Crocin Increases Gastric Cancer Cells' Sensitivity to Doxorubicin

Seyedeh Mahya Shariat Razavi¹, Reyhaneh Mahmoudzadeh Vaziri², Gholamreza Karimi³, Sepideh Arabzadeh⁴, Vahideh Keyvani⁵, Javad Behravan⁴, Fatemeh Kalalinia⁴*

Abstract

Background: Crocin is one of the substantial constituents of saffron extract. It has multiple clinical effects including anti-cancer effects. The development of the multidrug resistance (MDR) phenotype is one of the principal causes of cancer chemotherapy failure. The multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1) is one of the MDR-related protein and is often overexpressed in different cancers. In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the influence of crocin on the expression and function of MDR1 protein in EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB gastric cancer cell lines. **Methods:** The cytotoxicity effect of crocin was evaluated by the MTT assay. The impacts of crocin on the expression and function of MDR1 were assessed by Real-time RT-PCR and MTT assay, respectively. **Results:** The results demonstrated that crocin decreased cell viability in a dose-dependent manner with higher intensity on the EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cells. Crocin did not make any significant changes in the *MDR1* gene expression level in EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cells. In contrast, crocin increased doxorubicin cytotoxicity in drug-resistant cells, which might be induced by reduced MDR1 activity. **Conclusion:** In summary, although crocin did not affect mRNA expression of MDR1, results of MTT assay suggest that it might inhibit the MDR1 function.

Keywords: Crocin- multidrug resistance- MDR1- gastric cancer- doxorubicin

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 21 (7), 1959-1967

Introduction

Nowadays, cancer is the second most important cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Gastric cancer is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (Rugge et al., 2015). Chemotherapy is a conventional treatment for cancer. However, the incidence of multidrug resistance (MDR) to chemotherapy can lead chemotherapy failure in cancer patients (Mitchison, 1998). Gastric cancers show the increasing risk of the occurrence of MDR during the treatment which is the leading cause of gastric cancer chemotherapy failure (Zhang and Fan, 2007).

In MDR phenomena, cancer cells show resistance to different drugs with different functions and structures that are frequently used in chemotherapy. Various mechanisms involved in MDR phenomena such as decrease in drug harvesting, decrease in drug activity, and increase in drug efflux of the cell. ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters are the main factors that play a role in MDR phenomena through drug efflux. They are transmembrane proteins that pump several substances, including cytotoxic reagents, out of the cell membrane (Samuel et al., 2017). Multidrug resistance protein1 (MDR1) belongs to the ABCB transporters subfamily and is involved in drug resistance of various types of cancer cells (Holohan et al., 2013). MDR1 is usually expressed in epithelial cells and is active intensively in the blood-brain barrier. MDR1 has various substrates that make it a prevalence transporter in MDR (Löscher and Potschka, 2005).

Over the past decades, various studies introduced novel herbal substances as anti-cancer candidates, that leads to developing more effective and safer cancer treatments (Safarzadeh et al., 2014). One of the main components in the *Crocus sativus L.*, which is commonly known as saffron, is a glycosylated carotenoid named crocin (C22H44016). Different studies indicated various medicinal effects of crocin, such as protecting myocardial cells against hypoxia (Wu et al., 2010), anti-atherosclerosis (He et al., 2005), anti-inflammatory (Nam et al., 2010), antioxidant (Asdaq and Inamdar, 2010), anti-anxiety (Pitsikas et al., 2008), anti-depressant (Lopresti and Drummond, 2014) and enhancement of sexual instinct

¹Medical Genetics Research Center, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ²Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Kish International Campus, University of Tehran, Kish, Iran. ³Medical Toxicology Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ⁴Biotechnology Research Center, Pharmaceutical Technology Institute, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ⁵Department of Genetics, Faculty of Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. *For Correspondence: kalaliniaf@mums.ac.ir

Seyedeh Mahya Shariat Razavi et al

(Hosseinzadeh et al., 2008). Crocin has neuroprotective effects against traumatic brain injury through activation of notch signaling pathway, which can act as oncogene or tumor suppressor in different cell types (Wang et al., 2015; Razavi et al., 2019). Moreover, there are many studies which showed the anti-cancer effects of crocin against breast, leukemia, bladder, cervical and colorectal cancer cells (Zhao et al., 2008a; Xu et al., 2010; Amin et al., 2015; Hire et al., 2017; Mollaei et al., 2017).

Different studies suggest the possibility of a relationship between crocin and regulation of MDR transporters. Crocin inhibited brain microglial cells liberation of nitric oxide (Noureini and Wink) which had been induced by Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and reduced the production of interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β), tumor necrosis factor-alpha $(TNF\alpha)$ and reactive oxygen species (Abolhoda et al.) that lead to the decrease of NF-kappa B activation (Mosaffa et al., 2009). Furthermore, it has been shown that the anti-inflammatory drugs induced the production of ROS (Abolhoda et al.) and caused oxidative stress to arise that finally lead to an increase in the MDR association protein expression in colorectal cancer cells (Tatebe et al., 2002). Crocin was shown to down-regulate the expression of multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) and decrease drug resistance in a cisplatin-resistant ovarian cell line (Mahdizadeh et al., 2016b). In the current study, we aimed to evaluate the effect of crocin administration on the expression and function of MDR1 in EPG85-257 gastric cancer cell line and its drug-resistant derivative EPG85-257RDB cells.

Materials and Methods

Material

RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were bought from Gibco (USA). Penicillin/ streptomycin, MTT, DMSO (Dimethyl Sulfoxide), and doxorubicin were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Crocin was kindly received from Dr. Seyed Ahmad Mohajeri (Pharmaceutical Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran). RNA TriPure Isolation kit was purchased from Roche Applied Science, Germany. One-Step SYBR PrimeScriptTM RT-PCR Kit II was obtained from Invitrogen, USA. EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB gastric cancer cell lines were generously received from Professor H. Lage (Molecular Pathology Department, Charite Campus Mitte, Berlin, Germany).

Crocin solution preparation

Crocin was extracted from saffron using crystallization method; its purity was tested by HPLC that was more than 96% pure (Hadizadeh et al., 2010). As the molecular weight of crocin is 976.972 g/mol, 0.001 gr of crocin was dissolved in 10 μ l of DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) (Cinnagen, Iran) and brought to 1 ml final volume by adding phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The final concentration of dissolved crocin was 1,024 mM and it was stored at -20°C. Different working concentration of crocin (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 μ M) was freshly prepared before starting each experiment by adding cell culture medium to it. In order to prepare the doxorubicin

stock solution (5 μ M), 0.43 μ l of 3440 μ M doxorubicin (Pars Darou, Iran) was brought to 300 μ l by adding complete culture medium.

Cell culture and treatment

The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented by 10% (v/v) FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin and were kept at 37°C in a humidified air containing 5% CO₂. Gastric cancer cell lines were treated with crocin (0–100 μ M), doxorubicin (0–500 nM) and different concentrations of crocin (0–100 μ M) and doxorubicin (0–500 nM) simultaneously for 24-72 h.

MTT cytotoxicity assay

Drug sensitivity of the EPG85-257 and EPG85-257 RDB cell lines were assessed by MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay. Cells were seeded at an initial density of 104 cells/well in 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After 24 h, the culture medium was exchanged with different concentrations of crocin (0-100 µM), and doxorubicin (0-500 nM) in a final volume of 100 µl of growth medium and the cells were incubated for 24, 48 and 72 h. DMSO was used at the same volume of a test compound as solvent control. On the final day, in order to avoid the interference of crocin color with the MTT color, the culture medium was removed, and the cells were carefully washed with PBS to ensure that crocin was completely removed. Then, the cell viability was analyzed by adding the culture medium containing 0.5 mg/ml MTT to the cells. After four hours of incubation, the reduced MTT dye (formazan crystals) was solubilized by adding 100 µl of DMSO. Subsequently, the absorbance of formazan produced by living cells was analyzed by ELISA plate reader (BioTek, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany) with a test and reference wavelength of 550 and 630 nm, respectively. The ratio of OD test/OD control was used to determine the percentage of the cell proliferation. Each test was accomplished in triplicate and repeated at least three times.

After identifying the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of crocin and doxorubicin, EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cells were treated simultaneously with various concentrations of crocin (0–100 μ M) and doxorubicin (0–500 nM) and incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere for three days to study the effect of crocin on doxorubicin cytotoxicity.

Real-Time RT-PCR

In order to evaluation the effects of crocin on the expression of MDR1, the cells were treated with different concentrations of crocin for 48 h. Then, the total RNA was extracted by RNA TriPure Isolation kit and its concentration was specified by the NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Comparative quantitative Real-time reverse transcription-PCR was done using One-Step SYBR PrimeScriptTM RT-PCR Kit II and real-time cycler Mx3000PTM Stratagen (Stratagen, USA) to evaluate *MDR1* expression level in gastric cancer cell lines. Beta-actin was used as a normalizer gene. The sequences of primers were as following: MDR1 Forward: 5'- CCCATCATTGCAATAGCAGG-3' and MDR1 Reverse: 5'- TGTTCAAACTTCTGCTCCTGA-3' (Taheri et al., 2017); Beta-actin Forward: 5'-TCATGAAGTGTGACGTGGACATC-3' and Betaactin reverse 5'-CAGGAGGAGCAATGATCTTGATCT-3'(Kalalinia et al., 2012; Kalalinia et al., 2014). cDNA synthesis was performed at the beginning of the process (50°C for 5 min), and RT-PCR reaction was performed according to manufacturer's protocol: 95°C for 2 min and PCR amplification cycles (40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min). The relative expression of MDR1 gene were reported as the ratio of target/reference gene expression in the EPG85-257RDB cells divided by the ratio of target/reference gene expression in the EPG85-257 cells.

Statistical analysis

Results were reported as mean \pm SD. All experiments were performed at least three times independently. Statistical analysis was done by SPSS version 16.0 using ANOVA, with Tukey's posthoc test. P values under 0.05 considered as significant.

Results

Effects of crocin on the proliferation rate of gastric cancer cell lines

MTT cytotoxicity assay was performed to discover the effects of crocin on the cell survival of EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cell lines. The results displayed that crocin significantly reduced the survival of the EPG85-257 cell line in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 1a). The half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀) of crocin after treatment for 24, 48, and 72 h, were 83, 78, and 80 μ M, respectively. A similar inhibitory pattern with less intensity was observed in the EPG85-257RDB cells (Figure 1b). None of the crocin treatments in the drug-resistant cell line could decrease cell viability by more than 50 percent.

Table 1. IC $_{50}$ Value of Doxorubicin in EPG85-257 Cell Lines in Different Duration Time

Duration of doxorubicin	$\frac{IC_{50} \text{ (nM)}}{EPG85-257 \text{ cell}}$	IC ₅₀ (nM) EPG85- 257RDB cell
treatment (hours)	320	435
48	341	415
72	266	



Figure 1. The Effects of Crocin on the Cell Viability of EPG85-257 (a) and EPG85-257RDB (b) cell lines. The cells were incubated with various concentrations of crocin at 37 °C for 4, 24, 48 and 72 h. Cell viability was measured by the MTT assay. Each experiment was repeated independently three times in triplicate tests and data are shown as mean \pm SD. * $P \le 0.05$; ** $P \le 0.01$; *** $P \le 0.001$



Figure 2. Basal Expression of MDR1 in Gastric Cancer Cell Lines was Analyzed by Real Time RT- PCR. MDR1 mRNA level of EPG85-257RDB drug resistance cell line was compared to EPG85-257 parental drug sensitive cells. Real-time RT-PCR was performed on total RNA and normalized to β -actin. Values is shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

MDR1 mRNA expression in the EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cells

Real-time RT-PCR was used to measure the differences



Figure 3. The Effects of Crocin on the MDR1 mRNA Expression Levels in the EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB Cell Lines. Cells were treated for 48 h with crocin (0–100 μ M), and MDR1 gene expression was measured by real-time RT-PCR using total RNA extracted from control and treated cells. Values were normalized to the β -actin content of each samples. The results were expressed as the ratio of target/reference of the treated samples divided by the ratio of target/reference of the untreated control sample and expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 4).

in the MDR1 mRNA expression level in EPG85-257RDB drug-resistant cells compared to EPG85-257 parental drug-sensitive cells. As shown in Figure 2, the MDR1



Figure 4. Viability Assay of EPG85-257 (a) and EPG85-257RDB (b) cell lines under the treatment with doxorubicin. The cells were incubated with different concentrations of doxorubicin (0–500 nM) for 4, 24, 48 and 72 h. Cell viability was measured by the MTT assay. Each experiment was repeated independently three times in triplicate tests and data are shown as mean \pm SD. ***P \leq 0.001

DOI:10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.7.1959 Crocin Supress MDR1

mRNA expression level in EPG85-257RDB cells was 528 times more than EPG85-257 cells.

The effects of crocin on MDR1 mRNA expression in EPG85-257 cell lines

The cells were treated with several concentrations of crocin (0–100 μ M) for 48 h to assess the expression level of *MDR1 mRNA* using Real-time RT-PCR method. The results showed that the expression of the *MDR1* gene did not change significantly within 48 h treatment with crocin in parental and resistance tested cell lines (Figure 3).

The effects of crocin on the MDR1 transporter activity

The sensitivity of gastric cancer cell lines to the doxorubicin (substrate of MDR1 pump) was analyzed in the presence or absence of different concentrations of crocin to explore the effects of crocin on the MDR1 transporter activity. At first, the IC₅₀ value of doxorubicin on EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB cells were determined by treating the cells with several concentrations of doxorubicin (0–500 nM) for 24, 48, and 72 h. It was observed that the survival rate of the EPG85-257 cells that were treated with several concentrations of doxorubicin had a significant decrease compared to the



Figure 5. The Cytotoxicity Effects of Cotreatment of the EPG85-257 Cells with Crocin and Doxorubicin was Analyzed by MTT Assay. EPG85-257 cells treated with different concentrations of crocin and doxorubicin for 24 hours (A), 48 hours (B) and 72 hours (C). The results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3); *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001

Seyedeh Mahya Shariat Razavi et al

control cells (doxorubicin with zero concentration) in a time and concentration-dependent manner (Figure 4a). Also, the viability of EPG85-257RDB cell line was decreased significantly after 24 and 48 h treatment with doxorubicin in a concentration-dependent manner while this decreased was observed with less intensity after 72 h (Figure 4b). These anti-cell viability effects of doxorubicin were observed with a higher intensity in the parental EPG85-257 cells compared to resistance EPG85-257 RDB cells. The IC₅₀ values of doxorubicin in EPG85-257 and EPG85-257 RDB cell lines were shown in Table 1.

In the next step, the cells were treated simultaneously with different concentrations of crocin (0, 25, 50 and 100 μ M) and doxorubicin (0, 15.6, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 nM) to measure the impact of crocin on the cytotoxicity

of doxorubicin. The viability of the cells was evaluated in different incubation times (24, 48, and 72 h) using MTT assay. It was observed that the crocin treatment lead to a slight decrease in cell viability of EPG85-257 cells that has been simultaneously treated with doxorubicin (Figure 5). Furthermore, the results of MTT assay showed that different concentrations of crocin increased cytotoxicity of doxorubicin in the EPG85-257RDB cell line at different times (Figure 6). The reduction of cell viability was more intensive and mainly dependent on crocin concentration at 24 and 48 hours.

Discussion

Multidrug resistance is a key reason for chemotherapy



Figure 6. The Cytotoxicity Effects of Cotreatment of the EPG85-257 RDB Cells with Crocin and Doxorubicin was Analyzed Using MTT Assay. EPG85-257 cells treated with different concentrations of crocin and doxorubicin for 24 hours (A), 48 hours (B) and 72 hours (C). The results are expressed as mean \pm SD (n = 3); *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001

¹⁹⁶⁴ Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 21

inefficiency in cancer treatment. One of the main molecular mechanisms involved in multidrug resistance is an enhanced efflux of the drugs by ABC transporters, such as MDR1 (multidrug resistance protein1), that are expressed on the surface of the cells and pump chemotherapy drugs out of the cell. Many studies tried to find the specific inhibitors of ABC transporters to fade drug resistance phenomena in cancers (Li et al., 2016). Crocin has various anti-cancer activities in different cancer cells (Zhao et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2010; Bolhassani et al., 2014), and could be used for cancer treatment. In our study, we evaluated the effects of crocin on the expression level and function of MDR1 in the human gastric cancer cell line EPG85-257 and its drug-resistant derivative cell line (EPG85-257RDB).

Doxorubicin is a substrate for MDR1 transporter (Abolhoda et al., 1999), and a positive correlation between MDR1 expression and doxorubicin resistance in human cancer cell lines has been shown, previously (Mechetner et al., 1998). Our studies demonstrated that MDR1 gene expression in EPG85-257RDB, which is a multidrug-resistant human gastric carcinoma cell line, is about 528 times more than its parental drug-sensitive EPG85-257 cell line. It was also shown that the cytotoxicity effect of doxorubicin was more intensive in EPG85-257 cells in compared with EPG85-257RDB cells. According to these results, it seems that the higher expression of MDR1 in EPG85-257RDB cells leads to higher efflux of doxorubicin and less cell cytotoxicity effect of it. Furthermore, similar results were observed when the cells were treated with crocin. The inhibitory effect of crocin on the cell viabilty was more intensive in the parental EPG85-257 cells than EPG85-257RDB cells. It may be concluded that crocin might act as a substrate for MDR1 transporter.

Various studies focused on the molecular mechanism of anti-cancer activity of crocin in different human cancer cells. In a study on bladder cancer T24 cell line, cDNA microarray analyses showed that expression of 836 genes was changed after crocin treatment. Most of the genes encoded the proteins that are essential for the regulation of cell cycle and cell apoptosis, or they act as transcription factors (Lv et al., 2008). Crocin could arrest the cell cycle and leads to cell apoptosis through inhibiting the expression of cyclin D1, Bcl-2, survivin, and LDHA (lactate dehydrogenase A) (Zhao et al., 2008; D'Alessandro et al., 2013). It can also affect tumor cell cycle and apoptosis by increasing the activity and expression of Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2) and Bax (Hoshyar et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014). The results of a study on HepG2 cells showed that crocin had an inhibitory effect on telomerase activity, which can be a reason for its anti-proliferative role (Noureini and Wink, 2012). Crocin, in combination with cisplatin, could suppress invasion and induce apoptosis (Li et al., 2013). Proteomic analysis revealed that crocin binds to different cell membrane proteins like membrane transporters and enzymes that have a role in signal transduction and ATP homeostasis (Hosseinzadeh et al., 2014).

Moreover, the inhibitory effect of crocin as a carotenoid, among other carotenoids, such as β -carotene,

DOI:10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.7.1959 Crocin Supress MDR1

retinoic acid, canthaxanthin, on MDR1 (P-glycoprotein) had been investigated. Crocin decreased MDR1 expression in the T lymphoblast CCRF-CEM cell line and could act as an adjuvant to sensitize cells to chemotherapy (Eid et al., 2012). Similarly, in a study on the ovarian cancer cell line, the effect of crocin on the expression and function of MRP1 and MRP2 (Multidrug resistance-associated protein 1), other sub-family of ABC transporters, was examined. Crocin significantly reduced the expression level of MRP1 and MRP2 (up to about 50% and 60% respectively) in A2780/RCIS cells. It increased doxorubicin cytotoxicity in A2780/RCIS cells significantly but did not have an impact on A2780 cells (Mahdizadeh et al., 2016). Compared to previous studies, it can be concluded that the crocin impact and its severity on the receptor expression and activity may depend on the type of cancer cell and receptor.

In the present study, we investigated the effects of crocin on MDR1 expression and activity. In order to achieve this goal, the sensitivity of gastric cancer cell lines to doxorubicin in the presence or absence of nontoxic concentrations of crocin were analyzed. The MDR1 activity assay was performed in two different ways. First, the short-time effect was analyzed by MTT assay after the treatment of the cells with crocin to determine the direct interaction of crocin and transporters. Second, the long-time effect was assayed by real-time RT- PCR to evaluate crocin indirect effects on transporters' gene expression. Analysis of the short-time effect of crocin showed that it significantly increased the sensitivity of EPG85-257RDB cells to doxorubicin in a concentrationdependent manner. On the other hand, it was observed that crocin has no long term effect on MDR1 by changing its expression. Our results indicate that crocin can increase cell sensitivity to doxorubicin, and it can be used as adjuvants in cancer chemotherapy.

In the current study, we aimed to investigate the ability of crocin on inhibiting multidrug resistance in human gastric cancer cell lines by restricting the function of MDR1 transporter. Our results showed that crocin could not change the *MDR1* gene expression. It seems that crocin can increase the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin in the human EPG85-257 and EPG85-257RDB gastric cell lines. Further studies are recommended to clarify if using chemotherapeutics in combination with crocin could be an effective method to increase chemotherapy efficacy and reduce the effect of MDR in gastric cancer treatment.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the Research Council of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran, for approval and financial support of this project.

References

- Abolhoda A, Wilson AE, Ross H, et al (1999). Rapid activation of MDR1 gene expression in human metastatic sarcoma after in vivo exposure to doxorubicin. *Clin Cancer Res*, 5, 3352-6.
- Amin A, Bajbouj K, Koch A, et al (2015). Defective autophagosome formation in p53-null colorectal cancer reinforces crocin-induced apoptosis. *Int J Mol Sci*, 16,

Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 21 1965

1544-61

- Asdaq SMB, Inamdar MN (2010). Potential of Crocus sativus (saffron) and its constituent, crocin, as hypolipidemic and antioxidant in rats. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*, **162**, 358-72.
- Bolhassani A, Khavari A, Bathaie SZ (2014). Saffron and natural carotenoids: Biochemical activities and anti-tumor effects. *BBA-Rev Cancer*, 1845, 20-30.
- D'Alessandro AM, Mancini A, Lizzi AR, et al (2013). Crocus sativus stigma extract and its major constituent crocin possess significant antiproliferative properties against human prostate cancer. *Nutr Cancer*, **65**, 930-42.
- Eid SY, El-Readi MZ, Wink M (2012). Carotenoids reverse multidrug resistance in cancer cells by interfering with ABC-transporters. *Phytomedicine*, **19**, 977-87.
- Hadizadeh F, Mohajeri S, Seifi M (2010). Extraction and purification of crocin from saffron stigmas employing a simple and efficient crystallization method. *Pak J Biol Sci*, **13**, 691-8.
- He S-Y, Qian Z-Y, Tang F-T, et al (2005). Effect of crocin on experimental atherosclerosis in quails and its mechanisms. *Life Sci*, **77**, 907-21.
- Hire RR, Srivastava S, Davis MB, et al (2017). Antiproliferative activity of crocin involves targeting of microtubules in breast cancer cells. *Sci Rep*, **7**, 44984.
- Holohan C, Van Schaeybroeck S, Longley DB, et al (2013). Cancer drug resistance: an evolving paradigm. *Nat Rev Cancer*, **13**, 714-26.
- Hoshyar R, Bathaie SZ, Sadeghizadeh M (2013). Crocin triggers the apoptosis through increasing the Bax/Bcl-2 ratio and caspase activation in human gastric adenocarcinoma, AGS, cells. *DNA Cell Biol*, **32**, 50-7.
- Hosseinzadeh H, Mehri S, Heshmati A, et al (2014). Proteomic screening of molecular targets of crocin. DARU, 22, 1-10.
- Hosseinzadeh H, Ziaee T, Sadeghi A (2008). The effect of saffron, Crocus sativus stigma, extract and its constituents, safranal and crocin on sexual behaviors in normal male rats. *Phytomedicine*, **15**, 491-5.
- Kalalinia F, Elahian F, Hassani M, et al (2012). Phorbol ester TPA modulates chemoresistance in the drug sensitive breast cancer cell line MCF-7 by inducing expression of drug efflux transporter ABCG2. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 13, 2979-84.
- Kalalinia F, Elahian F, Mosaffa F, et al (2014). Celecoxib up regulates the expression of drug efflux transporter ABCG2 in breast cancer cell lines. *Iran J Pharm Res*, 13, 1393.
- Kim SH, Lee JM, Kim SC, et al (2014). Proposed cytotoxic mechanisms of the saffron carotenoids crocin and crocetin on cancer cell lines. *Biochem Cell Biol*, **92**, 105-11.
- Li W, Zhang H, Assaraf YG, et al (2016). Overcoming ABC transporter-mediated multidrug resistance: molecular mechanisms and novel therapeutic drug strategies. *Drug Resist Updat*, **27**, 14-29.
- Li X, Huang T, Jiang G, et al (2013). Synergistic apoptotic effect of crocin and cisplatin on osteosarcoma cells via caspase induced apoptosis. *Toxicol lett*, **221**, 197-204.
- Lopresti AL, Drummond PD (2014). Saffron (Crocus sativus) for depression: a systematic review of clinical studies and examination of underlying antidepressant mechanisms of action. *Hum Psychopharmacol*, 29, 517-27.
- Löscher W, Potschka H (2005). Blood-brain barrier active efflux transporters: ATP-binding cassette gene family. *Neurotherapeutics*, **2**, 86-98.
- Lv C, Luo C, Ji H, et al (2008). Influence of crocin on gene expression profile of human bladder cancer cell lines T24. *J Chin Materia Med*, **33**, 1612-7.
- Mahdizadeh S, Karimi G, Behravan J, et al (2016). Crocin suppresses multidrug resistance in MRP overexpressing ovarian cancer cell line. *DARU*, 24, 17.
- **1966** Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, Vol 21

- Mechetner E, Kyshtoobayeva A, Zonis S, et al (1998). Levels of multidrug resistance (MDR1) P-glycoprotein expression by human breast cancer correlate with in vitro resistance to taxol and doxorubicin. *Clin Cancer Res*, **4**, 389-98.
- Mitchison DA (1998). How drug resistance emerges as a result of poor compliance during short course chemotherapy for tuberculosis [Counterpoint]. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 2, 10-5.
- Mollaei H, Safaralizadeh R, Babaei E, et al (2017). The anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects of crocin on chemosensitive and chemoresistant cervical cancer cells. *Biomed Pharmacother*, **94**, 307-16.
- Mosaffa F, Lage H, Afshari JT, et al (2009). Interleukin-1 beta and tumor necrosis factor-alpha increase ABCG2 expression in MCF-7 breast carcinoma cell line and its mitoxantroneresistant derivative, MCF-7/MX. *J Inflamm Res*, **58**, 669-76.
- Nam KN, Park Y-M, Jung H-J, et al (2010). Anti-inflammatory effects of crocin and crocetin in rat brain microglial cells. *Eur J Pharmacol*, **648**, 110-6.
- Noureini SK, Wink M (2012). Antiproliferative effects of crocin in HepG2 cells by telomerase inhibition and hTERT down-regulation. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **13**, 2305-9.
- Pitsikas N, Boultadakis A, Georgiadou G, et al (2008). Effects of the active constituents of Crocus sativus L., crocins, in an animal model of anxiety. *Phytomedicine*, **15**, 1135-9.
- Razavi SMS, Forghanifard MM, Kordi-Tamandani DM, et al (2019). MAML1 regulates EMT markers expression through NOTCH-independent pathway in breast cancer cell line MCF7. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, **510**, 376-82.
- Rugge M, Fassan M, Graham DY (2015). Epidemiology of gastric cancer. In 'Gastric Cancer', Eds Springer, pp 23-34.
- Safarzadeh E, Shotorbani SS, Baradaran B (2014). Herbal medicine as inducers of apoptosis in cancer treatment. *Adv Pharm Bull*, **4**, 421-7.
- Samuel P, Fabbri M, Carter DRF (2017). Mechanisms of drug resistance in cancer: The role of extracellular vesicles. *Proteomics*, **17**, 1-23.
- Taheri M, Jamialahmadi K, Kalalinia F (2017). Unexpected lower expression of oncoprotein gankyrin in drug resistant ABCG2 overexpressing breast cancer cell lines. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 18, 3413-8.
- Tatebe S, Sinicrope FA, Kuo MT (2002). Induction of multidrug resistance proteins MRP1 and MRP3 and γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase gene expression by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in human colon cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, **290**, 1427-33.
- Wang K, Zhang L, Rao W, et al (2015). Neuroprotective effects of crocin against traumatic brain injury in mice: Involvement of notch signaling pathway. *Neurosci Lett*, **591**, 53-8.
- Wu Y, Pan R, Geng P (2010). The effect of Crocin against hypoxia damage of myocardial cell and its mechanism. *Zhongguo Ying Yong Sheng Li Xue Za Zhi*, 26, 453-7.
- Xu H, Zhong R, Zhao Y, et al (2010). Proliferative inhibition and apoptotic induction effects of crocin on human leukemia HL-60 cells and their mechanisms. *Zhongguo Ying Yong Sheng Li Xue Za Zhi*, **18**, 887-92.
- Zhang D, Fan D (2007). Multidrug resistance in gastric cancer: recent research advances and ongoing therapeutic challenges. *Expert Rev Anticancer ther*, **7**, 1369-78.
- Zhao P, Luo C, Wu X, et al (2008). Proliferation apoptotic influence of crocin on human bladder cancer T24 cell line. *J Chin Materia Med*, **33**, 1869-73.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.