

# A double catheter approach for extracorporeal CO<sub>2</sub> removal integrated within a continuous renal replacement circuit

David De Bels<sup>1</sup>, Charalampos Pierrakos<sup>1</sup>, Herbert D. Spapen<sup>2</sup>, Patrick M. Honore<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ICU Department, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Brugmann, Brussels;

<sup>2</sup>Ageing and Pathology Research Group, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

After the avian flu epidemic in 2009, oxygenation-improving techniques such as extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) and extracorporeal CO<sub>2</sub> removal (ECCO<sub>2</sub>R) gained momentum considerably.<sup>[1]</sup> ECCO<sub>2</sub>R systems in particular earned increasing clinical appeal as adjuvant therapy of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). ECCO<sub>2</sub>R allowed safe application of ultra-protective ventilation in ARDS and improved PaCO<sub>2</sub>, pH, and minute ventilation in COPD patients.<sup>[2]</sup> The basic physiological concept of ECCO<sub>2</sub>R was already elaborated in the late seventies.<sup>[3]</sup> Since then, technical progress has made giant steps evolving from spontaneous arterio-venous to pump-driven veno-venous ECCO<sub>2</sub>R, and finally, the embedding of ECCO<sub>2</sub>R within a continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) circuit.<sup>[4]</sup>

Blood flow is an important factor that may limit optimal CRRT-ECCO<sub>2</sub>R use. Some patients require a blood flow of 450 mL/min in order to achieve significant CO<sub>2</sub> removal to assure a pH above 7.2.<sup>[5]</sup> In many cases, such high blood flow can only be maintained for 24 h,<sup>[6]</sup> even when up to 16 Fr double lumen catheters are used. These large-bore catheters are also mostly armored and expensive. The amount of removed CO<sub>2</sub> dramatically declines when blood flow decreases to 300–350 mL/min. CO<sub>2</sub> elimination then becomes more dependent upon sweep gas flow rather than blood flow.<sup>[7]</sup>

In the intensive care unit of the Brugmann University Hospital, we developed a novel and cost-saving approach that enables to run ECCO<sub>2</sub>R integrated within a CRRT circuit at a 450 mL/min blood flow for 48 h to 72 h. Briefly, two double-lumen catheters were inserted in a jugular vein and in a femoral vein respectively. Both catheters were 13 Fr sized and 25 cm long (GamCath<sup>®</sup>, Gambro, Lund, Sweden). Adapting a similar approach as for veno-venous ECMO,<sup>[8]</sup> blood was extracted from the CRRT-ECCO<sub>2</sub>R system via the femoral catheter and, after decarboxylation, reinfused through the cephalic catheter. The lumina of the double-lumen catheters were linked by a y-adapter to create a single blood line without loss of blood flow. Compared with the single catheter approach, access pressures measured in the Prismaflex<sup>®</sup> (Baxter, Illinois, ISA), were reduced by 40%, which allowed, as previously reported in case studies, an almost 40% increase in blood flow.<sup>[7]</sup> Some centers have used this double catheter technique on specific occasions and only when a single approach was found to be ineffective. The true originality of our approach lies in the systematic implementation of the double catheter technique in all CRRT-ECCO<sub>2</sub>R-treated patients. Significant improvements in the pressure regimen and circuit rheology permitted to run CRRT-ECCO<sub>2</sub>R for at least 48 h and, in the majority of cases, for up to 72 h.

No increased incidence of bleeding or catheter-related infection was observed

**Address for Correspondence:**  
Dr. Patrick M. Honore, MD, PhD, FCCM,  
Professor of Medicine, Co-Director of  
ICU Department, Centre Hospitalier  
Universitaire Brugmann/Brugmann  
University Hospital, 4, Place Arthur Van  
Gehuchten, 1020 Brussels, Belgium.  
E-mail: Patrick.Honore@CHU-  
Brugmann.be

## Access this article online

### Website:

www.intern-med.com

### DOI:

10.2478/jtim-2018-0030

### Quick Response Code:



with this double catheter approach. Of importance is that diluted citrate anticoagulation should be avoided when performing CRRT-ECCO<sub>2</sub>R with the Prismaflex® device. A blood flow of 450 mL/min will dramatically increase citrate flow. This may cause an unwarranted increase in transmembrane pressure and a more pronounced pressure drop which promotes filter clogging and compromises filter lifespan.<sup>[9]</sup> Moreover, an increased citrate flow can enhance the risk of citrate intoxication.<sup>[10]</sup> Unfractionated heparin therefore is the preferred anticoagulation approach. It remains to be determined whether concentrated citrate could be an acceptable surrogate.<sup>[11]</sup>

In conclusion, a double catheter approach to integrate ECCO<sub>2</sub>R within a CRRT circuit guarantees optimal and prolonged removal of CO<sub>2</sub>. Our experience in more than 50 treated patients learns that the technique is safe and cost-effective.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare to have no competing interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Twigg S, Gibbon GJ, Perris T. The use of extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal in the management of life-threatening bronchospasm due to influenza infection. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2008; 36: 579-81.
2. Winiszewski H, Aptel F, Belon F, Belin N, Chaignat C, Patry C, *et al.* Daily use of extracorporeal CO(2) removal in a critical care unit: indications and results. *J Intensive Care* 2018; 6: 36.
3. Gattinoni L, Kolobow T, Tomlinson T, White D, Pierce J. Control of intermittent positive pressure breathing (IPPB) by extracorporeal removal of carbon dioxide. *Br J Anaesth* 1978; 50: 753-8.
4. Trahanas JM, Lynch WR, Bartlett RH. Extracorporeal Support for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Bright Future. *J Intensive Care Med* 2017; 32: 411-20.
5. Karagiannidis C, Strassmann S, Brodie D, Ritter P, Larsson A, Borchardt R, *et al.* Impact of membrane lung surface area and blood flow on extracorporeal CO<sub>2</sub> removal during severe respiratory acidosis. *Intensive Care Med Exp* 2017; 5: 34.
6. Forster C, Schriewer J, John S, Eckardt KU, Willam C. Low-flow CO<sub>2</sub> removal integrated into a renal-replacement circuit can reduce acidosis and decrease vasopressor requirements. *Crit Care* 2013; 17: R154.
7. de Villiers Hugo J, Sharma AS, Ahmed U, Weerwind PW. Quantification of Carbon Dioxide Removal at Low Sweep Gas and Blood Flows. *J Extra Corpor Technol* 2017; 49: 257-61.
8. Frenckner B, Broman M, Broomé M. Position of draining venous cannula in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for respiratory and respiratory/circulatory support in adult patients. *Crit Care* 2018; 22: 163.
9. Sharma AS, Weerwind PW, Bekers O, Wouters EM, Maessen JG. Carbon dioxide dialysis in a swine model utilizing systemic and regional anticoagulation. *Intensive Care Med Exp* 2016; 4: 2.
10. Honore PM, De Bels D, Preseau T, Redant S, Spapen HD. Citrate: How to Get Started and What, When, and How to Monitor? *J Transl Intern Med* 2018; 6: 115-27.
11. Jacobs R, Honore PM, Hendrickx I, Spapen HD. Regional Citrate Anticoagulation for Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy: All Citrates Are Not Created Equal! *Blood Purif* 2016; 42: 219-20.

**How to cite this article:** De Bels D, Pierrakos C, Spapen HD, Honore PM. A double catheter approach for extracorporeal CO<sub>2</sub> removal integrated within a continuous renal replacement circuit. *J Transl Intern Med* 2018; 6: 157-8.