Ophiostoma spp. associated with pine- and spruce-infesting bark beetles in Finland and Russia

R. Linnakoski¹, Z.W. de Beer², J. Ahtiainen¹, E. Sidorov³, P. Niemelä⁴, A. Pappinen¹, M.J. Wingfield²

Key words

bark beetle insect-fungus relationship Ophiostoma Ophiostomatales symbiosis

Abstract The timber and pulp industries of Finland rely heavily on importations from Russia as source of raw timber. These imports raise the risk of accidentally importing forest pests and pathogens, especially bark beetles and their associated fungi, into Finland. Although ophiostomatoid fungi have previously been reported from Finland and Russia, the risks of accidentally moving these fungi has prompted a first survey to compare the diversity of conifer-infesting bark beetles and associated fungi from boreal forests on both sides of the Finnish-Russian border. The aim of the present study was to identify and characterise Ophiostoma species isolated in association with 11 bark beetle species infesting Pinus sylvestris and Picea abies during this survey in the eastern parts of Finland and neighbouring Russia. Fungal isolates were grouped based on morphology and representatives of each morphological group were subjected to DNA sequence comparisons of the internal transcribed spaced region (ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2) and β-tubulin gene region. A total of 15 species of Ophiostoma were identified, including seven known species, five new species, and three species for which the identity remains uncertain. In the O. piceae-complex we identified O. canum, O. floccosum, O. karelicum and O. rachisporum sp. nov., and related to these, some isolates belonging to the European clade of O. minus in the O. minus-complex. Ophiostoma bicolor and O. fuscum sp. nov. were identified in the O. ips-complex, while O. ainoae, O. brunneo-ciliatum, O. tapionis sp. nov. and O. pallidulum sp. nov. were shown to group close to, but not in a strict monophyletic lineage with species of the O. ips-complex. Together with a single O. abietinum-like isolate, the only species that grouped close to the Sporothrix schenckii-O. stenoceras complex, was O. saponiodorum sp. nov.

Article info Received: 27 April 2010; Accepted: 27 September 2010; Published: 9 December 2010.

INTRODUCTION

Global trade in untreated timber and wood products seriously raises the risk of introducing forest pathogens and pests into new environments (Tkacz 2002). One of the groups of wood infesting fungi that are easily transported through the movement of untreated wood products is the Ascomycete genus Ophiostoma. These fungi include many species that are agents of blue stain in timber (Seifert 1993) and some, such as the Dutch Elm Disease pathogens O. ulmi, O. himal-ulmi and O. novoulmi, are serious tree pathogens (Gibbs 1978, Brasier 1991). Bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytinae) are well-known vectors of Ophiostoma spp. and greatly facilitate the spread of these fungi (Wingfield et al. 1993, Kirisits 2004). The teleomorph and anamorph structures of these fungi are specifically adapted for insect dispersal (Malloch & Blackwell 1993), and many of the species are relatively specific symbionts of their insect vectors (Six 2003, Kirisits 2004).

The forestry, timber and paper industry contributes to approximately 10 % of the gross domestic product (GDP) of Finland and close to 30 % of total industrial output of the country (Finnish Forest Industries Federation 2010). Finnish forests cannot

corresponding author e-mail: riikka.linnakoski@uef.fi.

supply the demand of the industry and the country thus relies heavily on Russia as source of raw timber. This raises concerns relating to the risks of introducing pests and pathogens on timber imported from Russia to Finland. For example, a large number of bark beetle species, including potential pest species not native to Finland, were identified by Siitonen (1990). However, studies on the fungal associates of these beetles in Finland and Russia are limited and the species identification was made at a time when DNA sequence comparisons were not commonly applied. Furthermore, we are not aware of any study where the bark beetles and their fungal flora in the two countries have been compared.

Despite the occurrence of several native bark beetle species in Finland, previous studies (Table 1) have mainly focused on fungal associates of the spruce bark beetle, *lps typographus* (Savonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997). The only Ophiostoma spp. from Finland of which identities have been confirmed by DNA sequences, are associates of the birch bark beetle, Scolytus ratzeburgi (Linnakoski et al. 2008, Kamgan et al. 2010). Reports of Ophiostoma species from Russia are more extensive, but apart from O. karelicum reported from S. ratzeburgi (Linnakoski et al. 2008), all identifications were based only on morphology (Table 1). Due to the relatively simple morphology and overlapping features between different species, it is often difficult to distinguish between these species and the accuracy of names that have been used in these studies is thus questionable. Thus, DNA sequence-based identification has become essential for reliable identification and the recognition of cryptic taxa amongst morphologically similar species of ophiostomatoid fungi (Gorton et al. 2004, Grobbelaar et al. 2009).

¹ Faculty of Science and Forestry, University of Eastern Finland, P.O. Box 111, FI-80101 Joensuu, Finland;

² Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa.

³ Department of Zoology and Game Management, St. Petersburg Forest Technical Academy, St. Petersburg 194021, Russian Federation.

⁴ Department of Biology, Section of Biodiversity, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku Finland

^{© 2010} Nationaal Herbarium Nederland & Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures

You are free to share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work, under the following conditions: Attribution: You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

Non-commercial: You may not use this work for commercial purposes. No derivative works: You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work. For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work, which can be found at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode. Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder. Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights.

Table 1	Ophiostoma spp. previously reported from	different beetles and/or host trees in Finland (F) and Russia (R). Identifications in all these studies were
based on	morphology, and only those marked with *	included DNA sequence comparisons.

O. almoate Jous sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 I. cembra I. prographus Pice abbes F Pathenova et al. 1995 O. bicolor I. prographus Pice abbes F Surventalis 1000 I. anabase Paber, Paylvestris F Surventalis 1000 I. anabase Paber, Paylvestris F Surventalis 1000 I. anabase Paber, Paylvestris F Surventalis 1000 Phogogene schelographus Paber, Paylvestris F Surventalis 1000 O. convoide Monochamuszowi Abbes abrica R Pashenova et al. 2001 O. convoide Monochamuszowi Abbes abrica R Pashenova et al. 2004 (if O. nigrum) Topoderkinin imestum A abbita R Pashenova et al. 2004 (if O. anabase Paylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (if O. anabase Paylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (if O. anabase Paylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (if O. anabase Paylvestris R Pas	Fungus	Beetle	Host tree	Origin	Reference
i. inspace interval Line animate Lank abinica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995 0. bicolor I. prographus Pices abies F Win 1997 0. bicolor I. amifinus P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995 1. Inpographus Pices abies F Survenniski 1900 0. bicolor I. prographus Pice abies F Survenniski 1900 0. bicolor I. prographus Pice abies F Survenniski 1900 0. conviolit Noncharmus urussovi Abies abirica R Pashenova et al. 2001, Karngan et al. 2010 0. conviolit Monocharmus urussovi Abies abirica R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. conviolit Monocharmus urussovi Abies abirica R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. conviolit I. combare P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. (po I. combare P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. Astellourit S. trateburg B. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. Astellourit S. trateburg P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. convinut I. combare P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. convinut <td>O. ainoae</td> <td>lps acuminatus</td> <td>Pinus sylvestris</td> <td>R</td> <td>Pashenova et al. 2004</td>	O. ainoae	lps acuminatus	Pinus sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
I. bygognabus		l. cembrae	Larix sibirica, P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 1995
L biolog Pices ables F Win 1997 Min 1997 O. biolor L aminus P ables, P sylvestris F Sevormaki 1900 O. biolor L opinyaphus P ables, P sylvestris F Sevormaki 1900 O. biolor L opinyaphus P ables F Sevormaki 1900 O. borasiti' Solytus rateburg Beluis pendula F Linskoski et al. 2010 O. concole Monochemus usscov Ables F Linskoski et al. 2010 O. fordi Monochemus usscov Ables F Linskoski et al. 2010 O. fordi - P sylvestris R Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 O. fordi - P sylvestris R Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 O. fordi - R sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. fordi - - Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 O. fordi - - Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 Potlacruk & Schekunova 1985 O. fordi - - Potlacruk & Schekunova		L typographus	_	R	Pashenova et al. 2001, 2004
O. bicolor I. amithus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savormáki 1990 I. cambra I. spingraphus P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 I. hypographus P. abies F Savormáki 1990, Viln 1997 I. hypographus P. abies F Savormáki 1990, Viln 1997 O. bornalit Ployogenes chalocographus P. abies F Savormáki 1990, Viln 1997 O. concoller Monocharma suzasovi Abies sibrica R Pashenova et al. 2001 O. dororoutin Monocharma suzasovi Abies sibrica R Potaliczuk & Schekunova 1985 O. doroutin - P. sylvestris R Potaliczuk & Schekunova 1985 O. fogi - P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. centine P. sylvestris R		l typographus	Picea ahies	F	\/iiri 1997
L. abundania L. abunda, P. Sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 I. Appographus P. abias F. Savormati 1990, Vini 1997 I. Appographus P. Abias F. Savormati 1990, Vini 1997 I. Appographus P. Abias Bahrica R. Pashenova et al. 2001, 2004 Perspecter Schuler atzeburgi Betuits pendula F. Linnskosis et al. 2004 (a. C. aruncelle Monochemus unsooru A. Babrica R. Pashenova et al. 2007 (a. C. aruncelle Monochemus unsooru A. Babrica R. Pashenova et al. 2007 (a. C. aruncelle Monochemus unsooru A. Babrica R. Pashenova et al. 2004 (a. C. aruncelle P. Apposentials R. Polagcatk & Scheknova 1985 (b. G. guercus) – P. Abias Bahrica R. Polagcatk & Scheknova 1985 I. acuminetus P. Sylvestris R. Polagcatk & Scheknova 1985 I. acuminetus P. Sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2004 I. acuminetus P. Sylvestris	O hicolor	Lamitinus	P ahies P sylvestris	Ē	Savonmäki 1990
 L programbus P ables P ables	0. 5100101	I combrae	L sibirica P sylvestris	D	Pashonova et al. 1995, 2004
0. pipedignatus F. substantion F. Substantion F. Substantion F. Substantion 0. boreals* Scolytis natzeburgi Betkis pendula F. Linakoski et al. 2008, framman et al. 2010 0. boreals* Scolytis natzeburgi Betkis pendula F. Linakoski et al. 2008, framman et al. 2011 0. doreals* Scolytis natzeburgi A sibrica R. Pashenova et al. 2004 0. fagi - P. sylvestris R. Potlajczuk & Schekurova 1985 0. fagi - P. sylvestris R. Potlajczuk & Schekurova 1985 0. fagi - P. sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2004 0. fagi - P. sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2004 0. fagi - P. sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2004 0. fagi - Quercus abour R. Schekurova 1985 0. fakantam - Quercus abour R. Schekurova 1985 0. Automatum - Quercus abour R. Schekurova 1985 0. Automatum - Quercus abour R. Schekurova 1985 0. Automatum - R. Schekurova 1985 Schekurova 1985 0. Automatum - R. Schekurova 1985 Schekurova 1985 0. Automatum - R. Schekurova 1985 Schekurova 1985 1. combrae			L. Sibilica, F. Sylvesuis	к г	Pasileilova et al. 1990, 2004
L glydgapula Phygenes chalographus Phygenes		I. typographus	F. ables		Decharge at al. 2001, 2004
Description P status P status P status Statumination Statumination Construction Scorphra status A subrica F Statumination Statumination Construction Mypodentron lineatum A subrica R Pestion and a Lobor Construction Properties Characterization A subrica R Pestigence Schewhores 1985 Construction P sylvestris R Polatigence & Schewhores 1985 Construction P sylvestris R Pastencova et al. 2004 L cembrae P sylvestris R Pastencova et al. 2004 Construction - Quercus stotour R Schekunova 1985 Construction - Quercus stotour R Schekunova 1985 Continue L cembrae P sylvestris R Pastencova et al. 2004 Continue L cembrae P sylvestris R Pastencova et al. 2004 Continue L cembrae P sylvestris R Pastencova et al. 2004 Continue L cembrae P sylvestris R		1. typographus	Ficea Obovala	R F	Pasilellova et al. 2001, 2004
Outbook Story is fatzeburg Betruit perform F Linkacosk et al. 2007 C. Aurivola Monochamus urussovi A. sibrica R Pashenova et al. 2007 (= 0. ngurou) Trypodentrion lineatum A. sibrica R Pashenova et al. 2007 (= 0. ngurou) - P sylvestris R Potigiczuk & Schelumova 1985 (= 0. quercus) - P sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 (= 0. quercus) - P sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 (= 0. quercus sp. P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 0. kubanicum - Quercus sp. R Shethin-Fost3 (= 0. quercus) - Quercus sp. R Shethin-Fost3 (= 0. quercus) - Quercus sp. R Pathenova et al. 1995 (= 0. quercus) - Rustristics R Pathenova et al. 2004 (= 0. quercus) - Rustristics R Pathenova et al. 2004 (= 0. quercus) - Rustristics R Pathenova et al.	O <i>b b b b b b b b b b</i>	Pilyogenes chaicographus	P. ables	F	Savoninaki 1990
D. Curvicates Monochamus arusson Appendix and a sabinica R Pashenova et al. 2004 (= O. nigrum) – Regus constraints R Potingizux & Schekunova 1885 (= O. quercus) – Papendix R Potingizux & Schekunova 1885 (= O. quercus) – Papendix R Pashenova et al. 2004 (= O. quercus) – Papendix R Pashenova et al. 1995 (= O. quercus) – Paylwestris R Pashenova et al. 1995 (= O. quercus) – Quercus sp. S. Intricatus – Quercus sp. Nono-ulmi – Rabes, Paylwestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (= O. quercus) – Quercus sp. S. Intricatus – R Pashenova et al. 1995 S. Intricatus – R Pashenova et al. 2004 S. Intricatus – R Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Storozhnik 1985, S. 2004 Tornicus pinetra et al. Subica, Psylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Storozhnik 1985 Storozhenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Storozhnik 1980 C. noro-ulmi – R Storozhnik 1980 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2007 C. noro-ulmi – R Pashenova et al. 2007 C. norber et al. 2007 C. norber e	O. borealis [~]	Scolytus ratzeburgi	Betula pendula	F	Linnakoski et al. 2008, Kamgan et al. 2010
(C) Angl - A siturica N Pashenova et al. 2004 (c) O. appl - Pagus orientalis R Potalgizuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) O. appl. - Pables R Potalgizuk & Schekunova 1985 0. ips I. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 1. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 0. ips I. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 0. kubanicum S. netzeburg B. pendulur F. R Unitatus Lanomatus 0. kubanicum G. Quercus apur - Quercus apur R Potalgizuk Schekunova et al. 1995 0. kubanicum J. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 Pathenova et al. 2004 0. minus I. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 Pathenova et al. 2004 0. minus I. cominatus P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 Pathenova et al. 2004 0. prices Progenes sp. P. sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 Pathenova et al. 2004 0. procla	O. curvicolle	Monochamus urussovi	Abies sibirica	R	Pashenova et al. 2007
O. Hagi - Fagus orientalis R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) Q. quercus) - P Sylvestris R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) ps 1 acuminatus P sylvestris R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) ps 1 acuminatus P sylvestris R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) opercus) - Quercus sp. R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Quercus) - Quercus sp. R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Quercus) - Quercus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) Quercus) - Quercus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) Quercus) - Quercus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 (c) Quercus) - R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Quercus) - R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Ono-ulmi - R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Ono-ulmi - R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Ono-ulmi - R Pathenova et al. 2004 (c) Quercus) - R Solytothenova et al. 2004 (c) Outroi ono-ulmi - R Solytothenova et al. 2004 (c) Outroi onoo-ulmi -	(= O. nigrum)	Irypodendron lineatum	A. sibirica	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
(c) O. quercus) - P. pywestris R Poltajczuk & Schekunova 1985 0. jap I. carminatus P. sylvestris R Patheonova et al. 1995 0. jap I. carminatus P. sylvestris R Patheonova et al. 1995 0. karalicum? S. ratzeburg) B. pendula F. R. Linatoski et al. 2004 0. kuranicum? S. ratzeburg) B. pendula F. R. Linatoski et al. 2004 0. kuranicum? S. ratzeburg) Quercus sp. R Patheonova et al. 2005 0. kuranicum? S. intricatus - Quercus sp. R Nature 1976, Ninkevido 1985, Kryukova & Terebova 1974, Minkevido 1985, Contendo 1977 0. minus I. cernbrate L. shirica, P. sylvestris R Patheonova et al. 2004 0. minus I. cernbrate L. shirica, P. sylvestris R Storochenko et al. 2000 0. piceae Hylurgops patialutus P. ables, P. sylvestris R Storochenko et al. 2000 0. piceae Hylurgops patialutus P. ables, P. sylvestris F Savoraniki 1990 1. usporparius P. ables, P. sylvestris F Savoraniki 1990 2. piliferum I. cern	O. fagi	-	Fagus orientalis	R	Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985
- Pables R Polisipcark & Schekunova 1985 O. japa I. ecminates P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. kaanicum* S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. R Linnakoski et al. 2004 O. kubanicum* - Quercus sput R Shethni-Partfenerko 1953 (e O. quercus) - Quercus sput R Shethni-Partfenerko 1953 (e O. quercus) - Quercus sput R Nothkova 19763 (e O. quercus) - Quercus sput R Nothkova 19763 (e O. quercus) - Quercus sput R Nothkova 19763 (e D. quercus) - Quercus sput R Pashenova et al. 2004 (e D. quercus) - Pashesitas R Pashenova et al. 2004 (e D. quercus) - Pashesitas R Pashenova et al. 2004 (e D. quercus) P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (e D. quercus) P. glyvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 (e D. quercus) P. gly	(= O. quercus)	-	P. sylvestris	R	Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985
O. jusc I. cambratus P. sylvestris R Peshenova et al. 1995 O. karelicum S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. R Linakoski et al. 2004 O. Autelicum S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. R Linakoski et al. 2004 O. Autelicum - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenetiko 1935 O. ninus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - R. abirca, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. abies, P. sylvestris R Brasnenova et al. 2004 - Rosoversit R Storoztenko et al. 2004 - P. abies, P. sylvestris R Brasnenova et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - - R Brasnenova et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - R. sylvestris F S		-	P. abies	R	Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985
I. cembrae P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995. O. Aarelicum" S. fatzburgi B. pendula F. R. Linnakoski et al. 2004 O. kubaricum" - Quercus robur R. Shethin-Parfenenko 1953 (e O. quercus) - Quercus robur R. Potisjoczuk 1957, Minkevich 1965, Kryukova & Terehova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1974, Kryukova & Potinkova 1978, b., Potisjozuk & Schekunova 1955 O. minus I. cembrae L. sibrica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 Tomicus prinjerda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. noro-ulmi - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Storochenko et al. 2004 O. noro-ulmi - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Storochenko et al. 2004 O. noro-ulmi - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Storochenko et al. 2004 O. noro-ulmi - P. ables, P. sylvestris F Savormaki 1980 I. inporganizus P. ables, P. sylvestris F Savormaki 1980 I. inporganizus P. ables, P. sylvestris	O. ips	I. acuminatus	P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
Phycogenes sp. P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. Karelkourd S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. R Linnakoski et al. 2008 O. Kubanicum - Quercus robur R Sherbin-Pardenneko 1953. O. minus I. acuminatus - R Proteinzeku 1957. O. minus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995. O. minus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - Tomicus priperda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - - R Rasier 1980 Coloculum - - R Rasier 1980 Storachenko et al. 2004 0. novo-ulumi - - R Storachenko et al. 2004 0. novo-ulumi - R Storachenko et al. 2004 1. amitinus P. ables, P. sylvestris F		I. cembrae	P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 1995
O. Kubalicium" S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. R. Linnakoski et al. 2006 O. Kubalicium" - Quercus robur R S. herbin-Parfenenko 1953 (= O. quercus) - Quercus sp. Potlajcuk 1979a, b. Potlajcuk & Schekunova & Terehova 1974, Kryukova & Terehova 1974, K		Pityogenes sp.	P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
O. Kubanicum - Quercus robur R Sherbin-Partomento 1953 (= O. quercus) - Quercus sp. R Policizuk 1957, Minkevich 1965, Kryukova & Terebrova 1974, Kryukova & Schekunova 1985 O. novo-ulmi - R Nancus privestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - R Storzhenko et al. 2000 O. novo-ulmi - R Storzhenko et al. 2000 O. piceae Hylurgosp alilatus P ables, P. sylvestris F Storzhenko et al. 2007 O. piceae Hylurgosp alilatus P ables, P. sylvestris F Storzhenko et al. 2007 O. piceae Hylurgosp alilatus P ables, P. sylvestris F Storzhenko et al. 2007 O. piceae P ables, P. sylvestris F Storzhenko et al. 2007 T. inceatum P ables, P. sylvestris F Storzhenko et al. 2006 O. poliferum I. cembrae	O. karelicum*	S. ratzeburgi	B. pendula	F, R	Linnakoski et al. 2008
(c C. quercus s) - Quercus sp. R Poltajczuk 1979a, b. Poltajczuk & Schekunova 3 Teshova 1974, Kryukova &	O. kubanicum	-	Quercus robur	R	Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953
S. intricatus - R Kryukova (1978a, b. Potiajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Selochnik 1998a, b. O. minus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - Pables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - Pables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - Pables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - Pashenova et al. 2004 Schorozhenko et al. 2004 - Pashenova et al. 2004 Schorozhenko et al. 2000 0. novo-ulmi - Pashenova et al. 2004 - Pashenova et al. 2004 Schorozhenko et al. 2000 0. piceae I. inninus P ables, P. sylvestris F - - F Savonmaki 1900 1. iporgraphus P ables, P. sylvestris F Savonmaki 1900 1. iporgraphus P ables, P. sylvestris F Savonmaki 1900 1. iporgraphus P ables, P. sylvestris F Savonmaki 1900 <t< td=""><td>(= O. quercus)</td><td>_</td><td>Quercus sp.</td><td>R</td><td>Potlajczuk 1957, Minkevich 1965, Kryukova & Terehova 1974,</td></t<>	(= O. quercus)	_	Quercus sp.	R	Potlajczuk 1957, Minkevich 1965, Kryukova & Terehova 1974,
S. intricatus - R Nanchenko 1957 O. minus I. ecuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. cembrae L. sibirical, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - P. ables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - - - R Storzebnova et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - - - R 1. amtinus P ables, P. sylvestris F Savonmaki 1990 0. nicceae Hylurgops paliatus P ables, Pinus sp. R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - - - F Savonmaki 1990 0. runsovi A. sibirica R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - P ables, Pinus sp. R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - P ables, Pinus sp. R <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td>Kryukova & Plotnikova 1979a, b, Potlajczuk & Schekunova</td></td<>			·		Kryukova & Plotnikova 1979a, b, Potlajczuk & Schekunova
S. intricatus - R Ivandnemica O. minus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. cembrae Pables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 D. minus I. cembrae P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 Tomicus piniperda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. piceae Inmus sp. R Brasier 1990 O. piceae Inmus P. Sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 D. piceae I. supprographus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990, Vini 1997 M. urussovi A. sibirica R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - F R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 146, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - F R Pashenova et al. 1995 0. piliferum I. cembrae P. silvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. inperta P. silvestris F Savonmäki 1990 2. piliferum I. cembrae P. silvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 1. jpiporda P. silvestris R					1985. Selochnik 1998a, b
O. minus I. acuminatus P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. cembrae L. sibrica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 P. ables, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi R Storzheno et al. 2000 0. novo-ulmi R Storzheno et al. 2007 0. novo-ulmi F Savonmaki 1990 1. typographus P. abies F Savonmaki 1990 1. typographus P. abies, Pinus sp. R Potalejzuk & Schekunova 1985 1. ipiniperda P. sylvestris F Savonmaki 1990 2. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Savonmaki 1990 3. piniperda P. sylvestris <		S. intricatus	-	R	Ivanchenko 1957
I. cembrae L sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pather, P. sylvestris R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Pilyogenes sp. P. sylvestris R Pather, P. sylvestris R Pather, P. sylvestris O. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2000 O. pičeae Huirussovi P abies, P. sylvestris F Savornaki 1990 M. urussovi A sibirica R Pather, P. Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - - F. R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - - F. Savornaki 1990 Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - - F. Savornaki 1990 Storozhenko et al. 2004 - - - F. Savornaki 1990 Storozhenko et al. 2004 - - - R Pather, P. Sylvestris F - - - R Storozhenko et al. 1995, 2004	O minus	Lacuminatus	P sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
- P abies, P sylvestris R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - P sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 - - R Stocoventimi 0. piceae Hylurgops pallatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonnaki 1990 1. iantifutus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonnaki 1990 1. iantifutus P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - - F, R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - - F, R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - - F, Savonnaki 1990 - - - - R Storozhenko 1955, 2004 1. inpringerda P. sylvestris F Savonnaki 1990 0. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibrica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. quercus S. rat		l cembrae	I sibirica P sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004
Pityogenes sp.P. sylvestrisR.Pashenova et al. 2004O. novo-ulmiR.Pashenova et al. 2004O. novo-ulmiR.Storaztenko et al. 2004O. piceaeHylurgop palliatusP. abies, P. sylvestrisF.Savonmäki 1990I. amitinusP. abies, P. sylvestrisF.Savonmäki 1990I. amitinusP. abies, P. sylvestrisF.Savonmäki 1990.I. typographusP. abies, P. sylvestrisF.Savonmäki 1990.I. typographusP. abies, P. investrisF.Savonmäki 1990F. R.Wegellus 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000F. R.Vegellus 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000F. Savonmäki 1990.100F. Savonmäki 1990.100R. StylvestrisFR. Savonmäki 1990.100.0. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirca, P. sylvestrisFR.RR.RR.RR.R.0. optorisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.R.0. quercusR.Storozhenko et al. 2004.0. quercusR.Storozhenko et al. 2004.0. quercusSR.Storozhenko et al. 2004.0. quercus <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>P abies P sylvestris</td> <td>P</td> <td>Potlaiczuk & Schekunova 1985</td>		_	P abies P sylvestris	P	Potlaiczuk & Schekunova 1985
Tomicus pinjerda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 0. novo-ulmi - - R Storozhenko et al. 2004 0. piceae Hylurgops palliatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. iamitinus R. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. iamitinus R. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. transovi A. silvica R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - F. R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. inpinjerda P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. ieretum P. abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - R Rodigin 1947		Pitvogenes sp	P sylvestris	P	Pashenova et al. 2004
O. novo-ulmi - - - R Storzbrenko et al. 2004 O. novo-ulmi - - R Storzbrenko et al. 2000 O. piceae Hylurgops palliatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piceae Hylurgops palliatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. amilinus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. amilinus P. abies, Pinus Sp. R Protaigczuk & Schekunova 1985 M. urussovi A. sibirica R Pottaigczuk & Schekunova 1985 T. piniperda P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. tobarski 1937 F Savonmäki 1990 F O. piliferum I. tobarski 1937 F Liubarski 1937 O. piliferum I. tobarski 1937 Savonmäki 1990 F <td< td=""><td></td><td>Tomicus piniperda</td><td>P sylvestris</td><td>D</td><td>Pashenova et al. 2004</td></td<>		Tomicus piniperda	P sylvestris	D	Pashenova et al. 2004
O. Involution - - - R Braiser 1990 O. piceae Hylurgops palletus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. amilinus R. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 M. urussovi A. sibirica R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - F, R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - - P. abies, Pinus sp. R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - P. abies, Pinus sp. R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - P. abies, Pinus sp. R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 0. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 1. tembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1990 Pashenova et al. 2004 0. piliferum I. cembrae L. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 0. painterda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 0. protoris Mesoaa myops Quercus sp. </td <td>O novo ulmi</td> <td>Tornicus piniperua</td> <td>F. Sylvesuis</td> <td></td> <td>Storozbonko ot al. 2004</td>	O novo ulmi	Tornicus piniperua	F. Sylvesuis		Storozbonko ot al. 2004
O. piceae Hylurgops paillatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piceae Hylurgops paillatus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997 I. amtitnus P. abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997 M. urussovi A. sibirica R Pashenova et al. 2007 - - F, R Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - P. abies, Pinus sp. R Pottajczuk & Schekunova 1985 R. prinjerda P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 I. termbrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. orgorgaphus P. abies P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. orgorgaphus P. abies P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. cembrae L. sibirica R Pashenova et al. 2004 Pashenova et al. 2004 O. quercus* S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F <td< td=""><td>0. 11000-01111</td><td>- Cash tid bastlas</td><td></td><td>R</td><td>Storozier 1000</td></td<>	0. 11000-01111	- Cash tid bastlas		R	Storozier 1000
D. Juceae In advisors P. advisors P. advisors P. Savonimaki 1990 I. hypographus P. abies F. Savonimaki 1990 Nini 1997 M. urussovi A. sibirica R. Pashenova et al. 2007 - P. abies F. R. Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000 - P. abies, P. siyvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2007 - P. abies, P. siyvestris F. Savonimaki 1990 T. lineatum P. abies, P. siyvestris F. Savonimaki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. siyvestris F. Savonimaki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. siyvestris F. Savonimaki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. siyvestris R. Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 I. typographus P. abies, P. siyvestris R. Pashenova et al. 2004 - - R. Rodigin 1947 - Quercus sp. R. Minkevich 1965 O. quercus* S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. Liunakoski et al. 2004 O. roboris Mesosa myops Quercus sp. R. Minkevich 1965 - - R. Storozhenko et al. 2000 (= 0. quercus) - Betula sp. R. Storozhenko 1953, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - Quercus sp. R. S	O minana		Dimus spp.	R F	Bidsiel 1990
I. Amininus P. Ables, P. Sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990, Viiri 1997 M. urussovi A. sibirica R. P. Savomaki 1990, Viiri 1997 M. urussovi A. sibirica R. P. Bashenova et al. 2007 – P. Ables, Pinus sp. R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 P. chalcographus P. ables F. Savomaki 1990 T. lineatum P. ables, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 T. lineatum P. ables, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 I. lorenbrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 A. urussovi P. ables F. Savomaki 1990 I. lorenbrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 A. urussovi P. ables F. Savomaki 1990 I. lorenbrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savomaki 1990 A. urussovi P. ables F. Savomaki 1990, Viiri 1997 A. chalcographus P. ables	O. piceae	Hylurgops palliatus	P. ables, P. sylvestris	F	Savonmaki 1990
I. typographusP. abiesF. Bavonmaki 1990, Viin 1997M. urussoviA. sibiricaR. Pashenva et al. 2007-P. abies, Pinus sp.R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985P. chalcographusP. abies, Pinus sp.R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985P. chalcographusP. abies, P. sylvestrisF. Savonmaki 1990T. lineatumP. abies, P. sylvestrisF. Savonmaki 1990O. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirica, P. sylvestrisR. Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abies, P. sylvestrisR. Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abies, P. sylvestrisR. Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abies, P. sylvestrisR. Pashenova et al. 2004O. plifferumI. cembraeL. sibirica, P. sylvestrisR. Pashenova et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaF. Linnakoski et al. 2008O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaF. Linnakoski et al. 2008O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.R. Minkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-R. Storozhenko et al. 2000R. Storozhenko et al. 2000R. Storozhenko et al. 2000(= O. quercus)-R. Storozhenko et al. 2000R. Storozhenko et al. 2000<		I. amitinus	P. ables, P. sylvestris	F	Savonmaki 1990
M. urussovi A. sbinca R Pashenova et al. 2007 - Pables, Pinus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 P. chalcographus P. ables F Savonmäki 1990 T. lineatum P. ables, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 1. icembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 1. typographus P. ables F Savonmäki 1990 R R Rodigin 1947 - Quercus sp. R Liubarski 1937 - piniperda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 R Rodigin 1947 - D Quercus sp. R Liubarski 1937 - piniperda P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 R Solvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 R Solvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 R Storzhenko et al. 2004 R Malus domestica R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Quercus sp. R Minkevich 1965 Quercus sp. R Minkevich 1965 Quercus sp. R Storzhenko et al. 2000 Quercus sp. R Storzhenko et al. 2000 R Storzhenko et al. 2000 Quercus sp. R Storzhenko et al. 2000 R Storzhen		I. typographus	P. ables	F _	Savonmaki 1990, Viiri 1997
 P, abies, Pinus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 P chalcographus P, abies, Pinus sp. R Savonmäki 1990 T. piniperda P, sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. lineatum P, abies, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. cembrae L, sibirica, P. sylvestris F Savonmäki 1990 I. typographus P, abies, P. sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004 I. typographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990 I. typographus P, sylvestris R Pashenova et al. 2004 I. typographus B, pendula F Linnakoski et al. 2008 O. quercus* S. ratzeburgi B, pendula F Linnakoski et al. 2008 O. roboris Mesosa myops Quercus sp. R Minkevich 1965 (= O. quercus) - Betula sp. R Minkevich 1965 - Quercus robur R Selochnik 1998a,b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 - Alies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 P. chalcographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 P. chalcographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 P. chalcographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 O. tetropii P. typographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 P. chalcographus P, abies F Savonmäki 1990, Viri 1997 O. ulmi R Storozhenko et al. 2000 Quercus sp. R Vorontsov 1986 - Ulmus sp. R Vorontsov 1986 - Quercus sp. R Vorontsov 1986		M. urussovi	A. sibirica	К	Pashenova et al. 2007
 - Pables, Pinus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 P. chałcographus P. abies F. biers P. chałcographus P. abies P. sylvestris F. Savonmäki 1990 T. lineatum P. abies, P. sylvestris F. Savonmäki 1990 O. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savonmäki 1990 C. piliferum I. cembrae L. sibirica, P. sylvestris F. Savonmäki 1990 C. piliferum I. typographus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990 R Rodigin 1947 Liubarski 1937 T. piniperda P. sylvestris R. Pashenova et al. 1204 O. quercus* S. ratzeburgi B. pendula F. Linnakoski et al. 2004 O. roboris Mesosa myops Quercus sp. R. Minkevich 1965 (= O. quercus) - Malus domestica R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - R Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Redula sp. Mulka vich 1965 - R Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Reducus sp. Quercus sp. S. intricatus Quercus sp. R. Vanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 O. tetropii I. typographus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990 O. ulmi Risinus sp. R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Socolytid beetles Umus sp. R. Vorothenko 1933, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Colytid beetles Umus sp. R. Brabier 1990 O. valachicum - Quercus sp. R. Brabier 1990 O. valachicum Socolytid beetles Umus sp. R. Brabier 1990 Socolytid beetles Umus sp. R. Brabier 1990<		-	-	F, R	Wegelius 1938, Meyer 1946, Storozhenko et al. 2000
P. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990T. piniperdaP. sylvestrisFSavonmäki 1990D. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirca, P. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990RRodigin 1947RRodigin 1947Quercus sp.RLiubarski 1937T. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiBetula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Halus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985(= O. quercus)-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000Quercus sp.RSchekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmi-P. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmi-P. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmi-RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000R		-	P. abies, Pinus sp.	R	Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985
T. pinjeerdaP. sylvestrisFSavomäki 1990T. lineatumP. abies, P. sylvestrisFSavomäki 19900. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirica, P. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abiesFSavomäki 1990RRodigin 1947-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 1937T. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinakoski et al. 2008O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Malus domesticaRPottajczuk & Schekunova 1985(= O. quercus)RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFP. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000 <td></td> <td>P. chalcographus</td> <td>P. abies</td> <td>F</td> <td>Savonmäki 1990</td>		P. chalcographus	P. abies	F	Savonmäki 1990
T. lineatumP. abies, P. sylvestrisFSavonmäki 1990O. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirica, P. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 1937-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 1937O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2008O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendula pp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RIvanchenko 1957, Potlajczuk &O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000- <td< td=""><td></td><td>T. piniperda</td><td>P. sylvestris</td><td>F</td><td>Savonmäki 1990</td></td<>		T. piniperda	P. sylvestris	F	Savonmäki 1990
O. piliferumI. cembraeL. sibirica, P. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 1995, 2004I. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990RRodigin 1947-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 19377. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 20040. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 20080. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= 0. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965RStorzhenko et al. 2000(= 0. quercus)-Quercus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorzhenko et al. 2000(= 0. quercus)-Quercus sp.RStorzhenko et al. 2000RStorzhenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk &RStorzhenko 1957, Minkevich 1965RStorzhenko 1957, Minkevich 19650. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFP. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 19970. ulmiRStorzhenko et al. 2000Quercus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorzh		T. lineatum	P. abies, P. sylvestris	F	Savonmäki 1990
I. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990RRodigin 1947-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 19377. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 20040. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 20080. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= 0. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= 0. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= 0. quercus)-RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000Quercus roburRSelochnik 1998a,bQuercus sp.RNanchenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 19860. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 19900. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000R0. ulmi-Rainus sp.RNanchenko 1957, Minkevich 19650. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et a	O. piliferum	I. cembrae	L. sibirica, P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 1995, 2004
RRodigin 1947-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 19377. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 20040. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 20080. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= 0. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk &O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. tetropiiRStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000 <td< td=""><td></td><td>I. typographus</td><td>P. abies</td><td>F</td><td>Savonmäki 1990</td></td<>		I. typographus	P. abies	F	Savonmäki 1990
-Quercus sp.RLiubarski 1937T. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2008O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997P. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000Quercus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985O. ulmi-RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. valachicumRStorozhenko et al. 2000		-	-	R	Rodigin 1947
T. piniperdaP. sylvestrisRPashenova et al. 2004O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2008O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965-Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRSelochnik 1998a,b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. tetropii-RaticographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RVorontsov 1985Schekunova 1985O. ulmi-Ruercus sp.FSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmi-Ratinus sp.RVorontsov 1985O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000R		-	Quercus sp.	R	Liubarski 1937
O. quercus*S. ratzeburgiB. pendulaFLinnakoski et al. 2008O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betul asp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRSelochnik 1998a,b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997P. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmiRStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko 1957, Minkevich 1965O. ulmi-Rativus sp.RNanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965O. ulmi-Rativus sp.RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhe		T. piniperda	P. sylvestris	R	Pashenova et al. 2004
O. roborisMesosa myopsQuercus sp.RMinkevich 1965(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965-Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRSelochnik 1998a,b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. tetropii-Fraxinus sp.RVorontsov 1986O. ulmi-RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RIvanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965O. ulmi-RabiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. ulmi-RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RDudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985O. valachicum-Q. roburR-Quercus sp.RBrasier 1990O. valachicum-Quercus sp.RSintricatusQuercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985SintricatusQuercus sp. <td>O. quercus*</td> <td>S. ratzeburgi</td> <td>B. pendula</td> <td>F</td> <td>Linnakoski et al. 2008</td>	O. quercus*	S. ratzeburgi	B. pendula	F	Linnakoski et al. 2008
(= O. quercus)-Betula sp.RMinkevich 1965-Malus domesticaRPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus roburRSelochnik 1998a,b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997O. ulmi-Fraxinus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985O. ulmi-RStorozhenko et al. 2000RStorozhenko et al. 2000RDudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985O. valachicum-Quercus sp.R-Quercus sp.RBrasier 1990O. valachicum-Quercus sp.R-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985Scolytid beetlesUlmus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985S. intricatusQuercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 19	O. roboris	Mesosa myops	Quercus sp.	R	Minkevich 1965
 Malus domestica Malus domestica Malus domestica Malus domestica Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Storozhenko et al. 2000 Quercus robur Quercus sp. Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. Vanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 I. typographus P. abies P. abies	(= O, auercus)	_	Betula sp.	R	Minkevich 1965
 R Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Quercus robur R Selochnik 1998a,b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 O. tetropii I. typographus P. abies F Savonmäki 1990, Virir 1997 P. chalcographus P. abies P. abies F Savonmäki 1990 O. ulmi - Fraxinus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 R Storozhenko et al. 2000 		_	Malus domestica	R	Potlaiczuk & Schekunova 1985
 Quercus robur Quercus robur Quercus sp. Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986 S. intricatus Quercus sp. I typographus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997 P. chalcographus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990 O. ulmi - Fraxinus sp. R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. Quercus sp. R. Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. Quercus sp. R. Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. R. Brasier 1990 O. valachicum Quercus sp. Quercus sp. R. Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R. Selochnik 1998, b Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. R. Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Schekunova 1985 		_	_	R	Storozhenko et al. 2000
 Quercus sp. Quercus sp. S. intricatus Quercus sp. S. intricatus Quercus sp. R. Ivanchenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Vorontsov 1986 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R. Ivanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965 S. intricatus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997 P. chalcographus P. abies F. Savonmäki 1990 O. ulmi - Fraxinus sp. R. Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - Quercus sp. R. Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Quercus sp. R. Vorontsov 1986 - Quercus sp. R. Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. R. Brasier 1990 O. valachicum - Quercus sp. R. Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R. Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scintricatus Quercus sp. R. Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 4, Schekunova 1985 		_	Quercus robur	R	Selochnik 1998a b
S. intricatusQuercus sp.RInterimitation force, 1 onlytech and the second scheme of the secon		_	Quercus sp	R	Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953 Potlaiczuk 1957 Potlaiczuk &
S. intricatusQuercus sp.RIvanchenko 1957, Minkevich 1965O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997P. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990Viiri 1997O. ulmi-Fraxinus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RVorontsov 1986-Ulmus sp.RDudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985Scolytid beetlesUlmus sp.RBrasier 1990O. valachicum-Quercus sp.RSelochnik 1998a, b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985S. intricatusQuercus sp.RIvanchenko 1957					Schekunova 1985. Vorontsov 1986
O. tetropiiI. typographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990, Viiri 1997P. chalcographusP. abiesFSavonmäki 1990O. ulmi-Fraxinus sp.RPotlajczuk & Schekunova 1985RStorozhenko et al. 2000-Quercus sp.RVorontsov 1986-Ulmus sp.RDudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985Scolytid beetlesUlmus sp.RBrasier 1990O. valachicum-Quercus sp.RSelochnik 1998a, b-Quercus sp.RSherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985S. intricatusQuercus sp.RIvanchenko 1957		S intricatus	Quercus sp	P	lyanchanko 1957 Minkevich 1965
C. tetrophi I. typographus P. ables F Savonmäki 1990, Vini 1997, Vini 1997	O tetronii	5. Intricatus	Quercus sp. P. abies	E	
O. ulmi - Fraxinus sp. R Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - - R Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Quercus sp. R Vorontsov 1986 - Ulmus sp. R Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus sp. R Brasier 1990 O. valachicum - Quercus sp. R Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957	0. 16110011	R choloographus	P. abies	- -	Savoninaki 1990, viiri 1997
- - Praxinus sp. R Potajczuk & Schekunova 1985 - - R Storozhenko et al. 2000 - Quercus sp. R Vorontsov 1986 - Ulmus sp. R Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus spp. R Brasier 1990 O. valachicum - Quercus sp. R Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957	O ulmi	P. Chalcographus	F. ables		Savolillian 1990
 – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	O. ullill	-	riaxinus sp.	ĸ	Foliajozuk & Schekunova 1963 Sterrsbenke at al. 2000
 - Quercus sp. - Ulmus sp. - Ulmus sp. R Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles - Q. robur - Quercus sp. R Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. - S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957 		-		ĸ	
- Ulmus sp. R Dudina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 Scolytid beetles Ulmus spp. R Brasier 1990 O. valachicum - Q. robur R Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potlajczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957		-	Quercus sp.	к	
Scolytid beetles Ulmus spp. R Brasier 1990 O. valachicum – Q. robur R Selochnik 1998a, b - Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957		-	Ulmus sp.	R	Dugina 1936, 1938, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985
O. valachicum – Q. robur R Selochnik 1998a, b – Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957		Scolytid beetles	Ulmus spp.	R	Brasier 1990
– Quercus sp. R Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957	O. valachicum	-	Q. robur	R	Selochnik 1998a, b
Schekunova 1985 S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957		-	Quercus sp.	R	Sherbin-Parfenenko 1953, Potljaczuk 1957, Potlajczuk &
S. intricatus Quercus sp. R Ivanchenko 1957					Schekunova 1985
		S. intricatus	Quercus sp.	R	Ivanchenko 1957

A large number of ophiostomatoid fungi have been reported from mainland Europe and Scandinavia that exist in symbiosis with bark beetle species occupying different niches (Kirisits 2004). Consistent with other studies, it is likely that the species diversity for these fungi is more extensive in the boreal forests of Finland and Russia than has previously been recognised. Consequently, an extended survey of bark beetle-associated fungi is currently being undertaken at 12 different sites in the boreal forests of Karelia, on both the Finnish and Russian sides of the border. As part of this survey, fungal collections have been made from the most common bark beetle species infesting the two dominant conifer species in the boreal forests, Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). The aims of this study were to identify all species of *Ophiostoma* collected during this survey, and to describe new species found among them. These identifications were based on morphological characteristics and DNA sequence comparisons for the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of the ribosomal RNA and part of the β -tubulin gene.

d type are	
ers in bol d	
sion numb	
es. Acces	
ce purpos	
for referen	
s included	
vn specie:	
ites of kno	
ell as isola	
land, as w	
sia and Fin	
ce in Russ	
e and spru	
festing pin	
species in	
ark beetle	
different b	udy.
iined from	present st
olates obta	ined in the
Fungal is	ences obta
Table 2	for sequ

Species identity	Lineage	ls	olate number	s ¹	Herbarium	Origin	Host	Insect vector	Collector	GenBank no	
		CBS	CMW	VTT/JCM	KUO					ITS	β-tubulin
Isolates obtained in the	s presei H	nt study _	23123	I	I	Ohtama, Russia	Picea abies	las tvaodraphus	Ahtiainen	HM031496	HM031550
		I	23167	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. abies	l. typographus	Linnakoski	1	HM031551
O. bicolor	¥	I	23175	I	I	Ohtama, Russia	P. abies	I. typographus	Ahtiainen	I	HM031562
		I	23179	I	I	Ohtama, Russia	P. abies	I. typographus	Ahtiainen	HM031505	HM031560
O brunneo ciliatum	Ċ	I	23189 23115	I	I	Untama, Russia Licino Corruis Dussia	P. ables Dinus sulvastris	I. typographus Ditrocenes chalcocraphus	Antiainen Lippakoski	UM031400	HM031561 HM031567
0. Maineo-cinatan	ס	128127	23143	1 1	1 1	Chtama Russia	P ahies	r ityogenes unarcographius L typographis	Ahtiainen		HM031554
			23146	I	I	Ohtama, Russia	P. ables	l. tvpographus	Ahtiainen	I	HM031556
		I	23153	I	I	Ohtama, Russia	P. abies	l. typographus	Ahtiainen	I	HM031555
O. canum	ы С	I	23229	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	Tomicus minor	de Beer	I	HM031519
		I	23230	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	Trypodendron lineatum	de Beer	I	HM031522
		I	23241	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. minor	de Beer	I	HM031524
		I	23253	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	I	HM031523
		I	23254	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	I	HM031525
		I	23260	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	HM031488	HM031520
		I	23261	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	Hylurgops palliatus	Linnakoski	I	HM031521
	0		28158	1	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	H. palliatus	Linnakoski	I	HM031526
O. canum-like	5	12/0/7	34938	D-101402	I	Volosovo, Russia	P. sylvestris	Tomicus piniperda	Sidorov	I	HM031527
		I	04909 00000	D-101403	I	Puolosovo, Russia Puolosovo, Russia	P. sylvestris	I. piniperaa T lincotium			070150MH
		I	23208	D-101404	I	Punkanarju, Finiand Bunkahariu, Einland	P. sylvestris	1. Ineatum T lincotum	l innahadhi	HIMU31487	HMU31532
		1	232 IS	1	I	Furkanaly, Finand Lisino-Cornus, Dussia	r. sylvestils D sylvastris	L. III'Eatuiri H. naliiatus	LIIIIAKOSKI Linnakoski	1	HM031531
			23259	D-101405	1 1	Lisino-Corpus, Nussia Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P svhrestris	H palliatus	Linnakoski Linnakoski	1 1	HM031530
O. floccosum	ш	I	23287		I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. abies	I. tvpographus	Linnakoski	HM031492	HM031538
		I	23288	I	I	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	H. palijatus	Linnakoski	I	HM031537
O. fuscum sp. nov.	٦	128124	23195 ^{a,b}	D-101410	021876	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. abies	I. typographus	Linnakoski	I	HM031565
		I	23196ª, ^{b,T}	D-101411	021877	Pyhäselkä, Finland	P. abies	P. chalcographus	de Beer	HM031504	HM031563
		I	28019 ^{a,b}	D-101412	021878	Kivennapa, Russia	P. abies	P. chalcographus	Linnakoski	HM031503	HM031564
O. karelicum	۷	127073	34935	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. abies	I. typographus	de Beer		HM031540
		127074	34936	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. abies	I. typographus	de Beer	HM031498	HM031541
		I	23244	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. minor	de Beer	I	HM031539
	1	I	34937	I	I	Kivennapa, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	I	HM031542
O. minus Europe	D	I	27992	I	I	Kivennapa, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. piniperda	Linnakoski	-	HM031533
		I	28117	I	I	Uuksujärvi, Russia	P. abies	T. minor	Linnakoski	HM031497	HM031535
		I	28154	I	I	Punkanarju, Finland	P. sylvestris	1. piniperda	Linnakoski	I	HM031536
	-	107076	2 404 4ab		I	LISINO-CORPUS, RUSSIA Durahaharin Einland	P. sylvestris	I. piniperaa	LINNAKOSKI de Deer	I	HMU31534
O. painuuuu ap. 110v.	L	128118	03078a.b.T	D-101413	- 021879	Punkaharju, Finanu Punkahariu Finland	P sulvestris	H brunneus	de Reer	- HM031510	HM031566
		128125	23279 ^{a.b}	D-101415	021880	Punkaharju, Finland	P. svlvestris	H. brunneus	de Beer	HM031509	1
		127076	23280 ^{a,b}	D-101416	021881	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	H. palliatus	de Beer	I	I
O. rachisporum sp. nov.	В	I	23271	I	I	Ilomantsi, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. lineatum	de Beer	I	HM031515
		128119	23272 ^{a,b,T}	D-101400	021869	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. lineatum	de Beer	HM031490	HM031513
		I	23273ª	I	021871	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	H. palliatus	Linnakoski	I	I
		128123	23274 ^{a,b}	D-101401	021870	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. lineatum	de Beer	I	HM031514
		I	28021	I	I	Kivennapa, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. lineatum	Linnakoski	HM031491	HM031512
O. saponiodorum sp. nov	Ň	127081	34942 ^{a,b}	D-101417	021883	Pyhäselkä, Finland	P. abies	P. chalcographus	de Beer	I	I
		127080	34943	D-101418	021885	Pyhäselkä, Finland	P. abies	P. chalcographus	de Beer	I	HM031570
		127079	34944 ^{a,b}	D-101419	021887	Pyhäselkä, Finland	P. abies	P. chalcographus	de Beer	1	HM031572
		-	28135 2404EhT	- 101.00	-	Roikonkoski, Kussia Butta Eialood	P. abies	P. chalcographus	Linnakoski 40 Poor	HM031508	-
		128126	30883 ^{a,b}	D-101421 D-101421	uz 1004 021886	Pyhaseika, rifiiariu Pyhäselkä, Finland	r. apies P. abies	I. typographus I. typographus	de Beer		HM031569

MATERIALS AND ME	THODS

Isolation of fungi from bark beetles and galleries

Bark beetles and their galleries were collected from spruce and pine logs and naturally infested trees at four different sites in Finland and eight in Russia (Table 2). All sampling sites were located in the eastern parts of the Finland and in the Karelia region on the Russian side of the border. In July 2004, beetles were collected from the Ohtama region in Russia, at a location of extensive spruce bark beetle (Ips typographus) damage. In July-August 2005, more extensive isolations were done at the other research sites. Fungi were isolated from the bark beetles as well as their galleries (Fig. 1). Additional fungal isolates obtained in July 2007 from Tomicus piniperda infesting Pinus sylvestris in Russia were included in the study. Samples were collected from natural wounds but also using a trap-tree method. Methods of fungal isolation were the same as those described by Linnakoski et al. (2008).

Purified cultures were grouped according to culture morphology. From each morphological group, isolates representing different sites, beetle and host tree species were selected for DNA sequencing. They were deposited in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands and the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa. Isolates of the new taxa are also maintained in the VTT Culture collection, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, herbarium specimens were deposited in Kuopio Museum of Natural History (KUO), Kuopio, Finland, and taxonomic novelties in MycoBank (Crous et al. 2004). Several isolates of known species for which DNA sequences were not available, were also included in the study for comparative purposes (Table 2).

DNA extraction and PCR

collections

the original

^AAuthentic isolates from

solates;

Ex-type i:

Fungal isolates were grown on malt extract agar (MEA; 20 g Difco Bacto[™] malt extract from Becton, Dickinson & Company, Sparks, USA, 20 g Difco Bacto[™] agar, and 1 L Milli-Q water) plates. DNA was extracted using PrepMan Ultra Sample preparation reagent (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using a slight modification on the manufacturer's protocol (Linnakoski et al. 2008). The ITS 1 and 2 regions, including the 5.8S gene. were amplified using primers ITS1-F (Gardes & Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). Part of the β -tubulin gene region was amplified using primers Bt2a and Bt2b (Glass & Donaldson 1995). Primer Bt2b was replaced in some cases with primer T10 (O'Donnell & Cigelnik 1997).

Gene fragments were amplified in 25 µL reaction mixture containing 0.25 µL of Super-Therm DNA polymerase mixture (250 U) (Hoffman-La Roche, Nutley, USA), 2.5 µL of reaction buffer (10×) and 2.5 μ L of MgCl₂ (25 mM) (supplied with the enzyme), 2.5 µL of dNTPs (2 mM each) and 0.25 µL of each primer (10 μ M). Amplification reactions were performed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler® Personal (Perkin-Elmer, Hamburg, Germany). The PCR conditions for ITS gene region were: an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 54 °C and 1 min at 72 °C, and a final chain elongation at 72 °C for 8 min. The β -tubulin gene was amplified using a denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 56 °C and 1 min at 72 °C, and a final chain elongation at 72 °C for 8 min. Amplified products were purified using the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, USA) and sequenced with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on the ABI Prism 377 Autosequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), using the same primers used for the PCR.

O. tapionis sp. nov.	ш	128120	23265 ^{a,b,T}	D-101406	021872	Punkahariu, Finland	P. abies	H. palliatus	de Beer	I	HM031545
-		128122	23266 ^{a,b}	D-101407	021873	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	H. brunneus	Linnakoski	HM031493	HM031544
		I	23263	I	021874	Punkaharju, Finland	P. abies	H. palliatus	Linnakoski	I	HM031546
		I	34940	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. abies	H. palliatus	Linnakoski	I	HM031543
		I	23264	I	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	I	HM031548
		128121	23269 ^{a,b}	D-101408	021875	Lisino-Corpus, Russia	P. sylvestris	H. palliatus	Linnakoski	HM031494	HM031547
<i>Ophiostoma</i> sp. I	_	I	23194	D-101409	I	Punkaharju, Finland	P. abies	Dryocoetes autographus	de Beer	HM031502	HM031549
Ophiostoma sp. N	z	I	28030	I	I	Kivennapa, Russia	P. sylvestris	T. minor	Linnakoski	HM031511	HM031568
Isolates of reference	species										
O. abietinum		125.89 [⊤]	22310	I	I	Mexico	Abies vejari	Pseudohylesinus sp.	Marmolejo	AF484453 ²	HM067820
O. ainoae		205.83 [⊤]	1037	I	I	Norway	P. abies	I. typograhus	Solheim	I	HM031552
		118672	1903	I	I	Norway	P. abies	1	Olsen	HM031495	HM031553
O. bicolor		I	5031	I	I	Austria	Pinus sp.	I. amitinus	Kirisits	HM031506	I
O. breviusculum		I	I	JCM 12500 [⊤]	I	Japan	Larix kaempferi	D. baikalicus	Yamaoka	I	HM031516
		I	I	JCM 12501 [⊤]	I	Japan	L. kaempferi	D. baikalicus	Yamaoka	AB200423 ³	HM031517
O. brunneo-ciliatum		I	5212	I	I	Scotland	Larix sp.	1	Kirisits	HM031500	HM031559
		I	5214	I	I	Scotland	Larix sp.	1	Kirisits	HM031501	HM031558
O. canum		133.51^	I	I	I	Sweden	P. sylvestris	1	Mathiesen	HM031489	HM031518
O. narcissi		138.50^{T}	1096	I	I	Netherlands	Narcissus sp.	1	Limber	AF484451 ²	HM067821
O. rostrocoronatum		434.77^	456	I	I	USA	pulpwood chips	I	Eslyn	AY194509 ⁴	HM067822
¹ CBS: Centraalbureau voo Finland; JCM: Japan Coll ² From the study of de Bee ^a Isolates used in mowth st	Pr Schimme lection of M ir et al. (200 hudies: blso	elcultures, Utrec licro-organisms 33a); ³ Chung e lates used in m	cht, The Netherl s, Saitama, Japá # al. (2006); and	lands; CMW: Culture (an. KUO: Kuopio Mus d ⁴ Jacobs et al. (2003	Collection of the Fc seum of Natural His 3).	rrestry and Agricultural Biotechnology story, Kuopio, Finland.	Institute (FABI), University of I	Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; VTT: C	ulture Collection of the Te	chnical Research Centre c	of Finland, Espoo
) -								

Ö



Fig. 1 a. Part of a typical boreal forest in Finland; b. exposed galleries of *Hylurgops palliatus* on *Pinus sylvestris*; c. trapping logs in Ilomantsi, Finland; d. galleries of *Trypodendron lineatum* on *Picea abies*; e. galleries of *Tomicus minor* on *P. sylvestris*.



H 0.05

Fig. 2 Phylogram obtained from ML analyses of the ITS region. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in **bold** type. ML bootstrap support values (1 000 replicates) (normal type) and MP Jackknife values (10 000 replicates) (**bold** type) above 75 % are indicated at the nodes. Posterior probabilities (above 90 %) obtained from BI are indicated by bold lines at the relevant branching points. * = bootstrap values lower than 75 %. T = ex-type isolates. Scale bar = total nucleotide difference between taxa. Green = known species; blue = new species; yellow = species of uncertain identity.



Fig. 3 Phylogram obtained from ML analyses of the β -tubulin gene of the *O. piceae-* and *O. minus* complexes. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in **bold** type. ML bootstrap support values (1 000 replicates) (normal type) and MP Jackknife values (10 000 replicates) (**bold** type) above 75 % are indicated at the nodes. Posterior probabilities (above 90 %) obtained from BI are indicated by bold lines at the relevant branching points. * = bootstrap values lower than 75 %. T = ex-type isolates. Scale bar = total nucleotide difference between taxa. Green = known species; blue = new species; yellow = species of uncertain identity.



0.1

Fig. 4 Phylogram obtained from ML analyses of the β -tubulin gene of species in the *O. ips*-complex s.l. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in **bold** type. ML bootstrap support values (1 000 replicates) (normal type) and MP Jackknife values (10 000 replicates) (**bold** type) above 75 % are indicated at the nodes. Posterior probabilities (above 90 %) obtained from BI are indicated by bold lines at the relevant branching points. * = bootstrap values lower than 75 %. T = ex-type isolates. Scale bar = total nucleotide difference between taxa. Green = known species; blue = new species.

Sequence analyses

For each isolate, sequences obtained using the forward and reverse primers were aligned and consensus sequences determined using Geneious Pro v4.0.4 for MacIntosh (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand). BLAST searches were conducted for preliminary identifications, after which datasets that included published GenBank sequences were compiled in Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analyses (MEGA) v3.1 (Kumar et al. 2004). Sequences were aligned online with MAFFT v6 (Katoh et al. 2002), using the FFT-NS-i option with a gap opening penalty of 1.53 and an offset value of 0.00. All sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 2). Accession numbers for sequences from reference isolates obtained from GenBank are presented in the phylogenetic trees (Fig. 2–5).

Datasets were analysed using maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). MP analyses were conducted using TNT v1.1 (Goloboff et al. 2008) run on the computer clusters of the CSC, IT Centre for Science, Espoo,

Finland. Heuristic searches with 10 000 replicates of random addition sequences (RAS) and tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping were carried out. Gaps were treated as a fifth character for all datasets (Odgen & Rosenberg 2007). A Jackknife test (JK) with 10 000 replicates was used for counting the support values. ML analyses were performed using RAxML v7.0.4 (Stamakis et al. 2008) assuming the GTR+G substitution model, run on the CIPRES cluster at the San Diego Supercomputing Center. Support for the nodes was estimated from 1 000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). BI analyses based on a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) were carried out with MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). The MCMC chains were run for five million generations using the best fitting model selected by the AIC in MrModeltest v2.3 (http://www. abc.se/~nylander/). Trees were sampled every 100 generations resulting in 50 000 trees from both runs. From these, the first 200, 250, 100 and 100 trees were discarded as burn-in, as calculated for the respective datasets. The remaining trees were used to construct majority rule consensus trees.



Morphological studies

DNA sequence analyses suggested that some of the isolates considered in this study represented undescribed species of Ophiostoma. In an attempt to obtain sexual structures and to determine the thallism of the purportedly unknown taxa discovered, mating experiments were conducted. For each species, a single conidial culture was prepared from each of the available isolates, that was then crossed in all possible combinations with each other. The method described by Grobbelaar et al. (2010) was used where sterilised pine and spruce twigs (depending on the origin of isolate) were placed on the agar plates to encourage sporulation. For controls, isolates were crossed against themselves. Mating experiments were conducted on three different media including water agar (WA; 15 g Difco Bacto™ agar and 1 L Milli-Q water), MEA and oatmeal agar (OA; 15 g oatmeal, 15 g Difco Bacto[™] agar and 1 L Milli-Q water). Cultures were grown in an incubator at 20 °C and inspected regularly for fruiting structures. The viability of ascospores produced from the crosses was confirmed by transferring a drop of ascospores from an ascoma from each positive cross to fresh MEA. These plates were then incubated and monitored. Culture morphology was used to confirm their identity.

For the species descriptions, anamorph and teleomorph (where present) fruiting structures were mounted in 85 % lactic acid on glass slides and observed using a Nikon Eclipse 50i phase contrast microscope (Nikon Corporation Tokyo, Japan). A Nikon DS-Fi1 camera system (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used to capture photographic images. For the description of new species, measurements were made of 50 each of the relevant anamorph and teleomorph structures. Micronematal anamorph structures were studied using slide cultures (Riddel 1950). Averages, ranges and standard deviation of the measurements were computed. The measurements are presented in the format (minimum–) mean minus standard deviation – mean plus standard deviation (–maximum).

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), agar disks were cut from the sporulating colonies and fixed in 2.5 % glutaraldehyde in a 0.15 M phosphate buffer overnight and 1 % osmium tetroxide, and dehydrated in an ethanol series. The samples were critical-point dried in liquid carbon dioxide with a Balzers Union CPD 010 (Balzers Union AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein) and sputter-coated with copper or gold at minimum 20 nm thickness in an Emitech K675X sputter coater (Emitech, Ashford, UK). A LEO 1550 scanning electron microscope (Beamtech Nordiska

Table 3	Number of	Ophiostoma isolates	obtained from	11 bark	beetle species	and their of	galleries during	a the course c	of this study.

		Picea abies (spruce) (species 1–8)																					
									F	Pinus	sylves	<i>tris</i> (pi	ne) (s	pecie	s 3–11)							
Beetle species* \rightarrow		1	2	2	;	3	4		4	5	(6	7	7	8		ç	9	10)	1	1	Total
Fungus species \downarrow	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	b	g	
Finland																							
O. abietinum-like	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. ainoae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. bicolor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. brunneo-ciliatum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. canum	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	13
O. canum-like	17	0	0	0	15	0	1	1	15	0	9	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	8	0	27	0	100
O. floccosum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. fuscum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
O. karelicum	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
O. minus European	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
O. pallidulum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9
O. rachisporum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
O. saponiodorum sp. nov.	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
O. tapionis sp. nov.	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	21
Ophiostoma sp. I	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total isolates	28	1	0	0	17	0	8	1	29	0	19	2	0	0	19	0	0	0	9	0	41	0	174
Russia																							
O. abietinum-like	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
O. ainoae	26	25	0	0	0	2	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	63
O. bicolor	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
O. brunneo-ciliatum	50	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	71
O. canum	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	14	21	65
O. canum-like	5	11	1	0	25	23	0	0	27	14	18	15	0	4	0	0	6	7	24	30	7	3	220
O. floccosum	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	13	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	33
O. fuscum sp. nov.	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
O. karelicum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
O. minus European	0	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	15	5	11	51
O. pallidulum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. rachisporum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
O. saponiodorum sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
O. tapionis sp. nov.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Ophiostoma sp. l	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total isolates	109	54	5	1	26	29	0	0	60	23	38	20	1	6	1	1	9	11	29	50	30	40	543

* Bark beetle species: 1 = Ips typographus; 2 = Ips sp.; 3 = Dryocoetes autographus; 4 = Hylastes brunneus; 5 = Hylurgops palliatus; 6 = Pityogenes chalcographus; 7 = Pityogenes sp.; 8 = Trypodendron lineatum; 9 = Ips sexdentatus; 10 = Tomicus piniperda; 11 = Tomicus minor.

b = beetles; g = galleries.

AB, Gnesta, Sweden) operating at 5 kV was used to examine the specimens.

RESULTS

Collections of bark beetles and fungi

Three representative isolates (Table 2) of each unknown *Ophiostoma* species were chosen to determine the optimal temperature for growth in culture. Agar plugs 8 mm diam bearing mycelium of isolates were cut from actively growing margins of colonies, and placed at the centres of 9 cm Petri dishes containing 2 % MEA. Five replicate plates were prepared for each isolate at each temperature considered. The growth rates of isolates were determined at temperatures ranging from 5 to $35 \,^{\circ}C (\pm 0.5 \,^{\circ}C)$ at 5 $^{\circ}C$ intervals in the dark. For one species, the growth rate was determined also at 40 and 45 $^{\circ}C$. Colony diameter (three colony diameter measurements per plate) on each plate was measured after 4 and 8 d of incubation in each temperature. Mean radial growth rates (mm/day) at 25 $^{\circ}C$ were calculated as an average of readings.

Altogether 11 bark beetle species were collected from Finland and Russia during the course of this study (Table 3). The majority of bark beetle species occurred on both pine and spruce. *Ips sexdentatus, Tomicus minor* and *T. piniperda* were found only on pine, while *Ips typographus* and *Ips* sp. were encountered only on spruce. The isolations from bark beetles and their galleries yielded a total of 717 isolates resembling *Ophiostoma* spp. (Table 3), producing typical *Pesotum, Hyalorhinocladiella* and/or *Sporothrix*-like anamorph structures in culture. Isolates representing other genera of the *Ophiostomatales* were excluded from the present study.

DNA sequence analyses

The preliminary analyses indicated that all isolates selected for this study represented *Ophiostoma* spp., even though sexual structures were not observed for all groups. Due to the large number of isolates collected and sequenced, representative isolates of different beetles, hosts and countries were selected for each taxon for the final sequence datasets. In several cases, the ITS data did not distinguish clearly between closely related species, but they were useful to assign isolates to species complexes within *Ophiostoma* (Fig. 2). The β -tubulin gene region was used to identify isolates to the species level. Due to differences in the presence and absence of β -tubulin introns between species complexes in *Ophiostoma* (Zipfel et al. 2006), β -tubulin datasets for the different complexes were analyzed separately from each other (Fig. 3–5).

Aligned DNA sequences for the ITS gene region yielded 839 characters, including gaps (Fig. 2). Alignments of the three β -tubulin data subsets consisted respectively of 305, 690 and 276 characters, including gaps (Fig. 3–5). The Bayesian analyses for ITS and β -tubulin gene regions produced trees with topologies similar to those of the ML and MP analyses. The best fitting substitution models selected for Bayesian analyses were GTR+I+G (Fig. 2, 4, 5) and GTR+G (Fig. 3).

Comparisons of ITS sequences obtained for isolates in this study, with sequences from GenBank and the reference isolates (Fig. 2), showed that our isolates could be assigned to 14 taxa that are designated A to N. These resided in four species complexes (Harrington et al. 2001, de Beer et al. 2003a, Zipfel et al. 2006) within the genus *Ophiostoma*. These were the *O. piceae-*, *O. minus-*, *O. ips-* and *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras-*complexes (Fig. 2). In the ITS-tree (Fig. 2), the lineages representing *O. karelicum* (A), the European clade of *O. minus* (D), *O. floccosum* (E), *O. brunneo-ciliatum* (G), *O. ainoae* (H), *O. bicolor* (K) and five apparently undescribed taxa (B, F, J, L, M) were well-resolved. Isolates in lineages C and N could not be distinguished from closely related species in the ITS tree (Fig. 2).

The β -tubulin gene region amplified for all species in the O. piceae- and O. minus-complexes (Fig. 3) included exons 4, 5, and 6, as well as intron 4, but intron 5 was absent. Four of the groups of isolates in the study formed well-supported lineages, three of which included reference sequences of known species, confirming their identities as O. karelicum (A), the European clade of O. minus (D), and O. floccosum (E). The fourth lineage (B) did not include any isolates of known species, but was clearly distinct from its closest relative, O. canum. The isolates residing in phylogenetic lineage C could be separated in two sublineages (C1 and C2) based on morphology and these are further discussed in the morphology section. However, lineages C1 and C2 had ITS sequences identical to each other and an authentic isolate of O. canum (CBS 133.51). The β-tubulin sequences of lineage C1 were identical to that of the O. canum isolate, but differed only in a single base pair at the 30th position of exon 5, from the sequences of lineage C2.

The β -tubulin sequences of species in the *O. ips*-complex (Fig. 4) had an intron/exon composition similar to those of the *O. piceae*- and *O. minus*-complexes. However, they varied greatly from these complexes and were more appropriately aligned and analyzed as a separate dataset. Analyses of the β -tubulin data for isolates in the *O. ips*-complex confirmed the identities of three known species from amongst the isolates obtained in this study. These were *O. brunneo-ciliatum* (G), *O. ainoae* (H) and *O. bicolor* (K). The remaining isolates grouped in four lineages (F, I, J, L) with good statistical support, corresponding to the lineages revealed in the ITS tree and represented undescribed taxa.

The intron/exon arrangement of the β -tubulin data for the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras*-complex (Fig. 5) differed from the other complexes, lacking intron 4 but with intron 5 present. Analyses of these data revealed that group M formed a well-

supported lineage peripheral to the majority of species in the complex but most closely related to *O. nigricarpum* and *O. rostro-coronatum*. The single isolate representing group N, resided in a well-supported lineage that included *O. abietinum*, *O. fusiforme* and *O. lunatum*. However, within the larger group, this isolate formed a distinct lineage between the other three species and thus could not be assigned to any of the three known species with certainty.

Morphology

Morphological characters of the isolates identified and new species in the phylogenetic studies are described in detail with the species descriptions in the Taxonomy section. However, the morphological differences between the C1 and C2 groups of isolates that formed a monophyletic lineage (Fig. 2, 3) containing an authentic isolate of *O. canum* (CBS 133.51) are worth noting here. The morphology of lineage C1 isolates corresponded with that of *O. canum* (CBS 133.51) of which the *Pesotum* anamorph produces characteristic globose conidia (Mathiesen 1950, 1951, Harrington et al. 2001). The lineage C2 isolates were different from those of C1, producing obovoid conidia.

The two single isolates representing lineages I and N, both produced only anamorphs in culture. The isolate in lineage I (CMW 23194) primarily formed a *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like anamorph but also had a *Pesotum*-like synanamorph, while CMW 28030 (lineage N) produced a well-defined *Sporothrix* anamorph with denticulate conidiogenous cells.

Mating experiments

Matings between the single conidial cultures resulted in successful crosses, producing ascomata for isolates in lineages B and M, as well as the isolates identified as the European clade of *O. minus* (lineage D). All crosses, including those of the controls for lineages B and D produced ascomata, confirming that these isolates are homothallic. However, lineage M isolates only produced ascomata when different isolates were crossed, and in none of the control crosses, confirming heterothallism in this species. The ascomata resulting from all the crosses produced viable ascospores that gave rise to colonies typical of the parent isolates on MEA. Isolates representing lineages F, J and L did not form ascomata in culture.

Taxonomy

Based on phylogenetic analyses and morphological comparisons, the isolates collected in this study could be assigned to 15 different taxa, all in the genus Ophiostoma. Seven of these are of known species and these include O. karelicum, O. canum, representatives of the European clade of O. minus, O. floccosum, O. brunneo-ciliatum, O. ainoae and O. bicolor. One species (lineage C2) could not be distinguished from O. canum based on DNA sequences, but had a distinct morphology, and this is consequently treated as 'O. canum-like'. Another species (lineage N), represented by a single isolate, could not be distinguished from O. abietinum, O. fusiforme and O. lunatum with confidence and we refer to it as 'O. abietinum-like'. Lineage I, a distinct and undescribed taxon, was represented only by a single isolate, and we have chosen not to describe this species until additional isolates are available for study. This species is thus treated as Ophiostoma sp. 'I'. Based on the results of this study, the remaining five lineages (B, F, J, L, M) clearly represent undescribed taxa that are described here as new species of Ophiostoma. Two of the novel taxa (lineages B and M) produced ascomata in culture, while the remaining three species (lineages F, J, L) are known only by their anamorph states.





Fig. 6 Morphological characters of teleomorph and anamorph structures of *Ophiostoma rachisporum* sp. nov. a. Ascoma; b. scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of ascospores; c. ascospores; d. fourteen day old culture on malt extract agar (MEA); e. *Pesotum* anamorph; f. SEM of conidia; g. conidia. — Scale bars: a, $e = 100 \mu m$; b, $f = 1 \mu m$; c, $g = 10 \mu m$.

Taxon B

а

Ophiostoma rachisporum Linnakoski, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., *sp. nov.* — MycoBank MB518881; Fig. 6

Anamorph. Pesotum-like Synanamorph. Sporothrix-like

Ascomata post 30 d in agaro cum farina avenae remulisque laricis sterilisatis evoluta, superficialia vel in medio subimmersa. Bases atrae globosae (97–)111–182(–228) µm diametro. Colla ascomatum nigra, recta vel subfalcata, (230–)282–530(–784) µm longa. Hyphae ostiolares absunt. Ascosporae hyalinae, unicellulares, lateraliter visae allantoidea medio cristata $(3-)3.5-4(-4.5) \times 1-1.5(-2) \ \mu m$, a fronte visae cylindricae. Anamorphae *Pesotum* macronematalis et *Sporothriciformis* micronematalis adsunt. Mycelium hyalinum, in agaro superficiale. Crescit optime in 20 °C.

Etymology. The epithet *rachisporum* refers to the ridged ascospores in this species.

Ascomata developing after 30 d on oatmeal agar with sterilised spruce twigs: superficial or partly embedded on media (Fig. 6a). Bases dark, globose, (97–)111–182(–228) µm diam; ornamented with brown hyphal hairs (17–)31–70(–103) µm long, 1–1.5(–2) µm wide at apex, (1–)1.5–2(–2.5) µm wide at base. Ascomatal necks black, straight or slightly curved, (230-)282-530(-784) µm long, (22-)30-43(-50) µm wide at base, (10–)13–20(–25) µm wide at the apex. Ostiolar hyphae absent. Asci not observed. Ascospores hyaline, 1-celled, allantoid in side view, with a ridge in the middle (3-)3.5-4(-4.5) \times 1–1.5(–2) µm, cylindrical in frontal view, (2.5–)3–4(–4.5) \times 1–1.5(–2) $\mu m,$ globose in end view (Fig. 6b–c). Pesotum macronematal anamorph predominant (Fig. 6e). Synnemata hyaline, pigmented at the base, (135-)200-365(-547) µm long including capitulum, (22–)35–75(–110) µm wide at base; conidiogenous cells $(10-)12-21(-30) \times 1 \mu m$; conidia hyaline, 1-celled, smooth, oblong, $(2-)3-4(-4.5) \times 1-1.5 \ \mu m$, (Fig. 6f-g) aggregating into a cream-white mucilaginous spore drop. Synnematal anamorph usually abundant on oatmeal agar, malt extract agar and water agar. Attached to substratum by brown rhizoid-like hyphae. Sporothrix-like micronematal anamorph present. Conidiogenous cells arising directly from hyphae, $(9-)15-27(-35) \times 1-1.5 \mu m$; conidia hyaline, smooth, obovoid to bacilliform, $(3-)3.5-5(-7) \times 1-1.5(-2) \mu m$.

Culture characteristics — Mycelium superficial on the agar, hyaline, with white to greyish aerial mycelium (Fig. 6d). Colonies slow growing, reaching 31 mm diam in 8 d at 25 °C, mean radial growth rate 3 (\pm 0.3) mm/d. Growth reduced at 5 and 30 °C. No growth observed at 35 °C. Optimal temperature for growth 20 °C.

Sexuality — Homothallic.

Host range — Associated with *Trypodendron lineatum* and their galleries on *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*, and *Hylurgops palliatus* on *P. sylvestris*.

Distribution — Presently known from Ilomantsi and Punkaharju, Finland; Kivennapa, Russia.

Specimens examined. FINLAND, Southern Savonia, Punkaharju, on *Trypodendron lineatum* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, holotype KUO 021868 (dried culture obtained from cross between CMW 23272 × CMW 23274, Herbarium of Kuopio Museum of Natural History, Finland), cultures ex-type CBS 128119 = CMW 23272, CBS 128123 = CMW 23274; Southern Savonia, Punkaharju, on *Hylurgops palliatus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021871 (dried culture obtained from CMW 23273).

Taxon F

Ophiostoma tapionis Linnakoski, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., *sp. nov.* — MycoBank MB518882; Fig. 7

Anamorph. Hyalorhinocladiella-like

Teleomorpha ignota. Anamorpha micronematalis *Hyalorhinocladiella* adest. Cellulae conidiogenae (11–)15–25(–31) × 1–2 µm; conidia obovoidea vel bacilliformia (4–)4.5–6(–8)×(1–)1.5–2.5(–3) µm. Mycelium primo hyalinum, deinde medio brunnescens, in agaro superficiale. Crescit optime in 20 °C.



Fig. 7 Morphological characters of anamorph structures of *Ophiostoma tapionis* sp. nov. a. *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorph; b. conidia; c. SEM of conidia; d. fourteen day old culture on MEA. — Scale bars: a = 100 μm; b = 10 μm; c = 3 μm.



Fig. 8 Morphological characters of anamorph structures of *Ophiostoma fuscum* sp. nov. a. *Pesotum*-like anamorph; b. conidia; c. *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorph; d. fourteen day old culture on MEA. — Scale bars: a = 100 μm; b, c = 10 μm.

Etymology. The epithet *tapionis* refers to Tapio, a forest god of Finnish mythology. He figures prominently in the Kalevala, the national epic of Finland.

Teleomorph unknown. *Hyalorhinocladiella* micronematal anamorph present (Fig. 7a). *Conidiogenous cells* arising directly from hyphae, $(11-)15-25(-31) \times 1-2 \mu m$; *conidia* hyaline, smooth, obovoid to bacilliform, $(4-)4.5-6(-8) \times (1-)1.5-2.5$ $(-3) \mu m$ (Fig. 7b-c).

Culture characteristics — *Colonies* at first hyaline, later becoming moderately brown at the centre (Fig. 7d). Mycelium superficial on the agar. Cultures slow growing, reaching 44 mm diam in 8 d at 25 °C, mean radial growth rate 4.5 mm (\pm 1) mm/d. Growth reduced at 5 and above 30 °C. No growth observed at 35 °C. Optimal temperature for growth 20 °C.

Sexuality — Unknown.

Host range — Associated with Hylurgops palliatus on Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris, Hylastes brunneus and Tomicus minor on P. sylvestris, Ips typographus and Pityogenes chalcographus on P. abies.

Distribution — Presently known from Punkaharju, Finland; Lisino-Corpus and Kivennapa, Russia.

Specimens examined. FINLAND, Southern Savonia, Punkaharju, on *Hylurgops palliatus* infesting *Picea abies*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, holotype KUO 021872 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128120 = CMW 23265), Herbarium of Kuopio Museum of Natural History, Finland), cultures ex-type CBS 128120 = CMW 23265; Southern Savonia, Punkaharju, on *Hylastes brunneus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021873 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128122 = CMW 23266). – Russia, Lisino-Corpus, on *Hylurgops palliatus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021875 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128121 = CMW 23269).

Taxon J

Ophiostoma fuscum Linnakoski, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., sp. nov. — MycoBank MB518883; Fig. 8

Anamorph. Hyalorhinocladiella-like Synanamorph. Pesotum-like

Teleomorpha ignota. Anamorpha micronematalis *Hyalorhinocladiella* dominans. Cellulae conidiogenae (5–)15–23(–25)×1–1.5 µm; conidia obovoidea vel bacilliformia (3.5–)4–5(–6) × 1–1.5 µm. Anamorpha macronematalis Pesotiformis adest. Synnemata atrobrunnea (240–)284–539(–954) µm longa capitulo incluso. Coloniae aequaliter brunneae, in agaro superficiale. Crescit optime in 25 °C.

Etymology. The epithet *fuscum* refers to the dark brown colour of the macronematal anamorph and colonies.

Teleomorph unknown. Hyalorhinocladiella micronematal anamorph predominant. Conidiogenous cells $(5-)15-23(-25) \times 1-1.5 \mu m$; conidia hyaline, smooth, obovoid to bacilliform, $(3.5-)4-5(-6) \times 1-1.5 \mu m$ (Fig. 8c). Pesotum-like macronematal anamorph present (Fig. 8a-b). Synnemata dark brown, $(240-)284-539(-954) \mu m$ long including capitulum, $(26-)30-66(-109) \mu m$ wide at base; conidiogenous cells $(9-)15-24(-30) \times 1-1.5 \mu m$ (Fig. 8a); conidia hyaline, 1-celled, smooth, oblong, $(4-)5-6(-7) \times 1-1.5 \mu m$, aggregating into a cream-white mucilaginous spore drop (Fig. 8b). Attached to substratum by brown rhizoid-like hyphae.

Culture characteristics — Colonies evenly brown (Fig. 8d). Mycelium superficial on the agar, greyish aerial mycelium present. Cultures rather slow growing, reaching 53 mm diam in 8 d at 25 °C, mean radial growth rate 6.1 mm (\pm 0.3) mm/d. Growth reduced at 5 and above 30 °C. Optimal temperature for growth 25 °C.

Sexuality — Unknown.

Host range — Associated with *Ips typographus* and *Pityo*genes chalcographus on *Picea* abies.

Distribution — Presently known from Pyhäselkä, Finland; Kivennapa and Lisino-Corpus, Russia.

Specimens examined. FINLAND, Pyhäselkä, on Pityogenes chalcographus infesting Picea abies, Aug. 2005, Z.W. de Beer, holotype KUO 021877 (dried culture obtained from CMW 23196, Herbarium of Kuopio Museum of Natural History, Finland), cultures ex-type CMW 23196. – RUSSIA, Lisino-Corpus, on *Ips typographus* infesting Picea abies, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021876 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128124 = CMW 23195); Kivennapa, on Pityogenes chalcographus infesting Picea abies, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021878 (dried culture obtained from CMW 28019).

Taxon L

Ophiostoma pallidulum Linnakoski, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., *sp. nov.* — MycoBank MB518884; Fig. 9

Anamorph. Hyalorhinocladiella-like

Teleomorpha ignota. Anamorpha micronematalis *Hyalorhinocladiella* adest. Cellulae conidiogenae (9–)11–19(–26) × 1–1.5 µm; conidia obovoidea vel bacilliformia (2–)2.5–3.5(–4) × 1–1.5 µm. Coloniae hyalinae, in agaro superficiale. Crescit optime in 30 °C.

Etymology. The epithet *pallidulum* refers to the pale colour of the colonies.

Teleomorph unknown. *Hyalorhinocladiella* micronematal anamorph present (Fig. 9a–c). *Conidiogenous cells* (9–)11–19(–26) \times 1–1.5 µm (Fig. 9a–b); *conidia* hyaline, smooth, obovoid to bacilliform, (2–)2.5–3.5(–4) \times 1–1.5 µm (Fig. 9c).

Culture characteristics — Colonies hyaline, white aerial mycelium present (Fig. 9d). Mycelium superficial on the agar. Rather slow growing, reaching 55 mm diam in 8 d at 25 $^{\circ}$ C,



Fig. 9 Morphological characters of *Ophiostoma pallidulum* sp. nov. anamorph structures. a. *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorph; b. SEM of conidiogenous cells of *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorph; c. SEM of conidia; d. fourteen day old culture on MEA. — Scale bars: a = 10 μm; b = 3 μm, c = 2 μm.



Fig. 10 Morphological characters of *Ophiostoma saponiodorum* sp. nov. anamorph and teleomorph structures. a. Ascoma; b. tip of neck with ascospores; c. ascospores; d. fourteen day old culture on MEA; e. *Pesotum*-like anamorph; f. conidia; g. SEM of conidia; h. conidiogenous cell and conidia of *Hyalorhino-cladiella*-like anamorph. — Scale bars: a, e = 100 µm; b, c, f, h = 10 µm; g = 2 µm.

mean radial growth rate 6 mm (\pm 1) mm/d. Growth reduced at 5 and above 30 °C. No growth observed at 35 °C. Optimal temperature for growth 30 °C.

Sexuality — Unknown.

Host range — Associated with Hylastes brunneus, Hylurgops palliatus, Tomicus minor, Trypodendron lineatum and Dryo-coetes autographus on Pinus sylvestris.

Distribution — Presently known from Punkaharju, Finland.

Specimens examined: FINLAND, Punkaharju, on *Hylastes brunneus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, holotype KUO 021879 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128118 = CMW 23278, Herbarium of Kuopio Museum of Natural History, Finland), cultures ex-type CBS 128118 = CMW 23278; on *Hylastes brunneus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2006, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021880 (dried culture obtained from CMW 23279); on *Hylurgops palliatus* infesting *Pinus sylvestris*, Feb. 2005, *R. Linnakoski*, paratype KUO 021881, (dried culture CBS 127076 = CMW 23280).

Taxon M

Ophiostoma saponiodorum Linnakoski, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., *sp. nov.* — MycoBank MB518885; Fig. 10

Anamorph. Pesotum-like Synanamorph. Hyalorhinocladiella-like

Ascomata post 30 d in agaro cum farina avenae remulisque laricis sterilisatis evoluta, superficialia vel in medio subimmersa. Bases atrae globosae (110–) 155–211(–263) µm diametro. Colla ascomatum nigra, recta vel subfalcata, (171–)315–449(–527) µm longa, interdum duo vel tres in quoque ascomate in cultura. Hyphae ostiolares absunt. Ascosporae hyalinae, unicellulares, lateraliter visae allantoidea (2.5–)3–4(–4.5) × 1–1.5 µm, a fronte visae cylindricae. Anamorphae *Pesotum* macronematalis et *Hyalorhinocladiella* micronematalis adsunt. Mycelium odore saponis, hyalinum, in agaro superficiale. Crescit optime in 30–35 °C. Crescere potest in 40 °C.

Etymology. The epithet *saponiodorum* refers to the soapy aroma of the colonies.

Ascomata developing after 30 d on oatmeal agar with sterilised spruce twigs when two mating types are paired: superficial or partly embedded on media (Fig. 10a). Bases dark, globose, (110–)155–211(–263) µm diam; ornamented with brown hyphal hairs (27–)45–94(–119) µm long, 1–1.5(–2) µm wide at apex, 1.5–2(–3) µm wide at base. Ascomatal necks black, straight or slightly curved, (171–)315–449(–527) µm long, (28–)32–40(–46) µm wide at base, (9–)11–16(–20) µm wide at the apex. In culture, necks sometimes 2 or 3 per ascoma. Ostiolar hyphae absent (Fig. 10b). Asci not observed. Ascospores hyaline, 1-celled, allantoid in side view, (2.5–)3–4(–4.5) × 1–1.5 µm, globose in end view (Fig. 10b–c).

Pesotum-like macronematal anamorph predominant (Fig. 10e–g). *Synnemata* hyaline, (118–)188–297(–370) µm long including capitulum, (15–)20–43(–78) µm wide at base; *conidiogenous cells* (8–)17–24(–28) × 1–1.5(–2) µm (Fig. 10e); conidia hyaline, 1-celled, smooth, oblong, (3–)3.5–4(–5) × (0.5–)1–1.5 µm, aggregating into a cream-white mucilaginous spore drop (Fig. 10f–g). Synnematal anamorph usually plentiful on oat meal agar. *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like micronematal anamorph present (Fig. 10h). *Conidiogenous cells* arising directly from hyphae, (9–)14–24(–35)×1–2(–2.5) µm; *conidia* hyaline, smooth, obovoid to bacilliform, (3–)4–6(–7)×1–1.5(–2) µm.

Culture characteristics — Mycelium hyaline, white aerial mycelium present (Fig. 10d). Colonies rather fast growing, reaching 72 mm diam in 8 d at 25 °C, mean radial growth rate 8 mm (\pm 0.5) mm/d. Capable growing at high temperatures (40 °C). No growth observed at 5 °C and 45 °C. Optimal temperature for growth 30–35 °C.

Sexuality — Heterothallic.

Host range — Associated with *lps typographus* and *Pityo*genes chalcographus on *Picea abies*. Distribution — Presently known from Pyhäselkä and Jouhterinen, Finland; Roikonkoski, Russia.

Specimens examined. FINLAND, North Karelia, Pyhäselkä, on *Ips typographus* infesting *Picea abies*, Aug. 2005, *Z.W. de Beer*, holotype KUO 021882 (dried culture obtained from cross between CBS 127078 = CMW 34945 × CBS 128125 = CMW 30883, Herbarium of Kuopio Museum of Natural History, Finland), cultures ex-type CBS 127078 = CMW 34945; on *Ips typographus* infesting *Picea abies*, Aug. 2005, *Z.W. de Beer*, paratype KUO 021886 (dried culture obtained from CBS 128125 = CMW 30883); on *Pityogenes chalcographus* infesting *Picea abies*, Aug. 2005, *Z.W. de Beer*, paratype KUO 021887 (dried culture obtained from CBS 127079 = CMW 34944).

DISCUSSION

In this study, 717 fungal isolates resembling Ophiostoma were found in association with 11 different bark beetle species and their galleries, infesting Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris in the Karelia region of Finland and Russia. These fungi included seven known species: Ophiostoma ainoae, O. bicolor, O. brunneociliatum, O. canum, O. floccosum, O. karelicum, and the European lineage of O. minus. Six new Ophiostoma spp. were discovered, five of which were described here as O. fuscum, O. pallidulum, O. rachisporum, O. saponiodorum and O. tapionis. The remaining novel taxon emerging from the analyses was not described formally because it was represented by only a single isolate. The identity of two species, one closely related to O. canum and the other close to O. abietinum could not be resolved with certainty and these require further investigation. Ophiostoma canum is reported for the first time from Finland, and O. brunneo-ciliatum, O. canum and O. floccosum for the first time from Russia. The presence of all the other species previously known to be present in these countries is confirmed for the first time based on DNA sequence comparisons.

The O. piceae-complex and similar species

Phylogenetic inference based on DNA sequence comparisons as well as morphological features made it possible to assign isolates obtained in this study to one of four species complexes in Ophiostoma. These included O. piceae-, O. minus- O. ipsand S. schenckii-O. stenoceras-complexes. The majority of the isolates resided in the O. piceae-complex. This complex was defined by Harrington et al. (2001) as a monophyletic lineage accommodating species having unsheathed, allantoid ascospores and both synnematous Pesotum and mononematous Sporothrix anamorphs. DNA sequence comparisons for additional species and gene regions have revealed that the O. piceae-complex includes at least two major lineages, respectively representing species isolated from hardwoods (Linnakoski et al. 2008, 2009, Nkuekam et al. 2008, Grobbelaar et al. 2009, 2010) and coniferous hosts (Uzunovic et al. 2000, Chung et al. 2006). In all species of the O. piceae-complex for which β -tubulin sequences are available, this gene region includes intron 4 and lacks intron 5 (Zipfel et al. 2006, Grobbelaar et al. 2009).

In the present study, the most commonly encountered species were *O. canum* and a closely related putatively new species, which we have referred to as *O. canum*-like. These species were isolated from all locations in association with several different bark beetle species infesting both pine and spruce. The two species could not be distinguished based on ITS and β -tubulin sequences, but they are morphologically distinct. *Ophiostoma canum* produces globose conidia, while the *O. canum*-like species produces obovoid conidia, which are more similar to *O. piceae* and other species in the *O. piceae*-complex (Harrington et al. 2001). We were not able to amplify the EF 1- α gene region for these species, but additional gene regions should be explored further to distinguish between these taxa.

Ophiostoma canum was originally described from sapstained pine in Germany (Münch 1907). It has since been reported from *Tomicus piniperda* and *T. minor* in Sweden (Mathiesen 1950, Rennerfelt 1950) and Japan (Masuya et al. 1999), although identification of isolates in the latter study was based only on morphology. Results of the present study are first to suggest that the species is vectored by other beetle species such as *Ips typographus*, *Hylastes brunneus*, *Hylurgops palliatus*, *Pityogenes chalcographus* and *Trypodendron lineatum*.

The unidentified O. canum-like species that was frequently isolated in this study is morphologically similar to O. piceae. Surprisingly, despite the relatively large number of different bark beetle species and locations sampled in this study, no isolates of O. piceae (sensu Morelet 1992, Brasier & Kirk 1993, Harrington et al. 2001) were obtained. Ophiostoma piceae had been reported from Finland and Russia in several earlier studies (Potlajczuk & Schekunova 1985, Viiri 1997, Pashenova et al. 2007), as well as from similar beetles and hosts in neighbouring Scandinavian countries (Lagerberg et al. 1927, Mathiesen 1950, Rennerfelt 1950, Roll-Hansen & Roll-Hansen 1980, Solheim 1986). The O. canum-like species emerging from this study might be the same as isolates from Scandinavia previously identified as O. piceae based on morphology only. Beta-tubulin sequences of these O. canum-like isolates differ substantially (Fig. 2, 3) from those of authentic O. piceae-isolates from other studies (Jacobs & Kirisits 2003, Kim et al. 2005, Bommer et al. 2009, Grobbelaar et al. 2009). DNA sequence comparisons must thus be used to confirm the identification of the so-called O. piceae isolates from previous studies in Scandinavia and Russia.

The third species belonging to *O. piceae*-complex found emerging from the present study is also related to *O. canum* and the *O. canum*-like species, but could be distinguished from these taxa in ITS and β -tubulin sequences. This species was described as *O. rachisporum*, which produces both *Pesotum* and *Sporothrix* anamorphs and allantoid ascospores. The species was mainly isolated from *Trypodendron lineatum* on both pine and spruce. It is consequently well placed in the conifer lineage of the *O. piceae*-complex based on phylogeny, morphology and ecology.

It was surprising to find *O. karelicum*, a representative of the hardwood clade in *O. piceae*-complex, in the present study. This fungus has consistently been isolated in association with the birch bark beetle, *Scolytus ratzeburgi* and has been found in Finland, Russia and Norway (Linnakoski et al. 2008, 2009). In the present study, six isolates were found in association with *lps typographus* and *Tomicus minor* from pine and spruce. These results suggest that *O. karelicum* can be vectored by bark beetle species other than *S. ratzeburgi*, possibly together with predators and mites associated with these insects.

Another species previously associated with the *O. piceae*complex, *O. floccosum* (Harrington et al. 2001), was found in association with several bark beetle species infesting both pine and spruce in Russia. This species groups only peripherally to the hardwood and conifer clades of the *O. piceae*-complex (Zipfel et al. 2006, Grobbelaar et al. 2009), but based on morphology, resides within the complex (Harrington et al. 2001). *Ophiostoma floccosum* is widely distributed, but usually reported in low numbers associated with bark beetles primarily on pine trees (Mathiesen 1951, Harrington et al. 2001, de Beer et al. 2003b, Jacobs et al. 2003, Kim et al. 2007, Lu et al. 2009, Yamaoka et al. 2009).

The O. minus-complex

The O. minus-complex presently includes O. minus, O. pseudotsugae, and O. kryptum (Jacobs & Kirisits 2003, Jacobs et al. 2003, Gorton et al. 2004). These species are distinguished from those in the *O. piceae*-complex by ascomata with short necks, elongated ascospores and *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorphs (Hedgcock 1906, Upadhyay 1981, Jacobs & Kirisits 2003, Jacobs et al. 2003). The β -tubulin intron composition corresponds with that of the *O. piceae*-complex, which includes intron 4 and lacks intron 5 (Gorton et al. 2004). Although *O. tetropii* groups among species of the *O. minus* complex based on our β -tubulin analysis and that of Jacobs & Kirisits (2003), it groups closer to the hardwood clade of the *O. piceae* complex based on ITS (Jacobs & Kirisits 2003, Jacobs et al. 2003). Its ascomata can also be distinguished from those of the other three species in the complex by its much longer necks (Jacobs et al. 2003). We thus do not treat *O. tetropii* as part of the *O. minus* complex until more data proves otherwise.

Several phylogenetic studies have shown a distinction between European isolates of *O. minus* and North American isolates that represent the type of *O. minus* (Hedgcock 1906, Gorton & Webber 2000, Gorton et al. 2004, Lu et al. 2009). The isolates collected in this study from Russia and Finland grouped with the European clade of *O. minus*, which probably corresponds with *O. pini. Ophiostoma pini* was described from pine by Münch (1907), but since 1956 has been considered a synonym of *O. minus* (Hunt 1956, Olchowecki & Reid 1974, Upadhyay 1981). Further studies including an inspection of the type material, additional cultures of both species, and comparisons of sequences for gene regions additional to those previously considered, will be needed to clarify the taxonomy of this group.

Ophiostoma minus is one of the most important agents of blue stain in Europe and North America (Solheim & Långström 1991, Seifert 1993). The species is frequently isolated from coniferous trees, mainly from pine species attacked by *Tomicus piniperda* in Europe (Mathiesen 1950, Mathiesen-Käärik 1953, Lieutier et al. 1989, Solheim & Långström 1991), but it is also occasionally found in association with other beetle species in the region (Käärik 1980, Upadhyay 1981, Kirisits 2004). A single isolate with sequence similarity to the European lineage was recently reported from China (Lu et al. 2009). In the present study, *O. minus* was most commonly isolated from pine attacked by *T. minor*, but also in association with several other beetle species.

The O. ips-complex

Species in Ophiostoma ips-complex (s.str.) are characterised by cylindrical or allantoid ascospores with pillow-shaped sheaths, and they produce a continuum of anamorph forms ranging from primarily Hyalorhinocladiella-type structures to more rarely Pesotum-like synnematous structures (Zipfel et al. 2006). Five species have been shown to reside in the O. ips-complex s.str. based on phylogenetic inference. These include O. adjuncti, O. bicolor, O. ips, O. montium and O. pulvinisporum (Kim et al. 2003, Zhou et al. 2004, 2006, Massoumi Alamouti et al. 2007). Kim et al. (2003) showed that the β -tubulin gene of *O. montium* lacked introns 4 and 5 and consequently, this species was excluded from our analyses of the β -tubulin dataset, in which all the other species had intron 4, and no intron 5. However, based on ITS sequences and morphology, O. montium remains best placed in the O. ips-complex s.str., at least until sequence data for other gene regions can resolve its status.

Massoumi Alamouti et al. (2007) included an undescribed *Hyalorhinocladiella* sp. (1) in the *O. ips*-complex. Analyses in the present study and the results of Massoumi Alamouti et al. (2007) showed that several species group close to, but not as part of the monophyletic *O. ips*-complex s.str. (Fig. 2, 4). For the purpose of this discussion, we treat this larger lineage as the *O. ips*-complex s.l. The larger lineage includes an undescribed *Hyalorhinocladiella* sp. (2) and two undescribed *Ambrosiella* spp. from the study of Massoumi Alamouti et al. (2007). Data

from the present study also suggest a similar placement for *O. ainoae* and *O. brunneo-ciliatum*, somewhere between the *O. ips*- and *O. piceae*-complexes, but closer to the *O. ips*-complex s.str. The sheathed, pillow-shaped ascospores and *Pesotum*-like anamorphs of *O. ainoae* (Solheim 1986) and *O. brunneo-ciliatum* (Mathiesen-Käärik 1953) correspond well with those of species in the *O. ips*-complex s.str.

From the bark beetle-associated isolates collected in Finland and Russia, seven species could be placed in the *O. ips*-complex s.l. as defined above. The first of these was *O. brunneociliatum*, previously isolated from pines and larch infested by *lps* spp. in Europe and Japan (Mathiesen-Käärik 1953, Lieutier et al. 1989, Yamaoka et al. 1998). Isolates in the present study came from Russia and mainly from *I. typographus* on spruce, but also from *Pityogenes chalcographus, Tomicus minor* and *T. piniperda* on pine. The fungus seems to be a constant associate on larch of *I. cembrae* in Europe and *I. subelongatus* in Japan (Yamaoka et al. 2009) and was shown to be only mildly pathogenic to its host trees (Lieutier et al. 1989, Yamaoka et al. 1998).

Another species found in the present study was *O. ainoae*, normally associated with *Ips typographus* infesting spruce in Europe (Solheim 1986, Jankowiak 2005). All our *O. ainoae* isolates were collected from Russian sites, mainly in association with *I. typographus*, but also with *Dryocoetes autographus* and *Hylurgops palliatus* infesting spruce, and *T. minor* infesting pine. This species has also been reported from *I. typographus* f. *japonicus* from Japan (Yamaoka et al. 1997), but an isolate from the original Japanese collection groups in *Grosmannia*, close to *G. cucullata*, based on ribosomal DNA sequences (Okada et al. 1998, Gebhardt et al. 2005). The appropriate placement of the Japanese species thus needs to be verified using DNA sequence comparisons and including additional cultures.

The third known species from the present study belonging to the *O. ips*-complex was *O. bicolor*, originally described by Davidson (1955). It is a common species in the Northern Hemisphere, occurring on spruce infested by *lps* spp. (Davidson et al. 1967, Käärik 1975, Solheim 1986, Yamaoka et al. 1997, Jankowiak 2005, Massoumi Alamouti et al. 2007). In our study, isolates of *O. bicolor* were collected from Russia in an area with extensive *I. typographus* damage on spruce. These ecological characteristics are consistent with the previously reported fungus-vector relationships for the species.

DNA sequence comparisons for two gene regions of the new taxa described here as O. tapionis, O. fuscum and O. pallidu*lum* confirmed that these species form discrete, well-supported lineages within the broader O. ips-complex. None of the new species produced teleomorphs in culture, but all three produced a Hyalorhinocladiella anamorph, typical of species in the complex. One species, O. fuscum, also had a Pesotum-like synnematous anamorph, similar to those produced by O. ips (Benade et al. 1995, Okada et al. 1998) and O. pulvinisporum (Zhou et al. 2004). In the ITS tree (Fig. 2), O. pallidulum grouped most closely to O. nigricarpum and O. saponiodorum, which are outliers of the S. schenckii-O. stenoceras complex. However, the Hyalorhinocladiella anamorph and β -tubulin intron 4 of O. pallidulum resemble those of species in the larger O. ipscomplex (s.l.), in which we have treated it (Fig. 2, 4). Ophiostoma fuscum, O. pallidulum and O. tapionis were isolated from various beetle species on pine and spruce. Ophiostoma pallidulum was found only in Finland.

The species that remains undescribed, *Ophiostoma* sp. I, was isolated only once from *Dryocoetes autographus* on spruce in Finland. This isolate also produces a *Hyalorhinocladiella* anamorph and a *Pesotum*-like synanamorph, which supports its phylogenetic placement in the *O. ips*-complex close to other undescribed *Hyalorhinocladiella* species (Fig. 2, 4) from Canada

(Massoumi Alamouti et al. 2007). Additional isolates are needed to justify the description of *Ophiostoma* sp. I as new.

The S. schenckii-O. stenoceras-complex

The *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras*-complex is characterized by reniform ascospores without a sheath, a *Sporothrix* anamorph (de Beer et al. 2003a), and the absence of intron 4 and presence of intron 5 in the β -tubulin gene (Zipfel et al. 2006). The complex accommodates several *Sporothrix* species associated with human disease (Marimon et al. 2007), soil, wood (Aghayeva et al. 2004, de Meyer et al. 2008), or *Protea infructescences* with their suite of insects and mites (Roets et al. 2008). It is interesting that most species in the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras*-complex does not have bark beetle associates, which are typical for the all other genera and species complexes in the *Ophiostomatales*.

Isolates obtained in the present study included one new taxon, O. saponiodorum, that grouped in a lineage with O. coronatum, O. nigricarpum, O. rostrocoronatum and O. tenellum, peripheral to the major lineage of the S. schenckii-O. stenoceras-complex (Fig. 2, 5). These species are all of North American origin and most have been collected from stained conifer wood (Davidson 1958, 1966, Olchowecki & Reid 1974). The exception is O. rostrocoronatum that was isolated from birch pulpwood (Eslyn & Davidson 1976). Like these species, O. saponiodorum also produced unsheathed, allantoid ascospores. However, O. saponiodorum differs from other species in the complex with which it groups that have Sporothrix anamorphs. The micronematous anamorph of O. saponiodorum lacks clear denticles characteristic of Sporothrix species (de Hoog 1974), and thus looks more Hyalorhinocladiella-like (Upadhyay & Kendrick 1975). In addition, it has a hyaline *Pesotum*-like synanamorph. Olchowecki & Reid (1974) described the anamorph of O. coronatum as 'micronematous or semimacronematous', which might suggest a similar type of structure, although this cannot be seen in their illustrations. Hyaline synnemata, referred to by some authors as so-called Hyalopesotum anamorphs (Upadhyay & Kendrick 1975), are not a unique character and have been reported for several other species from different lineages in the Ophiostomatales. These are now considered to be a reduced form of the more common Pesotum-like synnemata (Wingfield et al. 1991, Okada et al. 1998), which often occur together with Sporothrix or Hyalorhinocladiella anamorphs.

The identity of lineage N, consisting only of a single isolate from pine in Russia, could not be resolved. The isolate is closely related to O. abietinum, O. fusiforme and O. lunatum. Ophiostoma abietinum was originally described from galleries of a Pseudohylesinus sp. on Abies vejari in Mexico (Marmolejo & Butin 1990). It has subsequently often been reported erroneously as O. nigricarpum-like and occurs in low numbers associated with pine bark beetles in various countries (de Beer et al. 2003a, Aghayeva et al. 2004, Thwaites et al. 2005, Zhao et al. 2005, Zhou et al. 2006, Lu et al. 2009). Ophiostoma fusiforme and O. lunatum have been found only on hardwoods in Europe (Aghayeva et al. 2004). Considering its host, it seems possible that the Russian isolate represents a new haplotype of O. abietinum, but additional isolates and sequences for an additional number of gene regions will be necessary to resolve its identity.

Conclusions

The aim of this study was to characterize *Ophiostoma* species associated with pine- and spruce-infesting bark beetles in Finland and neighbouring Russia. From a superficial assessment of our results, the diversity of *Ophiostoma* species is higher in Russia than in Finland, with 10 species found in Russia, and only seven from Finland.

Results of the present study identified some of the fungal associates of *Hylastes brunneus*. These include *Ophiostoma canum*, an *O. canum*-like species, *O. pallidulum* and *O. tapionis*. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous reports regarding the fungal associates of *H. brunneus*.

A surprising outcome of the present study is that there are many more *Ophiostoma* spp. occurring on pine and spruce in the boreal forests of Finland and Russia, than has previously been recognized. The number of new taxa encountered, covering a relatively small geographical area considered in this survey, emphasizes the importance of developing a clear understanding of the possible threats of moving timber and wood products, without knowledge of the micro-organisms that might also be moved. It will, therefore, be important to expand these surveys to wider geographical areas of Finland and Russia, and also to consider the pathogenicity of the species involved.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to St. Petersburg State Technical University, Russia, for their help in fieldwork in Russia. Thanks are also due to Dr Henri Vanhanen for assistance with fieldwork and identification of the bark beetle species collected. We thank Prof. Heikki Roininen for the collection of Ips typographus from the outbreak area in Ohtama, Russia, and Dr Kaija Keinonen for her advice regarding laboratory work. We also thank Duong Anh Tuan for three reference sequences, and the Finnish IT Center for Science (CSC) for providing computational resources. We are grateful to Dr Hugh Glen for the Latin diagnoses and Markku A. Huttunen for his assistance in naming the novel species. Our laboratory assistants are thanked for their invaluable help with the fungal cultures. The study was supported financially by the Graduate School in Forest Sciences (GSForest), the Finnish Forest Industries Federation, Finnish Forest Research Institute (Metla), Finnish Food Safety Authority (Evira), and North Karelia University of Applied Sciences, Finland; Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Russia; the members of the Tree Protection Co-operative Programme (TPCP) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade and Industry, South Africa.

REFERENCES

- Aghayeva DN, Wingfield MJ, Beer ZW de, Kirisits T. 2004. Two new Ophiostoma species with Sporothrix anamorphs from Austria and Azerbaijan. Mycologia 96: 866–878.
- Beer ZW de, Harrington TC, Vismer HF, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003a. Phylogeny of the Ophiostoma stenoceras-Sporothrix schenckii complex. Mycologia 95: 434–441.
- Beer ZW de, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003b. The Ophiostoma piceae complex in the Southern Hemisphere: a phylogenetic study. Mycological Research 107: 469–476.
- Benade E, Wingfield MJ, Wyk PS van. 1995. Conidium development in the Hyalorhinocladiella anamorph of Ophiostoma ips. Mycologia 87: 298–303.
- Bommer M, Hutter M-L, Stilgenbauer S, Hoog GS de, Beer ZW de, Wellinghausen N. 2009. Fatal Ophiostoma piceae infection in a patient with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Journal of Medical Microbiology 58: 381–385.
- Brasier CM. 1990. China and the origins of Dutch elm disease: an appraisal. Plant Pathology 39: 5–16.
- Brasier CM. 1991. Ophiostoma novo-ulmi sp. nov., causative agent of current Dutch elm disease pandemics. Mycopathologia 115: 151–161.
- Brasier CM, Kirk SA. 1993. Sibling species within Ophiostoma piceae. Mycological Research 97: 811–816.
- Chung W-H, Kim J-J, Yamaoka Y, Uzunovic A, Masuya H, Breuil C. 2006. Ophiostoma breviusculum sp. nov. (Ophiostomatales, Ascomycota) is a new species in the Ophiostoma piceae complex associated with bark beetles infesting larch in Japan. Mycologia 98: 801–814.
- Crous PW, Gams W, Stalpers JA, Robert V, Stegehuis G. 2004. MycoBank: an online initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. Studies in Mycology 50: 19–22.
- Davidson RW. 1955. Wood-staining fungi associated with bark beetles in Engelman spruce in Colorado. Mycologia 47: 58–67.
- Davidson RW. 1958. Additional species of Ophiostomataceae from Colorado. Mycologia 50: 661–670.
- Davidson RW. 1966. New species of Ceratocystis from conifers. Mycopathologia 28: 273–286.
- Davidson RW, Francke-Grosmann H, Käärik A. 1967. A restudy of Ceratocystis penicillata and report of two American species of this genus from Europe. Mycologia 59: 928–932.

- Dudina VS. 1936. Dutch elm disease. Publishing of Central Quarantine Laboratory, Moscow.
- Dudina VS. 1938. Dutch elm disease. Selhozgiz, Moscow-Leningrad.
- Eslyn WE, Davidson RW. 1976. Some wood-staining fungi from pulpwood chips. Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden 28: 50–57.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783–791.
- Finnish Forest Industries Federation. 2010 (22.03.2010). The forest cluster a network of expertise and business activities. http://www.forestindustries. fi/infokortit/Forest%20cluster_basicinfo/Pages/default.aspx.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for Basidiomycetes – application to the identification of mycorrhiza and rusts. Molecular Ecology 2: 113–118.
- Gebhardt H, Weiss M, Oberwinkler F. 2005. Dryadomyces amasae: a nutritional fungus associated with ambrosia beetles of the genus Amasa (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae). Mycological Research 109: 687–696.
- Gibbs JN. 1978. Intercontinental epidemiology of Dutch elm disease. Annual Review of Phytopathology 16: 287–307.
- Glass NL, Donaldson GC. 1995. Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous Ascomycetes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61: 1323–1330.
- Goloboff PA, Farris JS, Nixon K. 2008. TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. Cladistics 24: 774–786.
- Gorton C, Kim SH, Henricot B, Webber J, Breuil C. 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of the bluestain fungus Ophiostoma minus based on partial ITS rDNA and β -tubulin gene sequences. Mycological Research 108: 759–765.
- Gorton C, Webber JF. 2000. Reevaluation of the status of the bluestain fungus and bark beetle associate Ophiostoma minus. Mycologia 92: 1071–1079.
- Grobbelaar J, Aghayeva D, Beer ZW de, Bloomer P, Wingfield M, Wingfield B. 2009. Delimitation of Ophiostoma quercus and its synonyms using multiple gene phylogenies. Mycological Progress 8: 221–236.
- Grobbelaar J, Beer ZW de, Bloomer P, Wingfield M, Wingfield B. 2010. Ophiostoma tsotsi sp. nov., a wound-infesting fungus of hardwood trees in Africa. Mycopathologia 169: 413–423.
- Harrington TC, McNew D, Steimel J, Hofstra D, Farrell R. 2001. Phylogeny and taxonomy of the Ophiostoma piceae-complex and the Dutch elm disease. Mycologia 93: 111–136.
- Hedgcock GG. 1906. Studies upon some chromogenic fungi which discolor wood. Missouri Botanical Garden Annual Report 17: 59–114.
- Hoog GS de. 1974. The genera Blastobotrys, Sporothrix, Calcarisporium and Calcarisporiella gen. nov. Studies in Mycology 7: 1–84.
- Hunt J. 1956. Taxonomy of the genus Ceratocystis. Lloydia 19: 1-58.
- Ivanchenko JI. 1957. The causes of oak wilt in the Lipetsky garden in the Saval'sky forest. Trudy Vsesojuzniy Institut Zashchity Rasteniy (Leningrad) 8: 221–225.
- Jacobs K, Kirisits T. 2003. Ophiostoma kryptum sp. nov. from Larix decidua and Picea abies in Europe, similar to O. minus. Mycological Research 107: 1231–1242.
- Jacobs K, Seifert KA, Harrison KJ, Kirisits T. 2003. Identity and phylogenetic relationships of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with invasive and native Tetropium species (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in Atlantic Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany 81: 316–329.
- Jankowiak R. 2005. Fungi associated with Ips typographus on Picea abies in southern Poland and their succession into the phloem and sapwood of beetle-infested trees and logs. Forest Pathology 35: 37–55.
- Käärik A. 1975. Succession of micro-organisms during wood decay. In: Liese
 W (ed), Biological transformation of wood by micro-organisms: 39–51.
 Proceedings of the Sessions of Wood Products Pathology, September 10–12, 1973, Minneapolis, USA.
- Käärik A. 1980. Fungi causing sap stain in wood. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Forest Products Report R 114, Sweden.
- Kamgan Nkuekam G, Solheim H, de Beer ZW, Grobbelaar JW, Jacobs K, Wingfield MJ, Roux J. 2010. Ophiostoma species, including Ophiostoma borealis sp. nov., infecting wounds of native broad-leaved trees in Norway. Cryptogamie Mycologie 31: 285–303.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Research 30: 3059–3066.
- Kim G-H, Kim JJ, Breuil C. 2007. Sap-staining fungi from logs and boards of two commercially important pines in Korea. Holzforschung 61: 333–336.
- Kim G-H, Kim JJ, Lim YW, Breuil C. 2005. Ophiostomatoid fungi isolated from Pinus radiata logs imported from New Zealand to Korea. Canadian Journal of Botany 83: 272–278.
- Kim JJ, Kim SH, Lee S, Breuil C. 2003. Distinguishing Ophiostoma ips and Ophiostoma montium, two bark beetle-associated sapstain fungi. FEMS Microbiology Letters 222: 187–192.

- Kirisits T. 2004. Fungal associates of European bark beetles with emphasis on the Ophiostomatoid fungi. In: Lieutier F, Day KR, Battisti A, Grégoire J-C, Evans H (eds), Bark and wood boring insects in living trees in Europe, a synthesis: 181–235. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Kryukova EA, Plotnikova TS. 1979a. Biological features of fungus from genus Ophiostoma – a vascular mycosis agent on oak in south-east of European part of RSFSR. Mikologia i Fitopatologia 13: 146–152.
- Kryukova EA, Plotnikova TS. 1979b. Vascular mycosis of oak in south-east of European part of RSFSR. Lesnoe Khozyaistvo 1: 69–73.
- Kryukova EA, Terehova NA. 1974. Proceedings of Seventh Conference of All-Union Entomological Society, 2, Leningrad.
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M. 2004. MEGA3: Integrated Software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and Sequence Alignment. Briefing in Bioinformatics 5: 150–163.
- Lagerberg T, Lundberg G, Melin E. 1927. Biological and practical researches into blueing in pine and spruce. Svenska Skogsvårdsföreningens Tidskrift 25: 145–272.
- Lieutier F, Yart A, Garcia J, Ham MC, Morelet M, Levieux J. 1989. Champignons phytopathogènes associès á deux colèoptères scolytidae du pin sylvastre (Pinus sylvestris L) et étude préliminaire de leur agressivité envers l'ôhote. Annales des Sciences Forestières 46: 201–216.
- Linnakoski R, Beer ZW de, Rousi M, Niemelä P, Pappinen A, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Fungi, including Ophiostoma karelicum sp. nov., associated with Scolytus ratzeburgi infesting birch in Finland and Russia. Mycological Research 112: 1475–1488.
- Linnakoski R, Beer ZW de, Rousi M, Solheim H, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Ophiostoma denticiliatum sp. nov. and other Ophiostoma species associated with the birch bark beetle in southern Norway. Persoonia 23: 9–15.
- Liubarski LV. 1937. Fungal diseases of forest trees in the Zeya and Rukhlovo districts of the Russian Far East. Review of Applied Mycology 16: 357–358.
- Lu M, Zhou XD, Beer ZW de, Wingfield MJ, Sun J-H. 2009. Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with the invasive pine-infesting bark beetle, Dendroctonus valens, in China. Fungal Diversity 38: 133–145.
- Malloch DW, Blackwell M. 1993. Dispersal biology of the Ophiostomatoid fungi. In: Wingfield MJ, Seifert KA, Webber J (eds), Ceratocystis and Ophiostoma: Taxonomy, ecology and pathogenicity: 195–206. APS Press, Minnesota.
- Marimon R, Cano J, Gene J, Sutton DA, Kawasaki M, Guarro J. 2007. Sporothrix brasiliensis, S. globosa, and S. mexicana, three new Sporothrix species of clinical interest. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 45: 3198–3206.
- Marmolejo JG, Butin H. 1990. New conifer-inhabiting species of Ophiostoma and Ceratocystiopsis (Ascomycetes, Microascales) from Mexico. Sydowia 42: 193–199.
- Massoumi Alamouti S, Kim J-J, Humble L, Uzunovic A, Breuil C. 2007. Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with the northern spruce engraver, lps perturbatus, in western Canada. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 91: 19–34.
- Masuya H, Kaneko S, Yamaoka Y, Osawa M. 1999. Comparisons of Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with Tomicus piniperda and T. minor in Japanese red pine. Journal of Forest Research 4: 131–135.
- Mathiesen A. 1950. Über einige mit Borkenkäfern assoziierte Bläuepilze in Schweden. Oikos 2: 275–308.
- Mathiesen A. 1951. Einige neue Ophiostoma-arten in Schweden. Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift 45: 203–232.
- Mathiesen-Käärik A. 1953. Eine übersicht uber die gewöhnlichsten mit Borkenkäfern assoziierten Bläupilze in Schweden und einige für Sweden neue Bläuepilze. Meddelanden Statens Skogsforskning institut Stockholm 43: 1–74.
- Meyer E. 1946. Stimulatory action of staining fungi on the development of house fungi. Review of Applied Mycology 26: 369–370.
- Meyer EM de, Beer ZW de, Summerbell RC, Moharram AM, Hoog GS de, Vismer HF, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Taxonomy and phylogeny of new wood- and soil-inhabiting Sporothrix species in the Ophiostoma stenoceras-Sporothrix schenckii complex. Mycologia 100: 647–661.
- Minkevich II. 1965. Role of the yellow spotted long horn beetle in the spreading of the infectious drying-up of oak propagation. Forestry Journal 2: 21–23.
- Morelet M. 1992. Ophiostoma querci sur chêne en France. Annales de la Societe des Sciences Naturelles et d'Archeologie de Toulon et du Var 44: 109–112.
- Münch E. 1907. De Blaufäule des Nadelholzes. I-II. Naturwissenschaftliche Zeitschrift für Forst- und Landwirtschaft 5: 531–573.
- Nkuekam GK, Jacobs K, Beer ZW de, Wingfield MJ, Roux J. 2008. Pesotum australi sp. nov. and Ophiostoma quercus associated with Acacia mearnsii trees in Australia and Uganda, respectively. Australasian Plant Pathology 37: 406–416.
- O'Donnel K, Cigelnik E. 1997. Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus Fusarium are nonorthologous. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 7: 103–116.

- Odgen TH, Rosenberg MS. 2007. How should gaps be treated in parsimony? A comparison of approaches using simulation. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 42: 817–826.
- Okada G, Seifert KA, Takematsu A, Yamaoka Y. 1998. A molecular phylogenetic reappraisal of the Graphium complex based on 18S rDNA sequences. Canadian Journal of Botany 76: 1495–1506.
- Olchowecki A, Reid J. 1974. Taxonomy of the genus Ceratocystis in Manitoba. Canadian Journal of Botany 52: 1675–1711.
- Pashenova NV, Polyakova GG, Shineleva EV. 2007. Blue stain fungi of Ophiostomataceae in coniferous forests of Central Siberia. In: XV Congress of European Mycologists, Saint Petersburg, Russia, September 16–21, 2007: 91. St. Petersburg. Treeart LLC.
- Pashenova NV, Vetrova VP, Aphanasova EN, Polyakova GG, Konstantinov MYu. 2004. Ophiostomatoid fungi in Middle Siberia. In: Proceedings of the IVth International Symposium of structure, properties and quality of wood: 443–446.
- Pashenova NV, Vetrova VP, Konstantinov MYu, Aphanasova EN. 2001. Ophiostomataceae fungi associated with Ips typographus in coniferous forests of Central Siberia. Lesovedenie 4: 11–19.
- Pashenova NV, Vetrova VP, Matrenina RM, Sorokina EN. 1995. Ophiostomataceae fungi in larch bark beetle galleries. Lesovedenie 6: 62–68.
- Potlajczuk VI. 1957. On the biology of the causal agent of oak wilt. Trudy Vsesouznogo Naucno-Issledovatel'skogo Instituta Zascity Rasteniy 8: 227– 237.
- Potlajczuk VI, Schekunova EG. 1985. The distribution of species from the genus Ceratocystis Ell. et Halst. emend. Bakshi in the USSR. Novosti Sistematiki nizsich rastienij. "Nauka" Akademia Nauk SSSR 22: 148–156.
- Rennerfelt E. 1950. Über den Zusammenhang Zwischen dem Verblauen des Holzes und den Insekten. Oikos 2: 120–137.
- Riddell RW. 1950. Permanent stained mycological preparations obtained by slide culture. Mycologia 42: 265–270.
- Rodigin MN. 1947. An account of house fungi in the Bashkir Autonomous Soviet Socialistic Republic. Review of Applied Mycology 26: 572.
- Roets F, Beer ZW de, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Dreyer LL. 2008. Ophiostoma gemellus and Sporothrix variecibatus from mites infesting Protea infructescences in South Africa. Mycologia 100: 496–510.
- Roll-Hansen F, Roll-Hansen H. 1980. Microorganisms which invade Picea abies in seasonal stem wounds. I. General aspects. Hymenomycetes. European Journal of Forest Pathology 10: 321–339.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572–1574.
- Savonmäki S. 1990. Tärkeimmät kaarnakuoriaisten mäntyyn ja kuuseen levittämät sinistäjäsienilajit. Masters Thesis, Department of Plant Pathology, University of Helsinki.
- Seifert KA. 1993. Sapstain of commercial lumber by species of Ophiostoma and Ceratocystis. In: Wingfield MJ, Seifert KA, Webber J (eds), Ceratocystis and Ophiostoma: Taxonomy, ecology and pathogenicity: 141–151. APS Press, Minnesota.
- Selochnik NN. 1998a. Oak tracheomycosis. Mycology and Phytopathology 4: 63–74.
- Selochnik NN. 1998b. New evidence on taxonomy of oak tracheomycosis pathogens (Ophiostoma/Ceratocystis complex). In: Modern problems of mycology, algology and phytopathology. Proceedings of the MSU Conference, 21–23 April 1998. Moscow, Russia.
- Sherbin-Parfenenko AL. 1953. Cancerous and vascular diseases of deciduous trees. Goslesbumizdat, Moscow-Leningrad.
- Siitonen J. 1990. Potential forest pest beetles conveyed to Finland on timber imported from the Soviet Union. Silva Fennica 3: 315–321.
- Six DL. 2003. Bark beetle-fungus symbiosis. In: Bourtzis K, Miller TA (eds), Insect symbiosis: 97–114. CRC Press, Washington, DC.
- Solheim H. 1986. Species of Ophiostomataceae isolated from Picea abies infested by bark beetle lps typographus. Nordic Journal of Botany 6: 199–207.
- Solheim H, Långström B. 1991. Blue-stain fungi associated with Tomicus piniperda in Sweden and preliminary observations on their pathogenicity. Annales des Sciences Forestières 48: 149–156.
- Stamakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. 2008. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web-servers. Systematic Biology 75: 758–771.
- Storozhenko VG, Krutov VI, Selochnik NN. 2000. Fungal communities in forest ecosystems: Materials of coordination investigations. Karelskiy nauchniy centr RAN, Moscow and Petrozavodsk.
- Thwaites JM, Farrell RL, Duncan SM, Reay SD, Blanchette RA, Hadar E, Hadar Y, Harrington TC, McNew D. 2005. Survey of potential sapstain fungi on Pinus radiata in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Botany 43: 653–663.
- Tkacz BM. 2002. Pest risks associated with importing wood to the United States. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 24: 111–116.

- Upadhyay HP, Kendrick WB. 1975. Prodromus for a revision of Ceratocystis (Microascales, Ascomycetes) and its conidial states. Mycologia 67: 798–805.
- Uzunovic A, Seifert KA, Kim SH, Breuil C. 2000. Ophiostoma setosum, a common sapwood staining fungus from western North America, a new species of the Ophiostoma piceae complex. Mycological Research 104: 486–494.
- Viiri H. 1997. Fungal associates of the spruce bark beetle lps typographus L. (Col. Scolytidae) in relation to different trapping methods. Journal of Applied Entomology 121: 529–533.
- Vorontsov AI. 1986. Current problems in forest pathology. Review of Plant Pathology 66: 420.
- Wegelius T. 1938. Sulphite wood decay and its influence on the manufacturing process and pulp yield. Finsk Papper- och Trävarutidskrift 15a: 125–126, 128–130.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds), PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications: 315–321. Academic Press, California.
- Wingfield MJ, Kendrick B, Wyk PS van. 1991. Analysis of conidium ontogeny in anamorphs of Ophiostoma: Pesotum and Phialographium are synonyms of Graphium. Mycological Research 95: 1328–1333.

- Wingfield MJ, Seifert KA, Webber JF (eds). 1993. Ceratocystis and Ophiostoma: Taxonomy, ecology and pathogenicity. APS Press, St Paul, MN.
- Yamaoka Y, Chung W-H, Masuya H, Hizai M. 2009. Constant association of ophiostomatoid fungi with the bark beetle lps subelongatus invading Japanese larch logs. Mycoscience 50: 165–172.
- Yamaoka Y, Wingfield MJ, Ohsawa M, Kuroda Y. 1998. Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with Ips cembrae in Japan and their pathogenicity of Japanese larch. Mycoscience 39: 367–378.
- Yamaoka Y, Wingfield MJ, Takahashi I, Solheim H. 1997. Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with the spruce bark beetle lps typographus f. japonicus in Japan. Mycological Research 101: 1215–1227.
- Zhao G, Chen X, Li D. 2005. [New record species of Ophiostoma in China.] In Chinese with English abstract. Forest Research 18: 726–729.
- Zhou X, Beer ZW de, Cibrian D, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, 2004. Characterisation of Ophiostoma species associated with pine bark beetles from Mexico, including O. pulvinisporum sp. nov. Mycological Research 108: 690–698.
- Zhou X, Beer ZW de, Wingfield MJ. 2006. DNA sequence comparisons of Ophiostoma spp., including Ophiostoma aurorae sp. nov., associated with pine bark beetles in South Africa. Studies in Mycology 55: 269–277.
- Zipfel RD, Beer ZW de, Jacobs K, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2006. Multigene phylogenies define Ceratocystiopsis and Grosmannia distinct from Ophiostoma. Studies in Mycology 55: 75–97.