

Bone Engineering Scaffolds With Exosomes: A Promising Strategy for Bone Defects Repair

Mingming Zhang^{1,2†}, Yi Li^{1,2†}, Taojin Feng^{1,2}, Ran Li^{1,2}, Zhongqi Wang^{1,2}, Licheng Zhang^{1,2*}, Pengbin Yin^{1,2*} and Peifu Tang^{1,2}

¹Department of Orthopedics, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China, ²National Clinical Research Center for Orthopedics, Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing, China

The treatment of bone defects is still an intractable clinical problem, despite the fact that numerous treatments are currently available. In recent decades, bone engineering scaffolds have become a promising tool to fill in the defect sites and remedy the deficiencies of bone grafts. By virtue of bone formation, vascular growth, and inflammation modulation, the combination of bone engineering scaffolds with cell-based and cell-free therapy is widely used in bone defect repair. As a key element of cell-free therapy and promote bone tissue regeneration via the potential of osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation modulation. Hence, this review aimed at overviewing the bone defect microenvironment and healing mechanism, summarizing current advances in bone engineering scaffolds and exosomes in bone defects to probe for future applications.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jianxun Ding, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Xiaoxiao Cai, Sichuan University, China Lei Sui, Tianjin Medical University, China

*Correspondence:

Licheng Zhang zhanglcheng218@126.com Pengbin Yin yinpengbin@gmail.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Biomaterials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

> Received: 14 April 2022 Accepted: 06 May 2022 Published: 15 June 2022

Citation:

Zhang M, Li Y, Feng T, Li R, Wang Z, Zhang L, Yin P and Tang P (2022) Bone Engineering Scaffolds With Exosomes: A Promising Strategy for Bone Defects Repair. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 10:920378. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.920378 Keywords: bone tissue engineering, scaffold, bone defect, bone regeneration, cell-free therapy, exosome

INTRODUCTION

Bone is one of the important organs of the musculoskeletal system, which has load-bearing abilities and can perform locomotion as well as protect the internal organs. When suffering from high-energy trauma, nonunion, osteomyelitis, and tumor resection, loss of bone tissues will result in bone defects (Ma et al., 2021). Bone tissues are constantly remodeled and have better self-repair and regeneration ability, which allows the damaged bone tissues to fully recover to pre-injury integrity and mechanical properties (Majidinia et al., 2018). On the contrary, when the defects exceed the regeneration ability due to insufficient blood supply, local infection, drug side effects, malnutrition, etc., it will be difficult for large-sized bone defects to return to normal and seriously affect the patients' motor function and life quality, which necessitates extra clinical treatments (Nauth et al., 2018).

For bone defects, the aim of rehabilitation is to recover the mechanical and functional integrity of the structure, so bone grafts and bone graft substitutes become suitable choices, which are widely explored for a better therapeutic effect (Li S. et al., 2021). The current available grafts include autologous bone grafts, allogeneic bone grafts, heterogenous bone grafts, and synthetic grafts, as well as cell-based therapy and cell-free therapy such as stem cells, bioactive factors, and extracellular vesicles (Baldwin et al., 2019; Wang and Yeung, 2017). Whether autologous bones or allogeneic and heterogenous bones, all have limitations for the treatment of bone defects, which make it difficult to meet the clinical demands (Schmidt, 2021). Consequently, it is urgent to develop alternative synthetic graft substitutes such as bone engineering scaffolds. An ideal bone engineering scaffold should meet the following criteria: excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, osteoconduction, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis (Turnbull et al., 2018). To date, inorganic components, natural polymers, synthetic

polymers, and metals, such as hydroxyapatite, collagen, poly(lactic acid), black phosphorus, and magnesium alloys, have been utilized in bone tissue engineering scaffolds (Amiryaghoubi et al., 2020; Bharadwaz and Jayasuriya, 2020; Zhang B. et al., 2021).

To fill in the bone defect sites and achieve desired therapeutic outcomes, bone engineering scaffolds are often integrated with stem cells, bioactive molecules, and extracellular vesicles (Brennan et al., 2020). Though bone engineering scaffolds provide stem cells with a platform for cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation, the stem cells are also not ideal supplementary materials due to low survival rate, immunological rejection, tumorigenesis, and microthrombosis (Brennan et al., 2020). The extracellular vesicles, such as exosomes, have been proven to present parental cells and deliver bioactive molecules (e.g., nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and metabolites), thus having the ability of osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation modulation, which promise to be desirable components combining with bone engineering scaffolds to repair bone defects (Huber et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018). The applications of exosomes and bone engineering scaffolds are still to be further researched, and there remain some problems to be solved. Therefore, this review will first focus on the bone defect microenvironment and bone healing mechanism. Based on this, we will discuss current treatments of bone defects and especially highlight bone engineering scaffolds and cell-free therapy. Then we will summarize the applications of exosomes and bone engineering scaffolds in bone defects. The potential problems and improvements to optimize exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds are also discussed.

BONE DEFECT MICROENVIRONMENT AND BONE HEALING MECHANISM

The bone tissue structures are composed of cortical bone and cancellous bone (Buck and Dumanian, 2012). The cortical bone, consisting of osteons, acts as a supporter due to high mechanical strength. The cancellous bone, a porous structure, is composed of trabecular bones and bone marrows, which is the harbor of hematopoiesis and bone metabolism (Buck and Dumanian, 2012). In general, bone tissues are constantly in the state of dynamic absorption and remodeling, making it possible for bone tissues to adapt to growth, development, and dynamic mechanic load (Oftadeh et al., 2015). However, the normal function of the bone depends on its structural and compositional integrity, and bone regeneration depends on an ideal microenvironment. For a critical-sized defect, a bone engineering scaffold will provide the damaged bones with mechanical support and a microenvironment favorable for regeneration (Roseti et al., 2017). Therefore, an in-depth understanding of the bone defect microenvironment will provide clues for developing a better bone engineering scaffold system and promoting bone regeneration.

Bone Defect Microenvironment

The bone defect microenvironment refers to the dynamic composition and cross-interactions of various cells and

molecules in the bone defect sites (Figure 1). The microenvironment is extremely complex. On one hand, it spans the various stages of bone healing in terms of time, including the inflammation stage, bone formation stage, and remodeling stage (Orvan et al., 2015). On the other hand, its composition includes a wide variety of cells, such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), hematopoietic stem cells, immune cells, endothelial cells, osteoblasts and osteoclasts, and various bioactive factors, such as receptor activator of nuclear factorkappa B ligand (RANKL), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), and interferon-gamma (IFN- γ), which engage in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation modulation (Safari et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2021). Detailed summaries and discussions have been reviewed by Zhu et al. (2021). In the meanwhile, a recent single-cell sequencing study revealed that skeletal muscle-derived mesenchymal progenitors also engage in bone repair, which will explain why adjacent tissues also matter (Julien et al., 2021). In addition, Zhang H. et al. (2021) suggest that B cells are key regulators of bone healing in the bone marrow microenvironment due to the opposite pattern between B cells and bone formation and resorption activities. Considering the fact that outcomes of bone defect healing are uncertain under the influence of different risk factors, such as age, nutritional status, and contamination degree (Nicholson et al., 2021), we suspected that differences in the bone defect microenvironment may contribute to it. Therefore, further research studies on the bone defect microenvironment will help to explain the bone healing mechanism and pathogenesis of nonunion and delayed union, providing novel ideas and more personalized strategies for clinical practice.

Bone Healing Mechanism

The defects sites initiate a cellular cascade to repair injury and promote regeneration shortly after the occurrence of bone defects. These cells participate in several continuous events, including hematoma formation, inflammatory reaction, fibrous callus formation, intramembranous ossification, endochondral ossification, and bone remodeling, which accompany an orderly cascade of anabolism and catabolism (Li et al., 2019). Specifically, blood clots in the damaged areas and immune cells migrate to remove the necrotic components. Next, recruited fibroblasts, osteoprogenitor cells, and MSCs proliferate and differentiate to form a fibrous tissue, followed by intramembranous and endochondral ossification. In the final stage, the new bone tissues are constantly absorbed and remodeled under mechanical forces, forming an orderly bone structure and returning to normal function (Kalfas, 2001; Zhu et al., 2021). Intramembranous ossification means that MSCs migrate and proliferate to form condensation, differentiate into osteoblasts, and secrete collagen, followed by vascular ingrowth and cortical bone and cancellous bone formation (Percival and Richtsmeier, 2013). Endochondral ossification means that MSCs differentiate into chondrocytes and secrete collagen matrix, followed by vascular ingrowth and cartilage degradation, finally forming the primary ossification center and secondary ossification center, and forming mature bone structure (Mackie et al.,



2011). In addition, many other cytokines are also involved in the process of bone healing (Zhu et al., 2021). Although bone tissues have the remarkable ability of healing, bone defects still do not return to normal when the defect ranges exceed the critical-sized bone defect, which means loss of a length exceeding 2-2.5 times the diameter of the damaged bone (Wiese and Pape, 2010). This will overwhelm the ability of bone regeneration because of mechanical instability and biological disadvantage, indicated by poor vasculature, bone nonunion, and pseudarthrosis, which requires clinical treatments to support mechanical stability and a suitable microenvironment so as to achieve functional reconstruction (Elliott et al., 2016). Consequently, the in-depth understanding of bone biology, bone defect microenvironment, and bone healing mechanisms will provide references for the design and application of bone engineering scaffolds with bioactive factors.

CURRENT TREATMENTS OF BONE DEFECTS

The interventions of large segmental bone defects usually require repair and reconstruction techniques (Nauth et al., 2018). However, the treatments of bone defects remain a striking challenge to date because of the shortage of autologous bone tissues and the lack of ideal graft materials (Wang and Yeung, 2017). With the development of materials science and engineering technology, the combination of advanced manufacturing technology with ideal materials, bone-implant interface modification, and the supplement of bioactive factors provides a broad space for the treatment of bone defects (Tovar et al., 2018; Turnbull et al., 2018).

Bone Graft Reconstruction

Bone grafts include autologous bone grafts, allogeneic bone grafts, and heterogenous bone grafts, all of which have different characteristics (Shang et al., 2021). Autologous bone grafts have fresh cortical and cancellous bone tissues containing viable osteoblasts, osteocytes, MSCs, and growth factors, thus possessing good osteoconductive, osteoinductive, and osteogenic properties. Owing to the maintenance of osteogenic potential and basis, autologous bone grafts are considered as the gold standard in the treatment of bone defects (Baldwin et al., 2019). However, some shortcomings restrict its application, for example, limited availability, donor site infection, hematoma, and pain (Schmidt, 2021). Allogeneic bone grafts refer to bone tissues from other individuals, which are immunogenic and have the risk of the spread of potential pathogens (Wang and Yeung, 2017). Therefore, processed and modified allogeneic bones overcome their own shortcomings and become the most available grafts, considered the best alternative to autografts. Demineralized bone matrix (DBM) is a highly processed allogeneic graft, which is often used to fill in bone defects (Hao et al., 2022). Similarly, heterogenous bone grafts face the same concerns, such as

TABLE 1	Brief comparison	of bone engineering	scaffold materials.

Material type	Example	Advantage	Disadvantage	References	
Inorganic components	Hydroxyapatite, CaP cements, and ceramics	High compressive strength and low ductility	Brittleness	Gao et al. (2014)	
Natural polymers	Collagen and chitosan	Good biocompatibility, osteoconductivity, and low immunogenicity	Degradation rate difficult to control and low mechanical stability	Ebhodaghe, (2021)	
Synthetic polymers	Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) and poly(lactic acid)	Controlled degradation rate, the possibility to design or tune bone mechanical properties, plasticity, and the potential to deliver soluble molecules	Lower ability to interact with cells	Amiryaghoubi et al. (2020)	
Metals	Magnesium alloys and titanium alloys	High strength and modulus, good biocompatibility	Degradation and hydrogen generation	Zhang et al. (2021c)	
Ideal scaffold	Biocompatible, non-toxic, bioresorbable, biodegradable, non-immunogenic, bioactive, biomimetic, customized shape, high porosity, and mechanical properties				

immunogenicity and disease transference (Amiryaghoubi et al., 2020). In general, due to the aforementioned drawbacks, bone grafts may not be the optimal ones, so it is necessary to design and manufacture promising bone engineering grafts with excellent osteoconduction, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis.

Bone Graft Substitute Reconstruction

The development of bone graft substitutes aimed at simulating natural bone tissues to produce bone scaffolds with excellent capacity for osteoconduction, osteoinduction, osteogenesis, and angiogenesis (Tan et al., 2021). At present, a variety of alternative materials have been utilized for scaffold engineering, including inorganic components (e.g., hydroxyapatite, CaP cement, and ceramics), natural polymers (e.g., collagen, chitosan, alginate, and hyaluronic acid), synthetic polymers (e.g., poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid), poly(lactic acid), and poly(caprolactone)), and metals (e.g., magnesium and magnesium alloys, Zn and Zn alloys, and titanium and titanium alloys) (Table 1) (Amiryaghoubi et al., 2020). The summaries of types and manufacturing technologies have been reviewed recently (Bharadwaz and Jayasuriya, 2020; Wang and Yeung, 2017). In addition, new engineering techniques are used to solve practical application problems, for example, a microfluidic 3D printing strategy fabricates photothermal responsive channeled scaffolds, which facilitate vascular ingrowth and bone regeneration (Wang et al., 2021). In general, advances in materials science and engineering technology gradually make it possible for more bone engineering scaffolds to be used in clinical practice.

Masquelet's Induced Membrane Technique

Masquelet's induced membrane technique is a two-stage surgical procedure to treat segmental bone defects, which was first reported in the mid-1980s (Alford et al., 2021). This procedure combines surgical techniques, bone healing mechanisms, and bone grafts, significantly promoting bone defect repair (Nauth et al., 2018). This procedure is divided into two stages. The first stage is to remove damaged tissue, implant a bone cement spacer, and install a fixation device, followed by waiting for formation of the surrounding foreignbody membrane. The secondary stage is to remove the spacer and fill the cavity with bone grafts or bone graft substitutes, followed by several months of healing (Alford et al., 2021). Though this procedure is promising, there are some problems to be solved, for example, time consumption, the lack of standard surgical details, and the shortage of evidence to supplement bioactive factors and optimize individual outcomes (Morelli et al., 2016).

Cell-Based Therapy and Cell-Free Therapy

Cell-based and cell-free scaffolds often combine bone engineering scaffolds with cells, cytokines, nucleic acids, or extracellular vesicles, which enhance the osteoinductive and osteogenic capacity of the scaffolds (Li et al., 2019). With multilineage differentiation potential and intrinsic properties, MSCs are the most promising stem cells being applied in bone regeneration medicine to promote wound healing, osteogenesis, and inflammation modulation (Chew et al., 2019). The advancing applications of MSCs in bone regeneration have been reviewed by Shang et al. (2021). Though relevant basic and clinical translational research studies are being carried out vigorously, cell-based therapy has some limitations, such as low viability, immune rejection reaction, tumorigenesis, and microthrombosis (Watanabe et al., 2021). Alternative options are based on the secretion and paracrine signaling of MSCs, that is, cell-free therapy; for example, growth factors, cytokines, nucleic acids, and extracellular vesicles (Zhang et al., 2022; Swanson et al., 2020). The types, time, and dosage of growth factors and cytokines supplementing scaffolds are important parameters, which depend on the stages of bone healing because the biomolecules' interaction is pretty intricate in a spatiotemporal manner (Safari et al., 2021). More efforts have been made to achieve controlled release of cytokines, which deserves further exploration. Moreover, as an important gene regulator at the post-transcriptional level, nucleic acids are supplemented to regulate gene expression and promote osteogenesis, which usually requires a carrier to transport them into the cells (Li Z. et al., 2021). In addition, extracellular vesicles possess the inherent capacity to carry biomolecules, thus mediating molecule delivery to promote regeneration (Ramis, 2020). Extracellular vesicles, including exosomes, apoptotic bodies, and microvesicles, particularly exosomes, have been reported to recapitulate the advantageous properties of stem cells and enhance the



effects of bone engineering scaffolds (Pishavar et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2016). The advancing applications of exosomes and scaffolds will be elaborated in the next section.

APPLICATIONS OF BONE ENGINEERING SCAFFOLDS WITH EXOSOMES IN BONE DEFECTS

The exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds synergize mechanical support and the ability of osteoconductivity, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis, which have been widely explored in bone defect animal models and achieve good therapeutic effects (**Figure 2**) (Wei et al., 2019). In recent years, the osteogenic property of bone engineering scaffolds has been continuously explored, and the investigation of angiogenesis has also been widely conducted (Turnbull et al., 2018).

Exosome Overview

Exosomes, originating from multivesicular bodies, are widely found in biofluids and cell mediums, which range from 30 to 150 nm in diameter (Liu A. et al., 2021). They carry nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and metabolites, playing key roles in intercellular communication (Lu et al., 2019). It has been reported that exosomes have diagnostic and therapeutic potential in various diseases, including bone defects (Al-Sowayan et al., 2020; Furuta et al., 2016). Compared with cell-based therapy, the sources of exosomes applied in bone defects are more widespread, which is not limited to stem cells. In addition, exosome-based therapy has several benefits, such as no immunogenicity, similar functional properties to stem cells, and no risks of tumorigenesis and engineering modification (Fan et al., 2020).

Exosomes in Osteogenesis, Angiogenesis, and Inflammation Modulation in Bone Defect Microenvironment

Nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and metabolites in exosomes engage in intercellular communication and impact the recipient cells to regulate biological functions (Escude et al., 2021). Relevant research studies show that exosomes are engulfed by surrounding target cells, such as osteoprogenitors, osteoblasts, endothelial cells, and immune cells, in the bone defect microenvironment, thereby widely participating in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation modulation.

Exosomes and Osteogenesis

In bone regeneration, the exosomes with osteogenic potential are able to promote MSCs to increase the expression of osteogenic factors and osteogenesis-related proteins, such as RUNX2, COL1A1, OPN, and ALP (Narayanan et al., 2016). Bioactive molecules in exosomes are key mediators of osteogenesis, and several reports show that microRNA (miRNA) is an important post-transcript regulator to modulate the expression of osteogenic-related genes(Liu W.-z. et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2021). For example, the RNA-sequencing of osteogenic exosomes from human MSCs suggests that exosomes include upregulated osteogenic miRNAs (Hsa-miR-146a-5p, Hsa-miR-503-5p, Hsa-miR-483-3p, and Hsa-miR-129-5p) or downregulated anti-osteogenic miRNAs (Hsa-miR-32-5p, HsamiR-133a-3p, and Hsa-miR-204-5p), which activate the PI3K/ Akt and MAPK signaling pathways (Zhai et al., 2020). In addition, MSC-released exosomal miR-1260a (Wu et al., 2021); miR-335 (Cao et al., 2021); miR-140 and miR-375 (Chen et al., 2019); miR-26a, miR-199a, miR-21, and miR-23a-3p (Hu et al., 2020); let-7a-5p, let-7c-5p, miR-328a-5p, and miR-31a-5p (Liu A. et al., 2021); and miR-150-5p (Jing et al., 2022) have been



reported to promote osteogenesis. The exosomes promoting osteogenesis involve many pathways, such as BMP/Smad, Wnt/β-catenin, PTEN/PI3K/Akt, and Hippo signaling pathways (Cao et al., 2021; Cui et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2016). There are other cell-derived exosomes promoting or inhibiting osteogenesis. For example, Li et al. (2016) showed that osteoclast-derived exosomal miR-214-3p inhibits osteogenesis and reduces bone formation. Weilner et al. (2016) reported that osteoblast-derived exosomal galectin-3 levels are positively correlated with osteoinductive potential. Qi et al. (2016) reported that human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived MSC-released exosomes significantly promote osteogenesis and angiogenesis. Li et al. (2018) showed that human adipose stem cell-derived exosomes promote the proliferation and differentiation of MSCs. Swanson et al. (2020) found that human dental pulp stem cell-derived exosomes facilitate MSC differentiation and mineralization. Wu et al. (2020) reported that Schwann cell-derived exosomes can promote the proliferation and differentiation of MSCs. Cao et al. (2021) found that mature cell-derived exosomes dendritic enhance osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. Moreover, some studies have focused on regulating exosomes to increase their osteogenic activity, such aptamer-functionalized exosomes, static as magnetic field-treated exosomes, exosomes endowed with plasmids, genetic engineered exosomes, hypoxic environment-treated exosomes, hydrogel-assisted 3D cultured exosomes, and exosomes with fusion peptide (Li et al., 2022; Luo et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2022; Shen et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2022; Zha et al., 2021). In general, the treatment of exosomes increases the osteogenic ability in the bone defect microenvironment, which is beneficial for bone defect repair.

Exosomes and Angiogenesis

Adequate blood supply is an important basis for successful bone regeneration. The effects of angiogenesis mediated by exosomes have been reported, indicated by the increased expression of angiogenesis factors, tube formation, endothelial cell proliferation, and migration (Zhang J. et al., 2021). For example, Wu et al. (2022) suggested that MSC-derived exosomal miR-21 target SPRY2, promotes angiogenesis. Another report shows that miR-21 promotes angiogenesis by the miR-21/NOTCH1/DLL4 signaling axis (Zhang Y. et al., 2021). Wu et al. (2021) found that MSC-derived exosomal miR-1260a enhanced angiogenesis via the inhibition of COL4A2. Sahoo et al. (2011) showed that MSC-derived exosomes increased endothelial cell viability. Jing et al. (2022) reported that stem cells from apical papilla-derived exosomes promote angiogenesis by miR-126-5p, indicated by increased expression of VEGF and ANG-1. In addition, hypoxic condition-treated cell-derived exosomes increase the tube formation (Liu et al., 2020). Zha et al. (2021) also reported that progenitor cell-derived exosomes endowed with VEGF plasmids release the VEGF gene to promote angiogenesis (Figure 3).

Exosomes and Inflammation Modulation

Inflammatory cells and immune cells are important components of the bone defect microenvironment, and a moderate inflammatory response is imperative for bone defect repair (Lin et al., 2022). It has been reported that exosomes play a role in inflammation modulation. For example, MSC-derived exosomes can promote macrophage polarization toward the M2 phenotype and inhibit the inflammatory response, indicated by the reduced gene and



exosomes. (C) Micro-CT evaluation of the effects of self-healing hydrogel containing exosomes.

protein expression of inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF- α (Guan et al., 2022; Jiang et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2021; Wang X. et al., 2020). Research shows that MSC-derived exosomes promote macrophage M2 polarization via the NF- κ B pathway (Fan et al., 2021). In addition, Lin et al. (2022) showed that HUVEC-derived exosomes overexpressing PD-L1 bind to PD-1 on the T-cell surface, which suppresses the activation of T cells and promotes MSCs toward osteogenic differentiation because of the inhibition of overactive inflammation. Consequently, exosomes are important

regulators of immune response and bone regeneration in the bone defect microenvironment.

To sum up, the role exosomes play in bone defect repair is multifaceted, including osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation regulation, which supplement each other. Specifically, the effects of exosomes in the bone defect microenvironment are due to 1) promotion of osteogenic differentiation of the target cells, 2) promotion of angiogenesis for providing an optimal bone regeneration niche, and 3) inflammation modulation for maintaining a moderate immune

TABLE 2 | Summary of the applications of exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds in bone defects.

Source of Exosomes	Isolation method	Scaffold	<i>In vivo</i> model	Effect of exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds	References
Human umbilical cord MSCs	Ultracentrifugation	Coralline hydroxyapatite (CHA)/silk fibroin (SF)/ glycol chitosan (GCS)/difunctionalized polyethylene glycol (DF-PEG) self-healing hydrogel	Femoral condyle defect	Pro-bone regeneration and pro- angiogenic activities <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Wang et al. (2020a)
Human umbilical cord MSCs	Ultracentrifugation	Injectable hydroxyapatite-embedded <i>in situ</i> cross-linked hyaluronic acid-alginate (HA-ALG) hydrogel	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Yang et al. (2020)
Bone marrow stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Tannic acid-modified sulfonated polyetheretherketone	Femoral condyle defect	Inflammation modulation and pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Fan et al. (2021)
Human adipose-derived stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Polydopamine-coating PLGA (PLGA/pDA) scaffolds	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Li et al. (2018)
MSCs derived from human-induced pluripotent stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	I ncalcium phosphate scatfolds	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration and pro- angiogenic activities <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Qi et al. (2016)
MSCs and preosteoblasts	ExoEasy kit	Calcium sulfate-nano-hydroxyapatite nanocement bone filler	Tibia defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Teotia et al. (2021)
MSCs	Ultracentrifugation	Mesoporous bioactive glass	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Liu et al. (2021a)
Schwann cells	Ultracentrifugation	Porous Ti6Al4V scaffolds	Femoral condyle defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Wu et al. (2020)
Human dental pulp stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) and poly(ethylene glycol) triblock copolymer microspheres	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Swanson et al. (2020)
MSCs	ExoQuick-TC kit	3D-printed titanium alloy scaffolds	Radial bone defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Zhai et al. (2020)
Chondrogenic progenitor cell line, ATDC5	Ultracentrifugation	3D-printed polycaprolactone scaffolds	Radial bone defect	Pro-bone regeneration and pro- angiogenic activities <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Zha et al. (2021)
Human adipose mesenchymal stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Hydrogel	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Chen et al. (2019)
Bone marrow stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Injectable thermo-sensitive hydrogels	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Ma et al. (2022)
Umbilical MSCs	Ultracentrifugation	Hyaluronic acid hydrogel	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration and pro- angiogenic activities <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	Zhang et al. (2021d)
Mature dendritic cells	Ultracentrifugation	Carboxymethyl cellulose-based hydrogel	Femoral bone defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Cao et al. (2021)
MSCs derived from human-induced pluripotent stem cells	Ultracentrifugation	Tricalcium phosphate scaffolds	Calvarial defect	Pro-bone regeneration activities in vitro and in vivo	Zhang et al. (2016)

response. Thus, the exosome is a kind of promising cell-free therapeutic material to repair bone defects.

Exosome-Integrated Bone Engineering Scaffolds *In Vivo* Bone Defects

The treatment of exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds provides not only mechanical support for bone defects but a suitable microenvironment for bone regeneration. The research studies on bone engineering scaffolds for bone defects primarily focus on the components, characteristics, interface modification, and the release of bioactive factors (Gandolfi et al., 2020; Nikhil and Kumar, 2022; Zhang et al., 2018). Although most of the scaffolds have been proven to possess the definite potential of osteogenesis, the supplement of exosomes can enhance their performance (Figure 4) (Tan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a). Exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds can promote osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammation modulation, which have been applied in various bone defect models, such as critical-sized mouse calvarial defects, mandibular bone defects, femoral condyle defects, and tibia defects in mice, rats, and sheep (Jia et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2019). The details of applications of exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds have been summarized in Table 2. In addition, exosome-integrated bone engineering scaffolds promote the recruitment and migration of resident MSCs, the activation of local potential, and the homing ability to the injured sites and newly formed bone tissue sites (Re et al., 2021). For example, Schwann cell-derived exosomes with porous titanium alloy can improve the effects of scaffolds in bone repair (Wu et al., 2020). Ma et al. (2022) reported that hydrogels combined with

exosomes and fusion peptides can enhance the therapeutic effect and the retention of exosomes. Yang et al. (2020) revealed that MSC-derived exosomes with injectable hydroxyapatite-embedded in situ cross-linked hyaluronic acid-alginate hydrogel can significantly enhance bone regeneration and retain the exosomes at the defect sites. Although bone engineering scaffolds with exosomes show great potential, there are still some questions to be answered. First, the contents and their functions in exosomes have not yet been illustrated completely, and the effectors of exosomes of different parent sources for bone defect repair are different. Second, the scaffold materials with better osteoconductivity, osteoinduction, osteogenesis, and mechanical support need to be improved. Lastly, the strategies of engineering exosomes, interface modifications, and controlled release in a spatiotemporal manner need to be designed and optimized. To sum up, the progress in materials and engineering technology drives the bone graft substitutes to solve the clinical problems, pointing out the direction of future research studies.

CONCLUSION

The treatment of bone defects is an intractable clinical problem and has attracted great attention around the world. In this review, the current treatments of bone defects and applications of bone engineering scaffolds with exosomes in bone defects are summarized. In addition, the bone defect microenvironment and bone healing mechanism are discussed. In bone defect

REFERENCES

- Al-Sowayan, B., Alammari, F., and Alshareeda, A. (2020). Preparing the Bone Tissue Regeneration Ground by Exosomes: From Diagnosis to Therapy. *Molecules* 25 (18), 4205. doi:10.3390/molecules25184205
- Alford, A. I., Nicolaou, D., Hake, M., and McBride-Gagyi, S. (2021). Masquelet's Induced Membrane Technique: Review of Current Concepts and Future Directions. J. Orthop. Res. 39 (4), 707–718. doi:10.1002/jor.24978
- Amiryaghoubi, N., Fathi, M., Pesyan, N. N., Samiei, M., Barar, J., and Omidi, Y. (2020). Bioactive Polymeric Scaffolds for Osteogenic Repair and Bone Regenerative Medicine. *Med. Res. Rev.* 40 (5), 1833–1870. doi:10.1002/med. 21672
- Baldwin, P., Li, D. J., Auston, D. A., Mir, H. S., Yoon, R. S., and Koval, K. J. (2019). Autograft, Allograft, and Bone Graft Substitutes: Clinical Evidence and Indications for Use in the Setting of Orthopaedic Trauma Surgery. J. Orthop. Trauma. 33 (4), 203–213. doi:10.1097/BOT.000000000001420
- Bharadwaz, A., and Jayasuriya, A. C. (2020). Recent Trends in the Application of Widely Used Natural and Synthetic Polymer Nanocomposites in Bone Tissue Regeneration. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 110, 110698. doi:10.1016/j.msec. 2020.110698
- Brennan, M. Á., Layrolle, P., and Mooney, D. J. (2020). Biomaterials Functionalized with MSC Secreted Extracellular Vesicles and Soluble Factors for Tissue Regeneration. Adv. Funct. Mat. 30 (37), 1909125. doi:10.1002/adfm.201909125
- Buck, D. W., and Dumanian, G. A. (2012). Bone Biology and Physiology. Plastic Reconstr. Surg. 129 (6), 1314–1320. doi:10.1097/PRS.0b013e31824eca94
- Cao, Z., Wu, Y., Yu, L., Zou, L., Yang, L., Lin, S., et al. (2021). Exosomal miR-335 Derived from Mature Dendritic Cells Enhanced Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Mediated Bone Regeneration of Bone Defects in Athymic Rats. *Mol. Med.* 27 (1). doi:10.1186/s10020-021-00268-5

repair, the supplement of exosomes enhances the effects of bone engineering scaffolds, in which miRNA is one of the important regulators. With the recognition of exosome contents, future patterns of specific miRNA or bioactive molecules with carriers will promote bone regeneration more precisely. Thus, a big step forward would be taken toward the successful treatment of bone defects, delayed union, and nonunion.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LZ, PY, and PT made major contributions to the conception of the work. MZ and YL drafted the manuscript. TF, RL, and ZW participated in the discussion, revised the manuscript, and drew the figures.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81972115 and 82002330) and Young Elite Scientist Sponsorship Program by the China Association for Science and Technology (No. 2020-JCJQ-QT-033).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The original elements used in the figures are from Servier Medical Art (http://smart.servier.com/).

- Chen, S., Tang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, P., Lv, L., Zhang, X., et al. (2019). Exosomes Derived from miR-375-overexpressing Human Adipose Mesenchymal Stem Cells Promote Bone Regeneration. *Cell Prolif.* 52 (5). doi:10.1111/cpr.12669
- Chew, J. R. J., Chuah, S. J., Teo, K. Y. W., Zhang, S., Lai, R. C., Fu, J. H., et al. (2019). Mesenchymal Stem Cell Exosomes Enhance Periodontal Ligament Cell Functions and Promote Periodontal Regeneration. Acta Biomater. 89, 252–264. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.03.021
- Cui, Y., Luan, J., Li, H., Zhou, X., and Han, J. (2016). Exosomes Derived from Mineralizing Osteoblasts Promote ST2 Cell Osteogenic Differentiation by Alteration of microRNA Expression. *FEBS Lett.* 590 (1), 185–192. doi:10. 1002/1873-3468.12024
- Ebhodaghe, S. O. (2021). Natural Polymeric Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering Applications. J. Biomaterials Sci. Polym. Ed. 32 (16), 2144–2194. doi:10.1080/ 09205063.2021.1958185
- Elliott, D. S., Newman, K. J. H., Forward, D. P., Hahn, D. M., Ollivere, B., Kojima, K., et al. (2016). A Unified Theory of Bone Healing and Nonunion. *Bone & Jt. J.* 98-B (7), 884–891. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.98B7.36061
- Escudé Martinez de Castilla, P., Tong, L., Huang, C., Sofias, A. M., Pastorin, G., Chen, X., et al. (2021). Extracellular Vesicles as a Drug Delivery System: A Systematic Review of Preclinical Studies. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 175, 113801. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2021.05.011
- Fan, J., Lee, C.-S., Kim, S., Chen, C., Aghaloo, T., and Lee, M. (2020). Generation of Small RNA-Modulated Exosome Mimetics for Bone Regeneration. ACS Nano 14 (9), 11973–11984. doi:10.1021/acsnano.0c05122
- Fan, L., Guan, P., Xiao, C., Wen, H., Wang, Q., Liu, C., et al. (2021). Exosomefunctionalized Polyetheretherketone-Based Implant with Immunomodulatory Property for Enhancing Osseointegration. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (9), 2754–2766. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.02.005
- Furuta, T., Miyaki, S., Ishitobi, H., Ogura, T., Kato, Y., Kamei, N., et al. (2016). Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes Promote Fracture Healing in a

Mouse Model. Stem Cells Transl. Med. 5 (12), 1620–1630. doi:10.5966/sctm.2015-0285

- Gandolfi, M. G., Gardin, C., Zamparini, F., Ferroni, L., Esposti, M. D., Parchi, G., et al. (2020). Mineral-doped Poly(L-Lactide) Acid Scaffolds Enriched with Exosomes Improve Osteogenic Commitment of Human Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Nanomaterials* 10 (3), 432. doi:10.3390/nano10030432
- Gao, C., Deng, Y., Feng, P., Mao, Z., Li, P., Yang, B., et al. (2014). Current Progress in Bioactive Ceramic Scaffolds for Bone Repair and Regeneration. *Ijms* 15 (3), 4714–4732. doi:10.3390/ijms15034714
- Guan, P., Liu, C., Xie, D., Mao, S., Ji, Y., Lin, Y., et al. (2022). Exosome-loaded Extracellular Matrix-Mimic Hydrogel with Anti-inflammatory Property Facilitates/promotes Growth Plate Injury Repair. *Bioact. Mater.* 10, 145–158. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.09.010
- Hao, J., Bai, B., Ci, Z., Tang, J., Hu, G., Dai, C., et al. (2022). Large-sized Bone Defect Repair by Combining a Decalcified Bone Matrix Framework and Bone Regeneration Units Based on Photo-Crosslinkable Osteogenic Microgels. *Bioact. Mater.* 14, 97–109. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.12.013
- Hu, H., Dong, L., Bu, Z., Shen, Y., Luo, J., Zhang, H., et al. (2020). miR-23a-3pabundant Small Extracellular Vesicles Released from Gelma/nanoclay Hydrogel for Cartilage Regeneration. J. Extracell. Vesicles 9 (1), 1778883. doi:10.1080/ 20013078.2020.1778883
- Huber, J., Griffin, M. F., Longaker, M. T., and Quarto, N. (2022). Exosomes: A Tool for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* 28 (1), 101–113. doi:10. 1089/ten.teb.2020.0246
- Jia, B., Yang, H., Zhang, Z., Qu, X., Jia, X., Wu, Q., et al. (2021). Biodegradable Zn-Sr Alloy for Bone Regeneration in Rat Femoral Condyle Defect Model: *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Studies. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (6), 1588–1604. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat. 2020.11.007
- Jiang, S., Tian, G., Yang, Z., Gao, X., Wang, F., Li, J., et al. (2021). Enhancement of Acellular Cartilage Matrix Scaffold by Wharton's Jelly Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes to Promote Osteochondral Regeneration. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (9), 2711–2728. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.01.031
- Jing, X., Wang, S., Tang, H., Li, D., Zhou, F., Xin, L., et al. (2022). Dynamically Bioresponsive DNA Hydrogel Incorporated with Dual-Functional Stem Cells from Apical Papilla-Derived Exosomes Promotes Diabetic Bone Regeneration. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 14, 16082–16099. doi:10.1021/acsami.2c02278
- Julien, A., Kanagalingam, A., Martínez-Sarrà, E., Megret, J., Luka, M., Ménager, M., et al. (2021). Direct Contribution of Skeletal Muscle Mesenchymal Progenitors to Bone Repair. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1). doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22842-5
- Kalfas, I. H. (2001). Principles of Bone Healing. Foc 10 (4), 1–4. doi:10.3171/foc. 2001.10.4.2
- Li, D., Liu, J., Guo, B., Liang, C., Dang, L., Lu, C., et al. (2016). Osteoclast-derived Exosomal miR-214-3p Inhibits Osteoblastic Bone Formation. *Nat. Commun.* 7 (1). doi:10.1038/ncomms10872
- Li, F., Wu, J., Li, D., Hao, L., Li, Y., Yi, D., et al. (2022). Engineering Stem Cells to Produce Exosomes with Enhanced Bone Regeneration Effects: An Alternative Strategy for Gene Therapy. J. Nanobiotechnol. 20 (1). doi:10.1186/s12951-022-01347-3
- Li, L., Lu, H., Zhao, Y., Luo, J., Yang, L., Liu, W., et al. (2019). Functionalized Cellfree Scaffolds for Bone Defect Repair Inspired by Self-Healing of Bone Fractures: A Review and New Perspectives. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 98, 1241–1251. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2019.01.075
- Li, S., Liu, Y., Tian, T., Zhang, T., Lin, S., Zhou, M., et al. (2021a). Bioswitchable Delivery of microRNA by Framework Nucleic Acids: Application to Bone Regeneration. *Small* 17 (47), 2104359. doi:10.1002/smll.202104359
- Li, W., Liu, Y., Zhang, P., Tang, Y., Zhou, M., Jiang, W., et al. (2018). Tissue-Engineered Bone Immobilized with Human Adipose Stem Cells-Derived Exosomes Promotes Bone Regeneration. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 10 (6), 5240–5254. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b17620
- Li, Y., Chen, M., Zhao, Y., Li, M., Qin, Y., Cheng, S., et al. (2020). Advance in Drug Delivery for Ageing Skeletal Muscle. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11. doi:10.3389/fphar. 2020.01016
- Li, Z., Zhang, X., Ouyang, J., Chu, D., Han, F., Shi, L., et al. (2021b). Ca2+-supplying Black Phosphorus-Based Scaffolds Fabricated with Microfluidic Technology for Osteogenesis. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (11), 4053–4064. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021. 04.014
- Lin, Z., Xiong, Y., Meng, W., Hu, Y., Chen, L., Chen, L., et al. (2022). Exosomal PD-L1 Induces Osteogenic Differentiation and Promotes Fracture Healing by

Acting as an Immunosuppressant. *Bioact. Mater.* 13, 300–311. doi:10.1016/j. bioactmat.2021.10.042

- Liu, A., Lin, D., Zhao, H., Chen, L., Cai, B., Lin, K., et al. (2021a). Optimized BMSC-Derived Osteoinductive Exosomes Immobilized in Hierarchical Scaffold via Lyophilization for Bone Repair through Bmpr2/Acvr2b Competitive Receptor-Activated Smad Pathway. *Biomaterials* 272, 120718. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials. 2021.120718
- Liu, M., Sun, Y., and Zhang, Q. (2018). Emerging Role of Extracellular Vesicles in Bone Remodeling. J. Dent. Res. 97 (8), 859–868. doi:10.1177/ 0022034518764411
- Liu, W.-z., Ma, Z.-j., Li, J.-r., and Kang, X.-w. (2021b). Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes: Therapeutic Opportunities and Challenges for Spinal Cord Injury. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 12 (1), 102. doi:10.1186/s13287-021-02153-8
- Liu, W., Li, L., Rong, Y., Qian, D., Chen, J., Zhou, Z., et al. (2020). Hypoxic Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes Promote Bone Fracture Healing by the Transfer of miR-126. *Acta Biomater*. 103, 196–212. doi:10.1016/j.actbio. 2019.12.020
- Lu, H., Zhang, Y., Xiong, S., Zhou, Y., Xiao, L., Ma, Y., et al. (2021). Modulatory Role of Silver Nanoparticles and Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosome-Modified Barrier Membrane on Macrophages and Osteogenesis. *Front. Chem.* 9. doi:10.3389/fchem.2021.699802
- Lu, J., Wang, Q.-Y., and Sheng, J.-G. (2019). Exosomes in the Repair of Bone Defects: Next-Generation Therapeutic Tools for the Treatment of Nonunion. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2019, 1–11. doi:10.1155/2019/1983131
- Luo, Z.-W., Li, F.-X. -Z., Liu, Y.-W., Rao, S.-S., Yin, H., Huang, J., et al. (2019). Aptamer-functionalized Exosomes from Bone Marrow Stromal Cells Target Bone to Promote Bone Regeneration. *Nanoscale* 11 (43), 20884–20892. doi:10. 1039/C9NR02791B
- Ma, L., Wang, X., Zhou, Y., Ji, X., Cheng, S., Bian, D., et al. (2021). Biomimetic Ti-6Al-4V Alloy/gelatin Methacrylate Hybrid Scaffold with Enhanced Osteogenic and Angiogenic Capabilities for Large Bone Defect Restoration. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (10), 3437–3448. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.03.010
- Ma, S., Wu, J., Hu, Hu, H., Mu, Y., Zhang, L., Zhao, Y., et al. (2022). Novel Fusion Peptides Deliver Exosomes to Modify Injectable Thermo-Sensitive Hydrogels for Bone Regeneration. *Mater. Today Bio* 13, 100195. doi:10.1016/j.mtbio.2021. 100195
- Mackie, E. J., Tatarczuch, L., and Mirams, M. (2011). The Skeleton: A Multi-Functional Complex Organ. The Growth Plate Chondrocyte and Endochondral Ossification. J. Endocrinol. 211 (2), 109–121. doi:10.1530/JOE-11-0048
- Majidinia, M., Sadeghpour, A., and Yousefi, B. (2018). The Roles of Signaling Pathways in Bone Repair and Regeneration. J. Cell. Physiol. 233 (4), 2937–2948. doi:10.1002/jcp.26042
- Morelli, I., Drago, L., George, D. A., Gallazzi, E., Scarponi, S., and Romanò, C. L. (2016). Masquelet Technique: Myth or Reality? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Injury* 47, S68–S76. doi:10.1016/S0020-1383(16)30842-7
- Narayanan, R., Huang, C.-C., and Ravindran, S. (2016). Hijacking the Cellular Mail: Exosome Mediated Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Stem Cells Int. 2016, 1–11. doi:10.1155/2016/3808674
- Nauth, A., Schemitsch, E., Norris, B., Nollin, Z., and Watson, J. T. (2018). Critical-Size Bone Defects: Is There a Consensus for Diagnosis and Treatment? J. Orthop. Trauma. 32 (3), S7-S11. doi:10.1097/BOT. 000000000001115
- Nicholson, J., Makaram, N., Simpson, A., and Keating, J. (2021). Fracture Nonunion in Long Bones: A Literature Review of Risk Factors and Surgical Management. *Injury* 52, S3–S11. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2020.11.029
- Nikhil, A., and Kumar, A. (2022). Evaluating Potential of Tissue-engineered Cryogels and Chondrocyte Derived Exosomes in Articular Cartilage Repair. *Biotech Bioeng.* 119 (2), 605–625. doi:10.1002/bit.27982
- Oftadeh, R., Perez-Viloria, M., Villa-Camacho, J. C., Vaziri, A., and Nazarian, A. (2015). Biomechanics and Mechanobiology of Trabecular Bone: A Review. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 137 (1). doi:10.1115/1.4029176
- Oryan, A., Monazzah, S., and Bigham-Sadegh, A. (2015). Bone Injury and Fracture Healing Biology. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 28 (1), 57–71. doi:10.3967/ bes2015.006
- Percival, C. J., and Richtsmeier, J. T. (2013). Angiogenesis and Intramembranous Osteogenesis. Dev. Dyn. 242 (8), 909–922. doi:10.1002/dvdy.23992
- Pishavar, E., Luo, H., Naserifar, M., Hashemi, M., Toosi, S., Atala, A., et al. (2021). Advanced Hydrogels as Exosome Delivery Systems for Osteogenic

Differentiation of MSCs: Application in Bone Regeneration. *Ijms* 22 (12), 6203. doi:10.3390/ijms22126203

- Qi, X., Zhang, J., Yuan, H., Xu, Z., Li, Q., Niu, X., et al. (2016). Exosomes Secreted by Human-Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Repair Critical-Sized Bone Defects through Enhanced Angiogenesis and Osteogenesis in Osteoporotic Rats. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 12 (7), 836–849. doi:10. 7150/ijbs.14809
- Qin, Y., Sun, R., Wu, C., Wang, L., and Zhang, C. (2016). Exosome: A Novel Approach to Stimulate Bone Regeneration through Regulation of Osteogenesis and Angiogenesis. *Ijms* 17 (5), 712. doi:10.3390/ijms17050712
- Ramis, J. M. (2020). Extracellular Vesicles in Cell Biology and Medicine. *Sci. Rep.* 10 (1). doi:10.1038/s41598-020-65826-z
- Re, F., Gabusi, E., Manferdini, C., Russo, D., and Lisignoli, G. (2021). Bone Regeneration Improves with Mesenchymal Stem Cell Derived Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) Combined with Scaffolds: A Systematic Review. *Biology* 10 (7), 579. doi:10.3390/biology10070579
- Roseti, L., Parisi, V., Petretta, M., Cavallo, C., Desando, G., Bartolotti, I., et al. (2017). Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering: State of the Art and New Perspectives. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 78, 1246–1262. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2017. 05.017
- Safari, B., Davaran, S., and Aghanejad, A. (2021). Osteogenic Potential of the Growth Factors and Bioactive Molecules in Bone Regeneration. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 175, 544–557. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.02.052
- Sahoo, S., Klychko, E., Thorne, T., Misener, S., Schultz, K. M., Millay, M., et al. (2011). Exosomes from Human CD34 + Stem Cells Mediate Their Proangiogenic Paracrine Activity. *Circ. Res.* 109 (7), 724–728. doi:10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.111.253286
- Schmidt, A. H. (2021). Autologous Bone Graft: Is it Still the Gold Standard? *Injury* 52, S18–S22. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2021.01.043
- Shang, F., Yu, Y., Liu, S., Ming, L., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Z., et al. (2021). Advancing Application of Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Based Bone Tissue Regeneration. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (3), 666–683. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2020.08.014
- Shen, K., Duan, A., Cheng, J., Yuan, T., Zhou, J., Song, H., et al. (2022). Exosomes Derived from Hypoxia Preconditioned Mesenchymal Stem Cells Laden in a Silk Hydrogel Promote Cartilage Regeneration via the miR-205-5p/PTEN/AKT Pathway. Acta Biomater. 143, 173–188. doi:10.1016/j. actbio.2022.02.026
- Swanson, W. B., Zhang, Z., Xiu, K., Gong, T., Eberle, M., Wang, Z., et al. (2020). Scaffolds with Controlled Release of Pro-mineralization Exosomes to Promote Craniofacial Bone Healing without Cell Transplantation. *Acta Biomater*. 118, 215–232. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2020.09.052
- Tan, B., Tang, Q., Zhong, Y., Wei, Y., He, L., Wu, Y., et al. (2021). Biomaterialbased Strategies for Maxillofacial Tumour Therapy and Bone Defect Regeneration. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 13 (1), 9. doi:10.1038/s41368-021-00113-9
- Tan, S. H. S., Wong, J. R. Y., Sim, S. J. Y., Tjio, C. K. E., Wong, K. L., Chew, J. R. J., et al. (2020). Mesenchymal Stem Cell Exosomes in Bone Regenerative Strategies-A Systematic Review of Preclinical Studies. *Mater. Today Bio* 7, 100067. doi:10.1016/j.mtbio.2020.100067
- Teotia, A. K., Qayoom, I., Singh, P., Mishra, A., Jaiman, D., Seppälä, J., et al. (2021). Exosome-Functionalized Ceramic Bone Substitute Promotes Critical-Sized Bone Defect Repair in Rats. ACS Appl. Bio Mat. 4 (4), 3716–3726. doi:10. 1021/acsabm.1c00311
- Tovar, N., Witek, L., Atria, P., Sobieraj, M., Bowers, M., Lopez, C. D., et al. (2018). Form and Functional Repair of Long Bone Using 3D-printed Bioactive Scaffolds. J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med. 12 (9), 1986–1999. doi:10. 1002/term.2733
- Turnbull, G., Clarke, J., Picard, F., Riches, P., Jia, L., Han, F., et al. (2018). 3D Bioactive Composite Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Bioact. Mater.* 3 (3), 278–314. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2017.10.001
- Wang, L., Wang, J., Zhou, X., Sun, J., Zhu, B., Duan, C., et al. (2020a). A New Self-Healing Hydrogel Containing hucMSC-Derived Exosomes Promotes Bone Regeneration. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020. 564731
- Wang, W., and Yeung, K. W. K. (2017). Bone Grafts and Biomaterials Substitutes for Bone Defect Repair: A Review. *Bioact. Mater.* 2 (4), 224–247. doi:10.1016/j. bioactmat.2017.05.007
- Wang, X., Ao, J., Lu, H., Zhao, Q., Ma, Y., Zhang, J., et al. (2020b). Osteoimmune Modulation and Guided Osteogenesis Promoted by

Barrier Membranes Incorporated with S-Nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) and Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes. *Ijn* 15, 3483–3496. doi:10.2147/IJN.S248741<

- Wang, X., Yu, Y., Yang, C., Shao, C., Shi, K., Shang, L., et al. (2021). Microfluidic 3D Printing Responsive Scaffolds with Biomimetic Enrichment Channels for Bone Regeneration. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 31 (40), 2105190. doi:10.1002/adfm. 202105190
- Watanabe, Y., Tsuchiya, A., and Terai, S. (2021). The Development of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy in the Present, and the Perspective of Cell-free Therapy in the Future. *Clin. Mol. Hepatol.* 27 (1), 70–80. doi:10. 3350/cmh.2020.0194
- Wei, F., Li, M., Crawford, R., Zhou, Y., and Xiao, Y. (2019). Exosome-integrated Titanium Oxide Nanotubes for Targeted Bone Regeneration. *Acta Biomater*. 86, 480–492. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.01.006
- Weilner, S., Keider, V., Winter, M., Harreither, E., Salzer, B., Weiss, F., et al. (2016). Vesicular Galectin-3 Levels Decrease with Donor Age and Contribute to the Reduced Osteo-Inductive Potential of Human Plasma Derived Extracellular Vesicles. *Aging* 8 (1), 16–30. doi:10.18632/aging. 100865
- Wiese, A., and Pape, H. C. (2010). Bone Defects Caused by High-Energy Injuries, Bone Loss, Infected Nonunions, and Nonunions. Orthop. Clin. N. Am. 41 (1), 1–4. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2009.07.003
- Wu, D., Chang, X., Tian, J., Kang, L., Wu, Y., Liu, J., et al. (2021). Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cells Stimulation by Magnetic Nanoparticles and a Static Magnetic Field: Release of Exosomal miR-1260a Improves Osteogenesis and Angiogenesis. J. Nanobiotechnol. 19 (1). doi:10.1186/ s12951-021-00958-6
- Wu, D., Qin, H., Wang, Z., Yu, M., Liu, Z., Peng, H., et al. (2022). Bone Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived sEV-Encapsulated Thermosensitive Hydrogels Accelerate Osteogenesis and Angiogenesis by Release of Exosomal miR-21. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 9. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2021. 829136
- Wu, Z., Pu, P., Su, Z., Zhang, X., Nie, L., and Chang, Y. (2020). Schwann Cell-Derived Exosomes Promote Bone Regeneration and Repair by Enhancing the Biological Activity of Porous Ti6Al4V Scaffolds. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 531 (4), 559–565. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.07.094
- Yang, S., Zhu, B., Yin, P., Zhao, L., Wang, Y., Fu, Z., et al. (2020). Integration of Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells-Derived Exosomes with Hydroxyapatite-Embedded Hyaluronic Acid-Alginate Hydrogel for Bone Regeneration. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 6 (3), 1590–1602. doi:10.1021/ acsbiomaterials.9b01363
- Yin, B., Ma, Q., Song, C., Zhao, L., Yu, F., Wang, C., et al. (2021). Exosome-Derived Noncoding RNAs as a Promising Treatment of Bone Regeneration. *Stem Cells Int.* 2021, 1–8. doi:10.1155/2021/6696894
- Yu, W., Li, S., Guan, X., Zhang, N., Xie, X., Zhang, K., et al. (2022). Higher Yield and Enhanced Therapeutic Effects of Exosomes Derived from MSCs in Hydrogel-Assisted 3D Culture System for Bone Regeneration. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 112646. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2022.112646
- Zha, Y., Li, Y., Lin, T., Chen, J., Zhang, S., and Wang, J. (2021). Progenitor Cell-Derived Exosomes Endowed with VEGF Plasmids Enhance Osteogenic Induction and Vascular Remodeling in Large Segmental Bone Defects. *Theranostics* 11 (1), 397–409. doi:10.7150/thno.50741
- Zhai, M., Zhu, Y., Yang, M., and Mao, C. (2020). Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell Derived Exosomes Enhance Cell-Free Bone Regeneration by Altering Their miRNAs Profiles. Adv. Sci. 7 (19), 2001334. doi:10.1002/advs. 202001334
- Zhang, B., Huang, J., Liu, J., Lin, F., Ding, Z., and Xu, J. (2021a). Injectable Composite Hydrogel Promotes Osteogenesis and Angiogenesis in Spinal Fusion by Optimizing the Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cell Microenvironment and Exosomes Secretion. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 123, 111782. doi:10.1016/j.msec. 2020.111782
- Zhang, H., Wang, R., Wang, G., Zhang, B., Wang, C., Li, D., et al. (2021b). Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Reveals B Cells Are Important Regulators in Fracture Healing. *Front. Endocrinol.* 12. doi:10.3389/fendo.2021.666140
- Zhang, J., Jiang, Y., Shang, Z., Zhao, B., Jiao, M., Liu, W., et al. (2021c). Biodegradable Metals for Bone Defect Repair: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Based on Animal Studies. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (11), 4027–4052. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.03.035

- Zhang, J., Liu, X., Li, H., Chen, C., Hu, B., Niu, X., et al. (2016). Exosomes/ tricalcium Phosphate Combination Scaffolds Can Enhance Bone Regeneration by Activating the PI3K/Akt Signaling Pathway. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 7 (1). doi:10.1186/s13287-016-0391-3
- Zhang, T., Tian, T., and Lin, Y. (2022). Functionalizing Framework Nucleic-Acid-Based Nanostructures for Biomedical Application. Adv. Mater., 2107820. doi:10.1002/adma.202107820
- Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Kou, H., Yang, P., Wang, Y., and Lu, T. (2018). Enhanced Bone Healing in Porous Ti Implanted Rabbit Combining Bioactive Modification and Mechanical Stimulation. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 86, 336–344. doi:10. 1016/j.jmbbm.2018.06.042
- Zhang, Y., Xie, Y., Hao, Z., Zhou, P., Wang, P., Fang, S., et al. (2021d). Umbilical Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosome-Encapsulated Hydrogels Accelerate Bone Repair by Enhancing Angiogenesis. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 13 (16), 18472–18487. doi:10.1021/acsami.0c22671
- Zhou, P., Xia, D., Ni, Z., Ou, T., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., et al. (2021). Calcium Silicate Bioactive Ceramics Induce Osteogenesis through Oncostatin M. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (3), 810–822. doi:10.1016/j.bioactmat.2020.09.018
- Zhu, G., Zhang, T., Chen, M., Yao, K., Huang, X., Zhang, B., et al. (2021). Bone Physiological Microenvironment and Healing Mechanism: Basis for Future

Bone-Tissue Engineering Scaffolds. *Bioact. Mater.* 6 (11), 4110–4140. doi:10. 1016/j.bioactmat.2021.03.043

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Zhang, Li, Feng, Li, Wang, Zhang, Yin and Tang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.