



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Letter to the editor



Mental health outcomes after hospitalization with or without COVID-19

To the Editor:

Survivors of hospitalization for COVID-19 are at high risk for psychiatric morbidity. [1] Social isolation due to restrictive visitor policies and fears of contagion or stay-at-home orders could further increase psychiatric vulnerability and reduce the likelihood that patients would seek or receive treatment. [2]

We conducted a prospective cohort study to assess psychiatric symptoms in patients with and without COVID-19 after hospital discharge during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic (discharge dates 4/4/2020–6/16/2020). We also surveyed patients on their experiences with isolation and related psychological distress to determine how these related to psychiatric outcomes.

Patients were identified from a database developed for a statewide multi-institutional collaborative quality improvement initiative [3] and from the hospital's infection prevention database. Eligible patients were adults who were hospitalized with symptoms concerning for COVID-19 and underwent COVID-19 PCR testing. COVID-negative patients were pseudo-randomly sampled based on discharge time stamp in a 2:1 ratio of patients with vs. without COVID-19. Patients completed the survey via phone or electronically. This project received non-regulated status prior to data collection by the Institutional Review Board.

Survey questions included the Memory Impairment Screen-Telephone (MIS-T) [4], Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), [5] General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) [6], PTSD Checklist – 2 item version (PCL-2) [7], and 2 loneliness items from the UCLA Three-Item Loneliness Scale. [8] Questions about pre-existing psychiatric diagnoses, subjective mental health concerns, communication, and isolation-related psychological distress were included. Demographic and clinical data were obtained from the database and medical record. Full methods can be found in the **eMethods** and **eSurvey**.

Of 430 patients contacted, 178 (64% COVID-positive) completed the survey (**eFigure 1**). Responders were more likely to be White, require intensive care (ICU), have longer lengths of stay, and have higher Charlson comorbidity scores (**eTable 1**). Compared to COVID-negative patients, COVID-positive patients were more likely to be Black (45% vs. 13%, $P < 0.001$), have a longer length of stay (11 days vs. 5 days, $P < 0.001$), and be treated in an ICU (47% vs. 11%, $P < 0.001$). Fewer COVID-positive than COVID-negative patients reported pre-existing psychiatric diagnoses (21% vs. 39%, $P = 0.009$) (**eTable 2**).

Mental health symptom scores are reported in **Table 1**. COVID-positive patients had higher post-traumatic stress (PTS) scores (3.0 vs. 2.3, $P = 0.002$), more positive PTSD screens (25% vs 7%, $P = 0.003$), and higher loneliness scores (3.0 vs. 2.5, $P = 0.004$). After controlling for potential confounders (age, sex, Charlson comorbidity index, length of stay, and pre-existing psychiatric diagnosis), COVID-19 was independently associated with higher scores on the GAD-7, PCL-2, and loneliness scales (**Table 1**).

To understand whether patients recognized and sought care for mental health symptoms, we asked about subjective mental health concerns after discharge. More COVID-positive than COVID-negative patients self-reported mental health concerns (17% vs 2%, $P < 0.001$). Only 8 (37%; 7 COVID-positive) patients reporting mental health concerns received care for them, most (88%) via telehealth. While the majority of patients who reported mental health concerns (70%, 14/20) screened positive on the PHQ-9, GAD-7, or PCL-2, most patients who screened positive (68%, 30/44) did not report subjective concerns.

Most (80%) patients recalled at least daily communication with friends and family during hospitalization, without differences between COVID-positive and COVID-negative groups (**eTable 3**). More COVID-positive (65%) than COVID-negative (23%) patients reported receiving discharge instructions to self-isolate ($P < 0.001$), but the majority of all patients (66%) isolated themselves from friends or family for more than 7 days after discharge with no difference between COVID-positive and COVID-negative groups. This isolation was associated with higher scores on the GAD-7 (3.7 vs. 2.2, $P = 0.02$), PCL-2 (2.9 vs. 2.4, $P = 0.01$), and loneliness scale (2.9 vs. 2.5, $P = 0.005$) (**eTable 5**).

COVID-positive patients recalled higher levels of isolation-related psychological distress during hospitalization (**eFigure 2** and **eTable 4**) including more strong agreements with the statements, “I worried that I might not see my friends and family again” (33% vs 13%, $P = 0.002$), “I worried that I might not get to say goodbye to my friends and family” (32% vs 14%, $P = 0.01$), and “I was afraid to die alone” (29% vs 9%, $P = 0.003$). Patients who reported these fears had higher scores on the GAD-7 (4.7 vs. 2.6), PCL-2 (3.4 vs. 2.5, $P = 0.005$), and loneliness scales (3.1 vs. 2.6, $P = 0.01$; **eTable 5**).

In conclusion, patients in our study hospitalized with COVID-19 had higher levels of anxiety, PTS, and loneliness after discharge compared to those hospitalized without COVID-19, independent of illness severity or pre-existing medical and psychiatric conditions. In contrast, there were no differences in post-discharge memory or depression scores between COVID-positive and COVID-negative patients. Isolation after discharge and memories of inpatient isolation-related distress predicted more anxiety, PTS, and loneliness symptoms. Most patients who screened at-risk did not recognize these symptoms as mental health concerns, and those who recognized them rarely received follow-up care.

Our study has several limitations, including the baseline demographic and clinical differences between the COVID-positive and COVID-negative groups and the low survey response rate. Still, the findings suggest that survivors of COVID-19 should be screened for anxiety and PTSD symptoms after hospital discharge to improve identification of at-risk patients and direct them to further care. Measures designed to reduce isolation during illness and after discharge should be considered, as these may reduce psychiatric vulnerability.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhospsych.2021.07.004>

Received 10 June 2021; Received in revised form 9 July 2021; Accepted 13 July 2021

Available online 17 July 2021

0163-8343/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1
Mental health descriptive and multivariable outcomes 30–40 days after discharge.

	Descriptive results			Linear regressions	
	Overall Patients (N = 178)	COVID Positive Patients (N = 114)	COVID Negative Patients (Ref) (N = 64)	Coefficient Estimate (95% CI)	P-Value ^f
	Mean/N	Mean/N	Mean/N		
MIS-T^a, mean	7.0 ± 1.4	7.0 ± 1.3	6.9 ± 1.5	0.2 (−0.2 to 0.7)	0.34
Positive screen for memory impairment	14 (9.0%)	6 (6.0%)	8 (14.3%)		
PHQ-9^b, mean	3.8 ± 4.8	3.4 ± 4.3	4.4 ± 5.4	0.6 (−0.9 to 2.2)	0.42
Positive screen for depression	17 (9.6%)	9 (8.7%)	8 (13.3%)		
GAD-7^c, mean	3.3 ± 4.8	3.5 ± 5.0	2.8 ± 4.4	1.8 (0.2 to 3.4)	0.03
Positive screen for anxiety	17 (10.2%)	12 (11.3%)	5 (8.2%)		
PCL-2^d, mean	2.8 ± 1.6	3.0 ± 1.8	2.3 ± 1.0	0.7 (0.2 to 1.3)	0.01
Positive screen for PTSD	31 (18.1%)	27 (24.6%)	4 (6.6%)		
Loneliness Score^e, mean	2.8 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 0.8	0.6 (0.2 to 1.0)	0.002

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; GAD-7: General Anxiety Disorder-7; MIS-T: Memory Impairment Screen-Telephone; PCL-2: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist-2; PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9; PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; Ref = reference.

Note: Answers obtained via survey 30–40 days after hospitalization. Bivariate comparisons between COVID-positive and COVID-negative groups with $P < 0.05$ considered significant.

^a Scores range 0–8, with higher score indicating better performance (Score ≤ 4 indicates potential memory impairment);

^b Scores range 0–27, with higher scores indicating more depressive symptoms (Score ≥ 10 indicates potential depression);

^c Scores range 0–21, with higher scores indicating more anxiety symptoms (Score ≥ 10 indicates potential anxiety);

^d Scores range 0–8, with higher scores indicating more post-traumatic stress symptoms (Score ≥ 4 indicates potential PTSD);

^e Scores range 2–6, with higher scores indicating more loneliness symptoms;

^f P-values for linear regressions are presented where applicable.

Funding statement

This work was supported in part by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan and Blue Care Network, as part of their Value Partnerships program. The researchers are supported by grants from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (K08-HS26530-01 to V.V.) and National Institute of Mental Health (K08-MH116267 to J.S.S. and K08-MH118478 to A.S.). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Amanda Valyko, MPH, Director of Infection Prevention and Epidemiology at Michigan Medicine, and Liz Vasher, BSN, RN, with the Michigan Hospital Medicine Safety Consortium, for their assistance identifying patients for inclusion.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2021.07.004>.

References

- [1] Zubair AS, McAlpine LS, Gardin T, Farhadian S, Kuruvilla DE, Spudich S. Neuro-pathogenesis and neurologic manifestations of the coronaviruses in the age of coronavirus disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2019;2020:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.2065>.
- [2] McGinty EE, Presskreischer R, Han H, Barry CL. Psychological distress and loneliness reported by US adults in 2018 and April 2020. *Jama* 2020;324:93–4. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9740>.
- [3] Vaughn VM, Gandhi T, Petty LA, Patel PK, Prescott HC, Malani AN, et al. Empiric antibacterial therapy and community-onset bacterial co-infection in patients hospitalized with COVID-19: a multi-hospital cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1239>.

- [4] Lipton RB, Katz MJ, Kuslansky G, Sliwinski MJ, Stewart WF, Verghese J, et al. Screening for dementia by telephone using the memory impairment screen. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:1382–90. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51455.x>.
- [5] Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:606–13. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x>.
- [6] Plummer F, Manea L, Trepel D, McMillan D. Screening for anxiety disorders with the GAD-7 and GAD-2: a systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2016;39:24–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2015.11.005>.
- [7] Lang AJ, Stein MB. An abbreviated PTSD checklist for use as a screening instrument in primary care. *Behav Res Ther* 2005;43:585–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2004.04.005>.
- [8] Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two population-based studies. *Res Aging* 2004;26:655–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027504268574>.

Joanna L. Spencer-Segal^{a,b,*}, Christopher A. Smith^c, Alana Slavin^d, Leilani Sampang^d, Domenic DiGiovine^d, Andrea E. Spencer^{e,f}, Qisu Zhang^c, Jennifer Horowitz^c, Valerie M. Vaughn^{g,c}

^a Internal Medicine, Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology, and Diabetes, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America

^b Michigan Neuroscience Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America

^c Internal Medicine, Division of Hospital Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America

^d University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America

^e Department of Psychiatry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States of America

^f Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA, United States of America

^g Internal Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Utah Medical School, Salt Lake City, UT, United States of America

* Corresponding author at: 205 Zina Pitcher Place, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States of America.

E-mail address: sjoanna@med.umich.edu (J.L. Spencer-Segal).