

HHS Public Access

Annu Rev Cancer Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 November 14.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Annu Rev Cancer Biol. 2022; 6(1): 123-146. doi:10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-070620-103554.

TGFβ: Signaling Blockade for Cancer Immunotherapy

Szu-Ying Chen¹, Ons Mamai¹, Rosemary J. Akhurst^{1,2}

¹Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

²Department of Anatomy, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

Abstract

Discovered over four decades ago, transforming growth factor β (TGF β) is a potent pleiotropic cytokine that has context-dependent effects on most cell types. It acts as a tumor suppressor in some cancers and/or supports tumor progression and metastasis through its effects on the tumor stroma and immune microenvironment. In TGF β -responsive tumors it can promote invasion and metastasis through epithelial-mesenchymal transformation, the appearance of cancer stem cell features, and resistance to many drug classes, including checkpoint blockade immunotherapies. Here we consider the biological activities of TGF β action on different cells of relevance toward improving immunotherapy outcomes for patients, with a focus on the adaptive immune system. We discuss recent advances in the development of drugs that target the TGF β signaling pathway in a tumor-specific or cell type–specific manner to improve the therapeutic window between response rates and adverse effects.

Keywords

cancer; immunotherapy; TGFβ; integrins

1. INTRODUCTION

Targeting the transforming growth factor β (TGF β) signaling pathway for cancer therapy has repeatedly gone in and out of vogue. Recent renewed interest was spurred by clinical success of immunotherapies, particularly immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) agents (see the sidebar titled Immune Checkpoint Blockade), when placed in context with the potent immunosuppressive effects of TGF β signaling in normal and tumor tissues (Arteaga et al. 1993, Gorelik & Flavell 2001, Thomas & Massague 2005, Flavell et al. 2010). The concept of blocking TGF β signaling to potentiate ICB therapy was further stimulated by widespread findings that pretreatment human tumors refractory to anti-PD-1 (programmed cell death

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See credit lines of images or other third-party material in this article for license information

Rosemary.Akhurst@ucsf.edu .

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Work in the authors' laboratory has been funded by sponsored research agreements with Pfizer and with Bristol-Myers Squibb. R.J.A. is a consultant for Pliant Therapeutics, Sillajen Biotherapeutics Inc., and Thirona Bio and is an inventor on US patents related to blockade of TGF β or integrin β 8 in oncology. S.-Y.C. and O.M. have no potential biases to declare.

protein 1)/PD-L1 (programmed death-ligand 1) therapy are enriched for transcriptomic signatures of epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT), extracellular matrix (ECM), immunosuppression, and TGF β signaling, with TGF β signaling probably driving the first three features (Hugo et al. 2016, Chakravarthy et al. 2018, Mariathasan et al. 2018, L. Wang et al. 2018, Desbois et al. 2020).

TGF β (see the sidebar titled TGF β Activities in Health and Disease) is produced by an array of tumor cell types, particularly myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), cancerassociated fibroblasts (CAFs), tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), and activated T cells, as well as malignant cells per se (Derynck et al. 2021). Moreover, in in vivo preclinical tumor models, anti-PD-1 therapy can exacerbate high TGF β signaling (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019) through activation of another checkpoint on exuberant T cell activation, the secretion of TGF β 1 from activated CD4⁺ T helper type 1 (Th1) cells (Donkor et al. 2011, 2012), as well as other mechanisms.

Several drug companies are trialing TGF β blockade in combination with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies and other ICB therapies for advanced cancers, and there are recent extensive reviews on this topic (Dahmani & Delisle 2018, Batlle & Massague 2019, Groeneveldt et al. 2020, Derynck et al. 2021). We do not attempt to duplicate this effort here; instead we provide a brief introduction to the TGF β signaling pathway and update new results and directions recently published, for example, using drugs targeting the activation of TGF β or those that target TGF β signaling in specific cell types or locations.

2. TGFβ INTRACELLULAR SIGNALING

Mature bioactive TGF β is a disulphide-linked protein of two 112–amino acid monomers. Each TGF β isoform, TGF β 1, - β 2, and - β 3, activates intracellular signaling by binding to the transmembrane TGF β type 2 receptor kinase (TGF β R2). This initiates the formation of a complex with the TGF β type 1 receptor kinase (TGF β R1) to trigger a kinase cascade culminating in serine phosphorylation of the TGF β receptor–associated SMADs (R-SMADs), SMAD2 and/or SMAD3. Phospho-R-SMADs bind SMAD4, forming the hexameric SMAD complex [R-SMAD2/3]₄[SMAD4]₂ that shuttles to the nucleus, interacts with other transcription factors and cofactors, and elicits context-dependent transcriptional responses (Figure 1).

Intriguingly, the same R-SMADs, SMAD2 and SMAD3, are utilized in signaling from activins, inhibins, Nodal, myostatin, and several growth and differentiation factors, which is a consideration when utilizing small-molecule inhibitors (SMIs) of SMAD2/3 phosphorylation, such as galunisertib or vactosertib. When large molecules are used as drugs, another consideration is drug access since TGFβR2/1 SMAD2/3 signaling is not executed at the plasmalemmal cell surface, but rather within endosomes following clathrin-mediated endocytosis of the ligand/receptor complex (Ehrlich et al. 2001, Penheiter et al. 2002, Di Guglielmo et al. 2003), and since latent TGFβ activation and signaling are tightly linked (Campbell et al. 2020, Seed et al. 2021). Conversely, translocation of intact TGFβR1 from recycling endosomes to the plasmalemma can be rapidly initiated by several stimuli, including exposure to glucose or insulin, promoting sensitization to ligand

binding (Budi et al. 2015). TGF β -TGF β R2/1 can also signal via noncanonical non-SMAD pathways, including TRAF6, PI3K, ERK, Akt, and mTOR, some of which are activated by TGF β receptors within caveolae (Derynck & Budi 2019). These may also be targeted pharmacologically but are not considered TGF β -specific pathways and are not discussed here (Figure 1).

3. ACTIVATION OF TGFβ: A TARGET IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT

The TGF β ligands are synthesized as large precursor proteins, and the release of mature bioactive TGF β is a major gateway for the regulation of TGF β bioavailability (Figure 2). The molecular details of this process have received considerable attention in recent years due to the quest to find molecules that block TGF β activation for therapeutic purposes. The primary translation product of TGF β 1 is 390 amino acids, with a short signal peptide followed by a long latency-associated peptide (LAP) and C-terminal bioactive ligand. During processing in the Golgi apparatus, the ligand is cleaved from its amino terminal LAP by furin but remains noncovalently associated within a taut cage composed of dimeric LAP molecules. This latent complex can be stabilized by a disulphide bond between a LAP and a LTBP (latent TGF β -binding protein), which is a component of the ECM (Robertson & Rifkin 2016). Alternatively, it can bind covalently or noncovalently with other ECM or transmembrane milieu proteins, such as GARP (glycoprotein A repetitions predominant), also known as LRRC32 (leucine-rich repeats–containing protein 32), on regulatory T cells (Tregs) (Wang et al. 2012) or NRROS (negative regulator of reactive oxygen species; also known as LRRC33) on microglia (Qin et al. 2018).

Latent TGF β can be activated in vitro by heat, low pH, or irradiation, as well as by nonspecific proteases, but β integrins complexed with integrin αv , particularly $\alpha v\beta \delta$ and $\alpha v\beta 8$, are major physiological activators of latent TGF β in vivo (Munger et al. 1998, 1999; Mu et al. 2002; Ludbrook et al. 2003) (see the sidebar titled Integrins). The LAPs for TGF β 1 and TGF β 3 possess Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD)-containing motifs exposed on the outer side of the LAP cage, and through ligation to $\alpha v\beta \delta$ or $\alpha v\beta 8$ integrins, the bioactive TGF β dimer is released or exposed, making it available for binding and signaling through the TGF β receptor complex (Figure 2). TGF β 2 LAP does not possess an RGD site, and whether TGF β 2 activation is integrin dependent or independent is unknown.

The interaction of integrins with TGF β LAPs occurs mainly through heterotypic cell-cell interactions between an integrin expressed on one cell type binding and activating latent TGF β synthesized and secreted by another (Sato et al. 1990, Arnold et al. 2012, Nolte & Margadant 2020). However, latent TGF β may also be activated by integrins coexpressed on the same cell type, particularly on immune cells (Edwards et al. 2014, Stockis et al. 2017). Elegant X-ray crystallography studies have revealed the mechanism of activation of TGF β by integrin $\alpha\nu\beta6$, whereby ligation of a LAP to $\alpha\nu\beta6$, while tethered to a milieu protein, leads to major conformational changes in both the LAP and integrin (Shi et al. 2011, Dong et al. 2014). Conformational changes in integrin result in outside-in signaling to generate cytoskeletal tension through interaction with talins and microfilaments, while extracellular tension generated by integrin binding to RGD sites in the LAP results in the opening of the latency cage and release of mature bioactive TGF β (Figure 2a).

Integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8 is distinct from other $\alpha\nu$ -associated β integrins since it binds specifically to RGDLXXI/L or RGDLXXL/I motifs that are found only within TGF β LAPs, in contrast to other more promiscuous integrins. Integrin β 8 therefore has unique specificity for activation of TGF β 1 and TGF β 3 (Ozawa et al. 2016, Campbell et al. 2020). Integrin β 8 is also distinguished by its truncated cytoplasmic tail, which some researchers predict cannot instigate outside-in signaling due to lack of an NPxY motif required for binding cytoskeletal signaling molecules like RABGAP1 and talin (Campbell et al. 2020), although other researchers have reported that integrin β 8 may possess intracellular signaling capabilities (Reyes et al. 2013, Guerrero et al. 2017). Finally, integrin β 8 shows more restrictive expression than other $\alpha\nu\beta$ integrins and is upregulated within tumors compared to normal tissue, where it is expressed primarily on CD4⁺ T cells and malignant cells (Guerrero et al. 2017, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021, Laine et al. 2021, Seed et al. 2021).

Recent studies have revealed further molecular distinctions between the mechanisms of TGF β activation by $\alpha\nu\beta6$ versus $\alpha\nu\beta8$. Uniquely, integrin $\beta8$ is constitutively structured in an extended conformation, and ligation of $\alpha\nu\beta8$ integrin to latent TGF β presented on the cell surface by GARP causes flexibility in the LAP to expose the mature TGF β ligand, making it available for TGF β receptor engagement and signaling while still physically attached to the LAP (Figure 2b). Moreover, LAP binding does not cause a conformational change in $\beta8$ integrin, supporting the concept that integrin $\beta8$ ligation to the LAP does not trigger outside-in signaling (Campbell et al. 2020).

4. HETEROGENEOUS MECHANISMS DRIVE TUMOR RESPONSES TO ANTI-TGFβ IMMUNOTHERAPY

Tumors are complex and dynamic tissues, composed of many cell types and distinct ECMs. Apart from tumor cells per se, which are in a constant state of genetic, genomic, and epigenetic evolution, the tumor is composed of CAFs, smooth muscle cells, abundant ECM, blood and lymphatic vessels, and a quantitively and qualitatively diverse population of tumor-infiltrating leucocytes. Invasive tumors also incorporate host tissue, including bone, muscle, and adipose, which in turn can influence the biology of the tumor and its accessibility to anticancer drugs and immune cells. These heterogeneous cell types evolve together, influenced by cell type–specific secretion of cytokines, chemokines, and ECM molecules that constantly remodel the tumor.

Cancers develop from distinct cells of origin and display vastly differing cellular, genetic, and molecular phenotypes, such that each tumor is unique. Pancreatic cancers, often driven by mutant KRas and, commonly, genetic loss of *SMAD4*, have an extraordinarily high content of stromal fibroblasts and ECM interspersed with pockets of thriving tumor cells. This deadly cancer has a low tumor mutation load (TML), few infiltrated immune cells, and is refractory to most treatments. ER⁺ or HER2⁺ breast cancers have low mutational burden, low PD-L1 expression, defective antigen processing/presentation, and an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME), making them refractile to ICB. These tumor types contrast with squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), which have a high tumor cell content, high TML, fewer CAFs, and less ECM, but greater immune cell infiltration.

Since TGF β has pleiotropic activity on most cell types of the tumor and TGF β blockade is not cytotoxic, it comes as no surprise that the mechanisms of action of anti-TGF β therapy are as variable as the range of tumor types observed in the clinic. The question is, how does one capitalize on TGF β blockade drugs using informed choices of drug combinations for each tumor type, optimal drug dosing regimens, and biomarker-guided therapy? For this, a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of action of TGF β signaling and its inhibition in tumor growth and regression will be required for each tumor type.

5. IMMUNE MECHANISMS OF TGF β BLOCKADE

5.1. TGFβ Signaling Effects on Innate Immune Cells of the Tumor

Rapid defense against foreign particles, whether viral, bacterial, or tumor cells, depends on the innate immune system. Myeloid, epithelial, and fibroblast cells are activated by the presence of danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that engage with transmembrane Toll-like receptors, cytoplasmically located NOD-like receptors, C-type lectin receptors, or AIM2 to trigger inflammation. DAMPS may be proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, nucleic acids, or ATP released by stressed or dying cells.

Myeloid cells of the innate immune system are the first responders in inflammation and can have cytotoxic [e.g., natural killer (NK) cells] or phagocytic [e.g., macrophages or dendritic cells (DCs)] abilities. Professional antigen-presenting cells, such as DCs and some macrophages, migrate to peripheral lymph nodes and prime naïve T cells for an antigen-specific adaptive immune response driven by T lymphoctyes.

Mechanisms have evolved to limit collateral damage to normal tissue caused by the release of inflammatory reactive oxygen species and free radicals, and these include secretion of TGF β . However, excessive TGF β within the tumor produces a state of chronic ineffectual inflammation (a wound that will not heal). This cytokine dampens the cytotoxic effects of NK cells, attracts monocytes and macrophages, and skews their differentiation from a type I differentiated cell state toward a type 2 regenerative phenotype that synthesizes yet more TGF β and other immunosuppressive cytokines. The molecular and cellular details of TGF β activities on innate immune cells are beyond the scope of this review but have been recently detailed by others (Batlle & Massague 2019, Derynck et al. 2021). In brief, in suppressing innate immune cells, TGF β action hijacks their function toward a protumorigenic immunesuppressive role that is critical in dampening adaptive T cell–mediated antitumor immune responses. However, ultimately it is adaptive T cell–mediated immunity that is critical for the elimination of tumors and the establishment of long-term immunity.

5.2. Activation and Recruitment of CD8⁺ T Cells to the Tumor

Naïve T cells are activated in an antigen-specific manner within tumor-draining lymph nodes. DCs traffic between the tumor and lymph node, phagocytosing tumor cell debris and presenting peptide antigens, which are displayed on MHC-I (major histocompatibility complex class I) and MHC-II molecules, to T cell receptors (TCRs) on naïve CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells, respectively. ICB therapies (see the sidebar titled Immune Checkpoint Blockade) are most effective when tumors have a high TML induced by environmental

damage (chemical, sun-induced, diet-induced), such as with non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), melanoma, and head and neck SCC (Schumacher & Schreiber 2015, Van Allen et al. 2015, Danilova et al. 2016, Hugo et al. 2016, Thorsson et al. 2018). This is likely due to the numerical increase in tumor-specific peptide neoantigens that increase the chance of a productive antitumor cytotoxic T cell response. Murine tumors also exhibit this relationship between TML and ICB response, and anti-TGF β therapy is influenced by TML. In a panel of six independent chemically induced SCCs, all driven by mutant KRas and derived from the same FVB inbred mouse strain, only two with the highest nonsynonymous single-nucleotide TML showed tumor growth inhibition (TGI) to anti-TGF β , anti-PD-1 monotherapy, or a combination thereof, whereas lower-TML tumors were unresponsive (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019).

It is widely accepted that TGF β has direct immunosuppressive activity on CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells, the critical warheads that eliminate tumor cells through antigen-specific synaptic engagement and injection of cytotoxic enzymes. TGFβ is a potent inhibitor of CD8⁺ T cell proliferation through the suppressive binding of transcription factors SMAD2/3 and ATF1/CREB to gene promoters encoding the cytotoxic arsenal of the CD8⁺ T cell, granzyme B (GZMB), perforin (PRF1), and IFN γ (IFNG) (Thomas & Massague 2005). TGF β raises the threshold of antigen-TCR binding required for TCR signaling in both CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells; consequently, TGF\beta inhibition lowers this threshold, allowing for TCR stimulation by weaker antigens (Zhang & Bevan 2012). This likely plays an important role in tumor rejection by the TGF β signaling blockade (Gunderson et al. 2020) and may be responsible for the phenomenon of antigen spread (Gulley et al. 2017) observed in response to combinatorial treatment of 4T1 mammary carcinomas treated with galunisertib and anti-PD-L1 (Holmgaard et al. 2018). In MC38 and CT26 mouse colon cancer models, Tgfbr1 knockout in CD8 α^+ cells released T cells from the immunosuppressive activity of TGF β (Gunderson et al. 2020), whereas deletion of *Tgfbr2* had no effect on tumor outgrowth in either MC38 or MMTV-PvMT mouse mammary tumor models (Li et al. 2020), possibly highlighting a difference between TGFβR1 and TGFBR2 signaling blockade in CD8⁺ T cells.

More recently it has become clear that TGF β signaling blockade by galunisertib or anti-TGF β antibodies not only increases the number and differentiation status of cytotoxic T cells within the tumor but also stimulates the migration of T cells from tumor stroma into the parenchyma of immune-excluded tumors, with consequent tumor regression or rejection (Mariathasan et al. 2018, Tauriello et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Desbois et al. 2020, Gunderson et al. 2020). Several mechanisms have been proposed. Transcriptomic analysis has highlighted the role of TGF β -activated CAFs in immune exclusion of urothelial and ovarian cancer, which may mediate T cell exclusion by synthesizing a dense ECM physical barrier (Mariathasan et al. 2018, Desbois et al. 2020). TGF β -activated CAFs also synthesize immunosuppressive cytokines, including TGF β per se, as well as IL-6, IL-11, and TNFAIP6 (Desbois et al. 2020). TGF β -mediated downregulation of MHC-I on tumor cells by TGF β (David et al. 2017, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Desbois et al. 2020) may contribute to immune exclusion, although whether this phenomenon affects the structural organization of the tumor remains to be shown.

Direct inhibition of CD8⁺ T cell trafficking by TGFB was demonstrated in the MC38 and CT26 colon cancer models, whereby administration of the TGFBR1 kinase inhibitor galunisertib prior to therapy enhanced TGI due to 5-fluorouracil plus radiation. Genetic deletion of *Tgfbr1* in Tregs or macrophages did not affect this outcome, whereas conditional deletion of *Tgfbr1* using a CD8a gene promoter dramatically ablated response to therapy (Gunderson et al. 2020). In addition to confirming that TGF β suppresses differentiation and growth of CD8⁺ T cells, this study showed that Tgfbr1-deficient CD8⁺ T cells exhibit increased CXCR3 expression because of loss of pSMAD2 binding to the Cxcr3 gene promoter, where it acts as a transcriptional suppressor. This upregulation of CXCR3 on CD8⁺ T cells enhanced their migration toward the IFN_γ-inducible ligands CXCL9, -10, and -11 to stimulate trafficking into the tumor (Gunderson et al. 2020), and presumably also increased trafficking of CD4⁺ T and NK cells, which are also regulated by this mechanism (Nagarsheth et al. 2017). Importantly, in human colorectal cancer, CXCL10 was found to be associated with granzyme B-expressing CD8⁺ T cell infiltration and more favorable tumor, nodes, and metastases staging (Zumwalt et al. 2015). The authors of this study concluded that $CD8\alpha^+$ T cells are the direct target of TGFB inhibitors in this model. Nevertheless. CD4⁺ T cell involvement was not ruled out since depletion of CD4⁺ T cells was redundant with the effect of galunisertib on chemotherapy and radiation therapy, suggesting that CD4⁺ T cells are the source of TGF β immunosuppression of CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells (Gunderson et al. 2020).

High-dose radiation can stimulate the CXCR3 chemokines CXCL9, -10, and -11 through upregulation or type 1 and type 2 interferons (Muroyama et al. 2017). Therefore, radiation and TGF β inhibition may synergize by enhancing T cell trafficking into the tumor through upregulation of both CXCR3 and its ligands. Radiation also causes cell death and DNA damage, leading to the release of DAMPs and the generation of new antigens. With TGF β blockade lowering the threshold for TCR activation, this results in a highly efficacious antitumor response (Formenti et al. 2018, Rodríguez-Ruiz et al. 2019).

5.3. CD4⁺ T Cells and TGFβ Signaling Blockade

CD8⁺ T cell activity within tumors is positively and negatively regulated by many cell types, including myeloid immune cells and CAFs. Most importantly, CD4⁺ T cells are the professional regulators of CD8⁺ T cell activity. These are an abundant but heterogeneous and dynamic T cell population in the tumor, and TGF β is a major regulator of CD4⁺ T cell plasticity (Figure 3). The outcomes of TGF β action on CD4⁺ T cells are context dependent, influenced by the presence or absence of other cytokines that determine differentiation along distinct CD4⁺ T cell lineages (Figure 3). In general, TGF β suppresses activation and proliferation of naïve CD4⁺ T cells and of effector CD4⁺ Th1 and Th2 cells, while potentiating differentiation and proliferation along the immunosuppressive Treg lineage, although TGF β signaling is not subsequently required for the function or maintenance of mature FOXP3⁺ Tregs (Ishigame et al. 2013b, Konkel et al. 2017, Gunderson et al. 2020, Li et al. 2020). Recent studies have found that differentiated CD4⁺ Tregs retain some degree of plasticity with respect to cell fate changes (McClymont et al. 2011), particularly in the setting of a tumor (D. Wang et al. 2018).

A common theme of several recent preclinical therapeutic studies is that high CD4⁺ T cell levels may be predictive of a favorable response to TGF β signaling blockade, potentiating TGI and immune-mediated tumor regression in response to ICB (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Jiao et al. 2019, Li et al. 2020, Liu et al. 2020). However, the devil is in the details, with several mechanisms proposed by different studies dependent on the tumor type and stage.

5.4. Aggressive Cytotoxic CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T Cells Induced by TGFβ Signaling Inhibition

Targeted inhibition of TGF β signaling in T cells by genetic deletion or expression of a dominant negative TGF β R2 can lead to de novo acquisition of aggressive NK cell–like features, including expression of NKGD2, FasL, perforin, granzymes, and IFN γ on CD4⁺ (and CD8⁺) T cells (Marie et al. 2006, Ishigame et al. 2013a). The appearance of aggressive human CD8⁺ NK-like cells has been observed in response to bintrafusp alfa, a bispecific anti-PD-L1-TGF β trap, when used in human tumor models xenografted into humanized NSG- β 2m^{-/-} mice (Morillon et al. 2020). CD4⁺ T cells that express NK cell markers and cytotoxic enzymes have also been reported in human bladder cancer (Oh et al. 2020), NSCLC (Guo et al. 2018), melanoma (Kitano et al. 2013), and hepatocellular carcinoma (Zheng et al. 2017), and these CD4⁺ NK-like T cells have been shown to have tumor killing activity (Oh et al. 2020). It is therefore likely that TGF β blockade will cause expansion or de novo differentiation of these cytotoxic CD4⁺ T cells, thereby contributing to tumor cell killing.

5.5. Synergy Between Anti-PD-1 and Anti-TGF β Mediated Through CD4⁺ Regulatory T Cells

In most syngeneic mouse studies, anti-PD-1 therapy has little effect on tumor outgrowth when used as monotherapy (Curran et al. 2010). In mouse models of chemically induced high-TML SCC, Tregs are the most common $CD4^+$ T cell type and they express high levels of PD-1. PD-1 blockade therefore prevents exhaustion not only of cytotoxic $CD8^+$ T cells, but also of immunosuppressive $CD4^+$ Tregs. The resultant competing immunosuppressive program reduces $CD8^+$ T cell/Treg and $CD4^+$ Th1 cell/Treg ratios, elevates TGF β signaling, and enhances tumor cell EMT (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019), all features that have been associated with poor survival following ICB therapy in the clinic (Baras et al. 2016, Hugo et al. 2016, Mariathasan et al. 2018, Huang et al. 2019).

Similar observations have been made in clinical trials of anti-PD-1 therapeutics. Hyperprogression of gastric cancer observed in some patients after PD-1 blockade was attributed to activation and expansion of PD-1⁺ Tregs (Kamada et al. 2019), and in a trial of grade III/IV melanoma, high-PD-1⁺ Treg expansion was associated with melanoma recurrence and poor disease-free survival (Huang et al. 2019). Similarly, anti-CTLA4 expands both the CD4⁺ effector and CD4⁺ FOXP3⁺ Treg populations, with the latter being more sensitive to lower doses of anti-CTLA4 (Kavanagh et al. 2008, Jiao et al. 2019). Notably, since Tregs are induced in response to TGF β (Chen et al. 2003) and present and activate latent TGF β through GARP/integrin β 8 (Tran et al. 2009, Stockis et al. 2017), and since the *TGFB1* gene is auto-inductive (Yue & Mulder 2000), this creates a positive feedforward TGF β signaling circuit that drives immunosuppressive protumorigenic Treg

differentiation after PD-1 blockade. Anti-TGF β antibodies can break this cycle to reduce TGF β signaling, reverse abnormal Treg/Th1 cell ratios, and promote tumor rejection and long-term antitumor immunity (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019).

5.6. Type 17 T Helper Cells: A Pool for Induction of CD4⁺ Type 1 T Helper Cells by TGF β Inhibition

In a clinical trial for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), Jiao et al. (2019) found that transcriptomic signatures of tumors from two patients, assessed before and after anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy, showed therapy-induced enrichment in CD4⁺ Th1 cells and CD4⁺ Tregs. In contrast, bone marrow samples from mCRPC metastatic sites had few CD4+ Th1 cells, but Th17 cell levels were uniformly elevated by anti-CTLA4 therapy in all nine patients examined. It was proposed that osteoclastic release of TGF β and IL-6 during metastatic growth expands Th17 cells, and this is potentiated by anti-CTLA4 therapy (Yin et al. 1999, Gutcher et al. 2011) (see Figure 3). In a mouse model of mCRPC, combinatorial anti-CTLA4/anti-PD-1 therapy in the subcutaneous setting caused expansion of intratumor effector Th1 cells and TGI, but in the bone metastatic setting, CD4⁺ Th1 cells were depleted and only Th17 cells and CD4⁺ Tregs were quantifiable. Moreover, in metastatic bone marrow, Th17 cells underwent expansion in response to ICB and metastases were resistant to therapy. Notably, the addition of TGFβ blockade to anti-CTLA4 therapy led to an expansion of Th1 cells at the expense of Th17 cells and to the regression of bone metastases (Jiao et al. 2019). It is debatable whether Th17 cells play a positive, negative, or neutral role in tumor progression (Martin et al. 2012, Punt et al. 2016, Asadzadeh et al. 2017), but Jiao et al. (2019) made the point that these TGF β -induced CD4⁺ Th17 cells might serve as a neutral pool of CD4⁺ T cells from which effector Th1 cells might differentiate under the influence of TGF^β blockade therapy. In a similar manner, Tregs might transition to effector Th1 cells under the influence of TGF β blockade. Alternatively, Th1 cells may preferentially expand at the expense of Treg and Th17 cell expansion in response to anti-TGFβ therapy (McClymont et al. 2011, D. Wang et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Martin et al 2020).

5.7. Targeting TGF β Signaling in CD4⁺ Type 2 T Helper Cells Suppresses Tumor Angiogenesis

Protumorigenic activity of TGFβ signaling exerted through effects on CD4⁺ Th2 cells has been reported in theMMTV-PyMT mammary and MC38 colon carcinoma mouse models. Deletion of *Tgfbr2* in mature CD4⁺ T cells using *Thpok-Cre* transgenic mice suppressed tumor outgrowth by relocation of CD4⁺ *Tgfbr2^{-/-}* T cells from their usual site in the tumor parenchyma to the tumor stroma (Liu et al. 2020). This contrasts with the effects of TGFβ inhibition in stimulating CD8⁺ T cell recruitment from the stroma to the tumor parenchyma, which has been observed in several tumor types (Chakravarthy et al. 2018, Mariathasan et al. 2018, Tauriello et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Gunderson et al. 2020). In the MMTV-PyMT model, *Thpok*-targeted *Tgfbr2* knockout led to the expansion and differentiation of Th2 cells secreting IL-4. This led to IL-4-dependent pericyte investment of the stromal vasculature with reduced tumor angiogenesis. Stromal vascular remodeling was shown to cause distal hypoxia within the tumor parenchyma and resultant cancer cell death (Liu et al. 2020).

To explore the potential of targeting TGF β signaling blockade specifically to CD4⁺ T cells, researchers developed a novel bispecific therapeutic modality, 4T-Trap, that combines a TGF β R2 ligand trap with an anti-CD4⁺ T cell–binding antibody. Strikingly, in both the MMTV-PyMT and MC38 breast and colon cancer models, 4T-Trap induced cancer hypoxia and cancer cell death, delaying tumor outgrowth but not eliminating tumors. Tumor hypoxia induced by drug treatment enhanced VEGF-A secretion, and coadministration of a VEGF trap and 4T-Trap therapy potentiated tumor cell death and animal survival, although this did not lead to complete tumor rejection (Li et al. 2020).

6. TGFβ: EPITHELIAL-MESENCHYMAL TRANSFORMATION, TUMOR STEM CELLS, AND RESISTANCE TO IMMUNOTHERAPY

Many carcinomas, especially those of the gastrointestinal tract have reduced or lost responses to canonical TGF β signaling through genetic or epigenetic means, including deletion of *TGFBR2, TGFBR1, SMAD2*, or *SMAD4*. In such cases, tumor cells no longer show growth inhibition by TGF β but secrete cytokines that promote tumor progression, for example, by recruiting and polarizing TAMs, immature myeloid cells, or MDSCs (Kitamura et al. 2007, Yang et al. 2008, Yang & Karin 2014). Paradoxically, this makes these cancers excellent candidates for TGF β inhibition therapy as it targets TGF β action within the TME.

In tumors with an intact TGF β R1/2-SMAD2/3 pathway, including most SCCs, glioblastomas, and breast cancers, the activation of oncogenes synergizes with TGF β signaling to induce EMT rather than TGI. It is increasingly appreciated that the cancer stem cell (CSC) phenotype appears in a transitional state between epithelial and full-blown mesenchymal phenotypes during TGF β -induced EMT (Dongre & Weinberg 2019, Kroger et al. 2019), and that TGF β is an established regulator of CSC fate determination and lineage plasticity in normal and malignant tissues (Anido et al. 2010, Calcagno et al. 2010, Connolly et al. 2011, Oshimori & Fuchs 2012, Oshimori et al. 2015, Du et al. 2018, Katsuno et al. 2019, Panda & Biswal 2019).

Importantly, both CSCs and TGF β signaling have been associated with cancer drug resistance to both chemotherapy and targeted therapies (Huang et al. 2012). TGF β R2 and downstream signaling are enriched in mouse and human CSCs (Shipitsin et al. 2007, Miao et al. 2019), and chronic exposure to TGF β drives a drug-resistant CSC-like state (Katsuno et al. 2019). Notably, tumor cell TGF β signaling and EMT also contribute to resistance to immunotherapy. TGF β suppresses the expression of MHC-I and genes encoding the antigen-processing and -presentation machinery, and TGF β blockade has been shown to reepithelialize aggressive carcinomas to elevate the expression of MHC-I and antigen presentation and enhance tumor visibility to antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2019, Lind et al. 2020). TGF β also upregulates CD80 (B7-1) expression on SCC CSCs. A ligand normally expressed on antigen-presenting cells, CD80 normally binds the T cell costimulatory CD28 receptor, but in the immunosuppressive TME, it binds to the coinhibitory CTLA4 receptor at the T cell–CSC synapse to induce T cell exhaustion (Miao et al. 2019).

CSCs in mouse SCCs are marked not only by TGF β responsiveness but also by integrin $\beta 6$ expression, suggesting that activation and signaling of TGF β occur through this integrin (Miao et al. 2019). Conversely, in human glioblastoma cells, integrin $\alpha \nu \beta 8$ shows a heterogeneous expression that correlates with markers of glioblastoma stem/progenitor cells (Guerrero et al. 2017). Heterotypic cell-cell interactions between malignant cells with high integrin $\beta 8$ expression and those with low integrin $\beta 8$ expression result in high TGF β signaling in the integrin $\beta 8$ –low cells, which is associated with markers of glioblastoma differentiation, and low TGF β signaling in the integrin $\beta 8$ –high tumor cells, which is associated with the expression of CSC markers, DNA repair, and mitosis. Notably, integrin $\beta 8$ –high glioblastoma cells are better at initiating tumors than are those with low integrin $\beta 8$ expression, as determined by tumor-sphere formation in vitro and tumor outgrowth in immune-compromised mice in vivo (Guerrero et al. 2017).

Paradoxically, mesenchymal tumors, such as triple-negative breast cancers, appear more responsive to ICB immunotherapy than do epithelial cancers, such as HER2⁺ breast cancer (Nanda et al. 2020). One explanation for this paradox may be that TGF β -induced EMT causes downregulation of SETDB1 (Du et al. 2018), a chromatin modifier that has been shown to suppress the expression of highly antigenic endogenous retroviral elements that are abundantly scattered throughout mammalian genomes (Griffin et al. 2021). Immunotherapy with a TGF β signaling blockade agent may activate SETDB1 expression to suppress the expression of these antigenic peptides. Treating tumors with the combination of an anti-TGF β agent and an SETDB1 inhibitor may potentiate immunotherapy by epithelializing tumors to enhance the antigen-presentation machinery while also activating the expression of antigenic retroviral peptides. The direct action of TGF β blockade in potentiating immune cell functions would further contribute to tumor rejection.

7. DRUGS IN DEVELOPMENT

Many strategies have been taken to drug the TGF β pathway, including targeting ligands, receptors, or molecules involved in the activation of TGF β (Figure 1). Drug moieties in clinical development include blocking antibodies, ligand traps, antisense oligonucleotides, and SMIs. In selecting which targets to drug, researchers should take into consideration lessons learned from basic research studies. Blocking TGF β R1 versus TGF β R2 function in CD8⁺ T cells, for example, may lead to different outcomes (compare Liu et al. 2020 and Gunderson et al. 2020), and T cell–targeting of a dominant negative TGF β R2 trap versus deletion of the *TGFBR2* gene in T cells results in different phenotypes (Ishigame et al. 2013a). Moreover, each antibody, including those raised against the same target, has unique properties that may influence antitumor efficacy.

Although several antibodies that block TGF β ligands are under clinical investigation (Table 1) and an anti-TGF β R2 receptor been clinically tested, the drugs most widely tested in the clinic have been SMIs of TGF β R1 kinase, such as galunisertib and vactosertib. SMIs suffer from lack of specificity and from a small window between therapeutic response and potential cardiotoxicity (as seen in animals at very high doses). Cardiotoxicity is also seen at high doses of potent nonclinical anti-ligand antibodies (Mitra et al. 2020). Future drug development should therefore aim toward next-generation SMIs that target

other components of the TGF β signaling pathway, particularly molecules whose expression is restricted primarily to tumors, or that target TGF β blockade for specific cell types (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021), including via incorporation into CAR T cells or oncolytic viruses, etc. (Hou et al. 2018, Groeneveldt et al. 2020).

Bintrafusp alfa is a bispecific protein that combines an anti-PD-L1 antibody, based on avelumab, with a TGF β 1/3 ligand trap, based on the extracellular domain of TGF β R2 (Jochems et al. 2017). The concept behind bintrafusp alfa is that the anti-PD-L1 moiety targets drug delivery to the tumor, concentrating TGFB depletion (and anti-PD-L1 activity) to sites of high PD-L1 in order to decrease any adverse side effects related to TGF β blockade in normal tissue. In vivo positron emission tomography imaging of the radiolabeled drug shows preferential accumulation within tumors, with some accumulation in the kidney (Burvenich et al. 2021). Nevertheless, bintrafusp alfa was shown to deplete all circulating TGF β (Lan et al. 2018), which suggests a systemic effect, and a finding of hemorrhaging from mucosal surfaces during therapy, albeit clinically manageable, also suggests systemic TGFB blockade since this was not observed with anti-PD-L1 monotherapy (Strauss et al. 2018). Bintrafusp alfa mediates tumor regression and long-term antitumor immunity in rodent experiments (Knudson et al. 2018, Lan et al. 2018), and in phase I and II clinical trials it showed enhanced efficacy compared to anti-PD-L1 monotherapy, particularly in human papilloma-positive tumors (Strauss et al. 2018, Strauss et al. 2020). The drug increased intratumoral Th1 cell/Treg and CD8⁺ T cell/Treg ratios, activated NK cells, elevated intratumoral monocytes at the expense of MDSCs, induced cytotoxic NK cell properties in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, and reverted mesenchymal tumors toward an epithelial phenotype, all of which are features of TGFB inhibition not observed with anti-PD-L1 monotherapy.

Recently, two late-stage clinical trials of bintrafusp alfa failed to reach their predefined threshold for clinical success, but the threshold was set high. In a trial of newly diagnosed late-stage NSCLC bintrafusp alfa did not sufficiently outperform Keytruda[®] (an anti-PD-1 therapeutic) (Adams 2021). In a second halted trial of 159 patients with locally advanced or metastatic biliary tract cancer (BTC) who had failed other therapies, bintrafusp alfa monotherapy provided a 10.1% objective response rate (ORR) (Adams 2021). Placing these data into context, bintrafusp alfa compared favorably with current standard therapy for metastatic BTC, namely an anti-PD-L1 that shows a historical ORR of only 5.8% in all patients (Adams 2021), including those with no prior drug treatment who are generally less resistant to therapy. However, the 10% ORR achieved with bintrafusp alfa continues to be developed for other cancers and in combination with other drugs, such as chemotherapy (Adams 2021).

The drug design of bintrafusp alfa is elegant, and preclinical studies have shown that combining the two biological activities within one molecule did not compromise the efficiency of either. However, the molecular constraints imposed by restricting TGF β blockade to sites of PD-L1 within the tumor may have compromised the efficacy of the neutralization of active TGF β . This is pertinent, considering the intimate molecular relationship between activation of latent TGF β by integrin β 8 and the initiation of

TGF β /TGF β R signaling. It is possible that the combination of a TGF β inhibitor with an independently administered drug targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis, which is being trialed by many pharmaceutical companies, may be more efficacious and provide the ability to titrate optimal drug dosing for each component independently.

8. TARGETING ACTIVATION OF TGFβ FOR CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY

It is increasingly clear that targeting activation of TGF β is a highly attractive approach to stimulate ICB therapy, and recent studies suggest that integrin β 8 is an excellent target. In adults, integrin β 8 expression is mainly confined to T cells, macrophages, and DCs, and its expression is upregulated in tumors where it is expressed on malignant cells and CD4⁺ T cells in a slew of mouse and human cancer types (Reyes et al. 2013, Guerrero et al. 2017, Takasaka et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021, Seed et al. 2021). Importantly, in colon carcinoma, triple-negative basal-type breast cancer, advanced-stage serous ovarian cancer, and NSCLC, high integrin β 8 expression is associated with poor clinical outcomes (Takasaka et al. 2018, Zhou et al. 2020).

Structural and empirical studies have shown that the activation of latent TGF β by integrin β 8, which occurs concomitantly with the engagement of mature TGF β with TGF β R2, occurs within a geometrically constrained complex formed between $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8 on one cell interacting with latent TGF β presented on another (Figure 2b). TGF β signaling can therefore occur without the need for the release and diffusion of active TGF β . The molecular constraints imposed within this complex were predicted to diminish access to anti-TGF β -blocking antibodies or ligand traps (Campbell et al. 2020, Seed et al. 2021). These observations provide credence to the view that targeting integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8 activation of latent TGF β with specific anti–integrin β 8 antibodies (Takasaka et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021) or small molecules (Reichart et al. 2019) may be more efficacious than blocking the free ligand and less likely to instigate systemic adverse effects away from the tumor site.

Integrin β 8 (Worthington et al. 2015) and GARP (Stockis et al. 2009, Cuende et al. 2015) are both expressed on activated human and mouse CD4⁺ FOXP3⁺ Tregs, and $\alpha\nu\beta 8$ expression is elevated on Tregs during inflammatory activation (Worthington et al. 2015), including within tumors compared to normal lymphoid tissues (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021). Genetic deletion of *Itgb8* in Tregs using a FOXP3-Cre-driven mouse did not break Treg-mediated tolerance under homeostatic conditions but did block the suppression of T cell-mediated inflammation (Worthington et al. 2015), which is important for considering systemic integrin β 8 inhibition for therapy. Recent studies have demonstrated remarkable tumor regression and antitumor immunity in response to antibody blockade of $\alpha v\beta 8$. In multiple mouse tumor models, including SCCs and mammary, prostate, and lung cancers that express a range of cell surface integrin $\beta 8$ levels, anti-integrin $\beta 8$ antibodies, even as monotherapy, exerted efficient antitumor responses, including downregulation of intratumoral pSMAD2/3 signaling, tumor rejection, and long-term anti-tumor immunity (Takasaka et al. 2018, Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021, Laine et al. 2021). In some cancer models, this was potentiated by ICB therapies, including anti-PD-1 therapy with or without radiation, anti-CTLA4 therapy, or a 4-1BB agonist (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021). Within the tumor, the highest *Itgb8* expression levels were observed in CD4⁺ T cells, particularly

in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs compared to conventional CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells. *Itgb8* RNA was much lower in tumor cells and other lymphocytic and myeloid cell types. Importantly, Itgb8 expression in intratumoral Tregs was elevated three- to sixfold compared to that in Tregs of other lymphoid tissue, and deletion of *Itgb8* in T cells using a *Cd4-Cre* transgene in a transplantable syngeneic prostate tumor model was as effective as, and redundant with, administration of anti-integrin β 8 antibodies in delaying tumor outgrowth and extending life (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021). In contrast, deletion of *Itgb8* in DCs using a *Cd11c-Cre* promoter had no effect on tumor growth, confirming the important role of T cell-specific *Itgb8* expression in driving tumor growth via TGFβ activation (Dodagatta-Marri et al. 2021). In a separate study, Itgb8 expression was deleted in CD4⁺ Tregs using a Foxp3-Cre transgene, leading to dramatic reduction in outgrowth of implanted E0117 mammary tumor cells, validating the concept that activation of TGF β by integrin β 8 expressed on Tregs is a critical component of the TGF^β-mediated immunosuppressive machinery in the tumor (Laine et al. 2021). A third study did not detect integrin $\beta 8$ on intratumoral mouse or human Tregs but showed high integrin $\beta 8$ protein expression on the surface of human and mouse tumor cells (Seed et al. 2021). Using tumor lines expressing different integrin β 8 levels, and by manipulating tumor cell Itgb8 expression genetically, the researchers found that Treg infiltration in vivo correlates with tumor cell expression of integrin $\beta 8$, inferring that integrin β 8 on tumor cells leads to TGF β -induced Treg differentiation that contributed to immune-excluded tumors (Seed et al. 2021). Integrin $\beta 8$ expression on tumor cells has also been shown to play a role in supporting tumor growth independent of any effect on immune cells, as demonstrated in mouse and human glioblastomas (Guerrero et al. 2017).

Antibodies have also been developed that stabilize TGF β latency by binding the RGD site of LAPs to compete with integrins, and these have been found to be efficacious in preclinical models (Martin et al. 2020). However, such anti-LAP antibodies may target the activation of TGF β more widely rather than focal tumor–specific effects of integrin β 8 blockade. Proof of concept for all these drugs awaits the outcome of currently ongoing clinical trials.

9. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In conclusion, markers of EMT, ECM, activated CAFs, and high-TGF β signaling are prognostic features associated with a lack of tumor responses to ICB therapy, with the first three of these potentially driven at least in part by TGF β . Intratumoral TGF β signaling is elevated further by ICB and radiation therapy or chemotherapy. It is therefore not surprising that in many preclinical models, drugging the TGF β signaling pathway has been shown to synergize with ICB therapy through diverse mechanisms dependent on the tumor type and grade. TGF β blockade relieves the immunosuppression of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells and NK cells, promotes lineage switching within the CD4⁺ T cell population, depolarizes immunosuppressive intratumoral myeloid cells and CAFs, and inhibits angiogenesis, leading to tumor regression and long-term immunity. Drugs in clinical development include antibodies that block active ligands, block TGF β -activating integrins, or stabilize latent TGF β ; ligand traps; antisense ligands; and bispecific ligand traps that target the TGF β blockade to specific sites/cells or that have ICB moieties. Targeting the activation of latent TGF β through the blockade of integrin β 8 may increase efficacy and reduce adverse effects because the drug target has more restricted expression. However, there is room for further

improvements in drug design, drug dosing regimens, and patient stratification for TGF β blockade agents.

On the basic research side, areas for future investigation might include the impact of tumor exosomes on TGF β blockade therapies and vice versa. Tumor-derived exosomes or so-called apoptotic bodies have protumorigenic and prometastatic properties (Hoshino et al. 2015, Becker et al. 2016) and have been shown to package and present both TGF β (Xie et al. 2009, Wada et al. 2010, Webber et al. 2010) and PD-L1 (Poggio et al. 2019) to immune and malignant cells to drive tumor progression. In fact, TGF β has been shown to be instrumental in orchestrating the enrichment of PD-L1 in exosomes to suppress CD8⁺ T cells in breast cancer (Chatterjee et al. 2021). Consideration of these issues will be important for drug design since, for example, exosomal PD-L1, which drives tumor growth, has been shown to be resistant to systemic anti-PD-L1 therapy in a prostate cancer model (Poggio et al. 2019). Development of nanobodies against TGF β signaling targets should give better access to drug targets than conventional antibodies (Chanier & Chames 2019). Moreover, novel molecular targets on the TGF β signaling pathway may still be identified that increase the window between therapeutic response and adverse effects.

Most importantly, since each tumor type utilizes TGF β signaling to drive a different aspect of tumor progression, a major challenge is to identify the overriding cellular targets and molecular mechanisms that drive TGF β blockade responses for each tumor class. Additionally, specific tumor-driving mutations or genomic rearrangements may influence the efficacy of TGF β signaling blockade immunotherapy. In this respect, it will be important to develop high-throughput prognostic biomarkers that are predictive of outcomes of TGF β signaling blockade therapies to allow for patient stratification and to develop robust pharmacodynamic markers for the longitudinal assessment of therapeutic responses versus progressive disease or the development of adverse effects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all authors whose work we have cited and apologize to those we were unable to cite due to constraints on length. Work in the authors' laboratory is funded by the NIH (National Institutes of Health)/NCI (National Cancer Institute) grant R01 CA210561, the Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, UCSF, and the Addax Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED

- Adams B 2021. GSK, German Merck's \$4.2B bintrafusp alfa drug flops again, but companies squint to see glimmers of hope. Fierce Biotech, Mar. 16. https://www.fiercebiotech.com/biotech/gsk-german-merck-s-4-2b-bintrafusp-alfa-drug-flops-again-but-companies-squint-to-see
- Anido J, Saez-Borderias A, Gonzalez-Junca A, Rodon L, Folch G, et al. 2010. TGF-β receptor inhibitors target the CD44^{High}/Id1^{High} glioma-initiating cell population in human glioblastoma. Cancer Cell 18:655–68 [PubMed: 21156287]
- Arnold TD, Ferrero GM, Qiu H, Phan IT, Akhurst RJ, et al. 2012. Defective retinal vascular endothelial cell development as a consequence of impaired integrin αvβ8-mediated activation of transforming growth factor-β. J. Neurosci 32:1197–206 [PubMed: 22279205]
- Arteaga CL, Hurd SD, Winnier AR, Johnson MD, Fendly BM, Forbes JT. 1993. Anti-transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta antibodies inhibit breast cancer cell tumorigenicity and increase mouse spleen natural killer cell activity. Implications for a possible role of tumor cell/host TGF-beta interactions in human breast cancer progression. J. Clin. Investig 92:2569–76 [PubMed: 7504687]

First report of TGF β antibodies potentiating NK cell activity in a mammary carcinoma model in vivo.

- Asadzadeh Z, Mohammadi H, Safarzadeh E, Hemmatzadeh M, Mahdian-Shakib A, et al. 2017. The paradox of Th17 cell functions in tumor immunity. Cell Immunol. 322:15–25 [PubMed: 29103586]
- Baras AS, Drake C, Liu JJ, Gandhi N, Kates M, et al. 2016. The ratio of CD8 to Treg tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with response to cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. OncoImmunology 5:e1134412 [PubMed: 27467953]
- Batlle E, Massague J. 2019. Transforming growth factor-β signaling in immunity and cancer. Immunity 50:924–40 [PubMed: 30995507]
- Becker A, Thakur BK, Weiss JM, Kim HS, Peinado H, Lyden D. 2016. Extracellular vesicles in cancer: cell-to-cell mediators of metastasis. Cancer Cell 30:836–48 [PubMed: 27960084]
- Budi EH, Muthusamy BP, Derynck R. 2015. The insulin response integrates increased TGF-β signaling through Akt-induced enhancement of cell surface delivery of TGF-β receptors. Sci. Signal 8:ra96 [PubMed: 26420907]
- Burvenich IJG, Goh YW, Guo N, Gan HK, Rigopoulos A, et al. 2021. Radiolabelling and preclinical characterization of ⁸⁹Zr-Df-radiolabelled bispecific anti-PD-L1/TGF-βRII fusion protein bintrafusp alfa. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 48:3075–88 [PubMed: 33608805]
- Calcagno AM, Salcido CD, Gillet JP, Wu CP, Fostel JM, et al. 2010. Prolonged drug selection of breast cancer cells and enrichment of cancer stem cell characteristics. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 102:1637–52 [PubMed: 20935265]
- Campbell MG, Cormier A, Ito S, Seed RI, Bondesson AJ, et al. 2020. Cryo-EM reveals integrinmediated TGF- β activation without release from latent TGF- β . Cell 180:490–501.e16 [PubMed: 31955848] Integrin β 8–mediated TGF β activation and signaling without release from the latent TGF β complex.
- Chakravarthy A, Khan L, Bensler NP, Bose P, De Carvalho DD. 2018. TGF-β-associated extracellular matrix genes link cancer-associated fibroblasts to immune evasion and immunotherapy failure. Nat. Commun 9:4692 [PubMed: 30410077]
- Chanier T, Chames P. 2019. Nanobody engineering: toward next generation immunotherapies and immunoimaging of cancer. Antibodies 8(1):13
- Chatterjee S, Chatterjee A, Jana S, Dey S, Roy H, et al. 2021. Transforming growth factor beta orchestrates PD-L1 enrichment in tumor-derived exosomes and mediates CD8 T-cell dysfunction regulating early phosphorylation of TCR signalome in breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 42:38–47 [PubMed: 32832992]
- Chen W, Jin W, Hardegen N, Lei KJ, Li L, et al. 2003. Conversion of peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁻ naive T cells to CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells by TGF-β induction of transcription factor *Foxp3*.
 J. Exp. Med 198:1875–86 [PubMed: 14676299] First report that TGFβ induces FOXP3⁺ Treg differentiation.
- Connolly EC, Saunier EF, Quigley D, Luu MT, De Sapio A, et al. 2011. Outgrowth of drug-resistant carcinomas expressing markers of tumor aggression after long term TβRI/II kinase inhibition with Ly2109761. Cancer Res. 71(6):2339–49 [PubMed: 21282335]
- Cooper J, Giancotti FG. 2019. Integrin signaling in cancer: mechanotransduction, stemness, epithelial plasticity, and therapeutic resistance. Cancer Cell 35:347–67 [PubMed: 30889378]
- Cuende J, Lienart S, Dedobbeleer O, Van Der Woning B, De Boeck G, et al. 2015. Monoclonal antibodies against GARP/TGF-β1 complexes inhibit the immunosuppressive activity of human regulatory T cells in vivo. Sci. Transl. Med 7:284ra56
- Curran MA, Montalvo W, Yagita H, Allison JP. 2010. PD-1 and CTLA-4 combination blockade expands infiltrating T cells and reduces regulatory T and myeloid cells within B16 melanoma tumors. PNAS 107:4275–80 [PubMed: 20160101]
- Dahmani A, Delisle JS. 2018. TGF-β in T cell biology: implications for cancer immunotherapy. Cancers 10(6):194
- Danilova L, Wang H, Sunshine J, Kaunitz GJ, Cottrell TR, et al. 2016. Association of PD-1/PD-L axis expression with cytolytic activity, mutational load, and prognosis in melanoma and other solid tumors. PNAS 113:E7769–77 [PubMed: 27837027]

- David JM, Dominguez C, McCampbell KK, Gulley JL, Schlom J, Palena C. 2017. A novel bifunctional anti-PD-L1/TGF-β trap fusion protein (M7824) efficiently reverts mesenchymalization of human lung cancer cells. OncoImmunology 6:e1349589 [PubMed: 29123964]
- Derynck R, Budi EH. 2019. Specificity, versatility, and control of TGF-β family signaling. Sci. Signal 12(570):aav5183
- Derynck R, Turley SJ, Akhurst RJ. 2021. TGFβ biology in cancer progression and immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol 18:9–34 [PubMed: 32710082]
- Desbois M, Udyavar AR, Ryner L, Kozlowski C, Guan Y, et al. 2020. Integrated digital pathology and transcriptome analysis identifies molecular mediators of T-cell exclusion in ovarian cancer. Nat. Commun 11:5583 [PubMed: 33149148]
- Di Guglielmo GM, Le Roy C, Goodfellow AF, Wrana JL. 2003. Distinct endocytic pathways regulate TGF-β receptor signalling and turnover. Nat. Cell Biol 5:410–21 [PubMed: 12717440]
- Dodagatta-Marri E, Ma HY, Liang B, Li J, Meyer DS, et al. 2021. Integrin αvβ8 on T cells suppresses anti-tumor immunity in multiple models and is a promising target for tumor immunotherapy. Cell Rep. 36:109309 [PubMed: 34233193]
- Dodagatta-Marri E, Meyer DS, Reeves MQ, Paniagua R, To MD, et al. 2019. α-PD-1 therapy elevates Treg/Th balance and increases tumor cell pSmad3 that are both targeted by α-TGFβ antibody to promote durable rejection and immunity in squamous cell carcinomas. J. Immunother. Cancer 7:62 [PubMed: 30832732]
- Dong X, Hudson NE, Lu C, Springer TA. 2014. Structural determinants of integrin β-subunit specificity for latent TGF-β. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol 21:1091–96 [PubMed: 25383667]
- Dongre A, Weinberg RA. 2019. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 20:69–84 [PubMed: 30459476]
- Donkor MK, Sarkar A, Li MO. 2012. TGF-β1 produced by activated CD4⁺ T cells antagonizes T cell surveillance of tumor development. OncoImmunology 1:162–71 [PubMed: 22720237]
- Donkor MK, Sarkar A, Savage PA, Franklin RA, Johnson LK, et al. 2011. T cell surveillance of oncogene-induced prostate cancer is impeded by T cell-derived TGF-β1 cytokine. Immunity 35:123–34 [PubMed: 21757379]
- Du D, Katsuno Y, Meyer D, Budi EH, Chen SH, et al. 2018. Smad3-mediated recruitment of the methyltransferase SETDB1/ESET controls *Snail1* expression and epithelial–mesenchymal transition. EMBO Rep. 19:135–55 [PubMed: 29233829]
- Edwards JP, Thornton AM, Shevach EM. 2014. Release of active TGF-β1 from the latent TGF-β1/ GARP complex on T regulatory cells is mediated by integrin β8. J. Immunol 193:2843–49 [PubMed: 25127859]
- Ehrlich M, Shmuely A, Henis YI. 2001. A single internalization signal from the di-leucine family is critical for constitutive endocytosis of the type II TGF-β receptor. J. Cell Sci 114:1777–86 [PubMed: 11309207]
- Flavell RA, Sanjabi S, Wrzesinski SH, Licona-Limon P. 2010. The polarization of immune cells in the tumour environment by TGFβ. Nat. Rev. Immunol 10:554–67 [PubMed: 20616810]
- Formenti SC, Lee P, Adams S, Goldberg JD, Li X, et al. 2018. Focal irradiation and systemic TGFβ blockade in metastatic breast cancer. Clin. Cancer Res 24:2493–504 [PubMed: 29476019]
- Gorelik L, Flavell RA. 2001. Immune-mediated eradication of tumors through the blockade of transforming growth factor-β signaling in T cells. Nat. Med 7:1118–22 [PubMed: 11590434] First report of tumor immune eradication by genetic deletion of TGFβ responses in T cells.
- Griffin GK, Wu J, Iracheta-Vellve A, Patti JC, Hsu J, et al. 2021. Epigenetic silencing by SETDB1 suppresses tumour intrinsic immunogenicity. Nature 595:309–14 [PubMed: 33953401]
- Groeneveldt C, Van Hall T, Van Der Burg SH, Ten Dijke P, Van Montfoort N. 2020. Immunotherapeutic potential of TGF-β inhibition and oncolytic viruses. Trends Immunol. 41:406– 20 [PubMed: 32223932]
- Guerrero PA, Tchaicha JH, Chen Z, Morales JE, McCarty N, et al. 2017. Glioblastoma stem cells exploit the $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8 integrin-TGF β 1 signaling axis to drive tumor initiation and progression. Oncogene 36:6568–80 [PubMed: 28783169]

- Gulley JL, Madan RA, Pachynski R, Mulders P, Sheikh NA, et al. 2017. Role of antigen spread and distinctive characteristics of immunotherapy in cancer treatment. J. Natl. Cancer Inst 109:djw261
- Gunderson AJ, Yamazaki T, McCarty K, Fox N, Phillips M, et al. 2020. TGFβ suppresses CD8⁺ T cell expression of CXCR3 and tumor trafficking. Nat. Commun 11:1749 [PubMed: 32273499] Induction of CD8⁺ T cell trafficking into tumors by anti-TGFβ effects on CXCR3 expression.
- Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, Zheng C, Song J, et al. 2018. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. Nat. Med 24:978–85 [PubMed: 29942094]
- Gutcher I, Donkor MK, Ma Q, Rudensky AY, Flavell RA, Li MO. 2011. Autocrine transforming growth factor-β1 promotes in vivo Th17 cell differentiation. Immunity 34:396–408 [PubMed: 21435587]
- Holmgaard RB, Schaer DA, Li Y, Castaneda SP, Murphy MY, et al. 2018. Targeting the TGFβ pathway with galunisertib, a TGFβRI small molecule inhibitor, promotes anti-tumor immunity leading to durable, complete responses, as monotherapy and in combination with checkpoint blockade. J. Immunother. Cancer 6:47 [PubMed: 29866156]
- Hoshino A, Costa-Silva B, Shen TL, Rodrigues G, Hashimoto A, et al. 2015. Tumour exosome integrins determine organotropic metastasis. Nature 527:329–35 [PubMed: 26524530]
- Hou AJ, Chang ZL, Lorenzini MH, Zah E, Chen YY. 2018. TGF-β–responsive CAR-T cells promote anti-tumor immune function. Bioeng. Transl. Med 3:75–86 [PubMed: 30065964]
- Huang AC, Orlowski RJ, Xu X, Mick R, George SM, et al. 2019. A single dose of neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade predicts clinical outcomes in resectable melanoma. Nat. Med 25:454–61 [PubMed: 30804515]
- Huang S, Holzel M, Knijnenburg T, Schlicker A, Roepman P, et al. 2012. MED12 controls the response to multiple cancer drugs through regulation of TGF-β receptor signaling. Cell 151:937– 50 [PubMed: 23178117]
- Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun L, Song C, Moreno BH, et al. 2016. Genomic and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. Cell 165:35–44 [PubMed: 26997480] First report that resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy is marked by EMT, ECM, and immunosuppression.
- Hynes RO. 2002. Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 110:673–87 [PubMed: 12297042]
- Ishigame H, Mosaheb MM, Sanjabi S, Flavell RA. 2013a. Truncated form of TGF-βRII, but not its absence, induces memory CD8⁺ T cell expansion and lymphoproliferative disorder in mice. J. Immunol 190:6340–50 [PubMed: 23686479]
- Ishigame H, Zenewicz LA, Sanjabi S, Licona-Limón P, Nakayama M, et al. 2013b. Excessive Th1 responses due to the absence of TGF-β signaling cause autoimmune diabetes and dysregulated Treg cell homeostasis. PNAS 110:6961–66 [PubMed: 23569233]
- Jiao S, Subudhi SK, Aparicio A, Ge Z, Guan B, et al. 2019. Differences in tumor microenvironment dictate T helper lineage polarization and response to immune checkpoint therapy. Cell 179:1177– 90.e13 [PubMed: 31730856]
- Jochems C, Tritsch SR, Pellom ST, Su Z, Soon-Shiong P, et al. 2017. Analyses of functions of an anti-PD-L1/TGFβR2 bispecific fusion protein (M7824). Oncotarget 8:75217–31 [PubMed: 29088859]
- Kamada T, Togashi Y, Tay C, Ha D, Sasaki A, et al. 2019. PD-1(⁺) regulatory T cells amplified by PD-1 blockade promote hyperprogression of cancer. PNAS 116:9999–10008 [PubMed: 31028147]
- Katsuno Y, Meyer DS, Zhang Z, Shokat KM, Akhurst RJ, et al. 2019. Chronic TGF-β exposure drives stabilized EMT, tumor stemness, and cancer drug resistance with vulnerability to bitopic mTOR inhibition. Sci. Signal 12:aau8544
- Kavanagh B, O'Brien S, Lee D, Hou Y, Weinberg V, et al. 2008. CTLA4 blockade expands FoxP3⁺ regulatory and activated effector CD4⁺ T cells in a dose-dependent fashion. Blood 112:1175–83 [PubMed: 18523152]
- Kitamura T, Kometani K, Hashida H, Matsunaga A, Miyoshi H, et al. 2007. SMAD4-deficient intestinal tumors recruit CCR1⁺ myeloid cells that promote invasion. Nat. Genet 39:467–75 [PubMed: 17369830]

- Kitano S, Tsuji T, Liu C, Hirschhorn-Cymerman D, Kyi C, et al. 2013. Enhancement of tumor-reactive cytotoxic CD4⁺ T cell responses after ipilimumab treatment in four advanced melanoma patients. Cancer Immunol. Res 1:235–44 [PubMed: 24396833]
- Knudson KM, Hicks KC, Luo X, Chen JQ, Schlom J, Gameiro SR. 2018. M7824, a novel bifunctional anti-PD-L1/TGFβ trap fusion protein, promotes anti-tumor efficacy as monotherapy and in combination with vaccine. OncoImmunology 7:e1426519 [PubMed: 29721396]
- Konkel JE, Zhang D, Zanvit P, Chia C, Zangarle-Murray T, et al. 2017. Transforming growth factor-β signaling in regulatory T cells controls T helper-17 cells and tissue-specific immune responses. Immunity 46:660–74 [PubMed: 28423340]
- Kroger C, Afeyan A, Mraz J, Eaton EN, Reinhardt F, et al. 2019. Acquisition of a hybrid E/M state is essential for tumorigenicity of basal breast cancer cells. PNAS 116:7353–62 [PubMed: 30910979]
- Laine A, Labaid O, Hernandez-Vargas H, This S, Saniaville A, et al. 2021. Cooperation between cancer cells and regulatory T cells to promote immune-escape through integrin αvβ8-mediated TGF-β activation. Nature Commun. 12:6228 [PubMed: 34711823]
- Lan Y, Zhang D, Xu C, Hance KW, Marelli B, et al. 2018. Enhanced preclinical antitumor activity of M7824, a bifunctional fusion protein simultaneously targeting PD-L1 and TGF-β. Sci. Transl. Med 10(424):aan5488 Enhanced preclinical activity of bintrafusp alfa, a bispecific protein targeting TGFβ and PD-L1.
- Li S, Liu M, Do MH, Chou C, Stamatiades EG, et al. 2020. Cancer immunotherapy via targeted TGF-β signalling blockade in T_H cells. Nature 587:121–25 [PubMed: 33087933]
- Lind H, Gameiro SR, Jochems C, Donahue RN, Strauss J, et al. 2020. Dual targeting of TGF-β and PD-L1 via a bifunctional anti-PD-L1/TGF-βRII agent: status of preclinical and clinical advances. J. Immunother. Cancer 8:e000433 [PubMed: 32079617]
- Liu M, Kuo F, Capistrano KJ, Kang D, Nixon BG, et al. 2020. TGF-β suppresses type 2 immunity to cancer. Nature 587:115–20 [PubMed: 33087928]
- Ludbrook SB, Barry ST, Delves CJ, Horgan CM. 2003. The integrin $\alpha\nu\beta3$ is a receptor for the latency-associated peptides of transforming growth factors $\beta1$ and $\beta3$. Biochem. J 369:311–18 [PubMed: 12358597]
- Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, Yuen K, et al. 2018. TGFβ attenuates tumour response to PD-L1 blockade by contributing to exclusion of T cells. Nature 554:544–48 [PubMed: 29443960]
- Marie JC, Liggitt D, Rudensky AY. 2006. Cellular mechanisms of fatal early-onset autoimmunity in mice with the T cell-specific targeting of transforming growth factor-β receptor. Immunity 25:441– 54 [PubMed: 16973387]
- Martin CJ, Datta A, Littlefield C, Kalra A, Chapron C, et al. 2020. Selective inhibition of TGFβ1 activation overcomes primary resistance to checkpoint blockade therapy by altering tumor immune landscape. Sci. Transl. Med 12(536):eaay8456 [PubMed: 32213632]
- Martin F, Apetoh L, Ghiringhelli F. 2012. Controversies on the role of Th17 in cancer: a TGF-βdependent immunosuppressive activity? Trends Mol. Med 18:742–49 [PubMed: 23083809]
- McClymont SA, Putnam AL, Lee MR, Esensten JH, Liu W, et al. 2011. Plasticity of human regulatory T cells in healthy subjects and patients with type 1 diabetes. J. Immunol 186:3918–26 [PubMed: 21368230]
- Miao Y, Yang H, Levorse J, Yuan S, Polak L, et al. 2019. Adaptive immune resistance emerges from tumor-initiating stem cells. Cell 177:1172–86.e14 [PubMed: 31031009] CD80 expression on TGFβ-responsive CSCs causing SCC resistance to immunotherapy by dampening T cell cytotoxicity.
- Michael M, Parsons M. 2020. New perspectives on integrin-dependent adhesions. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol 63:31–37 [PubMed: 31945690]
- Mitra MS, Lancaster K, Adedeji AO, Palanisamy GS, Dave RA, et al. 2020. A potent pan-TGFβ neutralizing monoclonal antibody elicits cardiovascular toxicity in mice and cynomolgus monkeys. Toxicol. Sci 175(1):24–34 [PubMed: 32077954]
- Morillon YMI, Smalley Rumfield C, Pellom ST, Sabzevari A, Roller NT, et al. 2020. The use of a humanized NSG- $\beta 2m^{-/-}$ model for investigation of immune and anti-tumor effects mediated by the bifunctional immunotherapeutic bintrafusp alfa. Front. Oncol 10:549 [PubMed: 32373533]

- Mu D, Cambier S, Fjellbirkeland L, Baron JL, Munger JS, et al. 2002. The integrin αvβ8 mediates epithelial homeostasis through MT1-MMP–dependent activation of TGF-β1. J. Cell Biol 157:493–507 [PubMed: 11970960]
- Munger JS, Harpel JG, Giancotti FG, Rifkin DB. 1998. Interactions between growth factors and integrins: latent forms of transforming growth factor-β are ligands for the integrin αvβ1. Mol. Biol. Cell 9:2627–38 [PubMed: 9725916]
- Munger JS, Huang X, Kawakatsu H, Griffiths MJ, Dalton SL, et al. 1999. The integrin αvβ6 binds and activates latent TGFβ1: a mechanism for regulating pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis. Cell 96:319–28 [PubMed: 10025398]
- Muroyama Y, Nirschl TR, Kochel CM, Lopez-Bujanda Z, Theodros D, et al. 2017. Stereotactic radiotherapy increases functionally suppressive regulatory T cells in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Immunol. Res 5:992–1004 [PubMed: 28970196]
- Nagarsheth N, Wicha MS, Zou W. 2017. Chemokines in the cancer microenvironment and their relevance in cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Immunol 17:559–72 [PubMed: 28555670]
- Nanda R, Liu MC, Yau C, Shatsky R, Pusztai L, et al. 2020. Effect of pembrolizumab plus neoadjuvant chemotherapy on pathologic complete response in women with early-stage breast cancer: an analysis of the ongoing phase 2 adaptively randomized I-SPY2 trial. JAMA Oncol. 6:676–84 [PubMed: 32053137]
- Nolte M, Margadant C. 2020. Controlling immunity and inflammation through integrin-dependent regulation of TGF-β. Trends Cell Biol. 30:49–59 [PubMed: 31744661]
- Oh DY, Kwek SS, Raju SS, Li T, McCarthy E, et al. 2020. Intratumoral CD4⁺ T cells mediate anti-tumor cytotoxicity in human bladder cancer. Cell 181:1612–25.e13 [PubMed: 32497499]
- Oshimori N, Fuchs E. 2012. Paracrine TGF-β signaling counterbalances BMP-mediated repression in hair follicle stem cell activation. Cell Stem Cell 10:63–75 [PubMed: 22226356]
- Oshimori N, Oristian D, Fuchs E. 2015. TGF-β promotes heterogeneity and drug resistance in squamous cell carcinoma. Cell 160:963–76 [PubMed: 25723170]
- Ozawa A, Sato Y, Imabayashi T, Uemura T, Takagi J, Sekiguchi K. 2016. Molecular basis of the ligand binding specificity of αvβ8 integrin. J. Biol. Chem 291:11551–65 [PubMed: 27033701]
- Panda M, Biswal BK. 2019. Cell signaling and cancer: a mechanistic insight into drug resistance. Mol. Biol. Rep 46:5645–59 [PubMed: 31280421]
- Penheiter SG, Mitchell H, Garamszegi N, Edens M, Dore JJ Jr., Leof EB. 2002. Internalizationdependent and -independent requirements for transforming growth factor β receptor signaling via the Smad pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol 22:4750–59 [PubMed: 12052882]
- Poggio M, Hu T, Pai CC, Chu B, Belair CD, et al. 2019. Suppression of exosomal PD-L1 induces systemic anti-tumor immunity and memory. Cell 177:414–27.e13 [PubMed: 30951669]
- Punt S, Dronkers EA, Welters MJ, Goedemans R, Koljenovi S, et al. 2016. A beneficial tumor microenvironment in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma is characterized by a high T cell and low IL-17(⁺) cell frequency. Cancer Immunol. Immunother 65:393–403 [PubMed: 26899388]
- Qin Y, Garrison BS, Ma W, Wang R, Jiang A, et al. 2018. A milieu molecule for TGF-β required for microglia function in the nervous system. Cell 174:156–71.e16 [PubMed: 29909984]
- Reichart F, Maltsev OV, Kapp TG, Räder AFB, Weinmüller M, et al. 2019. Selective targeting of integrin αvβ8 by a highly active cyclic peptide. J. Med. Chem 62:2024–37 [PubMed: 30657681]
- Reyes SB, Narayanan AS, Lee HS, Tchaicha JH, Aldape KD, et al. 2013. ανβ8 integrin interacts with RhoGDI1 to regulate Rac1 and Cdc42 activation and drive glioblastoma cell invasion. Mol. Biol. Cell 24:474–82 [PubMed: 23283986]
- Robertson IB, Rifkin DB. 2016. Regulation of the bioavailability of TGF-β and TGF-β-related proteins. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol 8:a021907 [PubMed: 27252363]
- Rodríguez-Ruiz ME, Rodríguez I, Mayorga L, Labiano T, Barbes B, et al. 2019. TGFβ blockade enhances radiotherapy abscopal efficacy effects in combination with anti-PD1 and anti-CD137 immunostimulatory monoclonal antibodies. Mol. Cancer Ther 18:621–31 [PubMed: 30683810]
- Sato Y, Tsuboi R, Lyons R, Moses H, Rifkin DB. 1990. Characterization of the activation of latent TGF-β by co-cultures of endothelial cells and pericytes or smooth muscle cells: a self-regulating system. J. Cell Biol 111:757–63 [PubMed: 1696270]

- Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. 2015. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. Science 348:69–74 [PubMed: 25838375]
- Seed RI, Kobayashi K, Ito S, Takasaka N, Cormier A, et al. 2021. A tumor-specific mechanism of T_{Reg} enrichment mediated by the integrin $\alpha\nu\beta$ 8. Sci. Immunol 6(57):abf0558
- Shi M, Zhu J, Wang R, Chen X, Mi L, et al. 2011. Latent TGF-β structure and activation. Nature 474:343–49 [PubMed: 21677751]
- Shipitsin M, Campbell LL, Argani P, Weremowicz S, Bloushtain-Qimron N, et al. 2007. Molecular definition of breast tumor heterogeneity. Cancer Cell 11:259–73 [PubMed: 17349583]
- Stockis J, Colau D, Coulie PG, Lucas S. 2009. Membrane protein GARP is a receptor for latent TGF-β on the surface of activated human Treg. Eur. J. Immunol 39:3315–22 [PubMed: 19750484]
- Stockis J, Lienart S, Colau D, Collignon A, Nishimura SL, et al. 2017. Blocking immunosuppression by human Tregs *in vivo* with antibodies targeting integrin αvβ8. PNAS 114:E10161–68 [PubMed: 29109269]
- Strauss J, Gatti-Mays ME, Cho BC, Hill A, Salas S, et al. 2020. Bintrafusp alfa, a bifunctional fusion protein targeting TGF-β and PD-L1, in patients with human papillomavirus-associated malignancies. J. Immunother. Cancer 8:e001395 [PubMed: 33323462]
- Strauss J, Heery CR, Schlom J, Madan RA, Cao L, et al. 2018. Phase I trial of M7824 (MSB0011359C), a bifunctional fusion protein targeting PD-L1 and TGFβ, in advanced solid tumors. Clin. Cancer Res 24:1287–95 [PubMed: 29298798]
- Takasaka N, Seed RI, Cormier A, Bondesson AJ, Lou J, et al. 2018. Integrin ανβ8-expressing tumor cells evade host immunity by regulating TGF-β activation in immune cells. JCI Insight 3(20):e122591
- Tauriello DVF, Palomo-Ponce S, Stork D, Berenguer-Llergo A, Badia-Ramentol J, et al. 2018. TGFβ drives immune evasion in genetically reconstituted colon cancer metastasis. Nature 554:538–43 [PubMed: 29443964]
- Thomas DA, Massague J. 2005. TGF-β directly targets cytotoxic T cell functions during tumor evasion of immune surveillance. Cancer Cell 8:369–80 [PubMed: 16286245] First report of molecular mechanisms by which TGFβ targets cytotoxic T cells.
- Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, Wolf D, Bortone DS, et al. 2018. The immune landscape of cancer. Immunity 48:812–30.e14 [PubMed: 29628290]
- Tran DQ, Andersson J, Wang R, Ramsey H, Unutmaz D, Shevach EM. 2009. GARP (LRRC32) is essential for the surface expression of latent TGF-β on platelets and activated FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells. PNAS 106:13445–50 [PubMed: 19651619]
- Van Allen EM, Miao D, Schilling B, Shukla SA, Blank C, et al. 2015. Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic melanoma. Science 350:207–11 [PubMed: 26359337]
- Wada J, Onishi H, Suzuki H, Yamasaki A, Nagai S, et al. 2010. Surface-bound TGF-β1 on effusionderived exosomes participates in maintenance of number and suppressive function of regulatory T-cells in malignant effusions. Anticancer Res. 30:3747–57 [PubMed: 20944164]
- Wang D, Quiros J, Mahuron K, Pai CC, Ranzani V, et al. 2018. Targeting EZH2 reprograms intratumoral regulatory T cells to enhance cancer immunity. Cell Rep. 23:3262–74 [PubMed: 29898397]
- Wang L, Saci A, Szabo PM, Chasalow SD, Castillo-Martin M, et al. 2018. EMT- and stroma-related gene expression and resistance to PD-1 blockade in urothelial cancer. Nat. Commun 9:3503 [PubMed: 30158554]
- Wang R, Zhu J, Dong X, Shi M, Lu C, Springer TA. 2012. GARP regulates the bioavailability and activation of TGFβ. Mol. Biol. Cell 23:1129–39 [PubMed: 22278742]
- Webber J, Steadman R, Mason MD, Tabi Z, Clayton A. 2010. Cancer exosomes trigger fibroblast to myofibroblast differentiation. Cancer Res. 70:9621–30 [PubMed: 21098712]
- Worthington JJ, Kelly A, Smedley C, Bauché D, Campbell S, et al. 2015. Integrin αvβ8-mediated TGF-β activation by effector regulatory T cells is essential for suppression of T-cell-mediated inflammation. Immunity 42:903–15 [PubMed: 25979421]
- Xie Y, Bai O, Yuan J, Chibbar R, Slattery K, et al. 2009. Tumor apoptotic bodies inhibit CTL responses and antitumor immunity via membrane-bound transforming growth factor-β1 inducing CD8⁺ T-cell anergy and CD4⁺ Tr1 cell responses. Cancer Res. 69:7756–66 [PubMed: 19789353]

- Yang L, Huang J, Ren X, Gorska AE, Chytil A, et al. 2008. Abrogation of TGFβ signaling in mammary carcinomas recruits Gr-1⁺CD11b⁺ myeloid cells that promote metastasis. Cancer Cell 13:23–35 [PubMed: 18167337]
- Yang L, Karin M. 2014. Roles of tumor suppressors in regulating tumor-associated inflammation. Cell Death Differ. 21:1677–86 [PubMed: 25190145]
- Yin JJ, Selander K, Chirgwin JM, Dallas M, Grubbs BG, et al. 1999. TGF-β signaling blockade inhibits PTHrP secretion by breast cancer cells and bone metastases development. J. Clin. Investig 103:197–206 [PubMed: 9916131]
- Yue J, Mulder KM. 2000. Requirement of Ras/Mapk pathway activation by transforming growth factor β for transforming growth factor β_1 production in a Smad-dependent pathway. J. Biol. Chem 275:35656
- Zhang N, Bevan MJ. 2012. TGF-β signaling to T cells inhibits autoimmunity during lymphopeniadriven proliferation. Nat. Immunol 13:667–73 [PubMed: 22634866]
- Zheng C, Zheng L, Yoo JK, Guo H, Zhang Y, et al. 2017. Landscape of infiltrating T cells in liver cancer revealed by single-cell sequencing. Cell 169:1342–56.e16 [PubMed: 28622514]
- Zhou M, Niu J, Wang J, Gao H, Shahbaz M, et al. 2020. Integrin ανβ8 serves as a novel marker of poor prognosis in colon carcinoma and regulates cell invasiveness through the activation of TGF-β1. J. Cancer 11:3803–15 [PubMed: 32328185]
- Zumwalt TJ, Arnold M, Goel A, Boland CR. 2015. Active secretion of CXCL10 and CCL5 from colorectal cancer microenvironments associates with GranzymeB⁺ CD8⁺ T-cell infiltration. Oncotarget 6:2981–91 [PubMed: 25671296]

IMMUNE CHECKPOINT BLOCKADE

ICB agents act by preventing T cell exhaustion. Therapeutic antibodies that block ligation of PD-1 on T lymphocytes with its tissue-localized ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, have revolutionized cancer therapy particularly for high-TML (tumor mutation load) tumors with high neoantigen content. Long-term durable responses, including complete regressions, are often seen, although only a minority of patients benefit without the use additional drugs in combination with anti-PD-1/PD-L1. The first FDA (US Food and Drug Administration)-approved ICB agent prevented ligation of T cell–presented CTLA4 to its ligands that are expressed on antigen-presenting cells. Many other ICB agents are in drug development.

TGF β ACTIVITIES IN HEALTH AND DISEASE

TGF β was discovered in the late 1970s to early 1980s as a component of a secreted factor (now known to be TGF α and TGF β) that could transform fibroblast cells, allowing them to grow in soft agar. It was soon found that TGF β is a potent inhibitor of normal epithelial proliferation, but efforts to harness this activity to prevent tumor outgrowth were thwarted by the development of tumor cell insensitivity to TGF β -mediated growth arrest. This cytokine is a pleiotropic signaling molecule that plays important and highly regulated roles during development, differentiation, and tissue homeostasis. It is transiently induced upon the perturbation of homeostasis, such as in wounding, inflammation, or tumor promotion, but in pathological situations, including cancer and fibrosis, TGF β secretion is chronically elevated and contributes to the exacerbation of the diseased state. Excessive amounts of TGF β produced from multiple cellular sources within the tumor act locally on both malignant cells and cells of the tumor microenvironment (TME) to support tumor progression and metastasis.

INTEGRINS

Integrins are heterodimeric transmembrane proteins possessing an α and a β subunit. They bind RGD motifs within ECM proteins, such as fibronectin, and integrate communication between the ECM and intracellular cytoskeleton. Integrins transduce signals from ECM to cytoskeleton and from cytoskeleton to ECM, termed outside-in and inside-out signaling (Hynes 2002, Cooper & Giancotti 2019, Michael & Parsons 2020). Generally, unligated integrin takes on a folded inactive state. Integrin activation or inside-out signaling is initiated by cytoplasmic proteins like talin, which bind to the intracellular tail of the integrin β subunit, causing separation of the α and β chains on the cytosolic side and conformational change that causes extension of the extracellular domain and results in increased integrin affinity for its extracellular ligands.

TGFβ:

transforming growth factor β

immune checkpoint blockade

ICB:

Author Manuscript

EMT:

epithelial-mesenchymal transformation

ECM:

extracellular matrix

MDSCs:

myeloid-derived suppressor cells

CAFs:

cancer-associated fibroblasts

TAMs:

tumor-associated macrophages

LAP:

latency-associated peptide

LTBP:

latent TGF β -binding protein

GARP:

glycoprotein A repetitions predominant

NRROS:

negative regulator of reactive oxygen species

TML:

tumor mutation load

SCCs:

squamous cell carcinomas

natural killer

NK:

MHC:

major histocompatibility complex

NSCLC:

non-small-cell lung cancer

TGI:

tumor growth inhibition

NSG-β2m^{-/-}:

gene knockout of the B2m gene on a highly immunodeficient NOD SCID II2rg-/- mouse



Figure 1.

TGFβ signaling pathway showing druggable targets. The TGFβ receptors are dualspecificity kinases capable of phosphorylating serine/threonine and tyrosine residues. The canonical SMAD signaling pathway requires ligand-induced kinase activity of TGFBR2, which phosphorylates TGF β R1. TGF β R1 then phosphorylates the receptor-associated SMADs, SMAD2 and SMAD3. Phosphorylated SMAD2/3 forms a hexameric complex with SMAD4 and shuttles to the nucleus to initiate transcriptional responses that are context dependent and influenced by the availability of other transcription factors and cofactors. The TGF β receptors can also directly activate other non-SMAD signaling pathways, including PI3K/AKT/mTORC, JNK, p38 MAPK, MEK/ERK, NF-rB/JAK/STAT, and Rho kinases. These pathways are activated by TGF β binding to its receptors within distinct subcellular compartments (caveolae versus clathrin-coated pits), often with slower kinetics, and with lower magnitude of signal transduction than their activation by other stimuli. Non-SMAD and SMAD signaling pathways compete; for example, several non-SMAD pathways require SHCA binding to TGFβR1, and SHCA competes with R-SMADs for binding to TGFβR1. SARA potentiates R-SMAD binding to TGFBR1, while SMAD7 and TMEPAI antagonize this binding. Whereas the SHCA/GRB2/RAS/ERK pathway depends on TGFBR1 kinase activity, TRAF4/6 mediates ligand-activated signaling of JNK, p38 MAPK, and NF-κB pathways independent of TGF β R1 kinase activity. In this case, TGF β induces recruitment of TAK1 to the type I receptor by its association with TRAF4 or TRAF6, which are RING domain E3 ubiquitin kinases. TRAF4/6 is activated by ligand-induced conformational changes in TGF β R1, causing ubiquitination and consequent activation of this kinase and its downstream pathways. Ubiquitination of TRAF4/6 and of SMADs by SMURF1/2 results in degradation of these targets, with USP deubiquitinases counteracting this activity. Abbreviations: ECM, extracellular matrix; LAP, latency-associated peptide; LTBP, latent TGF β -binding protein; RGD, arginylglycylaspartic acid; TGF β R1, TGF β receptor type 1; TGFβR2, TGFβ receptor type 2.

Chen et al.



Figure 2.

Activation of latent TGF β . (*a*) $\alpha\nu\beta6$ -mediated activation of the large latent complex of TGF β tethered to the ECM via LTBP and released into the milieu or onto the surface of a responding cell. (*b*) $\alpha\nu\beta8$ -mediated activation of a GARP-tethered latent complex on the surface of a T cell (as an example), without complete release of active TGF β from the latent complex. This does not exclude the possible release of TGF β from the latent complex after activation by integrin $\beta8$. The RGD site that contacts β integrins is shown in magenta within the yellow LAP. Abbreviations: ECM, extracellular matrix; GARP, glycoprotein A repetitions predominant; LAP, latency-associated peptide; LTBP, latent TGF β -binding protein; RGD, arginylglycylaspartic acid; TGF β R1, TGF β receptor type 1; TGF β R2, TGF β receptor type 2; Treg, regulatory T cell.



Figure 3.

TGF β is a master regulator of CD4⁺ T cell plasticity and function. Schematic of contextdependent TGF β -mediated CD4⁺ T cell lineage choices that are determined by the presence of other cytokines in the milieu. Abbreviations: GARP, glycoprotein A repetitions predominant; LAP, latency-associated peptide; LTBP, latent TGF β -binding protein; TCR, T cell receptor; Th, T helper; TME, tumor microenvironment; Treg, regulatory T cell.

-	nuscript
	Author
	Man

Author Manuscript

Φ	
Q	
a.	

Therapeutic strategies in clinical oncology development for TGFB signaling blockade

Approach	Target(s)	Drug	Cancer type(s)	Clinical phase	Clinical trial number or reference
Antibody	Blocking pan-TGFb (TGFb1,	Fresolimumab (pan-	Relapsed pleural malignant mesothelioma	Ш	NCT01112293
	10rb2, and/or 10rb3)	I UFP)	With radiotherapy in metastatic breast cancer	Ш	NCT01401062
			Renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, and glioma	Ш	NCT00356460, NCT00923169, NCT01472731
			With targeted ablation radiotherapy for NSCLC	Ш	NCT02581787
		SAR439459	With cerniplimab (anti-PD-1) for advanced-stage or unresectable solid tumors	qI/I	NCT03192345, NCT04729725
		NIS793	In combination with anti-PD-1 for advanced malignancies	qI/I	NCT02947165
			With bevacizumab, FOLFOX6, or FOLFIRI for second-line therapy of metastatic colon cancer	Π	NCT04952753
			In combination with SOC chemotherapy for first-line treatment of metastatic ductal pancreatic cancer	III	NCT04935359
			In combination with SOC chemotherapy with/without spartalizumab (anti-PD-1) for first-line treatment of metastatic ductal pancreatic cancer	Ш	NCT04390763
	GARP: TGFβ1	ABBV-151	With/without budigalimab (anti-PD-1) for locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors	П	NCT03821935
	LAP: TGFβ1	SRK-181	Locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors	qI/I	NCT04291079
	Integrin α vβ8	PF06940434	Advanced or metastatic solid tumor malignancies with/without anti-PD-1	qI/I	NCT04152018
Ligand trap	TGF91 and -3	AVID200	Advanced and metastatic cancers, recurrent/refractory NSCLC, HCC, rectal cancer, ovarian carcinosarcoma, and newly diagnosed malignant glioma	I and II	NCT03834662
Small-molecule inhibitor	TGFβR1	Galunisertib	Advanced and metastatic cancers, multiple myeloma, urothelial carcinoma, and myeloproliferative neoplasm	I and II	NCT02906397, NCT02452008, NCT02688712, NCT02423343, NCT02734160, NCT01220271, NCT01246986, NCT02672475, NCT012206177, NCT01373164, NCT01373164
		Vactosertib	Colorectal cancer and solid tumor	I and II	NCT04064190, NCT03143985, NCT03724851, NCT04103645, NCT03802084, NCT03732274, NCT03598825, NCT04258072, NCT02160106

\geq
Ē
5
0
2
\leq
B
7
ົວ
<u>Ω</u>
÷
4

Author Manuscript

I phase Clinical trial number or reference	I NCT04031872, NCT02937272, NCT02937272	and III NCT03685591	and III Multiple ongoing trials, although two trials terminated due to lack of phase III efficacy compared to competitors
Clinica		І, П, а	I, П, а
Cancer type(s)	Solid tumors	Advanced NSCLC, advanced/metastatic/recurrent cancers, glioblastoma, brain metastasis from lung cancer, and glioma	Various; particularly for HPV ⁺ cancers
Drug	LY3200882	PF-06952229	Bintrafusp alfa
Target(s)			TGFB1 and -3 plus PD-L1
Approach			Bispecific molecule

Chen et al.

Abbreviations: FOLFIRI, folinic acid, fluorouracil, and irinotecan; FOLFOX6, folinic acid, fluorouracil, and oxaliplatin; GARP, glycoprotein A repetitions predominant; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HPV, human papilloma virus; LAP, latency-associated peptide; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; SOC, standard of care.