Arthroplasty Today 16 (2022) 211-218



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Arthroplasty Today

journal homepage: http://www.arthroplastytoday.org/

## Systematic Review

# Characteristics and Trends of the Most Cited Publications in The Journal of Arthroplasty

Dylan Luxenburg, BS <sup>a</sup>, <sup>\*</sup>, David Constantinescu, MD <sup>b</sup>, Gemma St. Louis, BS <sup>a</sup>, Kevin J. Bondar, BA <sup>a</sup>, Suleiman Y. Sudah, MD <sup>c</sup>, Michele D'Apuzzo, MD <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Miami Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Miami Hospital, Miami, FL, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Monmouth Medical Center, Long Branch, NJ, USA

#### A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 9 February 2022 Received in revised form 8 May 2022 Accepted 20 May 2022 Available online xxx

Keywords: Citation analysis The Journal of Arthroplasty Publications Bibliometric study Top-cited

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* This study aims to identify the most frequently cited articles published in the *Journal of Arthroplasty* (*JOA*) and to analyze the trends in the content and contributors of the literature within the journal.

*Methods:* The 100 most cited articles published in the *JOA* were accessed using the Scopus database. The number of citations, year of publication, level of evidence (LOE), article type, country of origin, and contributing institution were each recorded for each article.

*Results:* The United States (63%) was the most prolific publishing nation. The 1990s (30%) and 2000s (47%) were the most productive decades. The most common article category was clinical outcomes (33%), followed by technical note (16%) and biomechanics (14%). The plurality of the top 100 articles were well-designed case-control or cohort studies of LOE II (46%) followed by LOE V (32%) and LOE I (11%).

*Conclusions:* Using citation analysis, the most influential articles in the *JOA* were comprehensively and objectively analyzed. The most popular fields of research involved clinical outcomes (33%) and technical note (16%), both of which increase an article's likelihood of being highly cited. Knowledge of the most influential articles in the *JOA* allows for appreciation of current and potential future areas of literature regarding diagnosis, management, and outcome of a patient undergoing arthroplasty.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

#### Introduction

Peer-reviewed literature remains the foundation of how evidence-based medicine is practiced by clinicians all around the world. Total joint arthroplasty (TJA), including both total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty, comprises a large cohort of surgical procedures performed in the United States of America (USA) [1]. The ever-growing body of literature across numerous journals regarding arthroplasty provides a challenge to sift through and find the highest quality of evidence studies that can directly impact patient care.

Bibliometric analysis has become a popular method to identify and analyze specific topics or trends by using the most influential

E-mail address: Dil21@miami.edu

articles in each topic or journal. The analysis is run by order of citations to determine the impact and influence of each article. This method has been used to highlight topics of interest, shed light on under-researched topics, and determine the quality of contributions from various orthopaedic journals [2,3]. This method has also been useful for analyzing orthopaedic injuries and procedures such as meniscal injuries, unicondylar knee arthroplasty, and hip and knee arthroplasty [4–6].

ARTHROPLASTY TODAY

AAHKS

The Journal of Arthroplasty (JOA) is one of the top journals in orthopaedic surgery and the number 1 journal focusing on joint arthroplasty of the hip and knee when measured by impact factor. There is, however, lack of a coherent summary of the most relevant content of this journal. Our study aims to analyze the characteristics and trends of the top 100 most cited articles in the JOA. The purpose of this analysis is to elucidate the influence this journal has had in its various areas of research focus. We hypothesize that most of the literature comes from research groups out of the USA and are focused on clinical outcomes regarding prosthetic joint infection.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. University of Miami Leonard M. Miller School of Medicine, 1600 NW 10th Ave #1140, Miami, FL 33136, USA. Tel.: +1 954 873 0647.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2022.05.011

<sup>2352-3441/© 2022</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

| Table 1 |    |
|---------|----|
| The top | 10 |

| The to | op 100 most cited arti | cles. |
|--------|------------------------|-------|
|        |                        |       |

| Rank | Publication  | Total citation |
|------|--|----------------|
| 1    | Kurtz S.M., Lau E., Watson H., Schmier J.K., Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the united  | 914            |
| 2    | states. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2012<br>Paprosky W.G., Perona P.G., Lawrence J.M. Acetabular defect classification and surgical reconstruction in revision<br>arthroplasty. A 6 year follow: up evaluation. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 1994   | 697            |
| 3    | Kurtz S.M., Lau E., Schmier J., Ong K.L., Zhao K., Parvizi J. Infection Burden for Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in the United   | 662            |
| 4    | Walch G., Badet R., Boulahia A., Khoury A. Morphologic study of the glenoid in primary glenohumeral osteoarthritis.  | 631            |
| 5    | Journal of Arthroplasty. 1999<br>Zahiri C.A., Schmalzried T.P., Szuszczewicz E.S., Amstutz H.C. Assessing activity in joint replacement patients. Journal  | 579            |
| 6    | of Arthroplasty. 1998<br>[No author name available] Oral Thrombin Inhibitor Dabigatran Etexilate vs North American Enoxaparin Regimen  | 543            |
| 7    | for Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism After Knee Arthroplasty Surgery. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2009<br>Muratoglu O.K., Bragdon C.R., O'Connor D.O., Jasty M., Harris W.H. A novel method of cross-linking ultra-high-<br>molecular-weight polyethylene to improve wear, reduce oxidation, and retain mechanical properties: Recipient of | 508            |
| 8    | Dumbleton J.H., Manley M.T., Edidin A.A. A literature review of the association between wear rate and osteolysis in  | 475            |
| 9    | Franklin J.L., Barrett W.P., Matsen F.A., III Glenoid loosening in total shoulder arthroplasty: Association with rotator   | 458            |
| 10   | cuff deficiency. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1988<br>Kennedy J.G., Rogers W.B., Soffe K.E., Sullivan R.J., Griffen D.G., Sheehan L.J. Effect of acetabular component<br>orientation on recurrent dislocation, pelvic osteolysis, polyethylene wear, and component migration. Journal of   | 425            |
| 11   | Massin P., Engh C.A. Evaluation of cementless acetabular component migration: An experimental study. Journal of<br>Arthroplasty, 1989  | 409            |
| 12   | Parvizi J., Tan T.L., Goswami K., Higuera C., Della Valle C., Chen A.F., Shohat N. The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic<br>Hin and Knee Infection: An Evidence-Based and Validated Criteria Journal of Arthroplasty, 2018   | 397            |
| 13   | Parvizi J., Gehrke T. Definition of periprosthetic joint infection. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2010  | 393            |
| 14   | Jolles B.M., Zangger P., Leyvraz PF. Factors predisposing to dislocation after primary total hip arthroplasty: A<br>multivariate analysis. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2002   | 382            |
| 15   | Fang D.M., Ritter M.A., Davis K.E. Coronal Alignment in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Just How Important is it? Journal of<br>Arthroplasty. 2009  | 369            |
| 16   | Mason J.B., Fehring T.K., Estok R., Banel D., Fahrbach K. Meta-Analysis of Alignment Outcomes in Computer-Assisted<br>Total Knee Arthroniasty Surgery, Journal of Arthroniasty, 2007   | 368            |
| 17   | Sharkey P.F., Lichstein P.M., Shen C., Tokarski A.T., Parvizi J. Why are total knee arthroplasties failing today-has   | 358            |
| 18   | Namba R.S., Paxton L., Fithian D.C., Stone M.L. Obesity and perioperative morbidity in total hip and total knee  | 343            |
| 19   | Ong K.L., Kurtz S.M., Lau E., Bozic K.J., Berry D.J., Parvizi J. Prosthetic Joint Infection Risk After Total Hip Arthroplasty in<br>the Medicare Population, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2009   | 323            |
| 20   | Choong P.F., Dowsey M.M., Stoney J.D. Does Accurate Anatomical Alignment Result in Better Function and Quality of<br>Life? Comparing Conventional and Computer-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty, Journal of Arthroplasty 2009  | 305            |
| 21   | Kienapfel H., Sprey C., Wilke A., Griss P. Implant fixation by bone ingrowth. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1999  | 297            |
| 22   | Price A.J., Webb J., Topf H., Dodd C.A.F., Goodfellow J.W., Murray D.W. Rapid recovery after Oxford unicompartmental arthroplasty through a short incision Journal of Arthroplasty 2001  | 287            |
| 23   | Lindahl H., Malchau H., Herberts P., Garellick G. Periprosthetic femoral fractures: Classification and demographics of<br>1049 periprosthetic femoral fractures from the Swedish National Hip Arthroplasty Register. Journal of Arthroplasty.<br>2005  | 287            |
| 24   | Mancuso C.A., Salvati E.A., Johanson N.A., Peterson M.G.E., Charlson M.E. Patients' expectations and satisfaction with<br>total hip arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty, 1997  | 279            |
| 25   | Behrend H., Giesinger K., Giesinger J.M., Kuster M.S. The "Forgotten Joint" as the Ultimate Goal in Joint Arthroplasty.<br>Validation of a New Patient-Reported Outcome Measure Journal of Arthroplasty, 2012  | 276            |
| 26   | Kwon YM., Ostlere S.J., McLardy-Smith P., Athanasou N.A., Gill H.S., Murray D.W. "Asymptomatic" Pseudotumors<br>After Metal-on-Metal Hip Resultacing Arthroplasty, Prevalence and Metal Ion Study, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2011   | 267            |
| 27   | Barrett W.P., Turner S.E., Leopold J.P. Prospective randomized study of direct anterior vs postero-lateral approach for<br>total bin arthroplasty, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2013   | 266            |
| 28   | Bullens P.H.J., Van Loon C.J.M., De Waal Malefijk M.C., Laan R.F.J.M., Veth R.P.H. Patient satisfaction after total knee   | 265            |
| 29   | Masri B.A., Duncan C.P., Beauchamp C.P. Long-term elution of antibiotics from bone-cement: An in vivo study using the proctherio of artibiotic loaded agrifue generative (PROSTALAC) gratem loaded agrifue to the proctherio of artibiotic loaded agrifue generative (PROSTALAC)   | 259            |
| 30   | Bozic K.J., Chan V., Valone F.H., Feeley B.T., Vail T.P. Trends in hip arthroscopy utilization in the United States. Journal   | 258            |
| 31   | or Arthroplasty. 2013<br>Parvataneni H.K., Shah V.P., Howard H., Cole N., Ranawat A.S., Ranawat C.S. Controlling Pain After Total Hip and Knee<br>Arthroplasty Using a Multimodal Protocol With Local Periarticular Injections. A Prospective Randomized Study.  | 253            |
| 32   | Malina of Arthropasty. 2007<br>Malinzak R.A., Ritter M.A., Berend M.E., Meding J.B., Olberding E.M., Davis K.E. Morbidly Obese, Diabetic, Younger,<br>and Unilateral Joint Arthroplasty Patients Have Elevated Total Joint Arthroplasty Infection Rates. Journal of<br>Arthroplasty 2009   | 252            |
| 33   | Burroughs B.R., Hallstrom B., Golladay G.J., Hoeffel D., Harris W.H. Range of motion and stability in total hip<br>arthroplasty with 28-32-38- and 44-mm femoral head sizes: An in vitro study, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2005  | 246            |
| 34   | Longstaff L.M., Sloan K., Stamp N., Scaddan M., Beaver R. Good Alignment After Total Knee Arthroplasty Leads to  | 246            |
| 35   | Banks S.A., Markovich G.D., Hodge W.A. In vivo kinematics of cruciate-retaining and -substituting knee<br>arthroplasties. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1997  | 243            |

Table 1 (continued)

| Rank | Publication   | Total citation |
|------|---|----------------|
| 36   | Chelly J.E., Greger J., Gebhard R., Coupe K., Clyburn T.A., Buckle R., Criswell A. Continuous femoral blocks improve  | 243            |
| 37   | recovery and outcome of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2001<br>Brady O.H., Garbuz D.S., Masri B.A., Duncan C.P. The reliability and validity of the Vancouver classification of femoral<br>fractures after hip replacement Journal of Arthroplasty. 2000 | 239            |
| 38   | Bobyn J.D., Toh KK., Hacking S.A., Tanzer M., Krygier J.J. Tissue response to porous tantalum acetabular cups: A  | 236            |
| 39   | Cartier P., Sanouller JL., Grelsamer R.P. Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty surgery: 10-year minimum follow-up   | 234            |
| 40   | period. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1996<br>DiGioia III A.M., Plakseychuk A.Y., Levison T.J., Jaramaz B. Mini-incision technique for total hip arthroplasty with   | 234            |
| 41   | navigation. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2003<br>Penner M.J., Masri B.A., Duncan C.P. Elution characteristics of vancomycin and tobrarnycin combined in acrylic bone-   | 233            |
| 42   | cement. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1996<br>Collier I.P., Sperling D.K., Currier I.H., Sutula L.C., Saum K.A., Mavor M.B. Impact of gamma sterilization on clinical  | 233            |
| 42   | performance of polyethylene in the knee. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1996  |                |
| 45   | Highly Cross-linked, Ultrahigh-Molecular Weight Polyethylene Doped With Vitamin E. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2006  | 200            |
| 44   | Anderson J.G., Wixson R.L., Tsai D., Stulberg S.D., Chang R.W. Functional outcome and patient satisfaction in total knee patients over the age of 75. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1996   | 231            |
| 45   | Walter W.L., O'Toole G.C., Walter W.K., Ellis A., Zicat B.A. Squeaking in Ceramic-on-Ceramic Hips. The Importance of<br>Acetabular Component Orientation, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2007   | 231            |
| 46   | DiGioia A.M., III, Jaramaz B., Plakseychuk A.Y., Moody J.E., Jr., Nikou C., LaBarca R.S., Levison T.J., Picard F.Comparison   | 230            |
| 47   | Schroer W.C., Berend K.R., Lombardi A.V., Barnes C.L., Bolognesi M.P., Berend M.E., Ritter M.A., Nunley R.M. Why are  | 230            |
| 48   | total knees failing today? Etiology of total knee revision in 2010 and 2011. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2013<br>Nevelos J., Ingham E., Doyle C., Streicher R., Nevelos A., Walter W., Fisher J. Microseparation of the centers of   | 229            |
|      | alumina-alumina artificial hip joints during simulator testing produces clinically relevant wear rates and patterns.<br>Journal of Arthroplasty, 2000   |                |
| 49   | Lynch A.F., Rorabeck C.H., Bourne R.B. Extensor mechanism complications following total knee arthroplasty. Journal  | 224            |
| 50   | Widmer KH. A simplified method to determine acetabular cup anteversion from plain radiographs. Journal of   | 224            |
| 51   | Arthroplasty. 2004<br>Decking R., Markmann Y., Fuchs J., Puhl W., Scharf HP. Leg axis after computer-navigated total knee arthroplasty: A   | 224            |
|      | prospective randomized trial comparing computer-navigated and manual implantation. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2005  |                |
| 52   | Eldridge J.D.J., Smith E.J., Hubble M.J., Whitehouse S.L., Learmonth I.D. Massive early subsidence following femoral  | 219            |
| 53   | Griffin F.M., Insall J.N., Scuderi G.R. Accuracy of soft tissue balancing in total knee arthroplasty. Journal of  | 216            |
| 54   | Arthroplasty. 2000<br>Dennis D.A., Komistek R.D., Stiehl J.B., Walker S.A., Dennis K.N. Range of motion after total knee arthroplasty: The  | 213            |
| 55   | effect of implant design and weight-bearing conditions. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1998<br>Sadoghi P., Liebensteiner M., Agreiter M., Leithner A., Böhler N., Labek G. Revision surgery after total joint   | 213            |
| EC   | arthroplasty: A complication-based analysis using worldwide arthroplasty registers. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2013   | 212            |
| 50   | After Total Knee Arthroplasty Requires Both Performance-Based and Patient-Report Assessments. A Longitudinal  | 212            |
| 57   | Analysis of Outcomes. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2011<br>Devane P.A., Horne J.G., Martin K., Coldham G., Krause B. Three-dimensional polyethylene wear of a press-fit   | 209            |
| 58   | titanium prosthesis: Factors influencing generation of polyethylene debris. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1997<br>Dowson D. Hardaker C. Flett M. Isaac G.H. A bin joint simulator study of the performance of metal-on-metal joints  | 208            |
| 50   | Part II: Design. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2004  | 200            |
| 59   | prostheses. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1988   | 204            |
| 60   | Hetaimish B.M., Khan M.M., Simunovic N., Al-Harbi H.H., Bhandari M., Zalzal P.K. Meta-Analysis of Navigation vs<br>Conventional Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2012  | 202            |
| 61   | Petersen T.L., Engh G.A.Radiographic assessment of knee alignment after total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty, 1988  | 199            |
| 62   | Reuben J.D., Meyers S.J., Cox D.D., Elliott M., Watson M., Shim S.D. Cost comparison between bilateral simultaneous,  | 197            |
| 63   | Parvizi J., Pawasarat I.M., Azzam K.A., Joshi A., Hansen E.N., Bozic K.J. Periprosthetic joint infection: The economic  | 197            |
| 64   | impact of methicillin-resistant infections. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2010<br>Asayama I., Chamnongkich S., Simpson K.J., Kinsey T.L., Mahoney O.M. Reconstructed hip joint position and abductor   | 196            |
| 65   | muscle strength after total hip arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2005<br>Chimento G.F. Pavone V. Sharrock N. Kahn B. Cabill J. Sculco T.P. Minimally invasive total hip arthroplasty: A   | 194            |
| 66   | prospective randomized study. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2005   | 102            |
| 66   | younger. A 16-year follow-up study. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 1994   | 192            |
| 67   | Anderson K.C., Buehler K.C., Markel D.C. Computer assisted navigation in total knee arthroplasty: Comparison with conventional methods. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2005   | 192            |
| 68   | Mahaluxmivala J., Bankes M.J.K., Nicolai P., Aldam C.H., Allen P.W. The effect of surgeon experience on component positioning in 673 press fit condular posterior cruciate specificing total large arthroplastics loweral of Arthroplastic  | 188            |
| 60   | 2001  | 407            |
| 69   | Chin P.L., Kuang Y.Y., Seng J.Y., Ngai N.L. Kandomized control trial comparing radiographic total knee arthroplasty implant placement using computer navigation versus conventional technique. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2005  | 187            |
| 70   | Brand R.A., Pedersen D.R., Davy D.T., Kotzar G.M., Heiple K.G., Goldberg V.M. Comparison of hip force calculations and measurements in the same patient. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 1994  | 185            |

(continued on next page)

| Table 1 ( | continued) |
|-----------|------------|
|-----------|------------|

| Rank | Publication   | Total citation |
|------|---|----------------|
| 71   | Griffin F.M., Math K., Scuderi G.R., Insall J.N., Poilvache P.L. Anatomy of the epicondyles of the distal femur: MRI  | 184            |
| 72   | Boyd A.D., Jr., Thomas W.H., Scott R.D., Sledge C.B., Thornhill T.S. Total shoulder arthroplasty versus   | 183            |
| 73   | Walter W.L., Insley G.M., Walter W.K., Tuke M.A. Edge loading in third generation alumina ceramic-on-ceramic<br>bearings: Stripe wear, lournal of Arthroplasty, 2004  | 179            |
| 74   | Kop A.M., Swarts E. Corrosion of a Hip Stem With a Modular Neck Taper Junction. A Retrieval Study of 16 Cases.  | 179            |
| 75   | Restrepo C., Parvizi J., Pour A.E., Hozack W.J. Prospective Randomized Study of Two Surgical Approaches for Total Hip<br>Arthroplasty, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2010  | 178            |
| 76   | lorio R., Clair A.J., Inneh I.A., Slover J.D., Bosco J.A., Zuckerman J.D. Early Results of Medicare's Bundled Payment<br>Initiative for a 90-Day Total Joint Arthroplasty Episode of Care, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2016  | 178            |
| 77   | Oswald M.H., Schneider E. Radiological analysis of normal axial alignment of femur and tibia in view of total knee<br>arthroplasty Journal of Arthroplasty 1993   | 177            |
| 78   | Muratoglu O.K., Bragdon C.R., O'Connor D., Perinchief R.S., Estok II D.M., Jasty M., Harris W.H. Larger diameter femoral<br>heads used in conjunction with a highly cross-linked ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene: A new concept.   | 176            |
| 79   | Schmalzried T.P., Peters P.C., Maurer B.T., Bragdon C.R., Harris W.H. Long-duration metal-on-metal total hip<br>arthroplastics with low wear of the articulating surfaces, Journal of Arthroplasty, 1996  | 175            |
| 80   | Blunn G.W., Joshi A.B., Minns R.J., Lidgren L., Lilley P., Ryd L., Engelbrecht E., Walker P.S. Wear in retrieved condylar<br>knee arthroplasties: A comparison of wear in different designs of 280 retrieved condylar knee prostheses. Journal of<br>Arthroplasty, 1997                               | 175            |
| 81   | Edidin A.A., Pruitt L., Jewett C.W., Crane D.J., Roberts D., Kurtz S.M. Plasticity-induced damage layer is a precursor to wear in radiation- cross-linked UHMWPE acetabular components for total hip replacement. Journal of Arthroplasty.  | 175            |
| 82   | Jenny JY., Clemens U., Kohler S., Kiefer H., Konermann W., Miehlke R.K. Consistency of implantation of a total knee<br>arthroplasty with a non-image-based navigation system: A case-control study of 235 cases compared with 235<br>conventionally implanted practices. Journal of Arthroplacty 2005 | 175            |
| 83   | Taylor S.J.G., Walker P.S., Perry J.S., Cannon S.R., Woledge R. The forces in the distal femur and the knee during walking and other activities measured by telemetry Journal of Arthroplasty 1998  | 174            |
| 84   | Peters C.L., Shirley B., Erickson J. The Effect of a New Multimodal Perioperative Anesthetic Regimen on Postoperative<br>Pain, Side Effects, Rehabilitation, and Length of Hospital Stay After Total Joint Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty.<br>2006   | 174            |
| 85   | Pugely A.J., Callaghan J.J., Martin C.T., Cram P., Gao Y. Incidence of and risk factors for 30-day readmission following elective primary total joint arthroplasty: Analysis from the ACS_NSOIP Journal of Arthroplasty, 2013   | 174            |
| 86   | Bryan D., Parvizi J., Austin M., Backe H., Valle C.D., Kolessar D.J., Kreuzer S., Malinzak R., Masri B., McGrory B.J., Mochel   | 174            |
| 87   | Figgie M.P., Sobel M. The results of treatment of supracondylar fracture above total knee arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty 1990  | 173            |
| 88   | Martell J.M., Verner J.J., Incavo S.J. Clinical performance of a highly cross-linked polyethylene at two years in total hip arthroplasty: A randomized prospective trial. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2003   | 173            |
| 89   | Nakata K., Nishikawa M., Yamamoto K., Hirota S., Yoshikawa H. A Clinical Comparative Study of the Direct Anterior<br>With Mini-Posterior Approach. Two Consecutive Series. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2009  | 173            |
| 90   | Greene K.A., Wilde A.H., Stulberg B.N. Preoperative nutritional status of total joint patients: Relationship to<br>postoperative wound complications, Journal of Arthroplasty, 1991   | 172            |
| 91   | Yoshino N., Takai S., Ohtsuki Y., Hirasawa Y. Computed tomography measurement of the surgical and clinical transepicondylar axis of the distal femur in osteoarthritic knees. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2001   | 172            |
| 92   | Ladon D., Doherty A., Newson R., Turner J., Bhamra M., Case C.P. Changes in metal levels and chromosome aberrations in the peripheral blood of patients after metal-on-metal hip arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2004  | 172            |
| 93   | Engh Jr. C.A., Stepniewski A.S., Ginn S.D., Beykirch S.E., Sychterz-Terefenko C.J., Hopper Jr. R.H., Engh C.A. A<br>Randomized Prospective Evaluation of Outcomes After Total Hip Arthroplasty Using Cross-linked Marathon and  | 172            |
| 94   | Non-closs-infect endition rolyethyletic liners, journal of Arthroplasty, 2006<br>Noble J.W., Moore C.A., Liu N. The Value of Patient-Matched Instrumentation in Total Knee Arthroplasty, Journal of<br>Arthroplasty, 2012   | 172            |
| 95   | Lavernia C.J., Guzman J.F. Relationship of surgical volume to short-term mortality, morbidity, and hospital charges in  | 170            |
| 96   | Healy W.L., Wasilewski S.A., Takei R., Oberlander M. Patellofemoral complications following total knee arthroplasty.  | 170            |
| 97   | Mancuso C.A., Ranawat C.S., Esdaile J.M., Johanson N.A., Charlson M.E. Indications for total hip and total knee<br>arthroplastics: Results of orthonaedic surveys. Journal of Arthroplasty. 1995  | 170            |
| 98   | Weeden S.H., Paprosky W.G. Minimal 11-year follow-up of extensively porous-coated stems in femoral revision total<br>hin arthroplasty, Journal of Arthroplasty, 2002  | 170            |
| 99   | Kim S., Losina E., Solomon D.H., Wright J., Katz J.N. Effectiveness of clinical pathways for total knee and total hip<br>arthroplasty: Literature review Journal of Arthroplasty, 2003  | 169            |
| 100  | Pulido L., Parvizi J., Macgibeny M., Sharkey P.F., Purtill J.J., Rothman R.H., Hozack W.J. In Hospital Complications After<br>Total Joint Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty. 2008   | 169            |

### Material and methods

In September 2021, the Scopus database was used to identify articles published in the *JOA*. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines were used in the collection of data. We began by defining the topic of this study as a bibliometric analysis of articles published in the JOA. Our search term in the Scopus database was "Arthroplasty," and our "search within" was set to "source title." We searched the journal since its inception in 1986 for all studies it has published. The articles were sorted in descending order of times cited. All included studies were written in English and peer reviewed.

A combination of Scopus metrics and data collection via author review was performed using Microsoft Excel. The following data were extracted from each article: title, study design, main topic, citations, year, institutional affiliation, country of origin, and level of evidence (LOE). The countries of origin were determined by the locations of the authors' affiliated institutions. The order of the top 100 articles was determined by the number of citations per each article. If multiple articles had the same number of citations, then the most recently published article had a prioritized ranking. The LOE was either provided upon evaluation of the abstract or determined by full-text review using the Oxford LOE Guidelines. The lead author (DL) determined article classifications of each study which included the following: surgical technique, clinical outcomes, anatomy/biomechanical, natural history, clinical guidelines, classification, imaging, technical note, and biomechanics. The following topics were used to classify publications: primary hip arthroplasty, primary knee arthroplasty, prosthetic joint infection, hip and knee arthroplasty, revision hip arthroplasty, primary shoulder arthroplasty, healthy knee, venous thromboembolism, implant, and revision knee arthroplasty. The topic of TIA was applied to articles that evaluated joint arthroplasty in more than 2 joints. Articles were placed into only one category via reviewer determination, and this single most applicable category for that article was selected. These data were verified by a separate investigator, with discrepancies being reviewed by the lead author.

#### Results

The top 100 most cited articles meeting our inclusion criteria were analyzed. All articles were published between 1987 and 2018. The greatest number of citations in 1 article was 914, while the least number was 169 (Table 1). The total amount of citations was 26,278, which provides an average of 263 citations per article. In terms of productivity by decade, the 2000s were the most productive (n = 47 publications), followed by the 1990s (n = 30 publications), the 2010s (n = 18 publications), and lastly the 1980s (n = 5 publications) (Fig. 1).

Fourteen countries contributed to the top 100 articles. The USA had the greatest number of contributions with 63 articles. The United Kingdom (UK), Canada, and Australia followed with 8, 7, and 5 contributions, respectively. All other countries had less than 4 contributions (Fig. 2).

The most recurring LOE was II (n = 46 articles) and V (n = 32 articles). The remaining number of publications at each LOE was as follows: I (n = 11 articles), III (n = 5 articles), and IV (n = 6 articles) (Fig. 3).

Articles were classified into 9 different article types. The most frequent article type was clinical outcomes (n = 33 articles), followed by technical note (n = 16 articles) and biomechanics (n = 14 articles). All other articles types have 10 or less publications (Fig. 4). Articles were classified into 11 different topics. The most frequent article topic was primary hip arthroplasty (n = 33 articles), followed by primary knee arthroplasty (n = 30 articles), TJA (n = 13 articles), and prosthetic joint infection (n = 7 articles) (Fig. 5).

#### Discussion

This bibliometric analysis highlighted the most influential articles published by the *JOA*. The 100 articles were comprehensively analyzed across categories of publication, LOE, country of origin, authors, and frequency across decade.

Since its establishment in 1991, the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons has brought the most up-to-date information on a wide array of scientific topics to its members. Through its original, peer-reviewed articles, the *JOA* has been on the forefront of publishing high-impact medical literature. Previous bibliometric analyses have highlighted the impact that the contributions from this journal have had in the most influential THA and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty research [7,8]. A recent study analyzing authorship trends in the *JOA* found that over time there has been a significant increase in last authors with an MD/PhD and MD/MBA, increases in contribution from international authors, and increases in the mean number of authors per article [9]. The present study highlights the most influential articles published by this



Figure 1. Top 100 articles in the Journal of Arthroplasty by decade.



Figure 2. Top 100 articles in the Journal of Arthroplasty by country.

journal that have further developed the advancements in arthroplasty research.

Notably, 57% of the publications had an LOE of I or II which is slightly higher relative to other orthopaedic journals. In 2005, Obremskey et al. evaluated the LOE in various orthopaedic journals and found 32% of articles to have an LOE of I or II [10]. In the current study, 11% of the articles had level I evidence while 46% had level II evidence. Over the past 20 years, there has been a significant increase in the number of articles with LOE of I and II possibly due to the increasing emphasis on publication quality in orthopaedics [11].

Additionally, through categorization by article type, we were able to analyze patterns in the top 100 most cited arthroplasty articles. Specifically, clinical outcomes of various interventions comprised about one-third of this list (33%), followed by studies of technical note (16%) and biomechanical studies (14%). Other similar studies have found that clinical outcomes of arthroplasty dominate the orthopaedic literature with respect to citation frequency [3,8].

The most cited article was published by Kurtz et al. in 2012, and it emphasized the financial implications of periprosthetic joint infections. The research team projected that with an increasing demand for joint arthroplasty, cost of infected revisions to US hospitals may exceed \$1.62 billion by 2020 [12]. The *JOA* recently published an article with similar evidence pointing toward increasing cost projects primarily due to increases in the total number of these procedures being performed [13]. The third most cited article also came from the work of Dr. Kurtz. This article



## Level of Evidence

Figure 3. Top 100 articles in the Journal of Arthroplasty by level of evidence.



Figure 4. Top 100 articles in the Journal of Arthroplasty by type of article.

focused on infection burden for hip and knee arthroplasty [14]. It was published in 2008, during the decade which has had the greatest number of publications on our list. This further exemplifies the academic growth in arthroplasty research during this time span.

The second most cited article followed 147 patients undergoing acetabular component revision and classified their acetabular defects. Their paper stated that by adhering to the used classification system and utilizing the appropriate surgical technique, acceptable and predictable results of acetabular revision can be expected [15]. While most publications in this analysis focused on primary hip or knee arthroplasty, there has been increasing recent research in revision arthroplasty. Specifically with revision THA, there has been a focus on clinical outcomes with most papers having an LOE of II [16]. However, in this analysis, the authors did not find trends changing over time.

Furthermore, the USA is the country of origin for the majority of the articles in this list (63%), followed by the UK (8%) and Canada (7%). This follows the major trend in bibliometric studies, with America contributing the most to medical journals, especially in orthopaedic journals. However, a 2013 study evaluating knee arthroplasty and soft-tissue surgery reported that the USA had declined in publishing over the past 16 years, while the UK and

Japan became more prolific in publishing [17]. Nonetheless, the USA continues to contribute the most to top 100 lists in terms of citation frequency [8]. We can attribute this to most renowned medical journals originating in the US, publishing in the English language, and more funding opportunities [18].

There were several limitations of this study. A bibliometric analysis that uses total citation count to rank publications naturally presents bias toward older articles because there has been a longer time period for these articles to accrue cumulative citations. Article classification and LOE were assigned by author review, which involves subjective interpretation despite the use of standard LOE guidelines. The country of origin analysis may not account for the potential multinational collaboration. Outside of Scopus indexing and updates reporting, it cannot be independently verified that all of the most recent *JOA* articles are indexed within the Scopus database.

#### Conclusions

Using citation analysis, the most influential articles in the JOA were comprehensively and objectively analyzed. The most popular fields of research involved clinical outcomes (33%) and technical note (16%), both of which increase an article's likelihood of being highly cited. Knowledge of the most influential articles in the JOA allows for appreciation of current and potential future areas of literature regarding diagnosis, management, and outcome of a patient undergoing arthroplasty.

#### **Conflict of interest**

Michele D'Apuzzo is a paid consultant at Zimmer Biomet and is a board member of the Florida Orthopedic Society and American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery; all other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

For full disclosure statements refer to https://doi.org/10.1016/j. artd.2019.12.004.

#### Informed patient consent

The author(s) confirm that informed consent has been obtained from the involved patient(s) or if appropriate from the parent,



Figure 5. Frequency of publications by article topic.

guardian, power of attorney of the involved patient(s); and, they have given approval for this information to be published in this article.

#### References

- Maradit Kremers H, Larson DR, Crowson CS, Kremers WK, Washington RE, Steiner CA, et al. Prevalence of total hip and knee replacement in the United States. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97:1386–97.
- [2] Dynako J, Owens GW, Loder RT, Frimpong T, Gerena RG, Hasnain F, et al. Bibliometric and authorship trends over a 30 year publication history in two representative US sports medicine journals. Heliyon 2020;6:e03698.
- [3] Donnally 3rd CJ, Lugo-Pico JG, Bondar KJ, Chen CJ, McCormick JR, Errico TJ. Characteristics and trends of the most cited spine publications. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2021;46:765–71.
- [4] Damodar D, Plotsker E, Greif D, Rizzo MG, Baraga MG, Kaplan LD. The 50 most cited articles in meniscal injury research. Orthop J Sports Med 2021;9. 2325967121994909.
- [5] Yakkanti R, Greif DN, Wilhelm J, Allegra PR, Yakkanti R, Hernandez VH. Unicondylar knee arthroplasty: a bibliometric analysis of the 50 most commonly cited studies. Arthroplast Today 2020;6:931–40.
- [6] Holzer LA, Holzer G. The 50 highest cited papers in hip and knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2014;29:453–7.
- [7] Zhang W, Tang N, Li X, George DM, He G, Huang T. The top 100 most cited articles on total hip arthroplasty: a bibliometric analysis. J Orthop Surg Res 2019;14:412.
- [8] Holzer LA, Holzer G. The most influential papers in unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Relat Res 2020;32:54.

- [9] Lehman JD, Schairer WW, Gu A, Blevins JL, Sculco PK. Authorship trends in 30 Years of the journal of arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2017;32:1684–7.
- [10] Obremskey WT, Pappas N, Attallah-Wasif E, Tornetta P, Bhandari M. Level of evidence in orthopaedic journals. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005;87: 2632–8.
- [11] Judy RP, Shin JJ, McCrum C, Ayeni OR, Samuelsson K, Musahl V. Level of evidence and authorship trends of clinical studies in knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy, 1995-2015. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2018;26:9–14.
- [12] Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. J Arthroplasty 2012;27(8 Suppl):61–65.e1.
- [13] Premkumar A, Kolin DA, Farley KX, Wilson JM, McLawhorn AS, Cross MB, et al. Projected economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection of the hip and knee in the United States. J Arthroplasty 2021;36:1484–1489.e3.
- [14] Kurtz SM, Lau E, Schmier J, Ong KL, Zhao K, Parvizi J. Infection burden for hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States. J Arthroplasty 2008;23:984–91.
  [15] Paprosky WG, Perona PG, Lawrence JM. Acetabular defect classification and
- [15] Paprosky WG, Perona PG, Lawrence JM. Acetabular defect classification and surgical reconstruction in revision arthroplasty. A 6-year follow-up evaluation. J Arthroplasty 1994;9:33–44.
- [16] Constantinescu D, Luxenburg D, Markowitz MI, Helmi Mahmoud RH, D'Apuzzo M. Top 50 most cited articles in revision total hip arthroplasty research. J Orthop 2022;31:92–8.
- [17] Ajuied A, Back D, Smith C, Davies AJ, Wong F, Earnshaw PH. Publication trends in knee surgery: a review of the last 16 years. J Arthroplasty 2013;28:751–8.
- [18] Zhi X, Cui J, Gu Z, Cao L, Weng W, Li Q, et al. Orthopedics research output from China, USA, UK, Japan, Germany and France: a 10-year survey of the literature. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2016;102:939–45.