stability of the karyotype in the new colonization areas. Consequently, changes in the genome related to transposable elements (Schrader and Schmitz, 2019) and other repetitive sequences were established. In this context, adaptive pericentric inversions (Hoffmann and Rieseberg, 2008) could also be fixed as derived traits in some Epinephelidae species.

Notably, cytogenetic patterns of serranids have maintained a basal karyotype with 2n = 48 chromosomes for

a long period since their origin. Chromosomal homeologies are also evidenced by similar physical and compositional patterns of repetitive sequences such as ribosomal DNA, microsatellites, and transposable elements. Despite this, evident divergences in the evolution of the karyotype also occur, especially among the more recent Epinephelidae lineages, suggesting a close correlation with the colonization of new habitats and evolutionary circumstances. In fact, the set of chromosomal data available showed a more extensive karyotype diversification associated with geographic expansion events (Ma *et al.*, 2016) in the family. Therefore, the chromosomal evolution of the Epinephelidae proves to be more dynamic and diverse than supposed, with direct mediation of its historical and geographical contingencies.

 Table 2 - Cytogenetic data available for groupers (Epinephelidae and Serranidae) species.

Species	2n	Karyotypes	FN	References
Alfestes afer	48	48a	48	Molina et al., 2002
Cephalopholis formosa	48	2m+46a	50	Pinthong et al., 2013; Present study
C. fulva	48	48a	48	Present study
Centropristis ocyurus	48	28m+20sm	96	Gonzalez and Figueras, 1990
C. striata	48	24m+22sm+2a	94	Merritt and Lacks, 1991
Cromileptes altivelis	48	2sm+ 46a	50	Takai and Ojima, 1995
Diplectrum eumelum	48	2m+4sm+42a	54	Aguilar and Galetti, 1997
D. formosum	48	2m+46a	50	Aguilar and Galetti, 1997
D. radiale	48	48a	48	Aguilar and Galetti, 1997
Epinephelus adscensionis	48	48a	48	Molina <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Present study
E. akaara	48	48a	48	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2004
E. alexandrinus	48	48a	48	Martinez <i>et al.</i> , 1989
E. awoara	48	48a	48	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2012
E. bleekeri	48	48a	48	Cai <i>et al.</i> , 2012
E. bruneus	48	2m+4sm+42a	54	Minglan $et al., 2014$
E caninus	48	48a	48	Rodríguez-daga <i>et al</i> 1993
E coeruleopunctatus	48	2sm+ 46a	48	Present study
E. coioides	48	48a	48	Wang $et al = 2010$
E. coloides E. diacanthus	48	2m+46a	50	Natarajan and Subrahmanyam 1974
E. ervthrurus	48	48a	48	Pinthong <i>et al.</i> 2015: Present study
E fario	48	4m+6sm+4st+34a	62	Zheng: <i>et al.</i> 2005
E. fasciatomaculosus	48	48a	48	Li and Peng. 1994
E. fasciatus	48	48a	48	Li and Peng, 1994
E. faveatus	48	-10a 2sm+46a	50	Magtoon and Donsakul 2008
E. favocaeruleus	48	489	48	Tseng and Shih 2018
E. fuscoguttatus	48	2sm+46a	50	Tseng and Shih, 2018
E. guaza	48	48a	48	Martinez et al. 1989
E. guttatus	48	48a	48	Medrano et al. 1988
E. guitatas F itajara	48	6sm+42a	54	Present study
E. lanceolatus	48	6m+2st+40a	56	Tseng and Shih 2018
E. nalabaricus	48	489	48	Z_{OU} et al. 2005
E. marainatus	48	482	48	Sola et al. 2000
E. marginatus E. morra	48	4m+6cm+4ct+34a	+8 62	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}$
E. merra E. moara	48	4sm+44a	52	Minglan <i>et al.</i> 2006
E. mouru	48	180	32 48	Pichi and Hacham 1084
E. ongus E. polyphakadion	48	40a 6sm+42a	48 54	Teeng and Shih. 2018
E. porypnekauton E. serfasciatus	48	2sm + 46a	50	Chen et al. 1990: Present study
E. scrjusciulus	48	489	48	Amorim <i>et al.</i> unpublished data
E. strutus	48	-10a 8cm+40a	4 8 56	Amorim <i>et al.</i> unpublished data
E. tulvinu	48	2 sm + 46 t	50	Teeng and Shih. 2018
E. lunulu Mustaronarea acutivostvis	40	25111+40t	18	A guiler 1002
Mycleropercu uculitositis M. rubra	48	48a	48	Aguilar and Galetti 1997
M. ruoru Davagaantuopristis hanatus	48	48a 48a	48	Mortinoz et al. 1080
Paralahnar dayagani	40	40a 48a	40	Nirobio et al. 2014
P nabulifar	40	40a 48a	48	Martinez Brown et al. 2012
1. neuuijer P. maculatofasciatus	40 19	402	40 18	Martinez Brown et al. 2012
Plactronomus loonardus	40 19	400	40 18	Dipthong at $al = 2012$
Punticus senonaceus	40 10	400	40	Descent study
Rypticus suponaceus P randalli	40	40a 19a	40	Poim et al. 2017
A. runuum Sorranus cabrilla	40 10	400	40	$r \min et ut., 2017$
Serranus cabrilla S. flavivontris	40 10	400	40 19	A guilar and Calatti 1007
S. jluviveniris	40 10	48a	48	Mostings at al. 1090
S. SCriba	48	48a	48	iviartinez et al., 1989



Figure 6 – Karyotypic patterns of groupers (Epinephelidae and Serranidae) species from biogeographic and phylogenetic (based on Ma *et al.*, 2016) perspectives. The larger circles indicate the percentage of chromosome arms (FN) in the karyotypes according to the oceanic distribution of the species. Smaller black circles indicate the occurrence of a single Ag-NORs locus (24 pair or other), and the black/gray ones indicate the multiple Ag-NORs loci, according to their distribution in the chromosome pairs.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank CNPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) for financial assistance (Process nº 442664/2015-0), CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) for the scholarship granted to KDJA, to the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis – IBAMA for the license to collect the specimens (Process No. 19135-8) and Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte - UFRN, for providing the means to carry out the study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest could be perceived as prejudicial to the impartiality of the reported research.

Author Contributions

KDJA and WFM conceived the study; KDJA, AT, and GWWFC conducted the experiments; KDJA, GWWFC, MBC, LACB, and WFM analyzed the data; KDJA, WFM, MBC, LACB, and GWWFC wrote the manuscript; all authors read and approved the final version.

References

- Aguilar CT (1993) Estudos citogenéticos em Serranidae (Pisces, Perciformes). M. Sc. Thesis, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro.
- Aguilar CT and Galetti PM (1997) Chromosomal studies in South Atlantic Serranids (Pisces, Perciformes). Cytobios 357:105-114.
- Aparicio S, Chapman J, Stupka E, Putnam N, Chia JM, Dehal P, Christoffels A, Rash S, Hoon S, Smit A *et al.* (2002) Wholegenome shotgun assembly and analysis of the genome of *Fugu rubripes*. Science 297:1301–1310.
- Arai R (2011) Fish Karyotypes. A check list. 1st edition. Springer Japan, Tokyo, 340 pp.
- Bawole R, Mudjirahayu, Rembet UNWJ, Amir A, Runtuboi F and Sala R (2018) Exploitation rate of *Plectropomus leopardus* (Pisces: Serranidae) taken from Rumberpon Island water, Cenderawasih Bay National Park, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 11:19-28.
- Bezerra RCA and Silva AC (2011) Biologia populacional da Piraúna *Cephalopholis fulva* desembarcada no Porto do Mucuripe, Fortaleza, Estado do Ceará. Rev Bras Eng Pesca 6:11-22.
- Bright D, Reynolds A, Nguyen NH, Knuckey R, Knibb W and Elizur A (2016) A study into parental assignment of the communal spawning protogynous hermaphrodite, giant grouper (*Epinephelus lanceolatus*). Aquaculture 459:19-25.
- Cai Y, Zhou Y, Xie R, Xie Z, Feng Y and Wang S (2012) A study on the karyotype, Ag-NORs and C-banding in *Epinephelus bleekeri*. Journal of Fisheries of China 36:647-651.
- Carpenter KE, Barber PH, Crandall ED, Ablan-Lagman MCA, Ambariyanto, Mahardika GN, Manjaji-Matsumoto MB, Juinio-Meñez MA, Santos MD, Starger CJ *et al.* (2011) Comparative Phylogeography of the Coral Triangle and implications for marine management. J Mar Biol 2011:396982.
- Chen Y, Rong S, Liu S, Zhang H and Pei M (1990) Analysis of the karyotype of *Epinephelus sexfasciatus*. J Zhanjiang Fish College 2:62-68.
- Cioffi MB and Bertollo LAC (2012) Chromosomal distribution and evolution of repetitive DNAs in fish. Genome Dyn 7:197-221.
- Costa GWWF, Cioffi MB, Bertollo LAC and Molina WF (2013) Transposable elements in fish chromosomes: A study in the marine cobia species. Cytogenet Genome Res 141:126-132.

- Costa GWWF, Cioffi MDB, Bertollo LAC and Molina WF (2015) Structurally complex organization of repetitive DNAs in the genome of cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Zebrafish 12:215-220.
- Craig MT and Hastings PA (2007) A molecular phylogeny of the groupers of the subfamily Epinephelinae (Serranidae) with a revised classification of the Epinephelini. Ichthyol Res 54:1-17.
- Craig MT, Mitcheson SY and Heemstra PC (2011) Groupers of the world – A field and Market Guide. 1st edition, CRC Press, Grahamstown, 424 pp.
- Craig MT, Pondella DJ, Franck JPC and Hafner LC (2001) On the status of the serranid fish genus *Epinephelus*: evidence for paraphyly based on 16S rDNA sequence. Mol Phylogenet Evol 19:121-130.
- Da Silva C, Hadji H, Ozouf-Costaz C, Nicaud S, Jaillon O, Weissenbrach J and Crollius HR (2002) Remarkable compartmentalization of transposable elements and pseudogenes in the heterochromatin of the *Tetraodon nigroviridis* genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:1636-1641.
- Ellegren H (2010) Evolutionary stasis: the stable chromosomes of birds. Trends Ecol Evol 25:283-291.
- Fischer C, Bouneau L, Coutenceau JP, Weissenbach J, Vollf JN and Ozouf-Costaz C (2004) Global heterochromatic colocalization of transposable elements with minisatellites in the compact genome of the pufferfish *Tetraodon nigroviridis*. Gene 33:175-183.
- Getlekha N, Molina, WF, Cioffi MB, Yano CF, Maneechot N, Bertollo LAC, Supiwong W and Tanomtong A (2016a) Repetitive DNAs highlight the role of chromosomal fusions in the karyotypr evolution of *Dascyllus* species (Pomacentridae, Perciformes). Genetica 144:203-211.
- Getlekha N, Cioffi MB, Yano CF, Maneechot N, Bertollo LAC, Supiwong W, Tanomtong A and Molina WF (2016b) Chromosome mapping of repetitive DNAs in sergeant major fishes (Abudefdufinae, Pomacentridae): a general view on the chromosomal conservatism of the genus. Genetica 144:567-576.
- Giglio VJ, Bertoncini AA, Ferreira BP, Hostim-Silva, M and Freitas MO (2014) Landings of goliath grouper, *Epinephelus itajara* in Brazil: despite prohibited over ten years, fishing continues. Nat Conserv 12:118-123.
- Gold JR, Li YC, Shipley NS and Powers PK (1990) Improved methods for working with fish chromosomes with a review of metaphase chromosome banding. J Fish Biol 37:563-575.
- Gonzalez ALD and Figueras AL (1990) Cytogenetic study of *Centropristes ocyurus* Jordan and Everman (Pisces: Serranide). An Inst Cienc Mar Limnol (Mexico) 17:55-62.
- Gornung E (2013) Twenty years of physical mapping of major ribosomal rna genes across the teleosts: A review of research. Cytogenet Genome Res 141:90-102.
- Heemstra PC, Anderson WD and Lobel PS (2002) Serranidae. In: Carpenter KE (ed) The living marine resources of the Western Central Atlantic. 5th edition. FAO, Rome, vol. 2, pp 1308-1369.
- Hoffmann AA and Rieseberg LH (2008) Revisiting the impact of inversions in evolution: from population genetic markers to drivers of adaptive shifts and speciation? Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 39:21-42.
- Howell WM and Black DA (1980) Controlled silver-staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: A 1-step method. Experientia 36:1014-1015.
- Kawakami K and Shima A (1999) Identification of the Tol2 transposase of the medaka fish Oryzias latipes that catalyzes excision of a nonautonomous Tol2 element in zebrafish Danio rerio. Gene 240:239-244.
- Kubat Z, Hobza R, Vyskot B and Kejnovsky E (2008) Microsatellite accumulation on the Y chromosome in *Silene latifolia*. Genome 51:350-356.

- Levan A, Fredga K and Sandberg A (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position at chromosomes. Hereditas 52:201-220.
- Li XQ and Peng YD (1994) Studies on karyotype of *Epinephelus* fasciatomaculosus and *Epinephelus fasciatus*. JZFC 14:22-26.
- Lima-Filho PA, Amorim KD, Cioffi MB, Bertollo LAC and Molina WF (2015) Chromosomal mapping of repetitive DNAs in *Gobionellus oceanicus* and *G. stomatus* (Gobiidae; Perciformes): A shared XX/XY system and an unusual distribution of 5S rDNA sites on the Y chromosome. Cytogenet Genome Res 144:333-40.
- Liu M, and Sadovy I (2004) The influence of social factors on adult sex change and juvenile sexual differentiation in a diandric, protogynous epinepheline, *Cephalopholis boenak* (Pisces, Serranidae). Zool Lond 264:239–248.
- Lucchini S, Nardi I, Barsacchi G, Batistoni R and Andronico F (1993) Molecular cytogenetics of the ribosomal (18S + 28S and 5S) DNA loci in primitive and advanced urodele amphibians. Genome 36:762-773.
- Ma KY and Craig MT (2018) An inconvenient monophyly: an update on the taxonomy of the groupers (Epinephelidae). Copeia 106:443-456.
- Ma KY, Craig MT, Choat JH and Herwerden VL (2016) The historical biogeography of groupers: Clade diversification patterns and processes. Mol Phylogenet Evol 100:21-30.
- Magtoon W and Donsakul T (2008) Karyotype of five teleostean fishes from Thailand. In: Proceedings of the 34th Congress on Science and Technology of Thailand, Bangkok, p. BO113.
- Martinez G, Thode G, Alvarez MC and López JR (1989) C-banding and Ag-NOR reveal heterogeneity among karyotypes of serranids (Perciformes). Cytobios 58:53-60.
- Martinez-Brown JM, Mendel-Narváez JD, Hernández-Ibarra NK and Ortpiz Galindo JL (2012) Evidencia de la estabilidad cariotípica durante la divergencia evolutiva entre *Paralabrax maculatofasciatus* y *P. nebulifer* (Perciformes: serranidae). CICIMAR Oceánides 27:25-34.
- Medrano L, Bernardi G, Couturier J and Dutrillaux B (1988) Chromosome banding and genome compartmentalization in fishes. Chromosoma 96:178–183.
- Merritt JF and Lacks GD (1991) Karyology of the black sea bass, Centropristis striata. J Elisha Mitchell Sci 107:75-78.
- Minglan F, Wang J, Su YQ, Wang DX and Xu LN (2006) Study on the karyotype of *Epinephelus moara*. Mar Sci 8:1-3.
- Minglan G, Wang S, Su Y, Zhou Y, Liu M and Wang J (2014) Molecular cytogenetic analyses of *Epinephelus bruneus* and *Epinephelus moara* (Perciformes, Epinephelidae). Peer J 2:e412.
- Mitcheson YS, Craig MT, Bertoncini AA, Carpenter KE, Cheung WWL, Choat JH, Cornish AS, Fennessy ST, Ferreira BP, Heentra PC *et al.* (2013) Fishing groupers towards extinction: A global assessment of threats and extinction risks in a billion dollar fishery. Fish and Fisheries 14:119-136.
- Molina WF, Maia-Lima FA and Affonso PRAM (2002) Divergence between karyotypical pattern and speciation events in Serranidae fish (Perciformes). Caryologia 55:299-305.
- Molina WF, Alves DE, Araújo WC, Martinez PA, Silva MF and Costa GWWF (2010) Performance of human immunostimulating agents in the improvement of fish cytogenetic preparations. Genet Mol Res 9:1807-1814.
- Molina WF, Martinez PA, Bertollo LAC and Bidau CJ (2014) Preferential accumulation of sex and bs chromosomes in biarmed karyotypes by meiotic drive and rates of chromosomal changes in fishes. An Acad Bras Cienc 4:1801-1812.
- Motta-Neto CC, Cioffi MB, Bertollo LAC and Molina WF (2011) Extensive chromosomal homologies and evidence of karyotypic stasis in Atlantic grunts of the genus *Haemulon* (Perciformes). J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 401:75-79.

- Motta-Neto CC, Cioffi MB, Costa GWWF, Amorim KDJ, Bertollo LAC, Artoni RF and Molina WF (2019) Overview on karyotype stasis in Atlantic grunts (Eupercaria, Haemulidae) and the evolutionary extensions for other marine fish groups. Front Mar Sci 6:628.
- Natarajan R and Subrahmanyam KA (1974) Karyotype study of some teleosts from portonovo waters. Proc Natl Acad Sci India Sect B Biol Sci 79:173-196.
- Nirchio M, Rossi AR, Foresti F and Oliveira C (2014) Chromosome evolution in fishes: A new challenging proposal from Neotropical species. Neotrop Ichthyol 12:761-770.
- Noikotr K, Pinthong K, Tanomtong A, Sudmoon R, Chaveerach A and Tanee T (2014) Karyotype analysis of two groupers, *Epinephelus* species (Serranidae). Caryologia 67:63-65.
- Paim FG, Almeida LAH, Affonso PRAM, Sobrinho-Scudeler PE, Oliveira C and Diniz D (2017) Chromosomal stasis in distinct families of marine Percomorpharia from South Atlantic. Comp Cytogenet 11:299-307.
- Pendás AM, Moran P, Freije JP and Garcia-Vazquez E (1994) Chromosomal mapping and nucleotide sequence of two tandem repeats of Atlantic salmon 5S rDNA. Cytogenet Genome Res 67:31-36.
- Pinkel D, Straume T and Gray JW (1986) Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative, high-sensitivity, fluorescence hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83:2934-2938.
- Pinthong K, Gomontean B, Kongim B, Khakhong S, Sriveerachai T and Supiwong W (2013) Cytogenetic comparison and chromosome localization of the nucleolar organizer region of four grouper genera (Pisces, Epinephelinae) from Thailand. Cytologia 78:223-234.
- Pinthong K, Maneechot N, Tanomtong A, Supiwong W, Chanaboon T and Jangsuwan N (2015) The first karyological analysis and chromosomal characteristics of NORs of the cloudy grouper, *Epinephelus erythrurus* (Perciformes, Epinephelinae) in Thailand. Cytologia 80:279-286.
- Pressley PH (1981) Pair formation and joint territoriality in a simultaneous hermaphrodite: the coral reef fish *Serranus tigrinus*. Z Tierpsychol 56:33-46.
- Renema W, Bellwood DR, Braga JC, Bromfield K, Hall R, Johnson KG, Lunt P, Meyer CP, McMonagle LB, Morley RJ *et al.* (2008) Hopping hotspots: global shifts in marine biodiversity. Science 321:654–657.
- Rimmer MA and Glamuzina B (2017) A review of grouper (Family Serranidae: Subfamily Epinephelinae) aquaculture from a sustainability science perspective. Rev Aquac 11:58-87.
- Rishi KK and Haobam MS (1984) Karyological analysis of two marine fishes. Perspect. Cytology and Genetics 4:425-428.
- Rodríguez-Daga R, Amores A and Thode G (1993) Karyotype and nucleolus organizer regiones in *Epinephelus caninus* (Pisces, Serranidae). Caryologia 46:71-76.
- Rohde RA and Muller RA (2005) Cycles in fossil diversity. Nature 434:208-210.
- Saad YM (2019) Analysis of 16S mitochondrial ribosomal DNA sequence variations and phylogenetic relations among some Serranidae fishes. South African J Anim Sci 49:80-89.
- Santos MR, Katsuragawa M, Zani-Teixeira ML and Favero JMD (2019) Composition and distribution of Serranidae (Actinopterygii: Perciformes) larvae in the Southeastern Brazilian Bight. Braz J Oceanogr 67:e19264.
- Schrader L, Schmitz J (2019) The impact of transposable elements in adaptive evolution. Mol Ecol 28:1537-1549.
- Silva SAS, Lima-Filho PA, Motta-Neto CC, Costa GWWF, Cioffi MB, Bertollo LAC and Molina WF (2020) High chromosomal evolutionary dynamics in sleeper gobies (Eleotridae) and notes on disruptive biological factors in Gobiiformes karyotypes (Osteichthyes, Teleostei). Mar Life Sci Technol 3:293–302.

- Sola L, Innocentiis S, Gornung E, Papalia S, Rossi AR, Marino G, Marco P and Cataudella S (2000) Cytogenetic analysis of *Epinephelus marginatus* (Pisces: Serranidae), with the chromosome localization of the 18S and 5S rRNA genes and of the (TTAGGG)(n) telomeric sequence. Mar Biol 137:47-51.
- Sumner AT (1972) A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. Exp Cell Res 75:304-306.
- Suzuki H, Moriwaki K and Sakurai S (1996) Rat rDNA spacer sequences and chromosomal assignment of the genes to the extreme terminal region of chromosome 19. Cytogenet Cell Genet 72:1-4.
- Takai A and Ojima Y (1995) A chromosomal study of a serranid fish, *Chromileptes altivelis* (Perciformes), using fin cultures. CIS Chrom Inf Serv 59:9-10.
- Terencio ML, Schneider CH, Gross MC, Carmo E, Nogaroto V, Almeida MC, Artoni RF, Vicari MR and Feldberg E (2015) Repetitive sequences: The hidden diversity of heterochromatin in prochilodontid fish. Comp Cytogenet 9:465-481.
- Tseng MC and Shih KW (2018) Application of karyotype and genetic characterization analyses for hybrid breeding of *Epinephelus* groupers. Intech open 3:37-51.
- Vaini JO, Mota KG, Ojeda AP, Barreiros JP, Moreira RG and Hilsdorf AWS (2019) Development and characterization of 20 polymorphic microsatellite markers for *Epinephelus marginatus* (Lowe, 1834) (perciformes: Epinephelidae) using 454 pyrosequencing. Genet Mol Biol 42:74-79.
- Volff JN, Körting C, Sweeney K and Schartl M (1999) The non-LTR retrotransposon Rex3 from the fish *Xiphophorus* is widespread among teleosts. Mol Biol Evol 16:1427-1438.
- Volff JN, Körting C and Schartl M (2000) Multiple lineages of the non-LTR retrotransposon Rex1 with varying success in invading fish genomes. Mol Biol Evol 17:1673-1684.
- Wang SF, Su YQ, Ding S, Cai Y and Wang J (2010) Cytogenetic analysis of orange-spotted grouper, *Epinephelus coioides*, using chromosome banding and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. Hydrobiologia 638:1-10.
- Wang SF, Cai Y, Qin YX, Zhou YC, Su YQ and Wang J (2012) Characterization of yellow grouper *Epinephelus awoara* (Serranidae) karyotype by chromosome bandings and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. J Fish Biol 80:866-875.

- Wang YX, Hongdong W, Haifa Z and Yongzhong L (2004) Karyotypes of *Epinephelus coioides* and *Epinephelus akaara*. J Zou 24:4-8.
- White TJ, Bruns S, Lee S and Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics.
 In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White TJ (eds).
 PCR Protocols a guide to methods and applications. 1st edition.
 Academic Press, London, pp 315-322.
- Wilson MEJ and Rosen BR (1998) Implications of paucity of corals in the Paleogene of SE Asia: Plate tectonics or centre of origin. In: Hall R and Holloway JD (eds). Biogeography and geological evolution of SE Asia. Backhuys Publishers, Netherlands, pp. 165–195.
- Xiao Y, Xiao Z, Ma D, Zhao C, Liu L, Wu H, Nie W, Xiao S, Liu J, Li J *et al.* (2020) Chromosome-level genome reveals the origin of neo-Y chromosome in the male barred knifejaw *Oplegnathus fasciatus.* iScience 23:e101039.
- Zhang D, Guo L, Guo H, Zhu KC, Li SQ, Zhang Y, Zang N, Liu BS, Jiang SG and Li JT (2019) Chromosome-level genome assembly of golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*) in the family Carangidae. Sci Data 6:216.
- Zheng L, Liu CW and Li CL (2005) Studies on the karyotype of four groupers. Mar Biol 29:51-55.
- Zou JX, Yu QX and Zhou F (2005) The karyotypes C-bands patterns and Ag-NORs of *Epinephelus malabaricus*. SCImago 29:33-37.

Internet Resources

Fricke R, Eschmeyer W and Fong JD Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes Online, https://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ ichthyology/catalog/SpeciesByFamily.asp (accessed 19 April 2021).

Associate Editor: Marcelo Guerra

License information: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (type CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, istribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited.