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#### Abstract

The diversity of fusaria in symptomatic Citrus trees in Greece, Italy and Spain was evaluated using morphological and molecular multi-locus analyses based on fragments of the calmodulin (CAM), intergenic spacer region of the rDNA (IGS), internal transcribed spacer region of the rDNA (ITS), large subunit of the rDNA (LSU), RNA polymerase largest subunit (RPB1), RNA polymerase second largest subunit (RPB2), translation elongation factor 1-alpha ( $E F-1 \alpha$ ) and beta-tubulin (TUB) genes. A total of 11 species (six Fusarium spp., and five Neocosmospora spp.) were isolated from dry root rot, crown, trunk or twig canker or twig dieback of citrus trees. The most commonly isolated species were Fusarium sarcochroum, F. oxysporum and Neocosmospora solani. Three new Fusarium species are described, i.e., F. citricola and F. salinense belonging to the newly described F. citricola species complex; and $F$. siculi belonging to the F. fujikuroi species complex. Results of pathogenicity tests showed this new complex to include prominent canker causing agents affecting several Citrus spp. In addition, two new species are described in Neocosmospora, named N. croci and N. macrospora, the latter species being clearly differentiated from most members of this genus by producing large, up to nine-septate sporodochial conidia.
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## INTRODUCTION

Fusarium (Hypocreales, Nectriaceae) is one of the most renowned genera in kingdom Fungi. It includes in its broad sense, a large number of morphologically and phylogenetically diverse fungi, commonly found as air-, soil- or water-borne saprobic organisms, and also found either in dead or living plant material as endophytes or epiphytes (Leslie \& Summerell 2006, 2011, Aoki et al. 2014). Many Fusarium spp. are also important plant pathogens or secondary invaders with worldwide distribution, while numerous species are significant mycotoxigenic species or agents of devastating human and animal diseases, often isolated from immunocompromised hosts (O'Donnell et al. 2010, 2016, Aoki et al. 2014, Van Diepeningen et al. 2014).
First described by Link (1809) and typified by Fusarium roseum (presently F. sambucinum nom. cons.) (Gams et al. 1997), the generic and species concepts in Fusarium have endured significant changes since the cornerstone phenotypically-based taxonomic treatments that grouped species into sections, morphological varieties or forms and later in formae speciales based on pathogenicity and host ranges (Wollenweber \& Reinking 1935, Snyder \& Hansen 1940, Toussoun \& Nelson 1976, Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Nelson et al. 1983, Burgess et al. 1988); and the following redistribution of species into complexes after the introduction of modern molecular tools (O'Donnell et al. 2000, 2013, Geiser et al. 2013, Aoki et al. 2014). Currently, more than 1400 Fusarium names are listed in the Index Fungorum and MycoBank databases.

[^0]Gräfenhan et al. (2011) and Schroers et al. (2011) provided compelling phylogenetic evidence indicating that the traditional mor-phology-based concept of Fusarium is polyphyletic, suggesting the splicing of the genus into several linages, many of them linked to known distinct sexual-morphs. Contrary arguments were presented by Geiser et al. (2013), arguing for a wider definition of the genus in order to conserve the long standing use of Fusarium avoiding the exclusion of many agriculturally and medically relevant species, especially those in the Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC). More recently, Lombard et al. (2015) revised the generic limits of the Nectriaceae based on a 10-gene phylogenetic approach combined with morphological observations; as a result Fusarium was confined to species producing a Gibberella sexual morph (perithecial ascomata, white, yellow, orange to dark purple-black coloured with warty superficial peridium cells, forming (0-)1-3-septate, smooth, ellipsoidal ascospores) and in this new circumscription it includes at least 16 species complexes and numerous monotypic lineages (O'Donnell et al. 2013). Neocosmospora now includes one the most recognised groups of plant, human and animal pathogens previously assigned to the Fusarium solani species complex, characterised by forming yellow, orange or red-brown coloured perithecial sexual-morphs, with smooth to coarsely warted, large and angular superficial peridial cells, producing aseptate or 1-septate, globose to ellipsoidal, finely striate ascospores. Lastly, two new genera were proposed, Bisifusarium which encompasses asexual species previously included in the Fusarium dimerum species complex, including species associated with fruit rot and roots of Citrus spp. as well as clinically relevant fungi (Schroers et al. 2009), morphologically characterised by the lack of microconidia, a rather slow growth, forming slimy colonies on artificial media, and the production of short fusarium-like $0-1(-2)$-septate macroconidia, while no sexual-morph has ever been described (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Leslie \& Summerell 2006, Schroers et al. 2009), and Rectifusarium to include species previously allocated to the Fusarium ventricosum species complex, characterised by the
absence of sporodochia and the production of wedge-shaped macroconidia, terminal chlamydospores and dark-red, smoothwalled perithecia, forming 1-septate and verrucose ascospores (Wollenweber 1913, Booth 1971).
Fusarium was recently included in the top 10 globally most important genera of plant pathogenic fungi, based on perceived scientific and economic importance, in particular because of the F. graminearum (FGSC) and F. oxysporum (FOSC) phylogenetic species complexes (Dean et al. 2012). Further impactful fusaria include Fusarium subglutinans and F. verticillioides as well as Neocosmospora (Fusarium) solani s.str., and other members of the Neocosmospora solani species complex (FSSC) (Zhang et al. 2006).
Citrus is one of the most important fruit crops worldwide, second only to apple (FAO 2016). European countries, especially Italy and Spain, are among the largest producers and exporters worldwide (FAO 2016). Fusarium species are commonly found in soils and plants of citrus, in both orchard and nursery environments, and have been reported to be associated with major diseases of citrus plants (Menge 1988, Derrick \& Timmer 2000), connected to several symptoms, such as dry root rot, root rot, feeder root rot, wilt, twig dieback and citrus decline (Menge 1988, Spina et al. 2008). Neocosmospora (Fusarium) solani s.lat. is the causal organism of a disease named dry root rot of citrus. The association between stressed plants and $N$. solani can be destructive causing a sudden decline when the plant is weakened by factors such as root girdling or injuries, association with Phytophthora rot, grafting incompatibility, poor drainage, poor soil aeration, excess fertilizer or soil pH alteration (Menge 1988, Polizzi et al. 1992). Members of FOSC are associated with Fusarium wilt of various citrus hosts (Timmer et al. 1979, Timmer 1982). Chlorosis and epinasty of young leaves, wilt, leaf abscission and young twig dieback are the first symptoms of this vascular disease. Often gum exudation and vascular discoloration are observed on affected twigs (Timmer et al. 1979, Timmer 1982). Fusarium equiseti has been isolated from citrus roots in Florida (Smith et al. 1988), while F. proliferatum, F. sambucinum and Neocosmospora (Fusarium) solani were isolated from roots in citrus orchards in Greece (Malikoutsaki-Mathioudi et al. 1987). Moreover, F. oxysporum f. sp. citri was recently found causing wilt on citrus in Tunisia (Hannachi et al. 2014).
By contrast, positive ecological interactions between fusaria and Citrus spp. have been recorded for species formerly included in Fusarium, i.e., Microcera coccophila (Syn Fusarium coccophilum) and Microcera larvarum (Syn Fusarium larvarum), successfully employed as biocontrol agents against citrus fruit attacking armoured scales (McCoy et al. 2009, Dao et al. 2015, Moore \& Duncan 2016).
While Fusarium taxonomy is actively changing, with numerous species being described each year mostly based in molecular phylogenetic approaches, just a handful of studies deal with the distribution of Fusarium spp. in Citrus, and there is scant data for the Mediterranean basin. During a recent survey to identify fungal pathogens associated with Citrus in Europe, several fusarium-like isolates were obtained from diverse symptomatic tissues. This study was conducted in order to fully characterise these isolates using morphological and molecular characters. Furthermore, many papers discuss the dilemma to reproduce Fusarium diseases of citrus via artificial inoculations because of an uncertain interaction with biotic and abiotic factors (Graham et al. 1985, Dandurand \& Menge 1993). In the present study, we thus only tested those Fusarium spp. isolated from twig and trunk canker disease symptoms, to determine their ability to induce those same disease symptoms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sampling

During 2015 and 2016 surveys were performed in important citrus-producing regions of Europe. Twigs, trunks and crown sections were collected from plants showing cankers, dry root rot, wilt and decline.
Fragments ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of symptomatic tissues were cut from the leading edges of lesions, surface-sterilised in a sodium hypochlorite solution (10 \%) for 20 s , followed by $70 \%$ ethanol for 30 s , and rinsed three times in sterilised water. Tissue fragments were dried in sterilised filter paper, placed on $2 \%$ potato dextrose agar (PDA) amended with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (PDA-PS) and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until characteristic Fusarium colonies were observed, after which pure cultures were obtained by transferring single conidia to fresh PDA.

## Fungal isolates

A total of 39 fusarium-like isolates were obtained from symptomatic tissues of living Citrus spp. (Table 1).

## Morphological characterisation

All isolates were characterised based on their cultural and morphological characteristics following protocols described by Aoki et al. $(2003,2005)$. Colony morphology, pigmentation, odour and growth rates were evaluated at 3, 4 and 7 d on PDA and oatmeal agar (OA) (recipes in Crous et al. 2009) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $12 / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ cool fluorescent light/dark cycle, while colony colours were rated according to Rayner (1970). Mycelial growth rates were evaluated according to protocols described elsewhere (Aoki et al. 2013), with some modifications; briefly, cultures were prepared on PDA and OA by transferring agar blocks of approximately $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ from cultures on SNA. These cultures were incubated in the dark at temperatures ranging from $6-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ intervals and growth rates were recorded after 1, 4 and 7 d . Radial mycelial growth rates were calculated as mean values per day by measuring the difference in colony size in 16 directions around the colony, all measurements were made in duplicate. Morphological observations included the presence and characteristics of sporodochia, sporodochial and microconidial size, shape and degree of septation; disposition of the microconidia; conidiophore length and branching patterns, nature of the conidiogenous cells and presence or absence of chlamydospores using synthetic nutrient poor agar (SNA; Nirenberg 1976) with and without sterilised pieces of carnation leaves (Snyder \& Hansen 1947, Fisher et al. 1982), incubated at room temperature (approximately $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Leslie \& Summerell 2006), using the same photoperiod described above. Micromorphological characteristics were examined and photo-documented using water as mounting medium on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope with Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) optics and a Nikon AZ100 stereomicroscope, both equipped with a Nikon DS-Ri2 high definition colour digital cameras. Photographs and measurements were taken using the Nikon software NIS-elements D software v. 4.50. The length and width of at least 30 conidiogenous cells and 50 conidia were measured, and the mean values, SD plus maximum-minimum values were calculated. To facilitate the comparison of relevant morphological features of the micro- and macroconidia, composite photo plates were assembled from separate photographs using PhotoShop CS5.1.
Table 1 Isolates form Citrus included in this study.

| Species name ${ }^{1}$ | Strain number ${ }^{2}$ | Country and region | Source | Associated symptoms | GenBank accession number ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | CAM | EF-1a | IGS | ITS | LSU | RPB1 | RPB2 | TUB |
| F. citricola | CPC 27067 | Italy, Cosenza | Citrus limon | Twigs canker |  | LT746194 |  | LT746242 | LT746242 | LT746287 | LT746307 |  |
|  | CPC 27069 | Italy, Vibo Valentia | Citrus sinensis | Twigs canker |  | LT746195 |  | LT746243 | LT746243 | LT746288 | LT746308 |  |
|  | CPC 27709 | Italy, Taranto | Citrus sinensis | Trunk canker |  | LT746196 |  | LT746244 | LT746244 | LT746289 | LT746309 |  |
|  | CPC $27805=$ CBS 142421 ${ }^{\top}$ | Italy, Cosenza | Citrus reticulata | Crown canker |  | LT746197 |  | LT746245 | LT746245 | LT746290 | LT746310 |  |
|  | CPC 27813 | Italy, Cosenza | Citrus reticulata | Crown canker |  | LT746198 |  | LT746246 | LT746246 | LT746291 | LT746311 |  |
| F. ensiforme | CPC 27190 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746199 |  | LT746247 | LT746247 |  | LT746312 |  |
|  | CPC 27191 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746200 |  | LT746248 | LT746248 |  | LT746313 |  |
| F. oxysporum | CPC 27194 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746201 | LT746233 | LT746249 | LT746249 |  | LT746314 |  |
|  | CPC 27196 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746202 | LT746234 | LT746250 | LT746250 |  | LT746315 |  |
|  | CPC 27700 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746203 | LT746235 | LT746251 | LT746251 |  | LT746316 |  |
|  | CPC 27701 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746204 | LT746236 | LT746252 | LT746252 |  | LT746317 |  |
|  | CPC 27702 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746205 | LT746237 | LT746253 | LT746253 |  | LT746318 |  |
|  | CPC 28190 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746206 | LT746238 | LT746254 | LT746254 |  | LT746319 |  |
| F. salinense | CPC 26403 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Twigs canker |  | LT746191 |  | LT746239 | LT746239 | LT746284 | LT746304 |  |
|  | CPC 26457 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Twigs canker |  | LT746192 |  | LT746240 | LT746240 | LT746285 | LT746305 |  |
|  | CPC $26973=$ CBS 142420 ${ }^{\top}$ | Italy, Leni, Messina | Citrus sinensis | Twigs canker |  | LT746193 |  | LT746241 | LT746241 | LT746286 | LT746306 |  |
| F. sarcochroum | CPC 26369 | Italy, Catania | Citrus limon | Twigs dieback |  | LT746207 |  | LT746255 | LT746255 | LT746292 | LT746320 |  |
|  | CPC 26370 | Italy, Catania | Citrus limon | Twigs dieback |  | LT746208 |  | LT746256 | LT746256 | LT746293 | LT746321 |  |
|  | CPC 26851 | Greece, Missolonghi | Citrus reticulata | Trunk canker |  | LT746209 |  | LT746257 | LT746257 | LT746294 | LT746322 |  |
|  | CPC 27921 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Trunk canker |  | LT746210 |  | LT746258 | LT746258 | LT746295 | LT746323 |  |
|  | CPC 28075 | Spain, Alginet | Citrus reticulata | Twigs dieback |  | LT746211 |  | LT746259 | LT746259 | LT746296 | LT746324 |  |
|  | CPC 28116 | Spain, Algemesi | Citrus reticulata | Twigs dieback |  | LT746212 |  | LT746260 | LT746260 | LT746297 | LT746325 |  |
|  | CPC 28118 | Spain, Castellò | Citrus limon | Twigs dieback |  | LT746213 |  | LT746261 | LT746261 | LT746298 | LT746326 |  |
| F. siculi | CPC $27188=$ CBS 142422 ${ }^{\top}$ | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot | LT746189 | LT746214 |  | LT746262 | LT746262 | LT746299 | LT746327 | LT746346 |
|  | CPC 27189 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot | LT746190 | LT746215 |  | LT746263 | LT746263 | LT746300 | LT746328 | LT746347 |
| N. croci | CPC $27186=$ CBS $142423{ }^{\top}$ | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746216 |  | LT746264 | LT746264 |  | LT746329 |  |
|  | CPC 27187 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746217 |  | LT746265 | LT746265 |  | LT746330 |  |
| N. macrospora | CPC $28191=$ CBS 142424 ${ }^{\top}$ | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746218 |  | LT746266 | LT746281 |  | LT746331 |  |
|  | CPC 28192 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746219 |  | LT746267 | LT746282 |  | LT746332 |  |
|  | CPC 28193 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746220 |  | LT746268 | LT746283 |  | LT746333 |  |
| N. solani | CPC 27192 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746221 |  | LT746269 | LT746269 |  | LT746334 |  |
|  | CPC 27193 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746222 |  | LT746270 | LT746270 |  | LT746335 |  |
|  | CPC 27198 | Italy, Catania | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746223 |  | LT746271 | LT746271 |  | LT746336 |  |
|  | CPC 27199 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746224 |  | LT746272 | LT746272 |  | LT746337 |  |
|  | CPC 27200 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746225 |  | LT746273 | LT746273 |  | LT746338 |  |
|  | CPC 28189 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746226 |  | LT746274 | LT746274 |  | LT746339 |  |
| Neocosmospora sp. FSSC 9 | CPC 27195 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746227 |  | LT746275 | LT746275 |  | LT746340 |  |
| Neocosmospora sp. FSSC 28 | CPC 28194 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746228 |  | LT746276 | LT746276 |  | LT746341 |  |
|  | CPC 28195 | Italy, Siracusa | Citrus sinensis | Dry root rot |  | LT746229 |  | LT746277 | LT746277 |  | LT746342 |  |

[^1]Table 2 Origin, culture and sequence GenBank accession numbers of strains used for phylogenetic analyses.

| Species name ${ }^{1}$ | Strain number ${ }^{2}$ | Country and source | GenBank accession number ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CAM | EF-1a | ITS | LSU | RPB1 | RPB2 | TUB |
| F. acuminatum | NRRL 36147 = CBS 109232 | Unknown, human bronchial secretion | - | GQ505420 | GQ505452 | GQ505452 | HM347174 | GQ505484 | - |
|  | NRRL 52789 | Taiwan, eggplant soil | - | JF740857 | JF740933 | JF740933 | JF741010 | JF741183 | - |
|  | NRRL 54210 | Unknown | - | HM068308 | HM068318 | HM068318 | - | HM068328 | - |
| F. agapanthi | NRRL 54463 ${ }^{\top}$ | Australia, Agapanthus sp. | KU900611 | KU900630 | - | - | KU900620 | KU900625 | KU900635 |
| F. ananatum | NRRL 22945 = CBS 184.29 | England, Ananas comosus | - | KR071762 | U34562 | - | JX171505 | - | - |
|  | NRRL 53131 | Italy, human | - | HM347128 | - | - | HM347198 | HM347213 | - |
| F. andiyazi | NRRL 31727 ${ }^{\text { }}$ C CBS 119857 | South Africa, Sorghum bicolor soil debris | - | KR071718 | KR071651 | - | - | KT154004 | KP662894 |
| F. anguioides | NRRL $25385{ }^{\text {NT }}=$ ATCC 66485 | China, soil in bamboo grove | - | - | - | - | - | JX171624 | - |
| F. anthophilum | NRRL $13602=$ CBS 737.97 | Germany, Hippeastrum sp. | - | AF160292 | - | - | - | - | U61541 |
|  | NRRL 25214 | Germany, Hippeastrum sp. | KU171416 | KF466414 | - | - | KU171676 | KU171696 | KF466436 |
| F. armeniacum | NRRL 6227 = ATCC 36781 | USA, fescue hay | - | - | - | - | - | JX171560 | - |
| F. asiaticum | NRRL 13818 = CBS 110257 | Japan, barley | - | - | - | - | - | JX171573 | - |
| F. avenaceum | FRC R-09495 | USA, Lisianthus sp. | - | GQ915502 | - | - | - | GQ915486 | - |
|  | NRRL 25128 | Poland, Hymenoptera ichneumonidae | - | JF740751 | JF740894 | JF740894 | JF740962 | JF741079 | - |
|  | NRRL 25129 | Poland, Hymenoptera ichneumonidae | - | JF740752 | JF740895 | JF740895 | - | JF741080 | - |
|  | NRRL 25130 | USA, egg mass from Lymantria dispar | - | JF740753 | JF740896 | JF740896 | - | JF741081 | - |
|  | NRRL 54939 | Finland, barley | - | - | - | - | JX171551 | JX171663 | - |
| F. babinda | NRRL 25539 = CBS 396.96 | Australia, rainforest soil | - | - | - | - | - | KU171698 | - |
| F. begoniae | NRRL $25300{ }^{\text { }}=$ CBS 403.97 | Germany, Begonia elatior hybrid plant | - | AF160293 | - | - | - | - | U61543 |
| F. beomiforme | NRRL 25174 = CBS 740.97 | New Caledonia, soil | - | - | - | - | - | JX171619 | - |
| F. brasiliense | NRRL 22743 | Brazil, Glycine max | - | EF408407 | FJ919502 | FJ919502 | - | EU329525 | - |
| F. buharicum | NRRL 13371 = CBS 796.70 | Iran, Hibiscus cannabinus | - | - | - | - | JX171449 | JX171563 | - |
| F. bulbicola | NRRL $13618^{\top}=$ CBS 220.76 | Germany, Nerine bowdenii | KF466327 | AF160294 | U61676 | - | KF466394 | KF466404 | KF466437 |
| F. burgessii | CBS 125537T = RBG 5315 | Australia, soil | - | - | - | - | - | HQ646393 | - |
| F. circinatum | NRRL $25331{ }^{\top}=$ CBS 405.97 | USA, Monterrey pine tree | AF158348 | AF160295 | NR120263 | - | JX171510 | JX171623 | KM232080 |
| F. coicis | NRRL 66233 ${ }^{\top}$ | Australia, Coix gasteenii | - | - | - | - | - | KP083274 | - |
| F. concentricum | NRRL $25181{ }^{\top}=$ CBS 450.97 | Costa Rica, Musa sapientum | - | AF160282 | NR111886 | - | - | - | U61548 |
| F. concolor | NRRL 13459 ${ }^{\text { }}$ C CBS 961.87 | South Africa, plant debris | - | - | - | - | - | JX171569 | - |
| F. culmorum | NRRL 25475 = CBS 417.86 | Denmark, barley kernel | - | - | - | - | - | JX171628 | - |
| F. cuneirostrum | NRRL 31104 | Japan, Phaseolus vulgaris | - | EF408413 | FJ919509 | FJ919509 | - | EU329558 | - |
| F. denticulatum | NRRL 25302 = CBS 735.97 | USA, Ipomoea batatas | - | AF160269 | - | - | - | - | U61550 |
| F. dlaminii | NRRL 43665 | USA, contact lens | - | - | - | - | - | EF470035 | - |
| F. ensiforme | NRRL 28009 = CDC B-5543 | USA, human eye | - | DQ246869 | DQ094351 | DQ236393 | - | EF470136 | - |
|  | NRRL 32792 | Japan, human | - | DQ247101 | DQ094561 | DQ236603 | - | EU329621 | - |
| F. equiseti | NRRL 20697 = CBS 245.61 | Chile, Beta vulgaris | - | GQ505594 | GQ505683 | GQ505683 | JX171481 | JX171595 | - |
| F. euwallaceae | NRRL 54723 = CBS 135855 | Israel, beetle from avocado tree | - | JQ038008 | JQ038015 | JQ038015 | - | JQ038029 | - |
|  | NRRL 54724 = CBS 135856 | Israel, beetle from avocado tree | - | JQ038009 | JQ038016 | JQ038016 | - | JQ038030 | - |
| F. flocciferum | NRRL 25473 = CBS 831.85 | Germany, Triticum aestivum | - | - | - | - | JX171514 | JX171627 | - |
|  | NRRL 45999 = UTHSC 06-3449 | USA, human scalp | - | GQ505433 | GQ505465 | GQ505465 | HM347195 | GQ505497 | - |
| F. fractiflexum | NRRL $28852^{\top}$ | Japan, Cymbidium sp. | AF158341 | AF160288 | AF158304 | - | - | - | - |
| F. fujikuroi | NRRL 13566 = ATCC 38941 | China, Oryza sativa | - | AF160279 | U34557 | - | JX171456 | JX171570 | - |
| F. gaditjirri | NRRL 45417 = FRC M-8754 | Australia, Heteropogon triticeus | - | - | - | - | - | KU171704 | - |
| F. globosum | CBS 429.97 = NRRL 26132 | South Africa, Zea mays seed | - | LT746230 | LT746278 | - | LT746301 | LT746343 | LT746348 |
|  | CBS 430.97 = NRRL 26133 | South Africa, Zea mays seed | - | LT746231 | LT746279 | - | LT746302 | LT746344 | LT746349 |
|  | CBS 431.97 = NRRL 26134 | South Africa, Zea mays seed | - | LT746232 | LT746280 | - | LT746303 | LT746345 | LT746350 |
|  | NRRL $26131^{\top}=$ CBS 428.97 | South Africa, corn seed | KF466329 | AF160285 | - | - | KF466396 | KF466406 | KF466439 |
| F. graminearum | NRRL 31084 = CBS 123657 | USA, corn | - | - | - | - | - | JX171644 | - |
| F. heterosporum | NRRL 20692 = CBS 737.79 | Ethiopia, Cynodon dactylon | - | - | - | - | JX171479 | JX171593 | - |
|  | NRRL 20693 = CBS 720.79 | Netherlands, Claviceps purpurea on Lolium perenne | - | - | - | - | JX171480 | JX171594 | - |
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Papua New Guinea, coffee twig , coffee Unknown, coffee
Philippines, soil
Brazil, dry coffee berry
Brazil, coffee seed

Australia, Sorghum interjectum India, Mangifera indica
Mexico, mango inflorescence Namibia, Pennisetum typhoides
Australia, soil
Japan, Phyllostachys nigra var. henonis Australia, soin Australia, necrotic sorghum root New Zealand, human Brazil, soybean root
Brazi, soybean roourbit
New Zealand, cucurbit
Italy, Dracaena deremensis
New Zealand, Hoheria glabrata Unknown
Germany, Cymbidium sp. Ghana, Solanum sp. Nigeria, Pennisera
 ndia, Saccharum officinarum

NRRL 47473 ( 748.9



 NRRL $13448{ }^{\top}=$ CBS 749.97
NRRL $22902=$ IMI 375335



ת

NRRL $43812=$ CDC 2006743705


NRRL 22944 = CBS 217.76




F. hostae
F. inflexum
F. keratoplasticum

F. konzum
F. lacertarum
F. lactis
F. lateritium
poae $\qquad$ F. pseudocircinatum . ramigenum
redolens
Table 2 (cont.)

| Species name ${ }^{1}$ | Strain number ${ }^{2}$ | Country and source | GenBank accession number ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CAM | EF-1a | ITS | LSU | RPB1 | RPB2 | TUB |
| F. sambucinum | NRRL $22187=$ NRRL 20727 | England, potato | - | - | - | - | - | JX171606 | - |
| F. sarcochroum | NRRL 20472 = CBS 745.79 | Switzerland, Viscum album | - | - | - | - | JX171472 | JX171586 | - |
| F. scirpi | NRRL 13402 | Australia, pine nursery soil | - | GQ505592 | GQ505681 | GQ505681 | JX171452 | JX171566 | - |
| Fusarium sp. | F201237 | China, Zanthoxylum bungeanum | - | KM527105 | - | - | - | KM520371 | - |
|  | NRRL 13444 | Australia, corn soil | - | GQ505403 | GQ505435 | GQ505435 | JX171454 | GQ505467 | - |
|  | NRRL 25533 | USA, Lymantria dispar | - | - | - | - | - | JX171631 | - |
|  | NRRL 26417 = CBS 544.96 | Cuba, plant leaf litter | - | - | - | - | - | GQ505776 | - |
|  | NRRL 26756 | South Africa, ornamental grass | - | AF160307 | AF158310 | - | - | - | AF160322 |
|  | NRRL 28578 = CBS 615.87 | Cuba, Colocasia esculenta | - | GQ505405 | GQ505437 | GQ505437 | JX171526 | GQ505469 | - |
|  | NRRL 32175 | Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | JX171645 | - |
|  | NRRL 34036 = UTHSC 01-1965 | USA, human ethmoid sinus | - | - | - | - | HM347173 | GQ505483 | - |
|  | NRRL 52714 | Turkey, Eurygaster sp. | - | JF740796 | JF740911 | JF740911 | JF740977 | JF741122 | - |
|  | NRRL 52720 | Turkey, Eurygaster sp. | - | JF740802 | JF740914 | JF740914 | - | JF741128 | - |
|  | NRRL 52722 | Turkey, Eurygaster sp. | - | JF740804 | JF740915 | JF740915 | JF740980 | JF741130 | - |
|  | NRRL 52727 | Turkey, unknown | - | JF740807 | JF740917 | JF740917 | JF740982 | JF741133 | - |
|  | NRRL 52730 | Turkey, unknown | - | JF740809 | JF740918 | JF740918 | JF740984 | JF741135 | - |
|  | NRRL 52933 | Turkey, unknown | - | JF740875 | JF740937 | JF740937 | JF741019 | JF741200 | - |
| F. sterilihyphosum | NRRL $25623^{\top}$ | South Africa, mango | AF158353 | AF160300 | F158305 | - | - | - | - |
| F. stilboides | NRRL 20429 = ATCC 15662 | Nyasaland, coffee bark | - | - | - | - | JX171468 | JX171582 | - |
| F. striatum | NRRL 22101 | Panama, cotton cloth | - | AF178333 | AF178398 | AF178367 | - | EU329490 | - |
| F. subglutinans | NRRL $22016{ }^{\top}=$ CBS 747.97 | USA, corn | - | AF160289 | U34559 | - | JX171486 | JX171599 | - |
| F. sublunatum | NRRL 13384 ${ }^{\text { }}$ C CBS 189.34 | Costa Rica, soil of banana plantation | - | - | - | - | - | JX171565 | - |
| F. succisae | NRRL 13613 = CBS 219.76 | Germany, Succisa pratensis | - | AF160291 | U34561 | - | - | - | U34419 |
| F. thapsinum | NRRL 22045 = CBS 733.97 | South Africa, Sorghum bicolor | - | AF160270 | U34560 | - | JX171487 | JX171600 | - |
| F. tjaetaba | NRRL 66243 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Australia, Sorghum interjectum | - | - | - | - | - | KP083275 | - |
| F. torreyae | NRRL 54149 | USA, Torreya taxifolia | - | - | - | - | - | JX171660 | - |
| F. torulosum | NRRL 22748 = NRRL 13919 | Netherlands, Buxus sp. | - | - | - | - | JX171502 | JX171615 | - |
|  | NRRL 52772 | Norway, Galleria mellonella larva | - | JF740840 | JF740926 | JF740926 | JF741003 | JF741166 | - |
| F. tricinctum | NRRL $25481{ }^{\top}=$ CBS 393.93 | Germany, culm base of winter wheat cv diplomat | - | HM068307 | HM068317 | HM068317 | JX171516 | HM068327 | - |
| F. tupiense | NRRL $53984^{\top}$ | Brazil, Mangifera indica | GU737377 | DQ452859 | - | - | - | - | - |
| F. udum | NRRL 22949 = CBS 178.32 | Germany, unknown | - | AF160275 | U34575 | - | - | - | U34433 |
| F. venenatum | NRRL $22196=$ BBA 65031 | Germany, corn | - | - | - | - | - | JX171607 | - |
| F. verrucosum | NRRL $22566=$ BBA 64786 | Venezuela, Bamboo culm | - | - | - | - | - | JX171613 | - |
| F. verticillioides | NRRL 22172 = CBS 734.97 | Germany, corn | - | AF160262 | U34555 | - | - | - | U34413 |
| Fusicolla aquaeductuum | NRRL 20686 = CBS 734.79 | Germany, drinking water | - | - | - | - | - | JX171590 | - |
| Fusicolla sp. | NRRL $22136=$ IMI 297027 | India, waste water | - | - | - | - | - | JX171604 | - |
| N. ambrosia | NRRL $20438=$ IMI 296597 | India, Camellia sinensis | - | AF178332 | AF178397 | DQ236357 | - | JX171584 | - |
|  | NRRL 22346 | India, Camellia sinensis | - | FJ240350 | EU329669 | EU329669 | - | EU329503 | - |
| N. falciformis | NRRL 32757 | USA, sand | - | DQ247075 | DQ094536 | DQ236578 | - | EU329614 | - |
|  | NRRL 32828 | USA, human | - | DQ247135 | DQ094594 | DQ236636 | - | EU329626 | - |
|  | NRRL 43441 | USA, human eye | - | - | - | - | - | DQ790566 | - |
| $N$. illudens | NRRL 22090 | New Zealand, Beilschmiedia tawa | - | AF178326 | AF178393 | AF178362 | - | JX171601 | - |
| N. solani | NRRL 22389 = BBA 67587 | USA, Liriodendron tulipifera | - | AF178340 | AF178404 | AF178373 | - | EU329506 | - |
|  | NRRL $32846=$ FRC S-1278 | USA, human eye | - | - | - | - | - | FJ240410 | - |
|  | NRRL 52778 | Syria, Eurygaster sp. | - | JF740846 | JF740931 | JF740931 | JF741003 | JF741172 | - |
|  | NRRL 52790 | Turkey, Eurygaster sp. | - | JF740858 | - | - | JF741011 | JF741184 | - |
|  | NRRL 66304 ${ }^{\text {ET }}=$ CBS 140079 | Slovenia, Solanum tuberosum | - | KT313611 | KT313633 | KT313633 | - | KT313623 | - |
|  | NRRL 32741 | USA, human eye | - | DQ247061 | DQ094522 | DQ236564 | - | EU329608 | - |


| USA, university building | - | JN235756 | JN235326 | JN235326 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colombia, human toenail | - | LN827969 | LN828118 | - | - |
| Colombia, human toenail | - | LN827970 | LN828119 | - | - |
| USA, cucurbit | - | AF178327 | DQ094301 | DQ236343 | - |
| USA, cucurbit | - | AF178346 | DQ094302 | DQ236344 | - |
| Japan, Morus alba | - | AF178359 | DQ094306 | DQ236348 | - |
| Japan, Robinea pseudoacacia | - | AF178330 | DQ094311 | DQ236353 | - |
| Japan, Xanthoxylum piperitum | - | AF178328 | AF178394 | AF178363 | - |
| Venezuela, dicot tree | - | AF178334 | AF178399 | AF178368 | - |
| Japan, Morus alba | - | AF178358 | DQ094305 | DQ236347 | - |
| French Guiana, bark | - | AF178338 | AF178402 | AF178371 | - |
| USA, Ipomoea batatas | - | AF178343 | DQ094303 | DQ236345 | - |
| Brazil, Piper nigrum | - | AF178360 | AF178422 | AF178391 | - |
| Indonesia, bark | - | AF178352 | AF178415 | AF178384 | - |
| USA, Robinea pseudoacacia | - | AF178353 | DQ094312 | DQ236354 | - |
| Japan, gill of Penaeus japonicus | - | DQ246844 | DQ094329 | DQ236371 | - |
| Spain, human eye | - | DQ246850 | EU329670 | EU329670 | - |
| USA, Glycine max | - | AF178355 | DQ094310 | DQ236352 | - |
| Papua New Guinea, diseased cocoa pods | - | JF740757 | JF740899 | JF740899 | - |
| USA, human | - | DQ246866 | DQ094348 | DQ236390 | - |
| USA, unknown | - | DQ246868 | DQ094350 | DQ236392 | - |
| USA, synovial fluid | - | DQ246882 | EU329674 | EU329674 | - |
| USA, human wound | - | DQ246916 | DQ094389 | DQ236431 | - |
| USA, human oral wound | - | KR673963 | DQ094396 | DQ236438 | - |
| USA, human eye | - | DQ246929 | EU329677 | EU329677 | - |
| Switzerland, human subcutaneous nodule | - | DQ246979 | DQ094446 | DQ236488 | - |
| USA, human | - | DQ247025 | DQ094488 | DQ236530 | - |
| USA, human eye | - | DQ247056 | DQ094517 | DQ236559 | - |
| USA, turtle | - | DQ247073 | DQ094534 | DQ236576 | - |
| USA, human eye | - | DQ247083 | DQ094544 | DQ236586 | - |
| USA, human | - | DQ247094 | FJ240371 | FJ240371 | - |
| USA, turtle egg | - | DQ247128 | DQ094587 | DQ236629 | - |
| USA, human | - | DQ247163 | DQ094617 | DQ236659 | - |
| Netherlands, human | - | FJ24035 | EU329684 | EU329684 | - |
| USA, human eye | - | DQ790488 | DQ790532 | DQ790532 | - |
| USA, Pisum sativum | - | FJ240352 | EU329689 | EU329689 | - |
| Spain, nematode | - | HM347126 | EU329712 | EU329712 | - |
| Brazil, human eye | - | HM347127 | EU329716 | EU329716 | - |
| Benin, Hypothenemus hampei | - | JF740849 | - | - | - |
| USA, Zebra shark | - | KC808213 | KC808255 | KC808255 | - |
| USA, Zebra shark | - | KC808214 | KC808256 | KC808256 | - |
| USA, unknown | - | KF906129 | KF906130 | KF906130 | - |
| South Africa, soil | - | AF178348 | AF178412 | DQ236359 | - |
| USA, human eye | - | EF452940 | EF453092 | EF453092 | - |



## DNA isolation, PCR and sequencing

Isolates were grown for 7 d on PDA at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a $12 / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ photoperiod. Total DNA extraction was performed from fresh mycelium scrapped from the colony surface using the Wizard ${ }^{\circledR}$ Genomic DNA purification Kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fragments of the calmodulin (CAM), the intergenic spacer region of the rDNA (IGS), the internal transcribed spacer region of the rDNA (ITS), a partial fragment of the large subunit of the rDNA (LSU) (spanning the variable domains D1 to D3), RNA polymerase largest subunit (RPB1), RNA polymerase second largest subunit (RPB2), the translation elongation factor 1-alpha ( $E F-1 \alpha$ ) and beta-tubulin (TUB) genes were amplified and sequenced using PCR protocols described elsewhere (O'Donnell et al. 1998a, 2007, 2009a, b, 2010, Geiser et al. 2004) using the primer pairs CL1/CL2 for CAM (O'Donnell et al. 2009b), iNL11/iCNS1 and the internal sequencing primers NLa/CNSa for IGS (O'Donnell et al. 2009a), ITS4/ITS5 for ITS (White et al. 1990), LROR/LR5 for LSU (Vilgalys \& Hester 1990, Vilgalys \& Sun 1994), Fa/G2R for RPB1 (O'Donnell et al. 2010), 5f2/7cr plus 7cf/11ar for RPB2 (O'Donnell et al. 2010), EF-1/EF-2 for $E F-1 \alpha$ (O'Donnell et al. 1998b) and 2Fd/4Rd for TUB (Woudenberg et al. 2009). Consensus sequences were assembled from forward and reverse sequences using Seqman Pro v. 10.0.1 (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). All sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). A further 585 DNA sequences representing 191 strains were retrieved from GenBank and included in the phylogenetic analyses (Table 2).

## Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences of the individual loci were aligned using MAFFT on the web server of the European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBLEBI) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/mafft/) (Katoh \& Standley 2013, Li et al. 2015), and the alignments were checked and manually corrected if necessary using MEGA v. 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013). A first phylogenetic analysis was carried out using

RPB2 sequences in order to assess the isolate distribution on the different species complexes of Fusarium and fusarium-like genera. To establish the identity of the isolates to the species level, different phylogenetic analyses were conducted first individually for each locus and then as multilocus sequence analyses using the following loci combinations: CAM, EF-1a, ITS, RPB1, RPB2 and TUB for members of the Fusarium fujikuroi species complex (FFSC) (O'Donnell et al. 2000, Edwards et al. 2016); RPB1, RPB2 and TUB, for members of the Fusarium lateritium species complex (FLSC); EF-1a, ITS, LSU, RPB1 and RPB2 for isolates related with the Fusarium tricinctum species complex (FTSC); and lastly EF-1a, ITS, LSU and RPB2 for isolates belonging to Neocosmospora (formerly known as the Fusarium solani species complex, FSSC) (O'Donnell et al. 2008, Lombard et al. 2015, Chitrampalam \& Nelson 2016). Isolates belonging to the FOSC were characterised based on their haplotype distribution using a two-locus dataset that included $E F-1 a$ and IGS sequences following the procedures and alignments of O'Donnell et al. (2009a). Phylogenetic inference was based on three independent algorithms: Maximum Parsimony, RaxML and Bayesian analyses. Maximum Parsimony (MP) analyses were conducted using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). Heuristic searches were carried out with 1000 random stepwise addition replicates, with tree bisection and reconstruction (TBR) branch swapping, with all characters treated as equally weighted and gaps treated as missing data. Branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple, equally parsimonious trees were saved. Tree length, consistency index, retention index and rescaled consistency index (TL, CI, RI and $R C$, respectively) were calculated. Statistical support for the branches was evaluated using a bootstrap analysis (BS) of 1000 replicates.
RaxML (ML) and Bayesian analyses (BI) were run on the CIPRES Science Gateway portal (Miller et al. 2012) using RaxML v. 8.2.9 and MrBayes v. 3.2.6, respectively. Evolutionary models were calculated using MrModelTest v. 2.3 (Nylander 2004)

Table 3 Characteristics of the gene partitions used in this study.

| Genus/species complex (SC) ${ }^{1}$ | Locus ${ }^{2}$ | Number of sites |  |  |  | Evolutionary model ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Constant | Variable | Parsimony informative |  |
| Overview tree | RPB2 | 1559 | 882 | 670 | 607 | GTR+I+G |
| F. citricola SC | EF-1a | 532 | 335 | 194 | 164 | GTR+G |
|  | ITS | 523 | 428 | 95 | 91 | GTR+G |
|  | LSU | 524 | 481 | 43 | 39 | HKY+1 |
|  | RPB1 | 605 | 419 | 186 | 141 | SYM + G |
|  | RPB2 | 1501 | 1005 | 496 | 454 | GTR+1+G |
| F. fujikuroi SC | CAM | 655 | 518 | 134 | 76 | SYM + G |
|  | EF-1a | 455 | 316 | 134 | 67 | SYM+G |
|  | ITS | 459 | 421 | 38 | 31 | SYM +1 |
|  | RPB1 | 1279 | 1038 | 241 | 141 | SYM+I+G |
|  | RPB2 | 1640 | 1305 | 335 | 216 | GTR+I+G |
|  | TUB | 507 | 387 | 119 | 59 | SYM + G |
| F. oxysporum SC | EF-1a |  | $483$ | 138 |  | NA |
|  | IGS | $2220$ | 1422 | 744 | 552 | NA |
| F. lateritium SC | EF-1a | 562 | 435 | 125 | 85 | GTR+G |
|  | RPB1 | 628 | 508 | 120 | 61 | SYM + G |
|  | RPB2 | 696 | 540 | 156 | 77 | GTR+I+G |
| N. solani SC | EF-1a | 328 | 211 | 108 | 66 | GTR+G |
|  | ITS | 503 | 372 | 127 | 101 | GTR+1+G |
|  | LSU | 482 | 439 | 43 | 35 | GTR+I+G |
|  | RPB2 | 1648 | 1212 | 436 | 361 | GTR+I+G |

[^2]1559 bp
882 constant 607 parsimony informative 36 MPTs
3441 steps
$\mathrm{Cl}=0.312$
$\mathrm{RI}=0.836$
$\mathrm{RC}=0.261$
$\mathrm{HI}=0.688$
70
78/88/0.99 NRRL 43665 F. dlaminii
97/100/1 NRRL 22944 F. proliferatum
82/80/1 CBS 142422 Citrus sinensis Italy
100/92/1 1 . 4 CPC 27189 Citrus sinensis Italy 100/99/1 NRRL 13999 F. sacchari NRRL $66233^{\top}$ F. coicis
82/91/1 NRRL 26131 F. globosum
$-I-/ 0.96-1\left[\right.$ NRRL $13604^{\top}$ F. napiforme - NRRL $66243^{\top}$ F. tjaetaba NRRLL 25208 F ramigenum
 NRRL 25179F: nisikiadoi NRRL 20433F:- intexum NRRL 25387 F oxysporum CPC 27700 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 27701 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 27194 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 27196 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 27702 Citrus sinensis Italy 1 CPC 28190 Citrus sinensis Italy NRRL 29889 F. hostae
redolens NRRL 25174 F. beomiforme ,
 babinda
concolor RRL25385NT anguio

1.-CPC 27067 Citrus limon Italy CPC 27069 Citrus sinensis Italy CBS 142421 Citrus reticulata Italy CPC 27813 Citrus reticulata Italy CPC 26403 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 26457 Citrus sinensis Italy
96/-LCBS 142420 Citrus sinensis Italy -/78/-_ NRRL 54210 F. acuminatum -178/1-■NRRL $25481^{\top}$ F. F. tricinctum
 NRRL 36452 F. nurragi


NRRL 13402 F. scirpi
NRRL 26417 Fusarium
99/100/1 95/97/1[- $\begin{aligned} & \text { NRRL } 26417 \text { Fusarium sp. } \\ & \text { NRRL } 32175 \text { Fusarium sp. }\end{aligned}$
/95/1 NRRL 13444 Fusarium sp.
[NRRL 28578 Fusarium sp. NRRL 13338 F. nelsonii
92/82/0.99[ NRRL 13818 F. asiaticum
NRRL 25475 F. culmorum
NRRL 22187 F. sambucinum

- NRRL 22196 F venenatum
NRRLL6277 Farneniacum
NRRL 54149 F. torreyae torreyae
NRRL $13371 F$ buharicum
torreyae NRRL $13384^{\top}$ F. sublunatum
CPC 26369 Citrus limon Italy

NRRL 13622 F. lateritium
CPC 27192 Citrus sinensis Italy
CPC 27193 Citrus sinensis Italy
-171/0.96 CPC 27199 Citrus sinensis Italy
100/99/1 CPC 27200 Citrus sinensis Italy
NRRL $66304^{\text {ET }}$ N. solani
CPC 27198 Citrus sinensis Italy
NRRL 43433 ' $\mathcal{F}$ '. kalciformis CBS 142424 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 28192 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 28193 Citrus sinensis Italy - CPC 28194 Citrus sinensis Italy NRRL 22389 Citrus sinensis Italy CPC 27190 N. solan CPC 27191 Citrus sinensis Italy RRL 32846 N. solani
CPC 27187 Citrus sinensis Italy
- CBS 142423 Citrus sinensis Italy
LNRRL 22101 ' $F$ '. striatum
- CPC 27195 Citrus sinensis Italy
NRRL 20438 N. ambrosia
NRRL 22090 N. illudens
NRRL 22136 Fusicolla sp.

Fig. 1 One of 36 Maximum parsimony (MP) best-tree phylograms obtained from RPB2 sequences of 99 strains from Fusarium and Neocosmospora species. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Numbers on the nodes are MP and RaxML bootstrap values above $70 \%$ and Bayesian posterior probability values above 0.95. Full supported branches and names of each species complex is indicated in bold. Isolates obtained from Citrus are indicated in red font. Species complexes not including Citrus-derived isolates were collapsed. Ex-type and ex-epitype and ex-neotype strains are indicated with ${ }^{\top}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{ET}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{NT}}$, respectively. The names of known species complexes are shown in bold. The tree was rooted to Fusicolla aquaeductuum (NRRL 20686) and Fusicolla sp. (NRRL 22136).
selecting the best-fit model for each data partition according to the Akaike criterion. The characteristics of the different gene partitions and evolutionary models employed in this study are summarised in Table 3. For ML analyses the default parameters were used and BS was carried out using the rapid bootstrapping algorithm with the automatic halt option. Bayesian analyses included two parallel runs of 5000000 generations, with the stop rule option and a sampling frequency set to each 1000 generations. The 50 \% majority rule consensus trees and posterior probability (PP) values were calculated after discarding the first $25 \%$ of the samples as burn-in. The resulting trees were plotted using FigTree v. 1.4.2 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/ figtree). The individual gene datasets were assessed for incongruence before being concatenated by checking their individual phylogenies for conflicts between clades with significant MP, ML and BI support (Mason-Gamer \& Kellogg 1996, Wiens 1998). Alignments and phylogenetic trees derived from this study were uploaded to TreeBASE (www.treebase.org).

## Genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR)

In order to determine the recombination level between the species newly proposed here and its closest phylogenetic relatives, pairwise homoplasy index ( PHI ) tests were performed using the respective concatenated multilocus datasets (Bruen et al. 2006). The tests were conducted using SplitsTree v. 4.14 .4 (Huson \& Bryant 2006) as described by Quaedvlieg et al. (2014). A PHI value below 0.05 ( $\Phi \mathrm{w}<0.05$ ) indicated the presence of significant recombination in the dataset. In addition, split graphs were constructed for visualisation of the relationship between closely related species.

## Pathogenicity tests

Pathogenicity tests with the fungal species isolated from twigand trunk-cankers were performed to satisfy Koch's postulates. Six representative isolates were selected (F. citricola: CPC 27805, CPC 27709; F. salinense: CPC 26403, CPC 26973; F. sarcochroum: CPC 27921, CPC 28116). The isolates were inoculated on potted 1-yr-old healthy Citrus limon ('Femminello


Fig. 2 One of five Maximum parsimony (MP) best-tree phylograms obtained from combined CAM, EF-1a, ITS, RPB1, RPB2 and TUB sequences of 39 strains belonging to the Fusarium fujikuroi species complex. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Numbers on the nodes are MP and RaxML bootstrap values above $70 \%$ and Bayesian posterior probability values above 0.95 . Full supported branches are indicated in bold. Isolates obtained from Citrus are indicated in red font. Ex-type and ex-neotype strains are indicated with ${ }^{\top}$ and ${ }^{N T}$, respectively. Names of newly proposed taxa are shown in bold. The tree was rooted to Fusarium inflexum (NRRL 20433) and Fusarium oxysporum (NRRL 22902, NRRL 25387).

Siracusano 2KR'), C. sinensis ('Tarocco') and C. reticulata ('Tardivo di Ciaculli') plants. Three plants for each isolate/citrus species combination were inoculated. Following the methods used in a recent citrus canker study (Adesemoye et al. 2014), five wounds per plant were made on twigs using a sterile blade. A 3-mm-diam mycelial plug from a 5-7-d-old culture growing on PDA was placed on each wound, and the inoculated area was covered with Parafilm® (American National Can, Chicago, IL, USA). The same number of wounds/plants were inoculated with sterile PDA plugs and served as controls. Inoculated plants and controls were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in moist chambers for 4 wk . Symptoms development was evaluated 4 wk after inoculation. In order to fulfil Koch's postulates, the inoculated fungi were re-isolated from twigs showing lesions and the identity of the re-isolated fungi was confirmed by sequencing the RPB2 locus as described above.

## RESULTS

In total 39 monosporic isolates resembling Fusarium spp. were collected from three Citrus species, i.e., Citrus limon, C. reticulata and C. sinensis. Most isolates were associated with dry root rot of orange trees, 10 isolates were recovered from twigand trunk-cankers and five from twig dieback. The majority of isolates (35) were obtained from samples collected in Italy, while three and one isolate were recovered, respectively, in Spain and Greece (Table 1).

## Phylogenetic identification

A first phylogenetic analysis based in RPB2 sequences was conducted in order to position the isolates in the treated genera and their respective species complexes (Fig. 1). The analysis included sequences from 102 isolates spanning the different species complexes of the genera Fusarium and Neocosmospora, and two outgroup taxa (Fusicolla aquaeductuum NRRL 20686 and Fusicolla sp. NRRL 22136). From the 38 isolates obtained from Citrus species 23 belonged to Fusarium and were distributed in three known species complexes, i.e., FFSC (two isolates), FLSC (seven isolates) and FOSC (six isolates),
eight isolates clustered in two clades forming a distinct, wellsupported, unnamed lineage sister to the FTSC. The remaining 15 isolates nested within Neocosmospora, previously known as the Fusarium solani species complex (FSSC).
To further characterise the isolates belonging to FOSC, a haplotype distribution analysis was performed following O'Donnell et al. (2009a). The six Fusarium isolates from Citrus belonged to six different haplotypes. The genotypes of the isolates CPC 27194 and CPC 27196 were identical to the haplotypes 30 and 113 of $F$. oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum, while each of four isolates (CPC 27700, 27701, 27702, 28190) corresponded to new genetically distinct populations in FOSC (data not shown).
Seven isolates belonging to the FLSC were identified as Fusarium sarcochroum based on a phylogenetic analysis comprising EF-1a, RPB1 and RPB2 loci (data not shown, all trees are available in TreeBASE).
The phylogenetic analysis of the isolates that belonged to the FFSC included sequences from six loci (CAM, EF-1a, ITS, RPB1, RPB2 and TUB) and 42 isolates including the outgroup taxa (F. inflexum NRRL 20433, F. oxysporum NRRL 22902 and NRRL 25387), representing 33 taxa covering the three main phylogenetic clades known in this species complex (African, American and Asian clade sensu O'Donnell et al. 1998a) (Fig. 2). The two Fusarium isolates from Citrus (CPC 27188, 27189) clustered within the Asian clade of FFSC in a well-supported group sister to F. globosum and F. proliferatum. However, they were morphologically and genetically distinct from the latter species, as also confirmed by the PHI analysis ( $\Phi \mathrm{w}=1.0$, Fig. 3a), and are described here as a new species, F. siculi.

In order to establish the phylogenetic position of the eight Fusarium isolates that formed a distinct new lineage in the original RPB2 phylogeny, we carried out a more inclusive analysis, which included 3685 bp from five loci ( $E F-1 a$, ITS, LSU, $R P B 1$ and $R P B 2$ ) and 41 isolates representing 19 phylogenetic species, covering four known related species complexes of Fusarium, i.e., F. chlamydosporum species complex (FCSC),


Fig. 3 Splitgraphs showing the results of the pairwise homoplasy index (PHI) test of newly described taxa and closely related species using both LogDet transformation and splits decomposition. PHI test results $(\Phi \mathrm{w})<0.05$ indicate significant recombination within the dataset. a. Fusarium siculi sp. nov. in the F. fujikuroi species complex; b. Fusarium salinense and F. citricola sp. nov. in the F. citricola species complex; c, d. Neocosmospora croci and N. macrospora sp. nov., respectively, in $N$. solani species complex.


Fig. 4 One of 67 Maximum parsimony (MP) best-tree phylograms obtained from EF-1a, ITS, LSU, RPB1 and RPB2 sequences of 37 strains from Fusarium species. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Numbers on the nodes are MP and RaxML bootstrap values above $70 \%$ and Bayesian posterior probability values above 0.95 . Full supported branches are indicated in bold. Isolates obtained from Citrus are indicated in red font. Names of newly proposed taxa are shown in bold. Ex-type are indicated with ${ }^{\top}$. The tree was rooted to Neocosmospora solani (NRRL 52778, 52790).
F. heterosporum species complex (FHSC), F. incarnatumequiseti species complex (FIESC) and FTSC; a representative of a known related single lineage (F. nurragi) plus two outgroup taxa. MP, ML and BI produced topologically similar trees, of which one of the most parsimonious trees is shown in Fig. 4. The analysis supported six different highly supported lineages which corresponded to F. nurragi, four Fusarium species complexes, i.e.; FCSC, FIESC, FHSC, FTSC and a new fully-supported lineage, phylogenetically and morphologically divergent from its sister clades, which is named here the F. citricola species complex (FCCSC). Within FCCSC, the isolates from Citrus grouped into two distinct highly supported phylogenetic clades as also confirmed by PHI analysis ( $\Phi \mathrm{w}=0.8$ in both cases, Fig. 3b). These two clades are described below as the new species F. citricola and F. salinense.

The multilocus analysis of Neocosmospora encompassed 2961 bp from four loci (EF-1a, ITS, LSU and RPB2) and 83 isolates spanning 47 known taxa and/or phylogenetic clades of this species complex (Fig. 5). The isolates from Citrus were distributed within four previously known clades: N. solani (six isolates), and the unnamed phylogenetic species FSSC 9 (one isolate), FSSC 28 and FSSC 15 (two isolates, each). Two isolates (CPC 27186, 27187) clustered in a new phylogenetic lineage sister to F. striatum, while three isolates (CPC 28191, 28192, 28193) formed a new lineage closely related to the phylogenetic species FSSC 26 and FSSC 27. The genealogical exclusivity of both new lineages was confirmed by the PHI test,
showing no evidence of recombination ( $\Phi$ w = 1.0, Fig. 3c, d). They are described below as the new species Neocosmospora croci and N. macrospora.

## Taxonomy

Fusarium citricola Guarnaccia, Sandoval-Denis \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB820246; Fig. 6

Etymology. Refers to Citrus, the host genus from which this fungus was isolated.

Colonies on PDA growing in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $2.9-4.7$ and $2.5-4.2 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ at 21 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (reaching $35-43 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Colony surface pale luteous to pale yellow (orange to red when incubated in light), flat or slightly raised at the centre, radially striated, membranous to dusty, aerial mycelium scant or absent; colony margins irregular, lobate, serrate or filiform. Odour absent. Reverse pale luteous to straw. Diffusible pigment absent in the dark, an orange to red pigment sometimes present when incubated in the light. Colonies on OA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark reaching a maximum of $60-62 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam at 7 d . Colony colour sulphur to pure yellow with white periphery, flat, radially finely striated, membranous and shiny to slightly velvety in the outer margins, aerial mycelium absent or scant, if present floccose, forming irregular rings at the periphery of the colony; margins regular, filiform. Reverse sulphur to pure yellow, without diffusible pigments. On SNA, hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled,


Fig. 5 One of 1000 Maximum parsimony (MP) best-tree phylograms obtained from EF-1a, ITS, LSU and RPB2 sequences of 83 strains from Neocosmospora species. Branch lengths are proportional to distance. Numbers on the nodes are MP and RaxML bootstrap values above $70 \%$ and Bayesian posterior probability values above 0.95 . Full supported branches are indicated in bold. Isolates obtained from Citrus are indicated in red font. Names of newly proposed taxa are shown in bold. Ex-type and ex-epitype strains are indicated with ${ }^{\top}$ and ${ }^{\text {ET }}$, respectively. The tree was rooted to Fusarium illudens (22090) and Fusarium plagianthi (NRRL 22632).


Fig. 6 Fusarium citricola CBS 142421. a-b. Colonies on PDA and OA, respectively, after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark; c. colony on PDA after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under continuous white light; $d-e$. sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves; $f-h$. sporodochial conidiophores and phialides; $i-j$. aerial conidiophores; $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$. aerial phialides; o. aerial conidia (microconidia); p. sporodochial conidia (macroconidia). - Scale bars = $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (scale bar in j also applies to $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$ ).

1-10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. Chlamydospores absent. Sporulation abundant from sporodochia, rarely from conidiophores formed directly on the substrate mycelium. Conidiophores in the aerial mycelium 4-50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched or sparingly branched, bearing terminal or intercalary monophialides, often reduced to single phialides. Phialides subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, 4-22.5 $\times 2-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, without periclinal thickening; conidia hyaline, ellipsoidal to falcate, smooth- and thin-walled, $0-3$-septate, $(6.4-) 9.9-22.9(-32.6) \times(3.1-) 3.9-5.2(-6.5)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, forming small false heads on the tips of monophialides. Sporodochia bright orange coloured, formed abundantly on carnation leaves or the surface of the agar. Conidiophores in sporodochia 20-62.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, verticillately branched and densely packed, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 monophialides or rarely single lateral monophialides; sporodochial phialides subulate to subcylindrical, $10-18 \times 2.5-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth- and thin-walled, sometimes showing a reduced and somewhat flared collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, curved dorsiventrally with almost parallel sides tapering slightly towards both ends, with a blunt to papillate, curved apical cell and a foot-like basal cell, (1-)2-4(-6)-septate, commonly with one or more empty cells hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled. One-septate conidia: (35.5-) $36.2-39.9 \times 4.1-4.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; two-septate conidia: (33.7-) 34-37.9(-39.9) $\times 4.4-5.7(-6.2) \mu \mathrm{m}$; three-septate conidia: $(27.5-) 32.3-37.3(-40.5) \times(3.8-) 4.2-5.1(-6) \mu \mathrm{m}$; four-septate conidia: $(32.1-) 34.4-39.8(-42.5) \times(4.1-) 4.6-5.4(-5.7)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$; six-septate conidia: 39-41.9(-42.5) $\times(4.4-) 4.6-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Cardinal temperatures for growth - Minimum $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optimal $18-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specimens examined. ITALY, Cosenza, Rocca Imperiale, from Citrus limon twigs, 9 June 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 27067); Taranto, Massafra, from Citrus sinensis twigs, 9 June 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 27709); Cosenza, Rocca Imperiale, from Citrus reticulata 'Caffin' crown, 10 Aug. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CBS H-23020, holotype, dried culture on SNA with carnation leaves, culture ex-type CBS 142421 = CPC 27805); Cosenza, Rocca Imperiale, from Citrus reticulata ‘Caffin' crown, 1 Sept. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 27813).

Notes - Fusarium citricola was recovered from diverse Citrus species with advanced canker symptoms in Apulia and Calabria, Southern Italy. The role of this species in the canker disease was confirmed by pathogenicity tests.
Fusarium citricola has similar morphological characters to F. salinense, with both species forming the new lineage here named FCCSC (see general notes under F. salinense). The former species can be distinguished by its slightly smaller sporodochial conidia, often with a gentle and symmetrical dorsiventral curvature, produced on somewhat larger sporodochial phialides, and its 0-3-septate microconidia (vs the often asymmetrically curved macroconidia and 0-1(-2)-septate microconidia in F. salinense).

Fusarium salinense Sandoval-Denis, Guarnaccia \& Polizzi, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB820245; Fig. 7

Etymology. Refers to Salina, one of the Aeolian Islands, in the northeastern coast of Sicily, where the ex-type strain of this fungus was collected.

Colonies on PDA growing in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $3.1-4.7$ and $2.8-5.2 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ at 21 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (reaching $39-43 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Colony surface pale luteous to sulphur yellow with white to pale luteous margins, flat, velvety to felty with abundant floccose aerial mycelium; colony margins irregular, undulate to lobate. Odour strongly mouldy. Reverse pale luteous to orange toward the centre of the colony. Yellow diffusible pigment sometimes present, while red colonies and diffusible pigments occur when incubated in light. Colonies on OA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark reaching a maximum of $65-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d . Colony colour pale luteous, flat, membranous to slightly velvety or
cottony, aerial mycelium scarce or absent; margins regular, filiform. Reverse pale luteous without diffusible pigments. On SNA, growth almost entirely pionnotal; hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, 1-10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. Chlamydospores absent, but rounded, thin-walled hyphal swellings sometimes present in old cultures. Sporulation abundant from sporodochia, rarely from conidiophores formed directly on the substrate mycelium. Conidiophores in the aerial mycelium 25-150 $\mu$ m tall, irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral monophialides; phialides subulate, ampulliform, subcylindrical to doliiform, smooth- and thin-walled, often reduced to small phialidic pegs, $7.5-23 \times$ 2.5-5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, without periclinal thickening; collarettes small and barely visible or lacking; conidia hyaline, oval, ellipsoidal to falcate, smooth- and thin-walled, 0-1(-2)-septate, (4.7-)9.2-$17.2(-23) \times(2.8-) 4-5.5(-7) \mu \mathrm{m}$, single or forming small false heads. Sporodochia flesh, salmon to orange coloured, formed abundantly on the surface of the agar and on carnation leaves. Conidiophores in sporodochia 42.5-106 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, densely and irregularly branched, often bi- or tri-verticillately, sometimes slightly stipitate, bearing 1-2 terminal, rarely lateral monophialides; sporodochial phialides subulate to subcylindrical, 10-22.5 $\times 2.5-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth- and thin-walled, often with a minute apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, with a gentle curvature and nearly parallel dorsiventral lines or an unequal curvature, slightly more pronounced in the upper part of the spore, tapering slightly towards the basal end, with a papillate and curved apical cell and a barely notched to foot-like basal cell, (2-)3-4(-5)-septate, often showing one or more empty cells, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled. Three-septate conidia: $(19.8-) 30.7-41.3(-45.6) \times(2.8-) 3.6-5.2(-6.2) \mu \mathrm{m}$; fourseptate conidia: (36.5-)39-44.5(-45.4) $\times(4.1-) 4.4-5.5(-6.1)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$; five-septate conidia: $(41.8-) 42.9-48(-49.1) \times 5.5-5.8$ $(-5.9) \mu \mathrm{m}$.

Cardinal temperatures for growth - Minimum $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optimal $21-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specimens examined. ITaly, Sicily, Catania, Riposto, from Citrus sinensis 'Valencia' twigs, 2 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 26403); Sicily, Catania, Riposto, from Citrus sinensis 'Valencia' twigs, 2 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 26457); Sicily, Messina, Leni, from Citrus sinensis twigs, 5 June 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CBS H-23019, holotype, dried culture on SNA with carnation leaves, culture ex-type CBS $142420=$ CPC 26973).

Notes - Fusarium salinense was isolated from two locations in close proximity in Sicily and Salina, one of the Aeolian Islands, which might suggest some level of geographical isolation restricted to the Tyrrhenian Sea. It was a prominent pathogen, producing canker symptoms on three different Citrus species.
Fusarium salinense and F. citricola, also described here, constitute the Fusarium citricola species complex (FCCSC), characterised by abundant production of bright orange sporodochia, the presence of red pigments when incubated under continuous white light and the reduced size of its aerial conidiophores and phialides. Fusarium salinense produces sparingly branched conidiophores in the aerial mycelium, especially in young cultures, but its growth soon becomes almost entirely pionnotal, while some aerial conidiation can still be observed from reduced phialides or phialidic pegs. The latter feature is somewhat reminiscent of Bisifusarium which, however, differs in the absence of microconidia and sporodochia, its distinctly shaped, curved and short macroconidia, and by presenting a yeast-like growth on PDA, also being phylogenetically distant (Schroers et al. 2009).
Other closely related taxa include species from the phylogenetically allied FTSC from which F. salinense differs by its gently curved macroconidia, and the absence of pyriform microconidia and chlamydospores. The shape and size of the macroconidia and the characteristics of the sporodochia also aligns F. salinense with species in the FCSC. However, a clear phylogenetic


Fig. 7 Fusarium salinense CBS 142420. a-b. Colonies on PDA and OA, respectively, after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark; c. colony on PDA after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under continuous white light; d. sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves; e. sporodochia formed on the agar surface; $f-g$. sporodochial conidiophores; h. aerial phialides; i. aerial conidia (microconidia); j. sporodochial conidia (macroconidia). - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
separation exists between the two species complexes as well as clear morphological differences as the rounded, almost papillate apical cell in F. salinense (vs pointed in FCSC), the scant production of microconidia and the absence of chlamydospores.

Fusarium salinense and its closest phylogenetic ally F. citricola can be distinguished by the formation, in the former species, of shorter sporodochial phialides and slightly longer and robust macroconidia often with an unequal dorsiventral curvature.

Fusarium siculi Sandoval-Denis, Guarnaccia \& Polizzi, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB820248; Fig. 8

Etymology. From Latin Siculi, 'Sicels', an old italic tribe that inhabited Sicily, and from which the name of the island has derived.

Colonies on PDA growing in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $5.1-6.1$ and $5.5-6.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ at 21 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (reaching $77-90 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Colony colour peach to pale rose with saffron margins, flat and radially striated, membranous with scant loose aerial mycelium. Odour strong, mouldy. Margins filiform to arachnoid. Reverse at first white, turning pale orange, luteous to scarlet coloured. Colonies on OA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark reaching a maximum of 75-79 mm diam at 7 d . Colony colour salmon to coral in irregular patches, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scantly present as patches or absent; margins regular and fimbriate. Reverse flesh, coral to pale rust coloured with slight production of a pale rust diffusible pigment. On SNA, hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, 0.5-11.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. Chlamydospores absent. Sporulation abundant from aerial conidiophores or sporodochia. Conidiophores in the aerial mycelium or erect, $47-165 \times 2-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, simple or sparsely branched, often branching verticillately or less common sympodially, bearing terminal mono- and polyphialides, or more rarely intercalary phialides; phialides short acicular, subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, $16.5-33.5 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, without periclinal thickening or distinct collarettes, rarely proliferating subapically; conidia subcylindrical to clavate, often with a somewhat flattened base, straight or slightly curved, smooth- and thin-walled, 0(-1)-septate, (5.3-)8.5-12.3(-16.8) $\times(2.3-) 2.9-3.5(-3.8) \mu \mathrm{m}$, arranged in long basipetal chains that quickly collapse into false heads. Sporodochia saffron to apricot coloured, formed on the surface of carnation leaves and often almost completely covered by aerial mycelium. Conidiophores in sporodochia 29.5-45.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, branched, mono- or biverticillate, bearing 1-2 terminal monophialides; sporodochial phialides subulate, lageniform or cylindrical, tapering abruptly toward apex, 9-22 $\times 2-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ often with a minute collarette; sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, straight or slightly curved, tapering towards both ends, with a blunt and often curved apical cell and a foot-like to slightly notched basal cell, 3-5-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled. Three-septate conidia: (27.1-)34.4-$47.3(-56.1) \times(3-) 3.3-3.8(-4.4) \mu \mathrm{m}$; four-septate conidia: (41.4-)43.4-49.6(-50.8) $\times(3.4-) 3.6-4.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; five-septate conidia: (48-)48.3-53(-53.1) $\times 3.4-3.7(-3.8) \mu \mathrm{m}$.

Cardinal temperatures for growth - Minimum $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $36{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optimal $21-27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specimens examined. ITALY, Sicily, Catania, Paternó, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CBS H-23021, holotype, dried culture on SNA with carnation leaves, culture ex-type CBS $142422=$ CPC 27188); Sicily, Catania, Paternó, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 28189).

Notes - Fusarium siculi is phylogenetically related to F. globosum, a species known from maize and wheat from Africa and Asia (Rheeder et al. 1996, Aoki \& Nirenberg 1999). However, the two species are morphologically clearly differentiated by the presence of clavate and globose microconidia in F. globosum. It is known that the incubation conditions can influence
conidial development in the latter species, with the production of globose conidia being suppressed by continuous exposure to black light (Aoki \& Nirenberg 1999, Leslie \& Summerell 2006). We confirmed the production of globose conidia by all F. globosum strains available in the CBS culture collection, including the ex-type strain (CBS 428.97) under the incubation conditions used in this study. Additionally, F. siculi can still easily be recognised considering the degree of septation of its clavate conidia (0-1-septate vs 0-3-septate in F. globosum). Fusarium siculi also resembles other species in FFSC producing mono- and polyphialides, and clavate, 0-1-septate microconidia arranged in chains and false heads like F. fujikuroi, F. nygamai or F. pseudoanthophilum. Nevertheless, F. fujikuroi and F. pseudoanthophilum produce additional obovoid to pyriform microconidia, a character not seen in F. siculi, while the latter species can be distinguished from F. nygamai by the absence of chlamydospores. In addition to the morphological differences and the clear phylogenetic delimitation, F. siculi differs in its host association, with none of the species mentioned above yet reported from Citrus (Farr \& Rossman 2017).

Neocosmospora croci Guarnaccia, Sandoval-Denis \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB820251; Fig. 9

Etymology. From Latin crocum ‘saffron’, referring to the production of red diffusible pigments at high temperatures

Colonies on PDA growing in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $2.5-3.8$ and $2-4.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ at 21 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (reaching $52-54 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Colony colour at first white, becoming straw to pale buff; flat, at first membranous, becoming felty with scant aerial mycelium; margins regular and fimbriate; odour absent. Reverse white to straw coloured without diffusible pigments. A slight production of a pale saffron to saffron diffusible pigment may occur when incubated in the dark at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colonies on OA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark reaching a maximum of $33-37 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam at 7 d. Colony colour at first white, becoming straw, flat, membranous and shiny, aerial mycelium absent; margins regular and fimbriate. Reverse white to pale luteous, without diffusible pigments. On SNA, hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, 0.5-12 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. Chlamydospores scarcely produced in hyphae, subglobose to globose, hyaline to subhyaline and smooth-walled, terminal and intercalary, often in pairs or in chains, 5-9.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Sporulation abundant from erect conidiophores formed on the agar surface or aggregated in sporodochia. Conidiophores in the aerial mycelium 54.5-94 $\times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mostly unbranched, rarely basally dichotomously branched, forming monophialides on the apices; phialides slender, subulate to subcylindrical, monophialidic, smooth- and thin-walled, 18-63.5 $\times 2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with slight periclinal thickening at the tip and a short flared apical collarette; conidia of two types: a) obovoid, ellipsoidal to cylindrical, sometimes gently curved becoming reniform to allantoid, hyaline, smooth and thin-walled, 0-1(-3)-septate, $(5.2-) 7.2-17.2(-33.9) \times(2.4-) 3.2-4.8(-6.5) \mu \mathrm{m}$, arranged in slimy heads at the tip of phialides; and b) cylindrical to falcate, formed on the agar surface and morphologically indistinguishable from sporodochial conidia. Sporodochia cream coloured, scantly produced on the surface of carnation leaves. Conidiophores in sporodochia 30-82 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, irregularly branched, short stipitate, bearing terminal monophialides; sporodochial phialides subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, $11.5-27.5 \times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with periclinal thickening and a small, flared collarette; sporodochial conidia cylindrical to falcate, gently curved with nearly symmetrical dorsal and ventral lines or slightly wider at the middle or apical part, typically with a blunt and almost rounded apical cell and a barely notched foot cell, $3-5$-septate, hyaline, thick- and smooth-walled. Three-septate


Fig. 8 Fusarium siculi CBS 142422. a-b. Colonies on PDA and OA, respectively, after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark; c. sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves; $d$-e. aerial conidiophores; $f$. sporodochial conidiophores formed on the surface of carnation leaves; $g$ - i. aerial phialides and conidia; j. aerial conidia (microconidia); k. sporodochial conidia (macroconidia). - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 9 Neocosmospora croci CBS 142423. a-b. Colonies on PDA and OA, respectively, after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark; $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$. sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves; e-h. aerial conidiophores; $i-j$. sporodochial conidiophores and phialides; $k-l$. chlamydospores; $m-0$, aerial phialides and conidia; p. aerial conidia (microconidia); q. sporodochial conidia (macroconidia). - Scale bars: $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{I}=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, all others $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 10 Neocosmospora macrospora CBS 142424. a-b. Colonies on PDA and OA, respectively, after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark; c-e. sporodochia formed on the surface of carnation leaves; $f-\mathrm{i}$. aerial conidiophores; j. sporodochial conidiophores and phialides; k . chlamydospores; $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{n}$. aerial phialides and conidia; o. aerial conidia (microconidia); p. sporodochial conidia (macroconidia). - Scale bars: $\mathrm{k}=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, all others $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
conidia: (32.7-)33.4-43.8(-52.6) $\times(5.3-) 5.4-6(-6.2) \mu \mathrm{m}$; four-septate conidia: (42.9-)46.9-53.7(-56.2) $\times(5.3-) 5.6-$ $6.2(-6.8) \mu \mathrm{m}$; five-septate conidia: (47.8-)51.7-60.5(-65.3) $\times(5-) 5.7-6.3(-6.6) \mu \mathrm{m}$.

Cardinal temperatures for growth - Minimum $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optimal $24-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specimens examined. Italy, Sicily, Catania, Paternó, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CBS H-23022, holotype, dried culture on SNA with carnation leaves, culture ex-type CBS $142423=$ CPC 27186); Sicily, Catania, Paternó, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 27187).

Notes - Neocosmospora croci belongs to clade 3 of Neocosmospora, a group including important plant pathogens and human and animal opportunistic parasites (O'Donnell et al. 2008, Schroers et al. 2016). It matches in all aspects with the morphological characteristics of the Neocosmospora (Fusarium) solani species complex, known to include several cryptic species with overlapping morphological traits (Schroers et al. 2016). However, N. croci can be distinguished from $N$. solani s.str. by the slower growth rates on artificial media, the presence of a saffron diffusible pigment when incubated on PDA at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and its somewhat reduced conidiophores (54.5$94 \times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ vs (27-)67-123(-230) $\times(2-) 3.5-5(-7) \mu \mathrm{m}$ in $N$. solani) (Schroers et al. 2016).

## Neocosmospora macrospora Sandoval-Denis, Guarnaccia \& Polizzi, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB820253; Fig. 10

Etymology. Refers to the large macroconidia produced by this species.
Colonies on PDA growing in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $2.5-5$ and $3-6.1 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ at 21 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively (reaching 66-70 mm diam in 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Colony colour at first white, becoming pale grey to pale buff with scarce interleaved red coloured hyphae; flat to slightly umbonate, felty to cottony. Aerial mycelium abundant, loose to densely floccose; margins regular and fimbriate; odour absent or mouldy. Reverse white, pale yellow, straw, peach to pale saffron coloured at the centre, a luteous to saffron coloured diffusible pigment can be present when incubated at temperatures equal or above $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colonies on OA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark reaching a maximum of 60-68 mm diam at 7 d . Colony surface pale luteous, at first flat, membranous and glabrous becoming felty to cottony with the formation of an elevated marginal ring composed of white loose and floccose aerial mycelium; margins regular, fimbriate to crenate. Reverse pale luteous. On SNA, hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, $1-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Chlamydospores can be formed in the hyphae, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or catenate, $5-8.5 \times 4.5-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Sporulation scant from erect conidiophores or aggregated in sporodochia. Conidiophores in aerial mycelium 56.5-96.5 $\times 3-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mostly unbranched or sparingly and irregularly branched, forming terminal phialides; phialides subulate to subcylindrical, straight to flexuous, monophialidic, smooth- and thin-walled, $19-67 \times 2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with a minute flared apical collarette; conidia short obovate, clavate to cylindrical, straight or gently curved, hyaline or showing pale yellow intracellular inclusions, smooth- and thin-walled, $0(-1)$-septate, (5.6-)6.6-9.9(-13.2) $\times(2.2-) 2.7-6.3(-9.7) \mu \mathrm{m}$, arranged in slimy heads at the tip of monophialides. Sporodochia cream to pale pink coloured, produced on the surface of carnation leaves. Conidiophores in sporodochia $28-123 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ tall, densely and irregularly or verticillately branched, bearing 1-2 apical monophialides; sporodochial phialides short lageniform, subcylindrical to doliiform, $10-23 \times 2-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, often with periclinal thickening at the tip and a small flared collarette; sporodochial conidia cylindrical to falcate and curved with nearly symmetrical dorsal and ventral lines or finely tapering towards the basal and
apical part, with a blunt to slightly papillate apical cell and a well-developed foot-shaped basal cell, 3-9-septate (commonly 7 -septate), hyaline, thick- and smooth-walled. Three-septate conidia: (68-)72.1-77.1(-75.7) $\times 5.7-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; four-septate conidia: (73.5-)74-83.9(-84.5) $\times 5.9-6.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; five-septate conidia: (59.3-)61-76.6(-85.3) $\times(5.2-) 5.5-6(-6.2) \mu \mathrm{m}$; six-septate conidia: $(73.8-) 74.5-81.4(-84) \times(5.3-) 5.6-6.3(-6.5) \mu \mathrm{m}$; seven-septate conidia: $(72-) 75.2-84.1(-89.2) \times(5.7-) 5.9-$ $6.4(-6.7) \mu \mathrm{m}$; eight-septate conidia: (79.4-)81.9-86.3(-87) $\times(5.8-) 5.9-6.4(-6.6) \mu \mathrm{m}$; nine-septate conidia: (86-)86.3-$89.7(-90) \times 5.4-6.1(-6.2) \mu \mathrm{m}$.

Cardinal temperatures for growth - Minimum $9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, maximum $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, optimal $21-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specimens examined. ITALY, Sicily, Catania, Guardia, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CBS H-23023, holotype, dried culture on SNA with carnation leaves, culture ex-type CBS $142424=$ CPC 28191); Sicily, Catania, Guardia, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 28192); Sicily, Catania, Guardia, from Citrus sinensis crown, 9 Mar. 2015, V. Guarnaccia (CPC 28193).

Notes - Neocosmospora macrospora was isolated from Citrus sinensis in Catania province, Italy. The new species is totally divergent from the traditional morphological concept of N. solani s.lat. (Wollenweber 1913, Wollenweber \& Reinking 1935 Snyder \& Hansen 1940), differing from most currently accepted taxa in Neocosmospora by the presence of large $3-9$-septate (commonly 7 -septate) sporodochial conidia. Other taxa of this complex producing long multiseptate sporodochial conidia are two species not yet formally transferred to Neocosmospora, 'Fusarium' ensiforme and ' $F$ '. eumartii; and N. pseudensiformis (Carpenter 1915, Wollenweber \& Reinking 1925, Nalim et al. 2011). However, ' $F$ '. ensiforme and $N$. pseudensiformis produce macroconidia with up to seven and eight septa, respectively, while those in ' $F$ '. eumartii are commonly $5-7$-septate, but rarely $8-9$-septate (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Domsch et al. 2007). In contrast, nine-septate macroconidia are a commonly observed feature of $N$. macrospora, being also longer (up to $90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long vs up to $81 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long in ' $F$ '. ensiforme; and up to $85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long in ' $F$ '. eumartii and N. pseudensiformis).

Neocosmospora macrospora is also reminiscent of 'Fusarium' decemcellulare, particularly in the macroconidial features; however, the latter species produces aseptate microconidia arranged in long chains and an Albonectria sexual morph (A. rigidiuscula), being also phylogenetically distant (Gräfenhan et al. 2011, Schroers et al. 2011, O'Donnell et al. 2013).

## Pathogenicity

The four tested isolates of F. citricola and F. salinense were pathogenic to the three Citrus hosts used. Monosporic isolations of the causal agent from the lesions had identical RPB2 sequences to those of the ex-type strains of F. citricola and F. salinense (CBS 142421 and CBS 142420, respectively). The inoculated twigs developed identical cankers to those detected in the orchards, thus fulfilling Koch's postulates (Fig. 11). Canker and internal discolouration symptoms were observed corresponding to inoculation points. On the contrary, no symptoms were observed on control plants and on plants inoculated with isolates of $F$. sarcochroum. No evident difference in aggressiveness was observed among the isolates.

## DISCUSSION

Molecular phylogenetic and morphological analyses were used to evaluate the diversity of Fusarium and fusarium-like species from Citrus in the Mediterranean basin, focusing especially on Southern Italy.


Fig. 11 Natural ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ) and artificial symptoms ( $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{g}$ ) on citrus with F. citricola species complex spp. associated. a. Trunk canker; b. injured crown of orange tree sampled; c. canker on lemon twigs with gum exudation; $d-e$. external and internal canker caused by $F$. salinense inoculation; $f-g$. internal discoloration of twigs inoculated with F. citricola.

These fungi are well established in the Mediterranean environment in association with significant agricultural crop diseases (Wong \& Jeffries 2006, Vitale et al. 2014). In Europe, different Fusarium species are reported as pathogens of citrus, i.e., F. oxysporum, F. proliferatum, F. sambucinum and F. solani s.lat. (Malikoutsaki-Mathioudi et al. 1987, Polizzi et al. 1992, Yaseen \& D'Onghia 2012). Citrus is the most important agricultural crop in Southern Italy, and is already compromised by a range of other fungal pathogens (Aiello et al. 2015), and fusaria represent a further serious threat to this crop.
Six Fusarium and five Neocosmospora species were isolated from symptomatic trees in three Mediterranean countries, all isolated from symptomatic Citrus tissues. However, considering the narrow geographic area studied, it is likely that many other species would also be isolated if a wider sampling area was surveyed.
Three of the species newly described here (F. siculi, N. croci and $N$. macrospora) and five known species ( $F$. ensiforme, F. oxysporum, N. solani, and the unnamed phylogenetic species Neocosmospora sp. FSSC 9 and Neocosmospora sp. FSSC 28) were associated with dry root rot of orange trees in our survey. Of these, only F. oxysporum, F. proliferatum and $N$. solani s.str. were considered pathogens associated with this
disease prior to the present study (Menge 1988, Adesemoye et al. 2011). Our results reveal a large diversity of Fusarium species spanning several species complexes, associated with dry root rot in a restricted area of Southern Italy, and major and minor Italian islands. Considering the uncertainty of a well-established method to artificially reproduce this disease (Graham et al. 1985, Dandurand \& Menge 1993), the pathogenicity of these eight fusaria could not be tested in the present study. Nevertheless, we demonstrated their ability to produce cankers on Citrus sinensis stem tissues. Further surveys in other citrus-producing areas of the globe, more Fusarium isolations and studies on pathogenicity in association with abiotic factors, should be performed.

Fusarium sarcochroum was isolated from lemon and mandarin twigs showing dieback, being found on citrus for the first time in Italy and Spain in the present study; though, it was already reported from Greece (Pantidou 1973). We confirm the ability of this species to colonise several Citrus spp. as endophyte. However, even though F. sarcochroum, F. citricola and F. salinense were recovered from citrus cankers, we were able to confirm pathogenicity on multiple hosts only for the latter two species. Fusarium salinense is described in the present study as causing cankers on twigs of $C$. sinensis in Sicily and the

Aeolian Islands, while F. citricola was recovered in other southern regions of Italy, on multiple Citrus spp., causing cankers on different woody organs of these plant hosts. These results suggest a geographical distinction between the species. However, more surveys are needed to clarify their host specificity. Furthermore, these species can be added to other citrus canker causing pathogens reported worldwide (Adesemoye et al. 2014, Mayorquin et al. 2016).

The results of our molecular analyses indicate that the two new species, F. citricola and F. salinense, not only represent new taxa but constitute a novel lineage in Fusarium, closely related to the FTSC, here designated as FCCSC. The reduced production of aerial microconidia on short phialides or phialidic pegs, the abundant bright orange sporodochia and the shape of its sporodochial conidia are characters that compare FCCSC morphologically with other species complexes in Fusarium such as the FCSC, the F. graminearum species complex (FGSC) or the Fusarium sambucinum species complex (FSASC). However, clear differences do exist, particularly in the robustness, degree of septation and curvature of the macroconidia, while microconidia are always lacking in FGSC and are an uncommon feature in FSASC. Species in FTSC, the closest phylogenetic relatives, share similar cultural characteristics with FCCSC like the production of red pigments on PDA; nevertheless, the newly proposed species do not produce pyriform conidia or chlamydospores as many of the currently described species in FTSC, which also with the exception of $F$. torulosum, are characterised by the production of strongly curved to lunate conidia with pointed ends, differing from the gently curved conidia in FCCSC. In addition to the morphological traits, species in the new lineage show considerable ecological differences allowing for its clear delimitation. Both species in this complex seemed to be confined to particular geographical regions in Italy. Fusarium salinense was isolated from two different locations in Sicily and Salina (Aeolian Islands), from the same host in two independent collections, and was demonstrated to be pathogenic to Citrus, as supported by our pathogenicity tests. Fusarium citricola, however, was isolated from two regions in southern continental Italy, also appearing to be a prominent canker pathogen on many different Citrus species. In contrast, species in FTSC are common in temperate areas where they are mostly weak pathogens causing foot and root rot of cereals (Yli-Mattila et al. 2002, Leslie \& Summerell 2006). Some species in FTSC have been reported previously from Citrus in Asia and USA, like F. acuminatum and F. avenaceum (Gerlach \& Ershad 1970, Tai 1979, French 1987, 1989); however, there is no certainty about their true pathogenicity to this host, while the identity of the isolates has been confirmed by DNA sequencing for only a limited number of cases (Nalim et al. 2009).
Although F. siculi was isolated from symptomatic crowns of Citrus sinensis, we were unable to confirm its pathogenicity to this host given the difficulties in replicating disease symptoms. Fusarium siculi is nested within the FFSC, a species-rich complex that includes many species of economic significance, mycotoxigenic species and agent of plant disease mostly related to graminicolous plants and soil, but also includes important tree pathogenic species affecting woody organs, such as Fusarium circinatum, agent of pitch canker of Pinus spp. (Nirenberg \& O'Donnell 1998, Herron et al. 2015). Reports from Citrus spp. are scarce with only F. proliferatum reported from fruit rot in Asia and associated with dry root rot (Hyun et al. 2000, Adesemoye et al. 2011, Farr \& Rossman 2017). Further testing is needed to confirm the ecological relevance of the new species.

The recent works by Gräfenhan et al. (2011) and Lombard et al. (2015) and the resulting segregation of Fusarium has been controversial in the sense that it excludes many agricultural and
medically important species from Fusarium, particularly those belonging to the F. solani and F. dimerum species complexes, a move which could bring confusion to the Fusarium research community (Geiser et al. 2013, Aoki et al. 2014). However, despite the practical considerations, splitting the genus seem justified phylogenetically and morphologically (Gräfenhan et al. 2011, Geiser et al. 2013, O'Donnell et al. 2013, Aoki et al. 2014, Lombard et al. 2015). Here, two new saprophytic species are described in Neocosmospora. Neocosmospora croci, although phylogenetically well defined, is difficult to distinguish morphologically from N. solani s.str. (Schroers et al. 2016). This reflects the limitations of the morphological species recognition criteria in this genus, known to include at least 60 narrowly defined phylogenetic species, distributed into three main clades, for which distinct morphological traits are minimal or absent (O'Donnell et al. 2008, Geiser et al. 2013).

The present study introduces new insights into the biodiversity of Fusarium and Neocosmospora species associated with Citrus in Europe. Surprisingly, a remarkable diversity of Fusarium and Neocosmospora species was found in a somewhat reduced sampling area. Furthermore, five new species were described, two of them belonging to a new, undescribed lineage in Fusarium, with demonstrated pathogenicity to Citrus. This shows that despite the worldwide distribution of Citrus, and previous knowledge about its associated microbes, the fungal species-richness in Citrus spp. is still underestimated. More studies are therefore needed on these new taxa in order to elucidate their host range, specificity, and global distribution, as well as their potential impact on the Citrus industry.
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