

NECAPs are negative regulators of the AP2 clathrin adaptor complex

Gwendolyn M Beacham¹, Edward A Partlow¹, Jeffrey J Lange², Gunther Hollopeter^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, United States; ²Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, United States

Abstract Eukaryotic cells internalize transmembrane receptors via clathrin-mediated endocytosis, but it remains unclear how the machinery underpinning this process is regulated. We recently discovered that membrane-associated muniscin proteins such as FCHo and SGIP initiate endocytosis by converting the AP2 clathrin adaptor complex to an open, active conformation that is then phosphorylated (Hollopeter et al., 2014). Here we report that loss of *ncap-1*, the sole *C. elegans* gene encoding an adaptiN Ear-binding Coat-Associated Protein (NECAP), bypasses the requirement for FCHO-1. Biochemical analyses reveal AP2 accumulates in an open, phosphorylated state in *ncap-1* mutant worms, suggesting NECAPs promote the closed, inactive conformation of AP2. Consistent with this model, NECAPs preferentially bind open and phosphorylated forms of AP2 in vitro and localize with constitutively open AP2 mutants in vivo. NECAPs do not associate with phosphorylation-defective AP2 mutants, implying that phosphorylation precedes NECAP recruitment. We propose NECAPs function late in endocytosis to inactivate AP2. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.001

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells internalize transmembrane protein cargo, such as laden receptors, by enrobing cargo-containing regions of the plasma membrane with a cytosolic clathrin coat. The GTPase dynamin releases the nascent transport vesicle into the cytosol for subsequent delivery to internal organelles. This fundamental cellular process is called clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The Adaptor Protein 2 (AP2) complex, a heterotetramer of α , $\beta 2$, $\mu 2$, and $\sigma 2$ subunits (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*), actively couples polymerization of the clathrin coat to membrane phospholipids and cargo molecules destined for internalization (*Kirchhausen et al., 2014*). In this manner, AP2 choreographs turnover of the cell surface and entry into the endolysosomal system, yet it remains unclear how this complex is regulated with spatiotemporal precision to maintain appropriate levels of endocytosis.

AP2 activity is likely regulated at the structural level. Biochemical (*Matsui and Kirchhausen*, 1990; *Rapoport et al.*, 1997) and structural data suggest that AP2 adopts at least two functionally distinct conformations (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*). In one arrangement, the binding pockets for membrane, cargo, and clathrin are occluded; this orientation is thought to represent a closed, inactive state (*Collins et al.*, 2002). Molecular rearrangement of the AP2 subunits exposes these binding sites and results in an open, active complex that presumably coordinates the formation of endocytic pits (*Jackson et al.*, 2010; *Kelly et al.*, 2014; *Kelly et al.*, 2008).

Multiple inputs coordinate the conformational rearrangement of AP2 but recent data suggest that membrane-associated muniscin proteins (*Reider et al., 2009*) allosterically activate the AP2 complex (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*; *Umasankar et al., 2014*). These include SH3-containing GRB2-like protein 3-Interacting Protein (SGIP) (*Uezu et al., 2007*) and Fer/CIP4 Homology domain only (FCHo) proteins (*Henne et al., 2010; Sakaushi et al., 2007*). In *C. elegans*, loss of the sole muniscin, FCHO-1, causes AP2 to dwell in the inactive state, leading to hindered endocytosis

*For correspondence: gh383@cornell.edu

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 26

Received: 25 September 2017 Accepted: 17 January 2018 Published: 18 January 2018

Reviewing editor: Suzanne R Pfeffer, Stanford University School of Medicine, United States

© Copyright Beacham et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

(Hollopeter et al., 2014). Residual endocytosis in *fcho-1* mutants is likely coordinated by additional endocytic components acting in parallel (*Ma et al., 2016*; *Mayers et al., 2013*; *Wang et al., 2016*). Gain-of-function mutations in AP2 that selectively destabilize the closed conformation, thereby artificially inducing the open state, can bypass loss of FCHO-1. A conserved region of muniscins called the AP2 activator domain (APA), binds AP2 and is also sufficient to rescue both *fcho-1* mutants (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) and FCHo-deficient tissue culture cells (*Umasankar et al., 2014*).

Activation of AP2 and subsequent clathrin binding also require additional molecular events, including interactions with $PI(4,5)P_2$ and cargo (*Ehrlich et al., 2004*; *Jackson et al., 2010*; *Kadlecova et al., 2017*; *Kelly et al., 2014*; *Kelly et al., 2008*). Phosphorylation of the AP2 μ 2 subunit by an AP2-Associated Kinase (AAK1) (*Conner and Schmid, 2002*) is also proposed to be required for endocytosis (*Olusanya et al., 2001*; *Ricotta et al., 2002*) and is associated with the open form of AP2 (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*; *Höning et al., 2005*). However, it is not entirely clear whether phosphorylation induces the open state, stabilizes the open state (*Jackson et al., 2010*; *Kadlecova et al., 2017*), or marks adaptor complexes that have already been incorporated into clathrin coats (*Conner et al., 2003*; *Jackson et al., 2003*; *Semerdjieva et al., 2008*).

How then is AP2 inactivated and returned to the cytosol after endocytosis is complete? The heat shock protein Hsc70 (*Chappell et al., 1986*) and its cofactors (*Greener et al., 2000*; *Umeda et al., 2000*; *Ungewickell et al., 1995*) remove clathrin coats from vesicles. Release of AP2 is also thought to depend on Hsc70 (*Hannan et al., 1998*) but appears to require additional factors that stimulate the dephosphorylation of μ 2 (*Ghosh and Kornfeld, 2003*) and the conversion of vesicular PI(4,5)P₂ into PI(4)P (*Cremona et al., 1999*; *Semerdjieva et al., 2008*). Whether there are mechanisms to directly restore the inactive, closed conformation of AP2 has been relatively unexplored.

In this study, we identify adaptiN Ear-binding Coat-Associated Proteins (NECAPs) as AP2 modulators that promote inactivation of the complex. NECAPs were originally identified as endocytic accessory proteins through proteomic analysis of clathrin-coated vesicles (*Wasiak et al., 2002*) and were shown to bind the AP2 α appendage via a C-terminal WXXF motif (*Ritter et al., 2004*; *Ritter et al., 2003*). A conserved N-terminal region of these proteins (the PHear domain) appears to be structurally similar to pleckstrin homology domains and exhibits varying affinity for FxDxF motif-containing endocytic accessory proteins (*Ritter et al., 2007*). While most invertebrate and fungal genomes encode a single NECAP, vertebrates express two closely-related forms (*Dergai et al., 2016*; *Manna et al., 2015*) that are thought to be functionally distinct. Brain-enriched NECAP1 is proposed to modulate the size and number of endocytic structures by regulating the binding of clathrin to the AP2 β 2 linker and the coordination of accessory protein recruitment to the α appendage (*Ritter et al., 2013*). In support of this model, NECAP1 has been localized to clathrin-coated pits at the ultrastructural level (*Sochacki et al., 2017*). By contrast, ubiquitously-expressed NECAP2 has been proposed to recruit a different clathrin adaptor, AP1, to early endosomes to facilitate fast recycling of receptors back to the cell surface (*Chamberland et al., 2016*).

Using an unbiased genetic screen in *C. elegans*, we have unveiled a novel role for NECAPs as proteins that work in opposition of muniscins. We demonstrate that in worms lacking NECAPs (*ncap-1* mutants), AP2 accumulates in an open, hyper-phosphorylated state and that heterologous NECAPs restore the closed conformation. NECAPs bind open and phosphorylated forms of the AP2 core but not phosphorylation-defective AP2 mutants, suggesting that NECAPs target the active complex. Together, our genetic and biochemical evidence establish NECAPs as negative regulators of AP2.

Results

Loss of ncap-1 suppresses fcho-1 mutants

By mutagenizing worms lacking *fcho-1* and selecting for offspring that outcompete their siblings (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*), we isolated nine independent loss-of-function mutations in *ncap-1*, which encodes the sole adaptiN Ear-binding Coat-Associated Protein (NECAP) in *C. elegans* (*Figure 1A*). Worms with null mutations in *fcho-1* exhibit reduced fitness and require twice as long as wild type worms to populate a culture plate and consume the bacterial food source. Additionally, they display a distinctive 'jowls' phenotype that is indicative of compromised AP2 activity (*Gu et al., 2013*;

eLIFE Research advance

Cell Biology



Figure 1. Loss of NCAP-1 suppresses *fcho-1* mutants. (A) Gene model of the *C. elegans ncap-1* locus. Boxes represent exons. Mutations isolated from the *fcho-1* suppressor screen are indicated. The deletion allele, *mew39*, was used throughout this study as *ncap-1*. The neighboring gene (Y110A2AR.1) is predicted to encode a receptor expression-enhancing protein (REEP). (B) Animal heads showing jowls phenotype (red arrows). Anterior is up. WT, wild type; RFP:NCAP-1, red fluorescent protein-tagged NCAP-1 single-copy transgene. (C) Starvation assay. Data represent days required for worms to reproduce and consume bacterial food source (top schematic). Bars indicate mean ±SEM for n = 10 biological replicates. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.002

The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. AP2 structures and mutations.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.003

Hollopeter et al., 2014). Loss of NCAP-1 suppressed the jowls phenotype (Figure 1B) and ameliorated the fitness of fcho-1 mutants (Figure 1C). Expression of fluorescently-tagged NCAP-1 in fcho-1 ncap-1 double mutants restored the fcho-1 phenotype (Figure 1B and C), confirming that suppression of fcho-1 is due to loss of NCAP-1 function.

Previously we observed that suppression of *fcho-1* correlates with recovery of AP2 activity (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). To evaluate if loss of NCAP-1 also increases AP2 activity in *fcho-1* mutants, we imaged GFP-tagged AP2 α adaptin (APA-2:GFP) in macrophagic cells called coelomocytes that exhibit robust levels of endocytosis (*Sato et al., 2014*). Muniscins, such as FCHO-1, stabilize AP2 on the plasma membrane to promote its incorporation into presumptive pits, or clusters (*Cocucci et al., 2012*; *Henne et al., 2010*; *Hollopeter et al., 2014*). We performed Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) to quantify AP2 stability on the coelomocyte membrane. In the *fcho-1* mutants, fluorescent AP2 recovers approximately three times faster than in wild type worms, indicating that AP2 association with the membrane is destabilized (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Loss of NCAP-1 slowed AP2 kinetics in *fcho-1* animals (*Figure 2A*), suggesting that AP2 is incorporated into longer-lived structures. Indeed, we observed improved AP2 clustering in some cells, but the trend was not significant (*Figure 2B*). Endocytosis of an AP2-dependent model cargo is compromised in *fcho-1* mutants (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) whereas cargo internalization was partially rescued in *fcho-1* ncap-1 worms (*Figure 2C*). These data indicate that a loss of NCAP-1 improves AP2 activity in *fcho-1* mutants.

Open and phosphorylated AP2 accumulates in ncap-1 mutants

We previously discovered that AP2 activity in *fcho-1* mutants is also partially restored by amino acid substitutions that specifically destabilize the closed conformation of the adaptor complex, hence-forth referred to as 'open AP2 mutations' (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Because loss of NCAP-1 pheno-typically mimicked open AP2 mutations, we tested whether AP2 also dwells in the open state in



Figure 2. Loss of NCAP-1 restores AP2 activity in *fcho-1* mutants. (A) FRAP analysis of GFP-tagged AP2 α adaptin (APA-2:GFP) on membranes of coelomocytes (top schematic). Time constants (tau) of the fluorescence recovery are plotted. (B) AP2 localization in coelomocytes. Representative confocal images of coelomocytes in worms expressing APA-2:GFP. Micrographs (top) are representative maximum projections of Z-slices through approximately half of a cell. Data represent the coefficient of variance (%CV) of pixel intensities for individual cells. (C) Artificial AP2 cargo assay. Representative confocal micrographs of intestinal cells (middle) in worms expressing a GFP-tagged cargo (top schematic). TM, transmembrane domain. The average pixel intensity along a basolateral membrane was measured (bottom). (A–C) Bars indicate mean ±SEM for $n \ge 8$ biological replicates. *p<0.05, **p<0.001, not significant (n.s.), unpaired, two-tailed T-test. (D) μ 2 protease-sensitivity assay. Western blot analysis of whole worm lysates was used to quantify the amount of full-length μ 2 (anti-HA, 50 kDa) before (pre TEV, bottom blot) and after protease induction (post TEV, top blot). Band intensities were compared to a tubulin loading control and normalized to the *fcho-1*(+) *ncap-1*(+) ratio (values below). (E) μ 2 phosphorylation assay. Western blot analysis of whole worm lysates to quantify phosphorylated μ 2 (top blot) relative to total μ 2 subunit (bottom blot). Values indicate band intensity ratios of phospho μ 2 compared to total μ 2, normalized to the *fcho-1*(+) *ncap-1*(+) ratio (values below). (D and E) Blots are representative of \geq 3 biological replicates. +, wild type allele; -, deletion allele.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.004

fcho-1 ncap-1 worms. We evaluated AP2 conformation using an in vivo protease-sensitivity assay (Hollopeter et al., 2014). Briefly, a Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease site was inserted into a surface loop of the μ 2 subunit that becomes more exposed when AP2 is opened (Jackson et al., 2010; Matsui and Kirchhausen, 1990). Following induction of TEV protease expression, the ensemble protease-sensitivity of the AP2 complexes is determined using western blot analysis of μ 2 in whole worm lysates. In fcho-1 worms, AP2 dwells in a protease-insensitive, closed state (Hollopeter et al., 2014) and AP2 was more protease-sensitive (open) in ncap-1 mutants (Figure 2D). These results explain the phenotypic rescue of fcho-1 mutants and indicate that AP2 accumulates in an open state in the absence of NCAP-1.

Phosphorylation of μ 2 correlates with open AP2 in *C. elegans* (Hollopeter et al., 2014). Because loss of NCAP-1 increases AP2 protease-sensitivity, we wondered whether μ 2 phosphorylation might also be elevated. We quantified the phosphorylation status of AP2 in vivo by blotting worm lysates with an antibody specific to the phosphorylated threonine in μ 2 (T160). Loss of NCAP-1 resulted in T160 phosphorylation levels greater than in wild type worms (*Figure 2E*). Thus, AP2 adopts a hyperphosphorylated, open state without the action of NCAP-1.

Negative regulation of AP2 is a conserved function of NECAPs

To determine if the ability to inactivate AP2 is a conserved function of NECAPs in the context of our *C. elegans* system, we expressed heterologous NECAPs as single-copy transgenes in *fcho-1 ncap-1* mutant worms. Mouse NECAP1 and NECAP2, as well as a NECAP from the multicellular fungus, *Sphaerobolus stellatus*, recapitulated the reduced fitness of *fcho-1* mutants (*Figure 3A*) and caused AP2 to adopt a more closed, protease-insensitive state (*Figure 3B*). It appears that NECAPs have retained the ability to negatively regulate AP2, at least in *C. elegans*.

NECAPs bind the open and phosphorylated AP2 core in vitro

We investigated the possibility that NECAPs negatively regulate AP2 via a direct interaction. Indeed, affinity-tagged NECAPs co-purified endogenous AP2 complexes from HEK293 cells (*Figure 4A*), consistent with previous reports (*Ritter et al., 2003*). NECAPs are thought to bind the AP2 α adaptin appendage domain and the clathrin binding box in the β 2 adaptin hinge region via a C-terminal WXXF motif and an N-terminal PHear domain, respectively (*Ritter et al., 2013*; *Ritter et al., 2003*). Because the C-terminal WXXF motif is poorly conserved in *C. elegans* NCAP-1 (LLDF) and completely absent from the *S. stellatus* protein (PKRR), it was unclear whether the negative regulation of AP2 by heterologous NECAPs (*Figure 3*) was mediated via binding to the α appendage. Instead, we were interested to examine whether NECAPs also bind to the AP2 core (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*) because this would offer a direct route to conformational regulation. We purified recombinant vertebrate AP2 cores lacking ears and linkers and tested binding of these cores to recombinant NECAPs from worms and mice (*Figure 4B*). Interestingly, NECAPs did not bind unmodified AP2 cores (*Figure 4B*).

Because open and phosphorylated AP2s accumulate in *ncap-1* mutants, we reasoned that NECAPs might act upon these modified forms of AP2. To test our hypothesis, we introduced a previously-characterized open AP2 mutation in the μ 2 subunit (E302K; *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C*) (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) to produce recombinant AP2 cores that dwell in the open state. We also co-expressed AP2 with the kinase domain of AAK1 to purify AP2 cores with phosphorylated μ 2 (T156) (*Höning et al., 2005*). When these modified complexes were tested in our pulldown assays, we observed that NECAPs bound both open and phosphorylated AP2 (*Figure 4B and C*). These data suggest that NECAPs associate with the AP2 complex in a conformation-dependent manner.

NCAP-1 localizes with constitutively open AP2 in vivo

To corroborate our in vitro pulldown results we sought in vivo evidence that NECAPs associate with open and phosphorylated AP2. In *C. elegans*, fluorescently-tagged AP2 is enriched at the nerve ring, a major neuropil of bundled axons encircling the pharynx (*White et al., 1986*) (*Figure 5*). We used confocal microscopy to observe localization of NCAP-1 tagged with a red fluorescent protein (RFP:NCAP-1) at the nerve ring in live worms. In both wild type and *fcho-1* mutant worms, NCAP-1 was not overtly enriched compared to AP2. The low level of NCAP-1 relative to AP2 may indicate that in worms, NCAP-1 is not stably associated with AP2 on membranes. However, introduction of a



Figure 3. NECAPs restore closed AP2 in *fcho-1 ncap-1* worms. RFP-tagged NECAPs were expressed as single copy transgenes in *fcho-1 ncap-1* worms. *Ce, C. elegans; Mm, M. musculus; Ss, Sphaerobolus stellatus* (multicellular fungus). +, wild type allele; -, deletion allele. (A) Starvation assay performed as in *Figure 1C*. Bars represent mean \pm SEM for n \geq 7 biological replicates. **p<0.001, unpaired, two-tailed T-test. (B) µ2 protease-sensitivity assay as in *Figure 2D*, except a flag-tagged µ2 subunit was used. Band intensities were compared to a histone loading control and normalized to the *fcho-1*(+) *ncap-1*(+) ratio (values below). Blot is representative of 2 biological replicates. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.005

D 01. https:// doi.org/10./001/02.10.02212.000

mutation in μ 2 known to generate hyper-phosphorylated, constitutively open AP2 (E306K; **Figure 1 figure supplement 1C**) (**Hollopeter et al., 2014**) enhanced localization of NCAP-1 nearly 2-fold (**Figure 5**). In other words, NCAP-1 was abnormally recruited to the nerve ring in the open AP2 mutant suggesting that NECAPs favor association with activated forms of AP2 in vivo. It is possible that the basal level of localization observed in both wild type and *fcho-1* mutants may represent NCAP-1 bound to the AP2 appendages (**Ritter et al., 2013**; **Ritter et al., 2003**).

AP2 phosphorylation site mutations weaken NECAP binding

We were curious whether phosphorylation of AP2 is a prerequisite for NECAP binding. Interestingly, in *C. elegans*, mutating the phosphorylation site, μ 2(T160), to an alanine, isoleucine, proline, or



Figure 4. NECAPs bind the open and phosphorylated AP2 core. Pulldown assays using affinity-tagged NECAPs. Proteins were cleaved from the affinity tag (HaloTag), electrophoretically separated and then blotted for AP2 subunits (**A** and **C**) or SYPRO-stained prior to imaging (**B**). Control, HaloTag alone; NC, NECAP; *Ce, C. elegans; Mm, M. musculus.* (**A**) Western blot analysis (middle) of samples purified from human cell lysates (top schematic) expressing the indicated NECAP bait (bottom). (**B** and **C**) In vitro pulldown assays using purified recombinant bait (NECAPs, bottom) and prey (vertebrate AP2 cores, top). Co-expression with the kinase domain from mouse AAK1 (+kinase) generates phosphorylated AP2. Amino acid changes in μ^2 are indicated: E302K, constitutively open AP2; T156A, phosphorylation-defective AP2; see also *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C*. 20% of prey input was analyzed for comparison with 50% of the sample released by the protease. (**A**–**C**) Band intensities of the α subunit (**A**) or the α trunk (**B** and **C**) were quantified, background signal subtracted, and values normalized to the HaloTag control (values above). Data are representative of 2 biological (**A**), one technical (**B**), and two technical (**C**) replicates.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.006



Figure 5. Phosphorylated AP2 recruits NCAP-1 in vivo. Representative confocal slices (middle) through the approximate center of the nerve ring of worms (top schematic) expressing RFP:NCAP-1 and APA-2:GFP. RFP to GFP signal intensity at the nerve ring is plotted (bottom). Mutations in μ 2 are indicated: E306K and R440S, constitutively open AP2; T160A, phosphorylation-defective AP2; see also *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C*. Bars indicate mean ±SEM for n \geq 10 biological replicates. **p<0.001, unpaired, two-tailed T-test. au, arbitrary units; +, wild type allele; -, deletion allele. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.007

glutamate suppresses *fcho-1* and produces an open complex according to the in vivo protease-sensitivity assay (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). However, when we purified vertebrate AP2 cores containing the phosphorylation site mutation (T156A; *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C*) and tested their ability to bind mouse NECAP2, we observed very little interaction in pulldown assays (*Figure 4C*). This result suggests that NECAPs do not bind phosphorylation-defective AP2. To determine whether the phosphorylation site mutants also impact NCAP-1 association with AP2 in vivo, we examined the localization of RFP:NCAP-1 in μ 2(T160A) mutant worms. Compared to mutants with hyper-phosphorylated AP2 (E306K), the T160A mutants had less NCAP-1 at the nerve ring (*Figure 5*). These results are consistent with the model that NCAP-1 associates with AP2 in a phosphorylation-dependent manner.

The preferential association of NCAP-1 with the hyper-phosphorylated AP2 (E306K) compared to the phosphorylation-defective AP2 (T160A) was not simply due to differences in the extent to which these mutations generate a protease-sensitive, open AP2 complex (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). When

the E306K and T160A mutations were both present in the same μ 2 subunit, less RFP:NCAP-1 was localized to the nerve ring compared to when the E306K mutation was combined with another open AP2 mutation (R440S; *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C* and *Figure 5*) (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). In other words, the effect of the phosphorylation site mutation was dominant, while the two open AP2 mutations were additive, with respect to NCAP-1 association. Thus, phosphorylation site mutations preclude the association of NCAP-1 with open AP2.

Missense mutations render NECAPs functionally inactive

In the fcho-1 suppressor screen, we isolated two independent missense mutations in the N-terminal PHear domain of NCAP-1: A29D and S84N (Figure 1A). To investigate the mechanism by which these mutations restore AP2 activity, we engineered them de novo into an RFP:NCAP-1 transgene in an otherwise ncap-1 null background. These modified NECAPs failed to complement ncap-1 mutants (Figure 6A), were unable to restore the closed conformation of AP2 in vivo (Figure 6B), and were not recruited to the nerve ring by open, hyper-phosphorylated AP2 (Figure 6—figure supplement 1A). Importantly, these mutant proteins were not simply unstable; by western blot analysis they appeared to be expressed at levels similar to the wild type version (Figure 6C). We also introduced the homologous mutations into recombinant M. musculus NECAP2 constructs to evaluate AP2 binding using purified components. Even though these mutant NECAPs appeared to be stable and retained the ability to bind open AP2 (E302K), they specifically failed to bind the phosphorylated AP2 core (Figure 6—figure supplement 1B). The in vitro pulldown assays suggest that the primary reason the NCAP-1 missense mutants are inefficiently recruited to the nerve ring by the open AP2 mutation in vivo may be that the corresponding adaptor complexes are also phosphorylated. Taken together, our results further suggest that the NCAP-1 mutants fail to inactivate AP2 because they are unable to bind the phosphorylated AP2 core.

Discussion

In *C. elegans*, loss-of-function mutations in *ncap-1* bypass the requirement for FCHO-1 by restoring AP2 activity and promoting the accumulation of the open, phosphorylated form of AP2. These initial results were consistent with two distinct models: (1) NCAP-1 maintains the closed conformation of AP2 until FCHO-1 releases this inhibition, or (2) NCAP-1 generates the closed conformation of AP2, either directly or indirectly. As a consequence, the inactive form of AP2 predominates in the absence of allosteric activation by FCHO-1. Our subsequent biochemical and imaging data are most consistent with the latter model; NECAP homologs from a variety of organisms bind the open, phosphorylated AP2 core in vitro and restore the closed form of the complex in vivo. We also observe increased recruitment of fluorescently-tagged NCAP-1 by open, hyper-phosphorylated forms of AP2 in vivo. We propose that NECAPs act downstream of muniscin function and μ 2 phosphorylation to inactivate AP2 (*Figure 7*).

fcho-1 suppressors disrupt the AP2 inactivation pathway

In the absence of FCHO-1, nematodes exhibit reduced fitness and AP2 dwells in a closed, hypophosphorylated state. Using an unbiased genetic screen, we isolated mutations that independently improve the fitness of fcho-1 genetic nulls. We now recognize that these fcho-1 suppressors occur in three distinct classes: dominant missense mutations that disrupt the closed conformation of AP2 (class 1) or mutate the phosphorylation site on μ 2 (class 2), and recessive mutations that inactivate NCAP-1 (class 3) (Figure 7). These three classes of mutations appear to rescue fcho-1 by restoring AP2 activity, but we propose that they achieve this through different mechanisms. Previously, the open AP2 mutants (class 1) appeared to behave similarly to the phosphorylation site mutants (class 2) (Hollopeter et al., 2014). However, this study reveals that NECAPs clearly distinguish between these two classes. Open, hyper-phosphorylated AP2 mutants (class 1) appear to recruit NECAPs in excess but must be somewhat resistant to their action in order to sustain AP2 activity in the absence of muniscins. By contrast, phosphorylation site mutants (class 2) appear to bypass the requirement for FCHO-1 by evading NECAP binding altogether. Similar to class 2, mutations that directly disrupt NCAP-1 (class 3) probably enable a basal level of AP2 activity to be sustained by complexes that succeed in attaining the open, phosphorylated state in lieu of allosteric activation by muniscins. Importantly, our data suggest that all three classes of suppressors bypass FCHO-1 by disrupting the



Figure 6. Missense mutations render NCAP-1 stable but functionally inactive. Amino acid changes isolated from the *fcho-1* suppressor screen (*Figure 1A*) were introduced into an RFP-tagged NCAP-1 transgene in *fcho-1* ncap-1 worms. +, wild type allele; -, deletion allele. (A) Starvation assay performed as in *Figure 1C*. Bars represent mean \pm SEM for n \geq 9 biological replicates. **p<0.001, unpaired, two-tailed T-test. (B) µ2 protease-sensitivity assay as in *Figure 3B*. (C) Western blot analysis to detect HA epitope on NCAP-1 transgenic proteins. (B and C) Band intensities were compared to a beta actin loading control and normalized to the *fcho-1*(+) ncap-1(+) ratio (B) or to the transgenic wild type form of NCAP-1 (C) (values below). Blots are representative of 2 biological replicates.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.008

The following figure supplement is available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Missense mutations in NECAPs prevent association with phosphorylated forms of AP2.

Figure 6 continued on next page

process by which AP2 closes. The wealth of mutations in AP2 and NECAP identified in the *fcho-1* suppressor screen, combined with our biochemical and imaging data, reveal discrete steps of AP2 conformational and phosphorylation changes during its recycling (*Figure 7*).

It is curious that the unnaturally active forms of AP2 isolated from our *fcho-1* suppressor screen do not exhibit enhanced endocytosis or membrane association when the *fcho-1* gene is intact (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) (*Figure 2*). In other words, why is there not a functional consequence associated with hyperactive AP2 in an otherwise wild type background? Perhaps there are compensatory mechanisms that prevent rampant endocytosis or, alternatively, our assays may lack the sensitivity and range necessary to detect the differences. Indeed, endocytic pits become enlarged after knockdown of NECAP1 and these structures could be a consequence of overactive AP2 (*Ritter et al., 2013*). Although vesicle size was not examined in this paper, regulating the time during which AP2 is phosphorylated and open could directly impact the size of the vesicle that gets internalized.

AP2 phosphorylation modulates NECAP recruitment

NECAPs form stable complexes in vitro with open and phosphorylated AP2 cores, suggesting that activated AP2 may be the endogenous substrate of NECAPs. Indeed, imaging data suggest NECAP dynamics during endocytic pit formation mimic those of clathrin (*Taylor et al., 2011*), consistent with our model that AP2 activation precedes NECAP recruitment. The accumulation of phosphory-lated AP2 in the absence of NCAP-1 indicates that phosphorylation may also precede NECAP recruitment but is it unclear whether the phospho-threonine is an essential feature of the NECAP-AP2 interface. Although changing the threonine to a phosphorylation-defective alanine does appear to disrupt the association of NECAPs with AP2 (*Figures 4C* and 5), we have not excluded the possibility that this mutation simply precludes NECAP binding by altering the conformation of AP2. Indeed, we observe that NECAPs bind open AP2 cores that have not been phosphorylated (the E302K cores, *Figure 4B*) supporting the model that NECAPs bind to a face of active AP2 that does



Figure 7. Model of AP2 activation and inactivation. Muniscins allosterically activate AP2 to form a stable association with the membrane. Open AP2 is then phosphorylated on the μ2 subunit by the AP2-associated kinase. NECAPs subsequently bind to open, phosphorylated AP2 and recycle the complex. Generation of the closed form of AP2 presumably involves dephosphorylation and disengagement from the membrane. In the absence of muniscins, AP2 activation is greatly reduced. The *fcho-1* suppressor screen isolated three classes of mutations that enable AP2 to remain active in lieu of muniscins (bottom). Each class disrupts the AP2 inactivation pathway and promotes accumulation of AP2 at discrete steps in the cycle (gray arrows). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.010

not include the phosphorylated threonine. However, an interaction with these constitutively open, non-phosphorylated cores in vitro may not be relevant; functionally inactive NECAPs (the A29D and S84N mutants) appear to bind these cores in vitro (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1B*), but do not associate with the equivalent, albeit hyper-phosphorylated, open AP2 mutation in vivo (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1A*). The non-phosphorylated open AP2 core may not be a physiological state of AP2 and might not accurately simulate the in vivo interaction between NECAP and AP2.

The core function of NECAPs

While NECAPs are widely conserved across eukaryotic organisms (**Dergai et al., 2016**), the precise function of this protein family has remained enigmatic. Vertebrate NECAPs exhibit different tissue distributions and are proposed to function in mutually exclusive pathways. For example, NECAP2 was recently shown to regulate AP1 instead of AP2 (**Chamberland et al., 2016**). Despite this proposed divergence, both vertebrate NECAPs rescue loss of NCAP-1 in the nematode, as does a fungal NECAP that lacks the C-terminal α adaptin-ear binding WXXF motif. These results indicate that the capacity to negatively regulate AP2 has been conserved among NECAPs. Based on the missense mutations that disrupt NECAP activity in vivo and AP2 binding in vitro (**Figure 6** and **Figure 6**—**figure supplement 1**), we believe this inhibitory function may involve a direct interaction of the N-terminal PHear domain (**Ritter et al., 2007**) with the phosphorylated AP2 core.

How do NECAPs sustain the AP2 cycle? We propose the following model (*Figure 7*): muniscins such as FCHO-1 promote the open state of AP2, which is phosphorylated on the μ 2 subunit by the AP2-associated kinase. NECAPs then bind the AP2 core to counteract the open state, presumably by facilitating a conformational change or dephosphorylation event later in endocytosis. This recycles AP2 back to its inactive state in the cytosol and renders the complex available for another round of endocytosis.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
gene (Caenorhabditis elegans)	ncap-1	NA	CELE_Y110A2AR.3	
gene (C. elegans)	fcho-1	NA	CELE_F56D12.6	
gene (C. elegans)	apm-2	NA	CEAP50, apm-2, CELE_R160.1	
gene (C. elegans)	ара-2	NA	apt-4, CELE_T20B5.1	
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	N2	NA	RRID:WB-STRAIN: N2_(ancestral))	Wild type
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN109	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew31[splice donor])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN110	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew32[A29D])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN111	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew33[S84N])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN112	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew34[splice donor])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN113	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew35[splice donor])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN114	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew36[Q107X])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN115	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew37[splice donor])
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN116	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew38[stop lost])
Continued on next page				



-			
Reagent	type	(spe	ecies)

or resource	Designation	Source or reference Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN101	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion])
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG6353	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II; unc-119(ed3) III
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN86	this paper	ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II
strain, strain background (C. elegans, hermaphrodite)	GUN59	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II mewSi2 [Pdpy-30::RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8012	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	oxSi254[Pdpy-30::APA-2::GFP unc-119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG6650	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi254 [Pdpy-30::APA-2::GFP unc-119(+)] II
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN98	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi254 [Pdpy-30::APA-2::GFP unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II
strain, strain background (C. elegans, hermaphrodite)	GUN97	this paper	oxSi254[Pdpy-30::APA-2::GFP unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8578	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	oxSi484[Pvha-6::GFP:CD4:YASV unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8579	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi484[Pvha-6::GFP:CD4:YASV unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN65	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi484[Pvha-6::GFP:CD4:YASV unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN66	this paper	oxSi484[Pvha-6::GFP:CD4:YASV unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876 [Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8557	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEV(protease) unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)]
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8558	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEV(protease) unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN100	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II; oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
Continued on next page			



or resource	Designation	Source or reference Iden	ifiers Additional information
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN99	this paper	oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8555	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3XFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	EG8556	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN96	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN106	this paper	fcho-1(0x477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi3 [Pdpy-30::RFP:NCAP-1 unc-119(+)] IV; apm-2(0x546[W64X]) X 0xSi877 [Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN91	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi15 [Pdpy-30::RFP:Mm_NECAP1 unc-119(+)] IV; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN93	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi8 [Pdpy-30::RFP:Mm_NECAP2 unc-119(+)] IV; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN95	this paper	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi17 [Pdpy-30::RFP:Ss_NECAP unc-119(+)] IV; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877 [Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN60	this paper	mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; mewSi2[Pdpy-30:: RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN61	this paper	mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi2[Pdpy-30:: RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II
Continued on next page			



or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN62	this paper		mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+)) II mewSi2[Pdpy-30::RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox562[E306K]) X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN53	this paper		mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi2[Pdpy-30:: RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(mew44[T160A]) X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN55	this paper		mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi2[Pdpy-30:: RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox562[E306K]+mew46[T160A]*) X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN56	this paper		mewSi1[Pdpy-30::APA2:GFP unc-119(+)] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi2[Pdpy-30:: RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox562[E306K]+mew47[R440S]*) X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN128	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::cb-unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease Cb_unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi25[RFP:NCAP-1(A29D)*] IV; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site Cb_unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (<i>C. elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN135	this paper		fcho-1(ox477::cb-unc-119(+)) II oxSi883[Phsp-16.41::TEVprotease Cb_unc-119(+)] II ncap-1(mew39 [1.4 kb deletion]) II; mewSi35[RFP:NCAP-1(S84N)*] IV; apm-2(ox546[W64X]) X oxSi877 [Papm-2::3xFLAG:APM-2: tev-site Cb_unc-119(+)] X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN127	this paper		mewSi1[APA2:GFP] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi24[RFP: NCAP1(A29D)*] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox562[E306K])X
strain, strain background (C. <i>elegans</i> , hermaphrodite)	GUN122	this paper		mewSi1[APA2:GFP] I; fcho-1(ox477:: unc-119(+)) II mewSi31[RFP: NCAP1(S84N)*] II ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion]) II; apm-2(ox562[E306K])X
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	fcho-1(ox477::unc-119(+))	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.0364	8	
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew31[splice donor])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (<i>C. elegans</i>)	ncap-1(mew32[A29D])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew33[S84N])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew34[splice donor])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew35[splice donor])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew36[Q107X])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew37[splice donor])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew38[stop lost])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
Commued on next page				



or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	ncap-1(mew39[1.4 kb deletion])	this paper		fcho-1 suppressor
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi2[Pdpy-30::RFP:NCAP1 unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi3[Pdpy-30::RFP:NCAP-1 unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi15[Pdpy-30::RFP: Mm_NECAP1 unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi8[Pdpy-30::RFP: Mm_NECAP2 unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi17[Pdpy-30::RFP: Ss_NECAP unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi254[Pdpy-30::APA-2:: GFP unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi1[Pdpy-30:: APA-2::GFP unc-119(+)]	this paper		Generated with MosSCI
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi484[Pvha-6::GFP:CD4: YASV unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi883[Phsp-16.41:: TEV(protease) unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi876[Papm-2::HA:APM-2: tev-site unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi880[Papm-2::HA:APM-2(E306K): tev-site unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi878[Papm-2::HA:APM-2(T160A): tev-site unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	oxSi877[Papm-2::3xFLAG: APM-2:tev-site unc-119(+)]	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648		
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	apm-2(ox562[E306K] +mew46[T160A])	this paper		<i>mew46</i> [T160A] generated by CRISPR
genetic reagent (<i>C. elegans</i>)	apm-2(ox562[E306K] +mew47[R440S])	this paper		<i>mew</i> 47[R440S] generated by CRISPR
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi25[RFP:NCAP-1(A29D]	this paper		Generated by CRISPR
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi35[RFP:NCAP-1(S84N)]	this paper		Generated by CRISPR
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi24[RFP:NCAP1(A29D)]	this paper		Generated by CRISPR
genetic reagent (C. elegans)	mewSi31[RFP:NCAP1(S84N)]	this paper		Generated by CRISPR
cell line (Homo sapiens, female)	HEK293	ATCC	RRID:CVCL_0045	
transfected construct (H. sapiens)	pGH500, in HEK239 cells	this paper		Cloning described in 'Tissue culture pulldowns'
transfected construct (H. sapiens)	pGH501, in HEK239 cells	this paper		Cloning described in 'Tissue culture pulldowns'
transfected construct (H. sapiens)	pGH502, in HEK239 cells	this paper		Cloning described in 'Tissue culture pulldowns'
antibody	mouse monoclonal anti-adaptin α	BD Biosciences	Cat# 610501, RRID:AB_397867	(1:500)
antibody	rabbit polyclonal anti-AP2B1	Abcam	151961, RRID: AB_2721072	(1:1000)
antibody	rabbit monoclonal anti-AP2M1 phospho T156	Abcam	Cat# 109397, RRID:AB_10866362	(1:1000)
antibody	rabbit monoclonal anti-AP2S1	Abcam	Cat# 128950, RRID:AB_11140842	(1:4000)
Continued on next page				



Reagent type (species)

or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
antibody	mouse monoclonal anti-flag	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# F3165, RRID:AB_259529	(1:1000)
antibody	mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T5168, RRID:AB_477579	(1:2000)
antibody	rabbit polyclonal anti-histone H3	Abcam	Cat# 1791, RRID:AB_302613	(1:4000)
antibody	rabbit polyclonal anti-beta actin	Abcam	Cat# 8227, RRID:AB_2305186	(1:1000)
antibody	goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488	Life Technologies	Cat # A11029, RRID:AB_2534088	(1:4000)
antibody	goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647	Life Technologies	Cat# A21244, RRID:AB_10562581	(1:2000)
antibody	goat anti-rabbit StarBright Blue 700	BioRad	Cat# 12004161, RRID: AB_2721073	(1:5000)
antibody	goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW	LI-COR	Cat# 925–32210, RRID:AB_2687825	(1:20000)
antibody	rat monoclonal anti-HA- Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)	Roche	Cat# 12013819001 RRID:AB_390917	(1:500)
recombinant DNA reagent	pEP29	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pEP41	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pEP58	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pEP71	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGH495	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGH505	this paper		Cloning described in ' <i>C. elegans</i> NECAP transgenes'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB19	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant AP2 cores'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB21	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant AP2 cores'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB27	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB28	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB29	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB31	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant AP2 cores'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB81	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
recombinant DNA reagent	pEP82	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant AP2 cores'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB91	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
recombinant DNA reagent	pGB94	this paper		Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
sequence-based reagent	pGH494	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.0364	18	
Continued on next page				
1 - 5 -				



or resource	Designation	Source or reference lo	dentifiers Additional information
sequence-based reagent	pGH503	this paper	Cloning described in 'Recombinant NECAPs'
sequence-based reagent	pGH504	this paper	Cloning described in 'Recombinant AP2 cores'
sequence-based reagent	oGH678	this paper	CGATAGAGAAGGCTTCAACACAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH679	this paper	AGGTATTCAGACATTTTTC AAATGAAAATCTAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH680	this paper	CAGTCAAAAAATGC GATAAAAGTACGG
sequence-based reagent	oGH681	this paper	GGACAGGAAATTTC AATAAATTAGCGATG
sequence-based reagent	oEP366	this paper	AACGGGCGGTAGT GGAGGCACTGGTATG GGAGATTACGAGAACGTTTTAATG
sequence-based reagent	oEP367	this paper	TATCACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCT GGGTCTAGAAATCTAATAAA TTGCCAGACGTCG
sequence-based reagent	oEP407	this paper	GAGGAACGGGCGGTAGTGGAG GCACTGGTATGGAGGAGAGTG AGTACGAGTCTGTTCTGT
sequence-based reagent	oEP408	this paper	TCACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGC TGGGTCTAGAACTGG ACCCAGCCGGTG
sequence-based reagent	oEP409	this paper	GGAGGAACGGGCGGTAGTGG AGGCACTGGTATGGCGGCA GAGCTGGAATATG
sequence-based reagent	oEP410	this paper	TCACCACTTTGTACAAGAAA GCTGGGTCTAAAACTGGA CCCAGTTAGATGGCTGTG
sequence-based reagent	oEP391	this paper	ACGTCGTGACTGGGAAAACCC
sequence-based reagent	oEP392	this paper	GCCAGGGTTTTCCCAGTCA CGACGTTGATCATTGGCA TGCTGAAATATTC
sequence-based reagent	oGH526	this paper	ATGGTTGTGTCGAAAGGCGA
sequence-based reagent	oGH528	this paper	ACCAGTGCCTCCACTACCG CCCGTTCCTCCTGTGCCACC TTTGTACAGTTCATCCATTCC
sequence-based reagent	oGH698	this paper	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAA AAGCAGGCTCAAAAATGG TTGTGTCGAAAGGCGA
sequence-based reagent	oGH731	this paper	GTGACATTAAAGTC AAAAGCATCTCCTC
sequence-based reagent	oGH733	this paper	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAA AAGCAGGCTCAAAAATGGGA GATTACGAGAACGTTTTAAT
sequence-based reagent	oGH734	this paper	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAA AGCTGGGTTTAGAAATCTAAT AAATTGCCAGACGTC
sequence-based reagent	oGH736	this paper	GAGGAGATGCTTTTGAC TTTAATGTCAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH738	this paper	GCGGTAGTGGAGGCACTG GTATGGGAGATTACGAG AACGTTTTAATG
sequence-based reagent	oGH1011	this paper	TAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTA CAAAGTGGTGATA
Continued on next page	<u>,</u>		



Reagent	type	(species)
Reagent	Lybe	(species)

or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
sequence-based reagent	oGH1012	this paper		ACCAGTGCCTCCAC TACCGCCCGTT
sequence-based reagent	oGH953	this paper		CATGCTTCCGCCGGTACCT
sequence-based reagent	oGH954	this paper		GTTTAAACCCGCTGATCAGCCT
sequence-based reagent	oGH955	this paper		GTGGAGGTACCGGCGGAAG CATGGGAGATTACGAG AACGTTTTAATG
sequence-based reagent	oGH956	this paper		GCTGATCAGCGGGTTTAAACTT AGAAATCTAATAAATT GCCAGACGTCG
sequence-based reagent	oGH957	this paper		GTGGAGGTACCGGCGGAA GCATGGCGGCAGAGCTGGAA
sequence-based reagent	oGH958	this paper		GATCAGCGGGTTTAAACTT AAAACTGGACCCAGTTAGATGGC
sequence-based reagent	oGH959	this paper		GTGGAGGTACCGGCGGAA GCATGGAGGAGAGTGAGTACGAGT
sequence-based reagent	oGH960	this paper		GCTGATCAGCGGGTTTAAA CTTAGAACTGGACCCAGCCGG
sequence-based reagent	oEP13	this paper		TAATTAACCTAGGCTGCTGCCACC
sequence-based reagent	oEP17	this paper		AAGAAGGAGATATACATAT GAAGAAGTTTTTCGACTCCAG
sequence-based reagent	oEP18	this paper		GGCAGCAGCCTAGGTTAATT ACTGTACATTTGGAACGGGGC
sequence-based reagent	oGB24	this paper		CGCCGCCAGCCAATCTGCCCA GCCACCTGGCTGGTGA TCTGGGACTGTTC
sequence-based reagent	oGB26	this paper		ATGAATAAGCLCTCCGATCA TCATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTATA
sequence-based reagent	oGB27	this paper		GCATTTATGAAACCCGCT GCTAATTAACCTAGGC TGCTGCCACCG
sequence-based reagent	oGB28	this paper		ATGATCGGAGGCTTATTCATCT
sequence-based reagent	oGB29	this paper		GCAGCGGGTTTCATAAATGCCA
sequence-based reagent	oGB33	this paper		GGGCAGATTGGCTGGCG GCGAGAAGGCATCAAGTA
sequence-based reagent	oGB34	this paper		AGCAAGAGTCTGGTGCCGCG CGGCAGCGGTAAGCAGTC GATCGCCATTGATG
sequence-based reagent	oGB35	this paper		CTGCTTACCGCTGCCGCG CGGCACCAGACTCTTGCT TGTTTCATCAGCTGTG
sequence-based reagent	oGB47	this paper		TGAGATCCGGCTGC TAACAAAGCC
sequence-based reagent	oGB48	this paper		TTTAAGAAGGAGATATACA TATGGCAGAAATCGGTACTGG
sequence-based reagent	oGB49	this paper		AGTGCATCTCCCGTGATGC AGAAATCTAATAAATTGCCA
sequence-based reagent	oGB50	this paper		AGTGCATCTCCCGTGATGC AAAACTGGACCCAGTTAGATGGC
sequence-based reagent	oGB51	this paper		AGTGCATCTCCCGTGATGCA GAACTGGACCCAGCCGGTGC
sequence-based reagent	oGB52	this paper		TGCATCACGGGAGATGCACT
Continued on next page				



or resource	Designation	Source or reference Identifiers	Additional information
sequence-based reagent	oGB53	this paper	GTTAGCAGCCGGATCTCAGT GGTGATGATGGTGATGTTG AAGCTGCCACAAGGCAGG
sequence-based reagent	oGB174	this paper	AGTGCATCTCCCGTGATGC AGCTTCCGCCGGTACCTCCAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH338	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	CATATGTATATCTCCTTCTT ATACTTAACTAATATAC TAAGATG
sequence-based reagent	oEP642	this paper	CCGATATCCACGGT TGGTGGCCCG
sequence-based reagent	oEP643	this paper	ACCAACCGTGGATATCGGG ACTCAGAATGGCAACT GGACCAGC
sequence-based reagent	oEP644	this paper	TCTAGATACTTCGTCATC CGAATTGAAGATGGA
sequence-based reagent	oEP645	this paper	AATTCGGATGACGAAGT ATCTAGAGTTGTCTGT CACACTCTCCACTGCG
sequence-based reagent	oGH847	this paper	CCAAACTGAAGGTCAAGGTGGTC
sequence-based reagent	oGH848	this paper	CCTTGACCTTCAGTTTGGTGCGC
sequence-based reagent	oGH853	DOI: 10.7554/eLife.03648	TAATTAACCTAGGC TGCTGCCACCG
sequence-based reagent	oGH1204	this paper	GTTAATTAAAACAG ATGCACGACGGTT
sequence-based reagent	oGH1205	this paper	GTGCATCTGTTTTAATTAACA TGGAGGAGAGTGAGTACGAGT
sequence-based reagent	oGH1206	this paper	GCAGCAGCCTAGGTTAATTA GAACTGGACCCAGCCGG
sequence-based reagent	oGH1227	this paper	GGAAGTTCTGTTCCAGG GGCCCGGGTCCGG CATGTCCCCT
sequence-based reagent	oGH1228	this paper	GCCCCTGGAACAGAACTTC CAGGCCGGATCCGCCCTTCTT
sequence-based reagent	oGH1231	this paper	CATATGTATATCTCCTT CTTAAAGTTAAAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH1246	this paper	CCGCTGAGCAATAACT AGCATAAC
sequence-based reagent	oGH1247	this paper	CTAATGCAGGAGTCGCATAAGG
sequence-based reagent	oGH1249	this paper	GTTATGCTAGTTAT TGCTCAGCGG
sequence-based reagent	oGH1250	this paper	TTATGCGACTCCTGCATTAG GCGCGAGGCAGGATCTCG
sequence-based reagent	rEP360	this paper	Gene-specific target of crRNA: TGAAGTGTCTCGTAACAAGA
sequence-based reagent	rGB156	this paper	<u>Gene-specific target of crRNA:</u> CAAATCACGTCTCAAGTGAC
sequence-based reagent	rGB155	this paper	Gene-specific target of crRNA: TTGGGTGAAGTTCTAGCATC
sequence-based reagent	rEP254	this paper	Gene-specific target of crRNA: CGGGCTGTCGAGGTTCCAGT
sequence-based reagent	rEP676	this paper	<u>Gene-specific target of crRNA:</u> CACAAAATATCGAGAACTAT
<u> </u>			

Continued on next page



Reagent	type	(spe	cies)

or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
sequence-based reagent	rEP700	this paper		Gene-specific target of crRNA: CCCTGGCAACGCAATTGAGG
sequence-based reagent	oGB154	this paper		TCCCATTGGTTCGcGAAGTGTCT CGTAACAAGATGaAAGTTAA GGTATTTCACTTGTCAC
sequence-based reagent	oGB159	this paper		TTCGTTACATTGGAcGATCG GGACTGTATGAAACtAGcTGC TAGAACTTCACCCAACCCT
sequence-based reagent	oGB130	this paper		GGAGCAGTCACAAATCACGT CTCAAGTtGCCGGCCAAATT GGATGGCGTCGGGAGGGTAT
sequence-based reagent	oEP674	this paper		TTCGCTATAAAATCCCTATTT TTCAGAGatGCgGACTGGAAC CTCGACAGCCCGGCTTGG
sequence-based reagent	oEP680	this paper		CCGCCGATCGGAACCAGCGG TCATAAAGatGCgGACTGGA ACCTCGACAGCCCGGCTTGG
sequence-based reagent	oEP701	this paper		GCCCGATCGATGCGCACCC TGGCAACGCAATTGAGGCcG TTTCgGATaacTCTaGATATTT TGTGATTCGTTTGCAG
peptide, recombinant protein	AcTEV Protease	Invitrogen	12575015	
software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism (version 7.0 c for Mac)	GraphPad Software, www.graphpad.com	RRID:SCR_002798	
software, algorithm	Fiji	doi:10.1038/ nmeth.2019	RRID:SCR_002285	

*allele generated by CRISPR

Strains

C. elegans were maintained using standard procedures (*Brenner, 1974*) on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with *E. coli* (OP50). For a complete list of strains, see *Supplementary file 1A*.

Data analysis

Unpaired, parametric, two-tailed T-tests were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 7.0 c for Mac, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com).

Identification of ncap-1 mutants

Our initial mutagenesis of *fcho-1* null animals (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) yielded four recessive suppressors in the same complementation group. Single nucleotide polymorphism mapping (*Davis et al., 2005*) placed them on chromosome II and whole genome sequencing revealed four independent mutations in the *ncap-1* gene (*mew31, mew36, mew38,* and *mew39*). Additional alleles were identified among subsequent *fcho-1* suppressors by amplifying and sequencing the coding segments of *ncap-1* with primer pairs oGH678-9 and oGH680-1. Strains and oligonucleotides are listed in *Supplementary file 1*.

Starvation assay

The starvation assay was performed as previously described (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) except that the assay was performed at 25°C for *Figure 1C*.

Preparation of worms for microscopy

For confocal fluorescence microscopy, worms were mounted on 8–10% agarose pads in 3 μ L of a 1:1 mix of a 1 μ m polystyrene bead slurry (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) and 2X PBS pH 7.4 (*Kim et al.*,

2013). For differential interference contrast microscopy (*Figure 1B*), worms were mounted on 5% agarose pads in PBS pH 7.4 with 20 mM sodium azide.

AP2 localization and FRAP analysis in coelomocytes

Worms expressing APA-2:GFP (*oxSi254*) were imaged as previously described (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Strains are listed in *Supplementary file 1A*.

Cargo assay

The cargo assay was performed essentially as previously described (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Worms expressing an artificial cargo (*oxSi484*) were imaged on a Ziess LSM 880 confocal microscope (Biotechnology Resource Center, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY) with a 40x water immersion objective. All strains were imaged in one session with the same laser settings. Images were analyzed in Fiji (*Schindelin et al., 2012*). A user defined line was drawn along the membrane between intestinal segments 2 and 3, or segments 3 and 4, and the average pixel intensity was measured along the line. Strains are listed in *Supplementary file 1A*.

TEV protease-sensitivity assay

The TEV assay was performed essentially as previously described (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Post TEV samples were collected at 5–6 hr following heatshock (34°C, 1 hr). Each sample represents 100 L4 hermaphrodites lysed in 1X Bolt LDS Sample Buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing fresh dithiothreitol (DTT; ~100 mM) by sonication (1 s pulses at 90–95% amplitude for 2–3 min) in a cup horn (Branson Ultrasonics Corporation, Danbury, CT) that was chilled to 4°C. Samples were heated for 10 min at 70°C prior to gel electrophoresis. All samples were re-sonicated following the 70°C denaturation step if any exhibited excessive viscosity. Strains are listed in *Supplementary file 1A*.

Western blots and SYPRO staining

Precast polyacrylamide gels (Bolt 4–12% Bis-Tris, Invtrogen) were used for all SDS PAGE experiments. For western blot analysis, proteins were transferred from gels to PVDF Immobilon membranes (Merck Millipore, Tullagreen, Carrigtwohill, Co. Cork, Ireland) using the Pierce Power Blot Cassette system (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). All blocking and antibody incubations (except anti-HA, see below) occurred in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Primary antibodies and dilutions included mouse anti-adaptin α (1:500, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, 610501), rabbit anti-AP2B1 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, 151961), rabbit anti-AP2M1 phospho T156 (1:1000, Abcam 109397), rabbit anti-AP2S1 (1:4000, Abcam 128950), mouse anti-flag (1:1000, Sigma-Aldrich F3165), mouse anti-tubulin (1:2000, Sigma-Aldrich T5168), rabbit anti-histone H3 (1:4000, Abcam 1791), and rabbit anti-beta actin (1:1000, Abcam, 8227). Secondary antibodies included goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:4000, Life Technologies, Eugene, OR, A11029), goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 (1:2000, Life Technologies, A21244), StarBright Blue 700 goat anti-rabbit (1:5000, BioRad, Hercules, CA, 12004161), and goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW (1:20000, LI-COR, 925–32210).

Blots probed with the rat anti-HA-Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibody (1:500, Roche, Mannheim, Germany, 12013819001) were blocked in Tris Buffered Saline + 0.01% Tween 20 (TBST) with 5% nonfat dry milk. The antibody was diluted in TBST with 1% milk and incubation occurred at room temperature for 1 hr. SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Scientific) was used to detect peroxidase.

Primary antibody incubations occurred for 1 hr at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibody incubations occurred at room temperature for 30 min – 1 hr in the dark. TBST was used for all washes. Blots were imaged using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP systems and band intensities were quantified using the associated ImageLab software.

We used SYPRO Ruby Protein Gel stain (Lonza, Rockland, ME) to visualize the in vitro pulldowns in **Figure 4B** and in **Figure 6—figure supplement 1B**. Gels were fixed in 50% methanol/7% acetic acid for 30 min and stained with SYPRO for 4–16 hr at room temperature in the dark with gentle agitation. Gels were then washed 2×15 min in 10% methanol/7% acetic acid and then 2×5 min in water before imaging on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP system.

C. elegans NECAP transgenes

We generated *C. elegans* targeting vectors for expression of NECAPs as single-copy transgenes (*Frøkjaer-Jensen et al., 2008*). For *Figure 1B and C, Figure 5*, and *Figure 6—figure supplement* **1A**, a mini-gene encoding NCAP-1 was constructed using the Multisite Gateway System (Invitrogen). The first 4 exons of the *ncap-1* gene were amplified with primer pair oGH731 + 3, while the other half of the coding sequence was amplified from cDNA using primer pair oGH734 + 6. The two amplicons were recombined using the Gibson assembly reaction (*Gibson et al., 2009*) and then recombined with the [1-2] donor vector using BP clonase (Invitrogen). The entry clone was amplified with oGH698 + 738 and a worm codon-optimized TagRFP-T (Ed Boyden, MIT, Cambridge, MA) was amplified with oGH526 + 8 and inserted upstream of the *ncap-1* coding sequences using Gibson assembly. The resulting [1-2] entry clone (pGH505) was recombined with a [4-1] entry containing the ubiquitous dpy-30 promoter, the unc-54 3'UTR in a [2-3] entry and the [4-3] destination vector pCFJ150 (Christian Frøkjær-Jensen, University of Utah) using LR clonase (Invitrogen) to generate the MosSCI targeting vector (pGH495) that was injected into worm strain EG6699 (*Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2012*).

For **Figure 3**, the coding sequences of NECAPs were amplified from plasmid templates using the following primers: oEP366-7 for *C. elegans* NCAP-1 (NM_061997.5), oEP409-10 for *M. musculus* NECAP1 (BK000656.1) and oEP407-8 for *M. musculus* NECAP2 (BK000657.1). The coding sequence of NECAP from *Sphaerobolus stellatus* (Cannonball Fungus protein KIJ44287) was synthesized as a gBlock (IDT, Coralville, IA). These DNA fragments were then assembled in a MosSCI targeting vector backbone generated as two amplicons from pGH486 (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*), using primer pairs oGH1011 + oEP392 and oGH1012 + oEP391, in a three-piece Gibson assembly reaction. The plasmids generated were: pEP29 for *C. elegans* NCAP-1, pEP58 for *M. musculus* NECAP1, pEP41 for *M. musculus* NECAP2, and pEP71 for *S. stellatus* NECAP. These included targeting sequences corresponding to the *cxTi10816* locus and were inserted into the genomes of EG6703 worms as described (*Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2012*). The resulting transgenes drive expression of RFP-tagged NECAPs from a ubiquitous *C. elegans* promoter (*Pdpy-30*). Strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides are listed in *Supplementary file* 1.

Tissue culture pulldowns

The heterologous expression of affinity-tagged proteins in HEK293 cells followed by HaloTag isolation from cell lysates and western blots analysis of the purified proteins was performed as described (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*). Mammalian vectors for the expression of HaloTag fusions with the worm and mouse NECAPs were generated by amplifying the coding sequences from cDNA and inserting them by Gibson assembly into a custom-built HaloTag expression vector (*Banks et al., 2014*) that was amplified using oGH953-4. The *C. elegans* NCAP-1 (NM_061997.5; amplicon oGH955-6) expression vector is pGH500, while the *M. musculus* NECAP1 (BK000656.1; amplicon oGH957-8) is pGH501, and *M. musculus* NECAP2 (BK000657.1; amplicon oGH959-60) is pGH502. Plasmids and oligonucleotides are listed in *Supplementary files 1B and 1C*, respectively. The identity of the HEK293 cells was 100% matched by STR Profiling at ATCC. Cells were negative for mycoplasma using ATCC PCR kit #30–1012K on January 10, 2017.

Recombinant AP2 cores

Bicistronic vectors expressing the hexahistidine-tagged mouse AP2 β 2 trunk along with mouse μ 2 were based on pGH424 (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) and generated as follows: Mutations were introduced in μ 2 using Gibson assembly, and primers oGH847-8 for E302K and oGB24 + 33 for T156A. A thrombin protease site (not utilized in this study) was inserted after amino acid 236 of μ 2 using oGB34-35. The construct encoding wild type β 2 trunk/ μ 2 is pGB21, while β 2 trunk/ μ 2(E302K) is pGB19 and β 2 trunk/ μ 2(T156A) is pGB31. The bicistronic vector expressing the GST-tagged mouse AP2 α trunk along with rat σ 2 (pGH504) was generated as follows: The α trunk/ σ 2 hemicomplex was amplified from the original expression vector (*Collins et al., 2002*) using primers oGH1249-50 and recombined with the pACYCDuet vector backbone (amplicon oGH1246-47) using Gibson assembly. The thrombin cleavage site between the α trunk and GST was replaced with the human rhinovirus (HRV) C3 protease site (not utilized in this study) with oGH1227-8 and Gibson assembly. Simultaneous expression of all four subunits of the AP2 core was achieved by transforming *E. coli* BL21

(DE3) cells (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with a pair of the bicistronic vectors and co-selecting for ampicillin resistance (pGB21, pGB19 or pGB31) and chloramphenicol resistance (pGH504). To generate phosphorylated AP2 cores, the kinase domain of mouse AAK1 (amino acids 1–325, BC141176.1) was amplified from plasmid template using oEP17-18 and inserted into the pRSFDuet backbone amplified with oEP13 + oGH338 using Gibson assembly. The AP2 subunits were co-expressed with this vector (pEP82) by including selection for kanamycin resistance. Plasmids and oligonucleotides are listed in *Supplementary files 1B and 1C*, respectively.

2xYT culture media containing the appropriate antibiotics was inoculated with an overnight culture of bacterial cells expressing AP2 cores and incubated at 37°C with shaking at 180-200 RPM until $OD_{600} = -1.0$. The temperature was decreased to 18°C for 1 hr before expression was induced with 100 μM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 20–24 hr. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed with lysis buffer (see below), and snap frozen in liquid N2 prior to storage at -80° C. Cell pellets were resuspended in 50 mL lysis buffer per liter of initial culture volume. GST lysis buffer consists of PBS pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 60 µg/mL DNase I (grade II from bovine pancreas, Roche), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma), and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Millipore, Billerica, MA) with the addition of 1 tablet of cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) for every 100 mL. The cell slurry was sonicated (20 s pulses at 20% amplitude for a total of 8 min; 50 mL at a time) using a Q700 sonicator (Qsonica, Newtown, CT). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (~20000 x g) and filtration (0.2 μ m). Cleared filtrate was rotated for 1 hr at 4°C with equilibrated GST resin (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden), 1 ml resin per liter of the initial culture volume. The filtrate and resin were poured over a gravity column, washed with PBS pH 7.4 + 1 mM DTT, and AP2 was eluted with 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM reduced glutathione, and 1 mM DTT, pH 8.0 (Fischer BioReagents, Fairlawn, NJ). The eluate buffer was exchanged with TBS pH 7.6 + 1 mM DTT and AP2 cores were concentrated to ~0.5 mg/mL using an Amicon Centrifugal Filter Unit with a 100 kDa cutoff (Merck Millipore). Aliquots of AP2 cores were snap frozen in liquid N2 prior to storage at -80° C.

Recombinant NECAPs

The NECAP used in *Figure 4C* had an N-terminal hexahistidine tag, HaloTag and TEV protease site. Mouse NECAP2 (BK000657.1) was amplified from pGH502 using primers oGH1205-6, and recombined with the backbone of the 6xHis-HaloTag-APA expression vector pGH493 (*Hollopeter et al., 2014*) (amplified with oGH853 + 1204) using Gibson assembly. This replaced the APA domain with NECAP2 and generated pGH503.

Because we sometimes noted heterogeneity in our recombinant NECAPs, we built a set of vectors to purify NECAPs with cleavable C-terminal affinity tags. The NECAPs used for pulldowns in Figure 4B and Figure 6—figure supplement 1B were purified using the Intein Mediated Purification with an Affinity Chitin-binding Tag (IMPACT, NEB) system, with slight modifications. The Mxe GyrA intein tag and chitin binding domain (CBD) from pTXB1 (NEB) were amplified using oGB52-3 (which adds a hexahistidine tag onto the C-terminus of the CBD). This amplicon was inserted into the pET21b expression vector (PCR product of oGB47 + oGH1231) in a three-piece Gibson reaction along with one of the following NECAPs: pGB29 included C. elegans NCAP-1 (NM_061997.5, amplified with oGB48-9 from pGH500), pGB27 included M. musculus NECAP1 (BK000656.1, amplified with oGB48 + 50 from pGH501) and pGB28 included M. musculus NECAP2 (BK000657.1, amplified with oGB48 + 51 from pGH502). The NECAPs were amplified such that they include the N-terminal HaloTag and TEV protease site from the mammalian expression vectors (see Tissue culture pulldowns). To generate the NECAPs used in Figure 6-figure supplement 1B, mutations were introduced in NECAP2 (pGB28) using Gibson assembly with oEP642-3 (A32D; pGB91) and oEP644-5 (S87N; pGB94). To generate a HaloTag control protein for these pulldowns (pGB81), pGB28 was amplified with oGB52 + 174 and recombined in a one-piece Gibson reaction to remove the NECAP sequence. All plasmids and oligonucleotides are listed in Supplementary file 1B and 1C, respectively.

E. coli BL21(DE3) cells (NEB) expressing HaloTag-NECAPs-intein-6xHis (pGB27-9, pGB91, pGB94), 6xHis-HaloTag-NECAP2 (pGH503), the 6xHis-HaloTag control (pGH494) (used in *Figure 4B* and C) (Hollopeter et al., 2014), or the HaloTag-intein-6xHis control (pGB81) (used in *Figure 6—figure supplement 1B*) were cultured in Terrific Broth (RPI) containing carbenicillin selection.

Cultures were subsequently grown as described for AP2 cores (above) except expression was induced with 300 μ M IPTG and cells were harvested after 16–20 hr incubation at 18°C.

For 6xHis-HaloTag-NECAP2 (pGH503) and 6xHis-HaloTag (pGH494) cell pellets collected from 500 mL of culture were re-suspended in 50 mL nickel lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 5% glycerol, 5 mM BME) with the addition of $60-200 \mu g/mL$ DNase I (grade II from bovine pancreas, Roche), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 tablet of cOmplete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche), 0.3 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma), and 1 mM PMSF. Cells were lysed by sonication using a Q700 sonicator (Qsonica) at 20% amplitude with 20 s pulses for 4 min total, centrifuged at \sim 20000 x g for 30 min and filtered to 0.2 μ m. The hexahistidine-tagged proteins were purified using nickel-charged 5 mL HiTrap Chelating HP columns (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) on a BioLogic LP system (BioRad). After sample loading, columns were washed with nickel lysis buffer and bound proteins were eluted with nickel elution buffer (nickel lysis buffer with 1 M imidazole). Fractions (1 mL each) containing the protein of interest were combined, buffer exchanged with 20 mM Tris pH 8, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 50 mM NaCl and purified by ion exchange chromatography using a HiTrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) on the BioLogic LP system (BioRad). After sample loading, proteins were eluted using a salt gradient (50 mM to 1000 mM NaCl). Elution fractions containing the protein of interest were combined and aliquots were snap frozen in liquid N2 prior to storage at -80° C.

To purify recombinant NECAPs (HaloTag-NECAPs-intein-6xHis) or the HaloTag-intein-6xHis control we used a modified version of the IMPACT system. Cell lysis was performed as described for other hexahistidine-tagged proteins (above) except BME was omitted from the lysis buffer to inhibit intein self-cleavage. Cleared lysates were loaded onto gravity columns containing nickel resin (Thermo Scientific, 0.5 mL per 500 mL initial culture volume) and washed extensively. Columns were then flushed with nickel lysis buffer containing 40 mM BME, plugged, and incubated at 4°C for 30 to 40 hr in order to cleave proteins from the intein tag. The released proteins were collected, buffer exchanged with TBS pH 7.6 + 1 mM DTT, and concentrated using an Amicon Centrifugal Filter Unit with 50 kDa MW cutoff (Merck Millipore) or with 30 kD MW cutoff for the HaloTag control. Aliquots were snap frozen in liquid N2 prior to storage at -80° C.

In vitro pulldown assays

Pulldown assays were performed essentially as described in **Hollopeter et al., 2014** except the protease cleavage step was 3 hr and the input gel sample represented 20% of the 'prey' protein mixture.

Nerve ring microscopy

Worms expressing APA-2:GFP (*mewSi1*) and RFP:NCAP-1 (*mewSi2*) in an *ncap-1* background were imaged on an Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope (Biotechnology Resource Center) with a 40x water immersion objective. APA-2:GFP(*mewSi1*) is molecularly similar to APA-2:GFP(*oxSi254*) (*Gu et al., 2013*) except the entry clones ([4-1]*Pdpy-30*, [1-2]*apa-2* cDNA, and [2-3]*GFP:unc-54 3'UTR*) were recombined with the [4-3] MosSCI vector (pCFJ210) to target the ttTi4348 site in EG6701 worms (*Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2012*). Fluorophores were excited with 488 nm (GFP) and 561 nm (RFP) lasers. All strains were imaged in one session with the same laser settings. For each worm, a single confocal slice through the approximate sagittal section of the nerve ring was analyzed in Fiji (*Schindelin et al., 2012*). Two regions of interest (ROI) corresponding to both dorsal and ventral sections of the nerve ring along with an ROI outside of the worm (to control for background signal) were user defined. The average pixel intensities in both the GFP and RFP channels were determined and the background values were subtracted from the nerve ring values.

CRISPR-Cas9 generation of μ 2 and NCAP-1 mutations

CRISPR/Cas9 edits were generated using the *dpy-10* co-conversion strategy (*Arribere et al., 2014*) with ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes (*Paix et al., 2015*). For generating μ 2 mutations, gonads of young adult hermaphrodites were injected with RNP mixes containing ~3.7 μ g/ μ L Cas9 (purified inhouse), 1 μ g/ μ L tracrRNA, 0.08 μ g/ μ L *dpy-10* crRNA, 16.7 μ M *dpy-10*(*cn64*) roller repair, 0.4 μ g/ μ L gene-specific crRNA, and 16.7 μ M gene-specific repair. The gene-specific crRNAs and oligonucleotide repairs were as follows: to generate μ 2(E306K) – rEP360 and oGB154 (introduces an *Nru*l site

for genotyping), to generate μ 2(T160A) – rGB156 and oGB130 (introduces an NgoMIV site), and to generate μ 2(R440S) – rGB155 and oGB159 (introduces a *Pvul* site). F1 progeny exhibiting the roller phenotype were placed on individual culture plates and allowed to produce offspring for 1–2 days before being lysed in 50 μ L 1X Phusion GC buffer (NEB) with 0.4 U Proteinase K (NEB) by freezing at –80°C and then heating at 65°C for 1 hr followed by 95°C for 15 min. The targeted region of the genome was amplified using PCR and the amplicon was digested to identify correctly edited worms. Mutations were confirmed by sequencing the PCR product.

To generate NCAP-1 missense mutations, injection mixes were prepared with 5 μ M of each repair. The gene-specific crRNAs and oligonucleotide repairs were as follows: to generate RFP: NCAP-1(A29D) in the microscopy strain GUN62 – rEP254 and oEP674, to generate RFP:NCAP-1 (A29D) in the TEV assay strain GUN106 – rEP254 and oEP680, and to generate RFP:NCAP-1(S84N) – rEP676 + 700 (each at 0.2 μ g/ μ L) and oEP701 (introduces an *Xbal* site).

All materials and resources described in this article are available upon request with no restrictions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Erik Jorgensen, Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado, and the Stowers Institute for Medical Research for support. Ho Yi Mak provided lab space, reagents, and technical advice during the early stages of this work at the Stowers Institute. Technical assistance at the Stowers Institute was provided by Jim Vallandingham (Bioinformatics), Kendra Walton (Molecular Biology), and Valerie Neubauer (Tissue Culture). We thank the labs of Maurine Linder, Joshua Chappie, Carolyn Sevier, Hector Aguilar-Carreno, Carrie Adler, and Scott Emr for technical advice, reagents and the use of equipment. We thank Anthony Bretscher, Carolyn Sevier, Chris Fromme, Joshua Chappie, Carrie Adler, and Holger Sondermann for constructive criticism that greatly improved the manuscript. The Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope was purchased with Cornell University Biotechnology Resource Center instrument grants NYSTEM CO29155 and NIH S100D018516. GMB and EAP were supported by an NIH training grant GM007273-43. GMB is supported by an NSF graduate research fellowship DGE-1650441.

Additional information

Funding

J		
Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Science Foundation	Graduate Research Fellowship DGE-1650441	Gwendolyn M Beacham
National Institutes of Health	Training Grant GM007273- 43	Gwendolyn M Beacham Edward A Partlow

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Gwendolyn M Beacham, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Edward A Partlow, Conceptualization, Resources, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Jeffrey J Lange, Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Gunther Hollopeter, Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Gunther Hollopeter ib http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6409-0530

Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.015 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.016

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Supplementary file 1. (A) Strains (B) Plasmids (C) Oligonucleotides. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.011

• Transparent reporting form

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32242.012

References

- Arribere JA, Bell RT, Fu BX, Artiles KL, Hartman PS, Fire AZ. 2014. Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **198**:837–846. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1534/genetics.114.169730, PMID: 25161212
- Banks CA, Lee ZT, Boanca G, Lakshminarasimhan M, Groppe BD, Wen Z, Hattem GL, Seidel CW, Florens L, Washburn MP. 2014. Controlling for gene expression changes in transcription factor protein networks. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 13:1510–1522. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M113.033902, PMID: 24722732

Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77:71–94. PMID: 4366476

Chamberland JP, Antonow LT, Dias Santos M, Ritter B. 2016. NECAP2 controls clathrin coat recruitment to early endosomes for fast endocytic recycling. *Journal of Cell Science* **129**:2625–2637. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ jcs.173708, PMID: 27206861

Chappell TG, Welch WJ, Schlossman DM, Palter KB, Schlesinger MJ, Rothman JE. 1986. Uncoating ATPase is a member of the 70 kilodalton family of stress proteins. *Cell* **45**:3–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674 (86)90532-5, PMID: 2937542

Cocucci E, Aguet F, Boulant S, Kirchhausen T. 2012. The first five seconds in the life of a clathrin-coated pit. *Cell* **150**:495–507. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.047, PMID: 22863004

- Collins BM, McCoy AJ, Kent HM, Evans PR, Owen DJ. 2002. Molecular architecture and functional model of the endocytic AP2 complex. *Cell* **109**:523–535. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00735-3, PMID: 120 86608
- Conner SD, Schmid SL. 2002. Identification of an adaptor-associated kinase, AAK1, as a regulator of clathrinmediated endocytosis. The Journal of Cell Biology 156:921–929. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200108123, PMID: 11877461
- Conner SD, Schröter T, Schmid SL. 2003. AAK1-mediated micro2 phosphorylation is stimulated by assembled clathrin. *Traffic* **4**:885–890. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1398-9219.2003.0142.x, PMID: 14617351
- Cremona O, Di Paolo G, Wenk MR, Lüthi A, Kim WT, Takei K, Daniell L, Nemoto Y, Shears SB, Flavell RA, McCormick DA, De Camilli P. 1999. Essential role of phosphoinositide metabolism in synaptic vesicle recycling. *Cell* 99:179–188. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81649-9, PMID: 10535736
- Davis MW, Hammarlund M, Harrach T, Hullett P, Olsen S, Jorgensen EM. 2005. Rapid single nucleotide polymorphism mapping in *C. elegans. BMC Genomics* 6:118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-6-118, PMID: 16156901
- Dergai M, Iershov A, Novokhatska O, Pankivskyi S, Rynditch A. 2016. Evolutionary changes on the way to clathrin-mediated endocytosis in animals. Genome Biology and Evolution 8:588–606. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1093/gbe/evw028, PMID: 26872775
- Ehrlich M, Boll W, Van Oijen A, Hariharan R, Chandran K, Nibert ML, Kirchhausen T. 2004. Endocytosis by random initiation and stabilization of clathrin-coated pits. *Cell* **118**:591–605. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell. 2004.08.017, PMID: 15339664
- Frøkjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Hopkins CE, Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen SP, Grunnet M, Jorgensen EM. 2008. Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nature Genetics **40**:1375–1383. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.248, PMID: 18953339
- Frøkjær-Jensen C, Davis MW, Ailion M, Jorgensen EM. 2012. Improved Mos1-mediated transgenesis in *C. elegans. Nature Methods* **9**:117–118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1865, PMID: 22290181
- Ghosh P, Kornfeld S. 2003. AP-1 binding to sorting signals and release from clathrin-coated vesicles is regulated by phosphorylation. The Journal of Cell Biology 160:699–708. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200211080, PMID: 12604586
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature Methods* 6:343–345. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 1318, PMID: 19363495

- Greener T, Zhao X, Nojima H, Eisenberg E, Greene LE. 2000. Role of cyclin G-associated kinase in uncoating clathrin-coated vesicles from non-neuronal cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275**:1365–1370. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.2.1365, PMID: 10625686
- Gu M, Liu Q, Watanabe S, Sun L, Hollopeter G, Grant BD, Jorgensen EM. 2013. AP2 hemicomplexes contribute independently to synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *eLife* 2:e00190. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00190, PMID: 23482940
- Hannan LA, Newmyer SL, Schmid SL. 1998. ATP- and cytosol-dependent release of adaptor proteins from clathrin-coated vesicles: A dual role for Hsc70. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 9:2217–2229. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1091/mbc.9.8.2217, PMID: 9693377
- Henne WM, Boucrot E, Meinecke M, Evergren E, Vallis Y, Mittal R, McMahon HT. 2010. FCHo proteins are nucleators of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Science* **328**:1281–1284. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1188462, PMID: 20448150
- Hollopeter G, Lange JJ, Zhang Y, Vu TN, Gu M, Ailion M, Lambie EJ, Slaughter BD, Unruh JR, Florens L, Jorgensen EM. 2014. The membrane-associated proteins FCHo and SGIP are allosteric activators of the AP2 clathrin adaptor complex. *eLife* **3**:e03648. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03648, PMID: 25303366
- Höning S, Ricotta D, Krauss M, Späte K, Spolaore B, Motley A, Robinson M, Robinson C, Haucke V, Owen DJ. 2005. Phosphatidylinositol-(4,5)-bisphosphate regulates sorting signal recognition by the clathrin-associated adaptor complex AP2. *Molecular Cell* 18:519–531. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2005.04.019, PMID: 15916959
- Jackson AP, Flett A, Smythe C, Hufton L, Wettey FR, Smythe E. 2003. Clathrin promotes incorporation of cargo into coated pits by activation of the AP2 adaptor micro2 kinase. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 163:231–236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200304079, PMID: 14581451
- Jackson LP, Kelly BT, McCoy AJ, Gaffry T, James LC, Collins BM, Höning S, Evans PR, Owen DJ. 2010. A largescale conformational change couples membrane recruitment to cargo binding in the AP2 clathrin adaptor complex. Cell 141:1220–1229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.006, PMID: 20603002
- Kadlecova Z, Spielman SJ, Loerke D, Mohanakrishnan A, Reed DK, Schmid SL. 2017. Regulation of clathrinmediated endocytosis by hierarchical allosteric activation of AP2. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **216**:167–179. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201608071, PMID: 28003333
- Kelly BT, Graham SC, Liska N, Dannhauser PN, Höning S, Ungewickell EJ, Owen DJ. 2014. Clathrin adaptors. AP2 controls clathrin polymerization with a membrane-activated switch. *Science* **345**:459–463. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1254836, PMID: 25061211
- Kelly BT, McCoy AJ, Späte K, Miller SE, Evans PR, Höning S, Owen DJ. 2008. A structural explanation for the binding of endocytic dileucine motifs by the AP2 complex. *Nature* 456:976–979. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature07422, PMID: 19140243
- Kim E, Sun L, Gabel CV, Fang-Yen C. 2013. Long-term imaging of Caenorhabditis elegans using nanoparticlemediated immobilization. PLoS One 8:e53419. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053419, PMID: 23301069
- Kirchhausen T, Owen D, Harrison SC. 2014. Molecular structure, function, and dynamics of clathrin-mediated membrane traffic. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 6:a016725. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/ cshperspect.a016725, PMID: 24789820
- Ma L, Umasankar PK, Wrobel AG, Lymar A, McCoy AJ, Holkar SS, Jha A, Pradhan-Sundd T, Watkins SC, Owen DJ, Traub LM. 2016. Transient Fcho1/2-Eps15/R·AP-2 Nanoclusters Prime the AP-2 Clathrin Adaptor for Cargo Binding. *Developmental Cell* **37**:428–443. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.05.003, PMID: 27237791
- Manna PT, Gadelha C, Puttick AE, Field MC. 2015. ENTH and ANTH domain proteins participate in AP2independent clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Journal of Cell Science* **128**:2130–2142. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/jcs.167726, PMID: 25908855
- Matsui W, Kirchhausen T. 1990. Stabilization of clathrin coats by the core of the clathrin-associated protein complex AP-2. *Biochemistry* 29:10791–10798. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00500a011, PMID: 2125494
- Mayers JR, Wang L, Pramanik J, Johnson A, Sarkeshik A, Wang Y, Saengsawang W, Yates JR, Audhya A. 2013. Regulation of ubiquitin-dependent cargo sorting by multiple endocytic adaptors at the plasma membrane. *PNAS* **110**:11857–11862. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302918110, PMID: 23818590
- Olusanya O, Andrews PD, Swedlow JR, Smythe E. 2001. Phosphorylation of threonine 156 of the mu2 subunit of the AP2 complex is essential for endocytosis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Current Biology **11**:896–900. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00240-8, PMID: 11516654
- Paix A, Folkmann A, Rasoloson D, Seydoux G. 2015. High efficiency, homology-directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Genetics* **201**:47–54. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.179382, PMID: 26187122
- Rapoport I, Miyazaki M, Boll W, Duckworth B, Cantley LC, Shoelson S, Kirchhausen T. 1997. Regulatory interactions in the recognition of endocytic sorting signals by AP-2 complexes. *The EMBO Journal* 16:2240– 2250. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.9.2240, PMID: 9171339
- Reider A, Barker SL, Mishra SK, Im YJ, Maldonado-Báez L, Hurley JH, Traub LM, Wendland B. 2009. Syp1 is a conserved endocytic adaptor that contains domains involved in cargo selection and membrane tubulation. *The EMBO Journal* 28:3103–3116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2009.248, PMID: 19713939
- Ricotta D, Conner SD, Schmid SL, von Figura K, Honing S. 2002. Phosphorylation of the AP2 mu subunit by AAK1 mediates high affinity binding to membrane protein sorting signals. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **156**:791–795. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200111068, PMID: 11877457

- Ritter B, Denisov AY, Philie J, Allaire PD, Legendre-Guillemin V, Zylbergold P, Gehring K, McPherson PS. 2007. The NECAP PHear domain increases clathrin accessory protein binding potential. *The EMBO Journal* **26**:4066–4077. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601836, PMID: 17762867
- Ritter B, Denisov AY, Philie J, Deprez C, Tung EC, Gehring K, McPherson PS. 2004. Two WXXF-based motifs in NECAPs define the specificity of accessory protein binding to AP-1 and AP-2. *The EMBO Journal* 23:3701– 3710. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600378, PMID: 15359277
- Ritter B, Murphy S, Dokainish H, Girard M, Gudheti MV, Kozlov G, Halin M, Philie J, Jorgensen EM, Gehring K, McPherson PS. 2013. NECAP 1 regulates AP-2 interactions to control vesicle size, number, and cargo during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *PLoS Biology* **11**:e1001670. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001670, PMID: 24130457
- Ritter B, Philie J, Girard M, Tung EC, Blondeau F, McPherson PS. 2003. Identification of a family of endocytic proteins that define a new alpha-adaptin ear-binding motif. EMBO Reports 4:1089–1093. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/sj.embor.7400004, PMID: 14555962
- Sakaushi S, Inoue K, Zushi H, Senda-Murata K, Fukada T, Oka S, Sugimoto K. 2007. Dynamic behavior of FCHO1 revealed by live-cell imaging microscopy: its possible involvement in clathrin-coated vesicle formation. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry 71:1764–1768. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.60720, PMID: 17617719
- Sato K, Norris A, Sato M, Grant BD. 2014. *C. elegans* as a model for membrane traffic. *WormBook*. **47**:1–47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.77.2
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9:676–682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019, PMID: 22743772
- Semerdjieva S, Shortt B, Maxwell E, Singh S, Fonarev P, Hansen J, Schiavo G, Grant BD, Smythe E. 2008. Coordinated regulation of AP2 uncoating from clathrin-coated vesicles by rab5 and hRME-6. The Journal of Cell Biology 183:499–511. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200806016, PMID: 18981233
- Sochacki KA, Dickey AM, Strub MP, Taraska JW. 2017. Endocytic proteins are partitioned at the edge of the clathrin lattice in mammalian cells. Nature Cell Biology 19:352–361. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3498, PMID: 28346440
- Taylor MJ, Perrais D, Merrifield CJ. 2011. A high precision survey of the molecular dynamics of mammalian clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *PLoS Biology* **9**:e1000604. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000604, PMID: 21445324
- Uezu A, Horiuchi A, Kanda K, Kikuchi N, Umeda K, Tsujita K, Suetsugu S, Araki N, Yamamoto H, Takenawa T, Nakanishi H. 2007. SGIP1alpha is an endocytic protein that directly interacts with phospholipids and Eps15. Journal of Biological Chemistry 282:26481–26489. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M703815200, PMID: 17626015
- Umasankar PK, Ma L, Thieman JR, Jha A, Doray B, Watkins SC, Traub LM. 2014. A clathrin coat assembly role for the muniscin protein central linker revealed by TALEN-mediated gene editing. *eLife* 3:e04137. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04137, PMID: 25303365
- Umeda A, Meyerholz A, Ungewickell E. 2000. Identification of the universal cofactor (auxilin 2) in clathrin coat dissociation. European Journal of Cell Biology 79:336–342. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1078/S0171-9335(04)70037-0, PMID: 10887964
- Ungewickell E, Ungewickell H, Holstein SE, Lindner R, Prasad K, Barouch W, Martin B, Greene LE, Eisenberg E. 1995. Role of auxilin in uncoating clathrin-coated vesicles. *Nature* **378**:632–635. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ 378632a0, PMID: 8524399
- Wang L, Johnson A, Hanna M, Audhya A. 2016. Eps15 membrane-binding and -bending activity acts redundantly with Fcho1 during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **27**:2675–2687. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E16-03-0151, PMID: 27385343
- Wasiak S, Legendre-Guillemin V, Puertollano R, Blondeau F, Girard M, de Heuvel E, Boismenu D, Bell AW, Bonifacino JS, McPherson PS. 2002. Enthoprotin: a novel clathrin-associated protein identified through subcellular proteomics. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **158**:855–862. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200205078, PMID: 12213833
- White JG, Southgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S. 1986. The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 314:1–340. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1986.0056, PMID: 22462104