

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Reply: OnabotulinumtoxinA should be considered in medication overuse withdrawal in patients with chronic migraine

Judith A. Pijpers, Michel D. Ferrari and Gisela M. Terwindt

Department of Neurology, Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC), Leiden, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Gisela M. Terwindt

Department of Neurology, Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC), Leiden,
The Netherlands

E-mail: g.m.terwindt@lumc.nl

Sir,

We thank Prof. Dressler for his interest in our study and his honesty to report that he is shareholder of Allergan, the manufacturer of the drug tested in our trial, and that he holds patents on botulinum toxin and botulinum toxin therapy (Dressler, 2019).

His letter offers us the opportunity to correct some misconceptions with respect to the perceived efficacy of botulinum toxin A (BTA) in chronic migraine with medication overuse (CM+MO). It also enables us to correct some apparent misunderstandings with respect to the study design and to present new additional evidence, further supporting the conclusion that BTA does not afford any additional clinical benefit over withdrawal in patients with CM+MO.

Professor Dressler suggests that BTA is an effective treatment for chronic migraine. We would like to put this claim into perspective. While statistically significant, the greater reduction in headache days with BTA versus placebo was clinically hardly relevant: 1.8 days from 19.9 days at baseline (<10%) (Aurora *et al.*, 2010; Diener *et al.*, 2010; Dodick *et al.*, 2010; May and Schulte, 2016). Moreover, unblinding due to BTA-induced removal of facial wrinkling might well have biased outcome. Up to 90% of participants had correctly guessed their treatment in similar trials, mainly because of the cosmetic effect (Australian Government, 2011; Wollmer *et al.*, 2012). In a recent Cochrane review, the efficacy of BTA was disputed because of the large and unexplained heterogeneity in the studies (Herd *et al.*, 2018).

To avoid unblinding, we administered 17.5 units of BTA in the forehead of participants in the placebo group. This is very low compared to the 155 units used in the PREEMPT

studies (Aurora *et al.*, 2010; Diener *et al.*, 2010). We are not aware of any published evidence that such low doses may afford clinically relevant improvement. In fact, 17.5 units is significantly lower than the lowest dose ever reported for headache (Jackson *et al.*, 2012; Herd *et al.*, 2018), psychiatric disorders (Wollmer *et al.*, 2012), peripheral neuropathy (Attal *et al.*, 2016), or regular cosmetic purposes (Durand *et al.*, 2016). In animal studies, low doses were clearly less effective (Zhang *et al.*, 2016) or not effective at all (Antonucci *et al.*, 2008; Lawrence *et al.*, 2012) on putative therapeutic modes of action. In conclusion, there is no evidence that seven injections with in total 17.5 units BTA in the forehead might have caused a clinically relevant reduction in headache days. Moreover, if such low doses were indeed effective, why then should we continue to treat patients with 31 injections totalling a 9-fold higher dose?

In his letter, Professor Dressler seems also mistaken with the study design and patient number. To clarify, all 179 participants were advised to stop all headache medication and were randomly allocated (1:1) to receive BTA ($n = 90$; 31 injections; in total 155 units) or placebo ($n = 89$; seven injections with a total of 17.5 units BTA in the forehead and 24 injections with saline elsewhere). Importantly, blinding was well kept. BTA did not afford any additional clinical benefit over withdrawal alone (Pijpers *et al.*, 2019).

The effect of withdrawal in our study was similar to that in other studies, showing similar proportions of patients reverting from chronic to episodic headache (Rossi *et al.*, 2006; Munksgaard *et al.*, 2012) or with at least 50% reduction in headache days (Zeeberg *et al.*, 2006; Rossi *et al.*, 2011; Munksgaard *et al.*, 2012). In a recent randomized,

controlled, clinical trial, patients with mainly CM+MO had 6.7 fewer migraine days after withdrawal (Carlsen *et al.*, 2018). In a recent review, it was recommended to implement withdrawal in the care of patients with CM+MO (Diener *et al.*, 2019). In summary, withdrawal is now recognized as a highly cost-effective therapy (Jellestad *et al.*, 2019) leading to substantial reduction of headache days in most patients (Munksgaard *et al.*, 2012; Pijpers *et al.*, 2016; Carlsen *et al.*, 2018; Diener *et al.*, 2019; Jellestad *et al.*, 2019).

Data availability

The trial is registered at the Netherlands trial registry, #3440, www.trialregister.nl. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: Support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), VIDI 91711319 and the Dutch Brain Foundation for the submitted work. No author has financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years. No other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Antonucci F, Rossi C, Gianfranceschi L, Rossetto O, Caleo M. Long-distance retrograde effects of botulinum neurotoxin A. *J Neurosci* 2008; 28: 3689–96.
- Attal N, de Andrade DC, Adam F, Ranoux D, Teixeira MJ, Galhardoni R, et al. Safety and efficacy of repeated injections of botulinum toxin A in peripheral neuropathic pain (BOTNEP): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; 15: 555–65.
- Aurora SK, Dodick DW, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the PREEMPT 1 trial. *Cephalalgia* 2010; 30: 804–14.
- Australian Government. Australian Public Assessment Report for Botulinum toxin Type A Proprietary Product Name: Botox [Internet]. 2011. Available from: <https://www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/auspar-botox.pdf>.
- Carlsen LN, Munksgaard SB, Jensen RH, Bendtsen L. Complete detoxification is the most effective treatment of medication-overuse headache: a randomized controlled open-label trial. *Cephalalgia* 2018; 38: 225–36.
- Diener HC, Dodick DW, Aurora SK, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Lipton RB, et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the PREEMPT 2 trial. *Cephalalgia* 2010; 30: 804–14.
- Diener H-C, Dodick D, Evers S, Holle D, Jensen RH, Lipton RB, et al. Pathophysiology, prevention, and treatment of medication overuse headache. *Lancet Neurol* 2019; 4422: 1–12.
- Dodick DW, Turkel CC, DeGryse RE, Aurora SK, Silberstein SD, Lipton RB, et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phases of the PREEMPT clinical program. *Headache J Head Face Pain* 2010; 50: 921–36.
- Dressler D. OnabotulinumtoxinA should be considered in medication overuse withdrawal in patients with chronic migraine. *Brain* 2020; 143: e5.
- Durand PD, Couto RA, Isakov R, Yoo DB, Azizzadeh B, Guyuron B, et al. Botulinum toxin and muscle atrophy: a wanted or unwanted effect. *Aesthetic Surg J* 2016; 36: 482–7.
- Herd CP, Tomlinson CL, Rick C, Scotton WJ, Edwards J, Ives N, et al. Botulinum toxins for the prevention of migraine in adults. *Cochrane Syst Rev* 2018; 6: CD011616.
- Jackson JL, Kuriyama A, Hayashino Y, et al. Botulinum toxin A for prophylactic treatment of migraine and tension headaches in adults: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2012; 307: 1736–45.
- Jellestad PL, Carlsen LN, Westergaard ML, Munksgaard SB, Bendtsen L, Lainez M, et al. Economic benefits of treating medication-overuse headache—results from the multicenter COMOESTAS project. *Cephalalgia* 2019; 39: 274–85.
- Lawrence GW, Ovsepian S V., Wang J, Aoki KR, Dolly JO. Extravesicular intraneuronal migration of internalized botulinum neurotoxins without detectable inhibition of distal neurotransmission. *Biochem J* 2012; 441: 443–452.
- May A, Schulte LH. Chronic migraine: risk factors, mechanisms and treatment. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2016; 12: 455–64.
- Munksgaard SB, Bendtsen L, Jensen RH. Detoxification of medication-overuse headache by a multidisciplinary treatment programme is highly effective: a comparison of two consecutive treatment methods in an open-label design. *Cephalalgia* 2012; 32: 834–44.
- Pijpers JA, Kies DA, Louter MA, van Zwet EW, Ferrari MD, Terwindt GM. Acute withdrawal and botulinum toxin A in chronic migraine with medication overuse: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Brain* 2019; 142: 1203–14.
- Pijpers JA, Louter MA, De Bruin ME, Van Zwet EW, Zitman FG, Ferrari MD, et al. Detoxification in medication-overuse headache, a retrospective controlled follow-up study: does care by a headache nurse lead to cure? *Cephalalgia* 2016; 36: 122–30.
- Rossi P, Di Lorenzo C, Faroni J, Cesarino F, Nappi G. Advice alone vs. structured detoxification programmes for medication overuse headache: a prospective, randomized, open-label trial in transformed migraine patients with low medical needs. *Cephalalgia* 2006; 26: 1097–105.
- Rossi P, Faroni JV., Nappi G. Short-term effectiveness of simple advice as a withdrawal strategy in simple and complicated medication overuse headache. *Eur J Neurol* 2011; 18: 396–401.
- Wollmer MA, De Boer C, Kalak N, Beck J, Götz T, Schmidt T, et al. Facing depression with botulinum toxin: a randomized controlled trial. *J Psychiatr Res* 2012; 46: 574–81.
- Zeeberg P, Olesen J, Jensen R. Discontinuation of medication overuse in headache patients: recovery of therapeutic responsiveness. *Cephalalgia* 2006; 26: 1192–8.
- Zhang X, Strassman AM, Novack V, Brin MF, Burstein R. Extracranial injections of botulinum neurotoxin type A inhibit intracranial meningeal nociceptors responses to stimulation of TRPV1 and TRPA1 channels: are we getting closer to solving this puzzle? *Cephalalgia* 2016; 36: 875–86.