19 Minced Skin Grafts Can Be Expanded up to 500 Times to Re-epithelialize Full-thickness Burns

> Kristo Nuutila, MSc, PhD, David E. Varon, BA, Michael Broomhead, MBA, Elof Eriksson, MD, PhD

U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research, San Antonio, Texas; University of Michigan Medical School, San Antonio, Texas; Applied Tissue Technologies LLC, Hingham, Massachusetts; Applied Tissue Technologies LLC, Hingham, Massachusetts

Introduction: Deep and large burns require hospitalization and, without exception, surgical treatment. Skin graft expansion in major burns currently is restricted to a 1:6 expansion ratio (possibly 1:9) by current methods. For this reason, skin transplants are limited by donor site availability for patients with extensive burns, who may have only small areas of healthy skin for use as donor sites. The objective of this project is to demonstrate the efficacy of pixel grafting, to produce split-thickness skin grafts (STSG) and dermal grafts which can be expanded at least 100 times (1 cm² donor skin will cover 100 cm²). Pixel grafts are skin grafts that are prepared from split-thickness donor skin that is 0.3 mm (12/1000 of an inch) thick and minced into pieces that are 0.3 x 0.3 mm. The methodology relies on mincing a small area of donor skin using a hand-held mincer to create uniform skin pieces, each of which will serve as a small center of skin regeneration.

Methods: Deep partial-thickness burns were created on the dorsum of Yorkshire pigs. Three days post injury the burns were debrided and grafted with epidermal/dermal and dermal pixel grafts using expansion ratios from 1:2 to 1:500. The pixel grafts were prepared by harvesting 0.3 mm thick skin grafts from the same donor site going down in depth (Layer 1: STSG; Layer 2: dermal graft). Subsequently, the grafts were minced to 0.3 x 0.3 x 0.3 mm pixel grafts, suspended in a small volume of hydrogel and transplanted onto debrided porcine burn wounds. Healing was monitored up to 28 days and biopsies were collected on days 6, 10 and 14. The wounds were excised and fixed in formalin for histologic analysis. In addition, to measure wound area reduction and wound depth, wound images were taken. Multiple wound healing parameters were used to assess the quality of healing and the results were compared to STSG (standard of care treatment).

Results: Histology demonstrated that when both STSG pixel grafts and dermal grafts were transplanted onto porcine full-thickness wounds in a 1:2 ratio they were able to fully re-epithelialize the wounds in 14 days. At day 14, re-epithelialization-% using other expansion ratios varied from 46 % (1:500) to 64 % (1:10) and by day 28 all the wounds were fully re-epithelialized. Wound images obtained at different time points showed that by day 28 all the pixel graft grafted wounds had reduced in area efficiently, from 70 % (dermal pixel grafts 1:50) to 81% (STSG 1:100). No statistically significant differences were observed between the pixel graft study groups and STSG (standard of care).

Conclusions: This study concluded that both epidermal/ dermal and dermal pixel grafts can be suspended in a hydrogel and transplanted onto wounds regardless of orientation (dermal side up or down), making the transplantation greatly simplified.

20 Autologous Meshed Split Thickness Graft Healing in Interstice versus Grafted Sites: A Histological Characterization

Mary A. Oliver, BS, Bonnie C. Carney, PhD, John W. Keyloun, MD, Lesle M. Jimenez, MS, Lauren T. Moffatt, PhD, Jeffrey W. Shupp, MD Burn Center at MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington DC, District of Columbia; Medstar Health Research Institute, Washington DC, District of Columbia; Burn Center at MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington DC, District of Columbia; MedStar Health Research Institute, Washington, District of Columbia; Burn Center at MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington DC, District of Columbia; MedStar Washington DC, District of Columbia; MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington DC, District of Columbia

Introduction: Autologous skin grafting is a common technique used in the treatment of full thickness (FT) wounds to aid in healing, wound closure, and reducing the likelihood of developing hypertrophic scarring. Meshed split thickness skin grafts (mSTSG) which contain portions of the dermis and epidermis are the gold standard for the treatment of FT wounds because they allow for expansion of skin taken from a relatively small donor site. It has largely been hypothesized that in mSTSG skin progenitor cells migrate from the edges of healthy donor tissue to aid in healing the interstices created by meshing. For this reason, meshed wound healing is not homogenous as interstice and meshed sites display distinct healing characteristics. This study aims to characterize the differences between interstice and grafted site healing.

Methods: Wound healing was evaluated *in vivo* using Duroc pigs. In this model, 4 animals had 10.16 cm by 10.16 cm full-thickness burns created on bilateral flanks for a total of 12 wounds. On day 2, the burns were excised down to subcutaneous tissue, mSTSG was harvested, meshed 4:1, and applied to the prepared wound beds. Wounds were photographed and sampled on days 5, 9 and, 15. Punch biopsies from either the grafted area or interstice area were taken at each time point, processed, and imaged. Images were used to quantify epidermal and dermal thickness, cellularity, and rete ridges.

Results: Epidermal thickness at day 5 in interstices was significantly thinner than in graft $(1.73\pm4.33\mu m vs. 75.7\pm66.1\mu m, p< 0.05)$. By day 9, interstice epidermal thickness was comparable to graft thickness $(194.9\pm157.7\mu m vs. 199.5\pm117.7\mu m)$. On the other hand, dermal thickness was elevated in the interstice at days 9 (1850.4\pm642.0\mu m vs. 1277.6\pm652.0\mu m, p< 0.05) and 15 (2469.9\pm626.14\mu m vs. 1660.7\pm674.6\mu m, p< 0.01) to a significant degree. Cellularity was greater at all time points in the interstice compared to the grafted sites. Similarly, rete ridge ratios (RRR) were significantly greater in the grafted areas at day 5 (0.0\pm0.0\mu m vs. 1.0\pm0.7\mu m, p< 0.01) and day 9 (1.32\pm1.2\mu m vs. 1.9\pm0.45\mu m, p< 0.05).

Conclusions: These data show that within a grafted burn wound, healing is a dynamic and heterogenous process when looking at interstice and graft sites, respectively. Grafted sites were thinner throughout, showed decreased inflammatory cell infiltrate, and exhibited higher RRR. Thicker tissue layers and upregulated cellularity in interstices point to a wound healing trajectory that is slower than grafted sites, even by the time wounds are fully re-epithelized.